

An elementary approach to local combinatorial formulae for the Euler class of a PL spherical fiber bundle

Gaiane Panina

PDMI

February 1, 2022

Abstract

We present an elementary approach to local combinatorial formulae for the Euler class of a fiber-oriented triangulated spherical fiber bundle. The approach is based on *sections averaging* technique and very basic knowledge of simplicial (co)homology theory. Our formulae are close relatives of those by N. Mnëv.

1 Introduction

Recently N. Mnëv presented a local combinatorial formula for the Euler class of a fiber-oriented n -dimensional PL spherical fiber bundle in terms of combinatorial Hodge theory twisting cochains in Guy Hirsch's homology model [8]. The present paper introduces an elementary approach to local combinatorial formulae.

Let $S^n \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a locally trivial fiber bundle whose fiber is the oriented sphere S^n . We assume that B and E are triangulated in such a way that π is a *simplicial map*, that is, π maps simplices to simplices, linearly on each of the simplices. Besides, we assume that $\pi^{-1}(x) \forall x \in B$ is a *combinatorial manifold*. This means in particular that the dual cell decomposition is well-defined.

A local combinatorial formula for the rational Euler class $e(E \xrightarrow{\pi} B)$ is an algorithm which associates a rational number to every oriented $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplex σ^{n+1} from the base. The output of the algorithm is a cochain \mathcal{E} representing the Euler class. The value of the cochain at a simplex σ^{n+1} depends only on the restriction of the bundle $\pi^{-1}(\sigma^{n+1}) \rightarrow \sigma^{n+1}$.

We present two formulae. The first one coincides with that of N. Mnëv, Section 3. The second one presents some shortcut on the last step of the algorithm, see Section 4.

One can alter these algorithms even further (we explain how in Section 6) and thus obtain various different local combinatorial formulae.

In Section 6 we explain that for $n = 1$ our second formula (Section 4) coincides with the Igusa-Mnëv-Sharygin combinatorial formula for circle bundle, see [4] and [7].

Here is the **leading idea in short** (it traces back to M. Kazarian's *multisections* [5], used also in [3]). There exists the following way to compute the Euler class:

Proposition 1.1. [6],[2]

Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a fiber-oriented spherical bundle over a triangulated base B . Assume that its partial section s is defined on the n -skeleton of B . Fix an orientation on each of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplices $\sigma^{n+1} \in B$, and set $\mathcal{E}(s, \sigma^{n+1})$ to be the degree of the map $s : \partial\sigma^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$. We claim that the integer cochain $\mathcal{E}(s, \sigma^{n+1})$ is a cocycle and represents the Euler class $e(E \xrightarrow{\pi} B)$.

For bundles arising from vector bundle with the base B of rank $(n+1)$, this proposition is proven in J. Milnor and Stasheff [6]. The general case is treated in A. Fomenko and D. Fuchs [2], 23.5. \square

Next, if we have several partial sections s_1, \dots, s_r , set $\mathcal{E}_i(\sigma^{n+1}) = \mathcal{E}(s_i, \sigma^{n+1})$. The average $\frac{1}{r} \sum_i \mathcal{E}_i(\sigma^{n+1})$ is clearly a rational cochain representing the Euler class, but not necessarily integer. Furthermore, if we have partial sections s_1, \dots, s_M and s'_1, \dots, s'_N , the following average of the cochains

$$\frac{1}{M-N} \left(\sum_i \mathcal{E}_i - \sum_j \mathcal{E}'_j \right)$$

also represents the rational Euler class provided that $M \neq N$.

The combinatorics of the triangulation of the bundle suggests a way of fixing a collection of partial sections. We create these sections stepwise, starting by 0-skeleta, and extending them further. Our toolbox contains *harmonic chains* and *winding numbers*.

Reader's guide: For a shortcut to the first main result (Section 3) which coincides with Mnëv's formula, it suffices to read Section 2.1, and Definition 2.4. For a shortcut to the second main result (Section 4) one needs also Definitions 2.6, and 2.8.

Acknowledgement: The author is grateful to Alexander Gaifullin, Nikolai Mnëv and Ivan Panin for useful comments.

2 Toolbox

Denote the associated simplicial complexes of the base and of the total space by K_B and K_E respectively.

2.1 Combinatorics of preimage of a simplex

Fix an oriented simplex $\sigma^{n+1} \in K_B$ in the base. Denote its vertices by v_0, \dots, v_{n+1} in such a way that the order agrees with the orientation of σ^{n+1} . It is instructive to think that vertices are colored, say, v_0 is red, v_1 is blue, v_2 is green, etc.

Let us analyse the $2n+1$ -dimensional simplices lying in the preimage $\pi^{-1}(\sigma^{n+1})$. Each such simplex $\Delta^{2n+1} \in \pi^{-1}(\sigma^{n+1})$ has $2n+2$ vertices colored according to the colors of their projections. Clearly, for each color i there is a vertex of Δ^{2n+1} colored by i .

We say that $\Delta^{2n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_i(\sigma^{n+1})$ if

$$|\text{Vert}(\Delta^{2n+1}) \cap \pi^{-1}(v_i)| = n + 1,$$

that is, Δ^{2n+1} has $n + 1$ vertices of color i , whereas other colors appear only once. Note that the classes \mathcal{A}_i do not cover all the simplices in the preimage.

Now analyse $\pi^{-1}(v_i)$. It is a triangulated oriented sphere S^n . The maximal simplices of the triangulation correspond to simplices from $\mathcal{A}_i(\sigma^{n+1})$. Denote the dual of the triangulation by Γ_i . It is a regular cell complex, and we imagine it to be colored in color i .

Now take a point x lying strictly inside an edge, say, in v_0v_1 . Its preimage $\pi^{-1}(x)$ (independently on the choice of x) is a tiling of S^n , whose dual complex is the *superposition* of Γ_0 and Γ_1 , that is, the mutual tiling generated by Γ_0 and Γ_1 , see Fig. 1.

Generalizing, we conclude that:

Proposition 2.1. 1. For any point $x \in \sigma^{n+1}$, the combinatorics of the preimage $\pi^{-1}(x)$ depends only on the face F of σ^{n+1} that contains x as an inner point.

2. Let $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\}$ be the set of vertices of F . Then the dual complex Γ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} of the preimage $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is the superposition of Γ_i where i ranges over the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$.

3. In particular, for an inner point of σ^{n+1} , we have the superposition of $(n+2)$ colored complexes $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_{n+2}$. Elimination of one (or several) of these complexes corresponds to moving x to some face. \square

Some of the vertices of Γ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} are the vertices of Γ_i for some i . Let us say that these vertices are *colored by color i* . The other (uncolored vertices) arise as intersections of the cells of Γ_i for different i , see Fig. 1 for an illustration.

Lemma 2.2. Let V be a vertex of Γ_i . Then

1. V is also a vertex of the complex Γ_I provided that $i \in I$, and

2. V has exactly $n + 1$ incident top-dimensional cells of Γ_I . \square .




Figure 1: Complexes Γ_1 , Γ_2 , and their superposition Γ_{12} .

2.2 S-chains, patches, and s-patches

Assume there is a regular cell complex Γ which is a combinatorial sphere S^n . Denote by $C_{k+1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ the rational chain group of Γ . Let $k < n$, and let $a \in C_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ be a closed k -dimensional chain. A chain $c \in C_{k+1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ is called a *patch* of a if $\partial c = a$.

Assume there is a map from the oriented k -sphere to the k -skeleton

$$s : S^k \rightarrow Skel_k(\Gamma).$$

It yields a map

$$S^k \rightarrow Skel_k(\Gamma)/Skel_{k-1}(\Gamma).$$

The factor space $Skel_k(\Gamma)/Skel_{k-1}(\Gamma)$ is a wedge of k -spheres, where the spheres correspond to k -cells of Γ .

We conclude that s gives rise to some closed chain $|s| \in C_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ which represents the pushforward of the fundamental class of S^k .

Assume now there is a map

$$\tilde{s} : D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_{k+1}(\Gamma)$$

such that the boundary of D^{k+1} is mapped to $Skel_k(\Gamma)$. Similarly, the map \tilde{s} gives rise to a $k+1$ -chain $|\tilde{s}| \in C_{k+1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$. Indeed, in this case s yields a map

$$D^{k+1}/\partial D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_{k+1}(\Gamma)/Skel_k(\Gamma).$$

If a chain a equals $|s|$ for some s , we say that s *supports* a . In this case we call a an *s-chain*.

Assume that $a = |s|$ for some $s : S^k \rightarrow Skel_k(\Gamma)$.

Its patch c is called an *s-patch* of a if $c = |\tilde{s}|$ for an extension $\tilde{s} : D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_{k+1}(\Gamma)$ of the map s .

Example. For $k = 0$ let a be an ordered pair of vertices V_0, V_1 interpreted as an s-chain. Each path on $Skel_1$ connecting the vertices is an s-patch of a . However not every patch of a is an s-patch. For instance the chain that averages two different paths is not an s-patch.

Lemma 2.3. 1. If $c = |\tilde{s}|$ is a chain supported by $\tilde{s} : D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_{k+1}(\Gamma)$, and a is a chain supported by

$$s = \tilde{s}|_{\partial D^{k+1}} : \partial D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_k(\Gamma)$$

then $\partial c = a$.

2. Each rational closed k -chain is a rational linear combination of s-chains supported by some $s_i : S^k \rightarrow Skel_k(\Gamma)$.

3. Let $k < n$. Let $a = |s|$ be an s-chain supported by some $s : S^k \rightarrow Skel_k$. Let b be a rational chain such that $\partial b = a$. Then b is **an average** of chains supported by extensions of the map s .

More precisely, there exist

$$\tilde{s}_i : D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_{k+1}(\Gamma), \quad i = 1, \dots, M$$

and

$$\tilde{s}'_j : D^{k+1} \rightarrow Skel_{k+1}(\Gamma), \quad j = 1, \dots, N$$

such that the restrictions of all the \tilde{s}_i and \tilde{s}'_j to ∂D^{k+1} equal s , and

$$b = \frac{1}{M - N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^M |\tilde{s}_i| - \sum_{j=1}^N |\tilde{s}'_j| \right).$$

Proof.

(1) is trivial.

(2) Let $k < n$. Let τ range over all the $k+1$ -cells of the complex Γ . Then $\{\partial\tau\}$ generate the space of closed k -chains. Indeed, for any closed chain $p \in C_k(\Gamma)$, for some $r \in C_{k+1}(\Gamma)$ we have $p = \partial r = \partial(\sum a_i \tau_i) = \sum a_i \partial\tau_i$.

If $k = n$ the proof is even simpler: all closed chains differ by a multiple.

(3) Let $k < n - 1$. Take any extension of s to a map \tilde{s} from the disk D^{k+1} to the k -skeleton, that is, $\tilde{s}|_{\partial D} = s$. Then $b - |\tilde{s}|$ is a closed rational $k+1$ -chain and hence a rational linear combination of $\partial\tau_i$ where τ_i ranges over $(k+2)$ -cells. Let us prove that for each $(k+2)$ -cell τ , the chain $\partial(\tau)$ is a difference of some $|\tilde{s}|$ and $|\tilde{s}'|$ such that the restrictions of \tilde{s} and \tilde{s}' to the boundary of the disk equal s .

Fix any subcomplex of $\partial\tau$ called *Equator* which is homeomorphic to S^{k-1} . *Equator* splits $\partial\tau$ into two hemispheres B_1 and B_2 . More precisely, denote by B_1 and B_2 the chains such that $B_1 + B_2 = \partial\tau$. Take a map of the cylinder $S^k \times [0, 1]$ to the k -skeleton such that the restriction of the map on $S^k \times 0$ equals s , and the restriction on $S^k \times 1$ is a homeomorphism to the *Equator*. Such a map exists since any two maps from the k -sphere to the $k+1$ -skeleton are homotopic. We treat the cylinder as a disk D^{k+1} with an eliminated smaller $k+1$ -disk. Extend the map to the entire D^k in two ways, using B_1 and B_2 . Denote the extensions by \tilde{s} and \tilde{s}' . Now we have $\partial\tau = |\tilde{s}| - |\tilde{s}'|$.

For $k = n - 1$ the proof is again simpler. In this case two rational patches of a differ by a rational multiple of the chain representing the fundamental class of S^n . Each integer patch of a is an s -patch. \square

In this lemma we call the entries s_i (resp., entries s'_j) *positive* (resp. *negative*) entries of the averaging.

2.3 Harmonic extension

Harmonic extension gives a way to canonically reverse the boundary operator.

We borrow the following basic knowledge about harmonic chains from [1]. Given a regular cell complex K , we assume that $C_k(K, \mathbb{Q})$ is endowed by a scalar product such that the collection of all the k -cells correspond to an orthonormal basis. Let ∂ denote the standard boundary operator, and let ∂^* be its adjoint operator related to the scalar product. Define the *discrete Laplacian* as $\Delta = \partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^*$. The kernel of Δ consists of some closed chains (called *harmonic chains*). It is known that each homology class is uniquely representable by a harmonic chain.

If $\Delta : C_k(K, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C_k(K, \mathbb{Q})$ is invertible (i.e., if $H_k(K, \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes), there exists the inverse operator $\Delta^{-1} : C_k(K, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C_k(K, \mathbb{Q})$ called *Green operator*.

Assume we have a regular cell complex Γ which is a combinatorial sphere S^n . Take the *cone over* $Skel_k(\Gamma)$. It is a cell complex defined as $Cone(Skel_k(\Gamma)) = Skel_k(\Gamma) * O$, where $*$ denotes the join operation, and O is the apex of the cone. Patch the cone to the combinatorial sphere Γ via the natural inclusion map $Skel_k \subset Cone(Skel_k)$. Also patch a disk D^{n+1} to Γ along a homomorphism between Γ and ∂D^{n+1} . We obtain some cell complex and denote it by $PC_k(\Gamma)$.

Let $k < n - 1$, and let $a \in C_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ be a closed chain. Consider a (uniquely defined) $(k + 1)$ -chain $\bar{a} \in C_{k+1}(Cone(Skel_k(\Gamma)))$ with the property $\partial \bar{a} = -a$. In simple words, \bar{a} assigns the coefficient $-a(\sigma^k)$ to the cell $\sigma^k * O$. Now we extend the chain by keeping its coefficients on the cells of type $\sigma^k * O$ and assigning some coefficients to $(k + 1)$ -cells of Γ . The chain \bar{a} extends in many ways to a closed chain on $PC_k(\Gamma)$. All closed extensions represent one and the same homology class of $PC_k(\Gamma)$. Denote the unique harmonic (with respect to $PC_k(\Gamma)$) representative of the homology class by $h(a)$.

Decompose $h(a)$ as $h(a) = \bar{a} + \mathcal{H}(a)$. We have necessarily $\mathcal{H}(a) \in C_{k+1}(\Gamma)$. Since $\Delta h(a) = 0$, we have $\Delta \bar{a} + \Delta \mathcal{H}(a) = 0$, and therefore $\Delta \mathcal{H}(a) = \partial^* a$.

Since $h(a)$ is a closed chain, $\partial h(a) = 0$, so $\partial \bar{a} + \partial \mathcal{H}(a) = 0$, hence $\partial \mathcal{H}(a) = a$.

Thus we arrive at the following:

Definition 2.4. *Let $k \leq n - 1$, and let $a \in C_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ be a closed k -dimensional chain. The harmonic extension of a is the chain $\mathcal{H}(a) \in C_{k+1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ defined as $\mathcal{H}(a) = \Delta^{-1}(\partial^* a)$.*

Lemma 2.5. *1. For the harmonic extension we have*

$$\partial \mathcal{H}(a) = a.$$

2. The harmonic extension of an s -chain a is representable as

$$\mathcal{H}(a) = \frac{1}{N - M} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N c_i - \sum_{j=1}^M c'_j \right),$$

where $M \neq N$ are some natural numbers, $\partial c_i = \partial c'_j = a$, and each of the chains c_i and c'_j is an s -patch of a . \square

Important remarks: 1. Harmonic extension depends on the complex Γ , not only on the chain a . For instance, if one subdivides Γ but keeps a , the harmonic extension changes.

2. Although harmonic extension makes sense for all $k \leq n-1$, for the sake of a shortcut, for $n-1$ -dimensional chains we use also a different technique of reversal of the boundary operator, see below.

Winding number. Dimension- n extension

Throughout the section Γ is a regular cell complex which is a combinatorial sphere S^n . Let $\Sigma \in C_{n-1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ be a closed chain, let $x, y \in S^n \setminus \text{Skel}_{n-1}(\Gamma)$ be some points.

Definition 2.6. *The winding number $\mathcal{W}(x, y, \Sigma)$ is defined as the algebraic number of intersections of Σ and $[x, y]$, where $[x, y]$ is a smooth (or a piecewise linear) oriented path from x to y which intersects the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of Γ transversally. If the endpoints x and y are fixed, the winding number is independent on the choice of the path $[xy]$. Borrowing notation from intersection theory, and treating $[x, y]$ as a 1-chain, we write*

$$\mathcal{W}(x, y, \Sigma) = \Sigma \smile [x, y].$$

Examples.

1. If Σ arises as a pushforward of the fundamental class of some $\psi : S^1 \rightarrow S^2$, and one imagines S^2 as \mathbb{R}^2 compactified by the point $\infty = y$, then $\mathcal{W}(x, y, \Sigma)$ is exactly the winding number of Σ around the point x , see Fig. 2 for an illustration.
2. If Σ is an average of cycles represented by submanifolds, then $\mathcal{W}(x, y, \Sigma)$ is the average of winding numbers.




Figure 2: Winding number for the chain depicted as the green curve.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\Sigma \in C_{n-1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ be a closed chain.*

1. For each cell $\sigma_1^n \in \Gamma$ there exists a unique chain $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \sigma_1^n} \in C_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ whose coefficient $\mathcal{C}(\sigma_1^n)$ at σ_1^n vanishes, and $\partial \mathcal{C} = \Sigma$.
2. The values of \mathcal{C} on other cells are the winding numbers: For all $\sigma_2^n \in \Gamma$,

$$\mathcal{C}(\sigma_2^n) = \mathcal{W}(x, y, \Sigma),$$

where $x \in \sigma_1$, $y \in \sigma_2$.

3. If Σ is an s -chain, then \mathcal{C} is an s -patch. □

Definition 2.8. Let $\Sigma \in C_{n-1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ be a closed chain, let $y \in S^n \setminus \text{Skel}_{n-1}(\Gamma)$ be some point, and V is a vertex of Γ . Assume also that x is incident to exactly $n + 1$ top-dimensional cells of Γ .

The winding number is defined as the average

$$\mathcal{W}(V, y, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum \mathcal{W}(x_i, y, \Sigma),$$

where the sum ranges over points x_i , one point from each n -cell incident to V . From now on for fixed V and Σ we treat $\mathcal{W}(V, \Sigma)$ as an n -chain, the linear combination of n -cells, such that the coefficient of a cell equals the winding number of an inner point of the cell.

The following is obvious:

Lemma 2.9. 1. $\partial \mathcal{W}(V, y, \Sigma) = \Sigma$.

2. The operator $\mathcal{W}(V, y, \Sigma)$ is linear with respect Σ .

3. If Σ is an s -chain, then $\mathcal{W}(V, \Sigma)$ is an average of its s -patches. □

3 The first formula

1. Fix a simplex σ^{n+1} in the base B . Denote its vertices by v_0, \dots, v_{n+1} and consider the complex $\Gamma_{01\dots n+1} = \Gamma_{01\dots n+1}(\sigma^{n+1})$. Take all existing $(n+2)$ -tuples V_0, \dots, V_{n+1} of its vertices, one of each color, that is, $V_i \in \pi^{-1}(v_i)$ is a vertex of Γ_i . For each of the tuples do the following.
2. For each oriented edge ij , take the 0-chain $-V_i + V_j \in C_0(\Gamma_{ij}, \mathbb{Q})$ and compute its harmonic extension related to the complex Γ_{ij} :

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \mathcal{H}(-V_i + V_j).$$

3. For each oriented triple of vertices ijk compute the harmonic extension related to the complex Γ_{ijk} :

$$\Sigma_{ijk} = \mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{jk} - \Sigma_{ik} + \Sigma_{ij}).$$

4. Proceed in the same way for $k \leq n$. Consider a $(k+1)$ -tuple of the vertices of the simplex σ^{n+1} , say, v_0, \dots, v_k . Assuming that the chains $\Sigma_{0\dots\hat{i}\dots k}$ are defined on the previous step, compute the harmonic extension related to the complex $\Gamma_{0\dots k}$:

$$\Sigma_{0\dots k} = \mathcal{H}\left(\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \Sigma_{0\dots\hat{i}\dots k}\right) \in C_k(\Gamma_{0\dots k}).$$

5. Eventually we arrive at a collection of chains $\Sigma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots n+1}$. The chain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \Sigma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots n+1} \in C_n(\Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$$

is a closed n -chain, and thus represents some rational number $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{e}(\sigma^{n+1})$.

Theorem 3.1. *1. The number $\mathfrak{e}(\sigma^{n+1})$ depends on the combinatorics of $\pi^{-1}(\sigma^{n+1})$ and the choice of V_1, \dots, V_n only.*

2. *A change of orientation of σ^{n+1} changes the sign of $\mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$.*
3. *The function that assigns to each $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplex the σ^{n+1} the average of $\mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$ over all $(n+2)$ -tuples of vertices (V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}) , one vertex from each color,*

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{|\text{Vert}(\Gamma_0)| \dots |\text{Vert}(\Gamma_{n+1})|} \sum_{\forall i V_i \in \text{Vert}(\Gamma_i)} \mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$$

is a closed cochain that represents the rational Euler class of the fiber bundle.

4. *This cochain coincides with the cochain obtained in Mnëv [8], Theorem 8.*

4 The second formula: using a shortcut on the last step

1. Fix a simplex σ^{n+1} in the base B . Denote its vertices by v_0, \dots, v_{n+1} and consider the complex $\Gamma_{01\dots n+1} = \Gamma_{01\dots n+1}(\sigma^{n+1})$. Take all existing $(n+2)$ -tuples V_0, \dots, V_{n+1} of its vertices, one of each color, that is, $V_i \in \pi^{-1}(v_i)$ is a vertex of Γ_i . For each of the tuples do the following.

2. For each oriented edge ij , take the 0-chain $-V_i + V_j \in C_0(\Gamma_{ij}, \mathbb{Q})$ and compute its harmonic extension related to the complex Γ_{ij} :

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \mathcal{H}(-V_i + V_j).$$

3. For each oriented triple of vertices ijk compute the harmonic extension related to the complex Γ_{ijk} :

$$\Sigma_{ijk} = \mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{jk} - \Sigma_{ik} + \Sigma_{ij}).$$

4. Proceed in the same way for $k < n$. Consider a $(k+1)$ -tuple of the vertices of the simplex σ^{n+1} , say, v_0, \dots, v_k . Assuming that the chains $\Sigma_{0\dots\hat{i}\dots k}$ are defined on the previous step, compute the harmonic extension related to the complex $\Gamma_{0\dots k}$:

$$\Sigma_{0\dots k} = \mathcal{H}\left(\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \Sigma_{0\dots\hat{i}\dots k}\right) \in C_k(\Gamma_{0\dots k}).$$

5. The last step is different: assuming that $\Sigma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots\hat{j}\dots n+1}$ are already known, denote

$$\Sigma_{\hat{i}} = \Sigma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots n+1} = \sum_{j \neq i} (-1)^{j+\frac{\text{sign}(j-i)+1}{2}} \Sigma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots\hat{j}\dots n+1},$$

and set

$$\mathfrak{E}_i = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k \neq i} \mathcal{W}(V_i, V_k, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}) \in C_n(\Gamma_{0\dots n+1}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Theorem 4.1. 1. The chain \mathfrak{E}_i does not depend on i .

2. The chain \mathfrak{E}_i is closed, and thus equals the fundamental class multiplied by some rational number $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$ which depends on the combinatorics of $\pi^{-1}(\sigma^{n+1})$ and the choice of V_1, \dots, V_n only.
3. A change of orientation of σ^{n+1} changes the sign of $\mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$.
4. The function that assigns to each $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplex the σ^{n+1} the average of $\mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$ over all $(n+2)$ -tuples of vertices (V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}) , one vertex from each color,

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{|\text{Vert}(\Gamma_0)| \dots |\text{Vert}(\Gamma_{n+1})|} \sum_{\forall i V_i \in \text{Vert}(\Gamma_i)} \mathfrak{e}(V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}; \Gamma_{01\dots n+1})$$

is a closed cochain that represents the rational Euler class of the fiber bundle.

5 Proof of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1. Construction of partial sections

We shall construct a collection of partial sections step by step, guided by combinatorics of the triangulated fiber bundle. We start by partial sections over the zero skeleton of the complex K_B and extend them stepwise to the skeleta of bigger dimensions up to $Skel_n(K_B)$.

We assume that an orientation is fixed for all the simplices of the complex K_B . Let us start by fixing an oriented simplex $\sigma^{n+1} \in K_B$, enumerate its vertices consistently with the orientation, and fix a local trivialization of the bundle over σ^{n+1} .

Step 0. Take one of the vertices of σ^{n+1} , say, v_0 . Its preimage $\pi^{-1}(v_0)$ is a triangulated sphere. Set a partial section s over v_0 to be equal a vertex V_0 of the dual cell complex Γ_0 of the triangulation.

Repeat this for all the vertices of the complex K_B . So, we have a collection of partial sections over $Skel_0(K_B)$.

In other words, for the simplex σ^{n+1} a partial section fixes a collection V_0, \dots, V_{n+1} of $n+2$ colored vertices in the complex $\Gamma_{012\dots n+1}$, one vertex for each color.

Step 1. Take an edge of σ^{n+1} , say, $(v_i v_j)$, and consider the complex Γ_{ij} . Remind that it equals the superposition of Γ_i and Γ_j . We have already defined a partial section over the vertices v_i and v_j by fixing V_i and V_j . These are vertices of the complex Γ_{ij} . The chain

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \mathcal{H}(-V_i + V_j) \in C_1(\Gamma_{ij}, \mathbb{Q})$$

is a (uniquely defined) 1-chain whose boundary is $-V_i + V_j$. By Lemma 2.5, Σ_{ij} is an average of a collection of chains supported by paths from V_i to V_j , that is, as an average of chains supported by partial sections over the edge $v_i v_j$.

Treating in similar way the rest of the edges and the rest of the simplices, we arrive at a collection of partial sections over $Skel_1(K_B)$.

Step 2.

Now our goal is to extend each of the partial sections to $Skel_2(K_B)$. For each triple of the vertices v_i, v_j, v_k of σ^{n+1} consider the closed 1-chain $\Sigma_{jk} - \Sigma_{ik} + \Sigma_{ij}$. Here we tacitly assume that the simplex $v_i v_j v_k$ is oriented, and the order ijk is consistent with the orientation. Remind that

$$\Sigma_{ijk} = \mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{jk} - \Sigma_{ik} + \Sigma_{ij}) \in C_2(\Gamma_{ijk}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

is the harmonic extension taken with respect to Γ_{ijk} .

Lemma 5.1. *The chain Σ_{ijk} is an average of chains supported by partial sections of the bundle defined on the face $(v_i v_j v_k)$ of the simplex σ^{n+1} .*

Proof. We know already that Σ_{ij} , Σ_{jk} , and Σ_{ki} are averages chains supported by some paths. We may assume that in the averaging expressions from Lemma 2.3

$$\frac{1}{M-N} \left(\sum_{p=1}^M |\tilde{s}_p| - \sum_{q=1}^N |\tilde{s}'_q| \right)$$

the numbers M (respectively, N) are the same for each of the three chains. If not, the following tricks help: (1) one adds and subtracts some s-patch, and thus increase N and M by one, and (2) for a given number $T \in \mathbb{Z}$ one takes each of the sections T times and then divide the expression by T .

Moreover, for the sake of consistency we should make these numbers one and the same for all the edges of the complex K_B , which is possible by the same reason.

Arrange the summands that appear in decompositions of Σ_{ij} , Σ_{jk} , and $-\Sigma_{ik}$, positive ones with positive ones, and negative ones with negative ones, to create closed triples of paths. Apply Lemma 2.5 to each of the triples. \square

Treating in similar way the rest of the 2-faces, we arrive at an average of partial sections over $Skel_2(K_B)$.

We proceed stepwise in the similar manner. Here is how the general step looks like:

Step k.

Extend each of the partial sections to $Skel_k(K_B)$. For each $(k+1)$ -tuple of the vertices, say, v_0, \dots, v_k of σ^{n+1} consider the closed k -chain

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \Sigma_{0 \dots \hat{i} \dots k} \in C_{k-1}(\Gamma_{0 \dots k}).$$

According to the previous section, we set

$$\Sigma_{0 \dots k} = \mathcal{H} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \Sigma_{0 \dots \hat{i} \dots k} \right) \in C_k(\Gamma_{0 \dots k}).$$

The harmonic extension is taken with respect to the complex $\Gamma_{0 \dots k}$.

The chain $\Sigma_{0 \dots k}$ is an average of chains supported by partial sections over the simplex $[v_0 \dots v_k]$. This is proven by arguments similar to those of Lemma 5.1.

Remark. One and the same k -simplex v_0, \dots, v_k serves as a face of several $(n+1)$ -simplices. This construction relies on the complex $\Gamma_{0 \dots k}$ only, hence it is independent on the choice of σ^{n+1} .

- For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we proceed this way for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, including $k = n$. On the step n we arrive at a closed n -chain which is an average of chains supported by partial sections over the boundary of σ^{n+1} . Proposition 1.1 and the averaging idea **complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.**
- In the proof of Theorem 4.1 the final step n is different:

Step n.

Our goal is to extend the average partial sections to $Skel_n(K_B)$. Now we plan to use the dimension- n extension. On this step we further average some extended sections, but luckily some summands get cancelled.

For each i set

$$\Sigma_{\hat{i}} = \sum_{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n+1\}} (-1)^{j + \frac{\text{sign}(j-i)+1}{2}} \Sigma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots\hat{j}\dots n+1}.$$

By construction, $\Sigma_{\hat{i}}$ is a closed chain related to $\Gamma_{012\dots\hat{i}\dots n+1}$.

Lemma 5.2.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \Sigma_{\hat{i}} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \mathcal{W}(x, y, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \notin \text{Skel}_{n-1}(\Gamma_{01\dots n+1}). \quad \square$$

Fix $\Sigma_{\hat{i}}$. For each V_j , $j \neq i$, we take the associated dimension- n extensions $\mathcal{W}(V_j, \Sigma_{\hat{i}})$. Altogether we have $(n+1)$ extensions of $\Sigma_{\hat{i}}$, each of them is an average of some s-patches of the chain $\Sigma_{\hat{i}}$ by Lemma 2.3.

Therefore the chain

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{j \neq i} \mathcal{W}(V_j, \Sigma_{\hat{i}})$$

is also an average of some s-patches of the chain $\Sigma_{\hat{i}}$.

The further summation by i yields a closed chain which is the average of some partial sections defined on $\partial\sigma^{n+1}$:

$$\mathfrak{E} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \mathcal{W}(V_j, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}).$$

Let us evaluate this chain at a point x .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(x) &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \mathcal{W}(V_j, x, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_i \sum_j \mathcal{W}(V_j, x, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}) - \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_i \mathcal{W}(V_i, x, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}). \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $x = V_k$ and observe that the first sum vanishes by Lemma 5.2.

$$\mathfrak{E}(V_k) = -\frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_i \mathcal{W}(V_i, V_k, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_i \mathcal{W}(V_k, V_i, \Sigma_{\hat{i}}).$$

So \mathfrak{E} is a closed n -chain, which is, by construction and by Lemmata 2.9 and 2.3 the average of chains supported by some partial sections defined on $\partial\sigma^{n+1}$ whose restriction on v_i are V_i . One more averaging over all possible choices of V_0, \dots, V_{n+1} , $V_i \in \text{Vert}(\Gamma_i)$ yields a cochain $\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{n+1})$ representing the Euler class. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed. \square

6 Some concluding remarks

Case $n = 1$. Circle bundles.

Let us show that for $n = 1$ Theorem 4.1 leads to the formula from [7]. For a simplex $\sigma^{n+1} = \sigma^2$ in the base, the complex Γ_{012} is a triangulated circle which is viewed as a necklace with beads colored by three colors, say, red, blue, and green. The algorithm has two steps. Step 0 fixes a multicolored triple of beads. Combinatorially, there are two types of red-blue-green triples, positively and negatively oriented ones. Step 1 is also the Step n , so in this case we do not use harmonic extensions. We only use the dimension- n extensions. The chain $\Sigma_{\hat{0}}$ is a 0-chain represented by a pair of beads V_1 and V_2 . It can be patched by one of the arches of the circle connecting V_1 and V_2 (surely, there exist other patches that wind many times around the circle, but according to our formula we use only these ones). Its dimension- n extension equals the average of these two patches. One concludes that for each triple we average over eight sections; Figure 3 represents one of them. A simple analysis shows that each positively oriented triple of beads contributes $\frac{-1}{2}$, whereas a negative orientation yields $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the cochain representing the Euler class assigns to each simplex σ^2 from the base the number

$$\frac{\#(neg) - \#(pos)}{2 \cdot \#(red) \cdot \#(blue) \cdot \#(green)}.$$

Here $\#(pos)$ (respectively, $\#(neg)$) is the number of positively (resp., negatively) oriented multicolored triples of beads; $\#(red)$ denotes the number of red beads, etc.




Figure 3: A necklace representing Γ_{012} . One of the eight partial sections related to a multicolored triple. This particular section contributes zero to the cochain count since the associated degree of $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is zero.

Other local combinatorial formulae

This approach leaves much room for deducing other local combinatorial formulae.

For instance, one can use harmonic extensions for all the steps. This leads to the closest relative of N. Mněv's formula from [8].

Another example. Instead of harmonic extension, one can use *minimal extension*: on the first step one connects V_i and V_j by the shortest path in the 1-skeleton of the complex

Γ_{ij} . By length of a path in a graph we mean the number of edges. If there are several shortest paths, one needs to average.

On the second step one takes the patch of the "minimal area", that is, the subcomplex of the 2-skeleton of Γ_{ijk} with the minimal number of 2-cells, etc.

Another way to obtain a local combinatorial formula is to use a *deformed Laplacian* instead of the usual one. That is, one can fix an other scalar product on $C_k(\Gamma)$, for instance, to set the length of a vector associated to a cell to be dependant on the combinatorics of the cell (say, on the number of vertices of the cell).

One expects a formula for some other cochain (representing the same cohomology class).

References

- [1] B. Eckman, *Harmonische Funktionen und Randwertaufgaben in einem Komplex*, Commentarii mathematici Helvetici (1944/45) Vol. 17, 240-255.
- [2] A. Fomenko, D. Fuchs, *Homotopical topology*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 273, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [3] G. Gangopadhyay, *Counting triangles formula for the first Chern class of a circle bundle*, arxiv:1712.03024v2
- [4] K. Igusa, *Combinatorial Miller–Morita–Mumford classes and Witten cycles*, Algebr. Geom. Topol., 4:1 (2004), 473–520
- [5] M.Kazarian, *The Chern-Euler number of circle bundle via singularity theory*, Math. Scand., 82:2 (1998), 207-236
- [6] J.H. Milnor, J.D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 76. Princeton University Press,(1974) Princeton.
- [7] N. Mnev and G. Sharygin, *On local combinatorial formulas for Chern classes of a triangulated circle bundle*, J. Math. Sci., New York, 224(2):304–327, 2017.
- [8] N. Mnëv, *A note on a local combinatorial formula for the Euler class of a PL spherical fiber bundle*, arXiv:2109.06143v2, to be published in J. Math. Sci., New York
- [9] N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*,(1951) Princeton.