

# BGK MODEL FOR MULTI-COMPONENT GASES NEAR A GLOBAL MAXWELLIAN

GI-CHAN BAE, CHRISTIAN KLINGENBERG, MARLIES PIRNER, AND SEOK-BAE YUN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish the existence of the unique global-in-time classical solutions to the multi-component BGK model suggested in [47] when the initial data is a small perturbation of global equilibrium. For this, we carefully analyze the dissipative nature of the linearized multi-component relaxation operator, and observe that the partial dissipation from the intra-species and the inter-species linearized relaxation operators are combined in a complementary manner to give rise to the desired dissipation estimate of the model. We also observe that the convergence rate of the distribution function increases as the momentum-energy interchange rate between the different components of the gas increases.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the existence and the asymptotic behavior of the BGK model for multi-component gases suggested in [47]:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t F_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x F_1 &= n_1 (\mathcal{M}_{11} - F_1) + n_2 (\mathcal{M}_{12} - F_1), \\ \partial_t F_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x F_2 &= n_2 (\mathcal{M}_{22} - F_2) + n_1 (\mathcal{M}_{21} - F_2), \\ F_1(x, v, 0) &= F_{10}(x, v), \quad F_2(x, v, 0) = F_{20}(x, v). \end{aligned}$$

The distribution function  $F_i(x, v, t)$  denotes the number density of  $i$ -th species particle at the phase point  $(x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$  at time  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . The intra-species Maxwell distributions in the BGK operator  $\mathcal{M}_{ii}$  are defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{ii} = \frac{n_i}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{T_i}{m_i}}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - U_i|^2}{2\frac{T_i}{m_i}}\right), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Here  $m_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) denotes the mass of a molecule in the  $i$ -th component, which we assume that  $m_1 \geq m_2$  throughout the paper without loss of generality. The number density  $n_i$ , the bulk velocity  $U_i$ , and the temperature  $T_i$  of the  $i$ -th particle are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} n_i(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_i(x, v, t) dv, \\ U_i(x, t) &= \frac{1}{n_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_i(x, v, t) v dv, \\ T_i(x, t) &= \frac{1}{3n_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_i(x, v, t) m_i |v - U_i|^2 dv. \end{aligned}$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Multi-component gases, BGK model for multi-component gas mixtures, Boltzmann equation for multi-component gas mixtures, nonlinear energy method, classical solutions, asymptotic behavior.

The inter-species Maxwellian distributions are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{12} = \frac{n_1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{T_{12}}{m_1}}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - U_{12}|^2}{2\frac{T_{12}}{m_1}}\right), \quad \mathcal{M}_{21} = \frac{n_2}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{T_{21}}{m_2}}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - U_{21}|^2}{2\frac{T_{21}}{m_2}}\right),$$

where the inter-species bulk velocities  $U_{12}, U_{21}$  and the inter-species temperatures  $T_{12}, T_{21}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} U_{12} &= \delta U_1 + (1 - \delta)U_2, \\ U_{21} &= \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)U_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)\right)U_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12} &= \omega T_1 + (1 - \omega)T_2 + \gamma|U_2 - U_1|^2, \\ T_{21} &= (1 - \omega)T_1 + \omega T_2 + \left(\frac{1}{3}m_1(1 - \delta)\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}(\delta - 1) + 1 + \delta\right) - \gamma\right)|U_2 - U_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the free parameter  $\delta$  and  $\omega$  denote the momentum interchange rate and the temperature interchange rate, respectively. In (1.1),  $n_i(\mathcal{M}_{ii} - F_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are the intra-species relaxation operators for  $i$ -th gas component, while  $n_j(\mathcal{M}_{ij} - F_i)$  ( $i \neq j$ ) are the inter-species relaxation operators between different components of the gas. We note that the inter-species relaxation operators describe the interchange of the macroscopic momentum and the temperature between two different species of gas. These relaxation operators satisfy the following cancellation properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}_{ii} - F_i)(1, m_i v, m_i |v|^2) dv &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}_{12} - F_1) dv &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{M}_{21} - F_2) dv = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_1(\mathcal{M}_{12} - F_1)m_1 v dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_2(\mathcal{M}_{21} - F_2)m_2 v dv &= 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_1(\mathcal{M}_{12} - F_1)m_1 |v|^2 dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_2(\mathcal{M}_{21} - F_2)m_2 |v|^2 dv &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

leading to the following conservation laws of the density, total momentum, and total energy:

$$\begin{aligned} (1.2) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F_1(x, v, t) dv dx = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F_2(x, v, t) dv dx = 0, \\ &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (F_1(x, v, t)m_1 v + F_2(x, v, t)m_2 v) dv dx = 0, \\ &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (F_1(x, v, t)m_1 |v|^2 + F_2(x, v, t)m_2 |v|^2) dv dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To ensure the positivity of all temperatures, the free parameters  $\omega, \delta$ , and  $\gamma$  are restricted to

$$\frac{\frac{m_1}{m_2} - 1}{1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2}} \leq \delta < 1, \quad 0 \leq \omega < 1,$$

and

$$0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{m_1}{3}(1 - \delta) \left[ \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2}\right) \delta + 1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right].$$

For more details, see [47].

The main goal of this paper is to establish the global-in-time classical solution of the mixture BGK model when the initial data is close to global equilibrium. For this, we consider the following global equilibrium for each particle distribution function:

$$\mu_1(v) = n_{10} \frac{\sqrt{m_1}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{m_1|v|^2}{2}}, \quad \mu_2(v) = n_{20} \frac{\sqrt{m_2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{m_2|v|^2}{2}}.$$

We then define the perturbations  $f_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) by  $F_k = \mu_k + \sqrt{\mu_k} f_k$  and rewrite the mixture BGK model (1.1) in terms of  $f_k$  as

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 &= L_{11}(f_1) + L_{12}(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \\ \partial_t f_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 &= L_{22}(f_2) + L_{21}(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the R.H.S,  $L_{11}$  and  $L_{22}$  denote the linearized part of the intra-species relaxation operators:

$$L_{kk}(f_k) = n_{k0}(P_k f_k - f_k), \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

where  $P_k$  is the  $L^2$  projection onto the linear space spanned by

$$\{\sqrt{\mu_k}, v\sqrt{\mu_k}, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu_k}\}.$$

The linearized operators for inter-species interactions  $L_{12}$  and  $L_{21}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{12}(f_1, f_2) &= n_{20}(P_1 f_1 - f_1) \\ &\quad + n_{20} \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{21}(f_1, f_2) &= n_{10}(P_2 f_2 - f_2) \\ &\quad + n_{10} \left[ \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \right], \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq \delta, \omega < 1$  and  $\{e_{ki}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 5}$  is an orthonormal basis spanned by  $\{\sqrt{\mu_k}, v\sqrt{\mu_k}, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu_k}\}$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Finally,  $\Gamma_{11}$ ,  $\Gamma_{22}$ ,  $\Gamma_{12}$ , and  $\Gamma_{21}$  are nonlinear perturbations. For detailed derivation of (1.3), see Sec. 2.

We introduce

$$L(f_1, f_2) = (L_{11}(f_1) + L_{12}(f_1, f_2), L_{22}(f_2) + L_{21}(f_1, f_2)),$$

and

$$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = (\Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2)),$$

to rewrite (1.3) in the following succinct form:

$$(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)(f_1, f_2) = L(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma(f_1, f_2).$$

To state our main result, we need to set up several notations.

- The constant  $C$  in the estimates will be defined generically.

- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L_v^2}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2}$  denote the standard  $L^2$  inner product on  $\mathbb{R}_v^3$  and  $\mathbb{T}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3$ , respectively.

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L_v^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v)g(v)dv, \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v)g(x, v)dvdx.$$

- $\|\cdot\|_{L_v^2}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{x,v}^2}$  denote the standard  $L^2$  norms in  $\mathbb{R}_v^3$  and  $\mathbb{T}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3$ , respectively:

$$\|f\|_{L_v^2} \equiv \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \equiv \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |f(x, v)|^2 dvdx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- We define an  $L^2$  inner product between two vectors  $(f_1, f_2)$  and  $(g_1, g_2)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \rangle_{L_v^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1(v)g_1(v) + f_2(v)g_2(v)dv, \\ \langle (f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_1(x, v)g_1(x, v) + f_2(x, v)g_2(x, v)dvdx. \end{aligned}$$

- The standard  $L^2$  norm of a vector denotes

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(x, v), g(x, v))\|_{L_v^2} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 + |g(v)|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|(f(x, v), g(x, v))\|_{L_{x,v}^2} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |f(x, v)|^2 + |g(x, v)|^2 dvdx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

- We use the following notations for multi-indices differential operators:

$$\alpha = [\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3], \quad \beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3],$$

and

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3} \partial_{v_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{v_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{v_3}^{\beta_3}.$$

- We employ the following convention for simplicity.

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha(f_1, f_2) = (\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2).$$

- We define the high-order energy norm  $\mathcal{E}_{N_1, N_2}(f_1(t), f_2(t))$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_{N_1, N_2}(f_1(t), f_2(t)) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N_1, |\beta| \leq N_2 \\ N_1 + N_2 = N}} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha(f_1(t), f_2(t))\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2.$$

For notational simplicity, we use  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  to denote  $\mathcal{E}_{N_1, N_2}(f_1(t), f_2(t))$  when the dependency on  $(N_1, N_2)$  is not relevant.

We are now ready to state our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $N \geq 3$ . We set the macroscopic quantities of the initial data to the same with that of the global equilibria:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F_{k0}(x, v) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ m_k v \\ m_k |v|^2 \end{pmatrix} dvdx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mu_k(v) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ m_k v \\ m_k |v|^2 \end{pmatrix} dvdx,$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . We define  $f_{k0}$  as  $F_{k0} = \mu_k + \sqrt{\mu_k} f_{k0}$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $\mathcal{E}_{N_1, N_2}(f_{10}, f_{20}) < \epsilon$ , then there exists a unique global-in-time classical solution of (1.1) satisfying

- The two distribution functions are non-negative:

$$F_k(x, v, t) = \mu_k + \sqrt{\mu_k} f_k \geq 0.$$

- The conservation laws hold (1.2).

- The distribution functions converge exponentially to the global equilibrium:

$$\mathcal{E}_{N_1, N_2}(f_1, f_2)(t) \leq C e^{-\eta t} \mathcal{E}_{N_1, N_2}(f_{10}, f_{20}).$$

In the case of  $N_2 = 0$ , that is, if  $\mathcal{E}_{N_1, 0}(f_{10}, f_{20}) < \epsilon$ , we have the following more detailed convergence estimate:

$$\mathcal{E}_{N_1, 0}(f_1, f_2)(t) \leq C e^{-\eta \min\{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} t} \mathcal{E}_{N_1, 0}(f_{10}, f_{20}).$$

- Let  $(f_1, f_2)$  and  $(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)$  be solutions corresponding to the initial data  $(f_{10}, f_{20})$  and  $(\bar{f}_{10}, \bar{f}_{20})$ , respectively, then the system satisfies the following  $L^2$  stability:

$$\|(f_1 - \bar{f}_1, f_2 - \bar{f}_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \leq C \|(f_{10} - \bar{f}_{10}, f_{20} - \bar{f}_{20})\|_{L^2_{x,v}}.$$

*Remark 1.2.* The convergence rate in the case of  $N_2 = 0$  shows that the higher interchange rate ( $\delta$  and  $\omega$  close to 0) gives the faster convergence rates.

The most important step is the identification of the dissipation mechanism of the linearized multi-component relaxation operator. To investigate the dissipative property of  $L$ , we decompose the linearized inter-species relaxation operator  $L_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) further into the mass interaction part  $L_{ij}^1$  and the momentum-energy interaction part  $L_{ij}^2$ :

$$L_{12}^1(f_1) = n_{20}(P_1 f_1 - f_1), \quad L_{21}^1(f_2) = n_{10}(P_2 f_2 - f_2),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{12}^2(f_1, f_2) &= n_{20} \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \right], \\ L_{21}^2(f_1, f_2) &= n_{10} \left[ \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \right], \end{aligned}$$

so that  $L_{12} = L_{12}^1 + L_{12}^2$  and  $L_{21} = L_{21}^1 + L_{21}^2$ . We first derive from an explicit computation that the intra-species operator  $L_{ii}$  and the mass interaction part of the inter-species operator  $L_{12}^1$  and  $L_{21}^1$  give rise to the following partial dissipative estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4) \quad & \langle (L_{11} + L_{12}^1) f_1, f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle (L_{22} + L_{21}^1) f_2, f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &= -(n_{10} + n_{20}) \|(I - P_1, I - P_2)(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the dissipation estimate above is too weak in that it involves 10-dimensional degeneracy, which is 4-dimensional bigger than the 6-dimensional conservation laws in (1.2). It is the additional dissipation from the momentum-energy interaction parts  $L_{12}^2, L_{21}^2$  of the inter-species operators  $L_{12}$  and  $L_{21}$  that make up for the deficiency:

$$\begin{aligned} (1.5) \quad & \langle L_{12}^2, f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle L_{21}^2, f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \leq -\min\{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} (n_{10} + n_{20}) \\ & \quad \times \left( \|(P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 - \|P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P$  is an orthonormal  $L^2 \times L^2$  projection on the space spanned by the following 6-dimensional basis

$$\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), (m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2}), ((m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1}, (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2})\}.$$

Then partial dissipation estimates (1.4) and (1.5) complement each other to give rise to the following multi-component dissipation estimate for  $L$ :

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} &\leq -(n_{10} + n_{20}) \left( \max\{\delta, \omega\} \| (I - P_1, I - P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \min\{(1 - \delta), (1 - \omega)\} \| (I - P)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The dissipation estimate (1.6), together with further analysis on the degeneracy part through the standard micro-macro decomposition, provides the following full coercivity depending on the interchange rates:

$$\langle L(\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)), \partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \leq -\eta \min\{(1 - \delta), (1 - \omega)\} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| (\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2.$$

Due to the presence of the momentum interchange rate  $\delta$  and the energy interchange rate  $\omega$  between different components in the dissipation estimate, we see that the larger interchange rate (when  $\delta$  and  $\omega$  are close to zero) leads to the stronger dissipation, and therefore, the faster convergence to the global equilibrium:

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha(f_1(t), f_2(t)) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \leq e^{-\eta \min\{(1 - \delta), (1 - \omega)\} t} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha(f_1(0), f_2(0)) \|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2.$$

**1.1. Literature review.** We start with a review of the mathematical results of the mono-species BGK model. Perthame established the first result on global weak solutions for a general initial data in [52]. In [53], the authors considered weighted- $L^\infty$  bounds to obtain the uniqueness. Desvillettes considered the convergence to equilibrium in a weak sense [26]. Ukai proved the existence of the stationary solution on a finite interval with inflow boundary condition in [67]. In [75], the  $L^\infty$  work in [52] is generalized to an weighted  $L^p$  space. Classical solutions near-global equilibrium is constructed in [7] using the spectral analysis of Ukai [66], and by using the nonlinear energy method of Yan Guo [38, 39, 40] in [71]. The nonlinear energy method is then employed further to study several types of BGK models [5, 6, 44, 71, 73, 74]. Saint-Raymond considered the hydrodynamic limits of the BGK model in [58, 59]. For the numerical study of the BGK model, we refer to [8, 14, 14, 22, 23, 24, 25, 48, 56, 57].

Various BGK models to describe the dynamics of multi-component gases are proposed in the literature. Examples include the model of Gross and Krook [37], the model of Hamel [43], the model of Greene [35], the model of Garzo, Santos and Brey [33], the model of Sofonea and Sekerka [60], the model by Andries, Aoki and Perthame [1], the model of Brull, Pavan and Schneider [17], the model of Klingenber, Pirner and Puppo [47], the model of Haack, Hauck, Murillo [42], the model of Bobylev, Bisi, Groppi, Spiga [13]. The BGK model for gas mixtures has also been extended to the ES-BGK model, polyatomic molecules, chemical reactions, or the quantum case; See for example [4, 11, 12, 36, 46, 48, 55, 62, 72]. For the applications of the mixture BGK models, we refer to [8, 9, 14, 27, 28, 31, 54, 56]. For the existence of the BGK model of gas mixtures, the mild solution was established in [45]. In [49], by constructing an entropy functional, the authors can prove exponential relaxation to equilibrium with explicit rates. The strategy is based on the entropy and spectral methods adapting Lyapunov's direct method.

A review of the multi-species Boltzmann equation is in order. In [39], the author established the global existence for the mixture of a charged particle described by the Vlasov–Maxwell–Boltzmann equation. The mild solution and uniform  $L^1$  stability are obtained in [41]. A mass diffusion problem of the mixture and the cross-species resonance is studied for a one-dimension case in [61] based on the work in [50]. In [16], the author constructed the global-in-time mild solution near-global equilibrium for the mixture Boltzmann equation. The Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann equation was considered in [30] about large time asymptotic profiles when the different-species gases tend to two distinct global Maxwellians. In [32], the existence and uniqueness are constructed in spatially homogeneous settings when an initial data has upper and lower bounds for some polynomial moments. The authors in [15] obtained some energy estimates.

For physical or engineering references on the studies on multi-component gases at the kinetic level, we refer [2, 3, 51, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 68, 70]. Some general reviews of the Boltzmann and the BGK model can be found in [10, 18, 19, 20, 21, 29, 34, 69].

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we linearized the system (1.1) to obtain (1.3). In Sec. 3, we derive the dissipation estimate of the linearized relaxation operator. The local-in-time classical solution is constructed in Sec 4. In Section 5, The full coercivity of  $L$  is recovered when the energy norm is sufficiently small. Lastly, we establish the global-in-time classical solution in Sec 6.

## 2. LINEARIZATION OF THE MIXTURE BGK MODEL

**2.1. Linearization of the mixture Maxwellian.** In this part, we linearize the inter-species Maxwellian  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$ . We first define the macroscopic projection on  $L_v^2$  and state the linearization result of the mono-species local Maxwellian  $\mathcal{M}_{kk}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We define the macroscopic projection operator  $P_k$  in  $L_v^2$  for  $k = 1, 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P_k f &= \frac{1}{n_{k0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \sqrt{\mu_k} + \frac{m_k}{n_{k0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f v \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \cdot v \sqrt{\mu_k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6n_{k0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f (m_k |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_k} dv (m_k |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_k}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote 5-dimensional basis as ( $i = 2, 3, 4$ )

$$(2.1) \quad e_{k1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{k0}}} \sqrt{\mu_k}, \quad e_{ki} = \sqrt{\frac{m_k}{n_{k0}}} v_{i-1} \sqrt{\mu_k}, \quad e_{k5} = \frac{m_k |v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6n_{k0}}} \sqrt{\mu_k}.$$

The 5-dimensional basis set  $\{e_{1i}\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$  and  $\{e_{2i}\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$  construct an orthonormal basis in  $L_v^2$ , respectively. So, we can write

$$P_1 f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \langle f, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{1i}, \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \langle f, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{2i}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [71] *The mono-species BGK Maxwellian  $\mathcal{M}_{kk}$  is linearized as follows:*

$$\mathcal{M}_{kk}(F_k) = \mu_k + \sqrt{\mu_k} P_k f_k + \sqrt{\mu_k} \Gamma_{kk}(f_k, f_k),$$

where the nonlinear term  $\Gamma_{kk}(f_k, f_k)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{kk}(f_k, f_k) &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} \int_0^1 \frac{P_{ij}(n_{k\theta}, U_{k\theta}, T_{k\theta}, v - U_{k\theta}, U_{k\theta})}{R_{ij}(n_{k\theta}, T_{k\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{kk}(\theta)(1 - \theta) d\theta \\ &\quad \times \langle f_k, e_{ki} \rangle_{L_v^2} \langle f_k, e_{kj} \rangle_{L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $k=1,2$ . The function  $P_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_5)$  denotes a generic polynomial depending on  $(x_1, \dots, x_5)$  and  $R_{ij}(x, y)$  denotes a generic monomial  $R_{ij}(x, y) = x^n y^m$ , where  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* The linearization of the mono-species BGK Maxwellian  $\mathcal{M}_{kk}$  is in [71] for the case  $n_{k0} = 1$  and  $m_k = 1$ . For a general  $n_{k0}$  and  $m_k$ , the linearization of  $\mathcal{M}_{kk}$  is a special case of the linearization of  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$  with the choice  $\delta = \omega = 1$  (See (2.10) and (2.11), respectively).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The multi-species BGK Maxwellians  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$  are linearized as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{12}(F) &= \mu_1 + P_1 f_1 \sqrt{\mu_1} + (1 - \delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \sqrt{\mu_1} \\ &\quad + (1 - \omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \sqrt{\mu_1} + \sqrt{\mu_1} \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{21}(F) &= \mu_2 + P_2 f_2 \sqrt{\mu_2} + \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1 - \delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \sqrt{\mu_2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \sqrt{\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2} \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2). \end{aligned}$$

We give the precise definition of the nonlinear terms  $\Gamma_{12}$  and  $\Gamma_{21}$  in Section 2.2

*Proof.* We first define a transition of the macroscopic fields:

$$(2.2) \quad n_{k\theta} = \theta n_k + (1 - \theta) n_{k0}, \quad n_{k\theta} U_{k\theta} = \theta n_k U_k, \quad G_{k\theta} = \theta G_k,$$

where

$$G_k = \frac{3n_k T_k + m_k n_k |U_k|^2 - 3n_k}{\sqrt{6}},$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . We also denote multi-species macroscopic fields as

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad U_{12\theta} &= \delta U_{1\theta} + (1 - \delta) U_{2\theta}, \\ U_{21\theta} &= \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1 - \delta) U_{1\theta} + \left( 1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1 - \delta) \right) U_{2\theta}, \\ T_{12\theta} &= \omega T_{1\theta} + (1 - \omega) T_{2\theta} + \gamma |U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2, \\ T_{21\theta} &= (1 - \omega) T_{1\theta} + \omega T_{2\theta} + \left( \frac{1}{3} m_1 (1 - \delta) \left( \frac{m_1}{m_2} (\delta - 1) + 1 + \delta \right) - \gamma \right) |U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we consider the multi-species BGK Maxwellians  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$ , which depend on  $\theta$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) = \frac{n_{1\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{T_{12\theta}}{m_1}}^3} \exp \left( -\frac{|v - U_{12\theta}|^2}{2 \frac{T_{12\theta}}{m_1}} \right), \quad \mathcal{M}_{21}(\theta) = \frac{n_{2\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{T_{21\theta}}{m_2}}^3} \exp \left( -\frac{|v - U_{21\theta}|^2}{2 \frac{T_{21\theta}}{m_2}} \right).$$

The definition of  $n_{k\theta}, U_{k\theta}, T_{k\theta}$  gives

$$(n_{k\theta}, U_{k\theta}, T_{k\theta})|_{\theta=1} = (n_k, U_k, T_k), \quad \text{and} \quad (n_{k\theta}, U_{k\theta}, T_{k\theta})|_{\theta=0} = (n_{k0}, 0, 1),$$

so we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}(1) = \mathcal{M}_{12}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{12}(0) = \mu_1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{21}(1) = \mathcal{M}_{21}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{21}(0) = \mu_2,$$

where we used  $U_{120} = U_{210} = 0$  and  $T_{120} = T_{210} = 1$ . We apply the Taylor expansion to  $\mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{21}(\theta)$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}(1) = \mu_1 + \mathcal{M}'_{12}(0) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}''_{12}(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{21}(1) = \mu_2 + \mathcal{M}'_{21}(0) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}''_{21}(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta.$$

By the chain rule, we compute the linear term  $\mathcal{M}'_{ij}(0)$ :

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M}'_{ij}(0) = \left( \frac{d(n_{1\theta}, n_{1\theta}U_{1\theta}, G_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, n_{2\theta}U_{2\theta}, G_{2\theta})}{d\theta} \right)^T \times \left( \frac{\partial(n_{1\theta}, n_{1\theta}U_{1\theta}, G_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, n_{2\theta}U_{2\theta}, G_{2\theta})}{\partial(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \right)^{-1} (\nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(\theta)) \Big|_{\theta=0},$$

for  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ . Although  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$  does not depend on  $n_2$ , we use the above form for the convenience of the calculation. In this proposition, we focus on the linear term  $\mathcal{M}'_{12}(0)$  and  $\mathcal{M}'_{21}(0)$ . The exact form of the nonlinear terms will be presented in Section 2.2. The remaining proof proceeds by stating some auxiliary lemmas below.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** [71] Let us define

$$G = \frac{3nT + mn|U|^2 - 3n}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

Then we have

$$J = \frac{\partial(n, nU, G)}{\partial(n, U, T)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ U_1 & n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ U_2 & 0 & n & 0 & 0 \\ U_3 & 0 & 0 & n & 0 \\ \frac{3T+m|U|^2-3}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2nU_1m}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2nU_2m}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2nU_3m}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{3n}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$J^{-1} = \left( \frac{\partial(n, nU, G)}{\partial(n, U, T)} \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{U_1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{U_2}{n} & 0 & \frac{1}{n} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{U_3}{n} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n} & 0 \\ \frac{m|U|^2-3T+3}{3n} & -\frac{2m}{3}\frac{U_1}{n} & -\frac{2m}{3}\frac{U_2}{n} & -\frac{2m}{3}\frac{U_3}{n} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* In the case of  $m_i = 1$ , it is proved in [71], and by the same explicit calculation, we can extend the result for general  $m_i$ . We omit it.  $\square$

2.1.1. *Linearization of  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ .* We first consider the calculation of  $\mathcal{M}'_{12}(0)$  in (2.4).

**Lemma 2.4.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta}} \right|_{\theta=0} = \frac{1}{n_{10}} \mu_1, & (2) \quad & \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial U_{1\theta}} \right|_{\theta=0} = \delta m_1 v \mu_1, \\ (3) \quad & \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial T_{1\theta}} \right|_{\theta=0} = \omega \frac{m_1 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_1, & (4) \quad & \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial U_{2\theta}} \right|_{\theta=0} = (1 - \delta) m_1 v \mu_1, \\ (5) \quad & \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial T_{2\theta}} \right|_{\theta=0} = (1 - \omega) \frac{m_1 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For readability, we ignore the dependence on  $\theta$ .

(1) By an explicit computation, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial n_1} = \frac{1}{n_1} \mathcal{M}_{12}.$$

(2) Note that both  $U_{12}$  and  $T_{12}$  depend on  $U_1$ . So that, the chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial U_1} &= \frac{\partial U_{12}}{\partial U_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial U_{12}} + \frac{\partial T_{12}}{\partial U_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial T_{12}} \\ &= \delta m_1 \frac{v - U_{12}}{T_{12}} \mathcal{M}_{12} - 2\gamma(U_2 - U_1) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{12}} + \frac{m_1 |v - U_{12}|^2}{2T_{12}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) An explicit calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial T_1} = \frac{\partial T_{12}}{\partial T_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial T_{12}} = \omega \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{12}} + \frac{m_1 |v - U_{12}|^2}{2T_{12}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{12}.$$

(4) Similar to case (2), both  $U_{12}$  and  $T_{12}$  depend on  $U_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial U_2} &= \frac{\partial U_{12}}{\partial U_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial U_{12}} + \frac{\partial T_{12}}{\partial U_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial T_{12}} \\ &= (1 - \delta) m_1 \frac{v - U_{12}}{T_{12}} \mathcal{M}_{12} + 2\gamma(U_2 - U_1) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{12}} + \frac{m_1 |v - U_{12}|^2}{2T_{12}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) By an explicit computation, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial T_2} = \frac{\partial T_{12}}{\partial T_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}}{\partial T_{12}} = (1 - \omega) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{12}} + \frac{m_1 |v - U_{12}|^2}{2T_{12}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{12}.$$

Substituting

$$(2.5) \quad (n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})|_{\theta=0} = (n_{10}, U_{10}, T_{10}, U_{20}, T_{20}) = (n_{10}, 0, 1, 0, 1),$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad U_{12\theta}|_{\theta=0} = U_{21\theta}|_{\theta=0} = 0, \quad T_{12\theta}|_{\theta=0} = T_{21\theta}|_{\theta=0} = 1,$$

on the above computations, we get the desired result.  $\square$

Now we proceed with the proof of Proposition 2.1 for  $\mathcal{M}_{12}(F)$ . By the definition of the transition of the macroscopic fields (2.2) and the definition of the basis (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & \frac{d(n_{k\theta}, n_{k\theta} U_{k\theta}, G_{k\theta})}{d\theta} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k \sqrt{\mu_k} dv, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k v \sqrt{\mu_k} dv, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k \frac{m_k |v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \right) \\ &= (\langle f_k, e_{k1} \rangle_{L_v^2}, \langle f_k, e_{k2} \rangle_{L_v^2}, \langle f_k, e_{k3} \rangle_{L_v^2}, \langle f_k, e_{k4} \rangle_{L_v^2}, \langle f_k, e_{k5} \rangle_{L_v^2}), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . For notational brevity, we define

$$J_{k\theta} = \frac{\partial(n_{k\theta}, n_{k\theta}U_{k\theta}, G_{k\theta})}{\partial(n_{k\theta}, U_{k\theta}, T_{k\theta})}.$$

Then applying Lemma 2.3 gives

$$J_{k\theta}^{-1}|_{\theta=0} = \text{diag} \left( 1, \frac{1}{n_{k0}}, \frac{1}{n_{k0}}, \frac{1}{n_{k0}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{n_{k0}} \right),$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \left( \frac{\partial(n_{1\theta}, n_{1\theta}U_{1\theta}, G_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, n_{2\theta}U_{2\theta}, G_{2\theta})}{\partial(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \right)^{-1} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{1\theta}^{-1}|_{\theta=0} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2\theta}^{-1}|_{\theta=0} \end{bmatrix},$$

where we used

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & J_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We substitute (2.7), (2.8) and Lemma 2.4 into (2.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{12}(0) &= \frac{\mu_1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \frac{\delta m_1 v \mu_1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \\ &\quad + \omega \frac{m_1 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_1 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \frac{m_1 |v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-\delta)m_1 v \mu_1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \\ &\quad + (1-\omega) \frac{m_1 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_1 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \frac{m_2 |v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\mu_2} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the basis in (2.1), we simplify it as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{12}(0) &= \langle f_1, e_{11} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{11} \sqrt{\mu_1} + \delta \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{1i} \sqrt{\mu_1} + \omega \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{15} \sqrt{\mu_1} \\ &\quad + (1-\delta) \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{1i} \sqrt{\mu_1} + (1-\omega) \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{15} \sqrt{\mu_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting the following term

$$(1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{1i} \sqrt{\mu_1} + (1-\omega) \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{15} \sqrt{\mu_1},$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{12}(0) &= P_1 f_1 \sqrt{\mu_1} + (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \sqrt{\mu_1} \\ &\quad + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \sqrt{\mu_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for the linearization of  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ .

2.1.2. *Linearization of  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$ .* Now we consider the calculation of  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$  in (2.4).

**Lemma 2.5.** *We have*

- $$(1) \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21\theta}}{\partial n_{2\theta}} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \frac{1}{n_{20}} \mu_2, \quad (2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21\theta}}{\partial U_{2\theta}} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1-\delta)\right) m_2 v \mu_2,$$
- $$(3) \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21\theta}}{\partial T_{2\theta}} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \omega \frac{m_2 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_2, \quad (4) \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21\theta}}{\partial U_{1\theta}} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1-\delta) m_2 v \mu_2,$$
- $$(5) \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21\theta}}{\partial T_{1\theta}} \Big|_{\theta=0} = (1-\omega) \frac{m_2 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_2.$$

*Proof.* (1) By an explicit computation, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial n_2} = \frac{1}{n_2} \mathcal{M}_{21}.$$

(2) Note that  $U_{21}$  and  $T_{21}$  depend on  $U_2$ . The chain rule gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial U_2} = \frac{\partial U_{21}}{\partial U_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial U_{21}} + \frac{\partial T_{21}}{\partial U_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_{21}}.$$

So we differentiate

$$\frac{\partial U_{21}}{\partial U_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial U_{21}} = (1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1-\delta)) m_2 \frac{v - U_{21}}{T_{21}} \mathcal{M}_{21},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{21}}{\partial U_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_{21}} &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{3} m_1 (1-\delta) \left( \frac{m_1}{m_2} (\delta-1) + 1 + \delta \right) - \gamma \right) \\ &\quad \times (U_2 - U_1) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{21}} + \frac{m_2 |v - U_{21}|^2}{2T_{21}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) We have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_2} = \frac{\partial T_{21}}{\partial T_2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_{21}} = \omega \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{21}} + \frac{m_2 |v - U_{21}|^2}{2T_{21}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{21}.$$

(4) Since both  $U_{21}$  and  $T_{21}$  depend on  $U_1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial U_1} = \frac{\partial U_{21}}{\partial U_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial U_{21}} + \frac{\partial T_{21}}{\partial U_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_{21}},$$

we compute

$$\frac{\partial U_{21}}{\partial U_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial U_{21}} = \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1-\delta) m_2 \frac{v - U_{21}}{T_{21}} \mathcal{M}_{21},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{21}}{\partial U_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_{21}} &= -2 \left( \frac{1}{3} m_1 (1-\delta) \left( \frac{m_1}{m_2} (\delta-1) + 1 + \delta \right) - \gamma \right) \\ &\quad \times (U_2 - U_1) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{21}} + \frac{m_2 |v - U_{21}|^2}{2T_{21}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) We have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_1} = \frac{\partial T_{21}}{\partial T_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{21}}{\partial T_{21}} = (1-\omega) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{21}} + \frac{m_2 |v - U_{21}|^2}{2T_{21}^2} \right) \mathcal{M}_{21}.$$

Similar to Lemma 2.4, substituting (2.5) and (2.6) on the above calculations gives desired results.  $\square$

Substituting (2.7), (2.8), and Lemma 2.5 into (2.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}'_{21}(0) &= \frac{\mu_2}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \sqrt{\mu_2} dv + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)\right) m_2 v \mu_2}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \\ &\quad + \omega \frac{m_2 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \frac{m_2 |v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \\ &\quad + \frac{\frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta) m_2 v \mu_2}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \\ &\quad + (1 - \omega) \frac{m_2 |v|^2 - 3}{2} \mu_2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \frac{m_1 |v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\mu_1} dv.\end{aligned}$$

Using the notation of the basis in (2.1), it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}'_{21}(0) &= \langle f_2, e_{21} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{21} \sqrt{\mu_2} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)\right) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{2i} \sqrt{\mu_2} + \omega \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{25} \sqrt{\mu_2} \\ (2.11) \quad &\quad + \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta) \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{2i} \sqrt{\mu_2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \omega) \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{25} \sqrt{\mu_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting the following term

$$\frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{2i} \sqrt{\mu_2} + (1 - \omega) \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} e_{25} \sqrt{\mu_2},$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}'_{21}(0) &= P_2 f_2 \sqrt{\mu_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \sqrt{\mu_2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \sqrt{\mu_2}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for the linearization of  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$ .

**2.2. Linearization of the mixture BGK model.** In this part, we linearize the mixture BGK model (1.1). Applying the linearization of the BGK Maxwellian Lemma 2.2 and

Proposition 2.1, we substitute  $F_1 = \mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1}f_1$  on (1.1)<sub>1</sub> and divide it by  $\sqrt{\mu_1}$  to have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 &= n_1(P_1 f_1 - f_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{11}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta) \\ &\quad + n_2(P_1 f_1 - f_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{12}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta) \\ &\quad + n_2 \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Splitting  $n_k$  by  $n_k = (n_k - n_{k0}) + n_{k0}$ ,

$$(2.12) \quad n_k = n_k - n_{k0} + n_{k0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k \sqrt{\mu_k} dv + n_{k0} = \sqrt{n_{k0}} \langle f_k, e_{k1} \rangle_{L_v^2} + n_{k0},$$

we can have the following linearized equation:

$$(2.13) \quad \partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 = L_{11}(f_1) + L_{12}(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2),$$

where  $L_{11}(f_1) = n_{10}(P_1 f_1 - f_1)$ . The linear term  $L_{12}$  is decomposed as  $L_{12} = L_{12}^1 + L_{12}^2$  with  $L_{12}^1 = n_{20}(P_1 f_1 - f_1)$ . And  $L_{12}^2$  denotes the linear term describing the interchange of momentum and temperature of each species as follows:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{12}^2(f_1, f_2) &= n_{20} \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The nonlinear terms  $\Gamma_{11}$  and  $\Gamma_{12}$  denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{11}(f_1) &= (n_1 - n_{10})(P_1 f_1 - f_1) + n_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{11}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta, \\ \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2) &= (n_2 - n_{20})(P_1 f_1 - f_1) + n_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{12}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta \\ &\quad + (n_2 - n_{20}) \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we substitute  $F_2 = \mu_2 + \sqrt{\mu_2}f_2$  on (1.1)<sub>2</sub> and divide it by  $\sqrt{\mu_2}$  to have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 &= n_2(P_2 f_2 - f_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{22}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta) \\ &\quad + n_1(P_2 f_2 - f_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{21}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta) \\ &\quad + n_1 \left[ \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \right],\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$(2.15) \quad \partial_t f_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 = L_{22}(f_2) + L_{21}^2(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2),$$

where  $L_{22}(f_2) = n_{20}(P_2 f_2 - f_2)$ . The linear term  $L_{21}$  also decomposed as  $L_{21} = L_{21}^1 + L_{21}^2$  with  $L_{21}^1 = n_{10}(P_2 f_2 - f_2)$ . And  $L_{21}^2$  denotes the interchange of the momentum and temperature between other species.

$$\begin{aligned}L_{21}^2(f_1, f_2) &= n_{10} \left[ \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \right].\end{aligned}$$

The nonlinear terms  $\Gamma_{22}$  and  $\Gamma_{21}$  denote

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{22}(f_2) &= (n_2 - n_{20})(P_2 f_2 - f_2) + n_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{22}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta, \\ \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2) &= (n_1 - n_{10})(P_2 f_2 - f_2) + n_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{21}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta \\ &\quad + (n_1 - n_{10}) \left[ \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{2i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{25} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Overall, we can write the linearized mixture BGK model (1.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}(2.16) \quad \partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 &= L_{11}(f_1) + L_{12}(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \\ \partial_t f_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 &= L_{22}(f_2) + L_{21}(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2), \\ f_1(x, v, 0) &= f_{10}(x, v), \quad f_2(x, v, 0) = f_{20}(x, v).\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_{10} = (F_{10} - \mu_1)/\sqrt{\mu_1}$ , and  $f_{20} = (F_{20} - \mu_2)/\sqrt{\mu_2}$ . The linearized mixture BGK model (2.16) satisfies the following conservation laws.

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu_1} f_1(x, v, t) dv dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu_2} f_2(x, v, t) dv dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\sqrt{\mu_1} f_1(x, v, t) m_1 v + \sqrt{\mu_2} f_2(x, v, t) m_2 v) dv dx &= 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\sqrt{\mu_1} f_1(x, v, t) m_1 |v|^2 + \sqrt{\mu_2} f_2(x, v, t) m_2 |v|^2) dv dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. DISSIPATIVE PROPERTY OF THE LINEARIZED RELAXATION OPERATOR

In this part, we investigate the dissipative property of the linearized multi-component relaxation operator. For simplicity of the notation, we denote the linear operator and the nonlinear perturbation as the vector forms:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= L_{11}(f_1) + L_{12}(f_1, f_2), \\ L_2 &= L_{22}(f_2) + L_{21}(f_1, f_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \\ \Gamma_2 &= \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2), \end{aligned}$$

then we can write (2.13) and (2.15) as

$$(3.1) \quad (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)(f_1, f_2) = L(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma(f_1, f_2),$$

where  $L(f_1, f_2) = (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ . We also define the following 6-dimensional orthonormal basis:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{10}}}(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), \quad E_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{20}}}(0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), \\ E_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}}}(m_1 v_{i-2} \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 v_{i-2} \sqrt{\mu_2}), \quad (i = 3, 4, 5), \\ E_6 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6n_{10} + 6n_{20}}}((m_1 |v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_1}, (m_2 |v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_2}). \end{aligned}$$

We also denote  $E_i = (E_i^1, E_i^2)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ . The macroscopic projection operator for mixture can be written as

$$P(f_1, f_2) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \langle (f_1, f_2), E_i \rangle_{L_v^2} E_i.$$

The following is the main result of this section.

**Proposition 3.1.** *We have the following dissipation property for the linear operator  $L$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} &\leq -(n_{10} + n_{20}) \left( \max\{\delta, \omega\} \| (I - P_1, I - P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \min\{(1 - \delta), (1 - \omega)\} \| (I - P)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By an explicit computation, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} &= \langle L_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle L_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &= -(n_{10} + n_{20}) \| (I - P_1, I - P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle L_{12}^2, f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle L_{21}^2, f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}}. \end{aligned}$$

We decompose the proof in the following 4-steps.

(**Step 1:**) We consider the dissipation from the momentum and temperature interchange part of the inter-species linearized relaxation operator. We claim that

$$\langle L_{12}^2, f_1 \rangle_{L^2_v} + \langle L_{21}^2, f_2 \rangle_{L^2_v} \leq 0,$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv = \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 (m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv = \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv.$$

• Proof of the claim: By the definition of  $L_{12}^2$  in (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{12}^2, f_1 \rangle_{L^2_v} &= (1 - \delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L^2_v} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L^2_v} \right) \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L^2_v} n_{20} \\ &\quad + (1 - \omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L^2_v} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L^2_v} \right) \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L^2_v} n_{20} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{21}^2, f_2 \rangle_{L^2_v} &= \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1 - \delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L^2_v} - \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L^2_v} \right) \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L^2_v} n_{10} \\ &\quad + \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1 - \omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L^2_v} - \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L^2_v} \right) \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L^2_v} n_{10} \\ &= I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

By an explicit computation, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 + I_3 &= -(1 - \delta) n_{20} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L^2_v} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L^2_v} \right)^2 \\ &= -(1 - \delta) m_1 n_{10} n_{20} \left( \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv - \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \right)^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 + I_4 &= -(1 - \omega) n_{20} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L^2_v} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L^2_v} \right)^2 \\ &= -(1 - \omega) \frac{n_{10} n_{20}}{6} \left( \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv - \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 (m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \right)^2 \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim of this step.

**(Step 2:)** To estimate the gap of the macroscopic projection  $(P_1, P_2)$  with  $P$ , we compute the following term:

$$\|(P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) - P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2.$$

We note that the element of  $(P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2)$  can be written as the linear combination of the following 10-dimensional basis:

$$\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), (v\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, v\sqrt{\mu_2}), (|v|^2\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu_2})\}$$

so that  $(P_1, P_2)P = P$ . Therefore,

$$\|(P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) - P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 = \|(P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 - \|P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2.$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |P_k f_k|^2 dv &= \frac{1}{n_{k0}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \right)^2 + \frac{m_k}{n_{k0}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k v \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6n_{k0}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k (m_k |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |P(f_1, f_2)|^2 dv &= \frac{1}{n_{10}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6n_{10} + 6n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which follows directly from explicit computations, we can write

$$\|(P_1 f_1, P_2 f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 - \|P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 = II_1 + II_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad II_1 &= \frac{1}{m_1 n_{10}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \right)^2 + \frac{1}{m_2 n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}} \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{m_2 n_{20}}{m_1 n_{10}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \sqrt{\frac{m_1 n_{10}}{m_2 n_{20}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad II_2 &= \frac{1}{6n_{10}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 (m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \right)^2 + \frac{1}{6n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6n_{10} + 6n_{20}} \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6n_{10} + 6n_{20}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 (m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

**(Step 3:)** In this step, we compare  $\langle L_{12}^2, f_1 \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} + \langle L_{21}^2, f_2 \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2}$  with  $\| (P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) - P(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2$  computed in (Step 1) and (Step 2), respectively. We claim that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle L_{12}^2, f_1 \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} + \langle L_{21}^2, f_2 \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} &\leq -\min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} (n_{10} + n_{20}) \\ &\times \left( \| (P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 - \| P(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad (n_{10} + n_{20}) (II_1 + II_2) \leq -\max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\delta}, \frac{1}{1-\omega} \right\} [(I_1 + I_3) + (I_2 + I_4)],$$

where  $I_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are defined in Step 1, and  $II_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are defined in (3.5) and (3.6). We first compare  $II_2$  with  $I_2 + I_4$ . Multiplying  $(n_{10} + n_{20})$  on (3.6) yields

$$(n_{10} + n_{20}) II_2 = \frac{1}{6} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{n_{20}}{n_{10}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1(m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2(m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right]^2,$$

which is equal to  $-\frac{1}{1-\omega}(I_2 + I_4)$  by (3.4):

$$(3.9) \quad (n_{10} + n_{20}) II_2 = -\frac{1}{1-\omega}(I_2 + I_4).$$

Secondly, we compare  $II_1$  with  $I_1 + I_3$ . We multiply  $(n_{10} + n_{20})$  on (3.5):

$$\begin{aligned} (n_{10} + n_{20}) II_1 &= \frac{n_{10} + n_{20}}{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{m_2 n_{20}}{m_1 n_{10}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \sqrt{\frac{m_1 n_{10}}{m_2 n_{20}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_2} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{m_2 n_{20}}{m_1 n_{10}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \sqrt{\frac{m_1 n_{10}}{m_2 n_{20}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption  $m_1 \geq m_2$ . From (3.3), we compute

$$-m_2(I_1 + I_3) = (1-\delta)m_1 m_2 n_{10} n_{20} \left( \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv - \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \right)^2$$

which means that

$$(3.10) \quad (n_{10} + n_{20}) II_1 \leq -\frac{1}{1-\delta}(I_1 + I_3).$$

Combining the estimates (3.9) and (3.10) yields the desired estimate (3.8).

**(Step 4:)** Finally, we go back to the estimate (3.2). Applying (3.7) on (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} &\leq (n_{10} + n_{20}) \left( \| (P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 - \| (f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} (n_{10} + n_{20}) \left( \| (P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 - \| P(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2}}{n_{10} + n_{20}} &\leq -\| (f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \max\{\delta, \omega\} \| (P_1, P_2)(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} \| P(f_1, f_2) \|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by splitting  $1 = \max\{\delta, \omega\} + \min\{(1 - \delta), (1 - \omega)\}$  on the coefficient of  $\|(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2}^2$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} &\leq -(n_{10} + n_{20}) \left( \max\{\delta, \omega\} \|(I - P_1, I - P_2)(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \min\{(1 - \delta), (1 - \omega)\} \|(I - P)(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.1.** *The kernel of the linear operator  $L$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } L = \text{span}\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), \\ (m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2}), ((m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1}, (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove the following equivalence condition.

$$\langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad L(f_1, f_2) = 0.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This is trivial.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) By Proposition 3.1,  $\langle L(f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} = 0$  implies  $(f_1, f_2) = P(f_1, f_2)$ . Now it is enough to show that  $L(P(f_1, f_2)) = 0$ . By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} L(P(f_1, f_2)) &= (n_{10} + n_{20})((P_1, P_2)(P(f_1, f_2)) - P(f_1, f_2)) \\ &\quad + (L_{12}^2(Pf) + L_{21}^2(Pf)). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is equal to 0 since  $(P_1, P_2)P = P$ . From (Step 1) of Proposition 3.1, we can observe that  $A_1 = A_2 = 0$  implies  $L_{12}^2 = L_{21}^2 = 0$  where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv, \\ A_2 &= \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 (m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we want to prove that  $A_1 = A_2 = 0$  when  $(f_1, f_2) = P(f_1, f_2) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 6} \langle (f_1, f_2), E_k \rangle_{L^2_v} E_k$ . From the orthogonality of the basis  $E_k^1$  with  $v_i \sqrt{\mu_1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 6} [\langle (f_1, f_2), E_k \rangle_{L^2_v} E_k^1] v_i \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 6} [\langle (f_1, f_2), E_k \rangle_{L^2_v} E_k^2] v_i \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \\ &= \langle (f_1, f_2), E_{i+2} \rangle_{L^2_v} \left( \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} E_{i+2}^1 v_i \sqrt{\mu_1} dv - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} E_{i+2}^2 v_i \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By definition of  $E_{i+2}$ , we have

$$A_1 = \frac{\langle (f_1, f_2), E_{i+2} \rangle_{L^2_v}}{\sqrt{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}}} \left( \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} m_1 v_i^2 \mu_1 dv - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} m_2 v_i^2 \mu_2 dv \right) = 0.$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 6} [\langle (f_1, f_2), E_k \rangle_{L_v^2} E_k^1] (m_1 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_1} dv \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 6} [\langle (f_1, f_2), E_k \rangle_{L_v^2} E_k^2] (m_2 |v|^2 - 3) \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \\
&= \frac{\langle (f_1, f_2), E_6 \rangle_{L_v^2}}{\sqrt{6n_{10} + 6n_{20}}} \left( \frac{1}{n_{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (m_1 |v|^2 - 3)^2 \mu_1 dv - \frac{1}{n_{20}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (m_2 |v|^2 - 3)^2 \mu_2 dv \right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (m_i |v|^2 - 3)^2 \mu_i dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (m_i^2 |v|^4 - 6m_i |v|^2 + 9) \mu_i dv = 6n_{i0}.$$

Thus,  $L_{12}^2(Pf) = L_{21}^2(Pf) = 0$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $L(P(f_1, f_2)) = 0$  and the kernel of  $L$  is spanned by the basis of  $P$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* Note that in the extreme cases  $\delta = 1$  or  $\omega = 1$ , we have

- For  $\delta = 1$  and  $0 \leq \omega < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
Ker L &= span\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), (v\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, v\sqrt{\mu_2}) \\
&\quad ((m_1 |v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_1}, (m_2 |v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_2})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

- For  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $\omega = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
Ker L &= span\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), (m_1 v\sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 v\sqrt{\mu_2}), \\
&\quad (|v|^2\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu_2})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

- For  $\delta = \omega = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
Ker L &= span\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), (v\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, v\sqrt{\mu_2}) \\
&\quad (|v|^2\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu_2})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

However, since  $\delta = 1$  or  $\omega = 1$  corresponds respectively to the cases where no interchange of momentum or temperature occurs. We exclude the cases in the paper sequel.

#### 4. LOCAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we prove the local-in-time existence of the mixture BGK model. We start with estimates of the macroscopic fields.

##### 4.1. Estimate of the macroscopic fields.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C > 0$ , such that*

- (1)  $|n_{k\theta}(x, t) - n_{k0}| \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}$ ,
- (2)  $|U_{ij\theta}(x, t)| \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}$ ,
- (3)  $|T_{ij\theta}(x, t) - 1| \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}$ ,

for  $k = 1, 2$  and  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We recall the estimates for the mono-species macroscopic fields in [71]:

$$|n_{k\theta}(x, t) - n_{k0}|, \quad |U_{k\theta}(x, t)|, \quad |T_{k\theta}(x, t) - 1| \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}.$$

Therefore, from the definition of  $U_{12\theta}$ ,  $U_{21\theta}$ ,  $T_{12\theta}$ , and  $T_{21\theta}$  in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{12\theta}| &\leq \delta|U_{1\theta}| + (1 - \delta)|U_{2\theta}| \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}, \\ |U_{21\theta}| &\leq \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)|U_{1\theta}| + \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)\right)|U_{2\theta}| \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}, \\ |T_{12\theta}| &= \omega|T_{1\theta}| + (1 - \omega)|T_{2\theta}| + \gamma|U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2 \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + C\mathcal{E}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{21\theta}| &= (1 - \omega)|T_{1\theta}| + \omega|T_{2\theta}| + \left(\frac{1}{3}m_1(1 - \delta)\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}(\delta - 1) + 1 + \delta\right) - \gamma\right)|U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2 \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + C\mathcal{E}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *For  $|\alpha| \geq 1$  and sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_\alpha > 0$ , such that*

- (1)  $|\partial^\alpha n_{k\theta}(x, t)| \leq C_\alpha \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L_v^2}$ ,
- (2)  $|\partial^\alpha U_{ij\theta}(x, t)| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f_k\|_{L_v^2}$ ,
- (3)  $|\partial^\alpha T_{ij\theta}(x, t)| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f_k\|_{L_v^2} + C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2}^2$ ,

for  $k = 1, 2$  and  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We recall (2.3) and use the following estimates from [71]:

$$(4.1) \quad |\partial^\alpha n_{k\theta}(x, t)|, \quad |\partial^\alpha U_{k\theta}(x, t)|, \quad |\partial^\alpha T_{k\theta}(x, t)| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f_k\|_{L_v^2}. \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha U_{12\theta}| &\leq \delta|\partial^\alpha U_{1\theta}| + (1 - \delta)|\partial^\alpha U_{2\theta}| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2}, \\ |\partial^\alpha U_{21\theta}| &\leq \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)|\partial^\alpha U_{1\theta}| + \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_2}(1 - \delta)\right)|\partial^\alpha U_{2\theta}| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha T_{12\theta}| &= \omega|\partial^\alpha T_{1\theta}| + (1 - \omega)|\partial^\alpha T_{2\theta}| + \gamma\partial^\alpha|U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2, \\ |\partial^\alpha T_{21\theta}| &= (1 - \omega)|\partial^\alpha T_{1\theta}| + \omega|\partial^\alpha T_{2\theta}| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{3}m_1(1 - \delta)\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}(\delta - 1) + 1 + \delta\right) - \gamma\right)\partial^\alpha|U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Young's inequality and using (4.1)<sub>2</sub>, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^\alpha |U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha} 2\partial^{\alpha_1}(U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}) \cdot \partial^{\alpha_2}(U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}) \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

which gives desired result.  $\square$

**4.2. Estimate of the nonlinear term.** We now consider the estimates of nonlinear perturbation  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exist non-negative integer  $\lambda, \nu, \xi$ , and general polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_{lm}$  satisfying*

$$\{\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{ij}(\theta)\}_{l,m} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{lm}(n_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{1\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{1\theta}, T_{2\theta}, v - U_{ij\theta})}{n_{1\theta}^\lambda n_{2\theta}^\nu T_{ij\theta}^\xi} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(\theta),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{lm}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_k a_k x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$  and the indices  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are non-negative integer,  $ij = 12$  or  $ij = 21$ , and  $1 \leq l, m \leq 10$ .

*Proof.* The estimates of  $\mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{21}(\theta)$  are similar. We only consider the former case. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) &= \left( \frac{\partial(n_{1\theta}, n_{1\theta} U_{1\theta}, G_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, n_{2\theta} U_{2\theta}, G_{2\theta})}{\partial(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta).\end{aligned}$$

Then, as in (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) &= \begin{bmatrix} J_{1\theta}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2\theta}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \times \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \\ J_{2\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same process once more time, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) &= \begin{bmatrix} J_{1\theta}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2\theta}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \begin{bmatrix} J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \\ J_{2\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where the second line on the R.H.S. is equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} (J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)) & \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} (J_{2\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)) \\ \nabla_{(n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} (J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)) & \nabla_{(n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} (J_{2\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{2\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{2\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we get

$$\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$T_{ij} = J_{i\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{i\theta}, U_{i\theta}, T_{i\theta})} (J_{j\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{j\theta}, U_{j\theta}, T_{j\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)),$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Each  $T_{ij}$  is a  $5 \times 5$  matrix. For simplicity, we only consider the  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, 2)$  components of  $\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)$ . We can treat other components similarly. Recall that the first row of  $J_{1\theta}^{-1}$  is  $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ , so that

$$\{\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}_{11} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{1\theta}} \left( \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \right) = 0.$$

Now we consider the  $(1, 2)$  component of  $\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)$  which is inner product of the first row of  $J_{1\theta}^{-1}$  which is  $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ , and the second column of  $\nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \{J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}$ . Thus, we only need  $(1, 2)$  component of  $\nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \{J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}$ :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}_{12} &= [\nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \{J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}]_{12} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{1\theta}} [J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)]_2. \end{aligned}$$

The second component of  $[J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)]$  is equal to the inner product of the second row of  $J_{1\theta}^{-1}$  and  $\nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{1\theta}^{-1} \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)]_2 &= \left( -\frac{U_{1\theta}}{n_{1\theta}}, \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}}, 0, 0, 0 \right) \cdot \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, U_{1\theta}, T_{1\theta})} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \\ &= -\frac{U_{1\theta}}{n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta}} + \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial U_{11\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (4.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \{\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}_{12} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{1\theta}} \left( -\frac{U_{11\theta}}{n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta}} + \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial U_{11\theta}} \right) \\ &= \frac{U_{11\theta}}{n_{1\theta}^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta}} - \frac{U_{11\theta}}{n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta}^2} - \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial U_{11\theta}} + \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)}{\partial n_{1\theta} \partial U_{11\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from Lemma 2.4 (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)\}_{12} &= \frac{U_{11\theta}}{n_{1\theta}^3} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}} \left( \delta m_1 \frac{v - U_{12\theta}}{T_{12\theta}} - 2\gamma(U_{2\theta} - U_{1\theta}) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{T_{12\theta}} + \frac{m_1 |v - U_{12\theta}|^2}{2T_{12\theta}^2} \right) \right) \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that  $(1, 2)$  component of  $\nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta)$  is expressed in the form presented in this lemma.  $\square$

We are now ready to estimate the nonlinear terms. The intra-species part is established in [71]:

**Lemma 4.4.** [71] *For sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , we have the following inequality for  $k = 1, 2$ .*

$$\langle \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{kk}(f_k), g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f_k\|_{L_v^2} \|\partial^{\alpha_2} f_k\|_{L_v^2} \|g\|_{L_v^2}.$$

So we focus on the inter-species part.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $N \geq 3$  and  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ . For sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , we have*

$$\langle \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{ij}, g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} (f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2} \|\partial_\beta^{\alpha_2} (f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2} \|g\|_{L_v^2},$$

for  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We only consider the  $\Gamma_{12}$  since the estimate of  $\Gamma_{21}$  is similar. Therefore, we focus on the estimates of the nonlinear terms  $\Gamma_{12}$  and  $\Gamma_{21}$ . For convenience, we divide  $\Gamma_{12}$  into three parts:

$$\Gamma_{12} = \Gamma_{12A} + \Gamma_{12B} + \Gamma_{12C},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{12A} &= (n_2 - n_{20})(P_1 f_1 - f_1), \\ \Gamma_{12B} &= n_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{12}''(\theta)(1-\theta)d\theta, \\ \Gamma_{12C} &= (n_2 - n_{20}) \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) e_{15} \right].\end{aligned}$$

We first write  $\Gamma_{12B}$  in a concise form before we delve into the estimate. For this, compute applying the chain rule twice on  $\mathcal{M}_{ij}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{ij}''(\theta) &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \frac{dn_{\theta 1}}{d\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_{ij}}{dn_{\theta 1}} + \frac{d(n_{\theta 1} U_{\theta 1})}{d\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_{ij}}{d(n_{\theta 1} U_{\theta 1})} + \frac{dG_{\theta 1}}{d\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_{ij}}{dG_{\theta 1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{dn_{\theta 2}}{d\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_{ij}}{dn_{\theta 2}} + \frac{d(n_{\theta 2} U_{\theta 2})}{d\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_{ij}}{d(n_{\theta 2} U_{\theta 2})} + \frac{dG_{\theta 2}}{d\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_{ij}}{dG_{\theta 2}} \right) \\ &= (n_1 - n_{10}, n_1 U_1, G_1, n_2 - n_{20}, n_2 U_2, G_2)^T \left\{ \nabla_{(n_{1\theta}, n_{1\theta} U_{1\theta}, G_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, n_{2\theta} U_{2\theta}, G_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{ij}(\theta) \right\} \\ &\quad \times (n_1 - n_{10}, n_1 U_1, G_1, n_2 - n_{20}, n_2 U_2, G_2).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we define

$$(4.3) \quad H_k = (n_k, n_k U_k, G_k), \quad \text{and} \quad H_{k\theta} = (n_{k\theta}, n_{k\theta} U_{k\theta}, G_{k\theta}),$$

we can rewrite  $\Gamma_{12B}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{12B} &= \frac{n_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} (H_1 - H_{10}, H_2 - H_{20})^T \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \left\{ \nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{ij}(\theta) \right\} (1-\theta) d\theta (H_1 - H_{10}, H_2 - H_{20}).\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate each part of  $\Gamma_{12}$ .

- **Estimate of  $\Gamma_{12A}$ :** We take a derivative  $\partial_\beta^\alpha$  on  $\Gamma_{12A}$ :

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12A} = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} \partial^{\alpha_1} (n_2 - n_{20}) \partial_\beta^{\alpha_2} (P_1 f_1 - f_1).$$

From (2.12), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \partial^\alpha (n_2 - n_{20}) \leq C \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L_v^2}.$$

For an estimate of the macroscopic projection  $P_1 f_1$ , since  $\partial_\beta e_{1i}$  has an exponential decay, we get

$$\|\partial_\beta^\alpha P_1 f_1\|_{L_v^2} = \|\partial_\beta P_1 \partial^\alpha f_1\|_{L_v^2} \leq C_\beta \|\partial^\alpha f_1\|_{L_v^2}.$$

Thus we have

$$(4.5) \quad \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha (P_1 f_1 - f_1), g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C (\| \partial^\alpha f_1 \|_{L_v^2} + \| \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1 \|_{L_v^2}) \| g \|_{L_v^2}.$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain

$$\langle \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12A}, g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|+|\beta|\leq N} \| \partial^{\alpha_1} f_2 \|_{L_v^2} \left( \| \partial^{\alpha_2} f_1 \|_{L_v^2} + \| \partial_\beta^{\alpha_2} f_1 \|_{L_v^2} \right) \| g \|_{L_v^2}.$$

• **Estimate of  $\Gamma_{12C}$ :** We take a derivative  $\partial_\beta^\alpha$  on  $\Gamma_{12C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12C} &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=\alpha} C_{\alpha_1} \partial^{\alpha_1} (n_2 - n_{20}) \\ &\quad \times \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle \partial^{\alpha_2} f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle \partial^{\alpha_2} f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) \partial_\beta e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle \partial^{\alpha_2} f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle \partial^{\alpha_2} f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) \partial_\beta e_{15} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $e_{1i}$  and  $e_{2i}$  has exponential decay for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , we can have

$$(4.6) \quad \langle \partial^\alpha f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \| \partial^\alpha f_1 \|_{L_v^2}, \quad \langle \partial^\alpha f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \| \partial^\alpha f_2 \|_{L_v^2},$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \langle \partial_\beta e_{1i}, g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \| g \|_{L_v^2} \quad \langle \partial_\beta e_{2i}, g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \| g \|_{L_v^2}.$$

Thus by using (4.4), (4.6), and (4.7), we get

$$\langle \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12C}, g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|\leq|\alpha|} \| \partial^{\alpha_1} f_2 \|_{L_v^2} \| \partial^{\alpha_2} (f_1, f_2) \|_{L_v^2} \| g \|_{L_v^2}.$$

• **Estimate of  $\Gamma_{12B}$ :** Taking  $\partial_\beta^\alpha$  on  $\Gamma_{12B}$  gives

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12B} &= \sum_{\sum \alpha_i = \alpha} C_{\alpha_i} \partial^{\alpha_0} n_2 \partial^{\alpha_1} (H_1 - H_{10}, H_2 - H_{20})^T \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \partial_\beta^{\alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \right\} (1-\theta) d\theta \partial^{\alpha_3} (H_1 - H_{10}, H_2 - H_{20}). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $H_k$  in (4.3), applying (2.7) yields

$$\partial^\alpha (H_k - H_{k0}) = \partial^\alpha (n_k - n_{k0}, n_k U_k, G_k) = (\langle \partial^\alpha f_k, e_{k1} \rangle_{L_v^2}, \dots, \langle \partial^\alpha f_k, e_{k5} \rangle_{L_v^2}),$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . Thus we have

$$(4.9) \quad |\partial^\alpha (H_k - H_{k0})| \leq C \| \partial^\alpha f_k \|_{L_v^2}.$$

For notational simplicity, we set

$$A_{lm} = \int_0^1 \partial_\beta^{\alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \nabla_{(H_{1\theta}, H_{2\theta})}^2 \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \right\}_{l,m} (1-\theta) d\theta.$$

Then by Lemma 4.3, we can write it as

$$(4.10) \quad A_{lm} = \int_0^1 \partial_\beta^{\alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{lm}(n_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{1\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{1\theta}, T_{2\theta}, v - U_{12\theta})}{n_{1\theta}^\lambda n_{2\theta}^\nu T_{12\theta}^\xi} \mathcal{M}_{12}(\theta) \right\} (1-\theta) d\theta.$$

By the product rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\beta^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{lm}(n_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{1\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{1\theta}, T_{2\theta}, v - U_{12\theta})}{n_{1\theta}^\lambda n_{2\theta}^\nu T_{12\theta}^\xi} \right\} \\ &= C_\alpha \sum_{\sum \alpha_i = \alpha} \left\{ \mathcal{P}_{lm}(\partial^{\alpha_1} n_{1\theta}, \partial^{\alpha_2} n_{2\theta}, \partial^{\alpha_3} U_{1\theta}, \partial^{\alpha_4} U_{2\theta}, \partial^{\alpha_5} T_{1\theta}, \partial^{\alpha_6} T_{2\theta}, \partial_\beta^{\alpha_7} (v - U_{12\theta})) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \partial^{\alpha_8} \frac{1}{n_{1\theta}^\lambda n_{2\theta}^\nu T_{12\theta}^\xi} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If  $|\alpha_i| \leq N - 2$ , then by Sobolev embedding  $H^2 \subset L^\infty$  and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$|\partial^\alpha n_{k\theta}(x, t)| + |\partial^\alpha U_{k\theta}(x, t)| + |\partial^\alpha T_{k\theta}(x, t)| \leq C \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L_v^2} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}.$$

Since  $N \geq 3$ , there is at most one  $\alpha_i$  that exceeds  $N - 2$ . Thus, for sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , we have

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{P}_{lm}(n_{1\theta}, n_{2\theta}, U_{1\theta}, U_{2\theta}, T_{1\theta}, T_{2\theta}, v - U_{12\theta})}{n_{1\theta}^\lambda n_{2\theta}^\nu T_{12\theta}^\xi} \right\} \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L_v^2} \mathcal{P}_{lm}(v).$$

Substituting it in (4.10) yields

$$A_{lm} \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L_v^2} \mathcal{P}_{lm}(v) \partial_\beta^\alpha \exp \left( -\frac{|v - U_{12\theta}|^2}{2 \frac{T_{12\theta}}{m_1}} + \frac{m_1 |v|^2}{4} \right).$$

Similarly, the derivative of the exponential part can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\beta^\alpha \exp \left( -\frac{|v - U_{12\theta}|^2}{2 \frac{T_{12\theta}}{m_1}} + \frac{m_1 |v|^2}{4} \right) \\ & \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L_v^2} \mathcal{P}_{lm}(v) \exp \left( -\frac{|v - U_{12\theta}|^2}{2 \frac{T_{12\theta}}{m_1}} + \frac{m_1 |v|^2}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1 (3), a sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  guarantees  $T_{12\theta} \leq 3/2$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.11) \quad & \langle A_{lm}, g \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq C \left\| P(v) \exp \left( -\frac{2m_1 |v - U_{12\theta}|^2}{3} + \frac{m_1 |v|^2}{2} \right) \right\|_{L_v^2} \|g\|_{L_v^2} \\ & \leq C \left\| P(v) \exp \left( -\frac{m_1 |v - 4U_{12\theta}|^2}{6} + 2m_1 |U_{12\theta}|^2 \right) \right\|_{L_v^2} \|g\|_{L_v^2} \\ & \leq C \|g\|_{L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $e^{2m_1 |U_{12\theta}|^2} \leq C$  for sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ . Substituting (4.9) and (4.11) on (4.8) gives the desired result.  $\square$

**4.3. Local existence.** In this part, we prove the existence of a local-in-time classical solution of the mixture BGK model (1.1).

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $F_{10} = \mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1} f_{10} \geq 0$  and  $F_{20} = \mu_2 + \sqrt{\mu_2} f_{20} \geq 0$ . There exists  $T_* > 0$  and  $M_0 > 0$  such that if  $\mathcal{E}(0) \leq \frac{M_0}{2}$ , then there exists a unique local-in-time solution  $(F_1, F_2)$  of (1.1) such that*

- (1) *The distribution functions  $F_1(x, v, t)$  and  $F_2(x, v, t)$  are non-negative.*

(2) *The high-order energy  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  is uniformly bounded:*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq M_0.$$

(3) *The high-order energy is continuous in  $t \in [0, T_*]$ .*

(4) *The conservation laws (2.17) hold for all  $t \in [0, T_*]$ .*

*Proof.* We define an iteration of the mixture BGK model (1.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F_1^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x F_1^{n+1} &= n_1(F_1^n)(\mathcal{M}_{11}(F_1^n) - F_1^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + n_2(F_2^n)(\mathcal{M}_{12}(F_1^n, F_2^n) - F_1^{n+1}), \\ \partial_t F_2^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x F_2^{n+1} &= n_2(F_2^n)(\mathcal{M}_{22}(F_2^n) - F_2^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + n_1(F_1^n)(\mathcal{M}_{21}(F_1^n, F_2^n) - F_2^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and  $F_1^{n+1}(x, v, 0) = F_{10}(x, v)$  and  $F_2^{n+1}(x, v, 0) = F_{20}(x, v)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . We start the iteration with  $F_1^0(x, v, t) = F_{10}(x, v)$  and  $F_2^0(x, v, t) = F_{20}(x, v)$ .

We split  $F_1^n = \mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1} f_1^n$ , and  $F_2^n = \mu_2 + \sqrt{\mu_2} f_2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and use the linearization of the Maxwellian given in Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1^{n+1} &= (n_{10} + n_{20})(P_1 f_1^n - f_1^{n+1}) + L_{12}^2(f_1^n, f_2^n) + \Gamma_{11}(f_1^n) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1^n, f_2^n), \\ \partial_t f_2^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2^{n+1} &= (n_{10} + n_{20})(P_2 f_2^n - f_2^{n+1}) + L_{21}^2(f_1^n, f_2^n) + \Gamma_{22}(f_2^n) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1^n, f_2^n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the local existence can be constructed by the standard argument as in [39]. The key ingredient is the uniform control of the high-order energy norm in each iteration step. So we only prove the following auxiliary lemma below.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}(0) < \frac{M_0}{2}$ . Then there exists  $T_* > 0$  and  $M_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(f^n(t)) < M_0$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $t \in [0, T_*]$ .*

*Proof.* We take  $\partial_\beta^\alpha$  on each side of (2.13) and (2.15):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\beta^\alpha \partial_t f_1^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_1^{n+1} &= (n_{10} + n_{20})(\partial_\beta P_1 \partial^\alpha f_1^n - \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \partial_\beta^\alpha L_{12}^2(f_1^n, f_2^n) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{11}(f_1^n) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12}(f_1^n, f_2^n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\beta^\alpha \partial_t f_2^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_2^{n+1} &= (n_{10} + n_{20})(\partial_\beta P_2 \partial^\alpha f_2^n - \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \partial_\beta^\alpha L_{21}^2(f_1^n, f_2^n) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{22}(f_2^n) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{21}(f_1^n, f_2^n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $k_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ ,  $k_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ , and  $\bar{k}_1 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\bar{k}_2 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ ,  $\bar{k}_3 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ . We then take the inner product with  $\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (4.12) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_1^{n+1}, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_\beta P_1 \partial^\alpha f_1^n, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha L_{12}^2(f_1^n, f_2^n), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{11}(f_1^n), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_{12}(f_1^n, f_2^n), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\ &\quad = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality on  $I_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_1^{n+1}, \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial_{\beta} e_{1i}$  and  $\partial_{\beta} e_{2i}$  have exponential decay,

$$\|\partial_{\beta} P_1 \partial^{\alpha} f_1^n\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq C_{\beta} \|\partial^{\alpha} f_1^n\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

Thus Young's inequality implies

$$I_2 = \langle \partial_{\beta} P_1 \partial^{\alpha} f_1^n, \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq C_{\beta} \|\partial^{\alpha} f_1^n\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2.$$

To estimate  $I_3$ , we take  $\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  on  $L_{12}^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} L_{12}^2(f_1, f_2) &= n_{20} \left[ (1-\delta) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \langle \partial^{\alpha} f_2, e_{2i} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle \partial^{\alpha} f_1, e_{1i} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) \partial_{\beta} e_{1i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\omega) \left( \sqrt{\frac{n_{10}}{n_{20}}} \langle \partial^{\alpha} f_2, e_{25} \rangle_{L_v^2} - \langle \partial^{\alpha} f_1, e_{15} \rangle_{L_v^2} \right) \partial_{\beta} e_{15} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and apply the Hölder inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \langle \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} L_{12}^2(f_1^n, f_2^n), \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\|\partial^{\alpha} f_2^n\|_{L_v^2} + C \|\partial^{\alpha} f_1^n\|_{L_v^2}) \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_v^2} dx \\ &\leq C \|\partial^{\alpha} (f_1^n, f_2^n)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I_4$  and  $I_5$  are similar, we only consider  $I_5$ . Applying Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \langle \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{12}(f_1^n, f_2^n), \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|\leq|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1^n, f_2^n)\|_{L_v^2} \\ &\quad \times \|\partial^{\alpha_2}(f_1^n, f_2^n)\|_{L_v^2} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_v^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|$ . Then the Sobolev embedding  $H^2 \subset\subset L^{\infty}$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \langle \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{12}(f_1^n, f_2^n), \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\ &\leq C \left( \sum_{|\alpha_1|\leq|\alpha|} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1^n, f_2^n)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \right)^2 \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimate from  $I_1$  to  $I_5$ , and taking  $\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N}$  on (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.13) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \mathcal{E}^n(t) + C \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) + C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^n(t)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)} + C \mathcal{E}^n(t) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.14) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_2^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_2^{n+1}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \mathcal{E}^n(t) + C \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) + C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^n(t)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)} + C \mathcal{E}^n(t) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.13) and (4.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) &\leq C \mathcal{E}^n(t) + C \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) \\ &\quad + C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^n(t)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)} + C \mathcal{E}^n(t) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate in time to get

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) &\leq \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(0) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left( C \mathcal{E}^n(s) + C \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(s) + C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^n(s)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(s)} + C \mathcal{E}^n(s) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(s)} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply an induction argument. We have  $\mathcal{E}^0(0) < \frac{M_0}{2}$  from the assumption. Assume we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}^n(t) \leq M_0, \quad \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(0) \leq M_0/2.$$

Then, from (4.15), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) &\leq \frac{M_0}{2} + CT_* M_0 + CT_* \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) \\ &\quad + CT_* \sqrt{M_0} \sqrt{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)} + CT_* M_0 \sqrt{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Young's inequality, we have

$$(1 - 3CT_*) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}_1^{n+1}(t) \leq \frac{M_0}{2} + 2CT_* M_0 + CT_* M_0^2.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently small  $T_*$  and  $M_0 > 0$ , we can derive

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \mathcal{E}^{n+1}(t) \leq M_0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. COERCIVITY ESTIMATE

We write the macroscopic part  $P(f_1, f_2)$  of the distribution function  $(f_1, f_2)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_1, f_2) &= a_1(x, t)(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0) + a_2(x, t)(0, \sqrt{\mu_2}) + b(x, t) \cdot v(m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}) \\ &\quad + c(x, t)|v|^2(m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a_k(x, t) &= \frac{1}{n_{k0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_k \sqrt{\mu_k} dv \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2n_{10} + 2n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1(m_1|v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2(m_2|v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right), \\ b(x, t) &= \frac{1}{m_1 n_{10} + m_2 n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 m_1 v \sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 m_2 v \sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right), \\ c(x, t) &= \frac{1}{6n_{10} + 6n_{20}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1(m_1|v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_1} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2(m_2|v|^2 - 3)\sqrt{\mu_2} dv \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . We substitute

$$(f_1, f_2) = (I - P)(f_1, f_2) + P(f_1, f_2),$$

into (3.1) to get

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x\}P(f_1, f_2) &= -\{\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x - L\}(I - P)(f_1, f_2) \\ &\quad + (\Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2)). \end{aligned}$$

We write L.H.S. of (5.2) in the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(\partial_t a_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x a_1) (\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0) + (\partial_t a_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x a_2) (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}) \\ &+ v \cdot \partial_t b(m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} v_i v_j (\partial_{x_i} b_j + \partial_{x_j} b_i) (m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (\partial_{x_i} b_i + \partial_t c) v_i^2 (m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}) + |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x c (m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}) \end{aligned} \right\},$$

as a linear expansion with respect to the following 17 basis:

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\{(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), (0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), v(\sqrt{\mu_1}, 0), v(0, \sqrt{\mu_2}), \\ &v_i v_j (m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}), v|v|^2 (m_1 \sqrt{\mu_1}, m_2 \sqrt{\mu_2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, comparing both sides of (5.2), we obtain the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t a_1 &= l_{a1} + h_{a1}, \\ \partial_t a_2 &= l_{a2} + h_{a2}, \\ \partial_{x_i} a_1 + m_1 \partial_t b_i &= l_{b1i} + h_{b1i}, \\ \partial_{x_i} a_2 + m_2 \partial_t b_i &= l_{b2i} + h_{b2i}, \\ \partial_{x_i} b_j + \partial_{x_j} b_i &= l_{bbi} + h_{bbi}, \quad (i \neq j) \\ \partial_{x_i} b_i + \partial_t c &= l_{bci} + h_{bci}, \\ \partial_{x_i} c &= l_{ci} + h_{ci}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(l_{a1}, l_{a2}, l_{b1i}, l_{b2i}, l_{bbi}, l_{bci}, l_{ci})$ , and  $(h_{a1}, h_{a2}, h_{b1i}, h_{b2i}, h_{bbi}, h_{bci}, h_{ci})$  are the coefficients corresponding to the expansion of  $l$  and  $h$ :

$$\begin{aligned} l(f_1, f_2) &= -\{\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x - L\}(I - P)(f_1, f_2), \\ h(f_1, f_2) &= (\Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2), \Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2)), \end{aligned}$$

with respect to (5.3). For brevity, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{l} &= l_{a1} + l_{a2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 (l_{b1i} + l_{b2i} + l_{bbi} + l_{bci} + l_{ci}) \\ \tilde{h} &= h_{a1} + h_{a2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 (h_{b1i} + h_{b2i} + h_{bbi} + h_{bci} + h_{ci}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} a_1(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} a_2(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} b(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} c(x, t) dx = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the conservation laws (2.17) and the definition of  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  in (5.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** [39] Let  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$  with  $N \geq 3$ , then we have

$$\|\partial^\alpha a_1\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha a_2\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha b\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha c\|_{L_x^2} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \left( \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{l}\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{h}\|_{L_x^2} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof can be found in [39, page 620, Proof of Theorem 3]. We omit it.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** For sufficiently small energy norm  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{l}\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|(I - P)\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^2},$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{h}\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq C\sqrt{M} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

*Proof.* (1) The proof can be found in [39, page 616, Lemma 7]. We omit it.

(2) Let us define  $\{e_i^*\}_{i=1}^{17}$  be the orthonormal basis corresponding to the basis (5.3). Then we can write

$$e_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{17} C_{ij} e_j, \quad h(f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{17} \langle h, e_i^* \rangle_{L_v^2} e_i^*,$$

so that

$$\langle h, e_n^* \rangle_{L_v^2} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 17} C_{ij} C_{ni} \langle h, e_i^* \rangle_{L_v^2},$$

for  $n = 1, \dots, 17$ . For the estimate of  $h$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int \partial^\alpha h(f_1, f_2) e_i^* dv \right\|_{L_x^2} &\leq \left\| \int \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{11}(f_1)(|v|^k \sqrt{\mu_1}) dv \right\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| \int \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2)(|v|^k \sqrt{\mu_1}) dv \right\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{22}(f_2)(|v|^k \sqrt{\mu_2}) dv \right\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| \int \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2)(|v|^k \sqrt{\mu_2}) dv \right\|_{L_x^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . For sufficiently small  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\left\| \int \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{mm}(f_m) |v|^k \sqrt{\mu_m} dv \right\|_{L_x^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha|} \left\| \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f_m\|_{L_v^2} \|\partial^{\alpha_2} f_m\|_{L_v^2} \right\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Similarly, we have from Lemma 4.5

$$\left\| \int \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{lm}(f_l, f_m) |v|^k \sqrt{\mu_l} dv \right\|_{L_x^2} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha|} \left\| \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_l, f_m)\|_{L_v^2} \|\partial^{\alpha_2}(f_l, f_m)\|_{L_v^2} \right\|_{L_x^2},$$

for  $l \neq m$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|$  and apply the Sobolev embedding  $H^2 \subset L^\infty$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{h}\|_{L_{x,v}^2} &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^3} \|\partial^{\alpha_1}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^2} \sum_{|\alpha_2| \leq N} \|\partial^{\alpha_2}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives desired result.  $\square$

We are now ready to derive the full coercivity estimate. By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \left( \|\partial^\alpha a_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial^\alpha a_2\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial^\alpha b\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial^\alpha c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \left( \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{l}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{h}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We then apply Lemma 5.3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha P(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|(I - P)\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + C\sqrt{M} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Adding  $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|(I - P)\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_x^2}^2$  on each side, we obtain

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \leq \frac{C+1}{1-C\sqrt{M}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|(I - P)(\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2))\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2.$$

Combining it with the estimate in Proposition 3.1, we derive the following full coercivity estimate

$$(5.4) \quad \langle L\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2), \partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \leq -\eta \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2,$$

when  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  is sufficiently small.

## 6. GLOBAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we extend the local-in-time solution to the global one by establishing a uniform energy estimate. Let  $(f_1, f_2)$  be the classical local-in-time solution constructed in Theorem 4.6. We take  $\partial^\alpha$  on (2.13) and take inner product with  $\partial^\alpha f_1$  in  $L^2_{x,v}$  to have

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f_1\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 &= \langle \partial^\alpha L_{11}(f_1), \partial^\alpha f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle \partial^\alpha L_{12}(f_1, f_2), \partial^\alpha f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial^\alpha f_1, \partial^\alpha (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12}) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get from (2.15) that

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f_2\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 &= \langle \partial^\alpha L_{22}(f_2), \partial^\alpha f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle \partial^\alpha L_{21}(f_1, f_2), \partial^\alpha f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial^\alpha f_2, \partial^\alpha (\Gamma_{22} + \Gamma_{21}) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.1) and (6.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1,2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 &\leq \langle L\partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2), \partial^\alpha(f_1, f_2) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial^\alpha f_1, \partial^\alpha (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12}) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle \partial^\alpha f_2, \partial^\alpha (\Gamma_{22} + \Gamma_{21}) \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the first term of the R.H.S is controlled by the full coercivity estimate (5.4), and the nonlinear terms on the second line are estimated by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sum_{k=1,2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + \eta \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right) \\ \leq C_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{N_1,0}(t)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (f_1, f_2)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $M_0$  satisfying Theorem 4.6 and (5.4), we define

$$M = \left\{ \frac{M_0}{2}, \frac{\eta^2 \min \{(1-\delta)^2, (1-\omega)^2\}}{4C_0^2} \right\}, \quad T = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \{t \mid \mathcal{E}_{N_1,0}(t) \leq 2M\} > 0.$$

We restrict our initial data to satisfy the following energy bound:

$$\mathcal{E}_{N_1,0}(0) \leq M \leq 2M_0.$$

Once we define

$$y(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sum_{k=1,2} \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2,$$

then  $y(t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) + 2\eta \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} y(t) &\leq 2C_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{N_1,0}(t)} y(t) \\ &\leq \eta \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} y(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$y(t) \leq e^{-\eta \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} t} y(0) \leq y(0) \leq M < 2M,$$

and which is possible only when  $T = \infty$ . Note that this also gives

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (f_1(t), f_2(t))\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \leq e^{-\eta \min \{(1-\delta), (1-\omega)\} t} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (f_1(0), f_2(0))\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2.$$

Now we consider the general case of  $f$  having momentum derivatives. Taking  $\partial_\beta^\alpha$  on (2.13) and (2.15) and applying an inner product with  $\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1$  and  $\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2$ , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_1\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_1, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \langle \partial_\beta P_1 \partial^\alpha f_1, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha L_{12}^2(f_1, f_2), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha (\Gamma_{11}(f_1) + \Gamma_{12}(f_1, f_2)), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_1 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_2\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_2, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + (n_{10} + n_{20}) \langle \partial_\beta P_2 \partial^\alpha f_2, \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha L_{21}^2(f_1, f_2), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_\beta^\alpha (\Gamma_{22}(f_2) + \Gamma_{21}(f_1, f_2)), \partial_\beta^\alpha f_2 \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.3) and (6.4), and applying the Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1,2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + (n_{10} + n_{20} - 2\epsilon) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{k=1,2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + \frac{C}{2\epsilon} \sum_{k=1,2} \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + C\mathcal{E}_{N_1,|\beta|}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $\epsilon$  satisfying  $(n_{10} + n_{20})/2 > \epsilon > 0$ . We sum this over  $|\beta| = m+1$  and multiply both sides with  $\epsilon\eta_m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\beta|=m+1} \left[ \sum_{k=1,2} \left( \frac{\epsilon\eta_m}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + \epsilon\eta_m(n_{10} + n_{20} - 2\epsilon) \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{|\beta|=m+1} \left[ \frac{\eta_m}{2} \sum_{k=1,2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_{\beta-k_i}^{\alpha+\bar{k}_i} f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + \frac{C\eta_m}{2} \sum_{k=1,2} \|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + C\mathcal{E}_{N_1,|\beta|}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous cases  $|\beta| \leq m$ , the R.H.S of the inequality can be bounded by the energy  $\mathcal{E}_{N_1,|\beta|}$  with  $|\beta| \leq m$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{N_1,0}$ . Thus, we can conclude from induction that

$$\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N \\ |\beta|\leq m+1}} \sum_{k=1,2} \left( C_{m+1} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + \eta_{m+1} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \right) \leq C_{m+1}^* \mathcal{E}_{N_1,|\beta|}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t).$$

Applying the same continuity argument as to when  $\beta = 0$ , we can construct the global-in-time classical solution. We mention that when  $|\beta| = 0$ , the parameter  $\eta_0$  depends on  $1 - \delta$  and  $1 - \omega$ , and  $C_0 = 1/2$ . But when  $|\beta| \geq 1$ , both  $C_{m+1}$  and  $\eta_{m+1}$  depend on the parameter  $\eta_m$ . That is why we cannot extract a decay rate depending explicitly on the parameter  $\delta$  and  $\omega$  when the velocity derivatives are involved. For the uniqueness of the solution and  $L^2$  stability, we can follow the standard arguments in [38, 39, 40, 71]. This completes the proof.

### Acknowledgement:

G.-C. Bae is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2021R1C1C2094843). C. Klingenberg is supported in part by the Würzburg University performance-orientated fund LOM 2021. M. Pirner is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation S.-B. Yun is supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1801-02.

### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Andries, K. Aoki and B. Perthame, A consistent BGK-type model for gas mixtures, *J. Statist. Phys.*, **106** (2002), no. 5–6, 993–1018
- [2] K. Aoki, C. Bardos, and S. Takata, Knudsen layer for gas mixtures. *J. Statist. Phys.* **112** (2003), no. 3–4, 629–655.
- [3] G. Astarita, and S. I. Sandler, Kinetic and thermodynamic lumping of multicomponent mixtures. (2012) Elsevier.
- [4] G.-C. Bae, C. Klingenberg, M. Pirner, and S.-B. Yun, BGK model of the multi-species Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation. *Kinet. Relat. Models.* **14** (2021), no. 1, 25–44.
- [5] G.-C. Bae, and S.-B. Yun, The Shakhov model near a global Maxwellian. (2021) preprint arXiv:2111.01090.

- [6] G.-C. Bae, and S.-B. Yun, Quantum BGK model near a global Fermi-Dirac distribution. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **52** (2020), no. 3, 2313–2352.
- [7] A. Bellouquid, Global existence and large-time behavior for BGK model for a gas with non-constant cross section. *Transport Theory Statist. Phys.* **32** (2003), no. 2, 157–184.
- [8] M. Bennoune, M. Lemou and L. Mieussens, Uniformly stable numerical schemes for the Boltzmann equation preserving the compressible Navier-Stokes asymptotics, *J. Comput. Phys.* **227** (2008), no. 8, 3781–3803.
- [9] F. Bernard, A. Iollo and G. Puppo, Accurate asymptotic preserving boundary conditions for kinetic equations on Cartesian grids, *J. Sci. Comput.* **65** (2015), no. 2, 735–766.
- [10] P. L. Bhatnagar, E. P. Gross, and M. Krook, A model for collision processes in gases. Small amplitude process in charged and neutral one-component systems. *Phys. Rev.* **94** (1954), no. 3, 511–525.
- [11] M. Bisi, and M. J. Cáceres, A BGK relaxation model for polyatomic gas mixtures, *Commun. Math. Sci.* **14** (2016), no. 2, 297–325.
- [12] M. Bisi, M. Groppi, and G. Spiga, Kinetic Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model for fast reactive mixtures and its hydrodynamic limit. *Physical Review E*, **81** (2010). no. 3, 036327.
- [13] A. V. Bobylev, M. Bisi, M. Groppi, G. Spiga, and I. F. Potapenko, A general consistent BGK model for gas mixtures. *Kinet. Relat. Models* **11** (2018), no. 6, 1377–1393.
- [14] S. Boscarino, S.-Y. Cho, G. Russo, and S.-B. Yun, High order conservative semi-Lagrangian scheme for the BGK model of the Boltzmann equation. *Commun. Comput. Phys.* **29** (2021), no. 1, 1–56.
- [15] L. Boudin, B. Grec, M. Pavić-Čolić, and S. Simić, Energy method for the Boltzmann equation of monatomic gaseous mixtures. (2021) preprint arXiv:2110.07213.
- [16] M. Briant, and E. S. Daus, The Boltzmann equation for a multi-species mixture close to global equilibrium. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **222** (2016), no.3, 1367–1443.
- [17] S. Brull, V. Pavan and J. Schneider, Derivation of a BGK model for mixtures, *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids* **33** (2012), 74–86.
- [18] C. Cercignani, Rarefied Gas Dynamics, From Basic Concepts to Actual Calculations, Cambridge University Press, 2000
- [19] C. Cercignani, The Boltzmann Equation and Its Application. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [20] C. Cercignani, R. Illner, M. Pulvirenti, The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [21] S. Chapman, and T. G. Cowling, The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases: an account of the kinetic theory of viscosity, thermal conduction and diffusion in gases. Cambridge university press, 1970.
- [22] S.-Y. Cho, S. Boscarino, G. Russo, S.-B. Yun, Conservative semi-Lagrangian schemes for kinetic equations Part I: Reconstruction. *J. Comput. Phys.* **432** (2021), no. 110159, 30 pp.
- [23] S.-Y. Cho, S. Boscarino, G. Russo, S.-B. Yun, Conservative semi-Lagrangian schemes for kinetic equations Part II: Applications. *J. Comput. Phys.* **436** (2021), no. 110281, 31 pp.
- [24] A. Crestetto, N. Crouseilles and M. Lemou, Kinetic/fluid micro-macro numerical schemes for Vlasov-Poisson-BGK equation using particles, *Kinet. Relat. Models* **5** (2012), no. 4, 787–816.
- [25] A. Crestetto, C. Klingenberg, M. Pirner, Kinetic/fluid micro-macro numerical scheme for a two component plasma, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **18** (2020), no. 2, 970–998.
- [26] L. Desvillettes, Convergence to equilibrium in large time for Boltzmann and B.G.K. equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **110** (1990), no. 1, 73–91.
- [27] G. Dimarco, L. Mieussens and V. Rispoli, An asymptotic preserving automatic domain decomposition method for the Vlasov-Poisson-BGK system with applications to plasmas, *J. Comput. Phys.* **274** (2014), 122–139.
- [28] G. Dimarco and L. Pareschi, Numerical methods for kinetic equations, *Acta Numer.* **23** (2014), 369–520.
- [29] R. J. DiPerna, P.-L. Lions, On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **130** (1989), no. 2, 321–366.
- [30] R. Duan, and S. Liu, The Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann system for a disparate mass binary mixture. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **169** (2017), no.3, 614–684.
- [31] F. Filbet and S. Jin, A class of asymptotic-preserving schemes for kinetic equations and related problems with stiff sources, *J. Comput. Phys.* **229** (2010), no. 20, 7625–7648.
- [32] I. M. Gamba, and M. Pavić-Čolić, On existence and uniqueness to homogeneous Boltzmann flows of monatomic gas mixtures. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **235** (2020), no. 1, 723–781.
- [33] V. Garzó, A. Santos and J. J. Brey, A kinetic model for a multicomponent gas, *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics*, **1** (1989), no. 2, 380–383
- [34] R. Glassey, The Cauchy Problems in Kinetic Theory. SIAM 1996.

- [35] J. M. Greene, Improved Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model of electron-ion collisions. *Phys. Fluids* **16** (1973), 2022–2023.
- [36] M. Groppi, S. Monica and G. Spiga, A kinetic ellipsoidal BGK model for a binary gas mixture, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, **96** (2011), no. 6, 64002.
- [37] E. P. Gross and M. Krook, Model for collision processes in gases: small-amplitude oscillations of charged two-component systems, *Physical Review*, **3** (1956), no. 3, 593.
- [38] Y. Guo, The Boltzmann equation in the whole space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004) no.4, 1081–1094.
- [39] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no.3, 593–630.
- [40] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **55** (2002), no.9, 1104–1135.
- [41] S.-Y. Ha, S. E. Noh, and S.-B. Yun, Global existence and stability of mild solutions to the Boltzmann system for gas mixtures. *Quart. Appl. Math.* **65** (2007), no. 4, 757–779.
- [42] J. R. Haack, C. D. Hauck, M. S. Murillo, A conservative, entropic multispecies BGK model. *J. Stat. Phys.* **168** (2017), no. 4, 826–856.
- [43] B. B. Hamel, Kinetic model for binary gas mixtures. *The Physics of Fluids*, **8** (1965), no. 3, 418–425.
- [44] B.-H. Hwang, S.-B. Yun, Anderson-Witting model of the relativistic Boltzmann equation near equilibrium. *J. Stat. Phys.* **176** (2019), no. 4, 1009-1045.
- [45] C. Klingenber, and M. Pirner, Existence, uniqueness and positivity of solutions for BGK models for mixtures, *J. Differential Equations*, **264** (2018), no. 2, 702–727.
- [46] C. Klingenber, M. Pirner and G. Puppo, A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture of polyatomic molecules, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **17** (2019), no. 1, 149–173.
- [47] C. Klingenber, M. Pirner and G. Puppo, A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture with an application to plasma, *Kinet. Relat. Models*, **10** (2017), no. 2, 445–465.
- [48] C. Klingenber, M. Pirner and G. Puppo, Kinetic ES-BGK Models for a Multi-Component Gas Mixture, Theory, numerics and applications of hyperbolic problems. I, 195-208, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., Vol. 237, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [49] L. Liu, and M. Pirner, Hypocoercivity for a BGK model for gas mixtures, *J. Differential Equations* **267** (2019), no. 1, 119–149.
- [50] T.-P. Liu, and S.-H. Yu, The Green's function and large-time behavior of solutions for the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **57** (2004), no. 12, 1543–1608.
- [51] M. Monteferante, S. Melchionna, and U. M. B. Marconi, Lattice Boltzmann method for mixtures at variable Schmidt number. *The Journal of chemical physics*, **141** (2014), no. 1, 014102.
- [52] B. Perthame, Global existence to the BGK model of Boltzmann equation. *J. Differential Equations* **82** (1989), no. 1, 191-205.
- [53] B. Perthame, and M. Pulvirenti, Weighted  $L^\infty$  Bounds and Uniqueness for the Boltzmann BGK Model, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **125** (1993), no. 3, 289-295
- [54] S. Pieraccini and G. Puppo, Implicit-explicit schemes for BGK kinetic equations, *J. Sci. Comput.* **32** (2007), no. 1, 1–28.
- [55] M. Pirner, A BGK model for gas mixtures of polyatomic molecules allowing for slow and fast relaxation of the temperatures, *J. Stat. Phys.* **173** (2018), no. 6, 1660–1687.
- [56] G. Russo, P. Santagati, and S.-B. Yun, Convergence of a semi-Lagrangian scheme for the BGK model of the Boltzmann equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **50** (2012), no. 3, 1111–1135.
- [57] G. Russo, and S.-B. Yun, Convergence of a Semi-Lagrangian Scheme for the Ellipsoidal BGK Model of the Boltzmann Equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **56** (2018), no. 6, 3580–3610.
- [58] L. Saint-Raymond, Discrete time Navier-Stokes limit for the BGK Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **27** (2002), no. 1-2, 149-184.
- [59] L. Saint-Raymond, From the BGK model to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **36** (2003), no. 2, 271-317.
- [60] V. Sofonea, and R. F. Sekerka, BGK models for diffusion in isothermal binary fluid systems. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, **299** (2001), no. 3-4, 494–520.
- [61] A. Sotirov, and S.-H. Yu, On the solution of a Boltzmann system for gas mixtures. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **195** (2010), no. 2, 675–700.
- [62] H. Struchtrup, The BGK-model with velocity-dependent collision frequency. *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.* **9** (1997), no. 1, 23-31.

- [63] S. Takata, and K. Aoki, The ghost effect in the continuum limit for a vapor-gas mixture around condensed phases: asymptotic analysis of the Boltzmann equation. *Transport Theory Statist. Phys.* **30** (2001), no. 2–3, 205–237.
- [64] S. Takata, and K. Aoki, Two-surface problems of a multicomponent mixture of vapors and noncondensable gases in the continuum limit in the light of kinetic theory. *Physics of Fluids*, **11** (1999), no. 9, 2743–2756.
- [65] S. Takata, K. Aoki, and T. Muraki, Behavior of a vapor-gas mixture between two parallel plane condensed phases in the continuum limit. In RGD: rarefied gas dynamics, (1999).
- [66] S. Ukai, On the existence of global solutions of mixed problem for non-linear Boltzmann equation. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974), 179–184.
- [67] S. Ukai, Stationary solutions of the BGK model equation on a finite interval with large boundary data. *Transport Theory Statist. Phys.* **21** (1992), no. 4-6, 487–500.
- [68] D. Valougeorgis, Couette flow of a binary gas mixture. *The Physics of fluids*, **31** (1988), no 3, 521–524.
- [69] C. Villani, A Review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*. Vol. I. North-Holland. Amsterdam, 2002, 71–305.
- [70] H. Yoshida, and K. Aoki, Cylindrical Couette flow of a vapor-gas mixture: ghost effect and bifurcation in the continuum limit. *Phys. Fluids* **18** (2006), no. 8, 087103, 16 pp.
- [71] S.-B. Yun, Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann-BGK model near a global Maxwellian, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), no. 12, 123514, 24pp.
- [72] S.-B. Yun, Classical solutions for the ellipsoidal BGK model with fixed collision frequency, *J. Differential Equations* **259** (2015), no. 11, 6009–6037.
- [73] S.-B. Yun, Ellipsoidal BGK model for polyatomic molecules near Maxwellians: a dichotomy in the dissipation estimate. *J. Differential Equations*, **266** (2019), no. 9, 5566–5614.
- [74] S.-B. Yun, Ellipsoidal BGK model near a global Maxwellian. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015), no. 3, 2324–2354.
- [75] X. Zhang, and Hu. S,  $L^p$  solutions to the Cauchy problem of the BGK equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007), no. 11, 113304, 17 pp.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address:* gcbae02@snu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WÜRZBURG UNIVERSITY, EMIL FISCHER STR. 40, 97074 WÜRZBURG, GERMANY

*Email address:* klingen@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIENNA UNIVERSITY, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* marlies.pirner@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16419, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address:* sbyun01@skku.edu