SUPERDIFFUSION TRANSITION FOR A PHONON BOLTZMANN EQUATION

$\rm GA\ddot{E}TAN$ $\rm CANE$

[GAETANCANE.CH](HTTPS://GAETANCANE.CH/)

Abstract. We consider an infinite harmonic chain of charged particles submitted to the action of a magnetic field of intensity B and subject to the action of a stochastic noise conserving the energy. In [\[21\]](#page-36-0) it has been proved that if $B = 0$ the transport of energy is described by a 3/4-fractional diffusion while it has been proved in [\[31\]](#page-37-0) that if $B \neq 0$ it is described by a 5/6-fractional diffusion. In [\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0) the authors used a two step argument, i.e. they first proved that the kinetic limit of the Wigner distribution is the solution of a phonon Boltzmann equation and then proved that this solution converges to the solution of a fractional diffusion equation with exponent $3/4$ if $B = 0$ (see [\[21\]](#page-36-0)) and exponent $5/6$ if $B \neq 0$ (see [\[31\]](#page-37-0)). In this paper we quantify the intensity of the magnetic field required to switch from one macroscopic regime to the other one from the phonon Boltzmann equation. We also describe the transition mechanism to cross the two different phases.

CONTENTS

CAETAN CANE [GAETANCANE.CH](HTTPS://GAETANCANE.CH/)

1. Introduction

Since the seminal numerical experiments of Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou in 1953 [\[15\]](#page-36-3), the understanding of energy transport in very long anharmonic chains of coupled oscillators attracted a lot of attention but still remains a fascinating challenging open problem in mathematical physics. During the two last decades many researchers have been interested to the one dimensional case for which the energy transport is anomalous (we refer the reader to the physical reviews [\[16,](#page-36-4) [26\]](#page-37-1)). The most elaborated theory to describe the form of this anomalous transport is probably the recent nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics theory initiated by Spohn [\[33,](#page-37-2) [34\]](#page-37-3) which predicts, for interacting particle systems with several conserved quantities (like energy, momentum etc.) and local interactions, several different universality classes containing not only the famous KPZ universality class [\[29\]](#page-37-4) but also many fractional diffusion classes, apart from the standard Edwards-Wilkinson class (i.e. normal diffusion class). The theory is macroscopic and based on formal arguments starting from the hydrodynamic equations associated to the interacting particle system under investigation. Unfortunately its validity for systems with more than one conservation law has been proved rigorously only for few stochastic models $[5, 9, 22]$ $[5, 9, 22]$ $[5, 9, 22]$ $[5, 9, 22]$. It has also to be noticed that while the link between the KPZ universality class and the Edwards-Wilkinson universality class is provided by the now well understood KPZ equation [\[19,](#page-36-8) [20\]](#page-36-9), almost nothing is known about the potential equations connecting the other universality classes, even at some heuristic level.

The system we are interested to belongs to the class of systems introduced in [\[18\]](#page-36-10), revisited with the heat conduction problem perspective in $[2, 3, 10]$ $[2, 3, 10]$ $[2, 3, 10]$ $[2, 3, 10]$, and studied since in several subsequent works by various authors (see e.g. [\[1\]](#page-36-14) and [\[25\]](#page-37-5) for some reviews). In this paper we consider the model of [\[31\]](#page-37-0) (introduced first in [\[30\]](#page-37-6)), i.e. a harmonic chain of charged particles submitted to a magnetic field and a stochastic exchange of velocity between neighbor sites. Each particle is labeled by its rest position x in $\mathbb Z$ and lives in the two dimensional space $\mathbb R^2$. Its displacement from its rest position is denoted by $q(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, its velocity by $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and its energy by $e(x)$. The magnetic field of intensity B is constant and orthogonal to the plan of motion of the chain. A picture of the model is given in Fig. [1.](#page-1-1) The equations of motion of the deterministic system are then given for any time t and i in $\{1,2\}$ by

(1)
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}q_i(t,x) = p_i(t,x),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}p_i(t,x) = \Delta_d [q_i(t,x)] + \delta_{i,1}Bp_2(t,x) - \delta_{i,2}Bp_1(t,x),
$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol and Δ_d is the discrete^{[1](#page-1-2)} Laplacian on Z. The total energy E , which is conserved by the dynamics, is given by

$$
E = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |p(x)|^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |q(x) - q(x+1)|^2 \right).
$$

We superpose to the deterministic dynamics [\(1\)](#page-1-1) a stochastic noise which exchanges continuously the velocities of nearest neighbor particles. The noise conserves the total energy of the chain and **the total pseudo-momentum**^{[2](#page-1-3)}. The goal of this paper is to understand the mechanism to cross different universality classes by varying the intensity of the magnetic field.

Case without magnetic field, i.e. $B = 0$. In order to study the macroscopic evolution of the energy the authors of [\[4\]](#page-36-15), following [\[32\]](#page-37-7), introduced the Wigner distribution $\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}$ (defined in Sec. [3.4\)](#page-8-0) in the time scale $t\varepsilon^{-1}$, and in the weak noise limit, i.e. the intensity of the noise is of order ε . Here ε is a space scaling parameter, i.e. the lattice $\mathbb Z$ is rescaled in

¹We recall that for a function f defined on $\mathbb Z$ the discrete Laplacian of f is defined for any x in $\mathbb Z$ by $\Delta_d [f(x)] = f(x+1) + f(x-1) - 2f(x).$

²We recall that the pseudo-momentum of particle x is $p(x) + B\sigma q(x)$ where $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $B = 0$ the pseudo-momentum coincides with the velocity. If $B \neq 0$, the velocity is not conserved by the Hamiltonian dynamics.

FIGURE 1. Picture of the model studied.

 $\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}$, and going to zero. The Wigner distribution is a kind of localized Fourier transform of the space correlations of the eigenmodes, also called phonons, of the purely deterministic harmonic chain (the presence of the stochastic noise couple their time evolutions which become then non trivial). Each eigenmode is labeled by a $k \in \mathbb{T}$, the continuous torus of length one. For each time t, $W^{\varepsilon}(t)$ is a distribution acting on a class of test functions $J:(u,k)\in (\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})\rightarrow J(u,k)\in\mathbb{C}$. To get some intuition on the relevance of the Wigner distribution for the study of the macroscopic behavior of the energy, we observe that if $J:(u,k)\in (\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T})\to \tilde{J}(u)\in \mathbb{R}$ is a test function not depending on the k variable, we have

$$
\langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(t), J \rangle = \varepsilon \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[e \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, x \right) \right] \tilde{J}(\varepsilon x) + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) ,
$$

where $e(t, x)$ is the value of the energy of the particle x at time t, μ^{ε} the initial distribution of the dynamics and $\mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon)$ is an error term which depends on the test function J and goes to zero when ε goes to zero. From the previous equation it is clear that if we want to understand the macroscopic behavior of the energy when ε goes to zero we have to understand the behavior of $\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

In [\[4\]](#page-36-15) it is proved that at kinetic time scale ε^{-1} , the Wigner distribution $\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}$ converges to the unique solution $f_0(t, u, k, i)$, of the following phonon linear Boltzmann equation

(2)
$$
\partial_t f_0(t, u, k, i) + \frac{\mathbf{v}_0(k)}{2\pi} \partial_u f_0(t, u, k, i) = \mathcal{L}_0[f_0](t, u, k, i) ,
$$

with

(3)
$$
\mathcal{L}_0[f_0](t, u, k, i) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} R_0(k, k', i, j) [f_0(t, u, k', j) - f_0(t, u, k, i)] dk'.
$$

Here, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ represents the position along the chain after the kinetic limit, $t \geq 0$ the time and $k \in \mathbb{T}$ the wave number of a phonon whereas i is the type of phonon. \mathcal{L}_0 is a collisional operator due to by the noise introduced on the system and \mathbf{v}_0 is the group velocity.

In [\[4\]](#page-36-15), the authors studied a system where the particles live in $\mathbb R$ instead of $\mathbb R^2$ as presented in this introduction. As a consequence, the Boltzmann equation obtained in $[4]$ does not depend on the variables (i, j) . However, following the proof performed in [\[4\]](#page-36-15) we can derive Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) for particles living in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Since $R_0(k, k', i, j)$ is positive we can interpret the solution of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) as the evolution of the density of a continuous time Markov process $(Z_0(\cdot), K_0(\cdot), I_0(\cdot))$. Here $(K_0(\cdot), I_0(\cdot)) \in$ $\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}$ is the pure jump Markovian process with generator given by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-1) and $Z_0(\cdot)$ is the additive functional defined for any positive time t by

(4)
$$
Z_0(t) = -\int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{v}_0(K_0(s))}{2\pi} ds.
$$

Using this interpretation, the authors of [\[21\]](#page-36-0) proved first that the finite-dimensional distributions of $N^{-1}Z_0\left(N^{\frac{3}{2}}\cdot\right)$ converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of a Lévy process

generated (up to a constant) by $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{4}}$. In a second time, they used the previous result to show that $f_0\left(N^{\frac{3}{2}}t, Nu, \cdot\right)$ converges in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$ (see Theorem [4.6\)](#page-12-0) to the unique solution ρ_0 , of the following fractional diffusion equation

(5)
$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \partial_t \rho_0(t, u) = -D \left(-\Delta\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} [\rho_0](t, u) ,
$$

where D is a strictly positive constant.

As we explained for the results in [\[4\]](#page-36-15), in [\[21\]](#page-36-0) the Boltzmann equation studied does not depend on (i, j) but following the proof of [\[21\]](#page-36-0) we can derive the fractional diffusion equation [\(5\)](#page-3-0) from the Boltzmann equation [\(2\)](#page-2-0).

Hence, this two-step argument which consists to first prove the convergence of the Wigner distribution to the unique solution f_0 of a phonon Boltzmann equation and then the convergence of f_0 to the solution ρ_0 of a fractional Laplacian equation gives the nature of the superdiffusion of energy proved in [\[3\]](#page-36-12). Fractional diffusion equations can also be derived from some Boltzmann equations by purely analysis arguments, see for example [\[12,](#page-36-16) [13,](#page-36-17) [28\]](#page-37-8) and references therein for more details . In 2015, by investigating further the time evolution of the Wigner distribution in a longer time scale, the authors of $[22]$, proved that we can obtain in one step Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-0) from the microscopic model in a suitable time scale. The results above are consistent with the predictions of the nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics theory of Spohn.

Case with a magnetic field $B \neq 0$. By following the strategy initiated in [\[4,](#page-36-15) [21\]](#page-36-0), the authors of [\[31\]](#page-37-0) proved that at kinetic time scale ε^{-1} the Wigner distribution converges to the unique solution $f_B(t, u, k, i)$, of a phonon linear Boltzmann equation of the same form as in Eq. (2)

(6)
$$
\partial_t f_B(t, u, k, i) + \frac{\mathbf{v}_B(k)}{2\pi} \partial_u f_B(t, u, k, i) = \mathcal{L}_B[f_B](t, u, k, i) ,
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{L}_B[f_B](t, u, k, i) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} R_B(k, k', i, j) \left[f_B(t, u, k', j) - f_B(t, u, k, i) \right] dk'.
$$

However, because of the presence of the magnetic field, as it is explained in the introduction of [\[31\]](#page-37-0), the group velocity \mathbf{v}_B and the scattering kernel $R_B(k, i) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} R_B(k, k', i, j) dk' \delta_j(i)$ have different behavior when k goes to zero. Indeed when B is positive we have for k near to zero

 $\mathbf{v}_B(k) \sim k$, $R_B(k, 1) \sim k^2$ and $R_B(k, 2) \sim k^4$,

whereas in the Boltzmann equation [\(2\)](#page-2-0) studied in [\[21\]](#page-36-0) $R_0(k, k', i, j)$ does not depend on i and i and satisfies when k is close to zero

$$
\mathbf{v}_0(k) \sim 1
$$
 and $R_0(k) \sim k^2$ where $R_0(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} R_0(k, k', i, j) dk'$.

This difference has a drastic effect on the energy transport properties of the chain moving the chain from one universality class to an other one. Indeed, the energy superdiffusion is still described by a fractional diffusion equation as in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-0) but with a different exponent. Following [\[21\]](#page-36-0) it is proved in [\[31\]](#page-37-0) that the finite-dimensional distributions of $N^{-1}Z_B\left(N^{\frac{5}{3}}\right)$ $N^{-1}Z_B\left(N^{\frac{5}{3}}\right)$ $N^{-1}Z_B\left(N^{\frac{5}{3}}\right)$ converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of a Lévy process generated (up to a constant) by $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{5}{6}}$ which implies the convergence of $f_B\left(N^{\frac{5}{3}}t, Nu, \cdot\right)$ in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$ to the unique solution ρ_B of the following fractional diffusion equation

(7)
$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \partial_t \rho_B(t, u) = -D_B \left(-\Delta\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} \left[\rho_B\right](t, u) ,
$$

³Here Z_B is defined as Z_0 in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-2) with \mathbf{v}_0 replaced by \mathbf{v}_B .

where D_B is a strictly positive constant. Notice that since the hydrodynamic limits of this chain are trivial in the Euler time scale, the nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics theory of Spohn does not give any prediction for this model.

Contribution. The aim of this paper is to study the transition between the study of $[21]$ and the one of [\[31\]](#page-37-0). As we have seen, the presence of the magnetic field moves the model from the 3/4-fractional universality class to the 5/6-fractional universality class and it makes sense to ask if we can quantify the intensity of the magnetic field necessary to cross from one universality class to some other one, and to understand what is the mechanism occurring at the transition. As far as we know, while very interesting, these questions did not receive answer, even at some heuristic level. We notice however that in [\[6\]](#page-36-18) and [\[7,](#page-36-19) [8\]](#page-36-20) are obtained results describing two transition mechanisms between standard diffusion universality class and fractional diffusion universality class in a Hamiltonian system stochastically perturbed and having two conserved quantities. We would like to mention that a family of fractional diffusion equations have been derived from stochastic harmonic chains with long-range interactions by Suda in [\[35\]](#page-37-9).

In the first instance we introduce a small magnetic field of intensity $B\varepsilon^{\delta}$ with $\delta > 0$ and $B \neq 0$. We prove first (see Theorem [5.1\)](#page-13-1) that at the kinetic time scale of order ε^{-1} the transition is trivial in the sense that for $\delta = 0$ the Wigner distribution converges to the Boltzmann equation of [\[31\]](#page-37-0) (i.e. Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2)) and for $\delta > 0$ the Wigner distribution converges to the one of $[4]$ (i.e. Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0)). We believe however that the effect of the small magnetic field could be seen in a longer time scale.

Therefore, in a second time, we study the hydrodynamic limit of the solution f_{B_N} of the Boltzmann equation [\(6\)](#page-3-2) when B is changed to $B_N := BN^{-\delta}$ with δ in \mathbb{R}^+ and $B \neq 0$. Let $β_δ$ defines as follows

$$
\beta_\delta=\frac{3}{2} \quad \text{if} \quad \delta\geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\delta=\frac{5-\delta}{3} \quad \text{for} \quad \delta\leq \frac{1}{2} \ .
$$

We prove, in Theorem [5.7](#page-15-0) that the finite-dimensional distributions of $N^{-1}Z_{B_N}$ $(N^{\beta_{\delta}})$ converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of a Lévy process generated (up to a constant) by an operator \mathcal{L}_{δ} whose action on smooth functions ϕ which decay sufficiently fast is defined as follows

(8)
$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\delta}[\phi] \ (u) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -(-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{4}}[\phi] \ (u) & \text{if} \quad \delta > \frac{1}{2} \ , \\ & \\ \mathfrak{L}_{B}[\phi] \ (u) & \text{if} \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2} \ , \\ & \\ -(-\Delta)^{\frac{5}{6}}[\phi] \ (u) & \text{if} \quad \delta < \frac{1}{2} \ , \end{array} \right.
$$

with \mathfrak{L}_B defined in Eq. [\(66\)](#page-16-1). From Theorem [5.7,](#page-15-0) we prove then in Theorem [5.9](#page-16-0) that $f_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t, Nu, \cdot)$ converges to the unique solution ρ_{δ} of the following integro-differential equation

(9)
$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall t \in]0, T[, \quad \partial_t \rho_{\delta}(t, u) = \mathfrak{L}_{\delta} [\rho_{\delta}] (t, u) .
$$

Finally, we prove in Theorem [5.11](#page-17-1) that, up to a constant, $\mathfrak{L}_B \to -(-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{4}}$ when $B \to 0$ and $\mathfrak{L}_B \to -(-\Delta)^{\frac{5}{6}}$ when $B \to \infty$. Hence, \mathfrak{L}_B is the infinitesimal generator of a Lévy process which interpolates between the two fractional universality classes.

These results are summarized in Fig. [2.](#page-5-1) On the horizontal axis, δ represents the intensity of the magnetic field and on the vertical axis β_{δ} represents the exponent of the scaling in time we have to do in order to obtain the hydrodynamic limit of f_{B_N} .

Structure of the paper. In Sec. [2,](#page-5-0) we precise the notations of the paper. In Sec. [3,](#page-6-0) we present the microscopic dynamics and the Wigner distribution. In Sec. [4,](#page-9-0) we recall the historical results obtained in [\[4,](#page-36-15) [21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0). In Sec. [5,](#page-13-0) we state the main results of this paper

FIGURE 2. Transition graph.

which are proved in Sec. [6.](#page-17-0) In order to make the reading easier, intermediate results are shown in the Appendices.

2. NOTATIONS

Let a and b be two positive real numbers, we will write $a \lesssim b$ when there exists a positive constant C such that $a \leq Cb$. The conjugate of a complex number z will be denoted by z^* and i will denote the complex number of modulus 1. We will denote the one dimensional torus $\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right[$ by \mathbb{T} , the euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n by $\left|\cdot\right|$ and the set of natural numbers by ^{[4](#page-5-2)} N. In order to lighten the notations we will denote $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{0\}$ by \mathbb{R}^* and \mathbb{T}^* respectively.

If X is a topological space we denote the Borelian σ -field of X by $\mathcal{B}(X)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}([0,T], X)$ the set of X-valued functions on $[0,T]$, by $\mathcal{C}([0,T], X)$ the subspace of X-valued continuous functions on $[0, T]$ and by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{b}}([0, T], X)$ the subspace of X-valued bounded continuous functions on [0, T]. Let n in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the space of R-valued functions on X with compact support and n times differentiable is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{c}}^{n}(X)$. The space of R- valued càdlàg functions on $[0, T]$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.

For f in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, we define its (discrete) Fourier transform $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\forall k \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \widehat{f}(k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x) \exp(2\mathbf{i}k\pi x) .
$$

As usual we extend this notation for all functions in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. In order to study the Wigner distribution, defined in Sec. [3.4,](#page-8-0) we introduce the set of test functions S given by

$$
\mathcal{S} := \left\{ H \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \middle| \forall (n, m, l) \in \mathbb{N}^3, \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (1 + u)^l \partial_k^n \partial_u^m H(u, k) \right| < \infty \right\}.
$$

For any H in S , we denote its (continuous) Fourier transform in the first variable by $\mathcal{F}[H]:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{C}$ where

$$
\forall (p,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \mathcal{F}[H](p,k) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(u,k) \exp(2\mathbf{i}\pi pu) \ du.
$$

The set S is stable under the action of \mathcal{F} .

In the whole paper, for H in S, we denote the Laplacian of H in the first variable by Δ [H] and for β in (1, 2) the fractional Laplacian of H in the first variable by $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ [H] where we recall that for any p in $\mathbb R$ and k in $\mathbb T$

$$
\mathcal{F}[\Delta[H]](p,k) = -(2\pi p)^2 \mathcal{F}[H](p,k) .
$$

 4 Here N, includes 0.

$$
\mathcal{F}\left[-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\left[H\right]\right](p,k)=-|2\pi p|^{\beta}\mathcal{F}\left[H\right](p,k).
$$

The space $S \times S$ is equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$
\forall J := (J_1, J_2) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \quad ||J|| = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_k |\mathcal{F}[J_i](p, k)| dp.
$$

Thespace $(S \times S, \|\cdot\|)$ is then a separable space ([\[27,](#page-37-10) p. 572]). We denote by $(S \times S)'$ the dual of $S \times S$ for the weak-* topology (we refer the reader to Sec. [3.4](#page-8-0) for a precise definition). For W in $(S \times S)'$ and J in $S \times S$ we denote by $\langle W, J \rangle$ the duality bracket between W and J.

Throughout the article, the random variables will be defined on an underlying probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and T will denote a fixed positive time.

3. Microscopic dynamics

In this section, we define the microscopic dynamics studied in this paper. This dynamic was first introduced in $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ without a magnetic field and later in $[30, 31]$ $[30, 31]$ with a magnetic field.

3.1. Deterministic dynamics. We consider a one dimensional chain of coupled harmonic oscillators each having two transverse degrees of freedom and subject to the action of a magnetic field perpendicular to the plane of motion. At rest, the atoms are aligned according to the lattice $\mathbb Z$ and each x in $\mathbb Z$ represents the balance position of one atom. We denote the velocity of the atom with rest position x in \mathbb{Z} by $p(x) = (p_1(x), p_2(x))$ in \mathbb{R}^2 and the displacement from its rest position by $q(x) = (q_1(x), q_2(x))$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . We denote the strength of the magnetic field by B in $\mathbb R$. The deterministic dynamics is defined at any positive time t and for any i in $\{1,2\}$ by

(10)
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}q_i(t,x) = p_i(t,x) ,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}p_i(t,x) = \Delta_d [q_i(t,x)] + \delta_{i,1}Bp_2(t,x) - \delta_{i,2}Bp_1(t,x) ,
$$

where Δ_d denotes the discrete Laplacian on Z. We denote a typical configuration of the system by $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) := (q(x), p(x))_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the configuration over time by $\{(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ 0)} := $\{(q(x,t), p(x,t))_{x\in\mathbb{Z}} | t \ge 0\}$. The initial configuration $(q(x,0), p(x,0))_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is denoted by $(\mathbf{q}^0, \mathbf{p}^0)$.

Let α be the function defined on $\mathbb Z$ by

$$
\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha(z) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } z = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } |z| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Observe that

(11)
$$
\widehat{\alpha}(k) = 4\sin^2(\pi k) ,
$$

with $\hat{\alpha}(0) = \hat{\alpha}'(0) = 0$ and $\hat{\alpha}''(0) = 8\pi^2$.
The infinitesimal generator of this Mark

The infinitesimal generator of this Markovian dynamics is given by $A + BG$ where for every smooth and local complex-valued functions^{[5](#page-6-1)} ϕ we have

$$
A[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_i(x) \partial_{q_i(x)}[\phi] + \sum_{x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha(x - x') q_i(x') \partial_{p_i(x)}[\phi] \right) .
$$

\n
$$
G[\phi] = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (p_2(x) \partial_{p_1(x)}[\phi] - p_1(x) \partial_{p_2(x)}[\phi]) .
$$

⁵Here smooth and local means that for any i in $\{1,2\}$, ϕ depends only on a finite number of the sequence $(q_i(x), p_i(x))_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and is smooth with respect to these coordinates.

We denote by $E(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ the total energy of the configuration (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) where

(12)
$$
E(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |p(x)|^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |q(x) - q(x+1)|^2 \right).
$$

In the whole paper, we will study only configurations (q, p) with finite total energy. Observe that the energy is conserved during the time evolution, i.e.

(13)
$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \frac{d}{dt} E(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) = 0.
$$

3.2. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the deterministic dynamics. We define on T the following real valued functions

(14)
$$
\omega_{1,B}(k) = \sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k) + \frac{B^2}{4}} + \frac{B}{2}
$$
 and $\omega_{2,B}(k) = \sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k) + \frac{B^2}{4}} - \frac{B}{2}$,

(15)
$$
\forall i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \theta_{i,B}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{i,B}(k)}{\omega_{1,B}(k) + \omega_{2,B}(k)}},
$$

(16)
$$
\mathbf{v}_B(k) := \frac{d\omega_{1,B}}{dk}(k) = \frac{d\omega_{2,B}}{dk}(k) = \frac{\widehat{\alpha}'(k)}{2\sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k) + \frac{B^2}{4}}},
$$

where k is in T. Observe that for any i in $\{1,2\}$, $\theta_{i,B}$ is a bounded function.

For every configuration (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) we define a couple $(\hat{\psi}_{1,B}, \hat{\psi}_{2,B}) : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ by

(17)
$$
\widehat{\psi}_{1,B}[\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}](k) := \theta_{1,B}(k) [\widehat{p}_1(k) - \mathbf{i}\omega_{2,B}(k)\widehat{q}_1(k) + \mathbf{i}\widehat{p}_2(k) + \omega_{2,B}(k)\widehat{q}_2(k)].
$$

(18)
$$
\widehat{\psi}_{2,B}[\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}](k) := \theta_{2,B}(k) [\widehat{p}_1(k) - \mathbf{i}\omega_{1,B}(k)\widehat{q}_1(k) - \mathbf{i}\widehat{p}_2(k) - \omega_{1,B}(k)\widehat{q}_2(k)].
$$

In order to lighten the notations, for any positive time t, k in $\mathbb T$ and i in $\{1,2\}$ we will denote $\psi_{i,B}[q(t),p(t)](k)$ by $\psi_i(t,k)$.

Lemma 3.1. We have that for each k in $\mathbb T$ and each i in $\{1,2\}$, $\hat{\psi}_{i,B}(k)$ is an eigenvector of $(A + BG)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $-i \omega_{i,B}(k)$, i.e.

$$
\forall i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad (A + BG) \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i,B} \right](k) = -\mathbf{i} \,\omega_{i,B}(k) \widehat{\psi}_{i,B}(k) .
$$

Proof. Let i in $\{1.2\}$, k in $\mathbb T$ and t in $[0, T]$, using Eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-2), Eq. [\(17\)](#page-7-0) and Eq. [\(18\)](#page-7-1) we can prove that

(19)
$$
\partial_t \widehat{\psi}_i(t,k) = -\mathbf{i}\omega_{i,B}(k)\widehat{\psi}_i(t,k) .
$$

This concludes the proof. \Box

As it is explained in [\[31,](#page-37-0) Section 3.2], we normalised $\psi_{1/2,B}$ in Eq. [\(17\)](#page-7-0) and in Eq. [\(18\)](#page-7-1) by $\theta_{1,2}$ in order to have the following equality

$$
E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\left| \widehat{\psi}_1(t,k) \right|^2 + \left| \widehat{\psi}_2(t,k) \right|^2 \right) dk.
$$

3.3. Stochastic dynamics. We introduce now the local stochastic perturbation which is defined through its infinitesimal generator S whose action on any smooth and local complex valued functions ϕ is given by

$$
S\left[\phi\right] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{2} \left(Y_{x,x+1}^{i,j}\right)^2 \left[\phi\right] ,
$$

where

$$
Y_{x,x+1}^{i,j} = (p_j(x+1) - p_j(x))(\partial_{p_i(x+1)} - \partial_{p_i(x)})
$$

-
$$
(p_i(x+1) - p_i(x))(\partial_{p_j(x+1)} - \partial_{p_j(x)})
$$
.

The operator S conserves the total energy (defined in Eq. (12)) and the total pseudomomentum defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}_m = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} p_1(x) - Bq_2(x), \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} p_2(x) + Bq_1(x)\right)
$$

.

We introduce a scaling parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and we take $\gamma > 0$ which represents the intensity of the stochastic noise. We denote by L^{ε} the infinitesimal generator of the dynamics defined as follows

(20)
$$
L^{\varepsilon} = A + BG + \varepsilon \gamma S.
$$

We denote by $(q^{\epsilon}(t), p^{\epsilon}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ the Markovian dynamics generated by the infinitesimal generator L^{ε} . For a rigorous definition of the dynamics we refer the reader to [\[31,](#page-37-0) Sec 3.4] or to [\[14,](#page-36-21) Chapter 6].

We can check that L^{ε} conserves the total energy and the total pseudo-momentum. Since the energy of the dynamics is conserved we will denote it by E^{ε} . In order to simplify the notations for all i in $\{1,2\}$, k in T and positive time t, we will write $\hat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon}(t,k)$ instead of $\hat{\psi}_{i,B}\left[\mathbf{q}^\varepsilon(t),\mathbf{p}^\varepsilon(t)\right](k).$

We assume that the initial configuration of the system (q^0, p^0) is distributed according to a measure μ^{ε} which satisfies the following condition

(21)
$$
K_0 = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_1^{\varepsilon}(0, k) \right|^2 + \left| \widehat{\psi}_2^{\varepsilon}(0, k) \right|^2 \right] \, dk < \infty.
$$

Since the energy of the system is preserved, this condition is true at any time t , i.e.

(22)
$$
\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \sup_{t \geq 0} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[|\widehat{\psi}_1^{\varepsilon}(t,k)|^2 + |\widehat{\psi}_2^{\varepsilon}(t,k)|^2 \right] \, dk = K_0 \, .
$$

3.4. Wigner distribution. The space $(S \times S)'$ is equipped with the weak-* topology, i.e. a sequence $(W^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} = (W_1^N, W_2^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(S \times S)'$ converges to W in $(S \times S)'$ if and only if for any $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$

$$
\lim_{N\to\infty} \left| \left\langle \mathcal{W}_i^N,J_i\right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{W}_i,J_i\right\rangle \right| = 0.
$$

We say then that a sequence $(W^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{F}([0,T],(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})')$ converges pointwise to W if and only if for any t in $[0, T]$, $(\mathcal{W}^N(t))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\mathcal{W}(t)$ in $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})'$.

For each t in [0, T], we define the Wigner distribution, denoted by $W^{\varepsilon}(t)$, as the element of $(S \times S)'$ defined for any $J = (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$ by

$$
\langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(t), J \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}_i(t), J_i \rangle ,
$$

where, for i in $\{1,2\},\$

(23)
$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\psi_i^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, x' \right)^* \psi_i^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, x \right) \right] \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2i\pi (x'-x)k} J_i \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} (x+x'), k \right)^* dk
$$

$$
= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{F} \left[J_{i} \right] (p, k)^{*} \, dk dp \; .
$$

The well-posedness of these expressions are proved in Appendix [A.](#page-25-0) The following lemma proves that the Wigner distribution is an element of $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ and gives some of its properties.

Lemma 3.2. The Wigner distribution satisfies the following properties

- i) For all t in $[0, T]$, $\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(t)$ belongs to $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})'$.
- ii) For any J in $S \times S$, the family $(\langle W^{\varepsilon}, J \rangle)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded in $(\mathcal{C}([0,T], \mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ and

 $\| \langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}, J \rangle \|_{\infty} \lesssim K_0 \| J \|$.

Furthermore, the application $t \mapsto W^{\varepsilon}(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})')$.

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [A.1.](#page-25-1) \square

To study the asymptotic behavior of $(W^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ we need to introduce two distributions on $S \times S$ denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}$ and $({\mathcal{A}}^{\varepsilon})^*$ defined for any $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$ by

(24)
$$
\langle \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}, J \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon}, J_{i} \rangle
$$
 and $\langle (\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon})^{*}, J \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle (\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon})^{*}, J_{i} \rangle$,

where, for any i in $\{1,2\}$, by letting $i^* = 3 - i$, we set

(25)
$$
\langle \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon}(t), J_{i} \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} - k \right) \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{F} \left[J_{i} \right] (p, k)^{*} \, dk \, dp,
$$

and

(26)
$$
\langle (\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon})^{*}(t), J_{i} \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, -k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \right] \mathcal{F} \left[J_{i} \right] (p, k)^{*} \, dk dp.
$$

4. Review of previous results

In this section, we recall some results on this lattice. We first recall Theorem [4.2](#page-10-0) from [\[4,](#page-36-15) [31\]](#page-37-0) which states the convergence of the Wigner distribution to the solution f_B of some phonon Boltzmann equation [\(30\)](#page-9-1). Then we recall Theorem [4.5](#page-12-1) and Theorem [4.6](#page-12-0) from [\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0) which show the convergence of f_B in some hydrodynamic scaling to the solution of some fractional diffusion equations [\(49\)](#page-12-2).

4.1. Kinetic limit of the Wigner distribution. Let $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$ and recall Eq. [\(14\)](#page-7-3), [\(15\)](#page-7-4) and [\(16\)](#page-7-5). We define a collisional operator $C_B : J = (J_1, J_2) \in S \times S \rightarrow$ $([C_B J]_1, [C_B J]_2) \in S \times S$ in the following way. For any u in R, k in T, i in $\{1,2\}$ and $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$,

(27)
$$
[C_B J]_i(u,k) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \theta_{i,B}^2(k) R(k,k') \theta_{j,B}^2(k') [J_j(u,k') - J_i(u,k)] dk',
$$

with

(28)
$$
\forall (k, k') \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad R(k, k') = 16 \sin^2(\pi k) \sin^2(\pi k').
$$

Let W in $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ and \mathcal{W}^0 in $(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})'$.

i) We say that W is a weak solution on $[0, T]$ of the linear Boltzmann equation

(29)
$$
\partial_t \mathcal{W} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathbf{v}_B \partial_u \mathcal{W} = \gamma C_B \mathcal{W} ,
$$

with \mathcal{W}^0 as initial condition if and only if for any J in $S \times S$ and any t in $[0, T]$

(30)
$$
\langle \mathcal{W}(t), J \rangle - \langle \mathcal{W}(0), J \rangle = \int_0^t \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \mathcal{W}(s), \mathbf{v}_B \partial_u J \rangle ds
$$

$$
+ \quad \gamma \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{W}(s), C_B J \rangle \ ds \ .
$$

ii) We say that W is a **Borel measure valued** weak solution on $[0, T]$ of the linear Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2) if it is a weak solution of (29) such that for all t in $[0, T]$ and i in $\{1,2\}$, $\mathcal{W}_i(t)$ is a bounded Borel measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mu^0 := (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0)$ in $(S \times S)'$ be a couple of bounded Borel measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$. Then, there exists a unique **Borel measure valued** weak solution μ on $[0, T]$ to the linear Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2) with μ^0 as initial condition.

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [A.5.](#page-30-1) \Box

The following theorem summarizes the results obtained by the authors of [\[31,](#page-37-0) Theorem 1] and by those of [\[4,](#page-36-15) Theorem 9] respectively.

Theorem 4.2. [\[4,](#page-36-15) [31\]](#page-37-0) Let $T > 0$. Assume that the condition [\(21\)](#page-8-1) holds and that $(W^{\varepsilon}(0))_{\varepsilon > 0}$ converges in $(S \times S)'$ to a bounded positive distribution W^0 .

- i) If $B \neq 0$, then there exists W in $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})')$ such that $(\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges pointwise to W in $\mathcal{F}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$. Moreover for each time t in $[0,T]$, the limit $W(t)$ and W^0 can be extended to a couple of bounded Borel measures on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ respectively denoted by $\mu(t) := (\mu_1(t), \mu_2(t))$ and $\mu^0 := (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0)$. Furthermore, μ belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ and is the unique **Borel measure valued** weak solution of the Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2) with initial condition μ^0 .
- ii) If $B = 0$ and furthermore

(31)
$$
\lim_{\rho \to 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|k| < \rho} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon}(0, k) \right|^{2} \right] dk = 0,
$$

then the same conclusion as in i) holds with $B = 0$ in Eq. [\(29\)](#page-9-2).

Remark 4.3. When $B = 0$, the assumption [\(31\)](#page-10-1) is required to compensate the lack of differentiability of the function \mathbf{v}_B defined in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-7-5) at $k = 0$.

4.2. Hydrodynamic limit of the Boltzmann equation. The aim of this section is to study the hydrodynamic limit of the Boltzmann equation [\(30\)](#page-9-1). Let us start by a short reminder about Lévy processes [\[11\]](#page-36-22). Given a measure ν on \mathbb{R}^* , we say that ν is a Lévy measure if and only if

(32)
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \min(1, r^2) d\nu(r) < \infty.
$$

Let $Y_u(\cdot)$ be a real valued stochastic process starting from u in R. We say that $Y_u(\cdot)$ is a Lévy process with (Lévy) measure ν if and only if for any positive t and θ in R,

$$
\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\theta Y_u(t))] = \exp(t\Phi_Y(\theta) + i\theta u) .
$$

Here, Φ_Y denotes the Lévy exponent associated to the Lévy process $Y_u(\cdot)$ and is given for any θ in $\mathbb R$ by

(33)
$$
\Phi_Y(\theta) = a\theta^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \left(\exp\left(i\theta r\right) - 1 + i\theta r \mathbb{1}_{\{|r| < 1\}} \right) d\nu(r) ,
$$

where a is in \mathbb{R}^+ . The action of the infinitesimal generator \mathfrak{L} of $Y_u(\cdot)$ on a smooth function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which decays sufficiently fast is given by

(34)
$$
\forall p \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{L}[\phi](p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}[\phi](\xi) \Phi_Y(\xi) \exp(2\mathbf{i}\pi p \xi) d\xi.
$$

In this article we will only study pure jumps Lévy processes, i.e. $a = 0$. Let β in (1, 2), and assume that $d\nu(r) := |r|^{-\beta-1} dr$ then, up to a constant, we have

$$
\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi_Y(\theta) = -|\theta|^{\beta} .
$$

For this choice of ν , the infinitesimal generator of $Y_u(\cdot)$ is (up to a constant) the pseudodifferential operator $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$. In this case, we say that $Y_u(\cdot)$ is an β -stable Lévy process.

For i in $\{1,2\}$ and (k, k') in \mathbb{T}^2 , we recall that $\theta_{i,B}(k)$ and $R(k, k')$ are defined in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-7-4) and Eq. [\(28\)](#page-9-3) respectively. Let $f : \mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function such that for any i in $\{1,2\}$ $f(\cdot,i) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{R})$. We define an operator \mathcal{L}_B acting on f by

(35)
$$
\mathcal{L}_B[f](k,i) = \lambda_B^{-1}(k,i) \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_B(k,i,dk',j) \left(f(k',j) - f(k,i) \right) dk'.
$$

Here for any (k, k') in \mathbb{T}^2 and (i, j) in $\{1, 2\}^2$

(36)
$$
P_B(k, i, dk', j) = \gamma \lambda_B(k, i) \theta_{i,B}^2(k) \theta_{j,B}^2(k') R(k, k') dk',
$$

where

(37)
$$
\lambda_B(k,i) = \left[\gamma \theta_{i,B}^2(k) R(k)\right]^{-1} \text{ and } R(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k,k') \ dk'.
$$

Let μ be the **Borel measure valued** weak solution of the Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2) with initial condition μ^0 . Let t in $[0, T]$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$, we assume that $\mu_i(t)$ and μ_i^0 have a density with respect to the measure dudk denoted by $f_B(t, u, k, i)$ and $f^0(t, u, k, i)$ respectively. Then we have for any time t in $[0, T]$, k in \mathbb{T} , u in \mathbb{R} and i in $\{1, 2\}$

(38)
$$
\partial_t f_B(t, u, k, i) + \frac{\mathbf{v}_B(k)}{2\pi} \partial_u f_B(t, u, k, i) = \mathcal{L}_B[f_B](t, u, k, i) ,
$$

with $f^{0}(u, k, i)$ as initial condition. To study the hydrodynamic behavior of f_{B} we interpret the operator \mathcal{L}_B as the infinitesimal generator of a pure jump continuous time Markov process on $\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}$ as follows.

Let $(X_B^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}:=(K_B^n,I_B^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the Markov chain on $\mathbb{T}\times\{1,2\}$ with transition probability P_B defined in Eq. [\(36\)](#page-11-0). Let $(\tau^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an i.i.d sequence of random variables, independent of $(X_B^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\tau^0 \sim \mathcal{E}(1)$. We define the random variable \mathcal{T}^N by 6 6

(39)
$$
\mathcal{T}^0 = 0 \text{ and } \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{T}^N = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_B \left(X_B^{n-1}\right) \tau^{n-1}
$$

Then we can define a pure jump Markovian process $(K_B(\cdot), I_B(\cdot))$ with values in $\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}$ where for any positive time t in $[0, T]$

.

.

$$
K_B(t) = K_B^n
$$
, and $I_B(t) = I_B^n$, $\forall t \in [\mathcal{T}^n, \mathcal{T}^{n+1}[$

The infinitesimal generator of $(K_B(\cdot), I_B(\cdot))$ is \mathcal{L}_B defined in Eq. [\(35\)](#page-11-2). From this process, we can define an additive functional of Markov process $Z_{u,B}(\cdot)$ such that for any time t in $[0, T]$ and u in R

$$
Z_{u,B}(t) = u - \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{v}_B(K_B(s))}{2\pi} ds.
$$

Then by Dynkin's formula we get that for any i in $\{1,2\}$ and (u, k) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

(40)
$$
f_B(t, u, k, i) = \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} [f^0(Z_{u,B}(t), K_B(t), I_B(t))].
$$

The hydrodynamic behavior of f_B is completely determined by the one of the process $(K_B(\cdot), I_B(\cdot))$. Hence, in the following we recall how the authors of [\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0) studied this process. Let π_B the probability measure on $\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}$ defined as follows

(41)
$$
\pi_B(dk, di) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\lambda_B(k, j)^{-1}}{\gamma \bar{R}} dk \delta_j(di) \text{ with } \bar{R} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k) dk.
$$

We can prove that π_B is a reversible probability measure of the Markov chain $(X_B^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Observe that

(42)
$$
P_B(k, i, dk', j) = \pi_B(dk', j) ,
$$

where P_B is defined in Eq. [\(36\)](#page-11-0).

 $^6\mathrm{We}$ decided not to write \mathcal{T}_B^N in order to lighten the notations.

Remark 4.4. According to Eq. (42) , $(X_B^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is an i.i.d sequence of random variables on $\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}$ but observe that $(X_B^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not because of X_B^0 . This property will play a crucial role in the proof of our main results stated in Sec. [6.4.](#page-24-0)

We define a function Ψ_B in the following way

(43)
$$
\forall k \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \Psi_B(k, i) = \mathbf{v}_B(k) \lambda_B(k, i) .
$$

The asymptotic behavior of the process $Z_{u,B}(\cdot)$ is fully determined by the tails of the function Ψ_B . In [\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0) it is proved that

(44)
$$
\forall r > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta_B} \pi_B \left(\left\{ (k, i) \middle| \quad \Psi_B(k, i) > Nr \right\} \right) = \tilde{\kappa}_B |r|^{-\beta_B},
$$

(45)
$$
\forall r < 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta_B} \pi_B \left(\left\{ (k, i) \middle| \quad \Psi_B(k, i) < Nr \right\} \right) = \tilde{\kappa}_B |r|^{-\beta_B},
$$

where

(46)
$$
\beta_B = \frac{3}{2}
$$
 if $B = 0$ and $\beta_B = \frac{5}{3}$, if $B \neq 0$,

and

(47)
$$
\tilde{\kappa}_B = \begin{cases} \tilde{\kappa}_1(\hat{\alpha}''(0))^{\frac{3}{4}} \gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}} & \text{if } B = 0 ,\\ \tilde{\kappa}_2|B|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \hat{\alpha}''(0) \gamma^{-\frac{5}{3}} & \text{if } B \neq 0 , \end{cases}
$$

with $\tilde{\kappa}_1$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_2$ two positive constants. Then the following results are proved in [\[21,](#page-36-0) Theorem 3.1] and [\[31,](#page-37-0) Theorem 3] respectively.

Theorem 4.5 ([\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0)). Let u in R and define $u_N := Nu$. We assume that $X_B(0) =$ $(K_B(0), I_B(0)) = (k, i)$ with $k \neq 0$ and i in $\{1, 2\}.$

Then, under $\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}$ the finite-dimensional distributions of the scaled process $N^{-1}Z_{u_N,B}\left(N^{\beta_B}.\right)$ converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of a Lévy process $Y_{u,B}(\cdot)$ generated by $-2\gamma \tilde{\kappa}_B(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta_B}{2}}$ where $\tilde{\kappa}_B$ and β_B are defined in Eq. [\(46\)](#page-12-3) and Eq. [\(47\)](#page-12-4) respectively.

From these results, the authors of [\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0) have been able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 ([\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0)). Let $f_B(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) := (f_B(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, 1), f_B(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, 2))$ be the solution of Eq. [\(38\)](#page-11-4) with initial condition $f^{0,N}(\cdot,\cdot) := (f^{0,N}(\cdot,\cdot,1), f^{0,N}(\cdot,\cdot,2))$ defined as follows

(48)
$$
\forall (u,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad f^{0,N}(u,k) = \left(f^0\left(\frac{u}{N},k,1\right), f^0\left(\frac{u}{N},k,2\right)\right) .
$$

Here $(f^0(\cdot,\cdot,1), f^0(\cdot,\cdot,2)) \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})^2$. Let $\overline{f}^0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the real-valued function defined for any u in $\mathbb R$

$$
\overline{f}^{0}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{0}(u, k, i) \, dk \, .
$$

Then, for any time t in $[0, T]$ and u in $\mathbb R$ we have that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| f_B \left(N^{\beta B} t, N u, k, i \right) - \frac{1}{2} \rho_B(t, u) \right|^2 \, dk = 0,
$$

where we recall that β_B is defined in Eq. [\(46\)](#page-12-3) and ρ_B is the solution of

(49)
$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_B(t, u) = -D_B(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta_B}{2}} [\rho_B](t, u) , \\ \rho_B(0, u) = \overline{f}^0(u) , \end{cases}
$$

with D_B a positive constant.

Remark 4.7. As mentioned in the introduction, in [\[21\]](#page-36-0) the result is stated for a unidimensional Boltzmann equation, i.e., f_B is a one component function. However, following the proof given in [\[21,](#page-36-0) [31\]](#page-37-0) we can extend the result to a two components function.

Remark 4.8. In [\[31\]](#page-37-0), the authors stated that $D_B = |B|^{-\frac{1}{3}}C$ where C was a non explicit positive constant. From this observation, we can have an intuition on the scaling in time we have to do in order to observe the transition between these two fractional diffusion equations when we replace the value of the magnetic field B by $BN^{-\delta}$. In Eq. [\(68\)](#page-17-2) we give the explicit values of the constant C.

5. STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

In this section, we state our main results. Theorem [5.1](#page-13-1) shows that at kinetic time scale ε^{-1} under assumption [\(50\)](#page-13-2) there is no transition in the convergence of the Wigner distribution. Theorem [5.7](#page-15-0) and Theorem [5.9](#page-16-0) show that a transition can be observed at some hydrodynamic time scale with the appearance of an interpolation process for some critical intensity of the magnetic field. We end this section with Theorem [5.11](#page-17-1) which shows that this interpolation process converges to the fractional process studied in [\[21\]](#page-36-0) when B goes to zero and to the one studied in $[31]$ when B is sent to infinity.

5.1. Triviality of the transition in the kinetic time scale. In this section, we assume that the microscopic dynamics (see Eq. (10)) is submitted to a magnetic field of intensity $B_{\varepsilon} := B \varepsilon^{\delta}$ where $\delta > 0$ and $B > 0^7$ $B > 0^7$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\delta > 0$, η in $[0,1]$ such that $\delta > \eta$ and $\kappa > 0$. We define $\kappa_{\epsilon} := \kappa \epsilon^{\eta}$ and we assume that the condition [\(21\)](#page-8-1) holds and that $(W^{\varepsilon}(0))_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges in $(S \times S)'$ to a bounded positive distribution \mathcal{W}^0 . We assume furthermore that

(50)
$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|k| < \kappa_{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(0, k \right) \right|^{2} \right] dk = 0.
$$

Then, there exists W in $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ such that $(\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges pointwise to W in $\mathcal{F}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$. Moreover for each time t in $[0,T]$, the limit $\mathcal{W}(t)$ and \mathcal{W}^0 can be extended to couples of bounded Borel measures on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ denoted respectively by $\mu(t) := (\mu_1(t), \mu_2(t))$ and $\mu^0 := (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0)$.

Furthermore, μ belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ and is the unique **Borel measure valued** weak solution on $[0, T]$ of the Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2) with $B = 0$ and initial condition $\mu^0 := (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0).$

Proof. We refer the reader to Sec. [6.1](#page-17-3) for the proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-13-1) \Box

From Theorem [5.1,](#page-13-1) we deduce that under assumption [\(50\)](#page-13-2) the transition in the kinetic time scale ε^{-1} between the case of [\[4\]](#page-36-15) (zero magnetic field) and [\[31\]](#page-37-0) (magnetic field of order one) is trivial in the sense that it holds for $\delta = 0$. We show in Theorem [5.9](#page-16-0) that it is not the case in a longer time scale. To prove Theorem [5.1](#page-13-1) we need the following lemma which shows that the assumption [\(50\)](#page-13-2) can be extended to times $t\varepsilon^{-1}$.

Lemma 5.2. Let i in $\{1,2\}$, then for any time t in $[0, T]$

$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|k| < \kappa_{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k \right) \right|^{2} \right] \, dk = 0 \ ,
$$

where we recall that $\kappa_{\varepsilon} = \kappa \varepsilon^{\eta}$ with η in [0, 1] such that $\delta > \eta$ and $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [A.4](#page-29-0) for the proof of Lemma [5.2.](#page-13-4) \Box

Remark 5.3. Observe that assumption (50) is weaker than the assumption (31) made in [\[4\]](#page-36-15) to compensate the lack of differentiability of the function \mathbf{v}_0 .

⁷In order to lighten the presentation, we choose to only consider the case $B > 0$. However, the case $B < 0$ can be treated in a similar way.

5.2. Transition in the hydrodynamic time scale. In this section, we study the Markov chain $(X_B^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ introduced in Sec. [4.2](#page-10-2) but with a magnetic field of intensity $B_N := BN^{-\delta}$ where δ is in \mathbb{R}^+ and $B > 0^8$ $B > 0^8$. Hence, for each N we will denote this chain by $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} :=$ $\left(K_{B_N}^n,I_{B_N}^n\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In Eq. [\(14\)](#page-7-3), Eq. [\(15\)](#page-7-4) and Eq. [\(16\)](#page-7-5) we replace B by B_N and in all the functions defined in Sec. [4.2.](#page-10-2) The aim of this section, is to study the behavior of $f_{B_N}(t, u, k, i)$ where for any positive time t, k in \mathbb{T} , u in \mathbb{R} and i in $\{1,2\}$

(51)
$$
\partial_t f_{B_N}(t, u, k, i) + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{B_N}(k)}{2\pi} \partial_u f_{B_N}(t, u, k, i) = \mathcal{L}_{B_N} \left[f_{B_N} \right](t, u, k, i) ,
$$

where we recall that \mathbf{v}_{B_N} and \mathcal{L}_{B_N} are defined in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-7-5) and Eq. [\(35\)](#page-11-2) respectively. By Dynkin's formula we get that for any time t in $[0, T]$, u in \mathbb{R} , k in \mathbb{T} and i in $\{1, 2\}$

(52)
$$
f_{B_N}(t, u, k, i) = \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[f^0 \left(Z_{u, B_N}(t), K_{B_N}(t), I_{B_N}(t) \right) \right] .
$$

Here for t in $[0, T]$ u in $\mathbb R$ and N in $\mathbb N$ we recall that

(53)
$$
Z_{u,B_N}(t) = u - \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{v}_{B_N} (K_{B_N}(s))}{2\pi} ds,
$$

where

$$
K_{B_N}(t) = K_{B_N}^n
$$
, and $I_{B_N}(t) = I_{B_N}^n$, $\forall t \in \left[\mathcal{T}_{B_N}^n, \mathcal{T}_{B_N}^{n+1}\right[,$

with

$$
\mathcal{T}_{B_N}^0 = 0 \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{B_N}^n = \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^{m-1}\right) \tau_N^{m-1}.
$$

Here for any integer N, $(\tau_N^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence of i.i.d random variables independent of $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\tau_N^0 \sim \mathcal{E}(1)$. For every N, we recall that the invariant probability measure of the Markov chain $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is denoted by π_{B_N} and defined in Eq. [\(41\)](#page-11-5). We define the function Ψ_{B_N} on $\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}$ by

(54)
$$
\forall (k,i) \in \mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}, \quad \Psi_{B_N}(k,i) = \mathbf{v}_{B_N}(k) \lambda_{B_N}(k,i) .
$$

As we recalled in Sec. [4.2,](#page-10-2) the asymptotic behavior of f_{B_N} is fully determined by the one of the process $Z_{u,B_N}(\cdot)$. The next propositions allow us to compute the tails of the function Ψ_{B_N} and to determine the asymptotic behavior of the process $Z_{u,B_N}(\cdot)$.

Proposition 5.4. We define implicitly two functions $x_{B,\pm}$ on \mathbb{R}^* by

(55)
$$
\left(2\sqrt{x_{B,\pm}^2(r)+\frac{B^2}{4}}\pm B\right)x_{B,\pm}(r)=\frac{\pi}{\gamma r}, \quad r\neq 0.
$$

Then

- i) $x_{B,\pm}$ are odd functions.
- ii) The functions $x_{B,\pm}$ and $x'_{B,\pm}$ converge pointwise when B goes to zero and for any $r \neq 0$ we have

$$
\lim_{B \to 0} x_{B,\pm}(r) = sign(r) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}} |r|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad and \quad \lim_{B \to 0} x'_{B,\pm}(r) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2\gamma}} |r|^{-\frac{3}{2}}.
$$

iii) The functions $x_{B,+}$ and $x'_{B,+}$ converge pointwise to zero when B goes to infinity and for any $r \neq 0$, we have

$$
\lim_{B \to \infty} Bx_{B,+}(r) = sign(r)\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}|r|^{-1} \quad and \quad \lim_{B \to \infty} Bx'_{B,+}(r) = -\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}|r|^{-2}
$$

The functions $x_{B,-}$ and $x'_{B,-}$ diverge pointwise to infinity when B goes to infinity and for any $r \neq 0$, we have

.

$$
\lim_{B \to \infty} B^{-\frac{1}{3}} x_{B,-}(r) = sign(r)C|r|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \quad and \quad \lim_{B \to \infty} B^{-\frac{1}{3}} x'_{B,-}(r) = -\frac{C}{3}|r|^{-\frac{4}{3}},
$$

⁸As in the previous section, the case $B < 0$ can be studied in a similar way.

with
$$
C = \left(\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
$$
.

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [B.1.](#page-30-2) \Box

Proposition 5.5. Let $h_{B,\pm}$ and $g_{B,\pm}$ be the four real-valued functions defined for any $r \neq 0$ as follows

(56)
$$
h_{B,\pm}(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{x_{B,\pm}(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}}\right) x^2 dx \, 1_{r>0} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x_{B,\pm}(r)}^0 \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}}\right) x^2 dx \, 1_{r<0}.
$$

(57)
$$
g_{B,\pm}(r) = -h'_{B,\pm}(r) 1_{r>0} + h'_{B,\pm}(r) 1_{r<0}.
$$

Then

- i) $g_{B,\pm}$ are positive even functions.
- ii) The measure ν_B with density $g_{B,+} + g_{B,-}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure is a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^* .
- iii) The functions $g_{B,\pm}$ converge pointwise to g_0 when B goes to zero where for any $r \neq 0$

(58)
$$
g_0(r) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2^{11}\gamma^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |r|^{-\frac{3}{2}-1}.
$$

Moreover, g_0 is the density of a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^* .

iv) The function $B^{\frac{1}{3}}g_{B,+}$ converges pointwise to zero and $B^{\frac{1}{3}}g_{B,-}$ converges pointwise to g_{∞} when B goes to infinity where for any $r \neq 0$

(59)
$$
g_{\infty}(r) = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2^{11}27\gamma^5}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}|r|^{-\frac{5}{3}-1}.
$$

Moreover, g_{∞} is the density of a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^* .

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [B.2.](#page-30-3) \Box

Proposition 5.6. Let π_{B_N} be the invariant probability measure defined in Eq. [\(41\)](#page-11-5) and Ψ_{B_N} the function defined in Eq. (54) then we have

$$
\forall r > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta_{\delta}} \pi_{B_N} \left(\left\{ (k, i) \middle| \quad \Psi_{B_N}(k, i) > Nr \right\} \right) = \kappa_{\delta}(r) ,
$$

$$
\forall r < 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta_{\delta}} \pi_{B_N} \left(\left\{ (k, i) \middle| \quad \Psi_{B_N}(k, i) < Nr \right\} \right) = \kappa_{\delta}(r) ,
$$

where for any $r \neq 0$

(60)
$$
\begin{cases} \beta_{\delta} = \frac{3}{2} \quad and \quad \kappa_{\delta}(r) = \gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}} \kappa_{1}|r|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \quad if \quad \delta > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \beta_{\delta} = \frac{3}{2} \quad and \quad \kappa_{\delta}(r) = (h_{B,-}(r) + h_{B,+}(r)) \quad if \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \beta_{\delta} = \frac{5-\delta}{3} \quad and \quad \kappa_{\delta}(r) = \gamma^{-\frac{5}{3}} \kappa_{2}|B|^{-\frac{1}{3}}|r|^{-\frac{5}{3}} \quad if \quad \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}
$$

with κ_1 and κ_2 two positive constants defined respectively in Eq. [\(122\)](#page-32-0) and in Eq. [\(123\)](#page-33-0).

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [C.1.](#page-31-1) \Box

Theorem 5.7. Let β_{δ} and κ_{δ} defined in Eq. [\(60\)](#page-15-3) and $\tau_0 \sim \mathcal{E}(1)$. Let u in R, we define $u_N := Nu$ and we assume that $(X_{B_N}^0) = (k, i)$ with $k \neq 0$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$. We denote by

 $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$ the Lévy process starting from point u with Lévy measure ν_{δ} where

(61)
$$
d\nu_{\delta}(r) = \begin{cases} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\kappa_{0}\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{0}^{\beta_{\delta}}\right]|r|^{-\frac{3}{2}-1} dr & \text{if } \delta > \frac{1}{2},\\ 2\gamma\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{0}^{-1}\left(g_{B,+}\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\tau_{0}}\right)+g_{B,-}\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\tau_{0}}\right)\right)\right] dr & \text{if } \delta = \frac{1}{2},\\ \gamma^{-\frac{2}{3}}|B|^{-1/3}\kappa_{\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{0}^{\beta_{\delta}}\right]|r|^{-\frac{5}{3}-1} dr & \text{if } \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}
$$

with

(62)
$$
\kappa_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2^{10}\pi^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{2^{13}27\pi^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.
$$

Then, under $\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}$ the finite-dimensional distributions of the process $N^{-1}Z_{u_N,B_N}$ $(N^{\beta_{\delta}})$ converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$.

Proof. We refer the reader to Sec. [6.2.](#page-19-0) \Box

Remark 5.8. Observe that the process $Z_u^{1/2}$ defined in Eq. [\(61\)](#page-16-2), which is not a Lévy stable process, belongs nevertheless to the domain of attraction of two Lévy stable laws, one of exponent 3/2 and the other one with exponent 5/3. Indeed, using the definition of $g_{B,\pm}$ given in Eq. [\(57\)](#page-15-4), one can prove that there exists two positive constants C and C_B such that

$$
\nu_{1/2}(r) \underset{0}{\sim} C|r|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \quad and \quad \nu_{1/2}(r) \underset{\infty}{\sim} C_B|r|^{-\frac{5}{3}},
$$

where C_B converges to zero when B goes to infinity and C is independent of B.

Observe that $\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_0^{\beta_{\delta}}\right] = \Gamma(1+\beta_{\delta})$ where Γ is the Gamma function. Theorem [5.7](#page-15-0) allows us to obtain the hydrodynamic limit of the solution f_{B_N} of the Boltzmann equation [\(51\)](#page-14-3). Let $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$ be the Lévy process starting from u in R with Lévy exponent Φ_{δ} defined by

(63)
$$
\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi_{\delta}(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \left(\exp\left(i\theta r\right) - 1 + i\theta r \mathbb{1}_{\{|r| < 1\}} \right) d\nu_{\delta}(r) ,
$$

where ν_{δ} is the Lévy measure defined in Eq. [\(61\)](#page-16-2). Observe that for $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$), $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$ is the Lévy process obtained in [\[21\]](#page-36-0) (resp. [\[31\]](#page-37-0)). For $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ we have an interpolation process.

Theorem 5.9. Let $f_{B_N}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) := (f_{B_N}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, 1), f_{B_N}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, 2))$ be the solution of Eq. [\(51\)](#page-14-3) with initial condition $f^{0,N}(\cdot, \cdot) := (f^{0,N}(\cdot, \cdot, 1), f^{0,N}(\cdot, \cdot, 2))$ defined as follows

(64)
$$
\forall (u,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad f^{0,N}(u,k) = \left(f^0\left(\frac{u}{N},k,1\right), f^0\left(\frac{u}{N},k,2\right)\right) .
$$

Here $(f^0(\cdot,\cdot,1), f^0(\cdot,\cdot,2)) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})^2$. We define on \mathbb{R} the function \overline{f}^0 as follows

(65)
$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \overline{f}^{0}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{0}(u, k, i) \, dk \, .
$$

We define the operator \mathfrak{L}_{δ} on the space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ in the following way

(66)
$$
\forall \phi \in C_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\delta}[\phi](p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}[\phi](\xi) \Phi_{\delta}(\xi) \exp(2\mathbf{i}\pi p \xi) d\xi,
$$

where Φ_{δ} is defined in Eq. [\(63\)](#page-16-3). Let β_{δ} defined by Eq. [\(60\)](#page-15-3), then

$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| f_{B_N} \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t, N u, k, i \right) - \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\delta}(t, u) \right| \, dk = 0,
$$

where ρ_{δ} is the solution of

(67)
$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho_{\delta}(t, u) = \mathfrak{L}_{\delta} [\rho_{\delta}] (t, u) , \\ \rho_{\delta}(0, u) = \overline{f}^0(u) . \end{array} \right.
$$

Proof. We refer the reader to Sec. [6.3.](#page-22-0) \Box

Remark 5.10. Observe that when $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathfrak{L}_{\delta} = -\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{2}\right)\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_0(-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and when $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ we have that $\mathfrak{L}_{\delta} = -|B|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{5}{3}\right) \gamma^{-\frac{2}{3}} D_{\infty}(-\Delta)^{\frac{5}{6}}$ where

(68)
$$
D_0 = 2\kappa_0 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(r)}{r^{\frac{5}{2}}} dr \text{ and } D_{\infty} = 2\kappa_{\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(r)}{r^{\frac{8}{3}}} dr.
$$

Hence, we recover the different cases studied in $[21]$ and $[31]$ respectively.

When $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathfrak{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ depends on B hence we denote by \mathfrak{L}_B the operator $\mathfrak{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, by Φ_B the Lévy exponent $\Phi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and by ρ_B the function $\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}$. The next theorem shows that by sending B to zero (resp. infinity), ρ_B converges to the fractional diffusion equations of exponent 3/4 $(r \exp. 5/6).$

Theorem 5.11. Let \overline{f}^0 be the function defined in Eq. [\(65\)](#page-16-4) and D_0 and D_{∞} the constants defined in Eq. (68) . Then

i) $\lim_{B\to 0} \tilde{\rho}_B = \rho_0$ in $\mathbb{L}^1([0,T], \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}))$ where ρ_0 is the solution of

(69)
$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_0(t, u) = -\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_0(-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{4}} [\rho_0](t, u) \\ \rho_0(0, u) = \overline{f}^0(u) \end{cases}
$$

ii)
$$
\lim_{B \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}_B \left(B^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot, \cdot \right) = \rho_\infty
$$
 in $\mathbb{L}^1 \left([0, T], \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \right)$ where ρ_∞ is the solution of

(70)
$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_\infty(t, u) = -\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{5}{3}\right) \gamma^{-\frac{2}{3}} D_\infty(-\Delta)^{\frac{5}{6}} \left[\rho_\infty\right](t, u) \\ \rho_\infty(0, u) = \overline{f}^0(u) \end{cases}
$$

Proof. We refer the reader to Sec. [6.4.](#page-24-0) \Box

6. Proof of Theorem [5.1,](#page-13-1) Theorem [5.7,](#page-15-0) Theorem [5.9](#page-16-0) and Theorem [5.11](#page-17-1)

6.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-13-1) This section, is devoted to the proof of Theo-rem [5.1.](#page-13-1) The strategy of our proof is similar to the one developed by the authors of [\[31\]](#page-37-0), so we will only give the ideas of the different steps and we refer the reader to the corresponding sections of [\[31\]](#page-37-0) for detailed proofs.

To prove Theorem [5.1,](#page-13-1) we first prove that there exists W in $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ and a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for any J in $S\times S$ and t in $[0, T]$

(71)
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon_n}(t), J \rangle - \langle \mathcal{W}(t), J \rangle| = 0.
$$

Let $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$, to prove Eq. [\(71\)](#page-17-4) we start to show that for any i in $\{1, 2\}$ and t in $[0, T]$ we have

(72)
$$
\partial_t \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), \mathbf{v}_0 \partial_u J_i \rangle + \gamma \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \gamma \left(\langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \langle (\mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon})^*(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle \right) + \mathcal{O}_{t, J}(\varepsilon) ,
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ and $(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\varepsilon})^{*}$ are defined in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-9-4) and Eq. [\(26\)](#page-9-5) and $|\mathcal{O}_{t,J}(t,\varepsilon)| \leq K(J) \times \varepsilon$ with $K(J)$ a constant independent of ε and t. The derivation of this equation is presented in Appendix [A.2](#page-25-2) and Appendix [A.3.](#page-28-0) To end the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. Let t in [0, T] and $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$ then

i) $\|\langle \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}, J \rangle\|_{\infty} \lesssim K_0 \|J\|$ and $\|\langle \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\varepsilon}, J \rangle\|_{\infty} \lesssim K_0 \|J\|.$ ii) For any i in $\{1,2\}$

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left| \int_0^T \left\langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \right\rangle dt \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left| \int_0^T \left\langle \left(\mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon} \right)^*(t), [C_0 J]_i \right\rangle dt \right| = 0.
$$

Proof. We refer the reader to [\[4,](#page-36-15) Sec. 3.4]. \Box

$$
\overline{}
$$

By Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5), item ii) of Lemma [3.2](#page-9-6) and item i) of Lemma [6.1](#page-17-6) we deduce that for all J in $S \times$ S the family of functions $(\langle W^{\varepsilon},J\rangle)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is equicontinuous and bounded in $(\mathcal{C}([0,T],\mathbb{C}),\|\cdot\|_{\infty})$. Hence, for any J in $S \times S$, there exists $W_J(\cdot) \in (\mathcal{C}([0,T],\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ such that $\langle W^{\varepsilon}(\cdot),J\rangle$ converges (up to a subsequence) to $W_J(\cdot)$ in $(\mathcal{C}([0,T],\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$.

At this point, the subsequence depends on J but since $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separable space, we can use a diagonal argument to erase this dependency. Hence, there exists a countable subset $\mathcal{D} = (J^r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ dense in $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \|\cdot\|)$, a subsequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ going to zero as n goes to infinity and a sequence $(W_J(\cdot))_{J\in\mathcal{D}} \in (\mathcal{C}([0,T],\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ such that

$$
\forall J \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot), J \rangle = \mathcal{W}_J(\cdot) .
$$

Using item ii) of Lemma [3.2,](#page-9-6) we deduce that

$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall J \in \mathcal{D}, \quad |\mathcal{W}_J(t)| \le K_0 ||J||.
$$

Hence the application

$$
J\in\mathcal{D}\subset\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S}\mapsto\mathcal{W}_{J}(\cdot)\in\left(\mathcal{C}\left([0,T],\mathbb{C}\right),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

is Lipschitz and linear. It can be uniquely extended into a linear Lipschitz function on $S \times S$. Hence, for any t in $[0, T]$,

$$
J \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_J(t)
$$

defines an element of $(S \times S)'$ denoted by $\langle W(t), J \rangle$. It remains to prove that $W(\cdot)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})').$

Let s in $[0,T]$ and $(s_p)_{p\geq 0}$ in $[0,T]^{\mathbb{N}}$ which converges to s when p goes to infinity. Let J in $S \times S$, $\eta > 0$ and J^r in \overline{D} such that

$$
||J-J^r|| < \eta.
$$

We have that

$$
\left| \langle \mathcal{W}(s_p), J \rangle - \langle \mathcal{W}(s), J \rangle \right| \leq \left| \langle \mathcal{W}(s_p) - \mathcal{W}(s), J^r \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \mathcal{W}(s_p), J - J^r \rangle \right|
$$

+
$$
\left| \langle \mathcal{W}(s), J - J^r \rangle \right|
$$

$$
\leq \left| \langle \mathcal{W}(s_p) - \mathcal{W}(s), J^r \rangle \right| + 2K_0 \|J - J^r\|
$$

$$
\leq \left| \langle \mathcal{W}(s_p) - \mathcal{W}(s), J^r \rangle \right| + 2K_0 \eta.
$$

Recall that

(73)
$$
t \in [0, T] \mapsto \langle \mathcal{W}(t), J^r \rangle = \mathcal{W}_{J^r}(t) \in \mathbb{C}
$$

is continuous because J^r is in \mathcal{D} . Hence, it exists p_0 in \mathbb{N}^* such that

$$
\forall p > p_0, \quad |\langle \mathcal{W}(s_p) - \mathcal{W}(s), J^r \rangle| \leq \eta.
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
|\langle W(s_p), J\rangle - \langle W(s), J\rangle| \leq (2K_0 + 1)\eta.
$$

This proves that $W(\cdot)$ is in $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ and ends the proof.

Hence, we deduce that there exists W in $\mathcal{C}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})')$ such that $(\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges pointwise (up to a subsequence) to W. Using item ii) of Lemma [6.1](#page-17-6) and sending ε to zero in Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5) we get that for any t in $[0, T]$ and J in $S \times S$

(74)
$$
\langle W(t), J \rangle - \langle W(0), J \rangle = \int_0^t \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle W(s), \mathbf{v}_0 \partial_u J \rangle \ ds + \gamma \int_0^t \langle W(s), C_0 J \rangle \ ds.
$$

This proves that W is a weak solution of the Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2).

Let t in [0, T]. It remains to extend $\mathcal{W}(t)$ into a couple $\mu(t) := (\mu_1(t), \mu_2(t))$ of bounded Borel measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$. This is proved in [\[31,](#page-37-0) Lemma D.1]. The idea is to prove that the Wigner distribution is a positive linear form on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{c}}((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})^2)$ and then by using Riesz's representation theorem we can extend $\mathcal{W}(t)$ into a couple of Borel measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ denoted by $\mu(t) := (\mu_1(t), \mu_2(t)).$

To end the proof, it remains to prove that for any t in $[0, T]$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$, $\mu_i(t)$ is a bounded measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$. Let J in S defined by $J(u, k) := J^{\lambda, r}(u)$ where

$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad J^{\lambda,r}(u) := \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^2 - u^2}\right) \mathbb{1}_{[-r,r]}(u), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad r > 0.
$$

Let λ be fixed, by using Eq. [\(22\)](#page-8-2) and item ii) of Lemma [3.2](#page-9-6) we get that

$$
\forall t \in [0,T], \quad \forall i \in \{1,2\}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J^{\lambda,r} \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} J^{\lambda,r}(u) \, \mu_i(t,du,dk) \leq K_0 \; .
$$

By sending first λ to zero and then r to infinity we get

$$
\mu_i(t,\mathbb{R},\mathbb{T})\leq K_0.
$$

Hence, μ is a **Borel measure valued** weak solution of the Boltzmann equation [\(29\)](#page-9-2) with initial condition $\mu^0 := (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0)$. By Lemma [4.1,](#page-10-3) there is a unique **Borel measure valued** weak solution of Eq. [\(29\)](#page-9-2) hence we deduce that $(W^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges pointwise to $\mu := (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ in $\mathcal{F}([0,T],(\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S})').$

6.2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem [5.7.](#page-15-0) Let u in \mathbb{R} , to lighten the notations we define for each time t, $Z_u^N(t) := N^{-1} Z_{u_N, B_N} (N^{\beta_{\delta}} t)$ where we recall that Z_{u_N, B_N} is defined in Eq. [\(53\)](#page-14-4), β_{δ} is defined in Eq. [\(60\)](#page-15-3) and $u_N = Nu$. The proof of Theorem [5.7](#page-15-0) is divided into two steps.

In the first instance we show that the finite-dimensional distributions of $Z_{\underline{u}}^{N}(\cdot)$ converge weakly under $\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}$ to the finite-dimensional distributions of a Lévy process $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$ where for any positive time t and θ in $\mathbb R$

(75)
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathbf{i}\theta Z_u^{\delta}(t)}\right] = \exp\left(t\Phi_{\delta}(\theta) + \mathbf{i}\theta u\right) .
$$

Here for any θ in $\mathbb R$

(76)
$$
\Phi_{\delta}(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathbf{i}r\theta} - 1 + \mathbf{i}\theta r \mathbb{1}_{\{|r| < 1\}} \right) d\nu_{\delta}(r) ,
$$

where ν_{δ} is defined in Eq. [\(60\)](#page-15-3). Then we prove that we can extend this result under $\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}$ when k is in \mathbb{T}^* and i is in $\{1,2\}$.

We start to show the first step, we follow the strategy of $[21, \text{Sec. } 6]$ and only prove that one-dimensional distribution of $(Z_u^N(\cdot))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to one-dimensional distribution of $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$. Let $t > 0$, we define the random integer $j_N(t)$ as follows

(77)
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{j_N(t)} \lambda_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n) \tau_N^n \leq t < \sum_{n=0}^{j_N(t)+1} \lambda_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n) \tau_N^n,
$$

where we recall that for any integer $N, (\tau_N^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d random variables independent of the Markov chain $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and such that $\tau_N^0 \sim \mathcal{E}(1)$ and λ_{B_N} is defined in Eq. [\(37\)](#page-11-6). Let $t \geq 0$, we define

$$
Y_u^N(t) = u - N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} t \rfloor} \frac{\Psi_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n)}{2\pi} \tau_N^n = u - N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} t \rfloor} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{B_N} (K_{B_N}^n)}{2\pi} \lambda_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n) \tau_N^n.
$$

\n
$$
S_N(t) = \frac{j_N(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t)}{N^{\beta_{\delta}}} = \inf \left\{ u > 0 \mid \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \lambda_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n) \tau_N^n > t \right\}.
$$

\n
$$
S(t) = t\overline{\lambda}^{-1} = 2\gamma t.
$$

Let t be a positive time, we can decompose $Z_u^N(t)$ into two parts, the first one is given by all the jumps made by the particle until time $N^{\beta_{\delta}}t$ and the second part is given by the

displacement made by the particle since the last jump. Hence, using the definition of Ψ_{B_N} given in Eq. (54) we can write

$$
Z_u^N(t) = u - \sum_{n=0}^{j_N \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right) - 1} \frac{\Psi_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n\right) \tau_N^n}{2\pi N} - \left(\frac{N^{\beta_{\delta}}t - t_{j_N \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right)}}{2\pi N}\right) \mathbf{v}_{B_N} \left(K_{B_N}^{j_N \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right)}\right) .
$$

$$
Y_u^N \left(S_N(t)\right) = u - \sum_{n=0}^{j_N \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right)} \frac{\Psi_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n\right) \tau_N^n}{2\pi N} .
$$

We first prove that $Y_u^N(S_N(t))$ converges weakly to $Z_u^{\delta}(t)$, then we prove that $Z_u^N(t)$ and $Y_u^N(S_N(t))$ are close.

Proposition 6.2. Let ν_{δ} defined in Eq. [\(61\)](#page-16-2). Then, under $\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}$ the finite-dimensional distributions of $(Y_u^N(\cdot))_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge weakly to the finite dimensional distributions of a Lévy process Y_u^{δ} where for any positive time t and θ in $\mathbb R$

(78)
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathbf{i}\theta Y_u(t)}\right] = \exp\left((2\gamma)^{-1}t\Phi_\delta(\theta) + \mathbf{i}\theta u\right) ,
$$

where the expression of Φ_{δ} is recalled in Eq. [\(76\)](#page-19-1).

Idea of the proof. Proposition [5.6](#page-15-2) allows us to understand the behavior of the tails of the random variables $(\Psi_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ when N goes to infinity. Using this proposition and [\[21,](#page-36-0) Lemma 4.2] which describes the convergence of an array of random variables we can conclude the proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [C.2](#page-33-1) for a complete proof of Proposition [6.2.](#page-20-0) \Box

To complete the proof of Theorem [5.7](#page-15-0) we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.3. Let $t_0 > 0$ and $T \ge t_0$ then

(79)
$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} |S_N(t) - S(t)| > \varepsilon \right] = 0.
$$

Proof. We refer the reader to Appendix [D.](#page-34-0) \Box

Lemma 6.4. For any time t and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed we have

(80)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\left| Z_u^N(t) - Y_u^N(S_N(t)) \right| > \varepsilon \right] = 0.
$$

Proof of Lemma [6.4.](#page-20-2) We recall only the main ideas and we refer the reader to [\[21,](#page-36-0) Lemma 6.2] for a complete proof. Let $\sigma > 0$ be fixed, then by Lemma [6.3](#page-20-1) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\left| Z_u^N(t) - Y_u^N(S_N(t)) \right| > \varepsilon \right] \n\leq \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[|S_N(t) - t| > \sigma \right] \n+ \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[|S_N(t) - t| \leq \sigma \cap \left| Y_N(t) - Y_u^N(S_N(t)) \right| > \varepsilon \right] \n\leq \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[|S_N(t) - t| \leq \sigma , \left| \frac{\Psi_{B_N}\left(X_{B_N}^{j_N\left(N^{\beta \delta} t\right)} \right)}{N} \right| > \varepsilon \right] + \sigma .
$$

Using the stationarity of the chain $(\Psi_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n) \tau_N^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[|S_N(t) - t| \leq \sigma, \left| N^{-1} \Psi_{B_N}\left(X_{B_N}^{j_N(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t)}\right)\right| > \varepsilon\right]
$$
\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\sup\left\{\Psi_{B_N}\left(X_{B_N}^n\right)\tau_N^n, \ n \in \left[(S(t) - \sigma)N^{\beta_{\delta}}, (S(t) + \sigma)N^{\beta_{\delta}}\right]\right\} > N\varepsilon\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\sup\left\{\Psi_{B_N}\left(X_{B_N}^n\right)\tau_N^n, \ n \in \left[0, 2\sigma N^{\beta_{\delta}}\right]\right\} > N\varepsilon\right]
$$
\n
$$
= N^{\beta_{\delta}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\tau} \pi_{B_N}\left(\left\{u \mid \Psi_{B_N}(u) > N\tau^{-1}\varepsilon\right\}\right) d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^{\beta_{\delta}}}.
$$

This ends the proof. \Box

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

We recall that if a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{D}([t_0,T],\mathbb{R})$ converges uniformly to x in $\mathcal{D}([t_0,T],\mathbb{R})$ then the convergence follows in the sense of Skorohod topology. Hence, from Lemma [6.3,](#page-20-1) we conclude that $S_N(\cdot)$ converges in probability to $S(\cdot)$ in $\mathcal{D}([t_0, T], \mathbb{R})$.

Using Proposition [6.2](#page-20-0) and Lemma [6.3](#page-20-1) we can conclude that $(Y_u^N(\cdot), S_N(\cdot))$ converges in law to $(Y_u^{\delta}(\cdot), S(\cdot))$ in $\mathcal{D}([t_0,T], \mathbb{R})$. Hence, by Skorokhod's representation theorem there exists $(\tilde{Y}_u^N(\cdot), S_N(\cdot))$ with values in $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ such that the pairs $(Y_u^N(\cdot), S_N(\cdot))$ and $(\tilde{Y}_{u}^{\delta}(\cdot),\tilde{S}_{N}(\cdot))$ have the same law and $(\tilde{Y}_{u}^{N}(\cdot),\tilde{S}_{N}(\cdot))$ converges almost surely to $(Y_{u}^{\delta}(\cdot),S(\cdot))$ in the Skorokhod topology.

From this we deduce that there exists a sequence of increasing homeomorphisms $(h_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ from $[t_0, T]$ to $[t_0, T]$ such that

(81)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} |h_N(t) - t| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \left| \tilde{Y}_u^N(h_N(t)) - Y_u^{\delta}(S(t)) \right| = 0.
$$

Then we have

$$
\left| \tilde{Y}_u^N \left(\tilde{S}_N(t) \right) - Y_u^{\delta} \left(S(t) \right) \right| \le \left| \tilde{Y}_u^N \left(\tilde{S}_N(t) \right) - Y_u^{\delta} \left(h_N^{-1} \left(\tilde{S}_N(t) \right) \right) \right|
$$

+
$$
\left| Y_u^{\delta} \left(h_N^{-1} \left(\tilde{S}_N(t) \right) \right) - Y_u^{\delta} \left(S(t) \right) \right| ,
$$

which goes to zero when N goes to infinity. Hence, $\left(\tilde{Y}_u^N\left(\tilde{S}_N(t)\right)\right)$ converges to $N \in \mathbb{N}$ $Y_u^{\delta}(S(t))$. Using that $\tilde{Y}_u^N(\tilde{S}_N(t))$ and $Y_u^N(\tilde{S}_N(t))$ have the same law we conclude that $\left(Y_u^N\left(\tilde{S}_N(t)\right)\right)$ converges in law to $Y_u^{\delta}(S(t))$. Using Eq. [\(80\)](#page-20-3) we conclude that $(Z_u^N(t))_{N\geq 0}$ converges weakly to $Y_u^{\delta}(S(t))$. Observe that $Y_u^{\delta}(S(t))$ and $Z_u^{\delta}(t)$ have the same law hence we proved that under $\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}$ the finite-dimensional distribution of $(Z_u^N(\cdot))_{N\geq 0}$ converge to the finite-dimensional distribution of $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$.

To conclude the proof, it remains to extend this convergence under $\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}$ when k is in \mathbb{T}^* and i is in $\{1, 2\}$. Since k is not equal to zero by Eq. [\(54\)](#page-14-2) we have

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1} \Psi_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^0 \right) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1} \Psi_{B_N} \left(k, i \right) = 0 \quad \mathbb{P}_{(k,i)} \quad \text{a.s.}
$$

Hence, we deduce that $(N^{-1}\Psi_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^0)\tau_N^0)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ goes to zero in $\mathbb{L}^1_{\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}}$. From Markov inequality we deduce that

(82)
$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{(k,i)} \left(N^{-1} \left| \Psi_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^0 \right) \right| \tau_0^N > \varepsilon \right) = 0.
$$

We define the stochastic process $\tilde{Z}_u^N(\cdot)$ for any positive time t by

$$
\tilde{Z}_{u}^{N}(t) = u - \sum_{n=1}^{j_{N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t) - 1} \frac{\Psi_{B_{N}}\left(X_{B_{N}}^{n}\right)\tau_{N}^{n}}{2\pi N} - \left(\frac{N^{\beta_{\delta}}t - t_{j_{N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t)}}{2\pi N}\right) \mathbf{v}_{B_{N}}\left(K_{B_{N}}^{j_{N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t)}\right).
$$

Whatever the distribution of $X_0^{B_N}$ is, by using Eq. [\(42\)](#page-11-3), we observe that $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d random variables distributed according to π_{B_N} . Hence, since $\tilde{Z}^N_u(\cdot)$ depends only on $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n\geq 1}$ we deduce by Proposition [6.2](#page-20-0) that under $\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}$ the finite-dimensional distributions of $\bar{Z}_{u}^{N}(\cdot)$ converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of the Lévy process $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$ defined in Eq. [\(76\)](#page-19-1).

Using Eq. (82) we deduce that for any positive time t

(83)
$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{(k,i)} \left(\left| Z_u^N(t) - \tilde{Z}_u^N(t) \right| > \varepsilon \right) = 0.
$$

This concludes the proof.

6.3. Sketch of the proof of Theorem [5.9.](#page-16-0) In this section, we prove Theorem [5.9,](#page-16-0) to prove it we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. Let ρ be the counting measure on $\{1,2\}$. Observe that the measure $\sigma := \frac{1}{2}dkd\rho$ is an invariant measure for the process $(K_{B_N}(\cdot), I_{B_N}(\cdot))$. Let $a \in (0,1)$ such that

(84)
$$
\sup_{N} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} \lambda_{B_N}^a(k,i) d\sigma(k,i) < \infty ,
$$

where λ_{B_N} is defined in Eq. [\(37\)](#page-11-6) and define $d\sigma_N^a := \lambda_{B_N}^a d\sigma$. Then for any **centered** f in $\mathbb{L}^{1}(\sigma)$ and positive time t

$$
||P_N^t f||_{\mathbb{L}^1(\sigma)} \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^a} ||f||_{\mathbb{L}^1(\sigma_N^a)},
$$

where C is a positive constant which dos not depends on N and P_N is the semi-group associated to the process $(K_{B_N}(\cdot), I_{B_N}(\cdot)).$

Proof. This result is proved in a general setting in [\[24,](#page-37-11) Theorem 1.1]. In our context, following the proof of [\[24\]](#page-37-11) we can check that C does not depend on N. \Box

We follow the strategy developed in [\[21\]](#page-36-0) and [\[31\]](#page-37-0). The fundamental tool is Theorem [5.7.](#page-15-0) Let $(K_{B_N}(0), I_{B_N}(0)) = (k, i)$ with k in \mathbb{T}^* and i in $\{1, 2\}$. By definition for any positive time t and u in $\mathbb R$

$$
f_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t,Nu,k,i\right)=\mathbb{E}_{(k,i)}\left[f^0\left(Z_u^N(t),K_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right),I_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right)\right)\right],
$$

where we recall that

$$
Z_u^N(t) = N^{-1} Z_{u_N, B_N} \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t \right) = u - \frac{1}{2\pi N} \int_0^{N^{\beta_{\delta}} t} ds \, \mathbf{v}_{B_N} \left(K_{B_N}(s) \right) .
$$

Let $(m_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers such that

(85)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} m_N = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{m_N}{N} = 0.
$$

Since $X_{B_N}(0) = (K_{B_N}(0), I_{B_N}(0)) = (k, i)$ with k in \mathbb{T}^* by Theorem [5.7](#page-15-0) under $\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}$ the finite-dimensional distributions of $Z_u^N([1 - N^{-\beta_{\delta}}m_N] \cdot)$ converge weakly to the finitedimensional distributions of a Lévy process $Z_u^{\delta}(\cdot)$ generated by \mathfrak{L}_{δ} where \mathfrak{L}_{δ} is defined in Eq. (66) .

For any *i* in $\{1,2\}$, $f^0(i) \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ hence \overline{f}^0 is in $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ where \overline{f}^0 is defined in Eq. (65) . We have then

(86)
$$
\frac{1}{2}\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{E}_{(k,i)}\left[\overline{f}^0\left(Z_u^N\left(\left[1-N^{-\beta_{\delta}}m_N\right]t\right)\right)\right] = \frac{1}{2}\rho_{\delta}(u,t)\quad\mathbb{P}_{(k,i)}\quad a.s\;,
$$

where ρ_{δ} is the unique solution of Eq. [\(67\)](#page-16-5). By using Fourier's inverse formula we have

$$
f_{B_N} (N^{\beta_{\delta}}t, Nu, k, i)
$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} [f^0 (Z_u^N(t), K_{B_N} (N^{\beta_{\delta}}t), I_{B_N} (N^{\beta_{\delta}}t))]$
= $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}^0](p, r, j) \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} [e^{i(pZ_u^N(t) + rK_{B_N} (N^{\beta_{\delta}}t))} 1_{I_{B_N} (N^{\beta_{\delta}}t) = j}],$

where $\tilde{f}^0(i)$ is the function defined for any z in \mathbb{R}, i in $\{1,2\}$, by

(87)
$$
f^{0}(z,k,i) := \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}^{0}(z,r,i) \exp(2\mathbf{i}\pi kr).
$$

By using Fourier's inverse formula we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[\overline{f}^0 \left(Z_u^N \left(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta}} m_N t \right) \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} dk' d\rho(j) f^0 \left(Z_u^N \left(t - N^{\beta_{\delta}} m_N t \right), k', j \right) \right]
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \ \mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}^0](p,r,j) \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[e^{\mathbf{i} p Z_u^N \left(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta}} m_N t \right)} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk' e^{\mathbf{i} k'} \right] .
$$

By using Eq. [\(86\)](#page-22-1) and the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$
\limsup_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} dk \ d\rho(i) \left| f_{B_N} \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t, N u, k, i \right) - \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\delta}(u, t) \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} dk \ d\rho(i) \ |A_{i,r,u,k,N}|,
$$

where

$$
A_{i,r,u,k,N} := f_{B_N} \left(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t, N u, k, i \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[\overline{f}^0 \left(Z_u^N \left(\left[1 - N^{-\beta_{\delta}} m_N \right] t \right) \right) \right] \; .
$$

By using Fourier's inverse formula we get

$$
|A_{i,r,u,k,N}| \leq \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left| \mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}_{j}^{0}](p,r) \right| (|I_{1}^{N}(t,k,i,j,p,r)| + |I_{2}^{N}(t,k,i,j,p,r)|) .
$$

where

$$
I_1^N(t, k, i, j, p, r) = \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[e^{i(pZ_u^N(t) + rK_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t))} 1_{I_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t) = j} \right]
$$

- $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{i(pZ_u^N(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta}}m_Nt) + rK_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t))} 1_{I_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t) = j} \right],$

and

$$
I_2^N(t, k, i, j, p, r) = \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)} \left[e^{\mathbf{i} \left(p Z_u^N(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta}} m_N t) + r K_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t) \right)} \mathbb{1}_{I_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}} t) = j} \right]
$$

$$
- \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\mathbf{i} p Z_u^N(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta}} m_N t)} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\mathbf{i} k'} \, dk' \right].
$$

To conclude the proof it is sufficient to show that for any positive time $t, k \neq 0, (i, j)$ in $\{1,2\}^2$, p in R and r in Z we have

(88)
$$
\forall q \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} |I_q^N(t, k, i, j, p, r)| = 0.
$$

We start to deal with I_1^N .

We recall that for any a in \mathbb{R} we have $\left|1-e^{ia}\right| \leq |a|$ and that the function \mathbf{v}_{B_N} is a bounded function independently of N (see Eq. (16)). Hence, for any positive t, p in R, r in Z and j in $\{1,2\}$ we have

$$
\left| I_1^N(t, k, i, j, p, r) \right| \leq \mathbb{E}_{k,i} \left[\left| 1 - e^{i p \left(Z_u^N(t) - Z_u^N(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta_{m_N t}} t) \right)} \right| \right]
$$

$$
\leq \mathbb{E}_{k,i} \left[\left| p \left(Z_u^N(t) - Z_u^N(t - N^{-\beta_{\delta_{m_N t}} t) \right) \right| \right]
$$

$$
\leq ||\mathbf{v}_{B_N}||_{\infty} t |p | m_N N^{-1},
$$

which goes to zero when N goes to infinity by definition of the sequence $(m_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see Eq. [\(85\)](#page-22-2)). This proves Eq. [\(88\)](#page-23-0) for $i = 1$. It remains to prove the convergence of I_2^N . Using Markov Property we get

$$
\mathbb{E}_{(k,i)}\left[e^{i\left(pZ_u^N(t-N^{-\beta_{\delta}}m_Nt\right)+rK_{B_N}(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t)\right)}\mathbb{1}_{I_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t\right)=j}\right]
$$
\n
$$
=\mathbb{E}_{(k,i)}\left[e^{i\left(pZ_u^N(t-N^{-\beta_{\delta}}m_Nt)\right)}\mathbb{E}_{\left(K_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t-m_Nt\right),I_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t-m_Nt\right)\right)}\left[e^{i\left(rK_{B_N}\left(m_Nt\right)\right)}\mathbb{1}_{I_{B_N}\left(m_Nt\right)=j}\right]\right].
$$

Hence, by Markov Property we get

$$
\left|I_2^N(t,k,i,j,p,r)\right| \leq \mathbb{E}_{(k,i)}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}_{\left(K_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t-m_Nt\right),I_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta}}t-m_Nt\right)\right)}\right|g_r\left(K_{B_N},I_{B_N}\right)\right|\right],
$$

where

$$
g_r(K_{B_N}, I_{B_N}) = e^{\mathrm{i} r K_{B_N}(m_N t)} \mathbb{1}_{I_{B_N}(m_N t) = j} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\mathrm{i} r k'} \, dk' .
$$

Let r in N and g_r the **centered** function defined for any k in T and i in $\{1,2\}$ by

$$
g_r(k,i) = e^{\mathbf{i}rk}\mathbb{1}_j(i) - \frac{1}{2}\int_T e^{\mathbf{i}rk'}dk'.
$$

Using the fact that $\frac{1}{2}dkd\rho(i)$ is the reversible probability measure of the stochastic process $(K_{B_N}(\cdot), I_{B_N}(\cdot))$ we get

$$
\mathbb{E}_{(k,i)}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}_{\left(K_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta t}-m_Nt}\right),I_{B_N}\left(N^{\beta_{\delta t}-m_Nt}\right)\right)}\left[e^{\textbf{i}rK_{B_N}\left(m_Nt\right)}\mathbb{1}_{I_{B_N}\left(m_Nt\right)=j}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}e^{\textbf{i}rk'}dk'\right]\right|\right]
$$
\n
$$
=\left|\left|P_N^{m_Nt}g\right|\right|_{\mathbb{L}^1(\sigma)},
$$

which goes to zero when N goes to infinity according to Lemma [6.5.](#page-22-3) This ends the proof of Theorem [5.9](#page-16-0) .

6.4. **Proof of Theorem [5.11.](#page-17-1)** We recall that $\tau_0 \sim \mathcal{E}(1)$. By applying Fourier's formula to Eq. [\(67\)](#page-16-5) we get for any p in R and positive time t in $[0, T]$

(89)
$$
\mathcal{F}\left[\tilde{\rho}_B\right](t,p) = \exp\left(t\Phi_B(p)\right)\mathcal{F}\left[\overline{f}^0\right](p) ,
$$

where we recall that for any p in $\mathbb R$

$$
\Phi_B(p) = 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\cos(pr) - 1 \right) \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\tau_0^{-1} \left(g_{B,+} \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\tau_0} \right) + g_{B,-} \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\tau_0} \right) \right) \right] \right) dr ,
$$

since $g_{B,\pm}$ are even functions by item i) of Proposition [5.5.](#page-15-1) Observe that Φ_B is negative, to conclude the proof we need the following lemma the proof of which is left to the readers.

Lemma 6.6. There exists functions h_{\pm} and f_{\pm} such that for almost every r

(90)
$$
\forall B > 1, \quad B^{\frac{1}{3}} g_{B,\pm}(r) < f_{\pm}(r) ,
$$

(91)
$$
\forall B < 1, \quad g_{B,\pm}(r) < h_{\pm}(r) ,
$$

where

(92)
$$
\int_0^1 f_{\pm}(r)r^2 dr < \infty \quad and \quad \int_1^{\infty} f_{\pm}(r) dr < \infty ,
$$

(93)
$$
\int_0^1 h_{\pm}(r)r^2 dr < \infty \quad and \quad \int_1^\infty h_{\pm}(r) dr < \infty.
$$

By using item iii), item iv) of Proposition [5.5,](#page-15-1) Lemma [6.6](#page-24-1) and the dominated convergence theorem we get that for almost every p in $\mathbb R$

$$
\lim_{B \to 0} \Phi_B(p) = -\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_0 |p|^{\frac{3}{2}},
$$

$$
\lim_{B \to \infty} B^{\frac{1}{3}} \Phi_B(p) = -\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \right) \gamma^{-\frac{2}{3}} D_\infty |p|^{\frac{5}{3}},
$$

where D_0 and D_{∞} are defined in Eq. [\(68\)](#page-17-2) and Γ denotes the Gamma function. Since the proofs of item i) and ii) of Theorem [5.11](#page-17-1) are similar we only prove item i). By applying Fourier's formula to Eq. [\(69\)](#page-17-7) we obtain

(94)
$$
\mathcal{F}\left[\rho_0\right](t,p) = \exp\left(-t\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{2}\right)\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_0|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\mathcal{F}\left[\overline{f}^0\right](p).
$$

We recall that \overline{f}^0 is in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ hence is in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. By the dominated convergence theorem we deduce that for any positive time t in $[0, T]$

$$
\lim_{B \to 0} \|\tilde{\rho}_B(t, \cdot) - \rho_0(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}^2
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{B \to 0} \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\rho}_B](t, \cdot) - \mathcal{F}[\rho_0](t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}^2
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{B \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathcal{F}\left[\overline{f}^0\right](p) \left(\exp(t\Phi_B(p)) - \exp\left(-t\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\right)\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_0|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right)\right|^2 dp
$$
\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves that for each positive time t, $\rho_B(t, \cdot)$ converges in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $\rho_0(t, \cdot)$. By the dominated convergence theorem we conclude the proof of Theorem [5.11](#page-17-1) .

Appendix A. Proof of the evolution equation of the Wigner distribution

In this appendix we want to prove Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5), this is the aim of Appendix [A.2](#page-25-2) and Appendix [A.3.](#page-28-0) In a first instance, in Appendix [A.1](#page-25-1) we prove Lemma [3.2.](#page-9-6) We end this section by the proof of Lemma [5.2.](#page-13-4)

In order to lighten the notations we denote, for t in $[0, T]$, i in $\{1, 2\}$, k in T and p in R, $\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ $(t\varepsilon^{-1}, k \pm \frac{\varepsilon p}{2})$ by $\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ $(k \pm \frac{\varepsilon p}{2})$.

A.1. Proof of Lemma [3.2.](#page-9-6) Before proving Lemma [3.2,](#page-9-6) we prove that the integral in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-8-3) is well defined. Let $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$, by using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, Fubini's theorem and the periodicity of $\widetilde{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \, |\mathcal{F}[J_i](p,k)| \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \, \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^* \right| \right] \n\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \, \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{F}[J_i](p,k)| \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \, \left| \widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon}(k) \right|^2 \right] \right) \n\leq K_0 ||J||,
$$

where we used Eq. [\(22\)](#page-8-2) to obtain the last inequality. This proves the existence of the integral in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-8-3). The other expressions of the Wigner distribution presented in Sec. [3.4](#page-8-0) are consequences of Fubini's theorem and Fourier's inverse formula. Observe that we proved in fact

(95)
$$
\forall t \in [0,T], \quad |\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t),J\rangle| \leq K_0 ||J||.
$$

Using the same argument we can prove that

(96)
$$
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad |\langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J \rangle| \le K_0 ||J|| \text{ and } |\langle (\mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon})^*, J \rangle| \le K_0 ||J||.
$$

Proof of Lemma [3.2](#page-9-6). By Eq. [\(95\)](#page-25-3) and the linearity of the Fourier transform the proof of item i) is immediate. To prove item ii) we use the evolution equation (see Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5)) satisfied by the Wigner distribution. Let J in $S \times S$, in Appendix [A.2](#page-25-2) and Appendix [A.3](#page-28-0) we prove that

$$
\langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(t), J \rangle - \langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(0), J \rangle = \int_{0}^{t} ds \langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(s), \mathbf{v}_{0} \partial_{u} J \rangle + \gamma \int_{0}^{t} ds \langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(t), C_{0} J \rangle + \gamma \left(\int_{0}^{t} ds \langle \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}(s), C_{0} J \rangle + \int_{0}^{t} ds \langle (\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon})^{*}(s), C_{0} J \rangle \right) + \int_{0}^{t} ds \mathcal{O}_{s, J}(\varepsilon),
$$

with $|\mathcal{O}_{s,J}| \leq K_J$ a constant independent of ε and time s. Since for any t in $[0,T]$, $\langle \mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon}(t), J \rangle$ is the integral of a bounded function this is a continuous function. Using Eq. [\(95\)](#page-25-3) and Eq. [\(96\)](#page-25-4) we conclude the proof of Lemma [3.2.](#page-9-6)

A.2. **Behavior of the transport term.** We recall that $B_{\varepsilon} = B \varepsilon^{\delta}$ with $\delta > 0$. To prove Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5) we use Dynkin's formula, Lemma [3.2](#page-9-6) and Lemma [6.1.](#page-17-6) Let $J := (J_1, J_2)$ in $S \times S$, t in $[0, T]$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$ we want to prove that

$$
\partial_t \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), \mathbf{v}_0 \partial_u J_i \rangle + \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \langle (\mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon})^*(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \mathcal{O}_{t, J}(\varepsilon) .
$$

For any i in $\{1,2\}$ we define ϕ_i by

$$
\phi_i(q^{\varepsilon}(t),p^{\varepsilon}(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \,\widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} (t \varepsilon^{-1}, k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2})^* \,\widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} (t \varepsilon^{-1}, k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2}) \,\mathcal{F}[J_i](p,k)^* .
$$

By the equation above we can write that

(97)
$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon}(t), p^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right] .
$$

By Dynkin's formula we have

$$
\partial_t \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i \rangle = \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ (A + B_{\varepsilon} G) \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon}(t), p^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right] \right\} + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ S \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon}(t), p^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right] \right\}.
$$

To complete the proof of Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5) it is sufficient to prove that

(98)
$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ (A + B_{\varepsilon} G) \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon}(t), p^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right] \right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), \mathbf{v}_0 \partial_u J_i \rangle + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) ,
$$

(99)
$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ S \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon}(t), p^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right] \right\} = \left(\langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \langle (\mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon})^*(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle \right) + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) .
$$

In this section we prove Eq. (98) and in Appendix [A.3](#page-28-0) we prove Eq. (99) .

Let i in $\{1, 2\}$, by Lemma [3.1](#page-7-6) and the fact that $A + B\varepsilon^{\delta}G$ is a first order differential operator we have

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ (A + B_{\varepsilon} G) \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon} \left(t \right), p^{\varepsilon} \left(t \right) \right) \right] \right\} \n= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \, \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^* \widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{F} \left[J_i \right] (p, k)^* \n\times \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\varepsilon} \left[\omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] .
$$

We split the proof of Eq. (98) into two steps, the first one which follows [\[4\]](#page-36-15) is to prove the following

(101)
$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ (A + B_{\varepsilon} G) \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon}(t) \, , p^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \right] \right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t) , \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} \partial_u J \rangle + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) \ ,
$$

where $|\mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon)| \leq \kappa_J(B) \times \varepsilon$ and $\kappa_J(B)$ is a constant which depends only on J and B. The second step will be to prove the following equality

(102)
$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} \partial_u J_i \rangle = \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), \mathbf{v}_0 \partial_u J_i \rangle + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) .
$$

To prove Eq. [\(101\)](#page-26-2), we need the following lemma which is proved at the end of this section.

Lemma A.1. We recall that the functions $\omega_{1/2,B_{\varepsilon}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ are defined in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-7-3) and Eq. [\(16\)](#page-7-5) respectively. They satisfie the following properties.

- i) $\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ is a bounded function on \mathbb{T} .
- ii) There exists a positive constant C such that

$$
\forall p \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \left| \omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right| \leq C|p|.
$$

iii) For all p in $\mathbb R$ and k in $\mathbb T$ such that $|k| > \varepsilon |p|$ we have

$$
\varepsilon^{-1}\left|\omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}}\left(k+\frac{\varepsilon p}{2}\right)-\omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}}\left(k-\frac{\varepsilon p}{2}\right)-\varepsilon p\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}(k)\right|\lesssim \varepsilon\frac{|p|^2}{|k|}\;.
$$

Let $\kappa > 0$ and η in $]0,1[$ with $\delta > \eta$. We denote by κ_{ε} , the real $\kappa \varepsilon^{\eta}$ and define I^{ε} as follows

(103)
$$
I^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ (A + B_{\varepsilon} G) \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon} \left(t \right), p^{\varepsilon} \left(t \right) \right) \right] \right\} - \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon} (t), \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} \partial_u J_i \rangle.
$$

Using Eq. [\(100\)](#page-26-3) we cut I^{ε} into two terms denoted by $I_{\leq}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})$ and $I_{\leq}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})$ with

$$
I_{\leq}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{|k| < \kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \, \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{F} \left[J_{i} \right] (p, k)^{*}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\varepsilon} \left[\omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \varepsilon p \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} (k) \right] .
$$

By using point i) and point ii) of Lemma [A.1](#page-26-4) and the fact that J_i belongs to S we have

$$
|I^\varepsilon_{\leq}(\kappa_\varepsilon)| \lesssim \int_{|k|<\kappa_\varepsilon} dk \,\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\widehat\psi_i^\varepsilon(k)\right|^2\right] \,\,.
$$

By Lemma [5.2](#page-13-4) we obtain then

$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}I^\varepsilon_<\left(\kappa_\varepsilon\right)=0\ .
$$

It remains to deal with $I_{>}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})$. We have

$$
I_{>}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\varepsilon|p|>\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dp \int_{|k|>\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{F}[J_{i}] (p, k)^{*}
$$

\n
$$
\times \frac{i}{\varepsilon} \left[\omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \varepsilon p \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}(k) \right]
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\varepsilon|p|<\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dp \int_{|k|>\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{F}[J_{i}] (p, k)^{*}
$$

\n
$$
\times \frac{i}{\varepsilon} \left[\omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega_{i, B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \varepsilon p \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}(k) \right]
$$

\n
$$
= I_{>,1}^{\varepsilon} (\kappa_{\varepsilon}) + I_{>,2}^{\varepsilon} (\kappa_{\varepsilon}) .
$$

By the points i) and ii) of Lemma $A.1$ and Eq. (22) we have

$$
\left|I_{>,1}^{\varepsilon}\left(\kappa_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \lesssim K_{0} \int_{|p|>\kappa \varepsilon^{\eta-1}} dp \left(p+1\right) \sup_{k\in\mathbb{T}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left[J_{i}\right]\left(p,k\right)\right|,
$$

which goes to zero when ε goes to zero since η < 1. For the remaining term we have that $|k| > \kappa_{\varepsilon} > \varepsilon |p|$ hence by point iii) of Lemma [A.1](#page-26-4) we get

$$
\left|I_{>,2}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})\right| \lesssim K_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \, \frac{\varepsilon |p|^2}{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \mathcal{F}\left[J_i\right](p,k) \right| \, ,
$$

which goes to zero since η < 1.

In order to end the computations for the transport term it remains to prove Eq. [\(102\)](#page-26-5). We define R^{ε} in the following way

$$
R^{\varepsilon} = \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}, [\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} - \mathbf{v}_0] \, \partial_u J_i \rangle \ .
$$

Hence, we want to prove that $(R^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges to zero when ε goes to zero. As we did before for I^{ε} , we cute R^{ε} into two terms $R^{\varepsilon}_{\leq}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})$ and $R^{\varepsilon}_{\geq}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})$ where

$$
R^{\varepsilon}_{\leq}(\kappa_{\varepsilon}) = \langle \mathcal{W}_{i}^{\varepsilon}, [\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} - \mathbf{v}_{0}] \, \partial_{u} J_{i} \mathbb{1}_{\{k \leq \kappa_{\varepsilon}\}} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad R^{\varepsilon}_{>}(\kappa_{\varepsilon}) = \langle \mathcal{W}_{i}^{\varepsilon}, [\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}} - \mathbf{v}_{0}] \, \partial_{u} J_{i} \mathbb{1}_{\{k \geq \kappa_{\varepsilon}\}} \rangle \; .
$$

We start to show that $(R^{\varepsilon}_{>}(\kappa_{\varepsilon}))_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges to zero. Using the fact that \mathbf{v}_0 is bounded and the fact that $k > \kappa_{\varepsilon}$ we can bound $|R_{>}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})|$ by

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{|k|>\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right| \right] \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{F}[J_{i}] (p, k)| \frac{|p|}{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \times \left| \sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k)} - \sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k) + \frac{B^{2} \varepsilon^{2 \delta}}{4}} \right| .
$$

Since $k > \kappa_{\varepsilon}$, $\widehat{\alpha}(k) > 0$ and by using a Taylor expansion we get

$$
|R^{\varepsilon}_{>}(\rho)| \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{|k|>\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right| \right]
$$

\$\times \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{F}[J_{i}] (p,k)| \times \left(\frac{|p|B^{2} \varepsilon^{2\delta}}{\kappa_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k)}} \right)\$
\$\lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{|k|>\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right)^{*} \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) \right| \right] \times \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{F}[J_{i}] (p,k)| \times \left(\frac{|p|B^{2} \varepsilon^{2\delta}}{|\kappa_{\varepsilon}|^{2}} \right)\$
\$\lesssim K_{0} \varepsilon^{2(\delta - \eta)},\$

which goes to zero when ε goes to zero since $\delta > \eta$ by assumption. It remains to show that $(R\xi(\kappa_{\varepsilon}))_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges to zero. By using the fact that \mathbf{v}_0 and $\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ are bounded and the fact that J_i belongs to S we obtain for any time t in [0, T]

$$
|R_{\leq}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_{\varepsilon})| \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|k|<\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \, \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(k\right) \right|^{2} \right] = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|k|<\kappa_{\varepsilon}} dk \, \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(t\varepsilon^{-1},k\right) \right|^{2} \right] ,
$$

which goes to zero by Lemma [5.2.](#page-13-4) Hence, we proved that for any i in $\{1, 2\}$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}}\left\{\left(A+B_{\varepsilon}G\right)\left[\phi_{i}\left(q^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right),p^{\varepsilon}\left(t\right)\right)\right]\right\}=\langle\mathcal{W}_{i}^{\varepsilon}(t),\mathbf{v}_{0}\partial_{u}J_{i}\rangle+\mathcal{O}_{J}(\varepsilon)\ .
$$

So far we obtained the first term of Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5) which corresponds to the transport term of the Boltzmann equation (see Eq. [\(29\)](#page-9-2)). In the next section, we obtain the last terms of Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5). Before doing this, we give the sketch of the proof of Lemma [A.1.](#page-26-4)

Sketch of the proof of Lemma [A.1.](#page-26-4) We recall that $\hat{\alpha}(k) = 4\pi^2 \sin^2(\pi k)$.
Hence by definition we have for every k in \mathbb{T} . Hence, by definition we have for every k in $\mathbb T$

$$
\mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}(k) = \frac{\widehat{\alpha}'(k)}{2\sqrt{\widehat{\alpha}(k) + \frac{B_{\varepsilon}^2}{4}}} = \frac{4\pi^3 \cos(\pi k) \sin(\pi k)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(\pi k) + \frac{B_{\varepsilon}^2}{4}}} \lesssim 4\pi^3.
$$

This ends the proof of point i). Using a Taylor expansion, the proof of point ii) follows using the item i). It remains to deal with point iii). For $p = 0$, the results is obvious since $|k| > \varepsilon |p|$ hence it is sufficient to discuss the case $|k| > 0$. Let f_i and g_i be two real-valued functions defined on $]0, \varepsilon[$ by

$$
f_i(s) = \omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{sp}{2} \right)
$$
 and $g_i(s) = \omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{sp}{2} \right)$

.

Then we have

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \left| \omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k + \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega_{i,B_{\varepsilon}} \left(k - \frac{\varepsilon p}{2} \right) - \varepsilon p \mathbf{v}_{B_{\varepsilon}}(k) \right| = \varepsilon^{-1} \left[f_i(\varepsilon) - f_i(0) - \varepsilon f'_i(0) \right] - \varepsilon^{-1} \left[g_i(\varepsilon) - g_i(0) - \varepsilon g'_i(0) \right] .
$$

We conclude the proof by a Taylor expansion and using the fact that $\hat{\alpha}(k) > C|k|$ where C is a positive constant. is a positive constant.

A.3. Behavior of the collisional term. Let i in $\{1,2\}$ and $i^* = 3 - i$, following the proof did by the authors of [\[31\]](#page-37-0) we can prove that

(104)
$$
\gamma \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[S \left[\phi_i \left(q^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1} \right), p^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1} \right) \right) \right] \right] = \gamma \left(\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [K_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i \rangle \right) + \gamma \left(\langle (\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon})^*(t), [K_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i \rangle \right) + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) ,
$$

where for any (u, k) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

$$
[C_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i(u,k) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk' \, \theta_{i,B_{\varepsilon}}^2(k) R(k,k') \, \theta_{j,B_{\varepsilon}}^2(k') [J_j(u,k') - J_i(u,k)] ,
$$

\n
$$
[K_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i(u,k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk' \, \theta_{1,B_{\varepsilon}}(k) \, \theta_{2,B_{\varepsilon}}(k) R(k,k') \left[\theta_{i^*,B_{\varepsilon}}^2(k') J_{i^*}(u,k') - \frac{J_i(u,k)}{2} \right] .
$$

To conclude the proof of Eq. [\(99\)](#page-26-1) we have to replace $K_{B_{\varepsilon}}J$ and $C_{B_{\varepsilon}}J$ in Eq. [\(104\)](#page-28-1) by C_0J where for any (u, k) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

$$
[C_0 J]_i(u, k) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk' \frac{1}{4} R(k, k') [J_j(u, k') - J_i(u, k)] .
$$

Since the arguments are similar we only prove that

(105)
$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_{B_{\varepsilon}}J]_i \rangle = \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0J]_i \rangle + \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) .
$$

We define an operator $\tilde{C}_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ on $S \times S$ where for any i in $\{1,2\}$ and (u,k) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

$$
[\tilde{C}_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i(u,k) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk' \frac{\theta_{i,B_{\varepsilon}}^2(k)}{2} R(k,k') [J_j(u,k') - J_i(u,k)] .
$$

Let i in $\{1,2\}$ we first prove that

(106)
$$
\left\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_{B_{\varepsilon}}J]_i - [\tilde{C}_{B_{\varepsilon}}J]_i \right\rangle = \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) .
$$

Let (p, k) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ and j in $\{1, 2\}$, we recall that

$$
R(k, k') = 16 \sin^2(\pi k) \sin^2(\pi k') .
$$

Then

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk' R(k, k') \theta_{i, B_{\varepsilon}}^{2}(k) \left[\theta_{j, B_{\varepsilon}}^{2}(k') - \frac{1}{2} \right] \left[\mathcal{F} \left[J_{j} \right] (p, k')^{*} - \mathcal{F} \left[J_{i} \right] (p, k)^{*} \right] \right| \lesssim \left| B \varepsilon^{\delta} | \kappa_{J}(p) \right|,
$$

where

$$
\kappa_J(p) = \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{F}[J_i](k, p)| + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{F}[J_j](k, p)| \right) .
$$

Hence, using the fact that J_i belongs to S and the usual argument we conclude that

$$
\left| \left\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i - [\tilde{C}_{B_{\varepsilon}} J]_i \right\rangle \right| \lesssim K_0 \|J\| B {\varepsilon}^{\delta} .
$$

This proves Eq. [\(106\)](#page-29-1). Similarly , one can prove that

$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t),[\tilde{C}_{B_{\varepsilon}}J]_i-[C_0J]_i\rangle = \mathcal{O}_J(\varepsilon) .
$$

By the triangle inequality we obtain Eq. [\(105\)](#page-28-2) and conclude the proof of Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5).

A.4. Proof of Lemma [5.2.](#page-13-4) In this section, we prove Lemma [5.2](#page-13-4) which allows us to extend the assumption [\(50\)](#page-13-2), on the initial distribution μ^{ε} to times $t \varepsilon^{-1}$. The proof of this Lemma follows the one of [\[4,](#page-36-15) Lemma 7]. Let $J = (J_1, J_2)$ be a bounded measurable function on T. Then for any i in $\{1,2\}$ and t in $[0, T]$

(107)
$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k \right) \right|^2 \right] J_i(k)^* dk.
$$

(108)
$$
\langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t),J\rangle = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}}\left[\widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon}\left(t\varepsilon^{-1},k\right)\widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon}\left(t\varepsilon^{-1},-k\right)\right]J_i(k)^* dk.
$$

Since J is a bounded measurable function the previous objects are well defined. Indeed, we have

(109)
$$
|\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t),J\rangle| \leq K_0 \sup_{k\in\mathbb{T}} |J(k)| \text{ and } |\langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t),J\rangle| \leq K_0 \sup_{k\in\mathbb{T}} |J(k)|.
$$

Using Lemma [3.1](#page-7-6) we get

(110)
$$
(A+B_{\varepsilon}G)\left[\left|\widehat{\psi}_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right](k)=0.
$$

Following the proof presented in Appendix [A.3](#page-28-0) and using Eq. [\(110\)](#page-29-2) we obtain that

$$
\partial_t \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i \rangle = \gamma \left(\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle + \langle (\mathcal{A}_i^{\varepsilon})^*(t), [C_0 J]_i \rangle \right) + \mathcal{O}_{t, J}(\varepsilon) ,
$$

where we recall that for any i in $\{1, 2\}$, $[C_0 J]_i$ is defined in Eq. [\(27\)](#page-9-7), κ is a positive constant and η is in [0, 1[. Let $\kappa_{\varepsilon} := \kappa \varepsilon^{\eta}$ and $J^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} := (1_{[-\kappa_{\varepsilon},\kappa_{\varepsilon}]},1_{[-\kappa_{\varepsilon},\kappa_{\varepsilon}]})$. Then we have

$$
|[C_0 J^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}]_i(k)| \lesssim (\kappa_{\varepsilon} + J^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}_i(k)) ,
$$

and

$$
\langle \mathcal{A}_i^\varepsilon(t) + (\mathcal{A}_i^\varepsilon)^*(t), [C_0J^{\kappa_\varepsilon}]_i \rangle \lesssim |\langle \mathcal{W}_i^\varepsilon(t), [C_0J^{\kappa_\varepsilon}]_i \rangle| \enspace .
$$

Hence, using Eq. [\(72\)](#page-17-5) we obtain that for any i in $\{1, 2\}$ and t in $[0, T]$

$$
\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \rangle \lesssim \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(0), J_i^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \rangle + (C_1 \rho + C_2 \varepsilon)t + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(s), J_i^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \rangle ds ,
$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two positive constants. By Gronwall's lemma we conclude that (111) $\langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \rangle \lesssim \exp(C_3) \left(C_1 \kappa_{\varepsilon} + C_2 \varepsilon + \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(0), J_i^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \rangle \right) .$

Using assumption [\(50\)](#page-13-2) the previous term goes to zero when ε goes to zero which proves that

$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \langle \mathcal{W}_i^{\varepsilon}(t), J_i^{\kappa_{\varepsilon}} \rangle = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|k| < \kappa_{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left[\left| \widehat{\psi}_i^{\varepsilon} \left(t \varepsilon^{-1}, k \right) \right|^2 \right] \, dk = 0 \; .
$$

This ends the proof of Lemma [5.2.](#page-13-4)

A.5. Proof of the uniqueness in the Boltzmann equation. In this section, we want to prove Lemma [4.1.](#page-10-3) Let μ be a **Borel measure valued** solution of Eq. [\(29\)](#page-9-2). Then, for any $J := (J_1, J_2) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ we have that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E} \left[J(u,k) \ d\mu_i(t,u,k) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E} \left[J_{\tilde{I}_B(t)} \left(\tilde{Z}_{u,B}(t), \tilde{K}_B(t) \right) \ d\mu_i(0,u,k) \right]
$$

where $(\tilde{Z}_{u,B}(\cdot), \tilde{I}_B(\cdot), \tilde{K}_B(\cdot))$ is the dual process of $(Z_{u,B}(\cdot), I_B(\cdot), K_B(\cdot))$ starting from (u, k, i) . Hence, the infinitesimal generator of the dual process is defined by $\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{v}_B \partial_u$ where \mathcal{L}_B is defined in Eq. [\(35\)](#page-11-2). Since the Markov process $(\tilde{Z}_{u,B}(\cdot), \tilde{I}_B(\cdot), \tilde{K}_B(\cdot))$ is unique, we conclude the proof.

Appendix B. Proof of Proposition [5.4](#page-14-0) and Proposition [5.5](#page-15-1)

In this section, we prove Proposition [5.4](#page-14-0) and Proposition [5.5.](#page-15-1)

B.1. **Proof of Proposition [5.4.](#page-14-0)** We recall that $B > 0$ and that x_{B+} is defined in Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5). Observe that $sign(x_{B,\pm}(r)) = sign(r)$, moreover Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5) has exactly two solutions with opposite sign. This proves that $x_{B,\pm}(-r) = -x_{B,\pm}(r)$ and ends the proof of item i).

We prove item ii), since the proof are similar we only give the details for $x_{B,+}$. Using Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5) we get that for any $r \neq 0$

$$
|x_{B,+}(r)| < \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}} |r|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ .
$$

Hence for each $r \neq 0$, $(x_{B,+}(r))_{B>0}$ is a bounded sequence and therefore admits an accumulation point. Observing that there is only one accumulation point we conclude that the whole sequence converges and

$$
\lim_{B \to 0} x_{B,+}(r) = \text{sign}(r) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}} |r|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = x_0(r) .
$$

To prove the convergence of the sequence $(x'_{B,+})_{B>0}$ we derive Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5) with respect to r and we send B to zero. This concludes the proof of item ii).

We prove item iii). We can write Eq. (55) in the following way

(112)
$$
\left(\sqrt{\frac{4x_{B,\pm}^2(r)}{B^2} + 1} \pm 1\right) x_{B,\pm}(r) = \frac{\pi}{B\gamma r}
$$

Using Eq. [\(112\)](#page-30-4) we observe that the sequence $(B^{-1}x_{B,\pm}(r))_{B>0}$ converges to zero when B goes to infinity. Hence by performing a Taylor expansion in Eq. [\(112\)](#page-30-4) we obtain the first part of item iii). To conclude the proof we derive Eq. (112) with respect to r and send B to infinity.

.

B.2. **Proof of Proposition [5.5.](#page-15-1)** Let $r \neq 0$ we have

(113)
$$
g_{B,\pm}(r) = -\frac{x'_{B,\pm}(r)}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x_{B,\pm}^2(r) + \frac{B^2}{4}}} \right) x_{B,\pm}^2(r) \, 1_{r>0}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{x'_{B,\pm}(r)}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x_{B,\pm}^2(r) + \frac{B^2}{4}}} \right) x_{B,\pm}^2(r) \, 1_{r<0} \, .
$$

By Proposition [5.4,](#page-14-0) $x_{B,\pm}$ is an odd function, hence its derivative is an even function and this proves item i).

Since the arguments are similar, to prove item ii) it is sufficient to show that

(114)
$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} g'_{B,\pm}(r) dr < \infty \text{ and } \int_{0}^{1} r^{2} g'_{B,\pm}(r) dr < \infty.
$$

Let $A > 1$, then

$$
\int_1^A g'_{B,\pm}(r) \, dr \lesssim 3^{-1} (x^3_{B,\pm}(1) - x^3_{B,\pm}(A)) \; .
$$

By Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5) we deduce that $(x_{B,\pm}(A))_{A>1}$ goes to zero when A goes to infinity. The monotone convergence theorem ends the proof. Let $\varepsilon < 1,$ we have

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^2 h'_{B,\pm}(r) \, dr \lesssim 3^{-1} (x_{B,\pm}^3(1) - \varepsilon^2 x_{B,\pm}^3(\varepsilon)) + \frac{2}{3} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r x_{B,\pm}^3(r) \, dr \; .
$$

By Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5) and using that $x_{B,\pm}(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ we get

(115)
$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} rx_{B,\pm}^{3}(r) dr = \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{\pi x_{B,\pm}^{2}(r) dr}{\gamma \left(2\sqrt{x_{B,\pm}^{2}(r) + \frac{B^{2}}{4}} \pm B\right)}.
$$

By sending r to zero in Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5) we obtain that for any B and r in^{[9](#page-31-2)} $V(0)$

$$
x_{B,\pm}(r) \sim |r|^{-\frac{1}{2}}C(B)
$$
,

where $C(B)$ is a constant which depends on B. Hence we deduce that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x_{B,\pm}^3(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 rx_{B,\pm}^3(r) \, dr < \infty \; .
$$

This ends the proof of item ii). Using item ii) (resp. item iii)), of Proposition [5.4](#page-14-0) and sending B to zero (resp. to infinity) in Eq. (113) we get item iii) (resp. item iv)) of Proposition [5.5](#page-15-1) and conclude the proof.

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF PROPOSITION [5.6](#page-15-2) AND PROPOSITION [6.2](#page-20-0)

We recall that $B > 0$. In this section, first we prove Proposition [5.6](#page-15-2) which gives us the tails of the function Ψ_{B_N} under the measure π_{B_N} . Then we prove Proposition [6.2](#page-20-0) which allows us to prove Theorem [5.7.](#page-15-0)

C.1. **Proof of Proposition [5.6.](#page-15-2)** We recall that Ψ_{B_N} is defined by Eq. [\(54\)](#page-14-2). Since for any i, $\Psi_{B_N}(\cdot, i)$ is an odd function and that the density of π_{B_N} with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb T$ is even we have for any $r \neq 0$

$$
\pi_{B_N}(\{(k,i), \quad \Psi_{B_N}(k,i) > Nr\}) = \pi_{B_N}(\{(k,i), \quad \Psi_{B_N}(k,i) < -Nr\}) .
$$

Hence, we only prove the result for $r > 0$. We make the change of variables $x = \sin(\pi k)N^{\delta}$ for k in $\mathbb T$ and we get for $r > 0$

$$
\pi_{B_N} (\{(k, i), \quad \Psi_{B_N}(k, i) > Nr\})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{N^{-3\delta}}{4\pi} \int_0^{N^{\delta}} 1_{A_{N, B, +}(r)}(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}}\right) \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 N^{-2\delta}}} dx
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{N^{-3\delta}}{4\pi} \int_0^{N^{\delta}} 1_{A_{N, B, -}(r)}(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}}\right) \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 N^{-2\delta}}} dx
$$
\n
$$
=: I_{B,+}^N(r) + I_{B,-}^N(r) ,
$$

⁹Here, $V(0)$ denotes a neighborhood of 0.

where

$$
A_{N,B,\pm}(r) = \left\{ x \mid \frac{\left(2\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}} \pm B\right)x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 N^{-2\delta}}} < \frac{\pi N^{2\delta - 1}}{\gamma r} \right\} .
$$

Let $r \neq 0$, we define $x_{N,B,\pm}(r)$ the solutions on $[-N^{\delta}, N^{\delta}]$ of the following equations

(116)
$$
\frac{\left(2\sqrt{x_{N,B,\pm}^2(r)+\frac{B^2}{4}}+B\right)x_{N,B,\pm}(r)}{\sqrt{1-x_{N,B,\pm}^2(r)N^{-2\delta}}}=\frac{\pi N^{2\delta-1}}{\gamma r}.
$$

Observe that $sign(x_{N,B,\pm}(r)) = sign(r)$. To complete the proof of Proposition [5.6](#page-15-2) we need the following lemma which is proved at the end of this section.

Lemma C.1. Let $r \neq 0$, we have the following results i) If $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ then

(117)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta} x_{N,B,\pm}(r) = \sqrt{\pi} (2\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} sign(r)|r|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

ii) If $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ then

(118)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} x_{N,B,\pm}(r) = x_{B,\pm}(r) ,
$$

where $x_{B,\pm}$ is defined in Eq. [\(55\)](#page-14-5). iii) If $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ then

(119)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{1-2\delta} x_{N,B,+}(r) = \pi (r\gamma)^{-1} B.
$$

(120)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{1-2\delta}{3}} x_{N,B,-}(r) = (\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}} (2\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{3}} sign(r) |r|^{-\frac{1}{3}} B^{\frac{1}{3}}.
$$

From Lemma [C.1](#page-32-1) we deduce that for any $r \neq 0$

$$
I_{B,\pm}^N(r) = \frac{N^{-3\delta}}{4\pi} \int_0^{x_{N,B,\pm}(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}}\right) \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 N^{-2\delta}}} dx \, 1_{r>0}(r) \; .
$$

For $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$, we make the change of variables $y = xN^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ in $I_{B,\pm}^N$ and by the dominated convergence theorem we get that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{3}{2}} I_{B,\pm}^N(r) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} r^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2^{\frac{9}{2}} 3 \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}}.
$$

From this we deduce that for $r > 0$

(121)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{3}{2}} \pi_{B_N} \left(\{(k,i) | \Psi_{B_N}(k,i) > Nr \} \right) = \kappa_1 r^{-\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}},
$$

with

$$
\kappa_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{\frac{7}{2}}3}
$$

• Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, by using the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{3\delta} I_{B, \pm}^N(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{x_{B,1}(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B}{4\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}} \right) x^2 dx = h_{B, \pm}(r) ,
$$

.

where $h_{B,\pm}$ is defined in Eq. [\(56\)](#page-15-5).

• Let $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$, then we make the change of variables $y = xN^{\frac{1-2\delta}{3}}$ in $I_{B,-}^{N}(r)$ and the change of variables $y = xN^{1-2\delta}$ in $I_{B,+}^{N}(r)$ and by the dominated convergence theorem we get that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{1-\delta} I_{B,+}^N = 3^{-1} \pi^3 (r\gamma)^{-3} B^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{5-\delta}{2}} I_{B,-}^N = \kappa_2 \gamma^{-\frac{5}{3}} B^{-\frac{1}{3}} |r|^{-\frac{5}{3}} ,
$$

with

(123)
$$
\kappa_2 = \frac{\pi^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2^{\frac{11}{3}}3}.
$$

From this we deduce that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{5-\delta}{2}} \pi_{B_N} \left\{ (k,i) | \Psi_{B_N}(k,i) > N r \right\} = \kappa_2 \gamma^{-\frac{5}{3}} B^{-\frac{1}{3}} |r|^{-\frac{5}{3}}.
$$

This ends the proof of Proposition [5.6.](#page-15-2)

Proof of Lemma [C.1.](#page-32-1) Since the proofs are similar we will only prove the results for $r > 0$ and $(x_{N,B,-}(r))_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$.

When $2\delta - 1 > 0$, $N^{2\delta - 1}$ goes to infinity when N goes to infinity, hence by Eq. [\(116\)](#page-32-2) $(x_{N,B,-}(r))_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not bounded. Since $r > 0$, $(x_{N,B,-}(r))_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is positive we deduce that $(x_{N,B,-}(r))_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ goes to infinity when N goes to infinity. By a Taylor expansion in N in Eq. [\(116\)](#page-32-2) we get Eq. [\(118\)](#page-32-3).

When $2\delta - 1 \leq 0$, $N^{2\delta - 1}$ is bounded, then we deduce that the sequence $(x_{N,B,-}(r))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and has an accumulation point denoted by $l_B(r)$. By sending N to infinity in Eq. [\(116\)](#page-32-2) we get that for $2\delta - 1 < 0$ (resp. $2\delta = 1$), $l_B(r) = 0$ (resp. $l_B(r) = x_{B,\pm}(r)$ defined in Eq. (55)). By a Taylor expansion in Eq. (116) we obtain Eq. (118) and Eq. (120) . This ends the proof of Lemma [C.1.](#page-32-1) \Box

C.2. Proof of Proposition [6.2.](#page-20-0) To prove Proposition [6.2](#page-20-0) we need the following result which is adapted from $[17,$ Theorem 4.1 and $[21,$ Lemma 4.2.

Proposition C.2. Let $(Z_N^n)_{(N,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$ an array of random variables and its natural array of filtration $(\mathcal{G}_N^n)_{(N,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$. Let β in $(1,2)$ and ν a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^* . We define a sequence of stochastic processes $(M_N(\cdot))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

(124)
$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad M_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor N^{\beta} t \rfloor} Z_n^N.
$$

Let g in $C_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^*)$ and $r \neq 0$ we assume that

(125)
$$
\forall N \geq 1, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[Z_N^n \middle| \mathcal{G}_N^{n-1}\right] = 0,
$$

(126)
$$
\forall r > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta} \mathbb{P} \left[Z_N^1 > Nr \right] = \nu(r, +\infty) ,
$$

(127)
$$
\forall r < 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta} \mathbb{P} \left[Z_N^1 < N r \right] = \nu(-\infty, r) ,
$$

(128)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N^{\beta} t} \mathbb{E} \left[g \left(\frac{Z_N^n}{N} \right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_N^{n-1} \right] - t \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(r) \, d\nu(r) \right| \right] = 0,
$$

(129)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(g \left(\frac{Z_N^1}{N} \right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_N^0 \right) \right]^2 = 0.
$$

Then the finite-dimensional distributions of $(N^{-1}M_N(\cdot))_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge weakly to $Z(\cdot)$ in $\mathcal{D}([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ where $Z(\cdot)$ is a Lévy process with Lévy measure ν .

Proof. We refer the reader to [\[23,](#page-36-24) Appendix A] for the proof of Proposition [C.2.](#page-33-2) \Box

We recall that π_{B_N} is the stationary measure of the chain $(K_{B_N}^n, I_{B_N}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let β_{δ} defined in Eq. [\(60\)](#page-15-3). We recall that for any u in $\mathbb R$ and positive time t in $[0, T]$

$$
Y_{u_N}^N(t) = u - N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta} \delta t \rfloor} \Psi_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n \right) \frac{\tau_n^N}{2\pi}.
$$

We introduce the array $(Z_N^n)_{(N,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2} := (\Psi_{B_N} (X_{B_N}^n) \tau_N^n)_{(N,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$ and its natural array of filtration $(\mathcal{G}_N^n)_{(N,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$. To prove Proposition [6.2](#page-20-0) it is sufficient to show that the array $(Z_N^n)_{(N,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition [C.2.](#page-33-2)

Since Ψ_{B_N} is a centered function, Eq. [\(125\)](#page-33-3) is satisfied. By Proposition [5.6,](#page-15-2) Eq. [\(126\)](#page-33-4) and Eq. (127) are satisfied. It remains to prove Eq. (128) and Eq. (129) .

Proof of Eq. [\(128\)](#page-33-6). Let g in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^*)$, we want to prove that

(130)
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor N^{\beta \delta} t \rfloor} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[g \left(\frac{Z_N^n}{N} \right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_N^{n-1} \right] - t \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(r) \, d\nu_{\delta}(r) \right| \right] = 0,
$$

where ν_{δ} is the Lévy measure defined in Eq. [\(60\)](#page-15-3). We have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} t \rfloor} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[g \left(\frac{Z_N^n}{N} \right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_N^{n-1} \right] \right]
$$
\n
$$
= N^{\beta_{\delta}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} du \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} g \left(\frac{\Psi_{B_N}(k,i)u}{2\pi N} \right) d\pi_{B_N}(k,i)
$$
\n
$$
= N^{\beta_{\delta}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} du \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} \int_0^{\infty} (2\pi N)^{-1} g' \left(\frac{r}{2\pi N} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[0,\Psi_{B_N}(k,i)u]}(r) d\pi_{B_N}(k,i) dr
$$
\n
$$
= N^{\beta_{\delta}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} du \int_0^{\infty} g'(r) \pi_{B_N} \left(\Psi_{B_N} \ge \frac{2\pi Nr}{u} \right) dr.
$$

Using the tails condition (item i) of Proposition [5.6\)](#page-15-2) we get that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\beta_{\delta}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} du \int_0^{\infty} g'(r) \pi_{B_N} \left(\Psi_{B_N} \ge \frac{2\pi N r}{u} \right) dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(r) d\nu_{\delta}(r) .
$$

This proves Eq. [\(128\)](#page-33-6). From this result we deduce that Eq. [\(129\)](#page-33-7) is satisfied and the proof of Proposition [6.2](#page-20-0) is complete. \Box

Appendix D. Proof of Lemma [6.3](#page-20-1)

We recall that π_{B_N} is the stationary measure of the chain $(K_{B_N}^n, I_{B_N}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. To prove Lemma [6.3](#page-20-1) we need the following result.

Lemma D.1. Let $u > 0$ then

(131)
$$
\forall \sigma > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \lambda_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n \right) \tau_N^n - \frac{u}{2} \right| > \sigma \right] = 0.
$$

Proof of Lemma [D.1.](#page-34-1) In order to prove the convergence in probability we prove the convergence in \mathbb{L}^1 . Let N be fixed, we define the sequence of function $(\lambda_{B_N}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ where for any k in $\mathbb T$ and i in $\{1,2\}$ we have

$$
\lambda_{B_N}^{\varepsilon}(k,i) = \lambda_{B_N}(k,i) \mathbb{1}_{\left[-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right] \cup \left[\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right]} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lambda}_{B_N}^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1,2\}} \lambda_{B_N}^{\varepsilon}(k,i) \, d\pi_{B_N}(k,i) \, d\pi_{
$$

Observe that for any ε , $\lambda_{B_N}^{\varepsilon}$ is in $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi_{B_N})$. Using the stationnarity of the Markov chain $(X_{B_N}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the definition of π_{B_N} given by Eq. [\(41\)](#page-11-5) we have

$$
\frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}}u \rfloor} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}}u \rfloor} \left| \lambda_{B_{N}} \left(X_{B_{N}}^{n} \right) \tau_{N}^{n} - \lambda_{B_{N}}^{\varepsilon} \left(X_{B_{N}}^{n} \right) \tau_{N}^{n} \right| \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{1}} \leq C(\varepsilon),
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}}u \rfloor} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}}u \rfloor} \left| \overline{\lambda}_{B_{N}}^{\varepsilon} - \frac{u}{2} \right| \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{1}} \leq C(\varepsilon),
$$

where $|C(\varepsilon)| \lesssim \varepsilon$.

By the triangle inequality, to end the proof it is sufficient to prove that for any ε we have

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \lambda_{B_N}^{\varepsilon} \left(X_{B_N}^n \right) \tau_N^n - \bar{\lambda}_{B_N}^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} = 0.
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and that for any N the random variables $(X_{B_N}^n, \tau_N^n)$ are independent and centered we get

$$
\frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}}u\rfloor} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{N^{\beta_{\delta}}u} \lambda_{B_N}^{\varepsilon} \left(X_{B_N}^n\right) \tau_n^N - \bar{\lambda}_{B_N}^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} \leq \frac{K(\varepsilon)}{\sqrt{N^{\beta_{\delta}}}} ,
$$

where $K(\varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon$. Finally we get that

$$
\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \lambda_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n \right) \tau_N^n - \bar{\lambda}_{B_N}^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} \leq C(\varepsilon) .
$$

By sending ε to zero we end the proof of Lemma [D.1.](#page-34-1) □

From this result we can prove Lemma [6.3.](#page-20-1)

Proof of Lemma [6.3.](#page-20-1) Let $t_0 > 0$, $T \ge t_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\sup_{t\in[t_0,T]}|S_N(t)-S(t)|>\varepsilon\right] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\exists t\in[t_0,T], S_N(t)\leq S(t)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right] \n+ \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\exists t\in[t_0,T], S_N(t)\geq S(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]
$$

Let m in N, since S is a continuous function there exists a subdivision $(t_i)_{i\in\{0,\cdots,m\}}$ such that $t_0 < t_1 < \cdot \cdot \cdot < t_m = T$ with

$$
\forall i \in \{0, \cdots, m\}, \quad S(t_{i+1}) - S(t_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{10} .
$$

Using the fact that S and S_N are increasing functions we get

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\exists t \in [t_0, T], S_N(t) \leq S(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\exists t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}], S_N(t) \leq S(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[S_N(t_i) \leq S(t_{i+1}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[S_N(t_i) \leq S(t_i) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{5}\right].
$$

Using the same techniques we get

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\exists t \in [t_0, T], \ S_N(t) \ge S(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right] \le \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[S_N(t_{i+1}) - S(t_{i+1}) \ge \frac{2\varepsilon}{5} \right] .
$$

Hence we proved that

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[\sup_{t\in[t_0,T]}|S_N(t)-S(t)|>\varepsilon\right]\leq 2m\sup_{i=0,\cdots,m}\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}\left[|S_N(t_i)-S(t_i)|\geq\frac{2\varepsilon}{5}\right].
$$

To conclude the proof it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\forall t \in [t_0, T], \quad \forall \delta > 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} [|S_N(t) - S(t)| > \delta] = 0.
$$

This result follows from Lemma [D.1,](#page-34-1) indeed let $\delta > 0$ then

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}[|S_N(t) - S(t)| > \delta] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}[S_N(t) < S(t) + \delta] + \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}}[S_N(t) > S(t) + \delta]
$$

$$
\leq \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} [S(t)+\delta] \rfloor} \lambda_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n \right) \tau_N^n > t \right] + \mathbb{P}_{\pi_{B_N}} \left[\frac{1}{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} u \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N^{\beta_{\delta}} [S(t)-\delta] \rfloor} \lambda_{B_N} \left(X_{B_N}^n \right) \tau_N^n \leq t \right].
$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma [D.1,](#page-34-1) we conclude the proof. \Box

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Tomasz Komorowski for discussions and in particular to point out the reference $[24]$. The author would to thank his thesis advisor Cédric Bernardin for his advices, remarks and his valuable support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Giada Basile, Cédric Bernardin, Milton Jara, Tomasz Komorowski, and Stefano Olla. Thermal conductivity in harmonic lattices with random collisions. In Thermal transport in low dimensions, volume 921 of Lecture Notes in Phys., pages 215–237. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [2] Giada Basile, C´edric Bernardin, and Stefano Olla. Momentum conserving model with anomalous thermal conductivity in low dimensional systems. Physical review letters, 96(20):204303, 2006.
- [3] Giada Basile, Cédric Bernardin, and Stefano Olla. Thermal conductivity for a momentum conservative model. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 287(1):67–98, 2009.
- [4] Giada Basile, Stefano Olla, and Herbert Spohn. Energy transport in stochastically perturbed lattice dynamics. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 195(2):171–203, 2009.
- [5] Cédric Bernardin, Patricia Gonçalves, and Milton Jara. 3/4-fractional superdiffusion in a system of harmonic oscillators perturbed by a conservative noise. Archive for rational mechanics and analysis, 220(2):505–542, 2016.
- [6] Cédric Bernardin, Patricia Gonçalves, and Milton Jara. Weakly harmonic oscillators perturbed by a conservative noise. The Annals of Applied Probability, 28(3):1315–1355, 2018.
- [7] Cédric Bernardin, Patricia Gonçalves, Milton Jara, and Marielle Simon. Interpolation process between standard diffusion and fractional diffusion. In Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques, volume 54, pages 1731-1757. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2018.
- [8] Cédric Bernardin, Patricia Gonçalves, Milton Jara, Makiko Sasada, and Marielle Simon. From normal diffusion to superdiffusion of energy in the evanescent flip noise limit. J. Stat. Phys., 159(6):1327–1368, 2015.
- [9] Cédric Bernardin, Patricia Gonçalves, Milton Jara, and Marielle Simon. Nonlinear perturbation of a noisy Hamiltonian lattice field model: universality persistence. Comm. Math. Phys., 361(2):605-659, 2018.
- [10] Cédric Bernardin and Stefano Olla. Fourier's law for a microscopic model of heat conduction. Journal of Statistical Physics, 121(3):271–289, 2005.
- [11] Jean Bertoin. Lévy processes, volume 121. Cambridge university press Cambridge, 1996.
- [12] L Cesbron, A Mellet, and M Puel. Fractional diffusion limit for a kinetic equation in the upper-half space with diffusive boundary conditions. $arXiv$ preprint $arxiv:1805.04903$, 2018.
- [13] Ludovic Cesbron, Antoine Mellet, and Marjolaine Puel. Fractional diffusion limit of a kinetic equation with diffusive boundary conditions in a bounded interval. $arXiv$ preprint $arXiv:2107.01011$, 2021.
- [14] Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk. Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions. Cambridge university press, 2014.
- [15] Thierry Dauxois, Michel Peyrard, and Stefano Ruffo. The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam 'numerical experiment': history and pedagogical perspectives. European J. Phys., 26(5):S3-S11, 2005.
- [16] Abhishek Dhar. Heat transport in low-dimensional systems. Advances in Physics, 57(5):457–537, 2008.
- [17] Richard Durrett and Sidney I Resnick. Functional limit theorems for dependent variables. The Annals of Probability, pages 829–846, 1978.
- [18] J. Fritz, T. Funaki, and J. L. Lebowitz. Stationary states of random Hamiltonian systems. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 99(2):211–236, 1994.
- [19] Massimiliano Gubinelli and Nicolas Perkowski. KPZ reloaded. Comm. Math. Phys., 349(1):165–269, 2017.
- [20] Martin Hairer. Solving the KPZ equation. Ann. of Math. (2), 178(2):559–664, 2013.
- [21] Milton Jara, Tomasz Komorowski, and Stefano Olla. Limit theorems for additive functionals of a markov chain. The Annals of Applied Probability, pages 2270–2300, 2009.
- [22] Milton Jara, Tomasz Komorowski, and Stefano Olla. Superdiffusion of energy in a chain of harmonic oscillators with noise. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 339(2):407–453, 2015.
- [23] Milton Jara, Tomasz Komorowski, and Stefano Olla. Limit theorems for additive functionals of a markov chain. arXiv preprint arXiv:0809.0177.

38 GAËTAN CANE [GAETANCANE.CH](HTTPS://GAETANCANE.CH/)

- [24] Tomasz Komorowski. Long time asymptotics of a degenerate linear kinetic transport equation. Kinetic & Related Models, 7(1):79, 2014.
- [25] Tomasz Komorowski and Stefano Olla. Thermal boundaries in kinetic and hydrodynamic limits. $arXiv$ preprint arXiv:2010.04721, 2020.
- [26] Stefano Lepri, Roberto Livi, and Antonio Politi. Thermal conduction in classical low-dimensional lattices. Physics reports, 377(1):1–80, 2003.
- [27] Pierre-Louis Lions and Thierry Paul. Sur les mesures de wigner. Revista matemática iberoamericana, 9(3):553–618, 1993.
- [28] Antoine Mellet, Stéphane Mischler, and Clément Mouhot. Fractional diffusion limit for collisional kinetic equations. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 199(2):493–525, 2011.
- [29] Jeremy Quastel and Herbert Spohn. The one-dimensional kpz equation and its universality class. Journal of Statistical Physics, 160(4):965–984, 2015.
- [30] Keiji Saito and Makiko Sasada. Thermal conductivity for coupled charged harmonic oscillators with noise in a magnetic field. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 361(3):951–995, 2018.
- [31] Keiji Saito, Makiko Sasada, and Hayate Suda. 5/6-superdiffusion of energy for coupled charged harmonic oscillators in a magnetic field. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 372(1):151–182, Jul 2019.
- [32] Herbert Spohn. The phonon Boltzmann equation, properties and link to weakly anharmonic lattice dynamics. J. Stat. Phys., 124(2-4):1041–1104, 2006.
- [33] Herbert Spohn. Nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics for anharmonic chains. Journal of Statistical Physics, 154(5):1191–1227, 2014.
- [34] Herbert Spohn and Gabriel Stoltz. Nonlinear fluctuating hydrodynamics in one dimension: the case of two conserved fields. J. Stat. Phys., 160(4):861–884, 2015.
- [35] Hayate Suda. A family of fractional diffusion equations derived from stochastic harmonic chains with long-range interactions. In Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques, volume 57, pages 2268–2314. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2021.