

SPECIAL SLANT SURFACES WITH NON-CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE IN 2-DIMENSIONAL COMPLEX SPACE FORMS

TORU SASAHARA

ABSTRACT. In the late 1990s, B. Y. Chen introduced the notion of special slant surfaces in Kähler surfaces and classified non-minimal proper special slant surfaces with constant mean curvature in 2-dimensional complex space forms. In this paper, we completely classify proper special slant surfaces with non-constant mean curvature in 2-dimensional complex space forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

A surface in a Kähler surface is called a slant surface if its Wirtinger angle is constant. Holomorphic curves and Lagrangian surfaces are slant surfaces with constant Wirtinger angle 0 and $\pi/2$, respectively. A slant surface is said to be proper if it is neither a holomorphic curve nor a Lagrangian surface. There exist infinity many proper slant surfaces in 2-dimensional complex space forms (see for example [11, 12, 13]).

In [6], Chen established a pointwise inequality between the squared mean curvature and the Gaussian curvature for proper slant surfaces in 2-dimensional complex space forms. A proper slant surface satisfies the equality case of the inequality identically if and only if the shape operator takes a special form. As a generalization of such a surface, Chen introduced a new class of slant surfaces in Kähler surfaces, named special slant surfaces. This class also includes all slant minimal surfaces and slant pseudo-umbilical surfaces. Moreover, he [5] classified non-minimal proper special slant surfaces with constant mean curvature in 2-dimensional complex space forms.

In this paper, we completely classify proper special slant surfaces with non-constant mean curvature in 2-dimensional complex space forms.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be a surface of a Kähler surface \tilde{M}^2 with complex structure J . We denote by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connections on M and \tilde{M}^2 , and by h and A_ξ the second fundamental form and the shape operator with respect to ξ , respectively. The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi$$

for vector fields X, Y tangent to M and ξ normal to M . The second fundamental form h is related to the shape operator A by $\langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle$. The mean curvature vector field H is defined by $H = (1/2)\text{trace } h$. The function $|H|$ is called the *mean*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C42; Secondary: 53B25.

Key words and phrases. Special slant surfaces, Slant pseudo-umbilical surfaces, Complex space forms.

curvature. If it vanishes identically, then M is said to be *minimal*. In particular, if h vanishes identically, then M is said to be *totally geodesic*. A surface M is said to be *pseudo-umbilical* if $|H| \neq 0$ and $A_H = \mu I$ for some function μ on M , where I is the identify transformation.

We denote by R and \tilde{R} the Riemannian curvature tensors of M and \tilde{M} , respectively, and by R^D the curvature tensor of the normal connection D . We define the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}h$ of h by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

Then, the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci are given respectively by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle &= \langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle + \langle h(X, W), h(Y, Z) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad (\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \langle R^D(X, Y)\xi, \nu \rangle = \langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)\xi, \nu \rangle + \langle [A_\xi, A_\nu](X), Y \rangle$$

for vectors X, Y, Z, W tangent to M and ξ, ν normal to M , where $(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp$ denotes the normal component of $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z$.

At each point $p \in M$, the angle θ between JX and $T_p M$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$, is independent of the choice of non-zero vector $X \in T_p M$. The angle θ is called the *Wirtinger angle*. A surface is called a *slant surface* if its Wirtinger angle is constant on M (see [2]). The Wirtinger angle θ of a slant surface is called the *slant angle*. A slant surface with slant angle θ is called θ -*slant*. Holomorphic curves and Lagrangian surfaces are slant surfaces with slant angle 0 and $\pi/2$, respectively. A slant surface is said to be *proper* if $\theta \neq 0, \pi/2$.

For any vector X tangent to M , we put $JX = PX + FX$, where PX and FX denote the tangential and normal components of JX , respectively. If M is a proper slant surface, then for a given unit tangent vector field e_1 , we choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of M in \tilde{M}^2 such that

$$e_2 = (\sec \theta)Pe_1, \quad e_3 = (\csc \theta)Fe_1, \quad e_4 = (\csc \theta)Fe_2.$$

In the case when M is a Lagrangian surface, for a given local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2\}$ on M , we choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of M in \tilde{M}^2 such that $e_3 = Je_1$ and $e_4 = Je_2$. We call such orthonormal frames *adapted frames*.

Remark 2.1. The equation (2.3) of Ricci is a consequence of the equations (2.1) and (2.2) of Gauss and Codazzi for any slant surface with slant angle $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ in any Kähler surface (see [8], [11] and [12]).

Let $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ be a complex space form of complex dimension 2 and constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4ϵ . The curvature tensor \tilde{R} of $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \epsilon \{ \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y + \langle Z, JY \rangle JX \\ &\quad - \langle Z, JX \rangle JY + 2 \langle X, JY \rangle JZ \} \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y and Z of $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$. It is well-known that any 2-dimensional complete and simply connected complex space form is holomorphically isometric to complex Euclidean plane \mathbb{C}^2 , complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2(4\epsilon)$ or complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{C}H^2(4\epsilon)$, according as $\epsilon = 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ or $\epsilon < 0$.

In the next section, we recall the definition of special slant surfaces introduced by Chen [6] and some results on such slant surfaces in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$.

3. SPECIAL SLANT SURFACES

In [6], Chen proved that the squared mean curvature $|H|^2$ and the Gaussian curvature K of a proper θ -slant surface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ satisfy the following inequality:

$$(3.1) \quad |H|^2(p) \geq 2K(p) - 2(1 + 3 \cos^2 \theta)\epsilon$$

at each point $p \in M$. The equality case holds at p if and only if, with respect to some suitable adapted frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ at p , the shape operator of M at p takes the following form:

$$A_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{e_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, he proved that a non-totally geodesic proper slant surface attaining equality of the equality (3.1) identically exists only in $\mathbb{C}H^2(4\epsilon)$ and satisfies $K \equiv 2\epsilon/3$ and $\theta = \cos^{-1}(1/3)$. In [9], the explicit representation of such a surface was given. This surface is named Chen's surface in [15]. A proper slant surface in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ has non-zero parallel mean curvature and constant Gaussian curvature if and only if it is locally congruent to Chen's surface (see [14, 15, 16]).

Remark 3.1. Every Lagrangian surface in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ satisfies the inequality (3.1) with $\theta = \pi/2$ (see [3]). Chen and Vrancken [10] obtained the explicit representation of Lagrangian surfaces satisfying equality of the equality identically.

A slant surface with slant angle $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ in a Kähler surface is called *special slant* if, with respect to some suitable adapted frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, the shape operators of M take the following special form:

$$(3.2) \quad A_{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} c\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{e_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some constant c and some function λ (see [5, 6]).

Every slant minimal surface with slant angle $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ in a Kähler surface is a special slant surface satisfying (3.2) with $c = -1$ (see [4, Lemma 3.1] and [6, Proposition 3]).

Special slant surfaces satisfying (3.2) with $c = 1$ are pseudo-umbilical, simply called *slumbilical surfaces* (see [7]). Slumbilical surfaces in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ have been classified in [7]. In particular, we have

Theorem 3.1 ([7]). *Let M be a proper θ -slant surface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ with non-vanishing mean curvature. If M is a slumbilical surface, then $\epsilon = 0$ and one of the following two cases occurs:*

(1) *M is an open portion of the Euclidean 2-plane equipped with the flat metric*

$$g = e^{-2y \cot \theta} \{dx^2 + (ax + b)^2 dy^2\}$$

for some real numbers a, b with $a \neq 0$. Moreover, up to rigid motions of \mathbb{C}^2 , the immersion is given by

$$z(x, y) = \frac{(ax + b)^{1+ia^{-1} \csc \theta}}{a + i \csc \theta} e^{-y \cot \theta} \left(\cos(\sqrt{1+a^2}y) + i \frac{a \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} \sin(\sqrt{1+a^2}y), \frac{a \sin \theta + i}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} \sin(\sqrt{1+a^2}y) \right);$$

(2) M is an open portion of the Euclidean 2-plane equipped with the flat metric

$$g = e^{-2y \cot \theta} (dx^2 + b^2 dy^2)$$

for some positive number b . Moreover, up to rigid motions of \mathbb{C}^2 , the immersion is given by

$$z(x, y) = b \sin \theta \exp\{ib^{-1}x \csc \theta - y \cot \theta\} (\cos y, \sin y).$$

Remark 3.2. Slumbilical surfaces described in (1) (resp. (2)) of Theorem 3.1 satisfy (3.2) with $c = 1$ and $\lambda = e^{y \cot \theta}/(ax + b)$ (resp. $\lambda = e^{y \cot \theta}/b$) with respect to an adapted frame such that $e_1 = e^{y \cot \theta} \partial/\partial x$ and $e_2 = \lambda \partial/\partial y$ (cf. Case (β -b) in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [7]). In particular, both families of surfaces have non-constant mean curvature.

For each $c \in (2, 5)$, there exists a special slant immersion

$$\phi_c : M\left(\frac{4(c-2)}{3(c-1)}\epsilon\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}H^2(4\epsilon)$$

of a simply-connected surface with constant Gaussian curvature $\frac{4(c-2)}{3(c-1)}\epsilon$ into $\mathbb{C}H^2(4\epsilon)$ with slant angle $\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{(5-c)(c-2)}{c-1}}\right)$, which satisfies (3.2) with $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{(c-5)\epsilon}{3(c-1)}}$, with respect to some adapted frame (see [5, Theorem 1]). The explicit representation of ϕ_c was obtained in [9, Theorem 5.1]. A non-totally geodesic proper slant surface satisfies equality of the equality (3.1) identically if and only if it is locally congruent to ϕ_3 .

Let U be a simply-connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 and $E = E(x, y)$ a positive function on U satisfying the following conditions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y} \right) \right) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial y} \neq 0.$$

For a given $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $\epsilon < 0$, we put $G = (\sec \theta)E_y/(2\sqrt{-\epsilon}E)$, $E_y = \partial E/\partial y$. Denote by $M(\theta, \epsilon, E)$ the surface U with metric tensor

$$g = E^2 dx^2 + G^2 dy^2.$$

Then, $M(\theta, \epsilon, E)$ has constant Gaussian curvature $K = 4\epsilon \cos^2 \theta$. There exists a special θ -slant immersion

$$\psi_{\theta, \epsilon, E} : M(\theta, \epsilon, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}H^2(4\epsilon),$$

which satisfies (3.2) with $c = 2$ and $\lambda = -\sqrt{-\epsilon} \sin \theta$, with respect to the adapted frame with $e_1 = E^{-1} \partial/\partial x$, $e_2 = G^{-1} \partial/\partial y$ (see [5, Theorem 2]).

The explicit representation of $\psi_{\theta, -1, y}$ was given in Proposition 6.1 of [9]. Two slant immersions $\psi_{\theta, -1, (x+y)^{-2}}$ and $\psi_{\theta, -1, y}$ are not congruent to each other in $\mathbb{C}H^2(-4)$. Therefore, up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}H^2(-4)$, for each $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ there exist more

than one special θ -slant immersions of a surface with constant Gaussian curvature $-4 \cos^2 \theta$ into $\mathbb{C}H^2(-4)$ satisfying (3.2) (see Remark 6.1 and Corollary 6.2 in [9]).

For special slant surfaces with satisfying (3.2) with $c = 2$ in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$, we have

Theorem 3.2 ([5]). *Let M be a non-minimal proper θ -slant surface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$. If M is a special slant surface satisfying (3.2) with $c = 2$, then $\epsilon < 0$ and M is isometric to $M(\theta, \epsilon, E)$. Moreover, up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}H^2(4\epsilon)$, the immersion is given by $\psi_{\theta, \epsilon, E}$.*

Chen [5] proved that ϕ_c and $\psi_{\theta, \epsilon, E}$ are the only non-minimal proper special slant surfaces in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ with constant mean curvature. The next section solves the classification problem for the case of non-constant mean curvature.

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

The main result of this paper is the following classification theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a proper θ -slant surface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ with $\nabla|H|^2 \neq 0$ everywhere. If M is a special slant surface, then M is a slumbilical surface in \mathbb{C}^2 , which is locally congruent to one of the following:*

(1)

$$z(x, y) = \frac{(ax + b)^{1+ia^{-1} \csc \theta}}{a + i \csc \theta} e^{-y \cot \theta} \left(\cos(\sqrt{1+a^2}y) + i \frac{a \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} \sin(\sqrt{1+a^2}y), \frac{a \sin \theta + i}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} \sin(\sqrt{1+a^2}y) \right)$$

for some real numbers a, b with $a \neq 0$;

(2)

$$z(x, y) = b \sin \theta \exp\{ib^{-1}x \csc \theta - y \cot \theta\}(\cos y, \sin y)$$

for some positive number b .

Proof. Let M be a proper special slant surface in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ satisfying (3.2) with respect to some suitable adapted frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. Then, we have

$$(4.1) \quad h(e_1, e_1) = c\lambda e_3, \quad h(e_1, e_2) = \lambda e_4, \quad h(e_2, e_2) = \lambda e_3.$$

Assume that $\nabla|H|^2 \neq 0$ everywhere. Then, $c \neq -1$, λ is not constant and nowhere zero. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $c \neq -2$.

We put $p = \langle \nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_2 \rangle$ and $q = \langle \nabla_{e_2} e_1, e_2 \rangle$. Then, by Lemma 4 of [6], which is a consequence of the equation (2.2) of Codazzi and Lemma 4.1 of [2, p.29], we have

$$(4.2) \quad e_1 \lambda = (c - 2)\lambda q,$$

$$(4.3) \quad e_2 \lambda = \lambda p + \frac{6\epsilon}{c+1} \sin \theta \cos \theta,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda p = \frac{(c+1)\lambda^2 \cot \theta}{2} - \frac{3(c-1)}{2(c+1)} \epsilon \sin \theta \cos \theta.$$

It follows from (2.4), (4.1) and the equation (2.1) of Gauss for $\langle R(e_1, e_2)e_2, e_1 \rangle$ that

$$(4.5) \quad -p^2 - q^2 - e_1 q + e_2 p = (c-1)\lambda^2 + (1 + 3 \cos^2 \theta)\epsilon.$$

Differentiating (4.4) along e_i ($i = 1, 2$) and using (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad e_1 p = (c-2)\{(c+1)\lambda \cot \theta - p\}q,$$

$$(4.7) \quad e_2 p = -p^2 + (c+1)\lambda p \cot \theta - \frac{6\epsilon p}{(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta + 6\epsilon \cos^2 \theta.$$

Substituting (4.7) into (4.5) yields

$$(4.8) \quad e_1 q = -(c-1)\lambda^2 - \epsilon - 2p^2 - q^2 + (c+1)\lambda p \cot \theta - \frac{6\epsilon p}{(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta + 3\epsilon \cos^2 \theta.$$

Every function f satisfies the condition $[e_1, e_2]f - (\nabla_{e_1} e_2 - \nabla_{e_2} e_1)f = 0$, which can be written as

$$(4.9) \quad e_1(e_2 f) - e_2(e_1 f) + p e_1 f + q e_2 f = 0.$$

We apply (4.9) for λ . Using (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$(4.10) \quad e_1(e_2 \lambda) - e_2(e_1 \lambda) = (e_1 \lambda)p + \lambda e_1 p - (c-2)\{(e_2 \lambda)q + \lambda e_2 q\}.$$

From (4.9) with $f = \lambda$ and (4.10), it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad 2p e_1 \lambda + \lambda e_1 p + (3-c)q e_2 \lambda - (c-2)\lambda e_2 q = 0.$$

Substituting (4.2), (4.3) and (4.6) into (4.11) gives

$$(4.12) \quad e_2 q = q \left(\frac{p}{c-2} + (c+1)\lambda \cot \theta + \frac{6(3-c)\epsilon}{(c-2)(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right).$$

Case (I): $q = 0$ on an open subset \mathcal{U} of M . In this case, substituting (4.4) into (4.8), and multiplying the resulting equation by the least common denominator $2(c+1)^2 \lambda^2$, we obtain the following polynomial equation in λ :

$$2(c-1)(c+1)^2 \lambda^4 - \epsilon(c+1)^2 \{3(c-1) \cos^2 \theta - 2\} \lambda^2 + 9\epsilon^2(c-3)(c-1) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta = 0.$$

Since λ is not constant, all coefficients must vanish. Therefore, taking into account $c \neq -1$, we must have $c = 1$. Applying Theorem 3.1 shows $\epsilon = 0$. It follows from $q = 0$ and (4.2) that $e_1 \lambda = 0$. Thus, Remark 3.2 implies that \mathcal{U} is locally congruent to (2).

Case (II): $q \neq 0$ on an open subset \mathcal{W} of M . In this case, we compute (4.9) with $f = q$, that is,

$$(4.13) \quad e_1(e_2 q) - e_2(e_1 q) + p e_1 q + q e_2 q = 0.$$

Differentiating (4.8) and (4.12) along e_2 and e_1 respectively, we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} e_2(e_1 q) &= \left\{ -2(c-1)\lambda + p(c+1) \cot \theta + \frac{6\epsilon p}{(c+1)\lambda^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_2 \lambda \\ &\quad - \left\{ 4p - (c+1)\lambda \cot \theta + \frac{6\epsilon}{(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_2 p - 2q e_2 q, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} e_1(e_2 q) &= \left\{ \frac{p}{c-2} + (c+1)\lambda \cot \theta + \frac{6(3-c)\epsilon}{(c-2)(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_1 q \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{c-2} e_1 p + q \left\{ (c+1) \cot \theta - \frac{6(3-c)\epsilon}{(c-2)(c+1)\lambda^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_1 \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.14) and (4.15) into (4.13) shows

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.16) \quad & \frac{q}{c-2}e_1p + \left\{ 4p - (c+1)\lambda \cot \theta + \frac{6\epsilon}{(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_2p \\
& + \left\{ \frac{(c-1)p}{c-2} + (c+1)\lambda \cot \theta + \frac{6(3-c)\epsilon}{(c-2)(c+1)\lambda} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_1q + 3qe_2q \\
& + q \left\{ (c+1) \cot \theta - \frac{6(3-c)\epsilon}{(c-2)(c+1)\lambda^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_1\lambda \\
& + \left\{ 2(c-1)\lambda - (c+1)p \cot \theta - \frac{6\epsilon p}{(c+1)\lambda^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right\} e_2\lambda = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.2), (4.3), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.12) into (4.16) and multiplying the resulting equation by the least common denominator $(c-2)(c+1)^2\lambda^2$, we find

$$(4.17) \quad \alpha_1(\lambda)p^3 + \alpha_2(\lambda)p^2 + \alpha_3(\lambda)p + \alpha_4(\lambda) = 0,$$

where α_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are polynomials in λ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= 2(c+1)^2(3c-5)\lambda^2, \\
\alpha_2 &= -(c+1)^3(3c-5) \cot \theta \lambda^3 + 6\epsilon(c+1)(5c-7) \sin \theta \cos \theta \lambda, \\
\alpha_3 &= -(c-3)(c-1)(c+1)^2\lambda^4 \\
& \quad + \{(c+1)^2[\epsilon(c-1) + 2q^2(c-3)] - 3\epsilon(c+1)^2(7c-11) \cos^2 \theta\} \lambda^2 \\
& \quad + 36\epsilon^2(c-1) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta, \\
\alpha_4 &= (c-2)(c-1)(c+1)^3 \cot \theta \lambda^5 \\
& \quad + \{(c-2)(c+1)^3[\epsilon - q^2(c+1)] \cot \theta \\
& \quad + 3\epsilon(c-2)(c+1)^3 \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta - 6\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)(3c-7) \sin \theta \cos \theta\} \lambda^3 \\
& \quad - \{6\epsilon(c-3)(c+1)[\epsilon + q^2(c-4)] \sin \theta \cos \theta + 18\epsilon^2(c+1)(c-1) \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta\} \lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where all coefficients are expressed as

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_{m,n \geq 1} Q_{m,n}^i(c) \sin^m \theta \cos^n \theta + \sum_{m,n \geq 0} R_{m,n}^i(c) \cos^m \theta \cot^n \theta$$

for some polynomials $Q_{m,n}^i(c)$ and $R_{m,n}^i(c)$ in c .

In the rest of this paper, we will express the coefficients of polynomials in λ in the form (4.18).

Substituting (4.4) into (4.17) and multiplying the resulting equation by the least common denominator $4(c+1)\lambda$, we derive

$$(4.19) \quad \beta_1(\lambda)q^2 + \beta_2(\lambda) = 0,$$

where β_i ($i = 1, 2$) are polynomials in λ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= 4(c-1)^2(c+1)^4 \cot \theta \lambda^4 + 36\epsilon(c-3)^2(c+1)^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \lambda^2, \\ \beta_2 &= -2(c-1)^2(c+1)^4 \cot \theta \lambda^6 \\ &\quad - \{2\epsilon(c+1)^4(3c-5) \cot \theta - 3\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)^4(3c-5) \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \\ &\quad + 6\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)^2(c^2 - 16c + 31) \sin \theta \cos \theta\} \lambda^4 \\ &\quad + \{6\epsilon^2(c+1)^2(c^2 + 2c - 11) \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ &\quad - 18\epsilon^2(c-1)^2(c+1)^2(3c-8) \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta\} \lambda^2 \\ &\quad + 81\epsilon^3(c-3)^2(c-1)^2 \sin^3 \theta \cos^3 \theta.\end{aligned}$$

We differentiate (4.19) along e_1 and use (4.2) and (4.8). Then, dividing the left-hand side of the resulting equation by the greatest common factor $4(c+1)\lambda q$, we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad \kappa_1(\lambda)p^2 + \kappa_2(\lambda)p + \kappa_3(\lambda) = 0,$$

where κ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are polynomials in λ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 &= 4(c-1)^2(c+1)^3 \cot \theta \lambda^3 + 36\epsilon(c-3)^2(c+1) \sin \theta \cos \theta \lambda, \\ \kappa_2 &= -2(c-1)^2(c+1)^4 \cot^2 \theta \lambda^4 - 6\epsilon(c+1)^2(c^2 - 14c + 25) \cos^2 \theta \lambda^2 \\ &\quad + 108\epsilon^2(c-3)^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta, \\ \kappa_3 &= (c-1)^2(c+1)^3(5c-8) \cot \theta \lambda^5 \\ &\quad + \{2(c+1)^3[\epsilon(4c^2 - 13c + 11) - q^2(c-1)^2(2c-5)] \cot \theta \\ &\quad - 3\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)^3(3c^2 - 7c + 6) \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \\ &\quad + 6\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)(c^3 - 15c^2 + 45c - 35) \sin \theta \cos \theta\} \lambda^3 \\ &\quad - \{3\epsilon(c+1)[\epsilon(c^3 - 6c^2 + 21c - 32) + 6q^2(c-3)^3] \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ &\quad + 9\epsilon^2(c+1)(3c^4 - 20c^3 + 35c^2 + 26c - 92) \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta\} \lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.4) into (4.20) and multiplying the resulting equation by the least common denominator $(c+1)\lambda$, we get

$$(4.21) \quad \tau_1(\lambda)q^2 + \tau_2(\lambda) = 0,$$

where τ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are polynomials in λ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= 2(c-1)^2(c+1)^4(2c-5) \cot \theta \lambda^4 + 18\epsilon(c-3)^3(c+1)^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \lambda^2, \\ \tau_2 &= -(c-1)^2(c+1)^4(5c-8) \cot \theta \lambda^6 \\ &\quad - \{2\epsilon(c+1)^4(4c^2 - 13c + 11) \cot \theta - 3\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)^4(4c^2 - 11c + 9) \cos^2 \theta \cot \theta \\ &\quad + 6\epsilon(c-1)(c+1)^2(c^3 - 15c^2 + 45c - 35) \sin \theta \cos \theta\} \lambda^4 \\ &\quad + \{3\epsilon^2(c+1)^2(c^3 - 6c^2 + 21c - 32) \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ &\quad - 9\epsilon^2(c+1)^2(4c^4 - 29c^3 + 74c^2 - 65c - 8) \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta\} \lambda^2 \\ &\quad - 81\epsilon^3(c-3)^3(c-1) \sin^3 \theta \cos^3 \theta.\end{aligned}$$

Eliminating q^2 from (4.19) and (4.21) yields

$$\beta_1\tau_2 - \beta_2\tau_1 = 0,$$

which can be written as the following polynomial equation in λ :

$$12(c-1)^5(c+1)^8 \cot^2 \theta \lambda^{10} + \epsilon \sum_{m=1}^4 \psi_m(c) \lambda^{2m} = 0,$$

where each $\psi_m(c)$ is a polynomial in c . Since λ is not constant, all coefficients must vanish. Hence, taking into account $c \neq -1$, we must have $c = 1$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we get $\epsilon = 0$. It follows from $q \neq 0$, $c \neq 2$ and (4.2) that $e_1 \lambda \neq 0$. Therefore, from Remark 3.2 we deduce that \mathcal{W} is locally congruent to (1). ■

Combining Theorem 4.1, Corollary 3.2 of [9] and Theorem 5 of [5], we have

Corollary 4.1. *Let M be a non-totally geodesic proper slant surface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$. If M is a special slant surface satisfying (3.2), then either*

- (1) $\epsilon = 0$ and $c \in \{-1, 1\}$, or
- (2) $\epsilon < 0$ and $2 \leq c < 5$.

5. FINAL REMARKS

Remark 5.1. In contrast to Corollary 4.1, for any given constants ϵ and c , there exists a Lagrangian surface in $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ satisfying (3.2) for some non-zero function λ with respect to some adapted frame (see Theorem 6.1 of [4]).

Remark 5.2. Let M be a surface in a Riemannian 4-manifold. We consider an arbitrary orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of $T_p M$ and denote $h_{ij} = h(e_i, e_j)$. Then, for $X = \cos \theta e_1 + \sin \theta e_2$ we have

$$h(X, X) = H + \cos 2\theta \frac{h_{11} - h_{22}}{2} + \sin 2\theta h_{12}.$$

This implies that when X goes once around the unit tangent circle, $h(X, X)$ goes twice around the ellipse centered at H , which is called the *curvature ellipse*. The ellipse can degenerate into a line segment or a point.

For a non-minimal special slant surface satisfying (3.2) in a Kähler surface, we have

- (1) $c = 3$ if and only if the curvature ellipse is a circle at any point (see [1] for Lagrangian surfaces in complex space forms).

- (2) $c = 1$ if and only if the curvature ellipse degenerates into a line segment at any point.

- (3) If the ambient space is a complex space form and $c \neq 1$, then the semi-major axis and the semi-minor axis of the curvature ellipse are both positive constant.

Remark 5.3. Theorem 6 in [6] states that a proper slant surface in the complex Euclidean plane \mathbb{C}^2 is special slant if and only if it is a slant minimal surface. However, it is incorrect. In fact, special slant surfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 described in Theorem 4.1 are non-minimal surfaces. In the proof of Theorem 6 in [6], the case $c = 1$ is missing. If $c = 1$, then the solution λ of (4.34) in [6] is given by

$$\lambda = f(x) e^{\cot \theta \int \phi(y) dy}$$

for some function $f(x)$. If $c \neq 1$, then λ is given by (4.35) in [6], which leads to a contradiction. Hence, Theorem 6 in [6] should be corrected as follows:

A proper slant surface in the complex Euclidean plane \mathbb{C}^2 is special slant if and only if it is either a slant minimal surface or a slumbilical surface.

Remark 5.4. In the proof of Theorem 4.1, the condition (4.13) for q plays an important role. On the contrary, (4.9) for $f = p$ does not provide any new information. In fact, using (4.2), (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7), we can check that (4.9) for $f = p$ holds identically.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Castro, *Lagrangian surfaces with circular ellipse of curvature in complex space forms*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136** (2004), 239-245.
- [2] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of Slant Submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, 1990.
- [3] B. Y. Chen, *Jacobi's elliptic functions and Lagrangian immersions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sec. A **126** (1996), 687-704.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *Intrinsic and extrinsic structures of Lagrangian surfaces in complex space forms*, Tsukuba J. Math. **22** (1998), 657-680.
- [5] B. Y. Chen, *Special slant surfaces and a basic inequality*, Result. Math. **33** (1998), 63-78.
- [6] B. Y. Chen, *On slant surfaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **3** (1999), 163-179.
- [7] B. Y. Chen, *Classification of slumbilical submanifolds in complex space forms*, Osaka J. Math. **39** (2002), 23-47.
- [8] B. Y. Chen, *On purely real surfaces in Kaehler surfaces*, Turk. J. Math. **34** (2010), 275-292.
- [9] B. Y. Chen and Y. Tazawa, *Slant submanifolds of complex projective and complex hyperbolic spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. **42** (2000), 439-454.
- [10] B. Y. Chen and L. Vrancken, *Lagrangian submanifolds satisfying a basic equality*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **120** (1996), 291-307.
- [11] B. Y. Chen and L. Vrancken, *Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for slant immersions and applications*, Result. Math. **31** (1997), 28-39.
- [12] B. Y. Chen and L. Vrancken, *Addendum to: Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for slant immersions and applications*, Result. Math. **39** (2001), 18-22.
- [13] B. Y. Chen and L. Vrancken, *Slant surfaces with prescribed Gaussian curvature*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. **7** (2002), 29-36.
- [14] S. Hirakawa, *Constant Gaussian curvature surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in two-dimensional complex space forms*, Geom. Dedic. **118** (2006), 229-244.
- [15] K. Kenmotsu, *Classification of surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in two-dimensional complex space forms*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), 295-317.
- [16] K. Kenmotsu, *Complete parallel mean curvature surfaces in two-dimensional complex space forms*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **49** (2018), 775-788.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, CENTER FOR LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES, HACHINOHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HACHINOHE, AOMORI, 031-8501, JAPAN

Email address: sasahara@hi-tech.ac.jp