

Qubit clock in quantum cosmology

Yasusada Nambu*

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science,
Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

(Dated: February 3, 2022)

Abstract

We investigate the emergent time scenario in quantum cosmology based on the Page-Wotters approach. Using a quantum cosmological model with a qubit clock, it is demonstrated how the entanglement between the qubit clock and the geometry derives emergence of a time parameter which defines evolution of the timeless quantum state of the universe. We show the universe wave function conditioned by a qubit clock obeys the standard Schrödinger equation and the Fisher information for the clock state, which quantifies entanglement between the universe and the clock, contributes as a negative energy density.

arXiv:2201.02770v2 [gr-qc] 3 Feb 2022

*Electronic address: nambu@gravity.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

In the canonical approach to quantum mechanics, one supposes existence of classical time as external parameter used by the observer. The quantum state of the target system obeys the time dependent Schrödinger equation. The apparent lack of external time for the dynamics of canonical quantum gravity, described by the so-called “problem of time”, is represented by the Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation [1]

$$\hat{H} |\Psi\rangle = 0, \tag{1}$$

for the quantum state of the universe $|\Psi\rangle$. This equation implies the diffeomorphism invariance of the physical state, and owing to this structure, the physical state loses a concept of evolution with respect to a time parameter. Among several approaches to resolve the problem of time [2], one idea of extracting dynamics from a seemingly stationary system has been proposed called the Page-Wotters approach or the conditional probability interpretation [3, 4]. Let us consider a bipartite system composed of an universe system with the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_U and a clock system with the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_C . In the Page-Wotters approach, “time” evolution is read, under the condition that the total state Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_U \otimes \mathbb{I}_C + \mathbb{I}_U \otimes \hat{H}_C \tag{2}$$

is constrained, in the quantum correlation between bipartitions of the total system, the universe state (U) and the clock state (C) entangled with it. Time evolution emerges from the measurement of an observable clock of some kind, whose reading conditions the physical state.

In our previous study [5], this scenario was investigated using a Friedman-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) model with a minimal scalar field. Regarding the energy eigenstate of the scalar field as a system of quantum clock, the wave function of the universe conditioned by the clock is obtained. The effect of the geometry (universe) on the clock state is encoded in the Berry phase of the scalar field state. We investigated the condition for the emergence of the standard Schrödinger equation for the universe wave function conditioned by the scalar clock. The equation contains the Berry connection, which reflects strength of a coupling between the universe and the clock, and its behavior is also related to emergence of time and entanglement between the universe and the clock. We considered several cases of the clock states with superposition of energy eigenstates and discussed a condition of workable clock. However, we did not investigate so much about entanglement property in the Page-Wotters approach. In this article, we revisit this problem using a qubit as a quantum clock in cosmology. This model is simpler than the scalar field model and captures an essential feature of the Page-Wooters mechanism. We can explicitly evaluate entanglement between the qubit clock and the universe, and discuss relation between the emergence of time and the clock-system entanglement in the timeless quantum cosmology.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce our quantum cosmological model with a qubit. In Section 3, review how the qubit operates as a quantum clock. In Section 4, we demonstrate how the qubit clock defines the time in the universe, and relation to the clock-universe entanglement is discussed in Section 5. Section 6 in devoted to summary. We adopt units of $c = \hbar = 1$ throughout this paper.

II. MINI-SUPERSPACE MODEL WITH A QUBIT

We consider a spatially flat FLRW universe with a qubit clock. The metric is

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (3)$$

where $N(t)$ is the lapse function and $a(t)$ is the scalar factor. We introduce the physical volume of the universe $\rho(t) = a^3(t)V$ as a dynamical variable, where V denotes the comoving volume. The total Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = N(H_U \otimes \mathbb{I}_C + \mathbb{I}_U \otimes H_C), \quad (4)$$

where

$$H_U := \rho \left(-\frac{3\kappa^2}{4} p_\rho^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa^2} \right), \quad H_C := \frac{\Omega}{2} \left(|0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 0| \right), \quad (5)$$

with $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G = 1/M_p^2$. H_U is the Hamiltonian for the flat FLRW universe with the spatial volume ρ and the cosmological constant Λ . H_C is the Hamiltonian for the qubit with two internal energy eigenstates $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ with the energy gap Ω . The total Hamiltonian is constrained to be zero $\hat{H}_U \otimes \mathbb{I}_C + \mathbb{I}_U \otimes \hat{H}_C = 0$, and the physical state of the total system $|\Psi\rangle\rangle$ obeys the WDW equation:

$$\left[\hat{\rho} (-\hat{p}_\rho^2 + \lambda) + \frac{\omega}{2} (|0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 0|) \right] |\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda = 4\Lambda/(3\kappa^4)$ and $\omega = 2\Omega/(3\kappa^2)$. Here, to make clear the structure of the total state, we adopted the notation $|\Psi\rangle\rangle$ for a pure state in a bipartite Hilbert space, which is the tensor product of the universe Hilbert space and the qubit Hilbert space:

$$|\Psi\rangle\rangle := |\Psi_0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + |\Psi_1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Let us introduce the wave function of the universe $\Psi_{0,1}(\rho) := \langle \rho | \Psi_{0,1} \rangle$ with the eigen-vector $|\rho\rangle$ of $\hat{\rho}$. Then the wave function obeys

$$\rho(\partial_\rho^2 + \lambda)\Psi_0 + \frac{\omega}{2}\Psi_1 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\rho(\partial_\rho^2 + \lambda)\Psi_1 + \frac{\omega}{2}\Psi_0 = 0. \quad (9)$$

For $\Psi_\pm := \Psi_0 \pm \Psi_1$,

$$\left[\rho(\partial_\rho^2 + \lambda) \pm \frac{\omega}{2} \right] \Psi_\pm = 0. \quad (10)$$

The solution which is regular at $\rho = 0$ is

$$\Psi_\pm(\rho) = e^{-i\lambda^{1/2}\rho} \rho F[1 + i\epsilon_\pm/(2\lambda^{1/2}), 2, 2i\lambda^{1/2}\rho], \quad \epsilon_\pm = \pm \frac{\omega}{2}, \quad (11)$$

where $F[\alpha, \gamma, z]$ is the confluence hypergeometric function and its asymptotic behavior for $z \rightarrow \infty$ is

$$F[\alpha, \gamma, z] \sim \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)} (-z)^{-\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^z z^{\alpha - \gamma}. \quad (12)$$

Applying this formula, asymptotic form of the wave function $\Psi_{\pm}(\rho)$ for $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$\Psi_{\pm} \sim \frac{-1}{2i\lambda^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{(-2i\lambda^{1/2}\rho)^{-i\epsilon_{\pm}/(2\lambda^{1/2})} e^{-i\lambda^{1/2}\rho}}{\Gamma(1 - i\epsilon_{\pm}/(2\lambda^{1/2}))} - \frac{(+2i\lambda^{1/2}\rho)^{+i\epsilon_{\pm}/(2\lambda^{1/2})} e^{+i\lambda^{1/2}\rho}}{\Gamma(1 + i\epsilon_{\pm}/(2\lambda^{1/2}))} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

From the behavior of phase factors of the solution, the wave function represents superposition of expanding and contracting universes with the qubit energy $\pm\omega/2$. From the WDW Eq. (10), the contribution of the qubit to the total matter energy density is proportional to ρ^{-1} , which is the same behavior as a dust fluid.

III. CLOCK DYNAMICS

Let us first consider the clock dynamics of which Hamiltonian is given by H_C . Using the energy eigen basis $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ of the qubit, the clock state is represented as

$$|\chi_C\rangle = c_0(T) |0\rangle + c_1(T) |1\rangle, \quad |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1, \quad (14)$$

where we assume that the evolution of the clock state is parameterized by a time parameter T , and the state is assumed to obey the standard Schrödinger equation:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial T} |\chi_C\rangle = \hat{H}_C |\chi_C\rangle. \quad (15)$$

For the state (14),

$$i \dot{c}_0 = \frac{\omega}{2} c_1, \quad i \dot{c}_1 = \frac{\omega}{2} c_0, \quad \cdot = \frac{\partial}{\partial T}, \quad (16)$$

and the solution is

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha e^{-i\omega T/2} + \beta e^{i\omega T/2}), \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha e^{-i\omega T/2} - \beta e^{i\omega T/2}), \quad (17)$$

where α and β are constants with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. Probabilities to realize states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are

$$P_0 = |c_0|^2 = \frac{1}{2} [1 + (\alpha\beta^* e^{-i\omega T} + \text{c.c.})], \quad (18)$$

$$P_1 = |c_1|^2 = \frac{1}{2} [1 - (\alpha\beta^* e^{-i\omega T} + \text{c.c.})]. \quad (19)$$

These probabilities oscillate with a period $2\pi/\omega$ and the amplitude $|\alpha\beta| = |\alpha|\sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2}$. This oscillatory behavior reflects superposition of two energy eigenstates $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ (interference visibility), and plays a role of a ‘‘clock’’. As a special case of the state, a state with $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the energy eigenstate; the visibility becomes zero and the system does not work as a clock because we cannot measure the oscillatory behavior of the clock state.

In the cosmological situation where the clock is coupled to the external universe, constants α, β can be functions of the spatial volume of the universe ρ . We assume the following ρ dependence in c_0, c_1 :

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\alpha| e^{-i\theta(\rho)/2} e^{-i\omega T/2} + |\beta| e^{i\theta(\rho)/2} e^{i\omega T/2}), \quad (20)$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\alpha| e^{-i\theta(\rho)/2} e^{-i\omega T/2} - |\beta| e^{i\theta(\rho)/2} e^{i\omega T/2}), \quad (21)$$

where $\theta(\rho)$ is an arbitrary function representing a relative phase between α and β . The clock state is parameterized by ρ as

$$|\chi_C(\rho)\rangle = c_0(T, \theta(\rho)) |0\rangle + c_1(T, \theta(\rho)) |1\rangle. \quad (22)$$

Regarding ρ as an external parameter, the quantum Fisher information [6, 7] of this state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_Q(\rho) &:= 4[\langle \partial_\rho \chi_C | \partial_\rho \chi_C \rangle - \langle \chi_C | \partial_\rho \chi_C \rangle \langle \partial_\rho \chi_C | \chi_C \rangle] \quad (23) \\ &= 4 \left[\sum_{j=0,1} c_j'^* c_j' - \left(\sum_{j=0,1} c_j^* c_j' \right) \left(\sum_{j=0,1} c_j' c_j \right) \right] \\ &= (\theta')^2 (c_0^2 - c_1^2)(c_0'^2 - c_1'^2) \\ &= (\theta')^2 |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2, \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

where $' = \partial/\partial\rho$. This quantity is related to the distance or overlap between two states $|\chi_C(\rho)\rangle$ and $|\chi_C(\rho + d\rho)\rangle$. The Bures distance between clock states is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_Q(\rho) &:= 1 - |\langle \chi_C(\rho) | \chi_C(\rho + d\rho) \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q}{4} (d\rho)^2 + O(d\rho^3). \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

The distance between two states is proportional to the Fisher information, and becomes zero for $\mathcal{F}_Q = 0$. Figure 1 shows the behavior of the quantum Fisher information as a function of $|\alpha|^2$ for $\theta' \neq 0$. $|\alpha| = 0, 1$ corresponds to the energy eigenstate and the Fisher information is zero. Figure 1 shows $|\alpha|^2$ dependence of the Fisher information.




FIG. 1: Behavior of the quantum Fisher information as a function of $|\alpha|^2$. For $|\alpha| = 0, 1$, the state is the energy eigenstate and the Fisher information becomes zero.

The Fisher information provides the Gramer-Rao bound [8] which gives a lower bound for the variance of the estimation of the external parameter ρ :

$$\langle (\Delta\rho)^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_Q(\rho)}. \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, using the Schrödinger equation (15), the variance of the clock energy is expressed as

$$\langle (\Delta E)^2 \rangle := \langle \chi_C | \hat{H}_C^2 | \chi_C \rangle - \langle \chi_C | \hat{H}_C | \chi_C \rangle^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q \omega^2}{4(\theta')^2}, \quad (27)$$

and the Cramer-Rao inequality becomes $\langle(\Delta E)^2\rangle\langle(\Delta\rho)^2\rangle(\theta')^2/\omega^2 \geq 1/4$. Thus, by introducing a quantity $\langle(\Delta T)^2\rangle := \langle(\Delta\rho)^2\rangle(\theta')^2/\omega^2$, we obtain the following uncertainty relation between ΔE and ΔT :

$$\langle(\Delta E)^2\rangle\langle(\Delta T)^2\rangle \geq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (28)$$

The variance $\langle(\Delta T)^2\rangle$ represents the accuracy of the clock reading. If the clock state is an energy eigenstate, then $\langle(\Delta E)^2\rangle = 0$ and $\langle(\Delta T)^2\rangle$ becomes infinite, which means that the qubit system does not properly operate as a clock. Thus for $\theta' \neq 0$, the requirement for workable clock is $\mathcal{F}_Q \neq 0$, or equivalently

$$|\alpha\beta| \neq 0. \quad (29)$$

This is the same condition that the state is not energy eigenstate [9]. The maximum accuracy of the clock is achieved for $|\alpha|^2 = 1/2$.

IV. QUANTUM COSMOLOGY WITH A QUBIT CLOCK

To investigate how the qubit clock introduces a time parameter in the universe, we define a wave function conditioned by the clock state (30):

$$\psi(\rho, T) := (\langle\rho| \otimes \langle\chi_C|) |\Psi\rangle = c_0^* \Psi_0 + c_1^* \Psi_1, \quad (30)$$

where $c_0 = c_0(T, \theta(\rho))$ and $c_1 = c_1(T, \theta(\rho))$. Then using the WDW equation (10), it can be shown that the wave function ψ obeys the following equation:

$$\psi'' + \lambda\psi + \frac{\omega}{2\rho}(c_0^*\Psi_1 + c_1^*\Psi_0) = - \sum_{j=0,1} c_j^*(2c_j'\psi' + c_j''\psi). \quad (31)$$

It is possible to rewrite this equation to the following form:

$$\left[\hat{D}^2 + \lambda\right] \psi + \frac{\omega}{2\rho}(c_0^*\Psi_1 + c_1^*\Psi_0) = - \left\langle\chi_C\left|\hat{D}^{*2}\chi_C\right.\right\rangle \psi, \quad (32)$$

where the covariant derivative is introduced as $\hat{D} := \partial_\rho - iA$, $\hat{D}^* := \partial_\rho + iA^*$ with the Berry connection

$$A := i \langle\chi_C|\partial_\rho\chi_C\rangle = i \sum_{j=0,1} c_j^*c_j'. \quad (33)$$

In this case, the Berry connection represents impact of the external parameter ρ on the clock state $|\chi_C\rangle$. Equation (32) is invariant under the local gauge transformation $\psi \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} = e^{if(\rho)}\psi$, $|\chi_C\rangle \rightarrow |\tilde{\chi}_C\rangle = e^{-if(\rho)}|\chi_C\rangle$, which reflects the gauge invariance of the total state. Now we choose the ‘‘zero connection’’ gauge $\tilde{A} = \langle\tilde{\chi}_C|\partial_\rho\tilde{\chi}_C\rangle = 0$ to make the equation simpler. The condition for $f(\rho)$ of this gauge is

$$if' = \langle\chi_C|\partial_\rho\chi_C\rangle = \sum_{j=0,1} c_j^*c_j'. \quad (34)$$

The wave function $\tilde{\psi} = e^{if(\rho)}\psi$ with the zero connection gauge obeys

$$\tilde{\psi}'' + \lambda\tilde{\psi} + \frac{\omega}{2\rho}(c_0^*\Psi_1 + c_1^*\Psi_0) = - \langle\tilde{\chi}_C|\partial_\rho^2\tilde{\chi}_C\rangle \tilde{\psi}, \quad (35)$$

and the coefficient of the right-hand side of this equation is

$$-\langle \tilde{\chi}_C | \partial_\rho^2 \tilde{\chi}_C \rangle = \langle \partial_\rho \chi_C | \partial_\rho \chi_C \rangle - \langle \chi_C | \partial_\rho \chi_C \rangle \langle \partial \chi_C | \chi_C \rangle = \mathcal{F}_Q/4. \quad (36)$$

After all, the wave function $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\psi}'' + \lambda \tilde{\psi} + \frac{\omega}{2\rho} e^{-if} (c_0^* \Psi_1 + c_1^* \Psi_0) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q(\rho)}{4} \tilde{\psi}, \quad (37)$$

where the quantum Fisher information of the clock state appears in the right-hand side after the gauge transformation. In the left-hand side of Eq. (37), using Eq. (16),

$$\begin{aligned} c_0^* e^{-if} \Psi_1 + c_1^* e^{-if} \Psi_0 &= -\frac{2i}{\omega} (\dot{c}_1^* e^{-if} \Psi_1 + \dot{c}_0^* e^{-if} \Psi_0) \\ &= -\frac{2i}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial T} - \sum_{j=0,1} c_j^* \dot{c}_j \tilde{\psi} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where $\sum_j c_j^* \dot{c}_j = (\omega/2i)(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2)$ using (20) and (21). Therefore, we obtain the following Schrödinger equation for the wave function of the universe $\tilde{\psi}$, which represents time evolution of the wave function of the universe conditioned by the qubit clock:

$$i \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial T} = \rho \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \left(\lambda + \frac{(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2)\omega}{2\rho} - \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q(\rho)}{4} \right) \right] \tilde{\psi}. \quad (39)$$

In deriving this equation, we should keep in mind that the condition of workable clock (29) is assumed; if this condition is not fulfilled, the clock state becomes the energy eigenstate. Then the T -dependence included in the phase of $\tilde{\psi}$ disappears, and relevant information of time evolution of the universe is lost. In this case, the original timeless WDW equation (10) is recovered:

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \left(\lambda \pm \frac{\omega}{2\rho} \right) \right] \tilde{\psi} = 0, \quad (40)$$

where the plus and minus signs correspond to the positive ($|\alpha| = 1, |\beta| = 0$) and negative ($|\alpha| = 0, |\beta| = 1$) energy eigenstate of the clock, respectively.

In the right-hand side of Eq. (39), ω/ρ represents the contribution of the qubit to matter energy density, which shows the same behavior as the classical dust fluid. On the other hand, the Fisher information contributes as a matter field with negative energy density because the Fisher information is positive semi-definite.

V. ENTANGLEMENT

We evaluate entanglement between the qubit and the universe to confirm how the emergence of time due to the Page-Wotters mechanism is related to entanglement. As defined in (30), the total state conditioned by the clock is written as

$$|\psi(\rho)\rangle = \psi(\rho) |\chi_C(\rho)\rangle, \quad |\chi_C(\rho)\rangle = \sum_{j=0,1} c_j(\rho) |j\rangle, \quad |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1. \quad (41)$$

The density matrix of this state is

$$\Xi(\rho_1, \rho_2) := |\psi(\rho_1)\rangle \langle \psi(\rho_2)| = \psi(\rho_1)\psi^*(\rho_2) |\chi_C(\rho_1)\rangle \langle \chi_C(\rho_2)|. \quad (42)$$

By tracing out the qubit degrees of freedom, the reduced state is

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\text{red}}(\rho_1, \rho_2) &= \text{Tr}_{\text{qubit}} \Xi(\rho_1, \rho_2) \\ &= \psi(\rho_1)\psi^*(\rho_2) \sum_{j=0,1} \langle j|\chi_C(\rho_1)\rangle \langle \chi_C(\rho_2)|j\rangle \\ &= \psi(\rho_1)\psi^*(\rho_2) \langle \chi_C(\rho_2)|\chi_C(\rho_1)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

and

$$\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}} = \int d\rho |\psi(\rho)|^2, \quad (44)$$

where we do not specify explicit normalization of the wave function. Then the purity is

$$\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}}^2 = \int d\rho_1 d\rho_2 |\psi(\rho_1)|^2 |\psi(\rho_2)|^2 |\langle \chi_C(\rho_1)|\chi_C(\rho_2)\rangle|^2 \leq (\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}})^2, \quad (45)$$

where the overlap of two clock states is written as

$$|\langle \chi_C(\rho_1)|\chi_C(\rho_2)\rangle|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=0,1} c_j^*(\rho_1) c_j(\rho_2) \right|^2 = 1 - 4|\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta_{12}}{2} \right), \quad (46)$$

with $\theta_{12} = \theta(\rho_1) - \theta(\rho_2)$. Equality in Eq. (45) is attained when the reduced system is pure state, in which case the qubit and the universe is separable (product state). As we have shown in (24) and (25), the condition of $\langle \chi_C(\rho_1)|\chi_C(\rho_2)\rangle = 1$ for $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_Q(\rho) = 0$ and $|\chi_C\rangle$ is the energy eigenstate. In this case, $\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}}^2 = (\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}})^2$ and the reduced system becomes pure. This implies that there is no entanglement between the qubit and the universe for $\mathcal{F}_Q = 0$. The qubit system does not work as a clock for the universe.

On the other hand, for $|\langle \chi_C(\rho_1)|\chi_C(\rho_2)\rangle| < 1$ case, $\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}}^2 < (\text{Tr} \Xi_{\text{red}})^2$ and the reduced system becomes a mixed state. In this case, the qubit and the universe are entangled, and the universe wave function is parameterized by the time introduced by the qubit. The entanglement can be quantified using the mixedness, which is proportional to $|\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2$ as shown by (46) in the present model. As presented in Eq. (24), the Fisher information shows the same $|\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2$ dependence, the Fisher information is an quantifier of the entanglement between the clock and the universe in the present model. The maximal entanglement is achieved for $|\alpha|^2 = 1/2$.

VI. SUMMARY

Using a qubit clock, we demonstrate the Page-Wootters mechanism in quantum cosmology which explains emergence of time in timeless stationary quantum systems. The essence of this mechanism is entanglement between a clock degrees of freedom and the target system; the information of the clock system is mediated by entanglement and transferred to the timeless universe. We considered the condition of a workable qubit clock and show that the condition is non-zero values of the quantum Fisher information for the qubit state, which

regards volume of the universe as an external parameter. As the qubit-universe system is totally constrained, non-zero Fisher information of the qubit system implies that the universe is capable of acquiring information of the qubit system via entanglement between the qubit and the universe. As shown in Eq. (39), the Fisher information quantifies the strength of entanglement, and modifies the expansion law of the quantum universe because it serves as a negative energy density of which ρ -dependence is determined through $\theta(\rho)$.

Recently, quantum nature of gravity is paid much attention from the viewpoint of quantum information [10, 11]; by regarding gravitational interaction as a quantum channel, it inevitably introduces entanglement between a probe system and a target system. In the treatment of canonical quantization of gravity, owing to the WDW equation, entanglement between matter fields and gravity is encoded as universal way, and this structure is independent of detail of matter fields. Therefore, the emergent time scenario in the canonical quantum gravity is deeply related to quantum informational nature of gravity.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Y. Kaku, S. Maeda and Y. Osawa for useful discussion on quantumness of gravity. Preliminary idea of this study was obtained through communication with them.

-
- [1] DeWitt, B. S. “Quantum theory of gravity. I. the canonical theory”, *Phys. Rev.* **1967**, 160, 1113–1148.
 - [2] Kiefer, C. “Quantum Gravity”, Oxford University Press **2012**.
 - [3] Page, D.N. ; Wootters, W. K. “Evolution without evolution: Dynamics described by stationary observables”, *Phys. Rev. D* **1983**, 27, 2885–2892.
 - [4] Wootters, W. K. “Time” replaced by quantum correlations”, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **1984**, 23, 701–711.
 - [5] Rotondo, M. ; Nambu, Y. “Clock Time in Quantum Cosmology”, *Universe* **2019**, 5, 66.
 - [6] Paris, M. G. A. “QUANTUM ESTIMATION FOR QUANTUM TECHNOLOGY”, *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **2009**, 07 (supp01), 125–137.
 - [7] Li, N. ; Luo, S. “Entanglement detection via quantum Fisher information”, *Phys. Rev. A* **2013**, 88, 014301.
 - [8] Braunstein, S. L. ; Caves, C. M. “Statistical Distance and the Geometry of Quantum States”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1994**, 72, 3439–3443.
 - [9] Anandan, J. ; Aharonov, Y. “Geometry of quantum evolution”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1990**, 65, 1697–1700.
 - [10] Bose, S. ; Mazumdar, A. ; Morley, G. W. ; Ulbricht, H. ; Toroš, M. ; Paternostro, M. ; Geraci, A. A. ; Barker, P. F. ; Kim, M. S. ; Milburn, G. “Spin Entanglement Witness for Quantum Gravity”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, 119, 240401.
 - [11] Marletto, C. ; Vedral, V. “Witness gravity’s quantum side in the lab”, *Nature* **2017**, 547, 156–158.