

OUJ-FTC-7
OCHA-PP-369

Analytical Study of Anomalous Diffusion by Randomly Modulated Dipole

S. Katagiri¹, Y. Matsuo², Y. Matsuoka^{1†} and A. Sugamoto³

¹ *Nature and Environment, Faculty of Liberal Arts, The Open University of Japan, Chiba 261-8586, Japan*

² *Department of Physics, Trans-scale Quantum Science Institute, Mathematics and Informatics Center, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

³ *Department of Physics, Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences, Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan*

†Email: machia1805@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper derives the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation for a particle moving in potential by a randomly modulated dipole. The FP equation describes the anomalous diffusion observed in the companion paper [1] and breaks the conservation of the total probability at the singularity by the dipole. It also shows anisotropic diffusion, which is typical in fluid turbulence. We need to modify the probability density by introducing a mechanism to recover the particle. After the modification, the latent fractal dimension matches with the results of the companion paper. We hope that our model gives a new example of fractional diffusion, where the random singularity triggers fractional-ity.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we analyze a random process of a particle in D -dimensions described by,

$$\frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = \frac{d_H}{r^D} \left(\vec{a} - D \frac{(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{r}}{r^2} \right), \quad \vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^D. \quad (1)$$

or

$$\frac{dr_i}{dt} = d_H \sum_j V_{ij}^{(D)} a_j, \quad V_{ij}^{(D)} = r^{-D} (\delta_{ij} - D \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j), \quad \hat{r}_i = r_i / |\vec{r}|, \quad (2)$$

The right-hand side of (1) describes a force induced by a dipole located at the origin with the strength d_H . \vec{a} is a unit vector that describes the direction of the dipole. We treat it as a random variable, which makes (1) a stochastic differential equation.

Eq.(1) was proposed in the companion paper [1] as a toy model that captures some features of the fluid turbulence. The authors performed a numerical simulation of the random process, revealing that the particle's trajectory has a fractal dimension $2.4 \sim 2.7$ in $D = 3$ and $1.7 \sim 1.9$ in $D = 2$. It implies that the particle motion is not the normal Brownian motion.

It motivates us to study (1) analytically. In this paper, we first derive the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation for the random process using the path-integral formalism [2] (section 2). The radial part of the FP equation agrees with a special case of the O'Shaughnessy-Procaccia anomalous diffusion equation [3]. We solve the Fokker-Planck equation by combining Bessel functions (section 3).¹ It has an unusual feature that the total probability is not invariant due to the singularity at the origin. It corresponds to a phenomenon that the particle, which gets too close to the origin, jumps because of the strong force from the dipole. The trajectory resembles the Lévy flight [4] and

¹We note that the exact solution in [3] is a special one where the particle starts from the origin $r = 0$. For our purpose, we need solutions where the particle starts somewhere else $r \neq 0$.

has a nontrivial fractal dimension in three dimensions. At the same time, some portion of the particles jumps beyond the cut-off, which causes the decrease of the total probability. In [1], to estimate the fractal dimension, the authors used two methods, (1) the periodic boundary condition to recover the lost particles and (2) reset the lost particle to the initial position and restart. These two approaches give similar results.² We use the second idea to modify the probability density such that the total probability is conserved.

Using the explicit form of the modified Green's function, we evaluate the fractal dimension of the streamline (section 4). We first show the loss of the probability appears in the early time stage and argue that the modification of Green's function to recover the unitarity. The second characteristic feature (1) is the diffusion is anisotropic, which we explain by showing the plot and computing the Hurst exponents for the radial and angular direction independently. We compute the latent fractal dimension by combining these two. The results meet the numerical simulation in [1].

The process (1) is interesting not only in the context of [1] but in more general mathematical physics. The scaling behavior in the radial direction has some similarities with the conformal invariant process (see, for example, [5]), which is tightly related to Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE) [7]. SLE describes the critical phenomena of many lattice models in two dimensions with fractal curves as the boundaries of their clusters (or domain walls) [8]. In particular, SLE has recently been discussed as a model for two-dimensional turbulence [9]. We discuss the connection between our model and SLE and how our model can be regarded as a kind of generalization of SLE (in Appendix A).

²To be precise, the second approach gives slightly smaller fractal dimensions.

2 Derivation of Fokker-Planck equation

We first note that the random variable \vec{a} is constrained by $|\vec{a}| = 1$, which makes the derivation of the Fokker-Planck equation more involved. We use the path integral to define the probability density to describe the constraint.

2.1 Path integral approach

We start by discretizing the time interval. We start from $t = t_0$, and the particle's location is \vec{r}_0 . The probability that we find the particle in an arbitrary region V in the next step $t = t_0 + \Delta t$ is,

$$\int_V d^D r_1 P_1(\vec{r}_1, t_0 + \Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (3)$$

where

$$P_1(\vec{r}_1, t_0 + \Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) = \int \tilde{d}\vec{a} \delta^{(D)} \left(\frac{\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_0}{\Delta t} - d_H V(\vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{a} \right). \quad (4)$$

$\int \tilde{d}\vec{a}$ is an integration over the unit $(D - 1)$ -sphere. $V(\vec{r})$ is a $D \times D$ matrix $V_{ij}^{(D)}$ defined in eq.(2). We will omit the upper index D when there is no confusion.

The probability distribution after two steps can be evaluated by composing two P_1 's,

$$\int_V d^D r_2 P_2(\vec{r}_2, t_0 + 2\Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (5)$$

with³

$$P_2(\vec{r}_2, t_0 + 2\Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (6)$$

$$= \int d^D r_1 P_1(\vec{r}_2, t_0 + 2\Delta t : \vec{r}_1, t_0 + \Delta t) P_1(\vec{r}_1 : t_0 + \Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (7)$$

³To be precise, we have to multiply $(\Delta t)^D$ to the integration. In the following, we will ignore such constant multiplicative factors.

One may repeat such process to the arbitrary steps N .

$$P_N(\vec{r}_N, t_0 + N\Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (8)$$

$$= \int \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} d^D r_i \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} P_1(\vec{r}_{i+1}, t_0 + (i+1)\Delta t : \vec{r}_i, t_0 + i\Delta t) \quad (9)$$

We rewrite it in the form of the path integral. We first note that

$$P_1(\vec{r}_1, t_0 + \Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (10)$$

$$= \int \tilde{d}\vec{a} \delta^{(D)} \left(\frac{\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_0}{\Delta t} - d_H V(\vec{r}_0) \vec{a} \right) \quad (11)$$

$$= \int \tilde{d}\vec{a} \int d^D \vec{p} \exp \left(i\vec{p} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_0}{\Delta t} - d_H V(\vec{r}_0) \vec{a} \right) \right) \quad (12)$$

It implies that the expression P_N is written as,

$$P_N(\vec{r}_N, t_0 + N\Delta t : \vec{r}_0, t_0) \quad (13)$$

$$= \int \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} d^D \vec{r}_i \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \tilde{d}\vec{a}_j d^D \vec{p}_j \exp \left(i\vec{p}_j \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_{j+1} - \vec{r}_j}{\Delta t} - d_H V(\vec{r}_j) \vec{a}_j \right) \right) \quad (14)$$

One may rewrite it in a formal notation of the path integral,

$$P(\vec{y}, t_1 : \vec{x}, t_0) = \int D\vec{r} \tilde{D}\vec{a} D\vec{p} \exp \left(i \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \vec{p}(t) \cdot \left(\frac{d\vec{r}(t)}{dt} - d_H V(\vec{r}(t)) \vec{a} \right) \right) \quad (15)$$

with the initial conditions $\vec{r}(t_0) = \vec{x}$ and $\vec{r}(t_1) = \vec{y}$. $\tilde{D}\vec{a}$ is the path integral measure over the unit sphere.

Removing the constraint on \vec{a} : In the expression (15), the integration over \vec{a} is constrained by $|\vec{a}| = 1$. A standard way to remove it is to introduce an auxiliary variable λ and rewrite the integration as,

$$\int \tilde{d}\vec{a} = \int d\vec{a} \delta(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} - 1) \propto \int d\vec{a} d\lambda \exp \left(\frac{i\lambda}{2} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} - 1) \right) \quad (16)$$

There is no constraint on the integration variable \vec{a} in the final expression.

The path integral is rewritten as,

$$P(\vec{y}, t_1 : \vec{x}, t_0) \tag{17}$$

$$= \int D\vec{r} D\vec{a} D\vec{p} D\lambda \tag{18}$$

$$\cdot \exp \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \left(\frac{i\lambda(t)}{2} (\vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{a}(t) - 1) + i\vec{p}(t) \cdot \left(\frac{d\vec{r}(t)}{dt} - d_H V(\vec{r}(t)) \cdot \vec{a}(t) \right) \right). \tag{19}$$

Gaussian integration over various variables: We note that the integrand in (19) is gaussian with respect to \vec{a} . After the integration over \vec{a} , we arrive at,

$$P(\vec{y}, t_1 : \vec{x}, t_0) = \int D\vec{r} D\vec{p} D\lambda e^{iS_1(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, \lambda)} \tag{20}$$

$$S_1(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, \lambda) = \int dt \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{d_H^2}{2\lambda} \vec{p} \cdot V(\vec{r})^2 \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{p} \cdot \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \right). \tag{21}$$

S_1 is again quadratic with respect to \vec{p} . After the Gaussian integration over \vec{p} , one arrives at,

$$P(\vec{y}, t_1 : \vec{x}, t_0) = \int D\vec{r} D\lambda e^{iS_2(\vec{r}, \lambda)} \tag{22}$$

$$S_2(\vec{r}, \lambda) = \int dt \frac{\lambda(t)}{2} \left(d_H^{-2} \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} V(\vec{r})^{-2} \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} - 1 \right) \tag{23}$$

We will use this expression to derive the Fokker-Planck equation.

2.2 Derivation of Fokker-Planck equation

The analysis of the path integral of the form (23) was considered in the literature (see [6] for instance). When we divide the time interval into large enough numbers, one may replace the dynamical variable λ to be a constant

λ_0 .⁴ The Lagrangian of the system may be written as,

$$L = \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \left(d_H^{-2} \frac{dr^i}{dt} (V^{-2})_{ij}(\vec{r}) \frac{dr^j}{dt} - 1 \right) \quad (24)$$

The Hamiltonian which comes from this Lagrangian is,

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{d_H^2}{2\lambda_0} p_i (V^2)_{ij} p_j + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}, \quad p_i = \lambda_0 d_H^{-2} (V^{-2})_{ij} \frac{dr^i}{dt}. \quad (25)$$

We note that,

$$(V^2)_{ij} = r^{-2D} (\delta_{ij} + (D^2 - 2D) \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j) = r^{-2D} \left((D-1)^2 \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j + \sum_{\alpha}^{D-1} \hat{e}_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha} \right). \quad (26)$$

where \hat{e}_{α} is the orthonormal basis in the angular direction. We replace $p_i \rightarrow i\partial_i$ in the orthogonal coordinate. In the polar coordinates in D dimensions, one may write,

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{d_H^2}{2\lambda_0 r^{2D+2}} \left((D-1)^2 (r^2 \partial_r^2 - (D+1)r \partial_r) + \hat{\Omega} \right) + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}. \quad (27)$$

Here $\hat{\Omega}$ is the Laplacian on S^{D-1} written in terms of the angular variables. For instance,

$$\hat{\Omega} = \begin{cases} \partial_{\theta}^2 & \text{for } D = 2 \\ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\theta} (\sin \theta \partial_{\theta}) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_{\varphi}^2 & \text{for } D = 3 \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

We note some ambiguity in the ordering of r and ∂_r . To fix it, we require the radial Hamiltonian is Hermitian and total derivative with respect to the measure $r^{D-1} dr$:

$$(r^{D-1} \Delta_r)^{\dagger} = r^{D-1} \Delta_r, \quad r^{D-1} \Delta_r = \partial_r (\text{something}), \quad (29)$$

for $\Delta_r = r^{-2D-2} (r^2 \partial_r + ar \partial_r + b)$ with constant a, b . These conditions give $a = -(D+1)$ and $b = 0$.

⁴One may apply the conventional quantization method of the constrained system. See appendix B for the detail

The path integral formula implies that the probability density $P(\vec{r}, t)$ satisfies the following Fokker-Planck equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{h}{2r^{2D+2}} \left((D-1)^2 (r^2 \partial_r^2 - (D+1)r \partial_r) + \hat{\Omega} \right) P - \frac{\lambda_0}{2} P, \quad (30)$$

with $h = d_H^2/\lambda_0$. The last term in (30) seems to break the conservation of probability. We may ignore it by tuning $\lambda_0 \rightarrow 0$ while keeping h finite. As we see, the total probability obtained by the integration of $P(\vec{r}, t)$ is not conserved due to the singularity at the origin $r = 0$, where the last term may play some role in the future. For the following analysis, we will ignore it.

We note that the radial part of (30) is an example of the anomalous diffusion equation obtained by O'Shaughnessy and Procaccia [3]. The authors of [3] found an exact solution in a closed form,

$$P(r, t) \propto t^{-\frac{D}{2+\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^{2+\theta}}{K(2+\theta)^2 t}\right), \quad (31)$$

where θ is an arbitrary parameter of the equation. In our case, one should identify $\theta = 2D$ and set $K = h/2$. It describes an anomalous diffusion starting from $r = 0$. In our case [1], we set the initial location of the particle outside of the origin. It requires us to study the more general families of the solutions, which reveals a new essential feature of the equation.

Scaling symmetry: A basic property of the Fokker-Planck equation is the existence of the scaling symmetry, (with $\vec{\omega}$, the angular coordinates),

$$r \rightarrow r' = \gamma r, \quad \vec{\omega} \rightarrow \vec{\omega}, \quad t \rightarrow \gamma^{2D+2} t, \quad (32)$$

for arbitrary $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$. It implies that the solution of (30) with the initial value, say $P(t=0) \sim \delta(r-r_0)$ can be obtained from the initial value problem with $\delta(r-1)$ by scaling the time parameter $t \rightarrow r_0^{2(D+1)} t$.

Boundary condition at $r = 0$ We normalize the probability density $P(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$ by,

$$\int_0^\infty r^{D-1} dr \int_{S^{D-1}} d\vec{\omega} P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) = 1. \quad (33)$$

$d\vec{\omega}$ is a normalized measure on S^{D-1} . By taking the time derivative, one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_0^\infty r^{D-1} dr \int d\vec{\omega} \partial_t P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) \\
&= \int_0^\infty dr \int d\vec{\omega} \frac{\hbar}{2} \left((D-1)^2 \partial_r (r^{-D-1} \partial_r P) + r^{-D-3} \hat{\Omega} P \right) - \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \\
&= \int d\vec{\omega} \frac{\hbar(D-1)^2}{2} r^{-D-1} \partial_r P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) \Big|_{r=0} - \frac{\lambda_0}{2}. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Naively, it would be best to use the boundary conditions at $r = 0$ and $r = \infty$,

$$r^{-D-1} \partial_r P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) \Big|_{r=0, \infty} = 0. \tag{35}$$

In particular, the particular solution (31) satisfies this condition.

As we will see, to obtain the full set of solutions, it is impossible to obtain a complete set of eigenfunctions which satisfies this boundary condition. One may interpret it as the breakdown of the unitarity of the Hamiltonian through the boundary condition, namely due to the singularity at $r = 0$.

In the exact solutions obtained in the next section, it is necessary to impose a relaxed condition at $r = 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-D-1} \partial_r P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) = \text{finite}, \tag{36}$$

to have a nontrivial solutions. It implies that the probability flows out at the origin. As explained in the introduction, we interpret it that the particles, which approach too close to the origin, jump out of the cut-off as shown in the numerical simulation [1]. The recovery of the probability depends on the numerical setup. We will study more detail in the next section.

3 Analysis of Fokker-Planck equation

3.1 Exact solution in arbitrary dimensions

It is straightforward to solve (30) with arbitrary initial and the relaxed boundary conditions. We drop the last term in (30). We first construct the eigenfunctions of the hamiltonian $\mathcal{K} = \frac{2}{\hbar}\mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{K}\psi(r, \vec{\omega}) = -k^2\psi(r, \vec{\omega}), \quad \mathcal{K} = r^{-2D-2} \left((D-1)^2(r^2\partial_r^2 - (D+1)r\partial_r) + \hat{\Omega} \right) \quad (37)$$

We use the standard separation of variable technique for the radial and a set of the angle variables $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{D-1})$,

$$\psi(r, \omega) = R(r)\Theta(\vec{\omega}), \quad \hat{\Omega}\Theta(\vec{\omega}) = -b^2\Theta(\vec{\omega}). \quad (38)$$

The eigenfunctions in the angular directions are,

$$D = 2 : \quad \Theta(\theta) = e^{in\theta}, \quad b^2 = n^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (39)$$

$$D = 3 : \quad \Theta(\theta, \varphi) = Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi), \quad b^2 = \ell(\ell+1) \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m \in [-\ell, \ell], \quad (40)$$

where $Y_{\ell, m}$ is the spherical harmonics.

The equation for $R(r)$ becomes,

$$r^{-2D-2}((D-1)^2(r^2\partial_r^2 - (D+1)r\partial_r) - b^2)R(r) = -k^2R(r). \quad (41)$$

We change variable

$$\zeta = \frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2-1} \quad (42)$$

and rewrite $R(r) = r^{1+D/2}f(\zeta)$. The differential equation (41) becomes the Bessel equation,

$$\zeta^2 \frac{d^2 f}{d\zeta^2} + \zeta \frac{df}{d\zeta} + (\zeta^2 - \nu^2)f = 0, \quad (43)$$

with

$$\nu = \frac{\sqrt{4b^2 + ((2+D)(D-1))^2}}{2(D^2-1)}. \quad (44)$$

It implies that the general solutions are,

$$R(r) = r^{1+D/2} \left(c_+ J_\nu \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2 - 1} \right) + c_- J_{-\nu} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2 - 1} \right) \right) \quad (45)$$

where c_\pm are arbitrary constants.

In the vicinity $x \sim 0$, the Bessel function behaves as,

$$J_\nu(x) \sim \frac{1}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)} x^\nu (1 + O(x^2)). \quad (46)$$

One note that the solution $J_{-\nu}$ does not meet the boundary condition (35) nor the relaxed one (36). For J_ν ,

$$r^{1+\frac{D}{2}} J_\nu \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2 - 1} \right) \propto r^{1+\frac{D}{2} + \sqrt{(\frac{b}{D-1})^2 + (1+\frac{D}{2})^2}} (1 + O(r^{2(D+1)})). \quad (47)$$

While $b^2 > 0$, the stronger condition (35) holds. For the spherically symmetric case $b^2 = 0$, on the other hand, we can impose only the relaxed condition (36). Since we need the spherically symmetric functions to form the complete basis, the violation of the boundary condition (35) is inevitable.

From the complete set of solutions of (30), one may write the general solutions as,

$$P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) = \int_0^\infty dk \sum_{\vec{n}} \rho_{\vec{n}}(k) e^{-\frac{\hbar t k^2}{2}} Y_{\vec{n}}(\vec{\omega}) \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2 - 1} \right)^{\frac{1+D/2}{D+1}} J_{\nu_{\vec{n}}} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2 - 1} \right), \quad (48)$$

where $\vec{\omega}$ is the coordinate of S^{D-1} , $Y_{\vec{n}}(\vec{\omega})$ is the spherical harmonics with the quantum numbers \vec{n} , $\nu_{\vec{n}}$ is (44) where b is determined from \vec{n} .

3.2 Green's function

Green's function $G(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$ is the probability distribution $P(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$ that corresponds to the choice of the delta function distribution as the initial value. The support of the delta function is located at $r > 0$.

The derivation of Green's function is elementary, by using the orthogonality of the spherical harmonics and the Hankel transformation for the Bessel functions,

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty kdk \tilde{f}(k) J_\nu(kx), \quad \tilde{f}(k) = \int_0^\infty xdx f(x) J_\nu(kx). \quad (49)$$

In particular, we will use the following cases.

1. Spherically symmetric case for arbitrary D . We set the initial value as,

$$G(r, t = 0) = \delta(r - 1). \quad (50)$$

Green's function is,

$$G(r, t) = \frac{r^{1+\frac{D}{2}}}{(D-1)^2(D+1)} \int_0^\infty kdk e^{-hk^2t/2} J_{\nu_D} \left(\frac{k}{D^2-1} \right) J_{\nu_D} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2-1} \right) \quad (51)$$

with

$$\nu_D = \frac{1+D/2}{D+1}. \quad (52)$$

2. $D = 2$ with the angle dependence. The initial value is $G(r, \theta, t) = \delta(r)\delta(\theta)$.

$$G(r, \theta, t) = \frac{r^2}{6\pi} \int_0^\infty kdk \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{\nu_n}(kr^3/3) J_{\nu_n}(k/3) e^{-hk^2t/2 + in\theta}. \quad (53)$$

3. $D = 3$ with the angle dependence. With the polar coordinates r, θ, φ , ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi]$), we set the initial condition,

$$G(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = \delta(r - 1)\delta(\theta). \quad (54)$$

Green's function becomes,

$$G(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = \frac{r^{5/2}}{8} \int_0^\infty kdk \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} J_{\nu_l}(kr^4/8) J_{\nu_l}(k/8) P_l(\cos \theta) e^{-hk^2t/2}, \quad (55)$$

where P_l is the Legendre function.

In appendix C, we give the explicit derivation of the formulae.

3.3 Recovery of the unitarity through boundary conditions

The conservation law

$$\int r^{D-1} dr d\vec{\omega} P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) = 1 \quad (56)$$

is necessary to interpret $P(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$ as the probability distribution. This condition, however, can be broken through the use of the relaxed boundary condition (36) for the spherically symmetric function. It applies to Green's function obtained in the last subsection.

When the unitarity (56) is broken, we have to modify the function $P(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$ to define the diffusion process properly. It depends on the set-up of the numerical simulation, with which we compare the result. In our case, we need a comparison with the companion paper [1], where the authors consider the motion in the space in a square (or a cube) with the edge length $2L$. When the particle jumps out of the box because of the vital force in the vicinity of the dipole, they use two types of “boundary conditions” to recover the particles.

- Condition 1 uses the periodic boundary condition to force the particle to return to the original square or cube.
- Condition 2 forces the disappeared particle to the initial position of the particle.

Under these boundary conditions, they obtained the fractional dimension of the trajectory D_f of the particle. For $D = 3$ with condition 1, $D_f \sim 2.6$ and with condition 2, $D_f \sim 2.4$. For $D = 2$ with condition 1, $D_f \sim 1.8$, and with the condition 2, $D_f \sim 1.7$.

We modify Green's function for the condition 2. We define the total probability from the original Green's function as,

$$N(t) = \int r^{D-1} dr d\vec{\omega} P(r, \vec{\omega}, t). \quad (57)$$

One can evaluate the derivative with respect to time by (34).

From the solution of the FP equation, $P(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$, we define the modified probability density \tilde{P} by using the condition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(r, \vec{\omega}, t) &= P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) - \int_0^t dt_1 \dot{N}(t_1) P(r, \vec{\omega}, t - t_1) \\ &+ \int_0^t dt_1 \dot{N}(t_1) \int_{t_1}^t \dot{N}(t_2) P(r, \vec{\omega}, t - t_2) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$= P(r, \vec{\omega}, t) - \int_0^t dt_1 \dot{N}(t_1) \tilde{P}(r, \vec{\omega}, t - t_1), \quad (59)$$

where $\dot{N} = \frac{dN}{dt}$. The second term in the first line implies the recovery of the particle during the period $[0, t]$. The second line of (58) is necessary that the particle, which was once recovered, can disappear again during the time interval $[t_1, t]$. Eq.(59) gives a recursive definition of \tilde{P} . \tilde{P} satisfies $\int r^{D-1} dr d\vec{\omega} \tilde{P}(r, \vec{\omega}, t) = 1$.⁵ Thus, one may use it as the definition of the probability density.

4 Numerical analysis and the fractal diffusion

While (51–55, 59) gives the explicit form of (modified) Green's function, it is not so obvious to find the fractal behavior of the trajectory in an analytic form since it involves the integration and the summation. In the following, we evaluate these expressions numerically by cutting off the k integration to $k \in (0, k^{\max})$ and the summation over the angular labels $|n| < n^{\max}$ at $k^{\max} \sim 60$ and $n^{\max} \sim 50$ respectively, and set $h = 1$. We use *Mathematica* for the evaluation.

In this section, we show

⁵To prove it, one integrate (58) over the space. One obtains an integral equation, $\tilde{N}(t) = N(t) - \int_0^t dt_1 \dot{N}(t_1) \tilde{N}(t - t_1)$ where $\tilde{N}(t) = \int r^{D-1} dr d\vec{\omega} Q(r, \vec{\omega}, t)$. An obvious solution is $\tilde{N}(t) = 1$, but it is also the unique solution due to the nature of the integral equation.




Figure 1: Shapes of $G(r, t)$ for various t . Horizontal axis gives the radius

- The decrease of the total probability due to the singularity at the $r = 0$. It appears relatively early, $t \sim 0.01$ for $D = 2, 3$. We need to use the modified probability density (59) to obtain the proper result.
- The diffusion is anisotropic.
- The latent fractal dimensions, in the sense of Mandelbrot, coincides with the numerical results in [1]

4.1 Decrease of the total probability

So far, we have discussed the loss of the total probability by analytical methods. To illuminate how it appears, we plot Green's function for the spherically symmetric case (51) for $D = 2, 3$.

In Figure 1, we plot $P(r, t)$ for $D = 2, 3$ with $t = 2^n$ ($n = -9, -8, \dots, 0$) with different colors for each t . The sharpest profile corresponds to $t = 2^{-9}$, and the curve blurs as the time evolution. The total probability equals the area sandwiched between the plot curve and the horizontal axis. It begins to decrease the time evolution in the early-stage, say $t = 2^{-7} \sim 0.01$, and the most of the probability vanishes as early as $t \sim 0.25$. We also note that the shape of the plot implies that the particles are attracted to the origin. If one




Figure 2: Decrease of total probability

compares $D = 2$ and $D = 3$, one observes the attraction from the origin is faster for $D = 3$, which is natural since the singularity at $r = 0$ is stronger for $D = 3$.

The absorption of the total probability remains the same if we include the angular variable dependence. We compute the total probability, which depends on time for $D = 2, 3$ in Figure 2. The horizontal axis describes the time ($\log_2 t$), and the vertical axis gives the total probability. Both graphs describe a similar process with a slight shift in the decreasing time interval; the absorption is slower in $D = 2$. In either case, one observes the total probability vanishes in a relatively small time interval $t \sim 0.05$. We need the modification of Green's function to evaluate the fractal dimensions. We note that there are similar plots in [1].

4.2 Profile of modified Green's function

The second characteristic feature of the system is that the behaviors in the radial direction and the angular directions are generally different. To see it graphically, we give plot of the modified Green's function for $D = 2$ with $t = 2^n$ with $n = -8, -7, -6, -5$ in Figure 3.

One may see that the diffusion in the angular direction is faster than the




Figure 3: Time evolution of modified Green's function for $D = 2$: The axis downward to right gives the radius $r \in [0.5, 3]$ and the axis upward to right gives the angle $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

radial direction. It comes from the behavior of the original Green's function. At the same time, the shape of the sharp peak remains the same, which is due to the modification of Green's function (58), where we have to add Green's function at an earlier time to recover the unitarity. We obtained a similar behavior for $D = 3$; the diffusion in the radial direction is suppressed compared with the angular direction.

4.3 Hurst exponent and Fractal dimension

We compute the variation to evaluate the fractal dimension of the trajectory. Since the diffusion is anisotropic, separating the radial and the angular

directions will be helpful.

We use the modified Green's function, \tilde{G} (53, 55) with the modification (58) to define the average. In the numerical computation, we truncate the summation to \dot{N}^2 order.

We use the polar coordinates to evaluate the variations. For $D = 2$, since we start from $\theta = 0$, one may put $\langle\theta\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\vec{r}\rangle = (\langle r\rangle, 0)$. The variation is decomposed as,

$$\langle(\vec{r} - \langle\vec{r}\rangle)^2\rangle = \langle(\Delta\vec{r})^2 = \langle r^2\rangle + \langle r\rangle^2 - 2\langle r\rangle\langle r \cos\theta\rangle = (\Delta_r)^2 + (\Delta_\theta)^2, \quad (60)$$

$$(\Delta_r)^2 = \langle r^2\rangle - \langle r\rangle^2, \quad (\Delta_\theta)^2 = 2\langle r\rangle(\langle r\rangle - \langle r \cos\theta\rangle). \quad (61)$$

We refer to Δ_r (resp. Δ_θ) as the variation in the radial (resp. angular) direction.

For $D = 3$ case, Green's function is symmetric for the azimuthal angle φ . Also, since we start from the north pole, we may assume the average of the polar coordinate $\langle\theta\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\vec{r}\rangle = (0, 0, \langle r\rangle)$. We obtain the same expression for the variation and the decomposition into the radial and angular directions.

We introduce Hurst exponents as,

$$\langle(\Delta\vec{r})^2\rangle \propto t^{2H}, \quad (\Delta_r)^2 \propto t^{2H_r}, \quad (\Delta_\theta)^2 \propto t^{2H_\theta}. \quad (62)$$

where $0 < H, H_r, H_\theta < 1$. $H = H_r = H_\theta = 1/2$ corresponds to the normal Brownian motion. Mandelbrot's latent fractal dimension is given by $D_f = 1/H$.

We evaluate H , H_r , and H_θ numerically by taking the difference, for instance $H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \ln\langle(\Delta\vec{r})^2\rangle$, which may depend on time. In Figure 4 (resp. Figure 5), we illustrate the values of H_r , H_θ for $D = 2$ (resp. $D = 3$). For $D = 2$, Hurst exponents vary in the range $0.6 < H_r < 0.8$ and $0.4 < H_\theta < 0.6$. For $D = 3$, if we ignore the anomalous value in the beginning, the variation range is $H_r \sim 0.22$ and $0.5 < H_\theta < 0.6$. As expected, Hurst exponents are different in the radial and angular directions, especially for $D = 3$.




Figure 4: H_r and H_θ for $D = 2$. Horizontal axis describes time t .




Figure 5: H_r and H_θ for $D = 3$. Horizontal axis describes time t .

Finally we give the plot of the fractal dimension $1/H$ in Figure 6. The obtained values, $D_f \sim 1.7$ for $D = 2$ and $D_f \sim 2.4$, match with [1], at least in the range we studied⁶.

⁶In the case of setups with directional dependence and multifractal behaviour in both radial and angular directions, there can be ambiguity in the definition of the fractal dimension, as summarised in Appendix D.




Figure 6: Fractal dimensions for $D = 2, 3$

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we derived the Fokker-Plank equation associated with the stochastic differential equation (1). We show that the system has an unusual property in that the total probability decreases with time, which is related to the jump of the particle in the vicinity of the dipole. We studied the properties of Green's function and found the modification necessary to compensate for the loss of probability, whose procedure depends on the prescription. We also noted the distinct scaling properties in the radial and angular directions. The fractal dimension, numerically observed in [1] is reproduced by combining these ideas. We hope that the dipole system, which we studied, gives an interesting example of fractional diffusion, where the loss of the probability at the singularity gives rise to a fractal behavior of the particle's trajectory.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Prof. Fukumoto for the invitation to the workshop, "Helicity and space-time symmetry – a new perspective of classical and quantum systems", October 5-8, 2021, OCAMI, Osaka City University, where a part of the result was announced. YM is partially supported by

JSPS Grant-in-Aid KAKENHI (#18K03610, JP21H05190).

A SLE, Bessel process and the Streamline by Randomly Modulated Dipole

In this section we discuss the relationship between our dipole model and the SLE. The key to this discussion is the relation between the SLE and the Bessel process. A Bessel process is a stochastic process

$$dr = \sqrt{\kappa}dB + \frac{D-1}{2}\kappa\frac{dt}{r} \quad (63)$$

in the radial direction of a D -dimensional Brownian motion

$$dr_i = \sqrt{\kappa}dB_i, \quad (64)$$

where dB, dB_i is a Wiener process, satisfying the Ito rule

$$dBdB = dt, \quad dB_i dB_j = \delta_{ij}dt, \quad (65)$$

and κ is the diffusion coefficient.

By complexifying r and performing a variable transformation as in

$$f_t(z) = z - \sqrt{\kappa}B, \quad (66)$$

we obtain

$$df_t(z) = \frac{\frac{D-1}{2}\kappa}{f_t(z) + \sqrt{\kappa}B}. \quad (67)$$

If κ is determined to take the numerator to 2 in order to get the standard form,

$$\kappa = \frac{4}{D-1} \quad (68)$$

the SLE equation

$$df_t(z) = \frac{2}{f_t(z) + \sqrt{\kappa}B}. \quad (69)$$

is obtained.

There is a relation

$$c = \frac{(8 - 3\kappa)(\kappa - 6)}{2\kappa} \quad (70)$$

between the κ of the SLE and the central charge c of the conformal field theory (for details see [10]). It is also related to the fractal dimension δ by

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{\kappa}{8} & 0 \leq \kappa \leq 8, \\ 2 & \kappa \geq 8. \end{cases} \quad (71)$$

Our dipole model is

$$dr_i = d_H \sum_j V_{ij}^{(D)} dB_j \quad (72)$$

as a stochastic process. From the Ito rule, the second power of this expression is

$$dr_i dr_j = d_H^2 \sum_k V_{ik}^{(D)} V_{kj}^{(D)} dt. \quad (73)$$

$$V_{ij}^{(D)} V_{jk}^{(D)} = \frac{1}{r^{2D}} (\delta_{ij} + D(D-2)\hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j) \quad (74)$$

Using these⁷, the radial stochastic process is

$$dr = -(D-1) \frac{d_H}{r^D} \sum_j \hat{r}_j dB_j + \frac{(D-1)}{2} \left(\frac{d_H}{r^D} \right)^2 \frac{dt}{r} \quad (77)$$

⁷

$$dr = \sum_i \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_i} dr_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} dr_i dr_j \quad (75)$$

$$= d_H \sum_{i,j} \frac{r_i}{r} V_{ij}^{(D)} dB_j + \frac{d_H^2}{2} \sum_{ijk} \frac{1}{r} (-\hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j + \delta_{ij}) V_{ik}^{(D)} V_{kj}^{(D)} dt \quad (76)$$

Using,

$$\kappa(r) = \left(\frac{d_H}{r^D}\right)^2 \quad (78)$$

the equation is

$$dr = -\sqrt{\kappa(r)}(D-1)dB + \frac{(D-1)}{2}\kappa(r)\frac{dt}{r} \quad (79)$$

$$dB \equiv \sum_i \hat{r}_i \cdot dB_i \quad (80)$$

This equation can be regarded as a Bessel process with the diffusion coefficient depending on the position. By complexifying r and performing a variable transformation as in

$$f_t(z) = z + (D-1) \int \sqrt{\kappa(z)}dB \quad (81)$$

we obtain

$$df_t(z) = \frac{\frac{D-1}{2}\kappa(z)^2}{f_t(z) - (D-1) \int \sqrt{\kappa}dB} dt \quad (82)$$

In summary, the dipole model is a generalization of the diffusion coefficient in SLE with spatial dependence.

B Dirac quantization including variable λ

In the text, we used Polyakov's approach to derive the Fokker-Planck equation. It may be of some interest to treat the auxiliary field λ as a dynamical variable. We start from the action (23). In this section, we put $d_H = 1$ for simplicity. The canonical variables are,

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{r}^i} = \lambda(V^{-2})_{ij} \frac{dr^j}{dt} \quad (83)$$

$$p_\lambda = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{\lambda}} = 0. \quad (84)$$

It implies that

$$\phi_1 \equiv p_\lambda \approx 0. \quad (85)$$

is the primary constraint. The symbol \approx implies the equality in the weak sense. We first set the Poisson bracket for the dynamical variables by,

$$\{r^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i, \quad \{\lambda, p_\lambda\} = 1. \quad (86)$$

The Hamiltonian of the system is,

$$H = p_i \frac{dr^i}{dt} - L_2 = \frac{1}{2\lambda} (V^{-2})_{ij} p^i p^j + \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (87)$$

We have to check the consistency of the constraint (85) by,

$$\dot{p}_\lambda = \{p_\lambda, H\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} (V^{-2})_{ij} p_i p_j - 1 \right) \approx 0. \quad (88)$$

We find the secondary constraint,

$$\phi_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (V^{-2})_{ij} p_i p_j - 1 \approx 0. \quad (89)$$

We note that the two constraints are not commutative,

$$\{\phi_1, \phi_2\} = \frac{2}{\lambda^3} (V^{-2})_{ij} p_i p_j \approx \frac{2}{\lambda}. \quad (90)$$

It implies that we have to modify Poisson bracket by Dirac bracket,

$$\{A, B\}_D \equiv \{A, B\} + \{\phi_1, \phi_2\}^{-1} (\{A, \phi_1\} \{\phi_2, B\} - \{A, \phi_2\} \{\phi_1, B\}) \quad (91)$$

$$= \{A, B\} + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\{A, \phi_1\} \{\phi_2, B\} - \{A, \phi_2\} \{\phi_1, B\}) \quad (92)$$

After we replace Poisson bracket by Dirac bracket, it is clear that we have no more constraints.

We compute the Dirac bracket between the dynamical variables as,

$$\{p_\lambda, \text{anything}\}_D = 0 \quad (93)$$

$$\{r^i, \lambda\}_D = \frac{1}{\lambda} (V^{-2})_{ij} p_j \quad (94)$$

$$\{p_i, \lambda\}_D = -\frac{1}{2\lambda} \partial_i (V^{-2})_{jk} p_j p_k \quad (95)$$

$$\{r^i, p_j\}_D = \delta_j^i, \quad \{r^i, r^j\}_D = \{p_i, p_j\}_D = 0 \quad (96)$$

The Hamiltonian, after the use of such constraint becomes,

$$H = \lambda + u_1\phi_1 + u_2\phi_2, \quad (97)$$

where u_1, u_2 are arbitrary functions. It looks too simple but one may confirm,

$$\frac{dr^i}{dt} = \{r^i, H\}_D = \frac{1}{\lambda}(V^{-2})^{ij}p_j, \quad (98)$$

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{2\lambda}p_j\partial_i(V^{-2})^{jk}p_k, \quad (99)$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = 0, \quad (100)$$

which are equivalent to the classical equation of motions. The last equation implies that one may put λ to be a constant, which is consistent with Polyakov's analysis.

C Derivation of Green's function

C.1 Spherically symmetric case for general D

We consider the solution that does not depend on the angular variables. For the initial value condition, we set $P(r, t = 0) = p(r)$. We write the general solution in the integral form,

$$P(r) = \int_0^\infty dk \rho(k) e^{-hk^2t/2} \left(\frac{r^{D+1}}{D^2-1}\right)^{\nu_D} J_{\nu_D} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2-1}\right), \quad (101)$$

where ν_D is given as (52). For the initial condition, it gives,

$$p(r) = \int_0^\infty dk \rho(k) \left(\frac{r^{D+1}}{D^2-1}\right)^{\nu_D} J_{\nu_D} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2-1}\right). \quad (102)$$

It is convenient to introduce a new variable, $\zeta = \frac{r^{D+1}}{D^2-1}$, and we denote $q(\zeta) = p(r(\zeta))$. One may rewrite it in the form of the Hankel transformation (49),

$$q(\zeta)\zeta^{-\nu_D} = \int_0^\infty k dk \rho(k) k^{\nu_D-1} J_{\nu_D}(k\zeta) \quad (103)$$

$$\rho(k)k^{\nu_D-1} = \int \zeta d\zeta q(\zeta)\zeta^{-\nu_D} J_{\nu_D}(k\zeta) \quad (104)$$

By rewriting the equation in the original variable r , the second equation becomes,

$$\rho(k) = \frac{1}{D-1} \left(\frac{k}{D^2-1} \right)^{\nu_D} \int_0^\infty r^{3D/2} dr J_{\nu_D} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2-1} \right) p(r). \quad (105)$$

For $p(r) = \delta(r-1)$,

$$\rho(k) = \frac{1}{D-1} \left(\frac{k}{D^2-1} \right)^{\nu_D} J_{\nu_D} \left(\frac{kr^{D+1}}{D^2-1} \right). \quad (106)$$

Plug this formula into (101) gives (51).

C.2 Green's function for $D = 2$ with angle

The general solution to (30) becomes,

$$P(r, \theta, t) = \int_0^\infty dk \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho_n(k) e^{-\frac{htk^2}{2}} \left(\frac{kr^3}{3} \right)^{2/3} J_{\nu_n} \left(\frac{kr^3}{3} \right) e^{in\theta}. \quad (107)$$

The coefficient $\rho_n(k)$ is fixed by the initial condition,

$$P(r, \theta, 0) = P_0(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dk \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho_n(k) \left(\frac{kr^3}{3} \right)^{2/3} J_{\nu_n} \left(\frac{kr^3}{3} \right) e^{in\theta}. \quad (108)$$

To obtain $\rho_n(k)$, we first use the Fourier integral,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{-in\theta} P_0(r, \theta) = p_n(r) = \int_0^\infty dk \rho_n(k) \left(\frac{kr^3}{3} \right)^{2/3} J_{\nu_n} \left(\frac{kr^3}{3} \right). \quad (109)$$

$\rho_n(k)$ is determined from the Hankel transformation as in the previous subsection with $\zeta = r^3/3$.

$$\rho_n(k) = (k/3)^{1/3} \int_0^\infty dr r^3 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{-in\theta} P_0(r, \theta) J_{\nu_n}(kr^3/3) \quad (110)$$

Plug it into (107) gives the solution of the FP equation.

In particular, it will be useful to apply the formula to the initial condition,

$$P_0(r, t) = \delta(r - 1)\delta(\theta). \quad (111)$$

The corresponding solution play the role of Green's function. Eq.(110) gives,

$$\rho_n(k) = (k/3)^{1/3} J_{\nu_n}(k/3). \quad (112)$$

Eq.(107) gives (53).

C.3 Green's function for $D = 3$ with angle

From the analysis of section 3.1, the eigenfunction of \mathcal{K} for $D = 3$ is,

$$\mathcal{K} = r^{-8} \left(4(r^2 \partial_r^2 - 4r \partial_r) + \hat{\Omega} \right), \quad \mathcal{K} \psi_{klm} = -k^2 \psi_{klm} \quad (113)$$

$$\psi_{klm}(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = r^{\frac{5}{2}} J_{\nu_\ell} \left(\frac{kr^4}{8} \right) Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi), \quad \nu_\ell = \frac{\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)+25}}{8}, \quad (114)$$

where $Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$ ($l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $m = -l, -l+1, \dots, l$) is the spherical harmonics satisfying $\hat{\Omega} Y_{lm} = -l(l+1) Y_{lm}$.

The general solution to (30) is a linear combination of them,

$$P(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = \int_0^\infty dk \sum_{l,m} \rho_{lm}(k) e^{-\frac{htk^2}{2}} \left(\frac{kr^4}{8} \right)^{5/8} J_{\nu_\ell} \left(\frac{kr^4}{8} \right) Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) \quad (115)$$

where $\rho_{lm}(k)$ is an arbitrary function, which is determined by the initial value problem.

$$P(r, \theta, \varphi, 0) = P_0(r, \theta, \varphi) = \int_0^\infty dk \sum_{lm} \rho_{lm}(k) \left(\frac{kr^4}{4} \right)^{5/8} J_{\nu_\ell} \left(\frac{kr^4}{4} \right) Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi). \quad (116)$$

The derivation of $\rho_{lm}(k)$ from the initial data is completely parallel to the 2D case, ($d^2\omega = \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi$)

$$\rho_{lm}(k) = (k/8)^{3/8} \int_0^\infty dr r^{9/2} \int d^2\omega P_0(r, \theta, \varphi) J_{\nu_\ell}(kr^4/8) Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi). \quad (117)$$

To derive Green's function, one uses the initial condition localized at $r = 1$ and $\theta = 0$, namely the north pole.⁸ If we start from such an initial value, the solution should be homogeneous in φ direction, which implies that $\rho_{lm} = 0$ for $m \neq 0$. We use $Y_{l0}(0, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}$ to obtain the explicit formula for Green's function (55).

D Fractal dimension

In this appendix, we discuss the fractal dimension⁹.

D.1 Box Dimension

Let $V = L^d$ be the whole space, and divide the space into sections of length l . Let

$$M = \frac{L^d}{l^d} \quad (118)$$

be the number of divisions. Suppose there are Z points in total¹⁰. The number of points in the i -th cell is

$$Z_l(i). \quad (119)$$

The probability that a point is in the i -th cell is

$$p_l(i) = \frac{Z_l(i)}{Z}. \quad (120)$$

Let

$$Z_l = \sum_i \theta(p_l(i)) \quad (121)$$

⁸To be precise, one may use a distribution $P_0(r, \theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(r-1) \hat{\delta}(\theta)$ with $\hat{\delta}(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta \neq 0$ and $\int_0^\pi \sin \theta \hat{\delta}(\theta) = 1$.

⁹See [11][12] for more details.

¹⁰These symbols are used in order to be aware of the similarities with statistical mechanics.

be the number of cells if there is at least one point in a cell. We assume the following power relation

$$Z_{\lambda l} = \lambda^{F_0} Z_l \quad (122)$$

where F_0 is called Box dimension(fractal dimension). If we set $\lambda \rightarrow l, l \rightarrow 1$,

$$Z_l \propto l^{F_0}. \quad (123)$$

D.2 Case of position-dependent scale transformation

If we take the size l to be small enough, the distribution will have a power relation.

$$p_{\lambda l}(i) = \lambda^{S(i)} p_l(i) \quad (124)$$

where $S(i)$ is called the singularity exponent or Lipschitz-Hölder exponent¹¹. As in the previous section, we introduce

$$p_l(i) \propto l^{S(i)}, \quad (125)$$

$$Z_l(i) \propto l^{S(i)}. \quad (126)$$

We now define

$$B_S(l) = \{i | S(i) = S\} \quad (127)$$

to be the region with the same scale dimension. The number of elements of $B_S(l)$ is

$$Z_S(l) = \#B_S(l) = \sum_{\{i | p_l(i) \neq 0\}} \delta(S(i) - S). \quad (128)$$

From the above, Z_l can be written as

$$Z_l = \int dS Z_S(l). \quad (129)$$

¹¹This S, together with T, which appears next, plays the role of entropy and temperature in thermodynamics.

If $Z_S(l)$ satisfies a power law, then using scale dimension $E(S)$,

$$Z_S(\lambda l) \equiv \lambda^{E(S)} Z_S(l). \quad (130)$$

From this,

$$Z_S(l) \propto l^{E(S)}, \quad (131)$$

$$Z_l \propto \int dS l^{E(S)}. \quad (132)$$

It follows that if $E(S)$ is constant, then it corresponds to the box dimension F_0 .

$$Z_l \propto l^{F_0} \quad (133)$$

D.3 MultiFractal dimension

The box-counting we have seen so far ignores the possible fluctuations (or intermittency) of the probability in a cell. In order to take into account the stochastic contribution, we take into account the “temperature”. The corresponding “free energy” is calculated.

For the Z_l already defined, we introduce the following partition function which coincides with Z_l at $T = 0$.

$$Z_l(T) \equiv \sum_i p_i(i)^T \theta(p_l(i)) \quad (134)$$

Let us assume that this partition function also has a power law, using scale dimension $F(S)$,

$$Z_{\lambda l}(T) = \lambda^{F(T)} Z_l(T). \quad (135)$$

Then,

$$Z_l(T) = l^{F(T)}. \quad (136)$$

From the definition,

$$Z_l(T) = \sum_i a(i) l^{TS(i)} \theta(p_l(i)) \quad (137)$$

$$= \int dS l^{TS} \sum_i a(i) \delta(S(i) - S) \theta(p_l(i)) = \int dS l^{TS} Z_S(l) \quad (138)$$

$$= \int dS l^{TS - E(S)} \quad (139)$$

where $a(i)$ is the weight as a coefficient of the power law.

Thus, by the saddle point approximation, the free energy is

$$F(T) = \min_S (TS - E(S)). \quad (140)$$

In multifractal terms, T is q and the free energy is called the generalised dimension;

$$F(q) = \frac{D_q}{q - 1} \quad (141)$$

D.4 Correlation function and fractal dimension

Here we explain how we calculate the fractal dimension used in this paper.

Instead of the partition function, we have considered the two point correlation function in this paper,

$$Z_l \rightarrow \langle (\Delta \vec{r}(t))^2 \rangle. \quad (142)$$

Corresponding to the cells are the directional components; $i = r, \theta$.

The scale dimension is assumed to be different in different directions.

$$\Delta_r \propto t^{S_r} \quad (143)$$

$$\Delta_\theta \propto t^{S_\theta} \quad (144)$$

The temperature is introduced according to the multifractal technique,

$$\langle \Delta \vec{r}(t)^T \rangle = Z_t(T) = \sum_{i=r,\theta} a(i) t^{TS(i)} \theta(p_i(t)) \quad (145)$$

$$= \int dS t^{TS} \sum_{i=r,\theta} a(i) \delta(S(i) - S) \theta(p_i(t)) \quad (146)$$

$$= \int dS t^{TS} Z_S(t) = \int dS t^{TS-E(S)}. \quad (147)$$

From saddle point approximation, we obtain

$$F(T) = \min_S (TS - E(S)). \quad (148)$$

In this paper, we consider the fractal dimension as a response of spatial scales by the time scale transformations as

$$t = \Delta x_T^{\frac{1}{F(T)}} \equiv \Delta x_T^{D_F} \quad (149)$$

$$\Delta x_T \equiv \langle \Delta \vec{r}(t)^T \rangle^{\frac{1}{T}} \quad (150)$$

and $T = 2$. In the paper, $S(i)$ is referred to as H_i .

Note that the fractal dimension is defined differently from the fractal dimension calculated in the companion paper. There, cells are space separators and the mesh size l is used instead of t .

Whether the dimension obtained from the correlation function coincides with that obtained from the box counting method depends on the problem to which we apply them, but both results coincide for normal fractal structures such as Brownian motion.

References

- [1] Noriaki Aibara, Naoaki Fujimoto, So Katagiri, Yutaka Matsuo, Yoshiaki Matsuoka, Akio Sugamoto, Ken Yokoyama, and Tsukasa Yumibayashi, “Anomalous diffusion in a randomly modulated velocity field”, arXiv:2201.04897 (2022).
- [2] L. Onsager and S. Machlup, *Phys. Rev.* 91, 1505 (1953).
N. Hashitsume, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 8, 461 (1952).
N. Hashitsume, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 15, 369 (1956).
see also, N. Aibara, N. Fujimoto, S. Katagiri, M. Saitou, A. Sugamoto, T. Yamamoto, and T. Yumibayashi, *PTEP* 073A02 (2019).
- [3] Ben O’Shaughnessy and Itamar Procaccia, ”Analytical solutions for diffusion on fractal objects.” *Physical Review Letters* 54.5 (1985): 455.
Isabel Tamara Pedron, et al. ”Nonlinear anomalous diffusion equation and fractal dimension: Exact generalized Gaussian solution.” *Physical Review E* 65.4 (2002): 041108.
- [4] M. F. Shleinger, J. Klafter, B. J. West, “Levy walks with applications to turbulence and chaos”, *Physica* 140A (1986) 212-218.
- [5] G. F. Lawler, ”Conformal Invariant Process in the Plane”, Lecture note 2002;
G. F. Lawler ”Notes on Bessel Process”, October 2019,
<http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~lawler/bessel18new.pdf>
- [6] A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge Fields and Strings”, harwood academic publications (1987) Chapter 9.
- [7] O. Schramm, Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, *Israel J. Math.* 118, 221 (2000); arXiv: math.PR/9904022.

- [8] Ilya A. Gruzberg, “Stochastic geometry of critical curves, Schramm-Loewner evolutions and conformal field theory.” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 39.41 (2006): 12601.
- [9] D. Bernard, G. Boffetta, A. Celani, and G. Falkovich, *Nature Phys.*, 2(2), 124-128 (2006).
G. Falkovich, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(12), 12300 (2009).
L. Puggioni, A. G. Kritsuk, S. Musacchio, and G. Boffetta, *Phys. Rev. E*, 102(2), 023107 (2020).
- [10] J. Cardy, ”SLE for theoretical physicists.” *Annals of Physics* 318.1 (2005): 81-118.
Michel Bauer and Denis Bernard. ”2D growth processes: SLE and Loewner chains.” *Physics reports* 432.3-4 (2006): 115-221.
- [11] S. Havlin and Y. Ben Avraham, *Diffusion and Reactions in Fractals and Disordered Systems*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000)
- [12] J. W. Kantelhardt, S. A. Zschiegner, E. Koscielny-Bunde, S. Havlin, A. Bunde, and H. E. Stanley, *Physica A* 316, 87 (2002).