

# Gauge Invariant Perturbations of General Spherically Symmetric Spacetimes

Wentao Liu,<sup>1</sup> Xiongjun Fang,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Jiliang Jing,<sup>1,†</sup> and Anzhong Wang<sup>2,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, and Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China*

<sup>2</sup>*GCAP-CASPER, Department of Physics, Baylor University, One Bear Place #97316, Waco, Texas 76798-7316, USA*

In this paper, the gauge choices in general spherically symmetric spacetimes have been explored. We construct the gauge invariant variables and the master equations for both the Detweiler easy gauge and the Regge-Wheeler gauge, respectively. The particular cases for  $l = 0, 1$  are also been investigated. Our results provide analytical calculations of metric perturbation in general spherically symmetric spacetimes, which can be applied to various cases, including the Effective-One-Body problem. A simple example is presented to show how the metric perturbation components are related to the source perturbation terms.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

Metric perturbations of the spacetime is a important issue. The solution of the Einstein field equations (EFEs) for static, vacuum and spherically symmetric spacetime is the Schwarzschild spacetime. And the metric perturbation of the Schwarzschild black hole has been studied for a long time. To begin with, Regge, Wheeler and Vishveshwara et.al studied the odd-parity perturbation [1, 2], while Zerilli and Moncrief investigated the even-parity perturbation [3–5]. The perturbation theory of the Schwarzschild spacetime has been well summarized in Chandrasekhar’s monograph [7]. After decades of research and development, this theory can be applied to a variety of different physical problems. A useful application is the quasi-normal modes of the perturbed black holes, which was initiated by Vishveshwara [8], Chandrasekhar [6] and Mashhoon [9] et.al., and the review article of this topic can also see the Refs. [10–15]. Another application is studying a particle moving around the Schwarzschild black hole, one can treat this point-particle as a perturbation of the Schwarzschild spacetime [16, 17]. More importantly, studying the metric perturbation can promote the analysis of the stability of the Schwarzschild spacetime [18–20].

In perturbation theory in general relativity, the redundant coordinate freedom can be eliminated by choosing the specific gauge. The most familiar gauge in Schwarzschild spacetime is the “Regge-Wheeler gauge” (RW gauge), which was first presented by Regge and Wheeler [1]. And where they also analysed the spherical harmonics and decomposed the general perturbation in Schwarzschild spacetime into the odd-parity sector and even-parity sector. The RW gauge has the obvious advantage of algebraic simplicity, and it is widely used in research directions. After that, the construction and the physical meaning of the gauge-invariant properties attract people’s attention. Using Lagrangian and Hamiltonian variational principles for the perturbation, Moncrief considered that the metric perturbations can be decomposed into the gauge invariant part and the gauge dependent part [5, 21]. Gerlach and Sengupta discussed the construction of gauge invariant properties in general spherically symmetric spacetimes [22, 23]. Thorne review and summarize the various scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics with a uniform notation [24]. Martel and Poisson presented a gauge-invariant and covariant formalism, and they also showed that the energy or angular-momentum radiation can be expressed in terms of gauge-invariant scalar functions [25]. Besides the RW gauge, there exist a variety of gauge choices. For example, the light-cone gauge, which presented by Preston and Poisson [26], can provide geometrical meaning to the coordinate in perturbed spacetime. Another gauge choice is named as “EZ (easy) gauge” [27], which was devised by Steve Detweiler when he considered the gravitational self-force problem in the perturbed Schwarzschild spacetime [28]. In the EZ gauge, the metric perturbation is singular on the black-hole horizon [29].

Generally speaking, for metric perturbation of the spacetime, the standard process is to decouple the even-parity or the odd-parity EFEs, obtain the wave-like master equation and then solve the one dimensional Schrödinger equation

---

\*Corresponding author: [fangxj@hunnu.edu.cn](mailto:fangxj@hunnu.edu.cn)

†[jljing@hunnu.edu.cn](mailto:jljing@hunnu.edu.cn)

‡[anzhongwang@baylor.edu](mailto:anzhongwang@baylor.edu)

with an effective potential. One of the most important step is how to construct the master variable and get the master equation. The equations that arise in the above process have been thoroughly studied in Schwarzschild spacetime. However, for example, if one consider a non-vacuum static black hole with hair [30–32], the metric would no longer the Schwarzschild spacetime. Especially, when studying the Effective-One-Body problem approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics, peoples used the static and spherically symmetric “effective metric” [33, 34]. Therefore, a natural question is how to decouple the EFEs and construct the master variable in general spherically symmetric spacetimes, both for even-parity and odd-parity.

In this paper, we consider the general spherically symmetric background spacetimes and the most general metric perturbation, and the construction of gauge invariant properties. For even parity, we find that there exist several gauge choices, including the EZ gauge and the RW gauge. Under the EZ gauge, we construct the master variable to decouple the equations for the gauge invariants, obtain a third order master equations. However, the third order equation can be written as a second order equation after the separation of radial and time variables. While under the RW gauge, we also construct the master variable and master equation, and find that the master equation is a second order wave-like equation. For odd-parity, the master equation remains as the second order wave-like equation as we known.

Considering the general metric perturbation in static spherically symmetric background spacetimes, Throne presented the idea that how to construct ten spherical harmonics basis [24]. Through our paper, we use the A-K notation [27], which was first presented by Steven Detweiler when he considered the self force problem. The advantage of using this notation is that one can obviously find the relation between metric perturbation components and the gauge invariants. In our paper, through the gauge invariants represent different combination of the metric components, we show that the master variable have the similar structure under EZ gauge or RW gauge.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we discussed about the basic framework. First, the ten orthogonal harmonics basis have been introduced. Then the decomposition of non-vacuum Einstein equation and the A-K notation have been reviewed. After the investigation of the transformation of the metric perturbation under gauge vector, we review the EZ gauge and the RW gauge. In Sect. 3, first we introduce the construction of gauge invariant properties. Then we focused on constructing the master variable and the master equation, both for even-parity and odd-parity. We also study the situation for  $l = 0, 1$ . In Sect. 4, a example that considering a small particle go around the circular orbit in spherically symmetric spacetimes has been researched. At last, we summarized our paper with some discussions. In our paper, complicated expressions of parameters and many results are listed in Appendixes.

Throughout our paper, we use the A-K notation similarly to [27]. Units will be those in which  $c = G = 1$ . In Sect. 3, the subscript, e.g.,  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$ , always represent the quantities under the  $l = 0$  case and  $l = 1$  case, respectively. For general  $l \geq 2$  cases we would not specially marked it. The superscript with Roman letters, i.e.  $\chi^I$  and  $\chi^{II}$ , represent the quantities under the EZ gauge or under the RW gauge, respectively.

## II. BASIC FRAMEWORK

### A. Orthogonal Harmonics Basis

Consider that a general spherically symmetric background spacetimes have the metric  $g_{ab}^{(0)}$

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi} dt^2 + e^{2\Lambda} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (1)$$

To decompose the tensor fields on the background metric, we choose the orthogonal basis composed of scalar spherical harmonics, vector harmonics and tensor harmonics. First, we define two unnormalized and orthogonal co-vectors  $v$  and  $n$

$$v_a = (-1, 0, 0, 0), \quad n_a = (0, 1, 0, 0), \quad (2)$$

and the projection operator onto the sphere surface

$$\Omega_{ab} = g_{ab}^{(0)} + e^{2\Phi} v_a v_b - e^{2\Lambda} n_a n_b = r^2 \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, \sin^2\theta), \quad (3)$$

and the spatial Levi-Civita tensor,  $\epsilon_{abc} \equiv v^d \epsilon_{dabc}$ , where  $\epsilon_{tr\theta\phi} = e^{\Phi+\Lambda} r^2 \sin\theta$ .

In general, the complete basis on the 2-sphere are constructed by 1-scalar spherical harmonic,  $Y^{lm} = Y^{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ , and 3 pure-spin vector harmonics and 6 tensor harmonics. The pure-spin vector harmonics are given by

$$Y_a^{E,lm} = r \nabla_a Y^{lm}, \quad Y_a^{B,lm} = r \epsilon_{ab}^c n^b \nabla_c Y^{lm}, \quad Y_a^{R,lm} = n_a Y^{lm}. \quad (4)$$

And the pure-spin tensor harmonics are given by

$$T_{ab}^{T0,lm} = \Omega_{ab} Y^{lm}, \quad T_{ab}^{L0,lm} = n_a n_b Y^{lm}, \quad (5)$$

$$T_{ab}^{E1,lm} = r n_{(a} \nabla_{b)} Y^{lm}, \quad T_{ab}^{B1,lm} = r n_{(a} \epsilon_{b)c}^d n^c \nabla_d Y^{lm}, \quad (6)$$

$$T_{ab}^{E2,lm} = r^2 \left( \Omega_a^c \Omega_b^d - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{ab} \Omega^{cd} \right) \nabla_c \nabla_d Y^{lm}, \quad T_{ab}^{B2,lm} = r^2 \Omega_{(a}^c \epsilon_{b)e}^d n^e \nabla_c \nabla_d Y^{lm}. \quad (7)$$

Note that the vector harmonics are orthogonal to each other,

$$\oint Y_a^{A,lm} (Y_{A',l'm'}^a)^* d\Omega = N^{(vec)}(A, r, l) \delta_{AA'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \quad (8)$$

with  $\{A, A'\} \in \{E, B, R\}$  and  $N^{(vec)}(A, r, l)$  is the specific normalization factor for vector harmonics. And the tensor harmonics are also orthogonal to each other,

$$\oint T_{ab}^{A,lm} (T_{A',l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega = N^{(ten)}(A, r, l) \delta_{AA'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \quad (9)$$

with  $\{A, A'\} \in \{T0, L0, E1, E2, B1, B2\}$  and  $N^{(ten)}(A, r, l)$  is the specific normalization factor for tensor harmonics. The expression for these normalization functions  $N^{vec}$  and  $N^{ten}$  are listed in Appendix A.

## B. Decomposition of Linearized Einstein Equations

For perturbed spacetime, we denote  $h_{ab}$  to represent the linear perturbation of the background spacetime  $g_{ab}^{(0)}$ , i.e., the metric of perturbed spacetime can be written as

$$g_{ab} = g_{ab}^{(0)} + h_{ab}. \quad (10)$$

The background metric and the perturbed metric satisfied the Einstein Field Equations (EFEs), respectively,

$$G_{ab}(g^{(0)}) = 8\pi T_{ab}, \quad (11)$$

$$G_{ab}(g^{(0)} + h) = 8\pi(T_{ab} + \mathcal{T}_{ab}). \quad (12)$$

where  $T_{ab}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{ab}$  denote the non-vacuum background and the perturbed energy-momentum tensor, respectively. Expanding the EFEs in terms of  $h_{ab}$ , we get

$$G_{ab}(g^{(0)} + h) = G_{ab}(g^{(0)}) - \frac{1}{2} E_{ab}(h), \quad (13)$$

where  $E_{ab}$  is the linearized Einstein operator

$$-16\pi \mathcal{T}_{ab} = E_{ab}(h) = \square h_{ab} + \nabla_a \nabla_b h^c_c - 2\nabla_{(a} \nabla^c h_{b)c} + 2R_a^c h_{bc} + g_{ab}(\nabla^c \nabla^d h_{cd} - \square h^d_d) - g_{ab} R^{cd} h_{cd} + R h_{ab}. \quad (14)$$

Note that now the Ricci curvature  $R$  and the scalar curvature  $R_{ab}$  of the background do not imposed to vanish. If  $R$  and  $R_{ab}$  are vanish, then the background metric would degenerate to the Schwarzschild metric, which is the situation discussed in Ref. [27].

Detweiler decomposed the harmonic modes of the perturbed metric  $h_{ab}$ , and recently, Thompson used the ‘‘A-K notation’’ to express the decomposition of perturbed metric as

$$h_{ab}^{lm} = A v_a v_b Y^{lm} + 2B v_{(a} Y_{b)}^{E,lm} + 2C v_{(a} Y_{b)}^{B,lm} + 2D v_{(a} Y_{b)}^{R,lm} + E T_{ab}^{T0,lm} + F T_{ab}^{E2,lm} + G T_{ab}^{B2,lm} + 2H T_{ab}^{E1,lm} + 2J T_{ab}^{B1,lm} + K T_{ab}^{L0,lm}, \quad (15)$$

where all coefficients A through K are scalar functions of  $(t, r)$ .

For even-parity (polar part) pieces with  $l \geq 2$ , the perturbed metric can be decomposed as

$$h_{ab}^{\text{even}} = \begin{pmatrix} A Y_{lm} & -D Y_{lm} & -rB \partial_\theta Y_{lm} & -rB \partial_\phi Y_{lm} \\ \text{Sym} & K Y_{lm} & rH \partial_\theta Y_{lm} & rH \partial_\phi Y_{lm} \\ \text{Sym} & \text{Sym} & r^2 [E + F (\partial_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} l(l+1))] Y_{lm} & r^2 F [\partial_\theta \partial_\phi - \cot \theta \partial_\phi] Y_{lm} \\ \text{Sym} & \text{Sym} & \text{Sym} & r^2 \sin^2 \theta [E - F (\partial_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} l(l+1))] Y_{lm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

While the odd-parity (axial part) pieces with  $l \geq 2$ , the perturbed metric can be decomposed as

$$h_{ab}^{\text{odd}} = e^{-\Phi-\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & r \csc \theta C \partial_\phi Y_{lm} & -r \sin \theta C \partial_\theta Y_{lm} \\ 0 & 0 & -r \csc \theta J \partial_\phi Y_{lm} & r \sin \theta J \partial_\theta Y_{lm} \\ \text{Sym} & \text{Sym} & -r^2 \csc \theta G [\partial_\theta \partial_\phi - \cot \theta \partial_\phi] Y_{lm} & -\frac{r^2}{2} G [\csc \theta \partial_\phi^2 + \cos \theta \partial_\theta - \sin \theta \partial_\theta^2] Y_{lm} \\ \text{Sym} & \text{Sym} & \text{Sym} & r^2 G [\sin \theta \partial_\theta \partial_\phi - \cos \theta \partial_\phi] Y_{lm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

These ‘‘A-K notation’’ could be linear transformed into the notation taken by Detweiler [27]. To get the explicit expression of coefficients A-K, one can project the metric perturbation onto tensor harmonic basis. We give the expression of coefficients in Appendix A.

Now, we can get the A-K components of any rank-2 tensor in the spherically symmetric background. For example, from Eq.(14), one can write

$$-16\pi\mathcal{T}_A = E_A = e^{4\Phi} \oint E_{ab}(h)(v^a v^b Y_{lm}^*) d\Omega, \quad (18)$$

to represent the ‘‘A-term’’ of  $\mathcal{T}_{ab}$  or  $E_{ab}(h)$ , which along the direction of  $v^a v^b Y_{lm}^*$ . The expression from  $E_A$  to  $E_K$  are given in Appendix B.

### C. The Gauge Transformation

Considering a gauge vector  $\xi^a$ , the first-order metric perturbation  $h_{ab}$  would be transformed as

$$\tilde{h}_{ab} = h_{ab} - 2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}, \quad (19)$$

As a vector,  $\xi^a$  can be projected onto the pure-spin harmonic basis as

$$\xi_a = P v_a Y_{lm} + R Y_a^{R,lm} + S Y_a^{E,lm} + Q Y_a^{B,lm}, \quad (20)$$

where P, R, S and Q are scalar functions of  $(t, r)$ . The function P, R and S describe three degrees of gauge freedom in even-parity, while function Q describes one degrees of gauge freedom in odd-parity. Next we use  $\Delta$  to represent the A-K projections of  $2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}$ . For example,

$$\Delta A = A - \tilde{A} = 2e^{4\Phi} \oint \nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}(v^a v^b Y_{lm}^*) d\Omega, \quad (21)$$

here A and  $\tilde{A}$  correspond to the projections of  $h_{ab}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ , respectively. Projecting  $2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}$  on various tensor harmonic basis, one could obtain the A-K components of  $2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A &= -2\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - 2e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi}\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}R, & \Delta B &= -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r}P, \\ \Delta C &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q, & \Delta D &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - 2\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}\right)P - \frac{\partial R}{\partial t}, \\ \Delta E &= 2\frac{e^{-2\Lambda}}{r}R - \frac{l(l+1)}{r}S, & \Delta F &= \frac{2}{r}S, \\ \Delta G &= \frac{2}{r}Q, & \Delta H &= \frac{1}{r}R + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r}\right)S, \\ \Delta J &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r}\right)Q, & \Delta K &= \left(2\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - 2\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r}\right)R. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

### D. Gauge Choices

Generally speaking,  $\xi^a$  has four scalar functions, there are four degrees of freedom in spherically symmetric space-times. Hence by setting values of these four scalar functions we can choose four gauge properly. For example, under the gauge transformation, the scalar function  $F(t, r)$  would transformed as

$$\tilde{F} = F - \Delta F = F - \frac{2}{r}S. \quad (23)$$

By setting  $S = rF/2$ , the degree of gauge freedom has now fixed, and  $\tilde{F} = 0$ . Then substituting this  $S$  back into Eq.(22), one can move on to eliminate the next degrees of freedom. In even-parity sector, choose the functions  $P$ ,  $R$  and  $S$  would fix three variables of metric perturbation. And in odd-parity sector the function  $Q$  would fix one variable of metric perturbation.

Note that in odd-parity sector,  $\Delta G$  is parallel to  $Q$ , but there exists some derivative relation between  $\Delta C$ ,  $\Delta J$  and  $Q$ . If we want to eliminate  $\tilde{G}$  under the gauge transformation, just make  $Q = rG/2$  then  $\tilde{G} = 0$  and  $\Delta C$  and  $\Delta J$  are uniquely determined. If we eliminate  $\tilde{C}$  under the gauge transformation rather than  $\tilde{G}$ , then  $Q$  could be arbitrary function of  $r$  with some integration constant, which could not determine  $\Delta G$  and  $\Delta J$ . Such choice is obviously not a appropriate gauge choice. Similarly, in even-parity sector, one should first fix  $S$  since it parallel to  $\Delta F$ . After fixed  $S$ , to avoid bringing the integration constant, commonly, there are several gauge choices to fix  $P$  and  $R$  via  $\Delta A$ ,  $\Delta B$ ,  $\Delta E$  or  $\Delta H$ . Below, we would list and discuss two useful gauge choices.

**Regge-Wheeler Gauge.** Regge and Wheeler first presented RW gauge by setting certain RW variables to zero [1]. In the even-parity sector of Schwarzschild spacetime, to eliminate three gauge freedom, RW set

$$h_0^{RW,even} = h_1^{RW,even} = G^{RW} = 0, \quad (24)$$

which corresponds to set  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{F} = \tilde{H} = 0$  in the A-K notation. And in odd-parity sector, RW set  $h_2^{RW} = 0$ , which corresponds to set  $\tilde{G} = 0$  in the A-K notation [27]. For general spherically symmetric spacetimes, we set

$$\begin{aligned} S^{RW} &= \frac{r}{2}F, & P^{RW} &= rB + \frac{r^2}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}, \\ R^{RW} &= rH - \frac{r}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}, & Q^{RW} &= \frac{r}{2}G, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which means

$$\xi_a^{RW} = \left(rB + \frac{r^2}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\right)v_a Y_{lm} + \left(rH - \frac{r}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}\right)Y_a^{R,lm} + \frac{r}{2}FY_a^{E,lm} + \frac{r}{2}GY_a^{B,lm}. \quad (26)$$

It should note that this gauge choice is exactly the same as the gauge choice for A-K notation in Schwarzschild spacetime, i.e. Eq.(6.7) in [27].

**Easy gauge.** The EZ gauge was first introduced by Detweiler when he considered the self-force problem, in which gauge the following metric components are vanish

$$\tilde{B} = \tilde{E} = \tilde{F} = \tilde{G} = 0. \quad (27)$$

To eliminate these metric components, the components of gauge vector could be set as

$$\begin{aligned} S^{EZ} &= \frac{r}{2}F, & P^{EZ} &= rB + \frac{r^2}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}, \\ R^{EZ} &= rl(l+1)e^{2\Lambda}F + \frac{r}{2}e^{2\Lambda}E, & Q^{EZ} &= \frac{r}{2}G, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which means

$$\xi_a^{EZ} = \left(rB + \frac{r^2}{2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\right)v_a Y_{lm} + \left(rl(l+1)e^{2\Lambda}F + \frac{r}{2}e^{2\Lambda}E\right)Y_a^{R,lm} + \frac{r}{2}FY_a^{E,lm} + \frac{r}{2}GY_a^{B,lm}. \quad (29)$$

### III. GAUGE INVARIANTS AND MASTER EQUATIONS

In this section, we would first introduce a general set of gauge invariant quantities in the spherically symmetric spacetimes. With these gauge invariants, we can investigate how to construct the single master variable and master equation under the certain gauge choice.

### A. Gauge Invariants

Generally speaking, when a gauge transformation is performed using a gauge vector  $\xi^a$ , we know from Eq.(22), the change of the metric perturbation would be as, e.g.,

$$\Delta G = \frac{2}{r} Q, \quad (30)$$

$$\Delta J = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r} \right) Q. \quad (31)$$

Together with these two relation, we obtain

$$\Delta J + \frac{r}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \Delta G = 0. \quad (32)$$

The above equation indicates that one can define

$$\alpha = J + \frac{r}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) G, \quad (33)$$

and  $\alpha$  is a gauge invariant quantity. Note that there are seven even-parity variables and three odd-parity variables in metric perturbation, while there are three even-parity components and one odd-parity component in gauge vector  $\xi^a$ , which tell us that we can construct four independent even-parity gauge invariant properties and two independent odd-parity gauge invariant properties for  $l \geq 2$  case. Following the construction of  $\alpha$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= J + \frac{r}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) G, \\ \beta &= -C - \frac{r}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G, \\ \chi &= H - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} E - \frac{l(l+1)}{4} e^{2\Lambda} F - \frac{r}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} F, \\ \psi &= \frac{1}{2} K - \frac{r}{2} e^{2\Lambda} \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r} E - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} E - \frac{r}{2} e^{2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E - \frac{r}{4} l(l+1) e^{2\Lambda} \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial r} F - \frac{1}{4} l(l+1) e^{2\Lambda} F - \frac{r}{4} l(l+1) e^{2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} F, \\ \delta &= D + \frac{r}{2} e^{2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E + \left( 2r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - 1 \right) B - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B - \frac{r^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} F - \left[ r - r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - \frac{r}{4} l(l+1) e^{2\Lambda} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F, \\ \epsilon &= -\frac{1}{2} A - \frac{r}{2} e^{2\Phi} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} E - r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B - \frac{r}{4} l(l+1) e^{2\Phi} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} F - \frac{r^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} F. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

These relations would be the same as Eq. (7.5) of [27] when the spacetime degenerate to vacuum case, i.e., degenerate to Schwarzschild spacetime. The construction of these gauge invariants are directly and obviously. Further, one can construct other gauge invariant properties based on these gauge invariants.

From now on, we do not distinguish A and  $\tilde{A}$ . Note that we use the superscript I or II to denote the quantities or parameters under the EZ gauge or the RW gauge, respectively. Adopting the specific EZ gauge, we set

$$B = E = F = G = 0. \quad (35)$$

And the gauge invariants become

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= J, & \beta &= -C, \\ \chi^I &= H, & \psi^I &= \frac{1}{2} K, \\ \delta^I &= D, & \epsilon^I &= -\frac{1}{2} A. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Here  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not superscripted because they are the same under EZ gauge or RW gauge. Similarly, adopting the specific RW gauge, we set

$$B = F = H = G = 0, \quad (37)$$

the even-parity gauge invariants become

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^{\text{II}} &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\Lambda}\text{E}, & \psi^{\text{II}} &= \frac{1}{2}\text{K} - \frac{1}{2}(r\Lambda' + 1)e^{2\Lambda}\text{E} - \frac{r}{2}e^{2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\text{E}, \\ \delta^{\text{II}} &= \text{D} + \frac{r}{2}e^{2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\text{E}, & \epsilon^{\text{II}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{A} - \frac{1}{2}r\Phi'e^{2\Phi}\text{E}.\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

Using these relations under EZ gauge or RW gauge, each A-K projection of the linearized Einstein equations, i.e. Eqs.(B1)-(B10), can be rewritten as a combination of the gauge invariants we listed in Eq.(34). The results can be found in App. C. It is obvious that under the EZ gauge, the relationship between the gauge invariants and the perturbed metric components seems more simpler, hence next we first investigate the master equation under EZ gauge.

## B. Master Equations for $l \geq 2$

Assuming that the perturbation of stress-energy  $\mathcal{T}_{ab}$  is known, i.e.  $E_{\text{A}}-E_{\text{K}}$  are known quantities, now we investigate that how to solve these gauge invariants properties.

### 1. Even-parity, EZ gauge

The Bianchi identities indicate that not all seven even-parity projection equations in App. C are independent. It has shown that there are four independent gauge invariant properties. Note the specific structure of expressions of  $E_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $E_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $E_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $E_{\text{H}}^{\text{I}}$  and  $E_{\text{K}}^{\text{I}}$ , we find that one can obtain  $\partial\delta^{\text{I}}/\partial t$  from  $\partial E_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}}/\partial t$ , and obtain  $\epsilon^{\text{I}}$  from  $E_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}}$  or  $E_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}}$ . Substituting these relations into  $2E_{\text{H}}^{\text{I}} + E_{\text{K}}^{\text{I}}$ . With the help of software Mathematical, after large but tedious calculation, we find that the coupled partial differential equations for  $\chi^{\text{I}}$  and  $\psi^{\text{I}}$  could be constructed as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\chi^{\text{I}} = \frac{2e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}r}{\tau^{\text{I}}(2\sigma^{\text{I}} - e^{2\Lambda}\lambda)} \left( \sigma^{\text{I}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\chi^{\text{I}} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\psi^{\text{I}} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\Lambda}r \frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}} \right) + \frac{\gamma^{\text{I}}}{r\tau^{\text{I}}}\chi^{\text{I}} + \frac{\rho^{\text{I}}}{r\tau^{\text{I}}}\psi^{\text{I}} - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\Lambda}rE_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}} + \frac{e^{2\Lambda}r}{2\tau^{\text{I}}}(E_{\text{K}}^{\text{I}} + 2E_{\text{H}}^{\text{I}}), \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi^{\text{I}} &= \frac{\kappa^{\text{I}}e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}r}{\tau^{\text{I}}(2\sigma^{\text{I}} - e^{2\Lambda}\lambda)} \left( \sigma^{\text{I}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\chi^{\text{I}} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\psi^{\text{I}} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\Lambda}r \frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}} \right) + \frac{\mu^{\text{I}}}{2r\tau^{\text{I}}}\chi^{\text{I}} - \frac{\nu^{\text{I}}}{r\tau^{\text{I}}}\psi^{\text{I}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa^{\text{I}}e^{2\Lambda}r}{4\tau^{\text{I}}}(E_{\text{K}}^{\text{I}} + 2E_{\text{H}}^{\text{I}}) - \frac{1}{4}e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi}r(E_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}} + e^{2\Phi}\lambda E_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}}),\end{aligned}\quad (40)$$

where  $\lambda = l(l+1)$ , and  $\tau^{\text{I}}$ ,  $\sigma^{\text{I}}$ ,  $\gamma^{\text{I}}$ ,  $\rho^{\text{I}}$ ,  $\mu^{\text{I}}$ ,  $\nu^{\text{I}}$  are a series of functions determined by the background spacetime, which can be find in App. D. Note that in these two equations, there is no spatial derivatives of the source term. Now the goal becomes decouple the gauge invariants  $\chi^{\text{I}}$  and  $\psi^{\text{I}}$ , e.g. the Eqs.(39) and (40). We find that the gauge invariants  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  can be combined as

$$Z^{\text{I}(+)} = \sigma^{\text{I}}\chi^{\text{I}} - 2\psi^{\text{I}}, \quad (41)$$

which is called as master variable and satisfied the master equation

$$\left[ \frac{2e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}r}{e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2\sigma^{\text{I}}} \left( 2r(\sigma^{\text{I}} - \kappa^{\text{I}}) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2\partial r} - \frac{N_{\text{T}}^{\text{I}}}{N^{\text{I}}(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2\sigma^{\text{I}})\tau^{\text{I}}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) + 2r\tau^{\text{I}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{N_{\text{R}}^{\text{I}}}{N^{\text{I}}\sigma^{\text{I}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{N_{\text{Z}}^{\text{I}}}{rN^{\text{I}}\sigma^{\text{I}}\tau^{\text{I}}} \right] Z^{\text{I}(+)} = S_{\text{even}}^{\text{I}}. \quad (42)$$

with the source term

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\text{even}}^{\text{I}} &= e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi}r \left[ \frac{N_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}}}{2N^{\text{I}}}E_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}} + r\tau^{\text{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}} - \frac{rN_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}}}{N^{\text{I}}(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2\sigma^{\text{I}})^2\tau^{\text{I}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}} - \frac{2r^2(\sigma^{\text{I}} - \kappa^{\text{I}})}{e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2\sigma^{\text{I}}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}E_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}} \right] \\ &\quad + e^{2\Lambda}r \left[ \frac{N_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}}}{2N^{\text{I}}}E_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}} + r(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - \sigma^{\text{I}})\tau^{\text{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}} - \frac{N_{\text{HK}}^{\text{I}}}{N^{\text{I}}\tau^{\text{I}}} \left( E_{\text{H}}^{\text{I}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{K}}^{\text{I}} \right) - r(\kappa^{\text{I}} - \sigma^{\text{I}}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_{\text{K}}^{\text{I}} + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_{\text{H}}^{\text{I}} \right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (43)$$

In the above equations, the parameters  $N^{\text{I}}$ ,  $N_{\text{T}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $N_{\text{R}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $N_{\text{Z}}^{\text{I}}$  and  $N_{\text{A}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $N_{\text{D}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $N_{\text{F}}^{\text{I}}$ ,  $N_{\text{HK}}^{\text{I}}$  are functions depend only on background. The explicit expression of these parameters can be found in App. D. Unlike the well-known Zerilli



equation, the master equation under the EZ gauge is a third order equation. However, if we suppose that the Eq.(42) be abbreviated as

$$\left( a \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial r} + b \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + d \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + e \right) Z^{I(+)} = S_{even}^I, \quad (44)$$

and define  $Z^{I(+)} = Z(r)^I e^{i\omega t}$ , then we get a second order equation,

$$\left[ c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + (d - a\omega^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + (e - b\omega^2) \right] Z^{I(+)} = S_{even}^I. \quad (45)$$

When we consider some special case of the spherically symmetric spacetimes, the coefficient of the third order of Eq.(42) would be vanish. Further, if the spacetime becomes Schwarzschild spacetime, the master equation (42) would be equivalent to the result listed in [27], in other words, the result as Zerilli's [3]. The discussion about the degeneration of our result could be found in App. E.

Once the Eq.(42) has been solved, together with the definition of master variable Eq.(41), the gauge invariants  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  can be solved from

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^I = \frac{1}{N^I} & \left[ \frac{4e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi} r^2 (\kappa^I - \sigma^I)}{e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} Z^{I(+)} - 2r\tau^I \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Z^{I(+)} - M_1^I Z^{I(+)} + e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r^2 \tau^I E_A^I \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r^3 (\kappa^I - \sigma^I)}{e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_D^I + e^{2\Lambda} r^2 (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - \sigma^I) \tau^I E_F^I - e^{2\Lambda} r^2 (\kappa^I - \sigma^I) (E_K^I + 2E_H^I) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^I = \frac{\sigma^I}{2N^I} & \left[ \frac{4e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi} r^2 (\kappa^I - \sigma^I)}{e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} Z^{I(+)} - 2r\tau^I \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Z^{I(+)} + \frac{2M_2^I}{\sigma^I} Z^{I(+)} + e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r^2 \tau^I E_A^I \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r^3 (\kappa^I - \sigma^I)}{e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_D^I + e^{2\Lambda} r^2 (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - \sigma^I) \tau^I E_F^I - e^{2\Lambda} r^2 (\kappa^I - \sigma^I) (E_K^I + 2E_H^I) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where  $M_1^I$  and  $M_2^I$  are given in App. D. And then the remaining two even-parity gauge invariants  $\delta^I$  and  $\epsilon^I$  can be obtained from Eqs.(C3) and (C5), respectively,

$$\delta^I = -\frac{1}{2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 2r\Phi' - 6r\Phi'' + 2r\Phi'^2 + 2r^2\Phi'' - 2r^2\Lambda'\Phi'} \left( e^{2\Lambda} r^2 E_D^I + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi^I - 4r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi^I \right), \quad (48)$$

$$\epsilon^I = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} \left[ 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi^I + 2(1 - r\Lambda' + r\Phi') \chi^I - 2\psi^I + e^{2\Lambda} r^2 E_F^I \right]. \quad (49)$$

From Eq.(36), the even-parity metric perturbation components A, D, H, K could be read out directly.

## 2. Even-parity, RW gauge

Similar to the steps in the previous subsection, using the field equations  $E_A^{\text{II}}$  to  $E_K^{\text{II}}$  in App. C, one can eliminate the gauge invariants  $\delta^{\text{II}}$  and  $\epsilon^{\text{II}}$ , and then obtain the following coupled equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi^{\text{II}} = & \frac{2e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi} r}{\tau^{\text{II}}(\sigma^{\text{II}} - 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi')} \left( \sigma^{\text{II}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \chi^{\text{II}} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi^{\text{II}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_D^{\text{II}} \right) + \frac{\rho^{\text{II}}}{r\tau^{\text{II}}} \chi^{\text{II}} + \frac{\sigma^{\text{II}} - 2}{r\tau^{\text{II}}} \psi^{\text{II}} \\ & - \frac{e^{2\Lambda} r}{2\tau^{\text{II}}} (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 2r\Phi' - 2) E_F^{\text{II}} + \frac{e^{2\Lambda} r}{2\tau^{\text{II}}} (2E_H^{\text{II}} + E_K^{\text{II}}), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi^{\text{II}} = & \frac{\sigma^{\text{II}} e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi} r}{\tau^{\text{II}}(\sigma^{\text{II}} - 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi')} \left( \sigma^{\text{II}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \chi^{\text{II}} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi^{\text{II}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_D^{\text{II}} \right) + \frac{\mu^{\text{II}}}{r\tau^{\text{II}}} \chi^{\text{II}} - \frac{\nu^{\text{II}}}{r\tau^{\text{II}}} \psi^{\text{II}} \\ & + \frac{e^{2\Lambda} r}{4\tau^{\text{II}}} (\kappa^{\text{II}} E_F^{\text{II}} + 2\sigma^{\text{II}} E_H^{\text{II}} + \sigma^{\text{II}} E_K^{\text{II}}) - \frac{1}{4} e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r E_A^{\text{II}}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$



where the parameters  $\sigma^{\text{II}}, \tau^{\text{II}}, \kappa^{\text{II}}, \rho^{\text{II}}, \mu^{\text{II}}, \nu^{\text{II}}$  are parameters depend only on background spacetime, and the explicit expressions of them can be found in App. D.

We find that the master variable can be similarly constructed as,

$$Z^{\text{II}(+)} = \sigma^{\text{II}} \chi^{\text{II}} - 2\psi^{\text{II}}, \quad (52)$$

and then the master equation under the RW gauge is given by

$$\left[ \frac{2r\sigma^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}}N_T^{\text{II}}}{N^{\text{II}}} \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{N_T^{\text{II}}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \right) + \frac{N_R^{\text{II}}}{(N^{\text{II}})^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{N_Z^{\text{II}}}{(N^{\text{II}})^2 r\tau^{\text{II}}} \right] Z^{\text{II}(+)} = S_{\text{even}}^{\text{II}}, \quad (53)$$

with the source term is,

$$S_{\text{even}}^{\text{II}} = e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r \left[ \frac{N_A^{\text{II}}}{2(N^{\text{II}})^2} E_A^{\text{II}} + \frac{r\sigma^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}}}{N^{\text{II}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_A^{\text{II}} + \frac{r\sigma^{\text{II}}}{\tau^{\text{II}}(\sigma^{\text{II}} - 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi')} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_D^{\text{II}} \right] \\ - e^{2\Lambda} r \left\{ \frac{N_F^{\text{II}}}{2(N^{\text{II}})^2 \tau^{\text{II}}} E_F^{\text{II}} + \frac{r\sigma^{\text{II}}[\kappa^{\text{II}} + \sigma^{\text{II}}(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 2r\Phi' - 2)]}{N^{\text{II}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_F^{\text{II}} - \frac{\sigma^{\text{II}}}{2\tau^{\text{II}}} (2E_H^{\text{II}} + E_K^{\text{II}}) \right\}, \quad (54)$$

where  $N^{\text{II}}, N_T^{\text{II}}, N_R^{\text{II}}, N_Z^{\text{II}}, N_A^{\text{II}}, N_F^{\text{II}}$  are all depend on background spacetime, which can be found in App. D. Under the RW gauge, the master equation is a wave-like equation. We find that if the metric becomes as Schwarzschild spacetime, then Eq.(53) would be equivalent to Eq.(E6).

Once Eq.(53) has been solved, one can get  $\chi^{\text{II}}$  and  $\psi^{\text{II}}$  from

$$\chi^{\text{II}} = \frac{1}{N^{\text{II}}} \left\{ 2r\tau^{\text{II}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Z^{\text{II}(+)} + M_1^{\text{II}} Z^{\text{II}(+)} - e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r^2 \tau^{\text{II}} E_A^{\text{II}} + e^{2\Lambda} r^2 [\kappa^{\text{II}} + \sigma^{\text{II}}(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 2r\Phi' - 2)] E_F^{\text{II}} \right\}, \quad (55)$$

$$\psi^{\text{II}} = \frac{1}{N^{\text{II}}} \left\{ r\sigma^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Z^{\text{II}(+)} + M_2^{\text{II}} Z^{\text{II}(+)} - \frac{1}{2} e^{4\Lambda-2\Phi} r^2 \sigma^{\text{II}} \tau^{\text{II}} E_A^{\text{II}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} r^2 \sigma^{\text{II}} [\kappa^{\text{II}} + \sigma^{\text{II}}(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 2r\Phi' - 2)] E_F^{\text{II}} \right\}, \quad (56)$$

and the remaining two gauge invariants  $\delta^{\text{II}}$  and  $\epsilon^{\text{II}}$  could be obtained from  $E_D^{\text{II}}$  and  $E_F^{\text{II}}$ , respectively,

$$\delta^{\text{II}} = -\frac{e^{2\Lambda} r^2 E_D + r(2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 6r\Phi' - 2r\Lambda' + 2r^2\Phi'^2 - 2r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi - 4r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi}{2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 2r\Phi' - 6r\Phi' + 2r\Phi'^2 + 2r^2\Phi'' - 2r^2\Lambda'\Phi'}, \quad (57)$$

$$\epsilon^{\text{II}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} \left[ 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi + 2(1 - r\Lambda' + r\Phi') \chi - 2\psi + e^{2\Lambda} r^2 E_F \right]. \quad (58)$$

When  $\chi^{\text{II}}, \psi^{\text{II}}, \delta^{\text{II}}$  and  $\epsilon^{\text{II}}$  have been solved, the even-parity metric perturbation components A, D, E, K could be given by

$$A = 2e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} r\Phi' \chi^{\text{II}} - 2\epsilon^{\text{II}}, \quad D = \delta^{\text{II}} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi^{\text{II}}, \\ E = -2e^{-2\Lambda} \chi^{\text{II}}, \quad K = 2\psi^{\text{II}} + 2(r\Lambda' - 1)\chi^{\text{II}} - 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi^{\text{II}}. \quad (59)$$

Under the RW gauge, the master variable be written as the combination of gauge invariants  $\chi^{\text{II}}$  and  $\psi^{\text{II}}$ . In original Zerilli's work [3], the master variable was written as the combination of  $K_{LM}$  and  $R_{LM}$ , which corresponding  $\chi^{\text{II}}$  and  $\int_t \delta^{\text{II}}$  in our paper.

### 3. Odd-parity

For odd parity, both gauge choices for the EZ gauge and the RW gauge are consistent. We construct the odd-parity master variable as

$$Z^{(-)} = (r + r^2\Lambda' + r^2\Phi') \beta - r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \beta + r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \alpha, \quad (60)$$

then the master equation is given by

$$\left\{ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} \frac{X}{Y} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \left( \frac{Y'}{Y} + 3\Lambda' + 3\Phi' \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{N_{odd}}{r^2} \right] \right\} Z^{(-)} = S_{odd}, \quad (61)$$

with the source term

$$S_{odd} = e^{2\Phi} r^2 \frac{X}{Y} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{r} + \Lambda' - \Phi' - \frac{Y'}{Y} \right) E_C + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_C + \frac{Y}{X} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_J \right], \quad (62)$$

where  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $N_{odd}$  are parameters only related to the background spacetime, and the explicit expression of which can be found in App. D. Once the Eq.(61) has been solved, together with the structure of the odd-parity master variable Eq.(60) and the perturbed field equations Eqs.(C15) and (C17), we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{e^{2\Lambda}}{X} \left( r^2 E_J + e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Z^{(-)} \right), \quad (63)$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{Y} \left[ e^{2\Lambda} r^2 E_C - \left( \frac{1}{r} - 2\Lambda' - 2\Phi' \right) Z^{(-)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Z^{(-)} \right]. \quad (64)$$

Hence the odd-parity metric perturbation components C and J could be obtained.

### C. Specific Cases for $l = 0, 1$

In previous subsection, we have discussed the construction of master variable and master equation for even-parity and odd parity of  $l \geq 2$  case. However, the decomposition of metric perturbation would take some other form for the specific cases of  $l = 0, 1$ . In this section, we investigate how to solve the metric perturbation at the values of  $l = 0, 1$ . Note that in this subsection, we use, e.g.  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ , to represent the scalar functions in metric perturbation and gauge vector for  $l = 0$  and  $l = 1$  cases, respectively. And we also use, e.g.  $E_A^{(l=0)}$  and  $E_A^{(l=1)}$  to represent the projection function  $E_A$  for  $l = 0$  and  $l = 1$  cases, respectively.

#### 1. $l = 0$ case

For the special case  $l = 0$ , the scalar spherical harmonic function only remains  $Y^{00} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$ , which makes that the metric perturbation takes the form

$$h_{ab}^{(l=0)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (A_0 v_a v_b + 2D_0 v_{(a} n_{b)} + E_0 \sigma_{ab} + K_0 n_a n_b), \quad (65)$$

and the gauge vector takes the form

$$\xi_a^{(l=0)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (P_0 v_a + R_0 n_a). \quad (66)$$

In  $l = 0$  case, we only have four components metric perturbation, both of them are even-parity sector. Under the gauge transformation, the metric perturbation would be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_0 &= -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_0 - 2e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} \Phi' R_0, & \Delta D_0 &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - 2\Phi' \right) P_0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_0, \\ \Delta E_0 &= 2 \frac{e^{-2\Lambda}}{r} R_0, & \Delta K_0 &= \left( 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - 2\Lambda' \right) R_0. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The structure of  $\Delta A_0$ ,  $\Delta D_0$  and  $\Delta K_0$  are the same as  $l \geq 2$  case, but  $\Delta E_0$  is different from the expression in Eq.(22) since S is not exist for  $l = 0$ . From Eq.(67), we can construct two gauge invariant properties,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= \frac{1}{2} K_0 - \frac{r}{2} e^{2\Lambda} \Lambda' E_0 - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} E_0 - \frac{r}{2} e^{2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_0, \\ o_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A_0 - \Phi' A_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_0 + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} (\Phi' + r\Phi'') E_0 + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} r \Phi' \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_0 + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Lambda} r \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} E_0. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Choosing the gauge  $D_0 = E_0 = 0$ , we find that  $E_A^{(l=0)}$ ,  $E_D^{(l=0)}$ ,  $E_E^{(l=0)}$  and  $E_K^{(l=0)}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_A^{(l=0)} &= 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')A_0 \\
&\quad + 2r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}(4r\Lambda' - 1)K_0 - 2r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}K_0, \\
E_D^{(l=0)} &= 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}K_0, \\
E_E^{(l=0)} &= 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(r\Lambda'\Phi' - r\Phi'' - \Phi')A_0 - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi' - 1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}A_0 + e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}A_0 \\
&\quad + 2r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(\Phi' + r\Phi'^2 + r\Phi'' - 2r\Lambda'\Phi' - 2\Lambda')K_0 + r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(r\Phi' + 1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}K_0 + e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}K_0, \\
E_K^{(l=0)} &= -4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\Phi'A_0 + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}A_0 + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')K_0. \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

And the gauge invariants  $\psi_0$  and  $o_0$  become as

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{2}K_0, \quad o_0 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}A_0 - \Phi'A_0. \tag{70}$$

Note that under the specific gauge,  $E_A^{(l=0)}$  is not easy to expressed as a combination of  $\psi_0$  and  $o_0$ . A more convenient way is to define the structure

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_A^{(l=0)} - \mu_0 E_A^{(l=0)} - 2\Phi'E_A^{(l=0)} \\
&= 4r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')o_0 \\
&\quad + 2\nu_0\psi_0 + 2\tau_0\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi_0 - 4r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\psi_0, \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

where the explicit expression of  $\mu_0$ ,  $\nu_0$  and  $\tau_0$  can be found in App. D. And  $E_D^{(l=0)}$ ,  $E_E^{(l=0)}$  and  $E_K^{(l=0)}$  are given by

$$E_D^{(l=0)} = 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_0, \tag{72}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_E^{(l=0)} &= -2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(r\Lambda' - 1)o_0 + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}o_0 + 4r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(\Phi' - 2\Lambda' + r\Phi'^2 + r\Phi'' - 2r\Lambda'\Phi')\psi_0 \\
&\quad + 2r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(r\Phi' + 1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi_0 + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\psi_0, \tag{73}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E_K^{(l=0)} = 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}o_0 + 4r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')\psi_0. \tag{74}$$

From Eq.(74) we get

$$o_0 = \frac{r}{4}e^{2\Phi}E_K^{(l=0)} - r^{-1}e^{2\Phi-2\Lambda}(e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')\psi_0, \tag{75}$$

Then substituting  $o_0$  into Eq.(71),  $\psi_0$  would satisfied the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[ 2\nu_0 - 4r^{-3}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}(e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\tau_0\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - 4r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \right]\psi_0 = S_1^{(l=0)}, \tag{76}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $S^{(l=0)}$  is the source term

$$S_1^{(l=0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_A^{(l=0)} - \mu_0 E_A^{(l=0)} - 2\Phi'E_A^{(l=0)} - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi}(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'')E_K^{(l=0)}. \tag{77}$$

Meanwhile, substituting  $o_0$  and (72) into Eq.(73),  $\psi_0$  also satisfied the relation

$$\left( \iota_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \sigma_0 \right) \psi_0 = \frac{r}{2}e^{4\Lambda}S_2^{(l=0)}, \tag{78}$$

where  $\iota_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  are parameters which can be found in App. D, and  $S_2^{l=0}$  is the source term

$$S_2^{(l=0)} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Phi}r\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_D^{(l=0)} - E_E^{(l=0)} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Lambda}\left[r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_K^{(l=0)} + (2 - r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi')E_K^{(l=0)}\right]. \quad (79)$$

One can obtain  $\partial\psi_0/\partial r$  from Eq.(78), and substitute it into Eq.(76). The equation of  $\psi_0$  would becomes a algebraic equation, and then  $o_0$  can also be obtained. Note that in Schwarzschild spacetime, both side of Eq.(76) are singular.

## 2. $l = 1$ case

For the special case  $l = 1$ , the tensor harmonic basis  $T_{ab}^{E2,1m}$  and  $T_{ab}^{B2,1m}$  vanish, hence the scalar functions  $F_1$  and  $G_1$  would no longer exists. The four components of the gauge vector  $\xi_a$  make that there are only three gauge invariants for even-parity and one odd gauge invariant for odd-parity. For  $l = 1$  case, the remaining eight projections of  $2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}$  are the same as the results for  $l \geq 2$  cases.

First, we investigate the even-parity sector. As we explained, for  $l \geq 2$  cases, one should first determine the component function  $S$  of gauge vector  $\xi_a$  to make  $F$  vanish under the gauge transformation. However, when  $l = 1$ , the lack of  $F_1$  prevents us from constructing the gauge invariants as the cases for  $l \geq 2$ . The even-parity  $l = 1$  metric perturbations is related to the linear momentum of the system [4]. Note that if we take the gauge choice  $B_1 = E_1 = H_1 = 0$ , which was introduced by Zerilli in Schwarzschild spacetime, the relation between  $E_A$  and metric components would no longer a simple decoupling relationship. However, taking the gauge choice

$$A_1 = E_1 = H_1 = 0, \quad (80)$$

we find that  $E_A$  and the metric perturbed components  $K$  has a simple relation. Now part of the projection of linearized EFEs are given by

$$E_A^{(l=1)} = -2r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}K_1 + r^{-1}(1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 4r\Lambda')K_1\right), \quad (81)$$

$$E_D^{(l=1)} = 2r^{-2}(1 - 2r\Phi')B_1 + 2r^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}B_1 + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}K_1 - 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(1 + r\Phi' + r^2\Phi'^2 - 3r\Lambda' - r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + r^2\Phi'')D_1, \quad (82)$$

$$E_K^{(l=1)} + 4E_H^{(l=1)} = 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'')K_1 + 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}(1 - e^{2\Lambda})\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B_1 - 4e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}B_1. \quad (83)$$

From Eq.(81), the metric perturbation function  $K_1$  could be first solved. This solution can be used in Eq.(83) to solve  $B_1$ , and then from Eq.(82),  $D_1$  could also be solved. The remaining projection of linearized EFEs, such as  $E_B$  or  $E_E$ , can be used to check the consistency of the solutions.

Then we investigate the odd-parity sector. For  $l \geq 2$  cases, one should determine the component function  $Q$  of gauge vector  $\xi_a$  to make  $G$  vanish under the gauge transformation. For  $l = 1$  case,  $G_1$  is no longer exists, which means that we can not construct the gauge invariant properties  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as  $l \geq 2$  cases. However, from the components of the projection of  $2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)}$ ,

$$\Delta C_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q, \quad (84)$$

$$\Delta J_1 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} - \Phi' - \Lambda'\right)Q, \quad (85)$$

one can construct a gauge invariant property  $\alpha_1$  as

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}J_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}C_1 - \left(\frac{1}{r} + \Phi' + \Lambda'\right)C_1. \quad (86)$$

When  $l = 1$ , the odd-parity of the projection of EFEs are

$$E_C^{(l=1)} = -r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( 3 - e^{2\Lambda} - 2r^2\Lambda'^2 + 6r\Phi' + r^2\Phi'^2 - 2r\Lambda' - 7r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + r^2\Lambda'' + 4r^2\Phi'' \right) C_1 \\ - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2 + 3r\Lambda' + 3r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}C_1 + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}C_1 - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-3 + 2r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}J_1 + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}J_1, \quad (87)$$

$$E_J^{(l=1)} = -r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( 1 - e^{2\Lambda} - 5r\Lambda' + 3r\Phi' + 3r^2\Phi'^2 + 3r^2\Phi'' - 3r^2\Lambda'\Phi' \right) J_1 \\ - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}J_1 + r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}(1 + r\Lambda' + r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_1 - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}C_1. \quad (88)$$

Together with Eq.(86), Eq.(87) and Eq.(88) can be decoupled. And the master equation for  $l = 1$  odd-parity can be written as

$$\left\{ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi}\frac{Y_1}{X_1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \left( \frac{4}{r} - 3\Lambda' - 3\Phi' - \frac{X_1'}{X_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{N_1}{r^2} \right] \right\} \alpha_1 = S_{odd}^{(l=1)}, \quad (89)$$

where  $S_{odd}^{(l=1)}$  is the source term, which can be given by

$$S_{odd}^{(l=1)} = e^{2\Phi}\frac{Y_1}{X_1} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{r} + \Lambda' - \Phi' - \frac{X_1'}{X_1} \right) E_C^{(l=1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_C^{(l=1)} + \frac{X_1}{Y_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_J^{(l=1)} \right]. \quad (90)$$

In the above equations,  $X_1$ ,  $Y_1$  and  $N_1$  are all parameters only depend on background spacetime, which can be found in App. D. Once Eq.(89) has been solved, the metric perturbation components  $C_1$  and  $J_1$  can be determined by

$$C_1 = \frac{r}{X_1} \left[ e^{2\Lambda}rE_C^{(l=1)} + (-3 + 2r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi')\alpha_1 - r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\alpha_1 \right], \quad (91)$$

$$J_1 = \frac{e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}}{Y_1}r^2 \left( e^{2\Phi}E_J^{(l=1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_1 \right). \quad (92)$$

#### IV. EXAMPLE: CIRCULAR ORBIT

In this section, we present a simple example that a small object moves a circular orbit around the center of a spherically symmetric spacetime. We only analysis the solutions for  $l = 0, 1$  in this section. For  $l \geq 2$  cases, we only provide a general approach, and consider that which could be discussed by numerical methods in the future.

Assuming that the small object moves along the worldline  $z(\tau)$  with mass  $\mu$  and four velocity  $u_a$ , the stress-energy of this point particle takes the form [35]

$$T_{ab} = \int \frac{\mu u_a u_b}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} \delta^4[x - z(\tau)] d\tau, \quad (93)$$

where  $\tau$  is the proper time,  $g^{(0)}$  and  $\delta^4$  are the determinant of the background spacetime and the four-dimensional Dirac delta function, respectively. Generalizing the standard analysis on the textbook [36], the time-like geodesics in general spherically symmetric spacetime is

$$-1 = g_{ab}u^a u^b = -e^{2\Phi}\dot{t}^2 + e^{2\Lambda}\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\varphi}^2. \quad (94)$$

Using static Killing field  $\xi^a = (\partial/\partial t)^a$  and rotational Killing field  $\psi^a = (\partial/\partial \varphi)^a$ , two conserved quantities  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $L$  can be defined as

$$\mathcal{E} = -g_{ab}\xi^a u^b = e^{2\Phi}\dot{t}, \quad L = g_{ab}\psi^a u^b = r^2\dot{\varphi}, \quad (95)$$

then the geodesic equation is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( \frac{L^2}{r^2} + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\mathcal{E}^2. \quad (96)$$

Considering that the particle moves along the circular orbit with radio  $R$ , which is determined by  $\partial V/\partial r = 0$ . Hence the four velocity of the particle can be written as

$$u_a = (-\mathcal{E}, 0, 0, L), \quad (97)$$

and now  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $L$  represent the specific energy and angular momentum of the particle, respectively,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{e^{\Phi|_R}}{\sqrt{R\Lambda'|_R + 1}}, \quad L = R\sqrt{\frac{-R\Lambda'|_R}{R\Lambda'|_R + 1}}. \quad (98)$$

where  $|_R$  denotes the corresponding function takes the value at orbit radius  $R$ . The orbital frequency can also be defined as

$$\Omega^2 = \left(\frac{u^\varphi}{u^t}\right)^2 = -\frac{e^{2\Phi}\Lambda'|_R}{R}, \quad (99)$$

which gives two useful relations

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{e^{2\Phi|_R}}{\Omega R^2} L, \quad \Omega L = -R\Lambda'|_R \cdot \mathcal{E}. \quad (100)$$

Projecting the stress-energy momentum  $T_{ab}$  to ten harmonic basis, one can obtain  $E_A$  to  $E_K$  for the circular orbit. The results reveal that  $E_D$ ,  $E_H$ ,  $E_J$  and  $E_K$  are vanish automatically, and the non-vanish projections of stress-energy momentum are valid for  $l \geq 0$ ,  $l \geq 1$  and  $l \geq 2$ , respectively. For  $l \geq 0$ ,

$$E_A^{lm} = -16\pi e^{-\Lambda+\Phi} \frac{\mu\mathcal{E}}{r^2} \delta(r-R) Y_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \Omega t\right), \quad (101)$$

$$E_E^{lm} = -8\pi e^{-\Lambda-\Phi} \frac{\mu L \Omega R^2}{r^4} \delta(r-R) Y_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \Omega t\right), \quad (102)$$

where  $E_A$  and  $E_E$  satisfied the relation  $E_A = -\frac{2e^{2\Phi}r^2}{R^3\Lambda'} E_E$ . For  $l \geq 1$ ,

$$E_B^{lm} = -\frac{16\pi}{l(l+1)} e^{-\Lambda-\Phi} \frac{\mu\mathcal{E}\Omega R^2}{r^3} \delta(r-R) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi)\right) \Big|_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi=\Omega t}, \quad (103)$$

$$E_C^{lm} = -\frac{16\pi}{l(l+1)} \frac{\mu\mathcal{E}\Omega R^2}{r^3} \delta(r-R) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi)\right) \Big|_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi=\Omega t}, \quad (104)$$

and for  $l \geq 2$ ,

$$E_F^{lm} = \frac{16\pi(l-2)!}{(l+2)!} e^{-\Lambda-\Phi} \frac{\mu L \Omega R^2}{r^4} \delta(r-R) \left[2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2} Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi) + l(l+1)Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi)\right] \Big|_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi=\Omega t}, \quad (105)$$

$$E_G^{lm} = -\frac{32\pi(l-2)!}{(l+2)!} \frac{\mu L \Omega R^2}{r^4} \delta(r-R) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta\partial\varphi} Y_{l,m}^*(\theta, \varphi)\right) \Big|_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi=\Omega t}. \quad (106)$$

#### A. The Circular Orbit for $l = 0$

For  $l = 0$ , substituting Eqs.(102) into Eq.(78), we have

$$\left(\iota_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \sigma_0\right) \psi_0 = -\frac{r}{2} e^{4\Lambda} E_E^{00}. \quad (107)$$

Using the standard method to solve the above equation, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= -\exp\left(\int^r \frac{\sigma_0(r')}{\iota_0(r')} dr'\right) \int^r \left[\frac{r' e^{4\Lambda(r')}}{2\iota_0(r')} \exp\left(-\int^{r'} \frac{\sigma_0(r'')}{\iota_0(r'')} dr''\right) E_E^{00}(r')\right] dr' \\ &= -\exp\left(\int^r \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr - \int^R \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr\right) \frac{R e^{4\Lambda|_R}}{2\iota_0|_R} \bar{E}_E^{00} \Theta(r-R) \\ &= -\exp\left(\int_R^r \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr\right) \frac{R e^{4\Lambda|_R}}{2\iota_0|_R} \bar{E}_E^{00} \Theta(r-R), \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

where the relation  $Y_{00}^*(\theta, \varphi) = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{-1}$  has been used, and  $\bar{E}_E^{00}$  denote the evaluated coefficient to the delta function,

$$\bar{E}_E^{00} = -4\sqrt{\pi}e^{-\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R} \frac{\mu L \Omega}{R^2} = 4\sqrt{\pi}e^{-\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R} \frac{\mu \Lambda'|_R \cdot \mathcal{E}}{R}. \quad (109)$$

From Eq.(70), we have

$$K_0 = 2\psi_0 = -4\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Lambda'|_R \cdot \mu \mathcal{E}}{\iota_0|_R} \exp\left(\int_R^r \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr + 3\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R\right) \Theta(r - R). \quad (110)$$

Using Eq.(75), one can get  $\iota_0$ , and then solve Eq.(70) to obtain  $A_0$ ,

$$A_0 = 4\sqrt{\pi}e^{2\Phi} \frac{\Lambda'|_R \cdot \mu \mathcal{E}}{\iota_0|_R} \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \exp\left[-\int_R^r \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr + 3\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R\right] \Theta(r - R), \quad (111)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \int r^{-1}(e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'') \exp\left[\int^r \frac{\sigma_0(r')}{\iota_0(r')} dr' - 2\Lambda\right] dr. \quad (112)$$

With  $A_0$  and  $K_0$  in hand, the metric perturbation can be directly written out. Since both  $A_0$  and  $K_0$  contain step function, it is obvious that inside the orbit, i.e.  $r < R$ , the perturbation would vanishes. While outside the orbit, i.e.  $r > R$ , the perturbed metric could be given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ab}^{00} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(A_0 v_a v_b + K_0 n_a n_b) \\ &= 2e^{2\Phi} \frac{\Lambda'|_R \cdot \mu \mathcal{E}}{\iota_0|_R} \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \exp\left[-\int^R \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr + 3\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R\right] v_a v_b \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\Lambda'|_R \cdot \mu \mathcal{E}}{\iota_0|_R} \exp\left[\int_R^r \frac{\sigma_0}{\iota_0} dr + 3\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R\right] n_a n_b. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

Unlike the Schwarzschild spacetime, there is no clear definition of the mass  $M$  for general spherically symmetric spacetimes, hence we can only give this general result. It is obviously that the perturbation for  $l = 0$  only affects on  $g_{tt}$  and  $g_{rr}$ . Degenerate to Schwarzschild spacetime, this perturbation is equivalent to adding a extra mass  $\delta m$  to the system [4].

## B. The circular Orbit for $l = 1$

### 1. Even-parity for $l = 1$

For  $l = 1$  even-parity, we have found that metric perturbation components can be set as  $A_1 = E_1 = H_1 = 0$ , rather than construction of gauge invariants. From Eq.(81), it is shown that  $K_1$  could determined by  $E_A$ . Note that  $Y_{1m}^*(\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi)$  would vanish for  $m = 0$ , hence

$$K_1 = -8\pi R \frac{\mu \mathcal{E}}{r^2} Y_{1,\pm 1}^*\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \Omega t\right) \exp\left(-\int_R^r \frac{1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 4r\Lambda'}{r} dr + 3\Lambda|_R - \Phi|_R\right) \Theta(r - R). \quad (114)$$

Substituting  $K_1$  into Eq.(83), together with  $E_H = E_K = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_1 \right) - r^{-1}(1 - e^{2\Lambda}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_1 = \mathcal{K} K_1 \quad (115)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} e^{2\Phi - 2\Lambda} (-2 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi''). \quad (116)$$

Hence,  $B_1$  could be solved by Eq.(115). Then considering Eq.(82) with  $E_D = 0$ , in principle, the metric perturbed component  $D_1$  can also be obtained.



## 2. Odd-parity for $l = 1$

To investigate the metric perturbation components  $C_1$  and  $J_1$ , we should first solve the master variable  $\alpha_1$  from Eq.(89). Then using Eqs.(91) and (92),  $C_1$  and  $J_1$  can be obtained. However,  $G$  automatically vanish for  $l = 1$  case, there exist one freedom for odd parity. If we impose the gauge choice by setting  $C_1 = 0$ , the master variable for  $l = 1$  odd-parity becomes as

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_1. \quad (117)$$

Eq.(91) becomes as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \alpha_1 + \left( \frac{3}{r} - 2\Lambda' - 2\Phi' \right) \alpha_1 = e^{2\Lambda} E_C, \quad (118)$$

the solution of this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -\frac{16\pi}{l(l+1)} \cdot \frac{\mu \mathcal{E} \Omega R^2}{r^3} \exp(2\Lambda + 2\Phi - 2\Phi|_R) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi=\Omega t} \\ &= -8\pi \frac{\mu L}{r^3} e^{2\Lambda+2\Phi} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \varphi) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi=\Omega t}, \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

where we have used the relation Eq.(100) and the fact  $l = 1$ . From  $\alpha_1$ , one can solve  $J_1$  with undetermined function that only depend on  $r$ . However, the metric perturbation component  $J_1$  yields a contribution to  $h_{r\theta}^{1m}$  and  $h_{r\varphi}^{1m}$ .

Next, we impose the gauge choice by setting  $J_1 = 0$ , and now the gauge invariant  $\alpha_1$  becomes as

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} C_1 - \left( \frac{1}{r} + \Lambda' + \Phi' \right) C_1. \quad (120)$$

Substituting this relation into Eqs.(91) and (92), we know that  $\alpha_1$  should only be a function of  $r$ , and  $C_1$  satisfied the second order differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} C_1 + \left( \frac{2}{r} - 3\Lambda' - 3\Phi' \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} C_1 \\ - r^{-2} \left( 3 - e^{2\Lambda} - 2r^2 \Lambda'^2 + 6r\Phi' + r^2 \Phi'^2 - 2r\Lambda' - 7r^2 \Lambda'\Phi' + r^2 \Lambda'' + 4r^2 \Phi'' \right) C_1 = e^{2\Lambda} E_C. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

which just the Eq.(87) with  $J_1 = 0$ . Considering that  $E_C$  contain the delta function, we predict that the solution of  $C_1$  contain the step function  $\Theta(r - R)$ , with two arbitrary constants of integration. One can be determined by considering that the background spacetime without intrinsic angular momentum, and the other can be determined that perturbation  $C_1$  would be convergent at infinity [27]. If the background metric degenerate to the Schwarzschild spacetime, our equation would becomes Eq. (10.21) of [27], which gives a non-vanishing  $h_{t\varphi}^{01}$  out side the circular orbit to describe the adding angular momentum of the system under the  $l = 1$  even parity perturbation.

## C. The Circular Orbit For $l \geq 2$

Generally, the master variable could always be theoretical analysis for  $l = 0, 1$  cases. But for general problem for  $l \geq 2$ , we should use the numerical methods to deal with. From the source term, Eqs.(43), (54) or (62), we know that the source term are depend only on Eqs.(101) to (106). Both of these terms could be separated a common term  $e^{-im\Omega t}$ , which implies that our master equations, Eqs.(42), (53) and (61), can be written as the second-order ordinary differential equations in  $r$  for each  $l$  and  $m$ . And the distributional sources of these differential equations would be vanish everywhere except at the circular orbit radius  $r = R$ .

The basic sketch is as follows. To solve the second-order equations, one can study two regions separately. One region is from the circular orbit radius to infinity, i.e.  $r \in (R, \infty)$ . In this region, a naturally boundary condition is considering a appropriate radiation at spatial infinity. In the other region there are multiple situations. For example, if the background spacetime has event horizon, then one should determine this region from the event horizon to the circular orbit radius, i.e.,  $r \in (r_+, R)$ . Then solve the differential equation with the boundary condition is given by a appropriate radiation at the event horizon  $r_+$ . Another example is that the background spacetime is a perfect fluid

star without event horizon, then one should determine the other region from the center of the star to the circular orbit radius, i.e.,  $r \in (0, R)$ . Then solve the differential equation with some boundary condition at the center of the star  $r = 0$ . Finally, after the equations in two regions have been solved separately, using the matching method at the radius  $R$  via the jump conditions generated by the source term, the metric perturbation components at any radius  $r$  could be determined.

## V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have systematically studied the gauge invariant perturbation of a general spherically symmetric spacetimes. First, we find that, in general spherically symmetric spacetimes, for even-parity, there are several gauge choices. One is the well-known RW gauge, and the other was presented by Detweiler and named as EZ gauge. For odd-parity, only one gauge choice that by setting  $G = 0$ . Then, we mainly focus on the construction of master equation for  $l \geq 2$ . Under the EZ gauge, the master equation Eq.(42) for gauge invariants is a third order equation. However, after the separation of variables, the equation would becomes as a second order equation that can be solved. Under the RW gauge, the master equation Eq.(53) for gauge invariants is a second order wave-like equation. For odd-parity, the master equation is also constructed as Eq.(61). Next, the situation for  $l = 0$  and  $l = 1$  have been discussed. For  $l = 0$  case, we present the equations that the gauge invariants satisfied. For  $l = 1$  even parity, in spite of the construction of master equation is not easy, we find that the metric perturbation components are still be determined when the source is specified. And for  $l = 1$  odd parity, the master equation Eq.(89) for gauge invariants still a wave-like equation. Finally, using our results, we investigate a small point particle moving along the circular orbit in general spherically symmetric spacetimes. In particular, the form of the solutions for  $l = 0$  and  $l = 1$  have been carefully discussed.

It is shown that what we have calculated are so complicated. Which implies that whether understand or apply our results, one should use the mathematical software. Based on our results, people can further investigate the gauge invariant properties in different background spacetime, such as axisymmetric spacetime. Meanwhile, these invariants and master equations could be widely applied in Effective-One-Body problems and post-Newtonian problems.

## Acknowledgments

We would like very much to thank Xiaokai He for valuable discussions. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11705053, No. 11975203 and No. 12035005. The work of Fang was supported in part by China Scholarship Council for the visiting post-doc program to Baylor University.

## Appendix A: Normalization Factors And A-K Decomposition

By projecting each vector harmonic and tensor harmonic into itself over the 2-sphere, it is easy to find that the normalization factors  $N^{(vec)}(A, r, l)$  and  $N^{(ten)}(A, r, l)$  in Sec.II can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \oint Y_a^{E,lm}(Y_{E,l'm'}^a)d\Omega &= N^{(vec)}(E, r, l)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} = l(l+1)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}, \\ \oint Y_a^{B,lm}(Y_{B,l'm'}^a)d\Omega &= N^{(vec)}(B, r, l)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} = e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}l(l+1)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}, \\ \oint Y_a^{R,lm}(Y_{R,l'm'}^a)d\Omega &= N^{(vec)}(R, r, l)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} = e^{-2\Lambda}\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\oint T_{ab}^{T0,lm}(T_{T0,l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega &= N^{(ten)}(T0, r, l) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} = 2\delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \\
\oint T_{ab}^{L0,lm}(T_{L0,l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega &= N^{(ten)}(L0, r, l) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} = e^{-4\Lambda} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \\
\oint T_{ab}^{E1,lm}(T_{E1,l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega &= N^{(ten)}(E1, r, l) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\Lambda} l(l+1) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \\
\oint T_{ab}^{B1,lm}(T_{B1,l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega &= N^{(ten)}(B1, r, l) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-4\Lambda-2\Phi} l(l+1) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \\
\oint T_{ab}^{E2,lm}(T_{E2,l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega &= N^{(ten)}(E2, r, l) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} = \frac{(l+2)!}{2(l-2)!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \\
\oint T_{ab}^{B2,lm}(T_{B2,l'm'}^{ab})^* d\Omega &= N^{(ten)}(B2, r, l) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} = e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi} \frac{(l+2)!}{2(l-2)!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Projecting the perturbed metric  $h_{ab}$  onto different bases, one can obtain the expression of coefficients A-K:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= e^{4\Phi} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} (v^a v^b Y_{lm}^*) d\Omega, & B &= -\frac{e^{2\Phi}}{l(l+1)} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} v^a Y_{E,lm}^{b*} d\Omega, \\
C &= -\frac{e^{4\Phi+2\Lambda}}{l(l+1)} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} v^a Y_{B,lm}^{b*} d\Omega, & D &= -e^{2\Phi+2\Lambda} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} v^a Y_{R,lm}^{b*} d\Omega, \\
E &= \frac{1}{2} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} T_{T0,lm}^{ab*} d\Omega, & F &= \frac{2(l-2)!}{(l+2)!} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} T_{E2,lm}^{ab*} d\Omega, \\
G &= \frac{2(l-2)!}{(l+2)!} e^{2\Phi+2\Lambda} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} T_{B2,lm}^{ab*} d\Omega, & H &= \frac{e^{2\Lambda}}{l(l+1)} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} T_{E1,lm}^{ab*} d\Omega, \\
J &= \frac{e^{4\Lambda+2\Phi}}{l(l+1)} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} T_{B1,lm}^{ab*} d\Omega, & K &= e^{4\Lambda} \oint h_{ab}^{lm} T_{L0,lm}^{ab*} d\Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

The above results are only valid for  $l \geq 2$  situation.

## Appendix B: Decomposition of Linearized Einstein Equation

In this appendix, we show the decomposition of linearized Einstein tensor into the A-K components. Note that these expressions are only valid for  $l \geq 2$ .

**Even parity:**

$$\begin{aligned}
E_A &= 2r^{-2} e^{-2\Lambda} (-1 + e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'') A - r^{-2} e^{2\Phi} (l^2 + l - 2) E \\
&\quad - 2r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} (r\Lambda' - 3) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E + 2e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} E - \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} e^{2\Phi} l(l+2)(l+1)(l-1) F \\
&\quad - 2r^{-2} e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} l(l+1)(r\Lambda' - 2) H + 2r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} l(l+1) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} H \\
&\quad - r^{-2} e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} [2 + e^{2\Lambda} l(l+1) - 8r\Lambda'] K - 2r^{-1} e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K,
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_B &= r^{-2} e^{-2\Lambda} (-3 + 3e^{2\Lambda} + 4r\Lambda' - 4r\Phi' + 3r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - 3r^2\Phi'^2 - 3r^2\Phi'') B \\
&\quad - r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} (-2 + r\Lambda' + r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B + e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} B + r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} (\Lambda' - \Phi') D - r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} D \\
&\quad - r^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E - \frac{1}{2} r^{-1} (l^2 + l - 2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F - r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} (-3 + r\Lambda' + r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H + e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} H \\
&\quad - r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K,
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_D = & r^{-2}l(l+1)(1-2r\Phi')B + r^{-1}l(l+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}B + 2r^{-1}(-1+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E - 2\frac{\partial}{\partial t\partial r}E \\
& - r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(2-2e^{2\Lambda}+e^{2\Lambda}l+e^{2\Lambda}l^2+2r\Phi'-6r\Lambda'+2r^2\Phi'^2-2r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+2r^2\Phi'')D \\
& - r^{-1}l(l+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}H + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}K,
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_E = & -\frac{1}{2}r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(e^{2\Lambda}l+e^{2\Lambda}l^2+4r\Phi'-4r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+4r^2\Phi'')A - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(-1+r\Lambda'+2r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}A \\
& + e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}A - r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}l(l+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(-1+r\Lambda')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}D \\
& + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+e^{2\Lambda}-2r\Phi'+2r\Lambda'+r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-r^2\Phi'^2-r^2\Phi'')E + r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2+r\Lambda'-r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E \\
& - e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}E + e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}E + r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}l(l+1)(-1+r\Lambda'-r\Phi')H - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}l(l+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}H \\
& + \frac{1}{2}r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda}(e^{2\Lambda}l+e^{2\Lambda}l^2+4r\Phi'-8r\Lambda'+4r^2\Phi'^2-8r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+4r^2\Phi'')K + r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(1+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}K \\
& + e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}K,
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_F = & -r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}A - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+e^{2\Lambda}+2r\Lambda'-2r\Phi'+r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-r^2\Phi'^2-r^2\Phi'')F \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2+r\Lambda'-r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}F + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}F - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}F + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+r\Lambda'-r\Phi')H \\
& - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}H + r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}K,
\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_H = & r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}(1+r\Phi')A - r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}A + r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}B - r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D + r^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E \\
& + \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}(l+2)(l-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}F + r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+3e^{2\Lambda}+5r\Lambda'-3r\Phi'+3r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-3r^2\Phi'^2-3r^2\Phi'')H \\
& - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}H - r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(1+r\Phi')K,
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_K = & -r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}(e^{2\Lambda}l+e^{2\Lambda}l^2+4r\Phi')A + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}A - 2r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}l(l+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B + 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D \\
& + r^{-2}e^{2\Lambda}(l^2+l-2)E - 2r^{-1}(1+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E + 2e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}E + \frac{1}{2}r^{-2}e^{2\Lambda}l(l+2)(l+1)(l-1)F \\
& - 2r^{-2}l(l+1)(1+r\Phi')H + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(e^{2\Lambda}+2r\Lambda'+r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-r^2\Phi'^2-r^2\Phi'')K.
\end{aligned} \tag{B7}$$

**odd parity:**

$$\begin{aligned}
E_C = & -r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}\left(3-3e^{2\Lambda}+e^{2\Lambda}l+e^{2\Lambda}l^2-2r^2\Lambda'^2+6r\Phi'+r^2\Phi'^2-2r\Lambda'-7r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+r^2\Lambda''+4r^2\Phi''\right)C \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2+3r\Lambda'+3r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}C + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}C - \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}(l+2)(l-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-3+2r\Lambda'+2r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}J + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}J,
\end{aligned} \tag{B8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_G = & -2r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C + r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2+2e^{2\Lambda}+2r^2\Lambda'^2-6r\Phi'-2r^2\Phi'^2+2r\Lambda'+4r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-r^2\Lambda''-3r^2\Phi'')G \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-2+3r\Lambda'+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}G + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}G - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}G + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+2r\Lambda')J - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}J,
\end{aligned} \tag{B9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_J = & r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}(1+r\Lambda'+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}C + \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}(l+2)(l-1)(\Lambda'+\Phi')G + \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}(l+2)(l-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}G \\
& - r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(1-3e^{2\Lambda}+e^{2\Lambda}l+e^{2\Lambda}l^2-5r\Lambda'+3r\Phi'+3r^2\Phi'^2+3r^2\Phi''-3r^2\Lambda'\Phi')J - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}J.
\end{aligned} \tag{B10}$$

### Appendix C: Linearized Einstein Tensor In Terms of Gauge Invariants

In this appendix, we rewritten the linearized Einstein tensor in terms of gauge invariants under the EZ gauge or RW gauge, including the even parity and the odd parity. Note that for even-parity, we use the superscripts to distinguish expressions under the EZ gauge and RW gauge, respectively. These relations are only valid for  $l \geq 2$  case. The relation  $\lambda = l(l+1)$  also has been used in this appendix.

**even parity, EZ gauge:**

$$\begin{aligned}
E_A^I = & -4r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+e^{2\Lambda}+2r\Lambda'-2r\Phi'+r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-r^2\Phi'^2-r^2\Phi'')\epsilon^I - 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi}\lambda(r\Lambda'-2)\chi^I \\
& + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi}\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\chi^I - 2r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}[2+e^{2\Lambda}\lambda-8r\Lambda']\psi^I - 4r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi^I,
\end{aligned} \tag{C1}$$

$$E_B^I = r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(\Lambda'-\Phi')\delta^I - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\delta^I - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-3+r\Lambda'+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi^I + e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}\chi^I - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^I, \tag{C2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_D^I = & -r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}\left[2+e^{2\Lambda}(\lambda-2)+2r\Phi'-6r\Lambda'+2r^2\Phi'^2-2r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+2r^2\Phi''\right]\delta^I \\
& - r^{-1}\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi^I + 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^I,
\end{aligned} \tag{C3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_E^I = & r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\left(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda+4r\Phi'-4r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+4r^2\Phi''\right)\epsilon^I + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(-1+r\Lambda'+2r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\epsilon^I \\
& - 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\epsilon^I - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(-1+r\Lambda')\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta^I + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial r}\delta^I + r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}\lambda(-1+r\Lambda'-r\Phi')\chi^I \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\chi^I + r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda}\left(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda+4r\Phi'-8r\Lambda'+4r^2\Phi'^2-8r^2\Lambda'\Phi'+4r^2\Phi''\right)\psi^I \\
& + 2r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(1+r\Phi')\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi^I + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\psi^I,
\end{aligned} \tag{C4}$$

$$E_F^I = 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}\epsilon^I + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+r\Lambda'-r\Phi')\chi^I - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\chi^I + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}\psi^I, \tag{C5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_H^I = & -2r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}(1+r\Phi')\epsilon^I + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\epsilon^I - r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta^I - 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(1+r\Phi')\psi^I \\
& + r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1+3e^{2\Lambda}+5r\Lambda'-3r\Phi'+3r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-3r^2\Phi'^2-3r^2\Phi'')\chi^I - e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\chi^I,
\end{aligned} \tag{C6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_K^I = & 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}\left(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda+4r\Phi'\right)\epsilon^I - 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\epsilon^I + 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta^I \\
& - 2r^{-2}\lambda(1+r\Phi')\chi^I + 4r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(e^{2\Lambda}+2r\Lambda'+r^2\Lambda'\Phi'-r^2\Phi'^2-r^2\Phi'')\psi^I.
\end{aligned} \tag{C7}$$

even parity, RW gauge:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_A^{\text{II}} = & -4r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( -1 + e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' - 2r\Phi' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'' \right) \epsilon^{\text{II}} \\
& + r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} \left[ 4 - 4e^{2\Lambda} + 4e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 8r^2\Lambda'^2 - 8r^2\Phi'^2 - 4r^3\Phi'^3 \right. \\
& \left. + 2r\Lambda' \left( -e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 4r\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'^2 \right) + 4r^2\Lambda'' - 4r\Phi'(1 - e^{2\Lambda} + 2r^2\Phi'') \right] \chi^{\text{II}} \\
& + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda+2\Phi} \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi - 2r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} (2 + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 8r\Lambda') \psi^{\text{II}} - 4r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi^{\text{II}}, \tag{C8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E_B^{\text{II}} = r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(\Lambda' - \Phi')\delta^{\text{II}} - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \delta^{\text{II}} - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda}(-3 + r\Lambda' + r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi^{\text{II}} + e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} \chi^{\text{II}} - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi^{\text{II}}, \tag{C9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_D^{\text{II}} = & -r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( 2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 2r\Phi' - 6r\Lambda' + 2r^2\Phi'^2 - 2r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'' \right) \delta^{\text{II}} \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( 2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 6r\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'^2 - 2r\Lambda' - 2r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'' \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi^{\text{II}} + 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi^{\text{II}}, \tag{C10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_E^{\text{II}} = & r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi} \left( e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 4r\Phi' - 4r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + 4r^2\Phi'' \right) \epsilon^{\text{II}} + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(-1 + r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \epsilon^{\text{II}} \\
& - 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \epsilon^{\text{II}} - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}(-1 + r\Lambda') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta^{\text{II}} + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} \delta^{\text{II}} \\
& + r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda} \left[ 4 - 4e^{2\Lambda} - e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 4r^2\Phi'^2 + 4r^2\Lambda^2(1 + r\Phi') - 2r^2\Lambda'' + 6r^2\Phi'' \right. \\
& \left. - r\Phi'(-6 + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 2r^2\Lambda'' - 4r^2\Lambda'') - r\Lambda'(6 - e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 8r\Phi' + 4r^2\Phi'^2 + 6r^2\Phi'') + 2r^3\Phi''' \right] \chi^{\text{II}} \\
& - r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi^{\text{II}} + r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda} \left( e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 4r\Phi' - 8r\Lambda' + 4r^2\Phi'^2 - 8r^2\Lambda'\Phi' + 4r^2\Phi'' \right) \psi^{\text{II}} \\
& + 2r^{-1}e^{-4\Lambda}(1 + r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi^{\text{II}} + 2e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi^{\text{II}}, \tag{C11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E_F^{\text{II}} = 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi} \epsilon^{\text{II}} + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(-1 + r\Lambda' - r\Phi') \chi^{\text{II}} - 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi^{\text{II}} + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \psi^{\text{II}}, \tag{C12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_H^{\text{II}} = & -2r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}(1 + r\Phi')\epsilon^{\text{II}} + 2r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \epsilon^{\text{II}} - r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta^{\text{II}} - 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda}(1 + r\Phi')\psi^{\text{II}} \\
& + 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( 1 + r\Phi' + r\Lambda' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'' \right) \chi^{\text{II}} - e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \chi^{\text{II}}, \tag{C13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_K^{\text{II}} = & 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Phi}(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 4r\Phi')\epsilon^{\text{II}} - 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \epsilon^{\text{II}} + 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta^{\text{II}} \\
& - 2r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left[ e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + r\Phi'(e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2) - 2r^2\Phi'^2 - 2r^2\Lambda'^2(r\Phi' + 2) \right. \\
& \left. - 4r^2\Phi'' + 2r\Lambda'(4 - e^{2\Lambda} + 5r\Phi' + r^2\Phi'^2 + r^2\Phi'') \right] \chi^{\text{II}} \\
& - 4r^{-1}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( -1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' + 2r\Lambda' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'' \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \chi^{\text{II}} \\
& + 4r^{-2}e^{-2\Lambda} \left( e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 - r^2\Phi'' \right) \psi^{\text{II}}. \tag{C14}
\end{aligned}$$

odd parity:

$$E_C = r^{-2} e^{-2\Lambda} \left[ 3 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 3) - 2r^2 \Lambda'^2 + 6r\Phi' + r^2 \Phi'^2 - 2r\Lambda' - 7r^2 \Lambda' \Phi' + r^2 \Lambda'' + 4r^2 \Phi'' \right] \beta \\ + r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} (-2 + 3r\Lambda' + 3r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \beta - e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \beta - r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} (-3 + 2r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \alpha + e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} \alpha, \quad (C15)$$

$$E_G = 2r^{-1} e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta + 2r^{-2} e^{-2\Lambda} (-1 + 2r\Lambda') \alpha - 2r^{-1} e^{-2\Lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \alpha, \quad (C16)$$

$$E_J = -r^{-2} e^{-2\Lambda} \left[ 1 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 3) - 5r\Lambda' + 3r\Phi' + 3r^2 \Phi'^2 + 3r^2 \Phi'' - 3r^2 \Lambda' \Phi' \right] \alpha \\ - e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \alpha - r^{-1} e^{-2\Phi} (1 + r\Lambda' + r\Phi') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta + e^{-2\Phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} \beta. \quad (C17)$$

#### Appendix D: The specific structure of the parameters

$l \geq 2$ , even-parity, EZ gauge:

The parameters  $\tau^I$ ,  $\sigma^I$ ,  $\kappa^I$ ,  $\gamma^I$ ,  $\rho^I$ ,  $\mu^I$ ,  $\nu^I$  in Eqs.(39) and (40) have the following form:

$$\tau^I = -2 + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 2r\Phi', \quad (D1)$$

$$\sigma^I = 1 - e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + r\Phi' - 3r\Lambda' + r^2 \Phi'^2 - r^2 \Lambda' \Phi' + r^2 \Phi'', \quad (D2)$$

$$\kappa^I = 2\sigma^I - e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 2r\Phi' + 2r\Lambda', \quad (D3)$$

$$\gamma^I = 3 - 3e^{2\Lambda} + 3r\Phi' + r^2 \Phi'^2 - r\Lambda' (7 - e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + r\Phi') + 3r^2 \Phi'', \quad (D4)$$

$$\rho^I = -2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 4r\Phi' + 2r^2 \Phi'^2 - 2r\Lambda' (2 + r\Phi') + 2r^2 \Phi'', \quad (D5)$$

$$\mu^I = 2 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 8) + e^{4\Lambda} (6 - 5\lambda + 2\lambda^2) - r [14 + e^{2\Lambda} (9\lambda - 22)] \Lambda' - r [-10 + e^{2\Lambda} (18 - 13\lambda) + 2e^{4\Lambda} \lambda] \Phi' \\ + 20r^2 \Lambda'^2 - r^2 [40 + 3e^{2\Lambda} (3\lambda - 4)] \Lambda' \Phi' + r^2 [20 + e^{2\Lambda} (7\lambda - 12)] \Phi'^2 + r^2 [8 + e^{2\Lambda} (5\lambda - 12)] \Phi'' \\ + 2r^3 [11\Lambda' - (9 + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda) \Phi'] (\Lambda' \Phi' - \Phi'^2 - \Phi'') + 6r^4 (\Phi'^2 + \Phi'' - \Lambda' \Phi')^2, \quad (D6)$$

$$\nu^I = -4 + 4e^{2\Lambda} + e^{4\Lambda} (\lambda - 2) - 2r [-8 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda + 4)] \Lambda' - r [4 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 6)] \Phi' \\ - r^2 [8\Lambda'^2 - 8\Lambda' \Phi' + 8\Phi'^2 + 4\Phi'' - e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 4) (\Lambda' \Phi' - \Phi'^2 - \Phi'')] \\ - 2r^3 (4\Lambda' - 3\Phi') (\Lambda' \Phi' - \Phi'^2 - \Phi'') - 2r^4 (\Phi'^2 + \Phi'' - \Lambda' \Phi')^2. \quad (D7)$$

The parameters in the master equation Eq.(42) and the source term Eq.(43) are functions of background function  $\Psi(r)$  and  $\Lambda(r)$ . To simplify the expression of  $N_R$  and  $N_Z$ , we introduce two auxiliary parameters  $M^I$  and  $M^{II}$ :

$$M_1^I = 2\nu^I + \rho^I \sigma^I, \quad (D8)$$

$$M_2^I = -\mu^I + \gamma^I \sigma^I + r\tau^I (\sigma^I)', \quad (D9)$$

then parameters in Eq.(42) are given by

$$N^I = 2\mu^I - 2\gamma^I \sigma^I - 2\nu^I \sigma^I - \rho^I (\sigma^I)^2 - 2r\tau^I (\sigma^I)', \quad (D10)$$

$$N_T^I = (N^I)^2 (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I) + 2r (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I) (\sigma^I - \kappa^I) \tau^I (N^I)' \\ + N^I \left\{ 2e^{2\Lambda} \lambda \gamma^I \sigma^I - 4\gamma^I (\sigma^I)^2 + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda \rho^I (\sigma^I)^2 - 2\rho^I (\sigma^I)^3 - 4e^{2\Lambda} \lambda \sigma^I \tau^I + 8(\sigma^I)^2 \tau^I + 2e^{2\Lambda} r \lambda \tau^I (\kappa^I)' \right. \\ \left. - 4r\sigma^I \tau^I (\kappa^I)' + 8r(\sigma^I)^2 \tau^I \Lambda' - 2e^{2\Lambda} r \lambda \tau^I (\sigma^I)' + 4e^{2\Lambda} r \lambda \sigma^I \tau^I \Phi' - 8r(\sigma^I)^2 \tau^I \Phi' \right. \\ \left. + \kappa^I \left[ -\rho^I (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I) \sigma^I + \gamma^I (-2e^{2\Lambda} \lambda^I + 4\sigma^I) - 4\tau^I (-r(\sigma^I)' + 2\sigma^I(1 + r\Lambda' - r\Phi') + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda(-1 + r\Phi')) \right] \right\}, \quad (D11)$$

$$N_R^I = 2M_2^I N^I + (N^I)^2 + 2r\sigma^I \tau^I (N^I)' + N^I \sigma^I [2\gamma^I + \rho^I \sigma^I - 2(\tau^I + r(\tau^I)')], \quad (D12)$$

$$N_Z^I = 2rN^I \tau^I (M_2^I)' - M_2^I (N^I \rho^I \sigma^I + 2r\tau^I (N^I)') + M_1^I N^I (\gamma^I \sigma^I + r\tau^I (\sigma^I)'). \quad (D13)$$



and the parameters in Eq.(43) are given by

$$N_A^I = -2r\tau^I (N^I)' + N^I \left( -2\gamma^I - \rho^I \sigma^I + 4\tau^I + 8r\tau^I \Lambda' + 2r(\tau^I)' - 4r\tau^I \Phi' \right), \quad (D14)$$

$$N_D^I = -N_T^I + 2\tau^I N^I (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - 2\sigma^I) (\sigma^I - \kappa^I) (1 + 2r\Lambda'), \quad (D15)$$

$$N_F^I = (N^I)^2 - 2r(e^{2\Lambda} \lambda - \sigma^I) \tau^I (N^I)' + N^I [\rho^I \sigma^I (-e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + \sigma^I) + \gamma^I (-2e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 2\sigma^I) + 4e^{2\Lambda} \lambda \tau^I - 4\sigma^I \tau^I + 8e^{2\Lambda} r \lambda \tau^I \Lambda' - 4r\sigma^I \tau^I \Lambda' - 2r\tau^I (\sigma^I)' + 2e^{2\Lambda} r \lambda (\tau^I)' - 2r\sigma^I (\tau^I)'] , \quad (D16)$$

$$N_{HK}^I = (N^I)^2 + 2r\tau^I (\sigma^I - \kappa^I) (N^I)' + N^I \left\{ 2\gamma^I \sigma^I + \rho^I (\sigma^I)^2 - 4\sigma^I \tau^I + 2r\tau^I (\kappa^I)' - 4r\sigma^I \tau^I \Lambda' + \kappa^I [-2\gamma^I - \rho^I \sigma^I + 4\tau^I (1 + r\Lambda')] - 2r\tau^I (\sigma^I)' \right\}. \quad (D17)$$

$l \geq 2$ , **even-parity, RW gauge:**

The parameters  $\tau^{\text{II}}$ ,  $\sigma^{\text{II}}$ ,  $\kappa^{\text{II}}$ ,  $\rho^{\text{II}}$ ,  $\mu^{\text{II}}$  and  $\nu^{\text{II}}$  in Eqs.(50) and (51) have the following form:

$$\tau^{\text{II}} = \sigma^{\text{II}} + 2r\Phi' - 2, \quad (D18)$$

$$\sigma^{\text{II}} = 2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 4r\Phi' - 4r\Lambda' + 2r^2 \Phi'^2 - 2r^2 \Lambda' \Phi' + 2r^2 \Phi'', \quad (D19)$$

$$\kappa^{\text{II}} = -e^{2\Lambda} \lambda (e^{2\Lambda} \lambda + 2r\Phi' - 2) + 4(1 - e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Phi' - 2r\Lambda' + r^2 \Phi'^2 - r^2 \Lambda' \Phi' + r^2 \Phi'')^2, \quad (D20)$$

$$\rho^{\text{II}} = 4r\Lambda' - 4r\Phi' + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2)r\Lambda' - 2r^2 (2\Lambda'^2 - 5\Lambda' \Phi' + \Phi'^2 + \Phi'') + 2r^3 \Lambda' (\Phi'^2 + \Phi'' - \Lambda' \Phi'), \quad (D21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\text{II}} = & e^{2\Lambda} [2 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2)] (\lambda - 2) - 4r\Lambda' [1 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2)] + 2r\Phi' [4 + 3e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2)] \\ & + r^2 \left[ 6\Phi'^2 - 4\Lambda' \Phi' + 2\Phi'' - e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2) (2\Lambda'^2 + \Lambda' \Phi' - 2\Phi'^2 - \Lambda'' - 2\Phi'') \right] \\ & + 2r^3 [4\Lambda'^3 - 8\Lambda'^2 \Phi' + \Lambda' (\Phi'^2 - 2\Lambda'') + \Phi' (\Phi'^2 + 3\Lambda'' + \Phi'')] \\ & + 2r^4 (2\Lambda'^2 - \Lambda' \Phi' - \Lambda'') (\Lambda' \Phi' - \Phi'^2 - \Phi''), \end{aligned} \quad (D22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{\text{II}} = & e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2) - 4r\Lambda' [1 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2)] + r\Phi' [8 + e^{2\Lambda} (\lambda - 2)] \\ & + 2r^2 [8\Lambda'^2 - 15\Lambda' \Phi' + 3\Phi'^2 + \Phi''] + 2r^3 (4\Lambda' - \Phi') (\Lambda' \Phi' - \Phi'^2 - \Phi''). \end{aligned} \quad (D23)$$

The parameters in the master equation Eq.(53) and the source term Eq.(54) are functions of background function  $\Psi(r)$  and  $\Lambda(r)$ . Similarly, to simplify the expressions of  $N_T^{\text{II}}$ ,  $N_R^{\text{II}}$  and  $N_Z^{\text{II}}$ , two auxiliary parameters  $M_1^{\text{II}}$  and  $M_2^{\text{II}}$  are introduced as

$$M_1^{\text{II}} = 2\nu^{\text{II}} + \sigma^{\text{II}} (\sigma^{\text{II}} - 2), \quad (D24)$$

$$M_2^{\text{II}} = 2\mu^{\text{II}} - \sigma^{\text{II}} \rho^{\text{II}} - r\tau^{\text{II}} (\sigma^{\text{II}})', \quad (D25)$$

Then the parameters in Eq.(53) are given by

$$N^{\text{II}} = -4\mu^{\text{II}} + 2\sigma^{\text{II}} \rho^{\text{II}} + 2\sigma^{\text{II}} \nu^{\text{II}} - 2(\sigma^{\text{II}})^2 + (\sigma^{\text{II}})^3 + 2r\tau^{\text{II}} \cdot (\sigma^{\text{II}})', \quad (D26)$$

$$N_T^{\text{II}} = \frac{e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi} (\sigma^{\text{II}} M_1^{\text{II}} - 2M_2^{\text{II}})}{(\tau^{\text{II}})^2 [\sigma^{\text{II}} - 2r(\Lambda' + \Phi')]}, \quad (D27)$$

$$N_R^{\text{II}} = - (N^{\text{II}})^2 - 2r\tau^{\text{II}} \sigma^{\text{II}} \cdot (N^{\text{II}})' + N^{\text{II}} \left[ -4\mu^{\text{II}} + M_1^{\text{II}} \sigma^{\text{II}} + 2(\nu^{\text{II}} \sigma^{\text{II}} + r\tau^{\text{II}} (\sigma^{\text{II}})' + \tau^{\text{II}} \sigma^{\text{II}} + r\sigma^{\text{II}} (\tau^{\text{II}})') \right], \quad (D28)$$

$$N_Z^{\text{II}} = N^{\text{II}} (2M_2^{\text{II}} \nu^{\text{II}} + r\tau^{\text{II}} \sigma^{\text{II}} \cdot (M_1^{\text{II}})') + M_1^{\text{II}} \left[ -r\tau^{\text{II}} \sigma^{\text{II}} (N^{\text{II}})' + N^{\text{II}} (-2\mu^{\text{II}} + r\tau^{\text{II}} \cdot (\sigma^{\text{II}})') \right]. \quad (D29)$$

and the parameters in Eq.(54) are given by

$$N_A^{\text{II}} = - (N^{\text{II}})^2 - 2r\sigma^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}} \cdot (N^{\text{II}})' + 2N^{\text{II}} \left( -2\mu^{\text{II}} + \nu^{\text{II}}\sigma^{\text{II}} + r\tau^{\text{II}} (\sigma^{\text{II}})' \right) + 2N^{\text{II}}\sigma^{\text{II}} \left( r (\tau^{\text{II}})' + 2\tau^{\text{II}} + 4r\Lambda'\tau^{\text{II}} - 2r\Phi'\tau^{\text{II}} \right), \quad (\text{D30})$$

$$N_F^{\text{II}} = - (N^{\text{II}})^2 \kappa^{\text{II}} + 2N^{\text{II}} [\kappa^{\text{II}} + (e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2)\sigma^{\text{II}}] [-2\mu^{\text{II}} + \sigma^{\text{II}}(\nu^{\text{II}} + 2\tau^{\text{II}})] - 2r\kappa^{\text{II}}\sigma^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}} \cdot (N^{\text{II}})' + 2r \left[ N^{\text{II}}\kappa^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}} (\sigma^{\text{II}})' + N^{\text{II}}\sigma^{\text{II}}\tau^{\text{II}} \left( (\kappa^{\text{II}})' + 2\kappa^{\text{II}}\Lambda' + 2e^{2\Lambda}\lambda (\sigma^{\text{II}})' - 4 (\sigma^{\text{II}})' + 4r\Phi' (\sigma^{\text{II}})' \right) - 4N^{\text{II}}\sigma^{\text{II}}\mu^{\text{II}}\Phi' \right] + 2r (\sigma^{\text{II}})^2 \left[ 2N^{\text{II}}\nu^{\text{II}}\Phi' - \tau^{\text{II}} (N^{\text{II}})' (e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 2) + 4\tau^{\text{II}}N^{\text{II}}\Lambda' (e^{2\Lambda}\lambda - 1) + 6\tau^{\text{II}}N^{\text{II}}\Phi' \right] + 4r^2 (\sigma^{\text{II}})^2 \tau^{\text{II}} \left( - (N^{\text{II}})' \Phi' + 2N^{\text{II}}\Lambda'\Phi' + N^{\text{II}}\Phi'' \right). \quad (\text{D31})$$

**$l \geq 2$ , odd-parity:**

The parameters of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the odd-parity master equation for  $l \geq 2$  are

$$X = 1 - 3e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 3r\Phi' + 3r^2\Phi'^2 - r\Lambda'(5 + 3r\Phi') + 3r^2\Phi'', \quad (\text{D32})$$

$$Y = 1 - 3e^{2\Lambda} + e^{2\Lambda}\lambda + 5r\Phi' + 3r^2\Phi'^2 - 3r\Lambda'(1 + r\Phi') + 3r^2\Phi''. \quad (\text{D33})$$

And the expression of parameters  $N_{\text{odd}}$  is given by

$$N_{\text{odd}} = 2r^2\Lambda'^2 + r\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda' + 4r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - 2 - 2r^2\Lambda'' - 2r^2\Phi'' - Y + \frac{rY'}{Y} (-1 + 2r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi'). \quad (\text{D34})$$

**$l = 0$ :**

In the case of  $l = 0$ , the parameters  $\mu_0$ ,  $\nu_0$  and  $\tau_0$  are given by

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} - 2r^2\Lambda'^2(2 + r\Phi') + 2r^2\Lambda'' - 2r^2\Phi'' + r^2\Lambda'(4\Phi' + 2r\Phi'^2 + 3r\Phi'') + r\Phi'(2 + r^2\Lambda'' - 2r^2\Phi'') - r^3\Phi'''}{r(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda'(2 + r\Phi') - r^2\Phi'')}, \quad (\text{D35})$$

$$\nu_0 = 2r^{-2}e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} \left\{ \Lambda'(-2 + 8r\Lambda') - 2(-1 + 4r\Lambda')\Phi' + (-1 + 4r\Lambda')(-4\Lambda' + 2\Phi') + 4(\Lambda' + r\Lambda'') + \frac{(-1 + 4r\Lambda') \left[ -2r\Phi'^2 + \Phi'(-2 + r^2\Lambda'' - 2r^2\Phi'') + \Lambda'(2 + 2e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Phi' + r^2\Phi'') + r(2\Lambda'' - 4\Phi'' - r\Phi''') \right]}{1 - e^{2\Lambda} + 2r\Phi' + r^2\Phi'^2 - r\Lambda'(2 + r\Phi') + r^2\Phi''} \right\}, \quad (\text{D36})$$

$$\tau_0 = \frac{2e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} \left[ 1 - e^{2\Lambda} - r^2\Phi'^2 + 2r^2\Lambda'^2(2 + r\Phi') + 2r^2\Lambda'' + r^3\Phi'(\Lambda'' - 2\Phi'') \right]}{r^2(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda'(2 + r\Phi') - r^2\Phi'')} + \frac{2e^{-4\Lambda+2\Phi} \left[ -3r^2\Phi'' - r\Lambda' \left( 2 - 4e^{2\Lambda} + 3r\Phi' + 2r^2\Phi'^2 + r^2\Phi'' \right) - r^3\Phi''' \right]}{r^2(-1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 2r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda'(2 + r\Phi') - r^2\Phi'')}, \quad (\text{D37})$$

$$\sigma_0 = 4r\Phi'^2 + 2r^2\Phi'^3 + 3r\Lambda'^2(2 + r\Phi') + \Lambda'(-6 + e^{2\Lambda} - 10r\Phi' - 5r^2\Phi'^2 - 4r^2\Phi'') + \Phi'(2 - 2e^{2\Lambda} - r^2\Lambda'' + 4r^2\Phi'') + r(-2\Lambda'' + 4\Phi'' + r\Phi'''), \quad (\text{D38})$$

$$\iota_0 = -1 + e^{2\Lambda} - r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda'(2 + r\Phi') - r^2\Phi''. \quad (\text{D39})$$

**$l = 1$ , odd-parity:**

In Eq.(89), the parameters  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  are given by

$$X_1 = -1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 5r\Phi' - 3r^2\Phi'^2 + 3r\Lambda'(1 + r\Phi') - 3r^2\Phi'', \quad (\text{D40})$$

$$Y_1 = -1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 3r\Phi' - 3r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda'(5 + 3r\Phi') - 3r^2\Phi'', \quad (\text{D41})$$

and  $N_1$  is given by

$$N_1 = X_1 + \frac{rX_1'}{X_1}(-3 + 2r\Lambda' + 2r\Phi') + r \left[ 2r\Lambda'^2 - 5\Phi' + 2r\Phi'^2 + \Lambda'(-5 + 4r\Phi') - 2r(\Lambda'' + \Phi'') \right]. \quad (\text{D42})$$

### Appendix E: The Degeneration Of Eq.(42)

The coefficient of the third order term in Eq.(42) is given by

$$\frac{2e^{2\Lambda-2\Phi}r}{e^{2\Lambda}\lambda-2\sigma}2r(\sigma-\kappa). \quad (\text{E1})$$

This coefficient would be vanish when the relation  $\sigma - \kappa = 0$  satisfied. From App. D we know that

$$\sigma - \kappa = -1 + e^{2\Lambda} - 3r\Phi' - r^2\Phi'^2 + r\Lambda' + r^2\Lambda'\Phi' - r^2\Phi''. \quad (\text{E2})$$

If the spherically symmetric spacetimes Eq.(1) becomes as a specific metric

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (\text{E3})$$

we find that now the scalar curvature  $R$  is parallel to  $\sigma - \kappa$ , which implies that the third order term of Eq.(42) would be vanish under the condition that  $R = 0$ .

Then considering that the metric of the background spacetime degenerate to Schwarzschild spacetime, i.e.

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (\text{E4})$$

where the Riemann curvature  $R_{ab}$  and the scalar curvature  $R$  would be vanish. The master variable and the master equation Eq.(42) would become as

$$Z = \frac{l(l+1)r}{r-2M}\chi - 2\psi, \quad (\text{E5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2r^3}{(r-2M)(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}Z - \frac{2r(r-2M)}{6M+r\tilde{\lambda}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}Z - \frac{4[6M^2+r^2\tilde{\lambda}+3(\tilde{\lambda}+4)Mr]}{(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}Z \\ & - \frac{2[24M^3+12(l^2+l-1)M^2r+2(4-l+2l^2+l^4)Mr^2+l(2+l-2l^2-l^3)r^3]}{(r-2M)r(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})^2}Z = S_Z. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E6})$$

with the source term

$$\begin{aligned} S_Z = & \frac{r^4[96M^2+2(7\tilde{\lambda}-18)Mr+\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}-2)r^2]}{2(r-2M)^3(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})^2}E_A - \frac{r^5}{(r-2M)^2(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E_A \\ & - \frac{r^5}{(r-2M)^2(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_D + \frac{l(l+1)r^3}{2(r-2M)^2}E_F - \frac{l(l+1)r^3}{2(r-2M)(6M+r\tilde{\lambda})}(2E_H+E_K). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E7})$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda - 2 = (l+2)(l-1)$ . It is obvious that the degeneration of our result is the same with the result in Schwarzschild spacetime [27].

- 
- [1] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, *Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity*, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).
  - [2] L. A. Edelman and C. V. Vishveshwara, *Differential Equations for Perturbations on the Schwarzschild Metric*, Phys. Rev. D, **1**, 12: 3514 (1970).
  - [3] F. J. Zerilli, *Effective potential for even parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 13: 737 (1970).
  - [4] F. J. Zerilli, *Gravitational field of a particle falling in a schwarzschild geometry analyzed in tensor harmonics*, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 10: 2141 p(1970).
  - [5] V. Moncrief, *Gravitational perturbations of spherically symmetric systems. I. The exterior problem*, Ann. Phys. **88**, 323 (1974).
  - [6] S. Chandrasekhar and S. L. Detweiler, *The quasi-normal modes of the Schwarzschild black hole*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **344**, 441 (1975).

- [7] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes* (Oxford University Press, 1992).
- [8] C. V. Vishveshwara, *Scattering of Gravitational Radiation by a Schwarzschild Black-hole*, Nature **227**, 936 (1970).
- [9] V. Ferrari and B. Mashhoon, *Oscillations of a Black Hole* Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 16: 1361 (1984).
- [10] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, *Quasi-Normal Modes of Stars and Black Holes* Living Rev. Rel. **2**, 2 (1999).
- [11] H. P. Nollert, Class. Quant. Grav. *Quasinormal modes: the characteristic ‘sound’ of black holes and neutron stars* **16**, R159 (1999).
- [12] V. Cardoso, *Quasinormal modes and gravitational radiation in black hole spacetimes* arXiv: gr-qc/0404093 (2004).
- [13] E. Berti, V. Cardoso and A. O. Starinets, *Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes* Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 163001 (2009).
- [14] P. Pani, *Advanced Methods in Black-hole Perturbation Theory* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 22n23, 1340018 (2013).
- [15] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Quasinormal modes of black holes: From astrophysics to string theory* Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 793 (2011).
- [16] M. Davis, R. Ruffini, W. H. Press and R. H. Price, *Gravitational Radiation from a Particle Falling Radially into a Schwarzschild Black Hole* Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 21, 1466 (1971).
- [17] K. Martel, *Gravitational waveforms from a point particle orbiting a Schwarzschild black hole* Phys. Rev. D **69**, 044025 (2004).
- [18] R. H. Price, *Nonspherical Perturbations of Relativistic Gravitational Collapse I. Scalar and Gravitational Perturbations* Phys. Rev. D **5**, 10: 2419 (1972).
- [19] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel and I. Rodnianski, *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations* Acta Math. **222**, 1 (2019).
- [20] K. Prabhu and R. M. Wald, *Canonical Energy and Hertz Potentials for Perturbations of Schwarzschild Spacetime* Class. Quant. Grav. **35**, 23, 235004 (2018).
- [21] V. Moncrief, *Gravitational perturbations of spherically symmetric systems. II. Perfect fluid interiors* Ann. Phys. **88**, 343 (1974).
- [22] U. H. Gerlach and U. K. Sengupta, *Gauge Invariant Perturbations On Most General Spherically Symmetric Space-times* Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2268-2272 (1979).
- [23] U. H. Gerlach and U. K. Sengupta, *Gauge Invariant Coupled Gravitational, Acoustical, And Electromagnetic Modes On Most General Spherical Space-times* Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1300-1312 (1980).
- [24] K. S. Thorne, *Multipole Expansions of Gravitational Radiation* Rev. Mod. Phys. **52**, 299 (1980).
- [25] K. Martel and E. Poisson, *Gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime: A Practical covariant and gauge-invariant formalism* Phys. Rev. D **71**, 104003 (2005).
- [26] B. Preston and E. Poisson, *Light-cone gauge for black-hole perturbation theory* Phys. Rev. D **74**, 064010 (2006).
- [27] J. E. Thompson, B. F. Whiting and H. Chen, *Gauge invariant perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime* Class. Quant. Grav. **34**, 174001 (2017).
- [28] S. L. Detweiler, *Consequence of the gravitational self-force for circular orbits of the Schwarzschild geometry* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 124026 (2008).
- [29] E. Corrigan and E. Poisson, *EZ gauge is singular at the event horizon* Class. Quant. Grav. **35**, 137001 (2018).
- [30] D. C. Zou and Y. S. Myung, *Black hole with primary scalar hair in Einstein-Weyl-Maxwell conformal scalar theory* Phys. Rev. D **101**, 084021 (2020).
- [31] L. Heisenberg, R. Kase and S. Tsujikawa, *Odd-parity stability of hairy black holes in  $U(1)$  gauge-invariant scalar-vector-tensor theories* Phys. Rev. D **97**, 124043 (2018).
- [32] K. Tomikawa and T. Kobayashi, *Perturbations and quasi-normal modes of black holes with time-dependent scalar hair in shift-symmetric scalar-tensor theories* Phys. Rev. D **103**, 084041 (2021).
- [33] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, *Effective one-body approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics* Phys. Rev. D **59**, 084006 (1999).
- [34] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, *Transition from inspiral to plunge in binary black hole coalescences* Phys. Rev. D **62**, 064015 (2000).
- [35] E. Poisson, A. Pound and I. Vega, *The Motion of point particles in curved spacetime* Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 7 (2011).
- [36] R. M. Wald, *General relativity* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).