

## A new higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs flow on Riemannian 4-manifolds

Hemant Saratchandran<sup>1</sup>, Jiaogen Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Pan Zhang<sup>3</sup>

*1. School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia;  
hemant.saratchandran@adelaide.edu.au*

*2. School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei  
230026, P.R. China; zjgmath@ustc.edu.cn*

*3. School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, P.R. China;  
panzhang20100@ahu.edu.cn*

*Abstract:* Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold and let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $M$  with structure group  $G$ , where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. In this paper, we consider a new higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs functional, in which the Higgs field is a section of  $\Omega^0(\text{ad}E)$ . We show that, under suitable conditions, solutions to the gradient flow do not hit any finite time singularities. In the case that  $E$  is a line bundle, we are able to use a different blow up procedure and obtain an improvement of the long time result in [18]. The proof is rather relevant to the properties of the Green function, which is very different from the previous techniques in [8, 11, 18].

*Keywords:* higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs flow; line bundle; long-time existence

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58C99; 58E15; 81T13

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of real dimension 4 and let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $M$  with structure group  $G$ , where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. The Yang–Mills functional, defined on the space of connections of  $E$ , is given by

$$\mathcal{YM}(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |F_\nabla|^2 d\text{vol}_g,$$

where  $\nabla$  is a metric compatible connection,  $F_\nabla$  denotes the curvature and the pointwise norm  $|\cdot|$  is given by  $g$  and the Killing form of  $\text{Lie}(G)$ .

$\nabla$  is called a Yang–Mills connection of  $E$  if it satisfies the Yang–Mills equation

$$D_\nabla^* F_\nabla = 0.$$

2 Hemanth Saratchandran, Jiaogen Zhang, Pan Zhang

A solution of the Yang–Mills flow is given by a family of connections  $\nabla_t := \nabla(x, t)$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} = -D_{\nabla_t}^* F_{\nabla_t} \quad \text{in } M \times [0, T].$$

The Yang–Mills flow was initially studied by Atiyah–Bott [3] and was suggested to understand the topology of the space of connections by infinite dimensional Morse theory.

We consider the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -functional (or Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -energy)

$$\mathcal{YM}_k(\nabla, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left[ |\nabla^{(k)} F_{\nabla}|^2 + |\nabla^{(k+1)} u|^2 \right] d\text{vol}_g, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\nabla$  is a connection on  $E$  and  $u$  is a section of  $\Omega^0(\text{ad}E)$ . In [18], we have considered the case when  $u$  is a section of  $\Omega^0(E)$ . When  $k = 0$ , (1.1) is the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional studied in [5, 6]. In [5], Hassell proved the global existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow in 3-dimensional Euclidean space. In [6], Hong–Tian studied the global existence of Yang–Mills–Higgs flow in 3-dimensional Hyperbolic space, their results yields non-self dual Yang–Mills connections on  $S^4$ . In the new century, the study of Yang–Mills–Higgs flow has aroused a lot of attention (see [1, 7, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17] and references therein).

The Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -system, i.e. the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations of (1.1), is

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^k D_{\nabla}^* \Delta_{\nabla}^{(k)} F_{\nabla} + \sum_{v=0}^{2k-1} P_1^{(v)} [F_{\nabla}] + P_2^{(2k-1)} [F_{\nabla}] \\ \quad + \sum_{i=0}^k \nabla^{*(i)} (\nabla^{(k+1)} u * \nabla^{(k-i)} u) = 0, \\ \nabla^{*(k+1)} \nabla^{(k+1)} u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Delta_{\nabla}^{(k)}$  denotes  $k$  iterations of the Bochner Laplacian  $-\nabla^* \nabla$ , and the notation  $P$  is defined in (2.1).

A solution of the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow is given by a family of pairs  $(\nabla(x, t), u(x, t)) := (\nabla_t, u_t)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} = (-1)^{(k+1)} D_{\nabla_t}^* \Delta_{\nabla_t}^{(k)} F_{\nabla_t} + \sum_{v=0}^{2k-1} P_1^{(v)} [F_{\nabla_t}] \\ \quad + P_2^{(2k-1)} [F_{\nabla_t}] + \sum_{i=0}^k \nabla_t^{*(i)} (\nabla_t^{(k+1)} u_t * \nabla_t^{(k-i)} u_t), \\ \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} = -\nabla_t^{*(k+1)} \nabla_t^{(k+1)} u_t, \quad \text{in } M \times [0, T]. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

When  $k = 0$ , the flow (1.3) is a Yang–Mills–Higgs flow [6].

From an analytic point of view, the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) admits similar properties to the case in which the Higgs field takes value in  $\Omega^0(E)$ . In fact, by the

approach in [18], we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a closed Riemannian 4-manifold  $(M, g)$ . Assume the integer  $k > 1$ , for every smooth initial data  $(\nabla_0, u_0)$ , there exists a unique smooth solution  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) in  $M \times [0, +\infty)$ .*

Our motivation for considering such flows comes from recent work of A. Waldron who was able to prove long time existence for the Yang–Mills flow [15], thereby settling a long standing conjecture in the area. In the context of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow it is still unknown whether the flow exists for all times on a Riemannian 4-manifold. The above theorem shows that provided  $k > 1$ , the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$  flow does obey long time existence on a 4-manifold. A question that arises at this point is to understand what the optimum value for  $k$  is. By assuming our bundle  $E$  is a line bundle, we are able to make progress on this question and show that long time existence holds for all positive  $k$ :

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $E$  be a line bundle over a closed Riemannian 4-manifold  $(M, g)$ . Assume the integer  $k > 0$ , for every smooth initial data  $(\nabla_0, u_0)$ , there exists a unique smooth solution  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) in  $M \times [0, +\infty)$ .*

We point out that at present we don't know if the above theorem is optimal. Meaning we cannot rule out the case that long time existence occurs for  $k = 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves local  $L^2$  derivative estimates, energy estimates and blowup analysis. An interesting aspect of this work is that by using a different blow up procedure we are able to obtain a proof of Theorem 1.2, which may be of independent interest. Another interesting aspect is that the proof of long-time existence obstruction (see Theorem 3.2) is rather relevant to the properties of the Green function, which is very different from the previous techniques in [8, 11, 18].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the basic setup and notation that will be used throughout the paper. Our approach follows the notation of [8], [11], [18].

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a smooth closed manifold  $(M, g)$  of real dimension  $n$ . The set of all smooth unitary connections on  $E$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_E$ . A given connection  $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_E$  can be extended to other tensor bundles by coupling with the corresponding Levi–Civita connection  $\nabla_M$  on  $(M, g)$ .

Let  $D_\nabla$  be the exterior derivative, or skew symmetrization of  $\nabla$ . The curvature

4 Hemanth Saratchandran, Jiaogen Zhang, Pan Zhang

tensor of  $E$  is denoted by

$$F_{\nabla} = D_{\nabla} \circ D_{\nabla}.$$

We set  $\nabla^*, D_{\nabla}^*$  to be the formal  $L^2$ -adjoint of  $\nabla, D_{\nabla}$ , respectively. The Bochner and Hodge Laplacians are given respectively by

$$\Delta_{\nabla} = -\nabla^* \nabla, \quad \Delta_{D_{\nabla}} = D_{\nabla} D_{\nabla}^* + D_{\nabla}^* D_{\nabla}.$$

Let  $\xi, \eta$  be  $p$ -forms valued in  $E$  or  $\text{End}(E)$ . Let  $\xi * \eta$  denote any multilinear form obtained from a tensor product  $\xi \otimes \eta$  in a universal way. That is to say,  $\xi * \eta$  is obtained by starting with  $\xi \otimes \eta$ , taking any linear combination of this tensor, taking any number of metric contractions, and switching any number of factors in the product. We then have

$$|\xi * \eta| \leq C|\xi||\eta|.$$

Denote by

$$\nabla^{(i)} = \underbrace{\nabla \cdots \nabla}_{i \text{ times}}.$$

We will also use the  $P$  notation, as introduced in [9]. Given a tensor  $\xi$ , we denote by

$$P_v^{(k)}[\xi] := \sum_{w_1 + \cdots + w_v = k} (\nabla^{(w_1)} \xi) * \cdots * (\nabla^{(w_v)} \xi) * T, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $k, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T$  is a generic background tensor dependent only on  $g$ .

### 3. Long-time existence obstruction

We can use De Turck's trick to establish the local existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow. We refer to [8, 11, 18] for more details. As the proof is standard, we will omit the details.

**Theorem 3.1.** *(local existence) Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a closed Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . There exists a unique smooth solution  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) in  $M \times [0, \epsilon)$  with smooth initial value  $(\nabla_0, u_0)$ .*

Following [8, 11], we can derive estimates of Bernstein–Bando–Shi type, which is similar to [18, Proposition 4.10].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ). Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times I$ . Suppose*

$Q = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in I} |F_{\nabla_t}|\}$ ,  $K = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in I} |u_t|\}$ , and choose  $s \geq (k+1)(q+1)$ .

Then for  $t \in [0, T) \subset I$  with  $T < \frac{1}{(QK)^4}$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_q := C_q(\dim(M), \text{rk}(E), G, q, k, s, g, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\|\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(q)} F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(q)} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_q t^{-\frac{q}{k+1}} \sup_{t \in (0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (3.1)$$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the above proposition, which will be used in the blow-up analysis. The proof relies on Sobolev embedding  $W^{p,2} \subset C^0$  provided  $p > \frac{n}{2}$ , and the Kato's inequality  $|d|u_t|| \leq |\nabla_t u_t|$ . More details can be found in Kelleher's paper ([8, Corollary 3.14]).

**Corollary 3.1.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  solves the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times [0, \tau]$ . Set  $\bar{\tau} := \min\{\tau, 1\}$ . Suppose  $Q = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, \bar{\tau}]} |F_{\nabla_t}|\}$ ,*

*$K = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, \bar{\tau}]} |u_t|\}$ . Assume  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ). For  $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s \geq (k+1)(l+1)$  there exists  $C_l := C_l(\dim(M), \text{rk}(E), K, Q, G, s, k, l, \tau, g, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that*

$$\sup_M \left( |\gamma^s \nabla_{\bar{\tau}}^{(l)} F_{\nabla_{\bar{\tau}}}|^2 + |\gamma^s \nabla_{\bar{\tau}}^{(l)} u_{\bar{\tau}}|^2 \right) \leq C_l \sup_{M \times [0, \bar{\tau}]} \left( \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

Using Corollary 3.1, we have the the following corollary, which can be used for finding obstructions to long time existence.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  solves the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$  for  $T \in [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose*

$$Q = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} |F_{\nabla_t}|, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}\}$$

and

$$K = \max\{1, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} |u_t|, \sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|u_t\|_{L^2}\}$$

are finite. Assume  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ). Then for  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s \geq (k+1)(l+1)$ , there exists  $C_l := C_l(\nabla_0, u_0, \dim(M), \text{rk}(E), K, Q, G, s, k, l, g, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} \left( |\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(l)} F_{\nabla_t}|^2 + |\gamma^s \nabla_t^{(l)} u_t|^2 \right) \leq C_l.$$

In the following, we will use Corollary 3.2 to show that the only obstruction to long time existence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) is a lack of supremal bound on  $|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|$ . Before doing so, we need following proposition, which is similar to [18, Proposition 4.15].

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$  for  $T \in [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose that for all  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  there*

6 Hemanth Saratchandran, Jiaogen Zhang, Pan Zhang

exists  $C_l \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{M \times [0, T)} |\nabla_t^{(l)} [\frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t}]|, \sup_{M \times [0, T)} |\nabla_t^{(l)} [\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}]| \right\} \leq C_l.$$

Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\nabla_t, u_t) = (\nabla_T, u_T)$  exists and is smooth.

The following proposition is straightforward.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$ . We have*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|u_t\|_{L^2} < +\infty.$$

Using Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, we are ready to prove the main result in this subsection.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $E$  is a line bundle. Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) for some maximal  $T < +\infty$ . Then*

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|) = +\infty.$$

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|) < +\infty,$$

which means

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |F_{\nabla_t}| < +\infty, \quad \sup_{M \times [0, T)} |\nabla_t u_t| < +\infty.$$

Denote by  $G_t(x, y)$  the Green function associated to the operator  $\Delta_{\nabla_t}$ , then for any fixed  $x \in M$ ,  $\|\nabla_0 G_t(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_G$  for a constant  $C_G$  [2, Appendix A]. Note that  $\nabla_t G_t - \nabla_0 G_t = [\nabla_t - \nabla_0, G_t] = 0$ , we conclude that  $\|\nabla_t G_t\|_{L^\infty(M)}$  is also uniformly bounded. Therefore, using the properties of the Green function in [2, Appendix A], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t(x) - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M u_t(y) dy| &= \left| \int_M \Delta_{\nabla_t} G_t(x, y) u_t(y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_M \nabla_t G_t(x, y) \nabla_t u_t(y) dy \right| \\ &< +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which together with Proposition 3.3 implies

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |u_t| < +\infty.$$

By Corollary 3.2, for all  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have  $\sup_M \left( |\nabla_t^{(l)} F_{\nabla_t}|^2 + |\nabla_t^{(l)} u_t|^2 \right)$  is uniformly bounded and so by Proposition 3.2,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\nabla_t, u_t) = (\nabla_T, u_T)$  exists and is smooth. However, by local existence (Theorem 3.1), there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  exists over the extended domain  $[0, T + \epsilon)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $T$  was maximal. Thus we prove the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. Blow-up analysis

In this section, we will address the possibility that the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow admits a singularity given no bound on  $|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|$ . To begin with, we first establish some preliminary scaling laws for the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$ . We define the 1-parameter family  $\nabla_t^\rho$  with local coefficient matrices given by*

$$\Gamma_t^\rho(x) := \rho \Gamma_{\rho^{2(k+1)}t}(\rho x),$$

where  $\Gamma_t(x)$  is the local coefficient matrix of  $\nabla_t$ . We define the  $\rho$ -scaled Higgs field  $u_t^\rho$  by

$$u_t^\rho(x) := \rho u_{\rho^{2(k+1)}t}(\rho x).$$

Then  $(\nabla_t^\rho, u_t^\rho)$  is also a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $[0, \frac{1}{\rho^{2(k+1)}}T)$ .

Next we will show that in the case that the curvature coupled with a Higgs field is blowing up, as one approaches the maximal time, one can extract a blow-up limit. The proof will closely follow the arguments in [8, Proposition 3.25] and [18, Theorem 5.2].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume  $E$  is a line bundle. Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on some maximal time interval  $[0, T)$  with  $T < +\infty$ . Then there exists a blow-up sequence  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$  and converges pointwise to a smooth solution  $(\nabla_t^\infty, u_t^\infty)$  to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ .*

**Proof.** From Theorem 3.2, we must have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \sup_M \left( |F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t| \right) = +\infty.$$

8 Hemanth Saratchandran, Jiaogen Zhang, Pan Zhang

Therefore, we can choose a sequence of times  $t_i \nearrow T$  within  $[0, T)$ , and a sequence of points  $x_i$ , such that

$$|F_{\nabla_{t_i}}(x_i)| + |\nabla_{t_i} u_{t_i}(x_i)| = \sup_{M \times [0, t_i]} (|F_{\nabla_t}| + |\nabla_t u_t|).$$

Let  $\{\rho_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be constants to be determined. Define  $\nabla_t^i(x)$  by

$$\Gamma_t^i(x) = \rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} \Gamma_{\rho_i t + t_i}(\rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} x + x_i)$$

and

$$u_t^i(x) = \rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} u_{\rho_i t + t_i}(\rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} x + x_i).$$

By Proposition 4.1,  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$  are also solutions to Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) and the domain for each  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$  is  $B_0(\rho_i^{-\frac{1}{2(k+1)}}) \times [-\frac{t_i}{\rho_i}, \frac{T-t_i}{\rho_i}]$ . We observe that

$$F_t^i(x) := F_{\nabla_t^i}(x) = \rho_i^{\frac{1}{k+1}} F_{\nabla_{\rho_i t + t_i}}(\rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} x + x_i),$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [-\frac{t_i}{\rho_i}, \frac{T-t_i}{\rho_i}]} (|F_t^i(x)| + |\nabla_t^i u_t^i(x)|) \\ &= \rho_i^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \sup_{t \in [-\frac{t_i}{\rho_i}, \frac{T-t_i}{\rho_i}]} (|F_{\nabla_{\rho_i t + t_i}}(\rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} x + x_i)| + |\nabla_{\rho_i t + t_i} u_{\rho_i t + t_i}(\rho_i^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}} x + x_i)|) \\ &= \rho_i^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \sup_{t \in [0, t_i]} (|F_{\nabla_t}(x)| + |\nabla_t u_t(x)|) \\ &= \rho_i^{\frac{1}{k+1}} (|F_{\nabla_{t_i}}(x_i)| + |\nabla_{t_i} u_{t_i}(x_i)|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting

$$\rho_i = (|F_{\nabla_{t_i}}(x_i)| + |\nabla_{t_i} u_{t_i}(x_i)|)^{-(k+1)},$$

which gives

$$1 = |F_0^i(0)| + |\nabla_0^i u_0^i(0)| = \sup_{t \in [-\frac{t_i}{\rho_i}, 0]} (|F_t^i(x)| + |\nabla_t^i u_t^i(x)|). \quad (4.1)$$

Now, we are ready to construct smoothing estimates for the sequence  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ . For any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{t \in [\tau-1, \tau]} (|\gamma_y^s F_t^i(x)| + |\gamma_y^s \nabla_t^i u_t^i(x)|) \leq 1.$$

Note that  $E$  is a line bundle, and similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2, it suffices to use Corollary 3.1. Then for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , one may choose  $s \geq (k+1)(q+1)$  so that



there exists positive constant  $C_q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in B_y(\frac{1}{2})} \left( |(\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} F_\tau^i(x)| + |(\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} u_\tau^i(x)| \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in B_y(1)} \left( |\gamma_y^s(\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} F_\tau^i(x)| + |\gamma_y^s(\nabla_\tau^i)^{(q)} u_\tau^i(x)| \right) \\ & \leq C_q. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Coulomb Gauge Theorem of Uhlenbeck [14, Theorem 1.3] (also see [6]) and Gauge Patching Theorem [4, Corollary 4.4.8], passing to a subsequence (without changing notation) and in an appropriate gauge,  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i) \rightarrow (\nabla_t^\infty, u_t^\infty)$  in  $C^\infty$ .  $\square$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The following energy estimates are similar to the ones in [18, Section 6].

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M \times [0, T)$ . The Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -energy (1.1) is decreasing along the flow (1.3).*

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M^4 \times [0, T)$  with  $T < +\infty$ , then the Yang–Mills–Higgs energy*

$$\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}(\nabla_t, u_t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left[ |F_{\nabla_t}|^2 + |\nabla_t u_t|^2 \right] d\text{vol}_g$$

*is bounded along the flow (1.3).*

Next, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. To accomplish this, we first show that the  $L^p$ -norm controls the  $L^\infty$ -norm by blow-up analysis.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Assume  $E$  is a line bundle. Suppose  $(\nabla_t, u_t)$  is a solution to the Yang–Mills–Higgs  $k$ -flow (1.3) defined on  $M^4 \times [0, T)$  and*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^p}) < +\infty.$$

*If  $p > 2$ , then*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^\infty}) < +\infty.$$

**Proof.** So as to the obtain a contradiction, assume

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^\infty}) = +\infty.$$

As we did in Theorem 4.1, we can construct a blow-up sequence  $(\nabla_t^i, u_t^i)$ , with blow-up limit  $(\nabla_t^\infty, u_t^\infty)$ . Noting that (4.1), by Fatou's lemma and natural scaling law,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\nabla_t^\infty}\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla_t^\infty u_t^\infty\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (\|F_{\nabla_t^i}\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla_t^i u_t^i\|_{L^p}^p) \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_i^{\frac{2p-4}{2k+2}} (\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^p}^p). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_i^{\frac{2p-4}{2k+2}} = 0$  when  $p > 2$ , the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero, which is a contradiction since the blow-up limit has non-vanishing curvature.  $\square$

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we solve for  $p$  such that  $W^{k,2} \subset L^p$ , then  $k > 0$ . In this case, using the interpolation inequalities [9, Corollary 5.5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla_t u_t\|_{L^p} &\leq CS_{k,p} \sum_{j=0}^k (\|\nabla_t^{(j)} F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_t^{(j)} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq CS_{k,p} (\|\nabla_t^{(k)} F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_t^{(k+1)} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq CS_{k,p} (\mathcal{YM}_k(\nabla_t, u_t) + \mathcal{YM}(\nabla_t, u_t) + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then using Propositions 5.1, 3.3 and 5.2, we conclude that the flow exists smoothly for all time.

### Acknowledgments

HS was supported by the Australian Research Council via grant FL170100020. PZ was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province [Grant Number 2108085QA17].

### References

- [1] A. Afuni, Local monotonicity for the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow, *Calc. Var. PDE* **55** (2016) 13.
- [2] S. Alesker, E. Shelukhin, On a uniform estimate for the quaternionic Calabi problem, *Israel J. Math.* **197** (2013) 309-327.
- [3] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **308** (1982) 523-615.
- [4] S.K. Donaldson, P. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford University Press, 1990.

- [5] A. Hassell, The Yang–Mills–Higgs heat flow on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* **111** (1993) 431-448.
- [6] M.C. Hong, G. Tian, Global existence of the  $m$ -equivariant Yang–Mills flow in four dimensional spaces, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **12** (2004) 183-211.
- [7] M.C. Hong, G. Tian, Asymptotical behavior of the Yang–Mills flow and singular Yang–Mills connections, *Math. Ann.* **330** (2004) 441-472.
- [8] C. Kelleher, Higher order Yang–Mills flow, *Calc.Var.* **100** (2019), Article number: 100.
- [9] E. Kuwert, R. Schätzle, Gradient flow for the Willmore functional, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **10** (2002) 307-339.
- [10] J. Li, X. Zhang, The limit of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow on Higgs bundles, *Inter. Math. Res. Not.* **2017** (2016) 232-276.
- [11] H. Saratchandran, Higher order Seiberg–Witten functionals and their associated gradient flows, *Manuscripta Math.* **160** (2019) 411-481.
- [12] C. Song, C. Wang, Heat flow of Yang–Mills–Higgs functionals in dimension two, *J. Funct. Anal.* **272** (2017) 4709-4751.
- [13] S. Trautwein, Convergence of the Yang–Mills–Higgs flow on gauged holomorphic maps and applications, *Inter. J. Math.* **29** (2018) 1850024.
- [14] K.K. Uhlenbeck, Connections with  $L^p$ -bounds on curvature, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **83** (1982) 31-42.
- [15] A. Waldron, Long-time existence for Yang–Mills flow, *Inventiones mathematicae* **217** (2019) 1069-1147.
- [16] G. Wilkin, The reverse Yang–Mills–Higgs flow in a neighbourhood of a critical point, *J. Differ. Geom.* **115** (2020) 111-174.
- [17] C. Zhang, P. Zhang, X. Zhang, Higgs bundles over non-compact Gauduchon manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374** (2021) 3735-3759.
- [18] P. Zhang, Gradient flows of higher order Yang–Mills–Higgs functionals, *J. Austr. Math. Soc.*, 2021.