Asymptotic flatness in higher dimensions

Peter Cameron[∗]

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, UK.

> Piotr T. Chruściel[†] Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Boltzmanngasse 5, A 1090 Vienna, Austria.

> > 4 March 2022

Abstract

We show that $(n+1)$ -dimensional Myers-Perry metrics, $n \geq 4$, have a conformal completion at spacelike infinity of $C^{n-3,1}$ differentiability class, and that the result is optimal in even spacetime dimensions. The associated asymptotic symmetries are presented.

1 Introduction

One of the classical questions in general relativity is that of the behaviour of the gravitational field when receding to infinity in spacelike directions. This has been studied in detail in many works in spacetime dimension four, see e.g. $[2-6, 8, 9, 13-15, 18]$. In particular, as is well known, Minkowski spacetime has a conformal completion that includes a point at spacelike infinity, called i_0 , such that the conformally extended metric is smooth near this point. It is also well known that the usual extension of the Minkowskian construction to fourdimensional Schwarzschild spacetime leads to a conformal completion with a metric which is continuous [2,5,9], but no such extension which is C^1 is known. Now, in standard examples of higher dimensional spacetimes the metric decays faster to the Minkowski metric at spacelike infinity, and therefore one expects that such constructions will lead to metrics with better differentiability properties at i_0 in higher dimensions. The question then arises about the precise degree of differentiability that one can achieve.

Now, the conformal method of constructing solutions of the general relativistic constraint equations shows that the decay of the metric towards the flat one, when receding in spacelike directions, can be arbitrarily slow. Therefore nothing striking can be said in this generality. But the study of asymptotics of initial data sets, and thus of the associated spacetimes, has been given new life by the work of Corvino and Schoen [12] (compare [10]), who show that rather general initial data sets can be deformed at large distance to members of any family of metrics which contains a complete set of asymptotic charges. A particularly useful such family is provided by the Myers-Perry metrics, to which boosts have been applied. The glued

[∗] pjc96@cam.ac.uk

[†] piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at

metric is then stationary near i_0 , which gives one useful information concerning the resulting evolution. This leads one naturally to raise the question of conformal properties near i_0 of spacetimes within this family.

The object of this note is to show that $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Myers-Perry metrics admit a conformal completion which includes a point i_0 at spatial infinity so that past and future null infinities \mathscr{I}^{\pm} form the null cone emanating from this point, with a metric which is of $C^{n-3,1}$ differentiability class near this point. Thus, all derivatives of order less than $n-3$ extend continuously to i_0 and \mathscr{I} , while the derivatives of the metric of order $n-3$ remain bounded as i_0 is approached, but do not necessarily extend to functions which are continuous at i_0 .

We conclude that the conformal structure at spacelike and null infinity is quite similar to that of Minkowski spacetime, except for a loss of differentiability at i_0 which is less severe than in the $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional case. We also show that the result is optimal in *even* spacetime dimensions in the following sense: no C^{n-2} completions exist unless the total mass vanishes.

Recall, now, that the Riemannian metric obtained from quotienting the space-time metric of stationary, odd-dimensional, electrovacuum metrics by the stationary isometries admits a conformal completion at a point at infinity which is real-analytic [4]. But this result does not translate in any obvious way to the associated spacetime metric. And we do not know whether or not our result is optimal in such dimensions either.

Our observation concerning the differentiability of the conformally rescaled metric has immediate consequences concerning causality near $i₀$. Recall that the usual results of causality theory, except perhaps the ones explicitly involving geodesics, apply for metrics which are Lipschitz continuous [11,16], and hence in dimensions $n \geq 4$ for metrics with the Myers-Perry asymptotics by our results here. Some care has to be taken in the borderline case $n = 4$, since not all statements involving geodesics remain true for $C^{1,1}$ metrics. However, when $n > 4$ the full standard causality theory, including arguments involving geodesics, applies.

Clearly the case $n = 3$ requires special attention, since the conformal metric is only Hölder continuous at i_0 as constructed here or in [2,9]. Recall that a function f is Hölder continuous if there exist constants $C \geq 0$, $\alpha > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$ for all x and y in the domain of f. If f and all its partial derivatives up to and including order k are Hölder continuous with exponent α , then we write $f \in C^{k,\alpha}$. It is not obvious whether or not the constructions can be improved to yield a conformally rescaled metric which is Lipschitzcontinuous at i_0 . However, the conformal metric is smooth everywhere except at i_0 . It is then easily seen that the causal bubbles of [11] do not occur. So, as emphasised in that last reference, standard causality theory, except possibly some arguments involving geodesics, applies also in this case.

This note is organised as follows: We start with a short discussion of three dimensional spacetimes in Section 2. We continue in Section 3 with the somewhat simpler case of the Schwarzschild metric in all spacetime dimensions $n + 1 \geq 4$. The Myers-Perry metrics are analysed in Section 4. We show in Section 5 that the ADM mass provides an obstruction to C^{n-2} -differentiability in even spacetime dimensions. Asymptotic symmetries of metrics with $C^{n-3,1}$ -conformal completions are discussed in Section 6.

2 Three dimensional spacetimes

Three-dimensional spacetimes are of course special. The requirement that the metric be empty at large spacelike distances imposes flatness. If one assumes the existence of a well-behaved spacelike hypersurface, the space-geometry at large distances is that of a flat cone with a deficit angle. The deficit angle can *a priori* have either sign, but positivity of matter energy implies positivity of this angle (cf., e.g. [7, Section 1.1.1]). Then the spacetime metric is flat in the Minkowskian domain of dependence of the angular sector

$$
\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| \ge R, \arg z \in [0, \alpha] \} \subset \{t = 0\},\tag{2.1}
$$

with $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi)$ except if there is no matter, and with $R > 0$, where the boundary arg $z = 0$ should be identified with the boundary $\arg z = \alpha$. The Lorentzian Kelvin transformation (3.3) with $r^* = |z|$ leads, after addition of a point $i_0 = \{t = 1/|z| = 0\}$, to a conformal completion where the conformally rescaled metric has a deficit angle of $2\pi - \alpha$ at i_0 on the spacelike hyperplane $\{\tau = 0\}$, and the null conformal boundary at infinity, \mathscr{I} , is the light-cone emanating from i_0 with the same deficit angle.

3 The Schwarzschild metric

Let $d\Omega_{n-1}^2$ denote the unit round metric on S^{n-1} . We rewrite the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Schwarzschild metric as

$$
g = -(1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}})dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}} + r^{2}d\Omega_{n-1}^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= (1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}})(-dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{(1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}})^{2}}) + r^{2}d\Omega_{n-1}^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= (1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}})(-dt^{2} + dr_{*}^{2} + r_{*}^{2}d\Omega_{n-1}^{2}) + (\frac{r^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}} - r_{*}^{2})d\Omega_{n-1}^{2}).
$$
\n(3.1)

When ignoring the last correction term, and up to a conformal factor, this is the Minkowski metric with a radial coordinate

$$
r_{*} = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{dr'}{1 - \frac{2m}{r'^{n-2}}} = \begin{cases} r + 2m\ln\left(\frac{r}{2m} - 1\right), & n = 3; \\ r + \frac{2m}{3-n}r^{3-n} + \frac{4m^{2}}{5-2n}r^{5-2n} + \frac{8m^{3}}{7-3n}r^{7-3n} + \dots, & n \ge 4. \end{cases}
$$
(3.2)

Now, the Minkowski part of the metric can be conformally completed by adding a point at infinity i_0 : letting

$$
\tau = \frac{t}{r_*^2 - t^2}, \qquad \rho = \frac{r_*}{r_*^2 - t^2}, \tag{3.3}
$$

we have

$$
-dt^{2} + dr_{*}^{2} + r_{*}^{2} d\Omega_{n-1}^{2} = \frac{1}{(\rho^{2} - \tau^{2})^{2}} \left(-d\tau^{2} + d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2} d\Omega_{n-1}^{2} \right),
$$

with the point $\tau = 0 = \rho$ representing i₀. This allows us to rewrite (3.1) as

$$
g \equiv \Omega^{-2} \tilde{g} = \underbrace{\frac{1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}}{(\rho^2 - \tau^2)^2} \left(-d\tau^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_{n-1}^2 + (\rho^2 - \tau^2)^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}} - r_*^2 \right) d\Omega_{n-1}^2}_{=: \psi} \right)}_{=: \delta \tilde{g}}.
$$
\n(3.4)

The question arises of the regularity at i_0 of the conformal factor Ω , of the function ψ and of the correction term $\delta \tilde{g}$. For this we will need to invert (3.3):

$$
t = \frac{\tau}{\rho^2 - \tau^2}, \qquad r_* = \frac{\rho}{\rho^2 - \tau^2}.
$$
 (3.5)

We consider first the somewhat simpler case $n \geq 4$, since then r asymptotes to $r_*,$ and there are no log terms. One then finds that there exist smooth functions $f, f_*, h, h_* : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, with $f(0) = f_*(0) = h(0) = h_*(0) = 0$, such that for large r, and assuming that all coordinates as well as the mass are unitless, we have

$$
r_{*} = r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{(n-3)r^{n-2}} (1 + f(r^{2-n})) \right),
$$

\n
$$
r = r_{*} \left(1 + \frac{2m}{(n-3)r^{n-2}} (1 + f_{*}(r_{*}^{2-n})) \right),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{r^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}} - r_{*}^{2} = \frac{2(n-1)m}{(n-3)r^{n-4}} (1 + h(r^{2-n}))
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{2(n-1)m}{(n-3)r^{n-4}} (1 + h_{*}(r_{*}^{2-n}))
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{2(n-1)m}{(n-3)} \left(\rho \left(1 - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \right) \right)^{n-4} \left(1 + h_{*} \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}} \right)^{2-n} \right) \right),
$$

\n
$$
\rho^{-2}\psi = \frac{2(n-1)m}{(n-3)} \rho^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \right)^{n-2} \times
$$

\n
$$
\left(1 + h_{*} (\rho^{n-2} (1 - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\rho^{2}})^{n-2}) \right),
$$

\n
$$
\delta \tilde{g} = \psi d\Omega_{n-1}^{2} = \psi \rho^{-2} ((dx^{1})^{2} + ... + (dx^{n})^{2} - d\rho^{2})
$$

\n
$$
= \psi \rho^{-2} ((dx^{1})^{2} + ... + (dx^{n})^{2} - \rho^{-2} (x^{1} dx^{1} + ... + x^{n} dx^{n})^{2}),
$$

\n(3.6)

where (x, y, z) are the usual Cartesian coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 , with $\rho^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$.

Now, in the coordinates above the original Schwarzschild metric is defined only in the region $-\rho < \tau < \rho$. Hence it is convenient to extend the function τ^2/ρ^2 beyond $\tau = \pm \rho$ by any smooth function which is constant for, say, $|\tau| \geq 2\rho$. Then both $\delta\tilde{g}$ and \tilde{g} , so extended, are continuous in a neighborhood of $\{\rho = 0\}$ for all $n \geq 4$.

Next, the function $\lambda := \psi \rho^{-2}$ appearing in (3.6). has the property that for all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ we have, for small ρ ,

$$
\rho^{\alpha_0+\ldots+\alpha_n}\partial_0^{\alpha_0}\cdots\partial_n^{\alpha_n}\lambda=O(\rho^{n-2}).
$$

A similar property holds for the functions $\lambda x^{i}x^{j}/\rho^{2}$:

$$
\rho^{\alpha_0+\ldots+\alpha_n}\partial_0^{\alpha_0}\cdots\partial_n^{\alpha_n}\left(\lambda \frac{x^i x^j}{\rho^2}\right) = O(\rho^{n-2}).
$$

It follows that $\lambda \in C^{n-3,1}$ for $n \geq 4$, which shows that \tilde{g} is of differentiability class $C^{n-3,1}$ near i_0 .

We note that, in even space dimensions, both the conformal factor Ω and the metric induced on the slices $\tau = 0$, as constructed above, are smooth, even analytic. This is a special case of $[4]$, where analyticity at i_0 is established on static slices for all metrics which are static and vacuum at large distances in even space dimensions larger than 6; analyticity is also established there in dimension four if one assumes in addition the non-vanishing of the ADM mass.

For completeness we apply the method above to the $n = 3$ case, already analysed in [2, Appendix C] from a somewhat different perspective, with two completions, better behaved than the one here, constructed in [9]:

$$
r_{*} = r + 2m \ln(\frac{r}{2m} - 1),
$$

\n
$$
r = r_{*} - 2m \ln(\frac{r_{*}}{2m}) + \frac{4m^{2} (\ln(\frac{r_{*}}{2m}) + 1)}{r_{*}} + O(\frac{\ln^{2} r_{*}}{r_{*}^{2}}),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{r^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} - r_{*}^{2} = -4mr_{*} \ln(\frac{r_{*}}{2m}) + 2mr_{*} + O(\ln^{2}(\frac{r_{*}}{2m}))
$$

\n
$$
= -\frac{4m\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}} \ln(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}}) + 2m\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}} + O(\ln^{2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}})),
$$

\n
$$
\psi = -4m\rho(\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}) \ln(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}}) + 2m\rho(\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}),
$$

\n
$$
+ O((\rho^{2} - \tau^{2})^{2} \ln^{2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}}))
$$

\n
$$
\rho^{-2}\psi = 4m\rho(1 - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\rho^{2}}) (\ln(1 - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\rho^{2}}) + \ln(\rho) - 2m\rho)
$$

\n
$$
+ O(\rho^{2}(1 - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\rho^{2}})^{2} \ln^{2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} - \tau^{2}})),
$$

\n
$$
\delta \tilde{g} \equiv \psi d\Omega_{2}^{2} = \psi\rho^{-2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2} - d\rho^{2}).
$$

\n(3.8)

Note that for any
$$
\alpha \in (0,1)
$$
 the function $(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}) \ln (1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2})$ extends in $C^{0,\alpha}$ across the light-cone $\tau = \pm \rho$, but is not Lipschitz. Similarly $\rho \ln \rho$ is $C^{0,\alpha}$ but not $C^{0,1}$. It follows that, in the coordinates above, \tilde{g} can be extended across $\tau = \pm \rho$ to a metric satisfying $\tilde{g} \in C^{0,\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, but $\tilde{g} \notin C^{0,1}$.

An analysis similar to the above shows that the conformal factor in (3.4), namely

$$
\Omega = \frac{\rho^2 - \tau^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}},\tag{3.9}
$$

consists of a smooth function $\rho^2 - \tau^2$ multiplied by a function which is $C^{1,\alpha}$ across the lightcone for all $\alpha \in [0,1)$, but is not $C^{1,1}$ there.

We can remove this problematic function by absorbing a factor of $1-\frac{2m}{r}$ into \tilde{g} . This does not change the differentiability properties of \tilde{g} , and the resulting conformal factor, $\rho^2 - \tau^2$, is a smooth function on the conformally completed manifold.

4 Myers-Perry metrics

In Kerr-Schild-type coordinates the Myers-Perry metrics take the form [17]

$$
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + hk_{\mu}k_{\nu}, \qquad (4.1)
$$

where k_{μ} is a null vector for the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski metric η , and hence also for the full metric:

$$
g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - hk^{\mu}k^{\nu}, \quad \eta^{\mu\nu}k_{\mu}k_{\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}k_{\mu}k_{\nu} = 0, \quad k^{\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}k_{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}k_{\mu}
$$
(4.2)

and h is a function to be defined later.

In the calculations to follow we assume $n \geq 3$, and note that $n = 3$ is the Kerr metric in Kerr-Schild coordiantes.

In order to handle even and odd dimensions simultaneously, we introduce a parameter

$$
\epsilon = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2d \text{ is even;} \\ 1, & n = 2d + 1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}
$$

and write

$$
(x^{\mu}) \equiv (x^{0}, x^{i}) = (t, x_{1}, y_{1}, \dots, x_{d}, y_{d}, \epsilon z)
$$

= $(t, r_{1} \cos(\varphi_{1}), r_{1} \sin(\varphi_{1}), \dots, r_{d} \cos(\varphi_{d}), r_{d} \sin(\varphi_{d}), r_{d+1}),$ (4.3)

with the Minkowski metric taking the form

$$
\eta = -dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d (dx_i^2 + dy_i^2) + \epsilon dz^2 = -dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d (dr_i^2 + r_i^2 d\varphi_i^2) + dr_{d+1}^2.
$$

Note that with the definitions above, $r_{d+1} \equiv 0$ in even space dimensions $n = 2d$, so $dr_{d+1} \equiv 0$.

In these coordinates, the vector field k is

$$
k_{\alpha}dx^{\alpha} = dt + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\hat{r}(x_i dx_i + y_i dy_i) + a_i(x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i)}{\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2} + \epsilon \frac{z dz}{\hat{r}}
$$

= $dt + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{\hat{r} r_i dr_i + a_i r_i^2 d\varphi_i}{\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2}$ (4.4)

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants and we have set

$$
a_{d+1}=0.
$$

We also define the function \hat{r} implicitly by the condition that k is null:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{x_i^2 + y_i^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2} + \epsilon \frac{z^2}{\hat{r}^2} = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{r_i^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2} = 1. \tag{4.5}
$$

The function h in (4.2) is defined to be

$$
h = \frac{2m\hat{r}^{2-\epsilon}}{\Pi F} \tag{4.6}
$$

where

$$
F = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{a_i^2 (x_i^2 + y_i^2)}{(\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2)^2},
$$
\n(4.7)

$$
\Pi = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2).
$$
\n(4.8)

Letting

$$
r^{2} := \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} r_{i}^{2} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_{i}^{2} + y_{i}^{2}) + \epsilon z^{2},
$$

one finds the following asymptotic expansions for large r

$$
\hat{r}^2 = r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i^2 r_i^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-2}) = r^2 \left(1 + O(r^{-2}) \right),\tag{4.9}
$$

$$
F = 1 + O(r^{-2}), \tag{4.10}
$$

$$
\Pi = r^{n-\epsilon} \left(1 + O(r^{-2}) \right), \tag{4.11}
$$

$$
h = \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}} \left(1 + O(r^{-2}) \right) \tag{4.12}
$$

$$
g = \eta + \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}} \left(dt^2 + 2dt \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{rr_i dr_i + a_i r_i^2 d\varphi_i}{r^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{d+1} \frac{(rr_i dr_i + a_i r_i^2 d\varphi_i)(rr_j dr_j + a_j r_j^2 d\varphi_j)}{r^4} + O(r^{-2}) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} \right).
$$
\n(4.13)

We see from the last equation that the metric is asymptotically flat at spatial infinity, with well defined energy, but the mixed time-space metric functions decay slower by one power of r than usually expected. This can be remedied by a fine-tuning of the coordinates, but will not be necessary for our purposes.

We can carry out a variant of the calculations of Section 3 as follows: We write the metric as in (4.2) and perform a Kelvin transformation as in (3.3), with r_* there replaced by r,

$$
t = \frac{\tau}{\rho^2 - \tau^2}, \qquad r = \frac{\rho}{\rho^2 - \tau^2}, \tag{4.14}
$$

to obtain:

$$
g = \eta + h k \otimes k
$$

=
$$
\underbrace{\frac{1}{(\rho^2 - \tau^2)^2} \left(\frac{-d\tau^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_{n-1}^2}{\eta} + (\rho^2 - \tau^2)^2 h k \otimes k \right)}_{=:\Omega^{-2}}.
$$
 (4.15)

To understand the properties of $\Omega^2 g$ at

$$
i_0 := \{ \rho = \tau = 0 \}
$$
\n^(4.16)

we need to analyse the function h and the covector field

$$
\tilde{k} := (\rho^2 - \tau^2)k \,. \tag{4.17}
$$

For this we return to (4.5), which after setting

$$
r_i := r m_i (i = 1, ..., d + 1), \qquad x := 1/r, \qquad \hat{x} := 1/\hat{r}
$$

(thus $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} m_i^2 = 1$), can be rewritten as

$$
\hat{x} \underbrace{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{m_i^2}{1 + a_i^2 \hat{x}^2}}_{=:f_1(\hat{x}^2, m_i)} = x. \tag{4.18}
$$

The function $0 < f_1 \leq 1$ is real analytic, with $f_1(0, m_i) = 1$. One easily checks that $\hat{x} \mapsto \hat{x} f_1(\hat{x}^2, m_i)$ is strictly increasing so that, for any given collection (m_i) , by the (analytic) inverse function theorem for real-valued functions defined on subsets of R there exists a realanalytic function $s \mapsto f_2(s, m_i) > 0$ solving (4.18):

$$
\hat{x} = x f_2(x^2, m_i), \text{ with } f_2(0, m_i) = 1. \tag{4.19}
$$

In terms of (τ, ρ) coordinates this reads

$$
\hat{r} = \frac{1}{\rho \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right) f_2 \left(\rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right)^2, m_i\right)} =: \frac{f_3(\tau^2, \rho^2, m_i)}{\rho \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right)}, \text{ with } f_3(0, 0, m_i) = 1. \tag{4.20}
$$

As in the Schwarzchild case we are only interested in the region $|\tau/\rho| \leq 1$. It is therefore convenient to extend the function $1 - \tau^2/\rho^2$ beyond this region to a smooth function which is constant for $|\tau/\rho| \geq 2$. Then the function f_3 is a smooth function of its arguments on the set

$$
\mathscr{O} := \{ \tau^2 + \rho^2 < \delta \} \times \{ (m_i) \in S^{d + \epsilon - 1} \},
$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where $Sⁿ$ denotes the unit *n*-sphere for $n \ge 1$. It also follows that the functions r^{-2} , \hat{r}^{-2} , r/\hat{r} and \hat{r}/r are smooth on \mathcal{O} , with $r/\hat{r}|_{i_0} = 1$.

One now checks that there exist functions f , all smooth on \mathcal{O} , such that:

$$
F = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{a_i^2 r^2 m_i^2}{(\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2)^2} = 1 - \rho^2 f_4,
$$
\n(4.21)

$$
\Pi^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2)^{-1} = \rho^{n-\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2} \right)^n \left(1 + \rho^2 f_5 \right), \tag{4.22}
$$

$$
h = \frac{2m\hat{r}^{2-\epsilon}}{\Pi F} = 2m\rho^{n-2}\left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{n-2}\left(1 + \rho^2 f_6\right),\tag{4.23}
$$

$$
\tilde{k} = \rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right) \left(dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\hat{r}_i dr_i + a_i r_i^2 d\varphi_i}{\hat{r}^2 + a_i^2} + \epsilon \frac{z dz}{\hat{r}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right) \left(dt + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \left(m_i d(r m_i)(1 + \rho^2 f_{7,i}) + a_i m_i^2 (1 + \rho^2 f_{8,i}) d\varphi_i\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right) \left(\frac{d(t+r)}{(d\tau - d\rho)(\rho - \tau)^{-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \left(r m_i dm_i + \frac{\rho^2 f_{7,i} m_i d(r m_i)}{2} + a_i m_i^2 (1 + \rho^2 f_{8,i}) d\varphi_i\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \rho^3 f_{7,i} m_i dm_i / (\rho^2 - \tau^2) + \rho^2 f_{7,i} m_i^2 dr
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1 + \frac{\tau}{\rho}}{1 - \frac{\tau}{\rho}} (d\tau - d\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \left(\rho^3 f_{7,i} m_i dm_i + \rho^2 (1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}) \left(\rho^2 f_{7,i} m_i^2 dr + a_i m_i^2 (1 + \rho^2 f_{8,i}) d\varphi_i\right)\right).
$$
\n(4.24)

Using

$$
dr = \rho^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{2\tau}{\rho} d\tau - \left(1 + \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2} \right) d\rho \right), \tag{4.25}
$$

we can rewrite \tilde{k} as

$$
\tilde{k} = \frac{1 + \frac{\tau}{\rho}}{1 - \frac{\tau}{\rho}} (d\tau - d\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} (\rho^3 f_{7,i} m_i dm_i + a_i \rho^2 m_i^2 f_{9,i} d\varphi_i) \Big) + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} f_{7,i} m_i^2 (2\rho \tau d\tau - (\rho^2 + \tau^2) d\rho).
$$
\n(4.26)

It follows that $h\,\tilde{k} \otimes \tilde{k}$ takes the form

$$
h\,\tilde{k}\otimes\tilde{k} = 2m\rho^{n-2}\left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{n-4}\psi_{\mu\nu}dy^{\mu}dy^{\nu}\,,\tag{4.27}
$$

where $(y^{\mu}) \equiv (y^0, y^i) := (\tau, \rho m^i)$, and where the functions $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ satisfy, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$,

$$
\rho^{\alpha_0+\ldots+\alpha_n}(\partial_{y^0})^{\alpha_0}\cdots(\partial_{y^n})^{\alpha_n}\psi_{\mu\nu}=O(1)\,.
$$

If $n \geq 4$ this leads, as in the Schwarzschild case, to a conformally extended metric of differentiability class $C^{n-3,1}$. When $n=3$ the behaviour of this completion at i_0 along spacelike directions is better than in the completion of Section 3, but is worse when the light cone is approached.

5 The ADM mass as an obstruction to differentiability

So far we have transformed the metric to the form

$$
g = \frac{1}{(\rho^2 - \tau^2)^2} \tilde{g},\tag{5.1}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = O(\rho \ln \rho), & n = 3, \\ \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = O(\rho^{n-2}), & n \ge 4, \end{cases}
$$
\n(5.2)

and with $\rho \equiv |\vec{y}|$. We want to show that our differentiability conditions obtained so far are optimal, in the following sense:

Suppose for contradiction that, in odd space dimensions with $n \geq 3$, there exists a coordinate system $(y^{\mu}) \equiv (\tau, \vec{y})$ in which $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = O(\rho^{n-2})$ and $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$ is of differentiability class C^{n-2} . Consider the metric $\gamma := g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ induced by g on the zero level-set of τ , where the coordinates x^i are obtained by a Kelvin-inversion from the coordinates y^i .

$$
x^i = \frac{y^i}{\rho^2} \,. \tag{5.3}
$$

We find

$$
g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \tilde{h}_{ij} - 4\frac{\tilde{h}_{k(i}x^kx_j)}{r^2} + 4\frac{\tilde{h}_{k\ell}x^kx^{\ell}x_ix_j}{r^4},
$$
\n(5.4)

where for visual convenience we have set $x_i := x^i$. This leads to the following ADM integrand, up to a constant multiplicative factor and the measure on S^{n-1} :

$$
\mu \equiv U_i \frac{x^i}{r} \times r^{n-1} := \left(\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{jj}}{\partial x^i}\right) \frac{x^i}{r} \times r^{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial x^j} - 4 \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{k(i}}{\partial x^j} x^k x_j)}{r^2} + 4 \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{k\ell}}{\partial x^j} x^k x^{\ell} x_i x_j}{r^4} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{jj}}{\partial x^i}
$$
\n
$$
-4 \tilde{h}_{k(i} \partial_j \left(\frac{x^k x_j}{r^2}\right) + 4 \tilde{h}_{k\ell} \partial_j \left(\frac{x^k x^{\ell} x_i x_j}{r^4}\right) \frac{x^i}{r} \times r^{n-1}.
$$
\n(5.5)

Suppose that \tilde{h}_{ij} is of differentiability class C^{n-2} . Then \tilde{h}_{ij} is a homogeneous polynomial of order $n-2$ in \vec{y} up to terms $o(\rho^{n-2})$ and $\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}/\partial y^k$ is a homogeneous polynomial of order $n-3$ in \vec{y} up to terms $o(\rho^{n-3})$. Using

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial y^\ell} \frac{(r^2 \delta^{\ell}_k - 2x^\ell x^k)}{r^4} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial y^\ell} (\rho^2 \delta^l_k - y^\ell y^k) \,,
$$

this implies that the right-hand side of (5.5) is a sum of homogeneous polynomials in \vec{x}/r of odd orders, up to $o(1)$ terms.

When n is odd this implies immediately that the ADM mass vanishes. We recall that the constructions in [9, Section III] in spacetime dimension $n + 1 = 4$ provide completions of the Schwarzschild metric at i_0 *either* without $\rho \log \rho$ terms *or* without the $(1 - \tau^2/\rho^2) \log(1 - \tau^2/\rho^2)$ terms. It is not known whether such terms can be removed altogether to obtain a $C^{0,1}$ metric.

While the above calculations apply for all $n \geq 3$, the vanishing of mass is not true if the spatial dimension, n , is even. As pointed out at the end of Section 3, in even dimensions the conformal compactification of the Riemannian hypersurfaces of constant t , induced by the spacetime compactification of the Schwarzschild metric described there, leads to a real-analytic conformal metric with a real-analytic conformal factor.

6 Asymptotic symmetries

Somewhat more generally, one might wish to consider the collection of metrics with asymptotic behavior captured by (5.1) and (5.2) .

A natural question is then that of the set of coordinate transformations compatible with a conformal compactification of this form; compare [19]. A detailed analysis of this in fourdimensional spacetimes can be found in [9].

First, there are Lorentz transformations in the coordinates y^{μ} , which preserve both the conformal factor Ω and the asymptotic behavior of the metric.

Next, there are coordinate transformations of the form

$$
y^{\mu} \mapsto y^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu} (\tau/\rho, y^{i}/\rho) \rho^{n-1}, \qquad (6.1)
$$

with ξ^{μ} a smooth functions of its arguments. These generalise to higher dimensions the usual "supertranslations" at i_0 . There is, however, a key difference: in four spacetime dimensions the Hamiltonian charges associated with the coordinate transformations (6.1) are non-zero in general, while in higher dimensions the decay in (6.1) is too fast, leading to vanishing Hamiltonian generators.

We note that the four-dimensional *logarithmic ambiguities*,

$$
x^{\mu} \mapsto x^{\mu} + \eta_{\alpha\beta} (C^{\mu} x^{\alpha} - 2C^{\alpha} x^{\mu}) x^{\beta} \ln |\vec{x}|,
$$
\n(6.2)

with constants C^{μ} (see [9, Theorem 2], compare [1,5,8]) are absent in higher dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: PTC was supported in part by the Polish National Center of Science (NCN) under grant 2016/21/B/ST1/00940. PC is supported by St John's College, Cambridge through the Todd/Goddard Fund. Part of this research was performed while the authors were visiting the Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (IPAM) at UCLA, which is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMS-1925919).

References

- [1] A. Ashtekar, *Logarithmic ambiguities in the description of spatial infinity*, Found. Phys. 15 (1985), 419–431. MR 811916
- [2] A. Ashtekar and R.O. Hansen, *A unified treatment of null and spatial infinity in general relativity. I. Universal structure, asymptotic symmetries and conserved quantities at spatial infinity*, Jour. Math. Phys. 19 (1978), 1542–1566.
- [3] A. Ashtekar and J.D. Romano, *Spatial infinity as a boundary of spacetime*, Class. Quantum Grav. 9 (1992), 1069–1100. MR 1158130
- [4] R. Beig and P.T. Chru´sciel, *The asymptotics of stationary electro-vacuum metrics in odd spacetime dimensions*, Class. Quantum Grav. 24 (2007), 867–874, arXiv:gr-qc/0612012. MR MR2297271
- [5] R. Beig and B.G. Schmidt, *Einstein's equations near spatial infinity*, Commun. Math. Phys. 87 (1982/83), 65–80. MR 680648
- [6] R. Beig and W. Simon, *On the multipole expansion for stationary spacetimes*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 376 (1981), 333–341.
- [7] P.T. Chrusciel, *Lectures on energy in general relativity*, http://homepage.univie.ac. at/piotr.chrusciel/teaching/Energy/Energy.pdf.
- [8] , *On the structure of spatial infinity. I. The Geroch structure*, Jour. Math. Phys. 30 (1989), 2090–2093. MR 1009923
- [9] , *On the structure of spatial infinity. II. Geodesically regular Ashtekar-Hansen structures*, Jour. Math. Phys. 30 (1989), 2094–2100. MR 1009924
- [10] P.T. Chrusciel and E. Delay, *On mapping properties of the general relativistic constraints operator in weighted function spaces, with applications, M*em. Soc. Math. de France. 94 (2003), 1–103 (English), arXiv:gr-qc/0301073. MR MR2031583 (2005f:83008)
- [11] P.T. Chrustiel and J. Grant, *On Lorentzian causality with continuous metrics*, Class. Quantum Grav. 29 (2012), 145001, arXiv:1110.0400v2 [gr-qc].
- [12] J. Corvino and R.M. Schoen, *On the asymptotics for the vacuum Einstein constraint equations*, Jour. Diff. Geom. 73 (2006), 185–217, arXiv:gr-qc/0301071. MR MR2225517 (2007e:58044)
- [13] H. Friedrich, *Gravitational fields near space-like and null infinity*, Jour. Geom. Phys. 24 (1998), 83–163.
- [14] R.P. Geroch, *Structure of the gravitational field at spatial infinity*, Jour. Math. Phys. 13 (1972), 956–968. MR 0309508
- [15] R.O. Hansen, *Multipole moments of stationary spacetimes*, Jour. Math. Phys. 15 (1974), 46–52.
- [16] E. Minguzzi, *Lorentzian causality theory*, Living Rev. Rel. 22 (2019).
- [17] R.C. Myers and M.J. Perry, *Black holes in higher dimensional spacetimes*, Ann. Phys. 172 (1986), 304–347.
- [18] R. Penrose, *Asymptotic properties of fields and spacetimes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963), 66–68. MR MR0149912 (26 #7397)
- [19] K. Prabhu, *Conservation of asymptotic charges from past to future null infinity: supermomentum in general relativity*, Jour. High Energy Phys. (2019), 148, 47. MR 3940857