

# Abelian symmetry and the Palatini variation

James T. Wheeler\*

January 5, 2022

## Abstract

Independent variation of the metric and connection in the Einstein-Hilbert action, called the Palatini variation, is generally taken to be equivalent to the usual formulation of general relativity in which only the metric is varied. However, when an abelian symmetry is allowed for the connection, the Palatini variation leads to an integrable Weyl geometry, not Riemannian. We derive this result using two possible metric/connection pairs: (1) the metric and general coordinate invariant connection and (2) the solder form and local Lorentz spin connection of Poincaré gauge theory. Both lead to the same conclusion. Finally, we show how our result follows from the vector field arising in Einstein's original development of the Palatini variation, and how standard references avoid or overlook abelian symmetries.

## 1 The Palatini variation

General relativity describes spacetimes,  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Riemannian manifold and  $g$  is a Lorentzian metric. The field equation follows by metric variation of the action functional

$$S_{GR}[g] = \int R\sqrt{-g}d^4x$$

where  $R$  is the scalar curvature computed from the metric compatible Christoffel connection. Sources are included by adding the action for any generally coordinate invariant matter action to  $S_{GR}$ ,

$$S = S_{GR} + S_{Matter}$$

The beginning of an alternative variation dates back to a 1919 paper by Palatini [1] and was brought to its current formulation by Einstein [2] (see [4] for the interesting history leading Einstein to the connection variation, and the Appendix below for Einstein's calculation). The new formulation shows that the assumption of the metric compatible connection could be replaced by varying the metric and connection independently, using the action,

$$S_P[g, \hat{\Gamma}] = \int \hat{R}\sqrt{-g}d^4x \quad (1)$$

Here  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is any symmetric connection, that is, in coordinates  $\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\nu\mu}$ . This symmetry condition is preserved by changes of coordinates because the inhomogeneous term from a general coordinate transformation is symmetric.

Notice that in treating the connection independently, we must consider spacetime to be a triple,  $(\mathcal{M}, g, \hat{\Gamma})$ .

In the remainder of this Section, we carry out the Palatini variation of  $S_P$ , showing the effect of an abelian covariance to the derivation. We find that this results in an integrable Weyl geometry. In Section 2 we study the Palatini variation in Poincaré gauge theory, where the independent variables are the solder form and the spin connection.

---

\*Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322, jim.wheeler@usu.edu

As in our investigation, Einstein's original development of the Palatini variation leads to the introduction of an additional vector field. In the final Section we discuss the relationship between this vector field and our inclusion of abelian symmetry. We conclude by noting the differences between ours and some standard treatments of the Palatini variation.

Throughout these notes, in order to distinguish coordinate and orthonormal frames, Greek indices refer to any coordinate basis, and Latin indices to any orthonormal basis. We do not use coordinate-free tensor notation since this would unnecessarily complicate the notation.

## 1.1 The variations

The Palatini variation of Eq.(1) is easily carried out. Writing the metric dependence explicitly, the metric variation,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_g S_P [g, \hat{\Gamma}] &= \delta_g \int \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \\ &= \delta_g \int \left( \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \hat{R} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g} d^4x\end{aligned}$$

gives the Einstein tensor,

$$\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \hat{R} = 0 \quad (2)$$

while the connection variation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\Gamma S_P [g, \hat{\Gamma}] &= \delta_\Gamma \int \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \\ &= \int \left( \hat{D}_\mu \left( \delta \hat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \right) - \hat{D}_\beta \left( \delta \hat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\mu} \right) \right) g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \\ &= \int \delta \hat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\nu} \left( -\delta^\nu_\beta \hat{D}_\mu (g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g}) + \delta^\nu_\mu \hat{D}_\beta (g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g}) \right) d^4x\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\hat{D}_\alpha (g^{\beta\rho} \sqrt{-g}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Eqs.(2) and (3) agree with standard sources, e.g. [5]. Our concern here is with Eq.(3). While Eq.(3) is clearly satisfied by metric compatibility,  $D_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ , and therefore the Christoffel connection, the necessity of this solution admits an immediate counterexample. Let the covariant derivative of the volume form be given by

$$\hat{D}_\alpha \sqrt{-g} = W_\alpha \sqrt{-g}$$

for some vector  $W_\alpha$ . Then the derivative of the metric satisfies

$$\hat{D}_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} W_\alpha$$

and  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is not the usual Christoffel condition.

Here, we attribute such an exception to covariance of the derivative  $\hat{D}_\alpha$  with respect to an abelian symmetry. Since representations of abelian symmetries may include scalars, the covariant derivative of the volume element  $\sqrt{-g}$  becomes nontrivial.

## 1.2 Covariant derivatives

A non-abelian symmetry must have multiple noncommuting generators, and a linear representation will therefore act on a vector of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . The connection of such a representation,  $v^\alpha$ , for a displacement  $dx^\mu$ , will then be a linear transformation of the form

$$\delta v^\alpha = T^\alpha_{\beta} v^\beta = v^\beta \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\nu} dx^\nu$$

However, an abelian symmetry acts nontrivially on weighted scalars. For example, a complex wave function under a  $U(1)$  transformation will transform as  $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\psi$ , so the connection required to make the  $U(1)$  symmetry local acts on  $\psi$  as

$$\hat{D}_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi - iA_\mu \psi$$

and it follows that for a field transforming as  $\chi_{(k)} \rightarrow e^{ik\alpha}\chi_{(k)}$  (e.g.,  $(\psi)^k$ ) the derivative must include a *weight*  $k$

$$\hat{D}_\mu \chi_{(k)} = \partial_\mu \chi_{(k)} - ikA_\mu \chi_{(k)}$$

and this applies to both scalars and vectors. The derivative of  $\chi_{(k)}$  is then covariant under the combined transformation

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(k)} &\rightarrow e^{k\varphi} f_{(k)} \\ W_\alpha &\rightarrow W_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \varphi \end{aligned}$$

The weight is necessary in order to satisfy the Leibnitz rule. Thus, for fields  $\chi_{(k)}$  and  $\psi_{(m)}$  of weights  $k$  and  $m$  the weights are additive,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha (\chi_{(k)} \psi_{(m)}) &= \partial_\alpha (\chi_{(k)} \psi_{(m)}) - (k+m) W_\alpha (\chi_{(k)} \psi_{(m)}) \\ &= (D_\alpha \chi_{(k)}) \psi_{(m)} + \chi_{(k)} (D_\alpha \psi_{(m)}) \end{aligned}$$

For weighted, vector-valued fields  $v_{(k)}^\beta$  the covariant derivative is

$$D_\alpha v_{(k)}^\beta = \nabla_\alpha v_{(k)}^\beta + v_{(k)}^\mu \hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha} - kv_{(k)}^\beta W_\alpha$$

where  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  provides covariance under non-abelian transformations and  $kW_\alpha$  under abelian transformations.

Dilatations provide another example of an abelian symmetry. A dilatation will rescale a dimensionful field such as the volume element  $\sqrt{-g} \rightarrow e^{4\varphi} \sqrt{-g}$  when the metric scales as  $g_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow e^{2\varphi} g_{\alpha\beta}$ . The metric is said to be of conformal weight 2, and the volume form of weight 4, so the scale-covariant derivative of the volume form is

$$\hat{D}_\mu \sqrt{-g} = \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} - 4W_\mu \sqrt{-g}$$

where  $W_\mu$  is the Weyl vector.

It is natural to include the possibility of a Weyl geometry when considering the differential geometry of spacetime. Indeed, other systematic approaches to the underlying geometry of spacetime also lead to Weyl geometry. Recent work by Trautman, Matveev, and Scholz [6, 7] puts fresh rigor to the physically insightful work of Ehlers, Pirani, and Schild [8]. These studies show that agreement of the projective structure of timelike geodesics and the conformal structure of lightlike geodesics in the lightlike limit leads to Weyl geometry. Thus, since ultimately we measure only paths of particles, we should expect the world to be described by a Weyl geometry. For agreement with experiment it is important that within strong experimental limits this should be an *integrable Weyl geometry*, in which the Weyl vector takes the pure gauge form  $W_\mu = \partial_\mu \phi$ . There then exists a gauge in which the Weyl vector vanishes, and transport of physical objects around closed paths does *not* lead to measurable relative size change.

In any case, when varying the connection the most general ansatz for the covariant derivative of a weighted vector is

$$\hat{D}_\mu v_{(k)}^\alpha = \partial_\mu v_{(k)}^\alpha + v_{(k)}^\beta \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\mu} - ikW_\mu v_{(k)}^\alpha$$

In particular we must admit the possibility that the proper expansion of the connection variation of Eq.(3) is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_\mu (g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g}) &= \sqrt{-g} \hat{D}_\mu g^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} \hat{D}_\mu \sqrt{-g} \\ &= \sqrt{-g} \left( \partial_\mu g^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\nu\beta} \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\nu\mu} + g^{\alpha\nu} \hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\nu\mu} + w_g W_\mu g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &\quad + g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} - 2w_g W_\mu \sqrt{-g}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $W_\mu$  is the gauge vector of an abelian symmetry and  $w_g$  is the weight of  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  under that symmetry.

While our discussion applies to any abelian symmetry, we will sometimes note the special case of scale covariance for which  $w_g = 2$ . Whether an abelian symmetry of the metric ( $w_g \neq 0$ ) is interpreted as scale covariance or some other physical symmetry, the underlying structure equations are always those of a Weyl geometry.

### 1.3 Solution of the connection equation

The expanded equation (4) is straightforwardly solved for the connection. By lowering the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  indices (using  $g_{\rho\alpha}\partial_\mu g^{\alpha\beta} = -g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu g_{\rho\alpha}$ ), the variational equation (4) becomes

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\mu} + \hat{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha\mu} = \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}g_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\sqrt{-g} + w_g g_{\alpha\beta}W_\mu \quad (5)$$

To take this further, we look in detail at the derivative of the volume form,  $g = \det(g_{\alpha\beta})$ .

#### 1.3.1 Derivatives of the volume form

For the abelian symmetry we know that

$$\hat{D}_\mu g = \partial_\mu g - 4w_g g W_\mu \quad (6)$$

Expanding the determinant in terms of the metric,

$$g = \det g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4!}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}g_{\alpha\rho}g_{\beta\sigma}g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\tau}$$

we may express  $\hat{D}_\mu g$  in terms of the metric derivative,

$$\hat{D}_\mu g = \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu}\varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}\left(\hat{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\rho}\right)g_{\beta\sigma}g_{\varphi\lambda}g_{\nu\tau} = \Sigma^{\alpha\rho}\left(\hat{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\rho}\right) \quad (7)$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\alpha\rho} &\equiv \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu}\varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}g_{\beta\sigma}g_{\varphi\lambda}g_{\nu\tau} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3!}ge^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu}e^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}g_{\beta\sigma}g_{\varphi\lambda}g_{\nu\tau} \end{aligned}$$

where  $e^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu}$  is the Levi-Civita tensor. Then contracting with another copy of the metric,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\alpha\rho}g_{\rho\theta} &= -\frac{1}{3!}ge^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu}e^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}g_{\rho\theta}g_{\beta\sigma}g_{\varphi\lambda}g_{\nu\tau} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3!}ge^{\alpha\beta\varphi\nu}e_{\theta\beta\varphi\nu} \\ &= g\delta_\theta^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\Sigma^{\alpha\rho} = gg^{\alpha\rho}$$

since the inverse metric is unique and the volume element nonvanishing. Therefore, returning to Eq.(7),

$$\hat{D}_\mu g = gg^{\alpha\rho}\hat{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\rho}$$

The same argument shows that the partial derivative of the metric determinant is

$$\partial_\mu g = gg^{\alpha\rho}\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\rho} \quad (8)$$

With the covariant derivative of the metric given by

$$\hat{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\nu\beta} \hat{\Gamma}^\nu_{\alpha\mu} - g_{\alpha\nu} \hat{\Gamma}^\nu_{\beta\mu} - w_g W_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}$$

the covariant derivative of the volume form becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_\mu g &= g g^{\alpha\beta} \hat{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= g g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - 2g \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} - 4g w_g W_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Substituting Eqs.(8) and (9) into Eq.(6) we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu g - 4g w_g W_\mu &= g \left( g^{\alpha\rho} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\rho} - 2\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} - 4w_g W_\mu \right) \\ g^{\alpha\rho} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\rho} &= g^{\alpha\rho} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\rho} - 2\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} = 0$$

### 1.3.2 The full connection

From the preceding arguments,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu g = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu g_{\rho\sigma}$$

Returning to the full connection field equation, Eq.(5),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\mu} + \hat{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha\mu} &= \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} + w_g g_{\alpha\beta} W_\mu \\ &= \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu g_{\rho\sigma} + w_g g_{\alpha\beta} W_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Contracting this on  $\alpha\beta$ , the left side vanishes so that

$$0 = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - 2g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu g_{\rho\sigma} + 4w_g W_\mu$$

and therefore

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = 4w_g W_\mu \quad (10)$$

so the full connection equation reduces to

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta\mu} + \hat{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha\mu} = \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta} w_g W_\mu \quad (11)$$

Eq.(11) is solved in the usual way by cycling the indices, adding the first two permutations and subtracting the third. The result is simply the Christoffel form with  $\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta} w_g W_\mu$  in place of  $\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}$ ,

$$\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\alpha\mu} = \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{1}{2} w_g (\delta^\beta_\alpha W_\mu + \delta^\beta_\mu W_\alpha - g_{\mu\alpha} W^\beta) \quad (12)$$

This is the connection for a Weyl geometry. With  $W_\alpha$  interpreted as the gauge vector of dilatations, the vanishing of the Einstein tensor is the *scale covariant* form of the usual vacuum Einstein equation [9]. Combining Eqs.(8) and (10), shows that the solution for the Weyl vector is a gradient,

$$W_\mu = \frac{1}{4w_g} \partial_\mu \ln g$$

and therefore the Palatini variation leads to an *integrable Weyl geometry*.

Notice that the Weyl connection, Eq.(12) is both symmetric (torsion-free) and metric compatible. This can happen only because the full covariant derivative includes the weighted abelian term. For compatibility,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} &= \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\nu\beta} \hat{\Gamma}^\nu_{\alpha\mu} - g_{\alpha\nu} \hat{\Gamma}^\nu_{\beta\mu} + 2W_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\nu\beta} \Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\mu} - g_{\alpha\nu} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\mu} \\
&\quad - g_{\nu\beta} (\delta_\alpha^\nu W_\mu + \delta_\mu^\nu W_\alpha - g_{\mu\alpha} W^\nu) - g_{\alpha\nu} (\delta_\beta^\nu W_\mu + \delta_\mu^\nu W_\beta - g_{\mu\beta} W^\nu) + 2W_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= -2g_{\alpha\beta} W_\mu + 2W_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The torsion—the antisymmetric part of the connection—also vanishes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{[\alpha\mu]} &= \Gamma^\beta_{[\alpha\mu]} + \frac{1}{2} w_g \left( \delta_{[\alpha}^\beta W_{\mu]} + \delta_{[\mu}^\beta W_{\alpha]} - g_{[\mu\alpha]} W^\beta \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The result is striking in two ways. First, the integrability of the Weyl vector means that there exists a choice of gauge in which the field equation takes the usual form from general relativity. In this sense, the usual Palatini conclusion holds: the Palatini action  $S[g, \hat{\Gamma}]$  leads to the usual Einstein equation together with the Christoffel connection, *but only in a particular conformal gauge*.

The second striking feature is that we are led by the Palatini variation to a Weyl geometry. In this sense, the usual conclusion is wrong. We do not get only the Christoffel connection. The physical argument of Ehlers, Pirani, and Schild is supported by the free variation of the connection.

Before concluding, we must ask whether the presence of sources will make the Weyl vector non-integrable. To high precision this would conflict with observation. However, the Einstein tensor of a Weyl geometry is given by [9]

$$\mathfrak{G}_{bd} = R_{bd} - \frac{1}{2} R \eta_{bd} + 2W_{b;d} + 2W_d W_b + (W^2 - 2W^a{}_{;a}) \eta_{bd}$$

and this will equal the energy tensor of the source, which in turn arises from the metric variation of the matter action. Since the metric is symmetric, this always yields a symmetric source tensor,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{bd} = \kappa T_{bd}$$

Taking the antisymmetric part of this expression leaves only one term,

$$0 = \mathfrak{G}_{[bd]} = W_{[b;d]}$$

and this is the condition for the Weyl vector to be pure gauge. Therefore, even including sources, Palatini variation leads to an integrable Weyl geometry and is therefore gauge-equivalent to general relativity.

This conclusion agrees with the result of Einstein in his original formulation of the Palatini variation [2]. In [2] the metric is replaced by an asymmetric tensor density and the connection is fully general. The variation leads to the introduction of a vector field in addition to the usual metric and connection. Einstein's full argument is presented in the Appendix.

## 2 Different independent variables

When we write general relativity as a Poincarè gauge theory the form of the metric and connection are altered to give a local Lorentz fiber bundle. The change of variables begins by replacing coordinate differentials by an orthonormal 1-form basis. Whereas the metric in a general coordinate basis is related to coordinate 1-form basis by

$$\langle \mathbf{d}x^\alpha, \mathbf{d}x^\beta \rangle = g^{\alpha\beta}$$

the solder form is an orthonormal linear combination  $\mathbf{e}^a = e_\alpha^a \mathbf{d}x^\alpha$  such that

$$\langle \bar{\mathbf{e}}^a, \mathbf{e}^b \rangle = \eta^{ab}$$

where  $\eta_{ab}$  is the Minkowski metric. Preserving the orthonormality of the frame field reduces the symmetry from local general linear to local Lorentz while maintaining complete generality of the geometry. The change replaces the coordinate metric and connection  $(g, \hat{\Gamma})$  of the Palatini action with the solder form and spin connection,  $(\mathbf{e}^a, \omega^a{}_b)$ .

The Cartan structure equations take the form

$$\mathbf{d}\omega^a{}_b = \omega^c{}_b \wedge \omega^a{}_c + \mathcal{R}^a{}_b \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \omega^a{}_b + \mathbf{T}^a \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}^a{}_b$  is the curvature 2-form and  $\mathbf{T}^a$  is the torsion 2-form. These require integrability conditions (Bianchi identities) similar to general relativity,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{T}^a &= \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \mathcal{R}^a{}_b \\ \mathbf{D}\mathcal{R}^a{}_b &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

but the first Bianchi identity now involves the torsion.

To achieve general relativity directly we would like to set the torsion to zero. This eliminates torsion dependence of the curvature,  $\mathcal{R}^a{}_b \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^a{}_b$ . Then, along with the correspondingly reduced Bianchi identity  $0 = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \mathbf{R}^a{}_b$ , the reduced structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\omega^a{}_b &= \omega^c{}_b \wedge \omega^a{}_c + \mathbf{R}^a{}_b \\ \mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a &= \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \omega^a{}_b \end{aligned}$$

describe a Riemannian geometry. Solder form or metric variation of the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{EH}[\mathbf{e}^a] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd}$$

gives the Einstein equation. Even if we do not set torsion to zero, it makes no appearance in the field equations, so setting torsion to zero is consistent throughout.

When torsion is not set to zero, the variation of the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to the solder form or metric leads to the Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble (ECSK) theory of gravity. While vacuum ECSK theory still leads to vanishing torsion, torsion can be nonzero in the presence of spinor sources.

By contrast, the Palatini variation introduces a second field equation directly dependent on the torsion. While some variants of ECSK theory vary the metric and torsion, in the gauge theory formulation it is natural to take the Cartan connection 1-forms as the independent variables. For Poincaré gauge theory these are the solder form  $\mathbf{e}^a$  and the spin connection  $\omega^a{}_b$ .

We study whether this change in the choice of independent variables affects our conclusions regarding the Palatini variation.

Retaining the Einstein-Hilbert action, we write it as

$$S_P[\mathbf{e}^a, \omega^a{}_b] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd}$$

with the curvature and connection given by Eqs.(13) and (14).

## 2.1 Solder form variation

There are no surprises when we vary the solder form.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_e S_P &= \int \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \delta \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd} \\ &= \int \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge e_e{}^\mu \delta e_\mu{}^c \mathbf{e}^e \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd} \end{aligned}$$

Defining a volume form as the dual of one,  $\Phi = *1 = \frac{1}{4!}\varepsilon_{abcd}\mathbf{e}^a \wedge \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d$ , so that

$$\mathbf{e}^a \wedge \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d = -\varepsilon^{abcd}\Phi$$

the field equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{e}^e \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}^{ab}{}_{fg} e^{fged} e_{abcd} \Phi \end{aligned}$$

and reducing the doubled Levi-Civita tensor  $e^{fgde} e_{abcd} = \delta_a^{[f} \delta_b^g \delta_c^{e]}$  we have the Einstein equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}\eta_{ab} = 0$$

The only difference is that the curvature is that of an Einstein-Cartan geometry, hence dependent upon the torsion.

## 2.2 Varying the spin connection

Varying the spin connection, some features emerge as before and some are different.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\omega S_P &= \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{D}\delta\omega^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \delta\omega^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd}) \end{aligned}$$

so that the field equation is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd}) \\ &= 2\mathbf{T}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd} + \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d \wedge \mathbf{D}e_{abcd} \end{aligned}$$

The derivative of the volume element must be a density proportional to  $e_{abcd}$ ,

$$\mathbf{D}e_{abcd} = \omega e_{abcd}$$

for some 1-form  $\omega = 2W_a \mathbf{e}^a$ . The only apparent difference from the coordinate case is that the full equation now involves the torsion rather than the non-metricity,  $D_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}$ .

Expanding the differential forms with  $\mathbf{T}^a = \frac{1}{2}T^c{}_{ef} \mathbf{e}^e \wedge \mathbf{e}^f$ ,

$$0 = T^c{}_{ef} \mathbf{e}^e \wedge \mathbf{e}^f \wedge \mathbf{e}^d e_{abcd} + 2\mathbf{e}^c \wedge \mathbf{e}^d \wedge \mathbf{e}^e W_e e_{abcd}$$

The Hodge dual of this yields a 1-form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (T^c{}_{ef} e_{abcd} e^{efd}{}_g + 2e_{abcd} e^{cde}{}_g W_e) \mathbf{e}^g \\ &= \left( -\eta_{gh} T^c{}_{ef} \delta_a^{[e} \delta_b^f \delta_c^{h]} - 4(\delta_a^e \eta_{gb} - \delta_b^e \eta_{ga}) W_e \right) \mathbf{e}^g \end{aligned}$$

Dropping the basis and carrying out the antisymmetrizations, this simplifies to

$$0 = T^c{}_{ab} + T^d{}_{da} \delta_b^c - T^d{}_{db} \delta_a^c + 2(\delta_a^c W_b - \delta_b^c W_a)$$

with  $ac$  trace  $T^a{}_{ab} = 3W_b$ . Therefore

$$T^c{}_{ab} = W_b \delta_a^c - \delta_b^c W_a \tag{15}$$

The torsion 2-form is therefore

$$\mathbf{T}^c = \mathbf{e}^c \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

Substituting for the torsion in the structure equation

$$d\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b + \mathbf{e}^a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

This is the structure equation for the solder form of a Weyl geometry [9]. Therefore, although the extra term here arises from nonvanishing torsion, the net effect is again a Weyl geometry.

Interestingly, the structure equation for Weyl geometry may be seen as either non-metricity, torsion, or directly as Weyl gauge theory. In the nonmetric case we may write the nonmetricity as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}\eta_{ab} &= \eta_{ab}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ d\eta_{ab} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ab} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ba} &= \eta_{ab}\boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

so that the spin connection acquires a symmetric part. Separating the symmetric and antisymmetric pieces,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ab} &= \boldsymbol{\omega}_{[ab]} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{(ab)} \\ &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ab} + \eta_{ab}\boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

the structure equation for the solder form becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{e}^a &= \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b \\ &= \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^a{}_b + \mathbf{e}^a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

and we once again have the structure equation of Weyl geometry.

As we have seen for the torsion case, if we write  $\mathbf{T}^a = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{e}^a = d\mathbf{e}^a - \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b$  then

$$\mathbf{T}^a = \mathbf{e}^a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

leads to the same Weyl structure equation.

### 2.3 Integrability of the Weyl geometry

With the structure equations now in the form

$$d\boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b = \boldsymbol{\omega}^c{}_b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_c + \mathcal{R}^a{}_b \quad (16)$$

$$d\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b + \mathbf{e}^a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} \quad (17)$$

we may find the contribution of  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  to the curvature. Observing that the solution to Eq.(17) for the spin connection must be the Riemannian spin connection plus a term linear in  $W_a$ , we set

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^a{}_b = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^a{}_b + \boldsymbol{\beta}^a{}_b$$

where

$$d\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \boldsymbol{\alpha}^a{}_b$$

defines the Christoffel spin connection and we let

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^a{}_b = \alpha\eta_{bc}\mathbf{e}^c W^a + \beta\mathbf{e}^a W_b$$

Antisymmetry on  $ab$  requires  $\alpha = -\beta$ . Substituting into Eq.(17) leaves

$$-\beta\mathbf{e}^a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{e}^a \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$$

so  $\beta = 1$ . Therefore, the spin connection is

$$\omega^a{}_b = \alpha^a{}_b + \mathbf{e}^a W_b - \eta_{bc} \mathbf{e}^c W^a \quad (18)$$

The curvature follows by substituting (18) into Eq.(16). After collecting terms and setting  $\mathbf{d}\mathbf{e}^a = \mathbf{e}^b \wedge \alpha^a{}_b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^a{}_b &= \mathbf{d}\omega^a{}_b - \omega^c{}_b \wedge \omega^a{}_c \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} R^a{}_{bde}(\alpha) - \left( \delta_d^a \delta_b^f - \eta_{bd} \eta^{af} \right) \left( D_e W_f - W_e W_f + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ef} W^2 \right) \right) \mathbf{e}^d \wedge \mathbf{e}^e \end{aligned}$$

Antisymmetrizing and contracting, the Ricci tensor becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{be} &= R_{be}(\alpha) + D_e W_b - \eta_{be} D^d W_d - W_e W_b + \eta_{be} W^2 - 3\eta_{be} \frac{1}{2} W^2 - 3D_e W_b + 3W_e W_b - \frac{3}{2} \eta_{eb} W^2 \\ &= R_{be}(\alpha) - 2D_e W_b - \eta_{be} D^d W_d + 2W_e W_b - 2\eta_{be} W^2 \end{aligned}$$

Because the connection is no longer simply  $\alpha^a{}_b$ , the Ricci tensor acquires an antisymmetric part,

$$\mathcal{R}_{[be]} = -2W_{[b;e]}$$

In vacuum this must vanish independently. Even when we consider the full Einstein equation including sources, the symmetry of the energy tensor implies

$$W_{[b;e]} = W_{[b,\epsilon]} = 0$$

Therefore,  $W_a = \partial_a \phi$  for some  $\phi$  and the geometry is *integrable Weyl*. The vector  $W_a$  may be removed by a conformal scaling of the solder form.

### 3 Summary and discussion

We have presented both general coordinate and Poincaré gauge theory demonstrations that when the Palatini variation includes a possible abelian symmetry, the result is scale covariant general relativity in an integrable Weyl geometry.

The difference between the usual claims and these results hinges on the different form of the covariant derivative for abelian symmetries. For non-abelian symmetries, the covariant derivative takes the form

$$D_\alpha v^\beta = \nabla_\alpha v^\beta + v^\mu \Gamma^\beta{}_{\mu\alpha}$$

However, fields which transform covariantly under an abelian symmetry,  $\chi \rightarrow e^{k\phi} \chi$  are assigned a weight  $k$ ,  $\chi_{(k)}$  and the covariant derivative reflects this,

$$D_\alpha \chi_{(k)} = \partial_\alpha \chi_{(k)} - k W_\alpha \chi_{(k)}$$

or for weighted, vector-valued fields  $v_{(k)}^\beta$ ,

$$D_\alpha v_{(k)}^\beta = \nabla_\alpha v_{(k)}^\beta + v_{(k)}^\mu \hat{\Gamma}^\beta{}_{\mu\alpha} - k v_{(k)}^\beta W_\alpha$$

where  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta{}_{\mu\alpha}$  provides covariance under non-abelian transformations and  $k W_\alpha$  under abelian transformations.

These considerations affect the Palatini variation whenever the metric has nonzero weight  $w_g$ , since the covariant derivatives of the metric and metric determinant become

$$D_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\beta\nu} \hat{\Gamma}^\beta{}_{\mu\alpha} - g_{\mu\beta} \hat{\Gamma}^\beta{}_{\nu\alpha} - w_g W_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} \quad (19)$$

$$D_\mu g = \partial_\alpha g - 4w_g W_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} \quad (20)$$

respectively. With the variation the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to the connection leading to

$$D_\alpha (g^{\beta\rho}\sqrt{-g}) = 0$$

we have shown that the solution for  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  is

$$\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\beta\nu} [(g_{\nu\mu,\alpha} + w_g g_{\nu\mu} W_\alpha) + (g_{\nu\alpha,\mu} + w_g g_{\nu\alpha} W_\mu) - (g_{\mu\alpha,\nu} + w_g g_{\mu\alpha} W_\nu)] \quad (21)$$

The Christoffel term has been augmented in each metric derivative by a the abelian connection vector  $w_g W_\alpha$  so that the combination gives the non-abelian connection weight zero and  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  is the connection of a Weyl geometry<sup>1</sup>.

We have shown that these same conclusions apply to Poincaré gauge theory. For a vector valued 1-form  $\mathbf{v}^a$  the covariant derivative  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}^a = \mathbf{d}\mathbf{v}^a - \mathbf{v}^a \wedge \omega^a_b$  changes to

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}^a_{(k)} = \mathbf{d}\mathbf{v}^a_{(k)} - \mathbf{v}^a_{(k)} \wedge \omega^a_b - k\mathbf{v}^a_{(k)} \wedge \omega$$

when  $\mathbf{v}^a_{(k)}$  has weight  $k$ . When the dynamical variables are changed from  $g_{\alpha\beta}, \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\nu}$  to the solder form and spin connection  $e^a, \omega^a_b$  we require an additional piece to the connection  $\omega^a_b \rightarrow (\omega^a_b, \omega)$ .

It is interesting to note that when we use  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\nu}$  as independent variables the torsion vanishes by the symmetry of the connection and the Weyl vector emerges as a limited case of non-metricity. By contrast using the orthonormal variables ( $e^a, \omega^a_b$ ) leads to vanishing non-metricity from the antisymmetry of the spin connection while the Weyl vector emerges as a limited form of the torsion. Thus, the Weyl connection is exactly that generalization of the connection that may be interpreted as *either* non-metricity or torsion, or equivalently the Weyl connection is the intersection between non-metricity and torsion.

Notice that the Weyl covariant derivative includes the Weyl vector  $W_\alpha$  in two distinct ways. Firstly, as noted above a weight  $k$  vector  $v^a_{(k)}$ , the covariant derivative is

$$D_\alpha v^b_{(k)} = \partial_\alpha v^b_{(k)} + v^b_{(k)} \hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha} v^\mu_{(k)} + kW_\alpha v^b_{(k)}$$

Secondly,  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  itself includes additional terms as in Eq.(21) to make the non-abelian part of the connection invariant under abelian transformations. Notice that the weights of these two occurrences of the Weyl vector are different. The  $w_g$  in  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  is the weight of the metric, while the  $w_k$  is the weight of the field  $v^b_{(k)}$ .

A third set of independent variables was studied by Einstein in 1925. In [2], the metric and the connection are both generalized to *asymmetric* fields  $\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ . This calculation is reproduced in the Appendix, where it is further shown to lead to Weyl geometry when  $\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric or its antisymmetric part may be neglected. It is significant to note that the fully general ansatz for the independent variables leads to a vector field in addition to the metric and Christoffel connection.

Finally, we compare our treatment with that of two standard references: the comprehensive text by Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler, and R. M. Wald's excellent modern approach. The field equation from the connection variation, Eq.(3) is

$$D_\alpha (g^{\beta\rho}\sqrt{-g}) = 0$$

The argument by Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler [5] begins with what the authors stress is a *definition*

$$D_\mu \sqrt{-g} \equiv \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} - \sqrt{-g} \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu} \quad (22)$$

However, as we showed in the discussion leading to Eq.(8) the covariant derivative of  $g$  is determined by the covariant derivative of the metric. The derivative of the metric, in turn, is determined by the symmetry preserved by the connection. Since we show that  $\partial_\mu g = gg^{\alpha\rho} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\rho} = 2g \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}$ , and therefore

$$\partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-g} \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The modified connection  $\hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  describes a Weyl geometry no matter what interpretation we place on the abelian symmetry. It is natural to set the metric weight to +2 and think of the corresponding symmetry as a dilatation, in which case the modification leads to scale-covariant general relativity.

the definition in [5] amounts to *defining*  $D_\mu\sqrt{-g} = 0$ , thereby eliminating the Weyl vector. Include abelian transformations, we expect this definition to be

$$\hat{D}_\mu\sqrt{-g} = \partial_\mu\sqrt{-g} - \sqrt{-g}W_\mu$$

and not directly involve the non-abelian part  $\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\mu}$  of the connection at all.

Understanding of the difference between our result for the Palatini variation and the usual conclusion of metric compatibility as due to abelian symmetry was fostered by studying the proof given in [10]. Here, the generalized derivative is written as the sum of the metric compatible derivative,  $\nabla_\alpha$ ,

$$\nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\beta\nu}\Gamma^\beta_{\mu\alpha} - g_{\mu\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{\nu\alpha} = 0 \quad (23)$$

and an additional symmetric tensor  $C^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ , then varying the additional tensor. In this case, the derivative of a vector is written as

$$D_\alpha v^\beta = \nabla_\alpha v^\beta + v^\mu C^\beta_{\mu\alpha} \quad (24)$$

and the metric compatibility condition determines  $\Gamma^\beta_{\mu\alpha}$  to be the Christoffel connection. Eq.(24) is the general form of covariant derivative for a nonabelian group, and should be modified to

$$D_\alpha v^\beta_{(k)} = \partial_\alpha v^\beta_{(k)} + v^\mu_{(k)}\Gamma^\beta_{\mu\alpha} - kW_\alpha v^\beta_{(k)}$$

for a weight  $k$  vector field. The difference in conclusion follows by replacing Eq.(23) by the more general form of  $D_\alpha$  in Eq.(19).

## Appendix: Original calculation by Einstein

In 1925, Einstein proposed a unified theory of Gravitation and Electricity [2]. This was one of many attempts to geometrically unify the two known interactions of the era. The resulting model represents a third set of independent variables beyond  $(g_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu})$  and  $(\mathbf{e}^a, \boldsymbol{\omega}^a_b)$  because the metric and connection are both generalized to *asymmetric* fields  $\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ .

The basic premise of this model was the Palatini variation, which, after introducing the connection  $\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta}$  and the curvature

$$R^\alpha_{\mu\nu\beta} = -\frac{\partial\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x_\beta} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\sigma\nu}\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\beta} + \frac{\partial\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\beta}}{\partial x_\nu} - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\alpha_{\sigma\beta}$$

built from it, Einstein describes as follows<sup>2</sup>:

Independently of this affine connection we introduce a contravariant tensor density  $\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}$  whose symmetry we leave undetermined. From both we build the scalar

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$$

and postulate that simultaneous variation of the integral

$$\mathfrak{J} = \int \mathfrak{H} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4$$

with respect to  $\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  as independent variables (and not varied on the boundary) vanishes.

---

<sup>2</sup>Any errors in translation are my own. The notation is preserved from the original, possibly with different indices where the photocopy of the original is too blurry.

Below we reproduce the subsequent calculation of [2] with a few additional comments. A small amount of newer notation is introduced for clarity.

The variation of  $\mathfrak{J}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}$  yields the 16 equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

the variation of  $\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  next gives 64 equations,

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\nu}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \delta^\nu_\alpha \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\beta} \right) - \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (25)$$

Einstein begins by taking the two traces that do not require the inverse.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\nu}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\nu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\rho\beta} \right) - \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}_{,\alpha} - \mathfrak{g}^{\nu\alpha}_{,\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\alpha}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha} \right) + \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}\Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \right) - \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -3 \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \right) - \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left( \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^\beta_{\beta\alpha} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Next, the inverse is defined with reversed index order  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ , so that

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta^\nu_\beta = \mathfrak{g}^{\nu\alpha}\mathfrak{g}_{\beta\alpha}$$

Using this, the third contraction of the field equation is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu} \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\nu}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \delta^\nu_\alpha \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\beta} \right) - \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}^{\beta\nu}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\delta^\nu_\alpha \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\beta} \right) - \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\alpha} + \Gamma^\beta_{\beta\alpha} - 3\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} - \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\alpha} \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\beta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The divergence  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta}$  may be written in terms of the determinant. We know that

$$\partial_\alpha \sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}$$

so that

$$\partial_\alpha \ln(\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu,\alpha} \quad (28)$$

Also using Eq.(27) Einstein defines a vector density

$$\mathfrak{f}^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{3} \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left( \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^\beta_{\beta\alpha} \right) = - \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (29)$$

Notice that the vector density  $\mathfrak{f}^\mu$  is proportional to the trace of the torsion and vanishes for a symmetric connection.

Using the determinant relation Eq.(28) and Eq.(27), write the third contraction as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\alpha} + \Gamma^\beta_{\beta\alpha} - 3\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} - \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\alpha} \left( \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}_{,\beta} + \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\beta}\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\beta} \right) \\ &= -2 \left( \partial_\alpha \ln(\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}) + \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \left( \Gamma^\beta_{\beta\alpha} - \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\alpha} \mathfrak{f}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

Raising the index and substituting the vector density for the trace of the torsion, we find a third expression for the vector density.

$$\mathfrak{f}^\mu = -\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left( \partial_\alpha \ln(\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}) + \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (30)$$

or, lowering an index,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\alpha}\mathfrak{f}^\mu = -\left( \partial_\alpha \ln(\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}) + \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \right)$ .

Now using 29, the full equation takes the form

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\nu}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} + \delta^\nu_\alpha \mathfrak{f}^\mu$$

This is Eq.(10) in [2]. We also still have

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}{}_{,\alpha} - \mathfrak{g}^{\nu\alpha}{}_{,\alpha}$$

Finally, we convert the tensor densities to tensors by defining

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}}$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{g} = \frac{1}{g}$  and therefore

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{-g}$$

Lower indices of the full equation by contracting with  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\rho}\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\nu}$

$$0 = -\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\rho,\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\nu}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\rho} - \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\rho}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} + \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma\alpha}\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\rho}\mathfrak{f}^\mu$$

we substitute to eliminate the densities.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\left(g_{\sigma\rho}\sqrt{-g}\right)_{,\alpha} + g_{\mu\rho}\sqrt{-g}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha} + g_{\sigma\nu}\sqrt{-g}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\rho} - g_{\sigma\rho}\sqrt{-g}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\sigma\alpha}g_{\mu\rho}\mathfrak{f}^\mu \\ &= -g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha}\sqrt{-g} - \sqrt{-g}g_{\sigma\rho} \left( \partial_\alpha \ln \sqrt{-g} + \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} \right) + g_{\mu\rho}\sqrt{-g}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha} + g_{\sigma\nu}\sqrt{-g}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\rho} - g_{\sigma\alpha}g_{\mu\rho}\mathfrak{f}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

Using Eq.(30) and dividing out the determinant yields the final form of the field equation

$$0 = -g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha} + g_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha} + g_{\sigma\nu}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\rho} + g_{\sigma\rho}\phi_\alpha + g_{\sigma\alpha}\phi_\rho \quad (31)$$

where we define the vector

$$\phi_\rho \equiv -g_{\mu\rho}\sqrt{-g}\mathfrak{f}^\mu$$

We also still have

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}{}_{,\alpha} - \mathfrak{g}^{\nu\alpha}{}_{,\alpha}$$

Along with 16 equations from the variation of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu}$ , and 64 from the connection variation,  $\phi_\alpha$  must already be determined. In fact, it is the trace of the torsion.

The remaining parts of [2] consider special cases. First, Einstein treats the special case  $\phi_\alpha = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}$  symmetric, then he presents a perturbative study focussing on the antisymmetric part of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}$ . We briefly present his results, adding some further comments.

## Special case

Einstein shows that the special case with  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}$  symmetric and  $\phi_\alpha = 0$  leads to general relativity. With  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  symmetric we may lower indices in Eq.(31), leaving

$$0 = -g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha} + \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} + \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho}$$

If we antisymmetrize on  $\rho\sigma$ , then  $g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha}$  drops out. Cycling indices on the remaining equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} + \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\rho\alpha\sigma} \\ 0 &= \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\alpha\sigma\rho} + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho\sigma} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} \\ 0 &= \Gamma_{\alpha\rho\sigma} - \Gamma_{\rho\alpha\sigma} + \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} - \Gamma_{\alpha\sigma\rho} \end{aligned}$$

we add the first two and subtract the third in the usual way to find symmetry of the connection on the final two indices.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} + \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\rho\alpha\sigma} + \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\alpha\sigma\rho} \\ &\quad + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho\sigma} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} - \Gamma_{\alpha\rho\sigma} + \Gamma_{\rho\alpha\sigma} - \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} + \Gamma_{\alpha\sigma\rho} \\ &= 2(\Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

Then the field equation is  $\Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} + \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} = g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha}$  and the solution for the Christoffel connection follows as usual.

We note, however, that if we do *not* set  $\phi_\alpha$  to zero, the same calculation gives instead

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} + \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} - \Gamma_{\rho\alpha\sigma} + g_{\sigma\alpha}\phi_\rho - g_{\rho\alpha}\phi_\sigma \\ &\quad + \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\alpha\sigma\rho} + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho\sigma} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} + g_{\alpha\rho}\phi_\sigma - g_{\sigma\rho}\phi_\alpha \\ &\quad - \Gamma_{\alpha\rho\sigma} + \Gamma_{\rho\alpha\sigma} - \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} + \Gamma_{\alpha\sigma\rho} - g_{\rho\sigma}\phi_\alpha + g_{\alpha\sigma}\phi_\rho \\ &= 2(\Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha}) + 2g_{\sigma\alpha}\phi_\rho - 2g_{\rho\sigma}\phi_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and therefore pure-trace torsion

$$T_{\sigma\alpha\rho} = \Gamma_{\sigma\alpha\rho} - \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} = g_{\sigma\alpha}\phi_\rho - g_{\rho\sigma}\phi_\alpha$$

We have seen that this leads to Weyl geometry.

## Einstein's (perturbative) theory of electromagnetism

In the final development of the paper, Einstein maintains the asymmetry of  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ , while dropping  $\phi_\alpha$ , giving a perturbative approximation in which the antisymmetric part  $g_{[\alpha\beta]}$  satisfies the Maxwell equations. However, since the exact development of the connection involves dependence of the connection on the derivatives of the Maxwell field, includes general torsion and even couplings between the Faraday tensor and torsion, we do not pursue this solution further.

## A different perturbative approximation

Deviating from [2], we return to the full equation, Eq.(31), cycle indices and combine in the usual way. Then collecting terms

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha} - g_{\rho\alpha,\sigma} + g_{\alpha\sigma,\rho} \\ &\quad + g_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha} + g_{\sigma\nu}\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\rho} + g_{\mu\alpha}\Gamma^\mu_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\rho\nu}\Gamma^\nu_{\sigma\alpha} - g_{\mu\sigma}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\rho} - g_{\alpha\nu}\Gamma^\nu_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad + g_{\sigma\rho}\phi_\alpha + g_{\sigma\alpha}\phi_\rho + g_{\rho\alpha}\phi_\sigma + g_{\rho\sigma}\phi_\alpha - g_{\alpha\sigma}\phi_\rho - g_{\alpha\rho}\phi_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Define  $g_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha} = \Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha}$ , but note that this is not equal to  $g_{\rho\mu}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha}$ . Then adding and subtracting terms as necessary

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2\Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} - g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha} - g_{\rho\alpha,\sigma} + g_{\alpha\sigma,\rho} + (g_{\sigma\rho} + g_{\rho\sigma})\phi_\alpha \\ &\quad + (g_{\sigma\nu} - g_{\nu\sigma})\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\rho} + (g_{\rho\nu} - g_{\nu\rho})\Gamma^\nu_{\sigma\alpha} - (g_{\alpha\nu} - g_{\nu\alpha})\Gamma^\nu_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad + (g_{\sigma\alpha} - g_{\alpha\sigma})\phi_\rho + (g_{\rho\alpha} - g_{\alpha\rho})\phi_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

If we take the antisymmetric part of  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ , the connection, and  $\phi_\alpha$  all small, this reduces at lowest order to

$$\Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{(\sigma\rho),\alpha} + g_{(\rho\alpha),\sigma} - g_{(\alpha\sigma),\rho}) - g_{(\rho\sigma)}\phi_\alpha$$

where derivatives of the antisymmetric part  $g_{[\sigma\rho],\alpha}$  are taken to be second order. Then symmetrizing on  $\rho\sigma$ ,

$$\Gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha} + \Gamma_{\sigma\rho\alpha} = g_{(\sigma\rho),\alpha} - 2g_{(\rho\sigma)}\phi_\alpha$$

and this again leads to a Weyl geometry.

## References

- [1] Palatini, Attilio (1919), "Deduzione invariantiva delle equazioni gravitazionali dal principio di Hamilton" [Invariant deduction of the gravitational equations from the principle of Hamilton], *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 1 (in Italian), 43: 203–212 [English translation by R. Hojman and C. Mukku in P. G. Bergmann and V. De Sabbata (eds.) *Cosmology and Gravitation*, Plenum Press, New York (1980)].
- [2] Einstein, A. (1925). *Einheitliche Feldtheorie von Gravitation und Elektrizität*, *Sitzungber. Pruess. Akad. Wiss.*, 414.
- [3] <https://einsteinpapers.press.princeton.edu/vol7-doc/110>, pp 60-62.
- [4] Ferraris, M., M. Francaviglia, and C. Reina, Variational Formulation of General Relativity from 1915 to 1925 “Palatini’s Method” Discovered by Einstein in 1925, *General Relativity and Gravitation*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1982, pp 243-254.
- [5] Misner, Charles W. , Kip S. Thorne, and John Archibald Wheeler, *Gravitation*, 1971, pp 500-502.
- [6] Matveev, Vladimir S., and Andrzej Trautman, A criterion for compatibility of conformal and projective structures, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 329(2014), 821-825 DOI: 10.1007/s00220-013-1850-7 Cite as: arXiv:1302.2329 [math.DG] (or arXiv:1302.2329v1 [math.DG] for this version).
- [7] Matveev, V.S., Scholz, E. Light cone and Weyl compatibility of conformal and projective structures. *Gen Relativ Gravit* **52**, 66 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-020-02716-9>.
- [8] Ehlers, J., Pirani, F.A.E. & Schild, A. Republication of: The geometry of free fall and light propagation. *Gen Relativ Gravit* 44, 1587–1609 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-012-1353-4>. (Original paper: J. Ehlers, F. A. E. Pirani and A. Schild, in: *General Relativity, papers in honour of J. L. Synge*. Edited by L. O’Reifeartaigh. Oxford, Clarendon Press 1972, pp. 63–84.)
- [9] Wheeler, James T., Weyl geometry, *Gen Relativ Gravit* (2018) 50:80 DOI: 10.1007/s10714-018-2401-5 arXiv:1801.03178v2 [gr-qc].
- [10] Wald, Robert M., *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press, 1984, pp 454-455.