

A bijective method for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks in the Nussinov-Jacobson energy model

Qianghui Guo^a, Yinglie Jin^a, Lisa H. Sun^b, Mingxing Weng^a

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, LPMC, Nankai University,

Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

^bCenter for Combinatorics, LPMC, Nankai University,

Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Emails: guo@nankai.edu.cn, yljin@nankai.edu.cn, sunhui@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract. In combinatorics, RNA secondary structures can be seen as noncrossing diagrams in which each arc length is at least two and the degree of each vertex is at most one, which are also called 2-regular simple stacks. In the Nussinov-Jacobson model, in which each base pair contributes energy -1 , the saturated structures are those in which no more arc can be added without violating the structure definition. In this paper, we consider the extended 2-regular simple stacks, in which each arc length is at least two, the degree of each internal vertex is at most one, and the degree of the two terminal vertices is bounded by two. We construct a bijection between the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks and the forests of small trees, which are rooted trees with height one and also called meadows in graph theory. Following this bijection, we obtain an explicit formula for the number of the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks. Furthermore, the results for saturated 2-regular simple stacks due to Clote, the optimal extended 2-regular simple stacks due to Guo, Jin, Sun, and Xu, and the k -saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks are derived as simple consequences.

Keywords: combinatorial enumeration, RNA secondary structure, meadow, extended 2-regular simple stacks, saturated and optimal structures, explicit formulas

1 Introduction

Ribonucleic acid (RNA) is known to have an essential regulatory role in the cell, and different RNAs have different roles. The function of RNA is determined by RNA tertiary structure, which significantly depends on the secondary structure [1]. Determining the minimum free energy configuration for a given RNA sequence has thus become a widely studied problem, and the enumeration of secondary structure is a natural problem. Following Waterman [14], a secondary structure S for a given RNA sequence $\mathbf{s} = s_1s_2 \cdots s_n$ is a set of ordered pairs (i, j) , such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and the following satisfied: (a) If $(i, j), (i, k) \in S$, then $j = k$; if $(i, j), (k, j) \in S$, then $i = k$; (b) If $(i, j) \in S$, then $|j - i| \geq 2$; (c) If $(i, j), (k, l) \in S$, then it is not the case that $i < k < j < l$.




Figure 1.1: A saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks

In combinatorics, we present RNA secondary structure by a diagram, in which vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$ are increasing ordered along the horizontal axis, and the base pairs are shown as arcs. We say any two arcs (i, j) and (k, l) form a nesting, if $i < k < l < j$, and crossing if $i < k < j < l$. A noncrossing diagram is called a stack, and a nonnesting diagram is called a queue. Following [3, 10], a structure (stack or queue) with arc length at least m is called m -regular; a structure with the degree of each vertex bounded by one is called simple. Thereby, an RNA secondary structure is actually a 2-regular simple stack. Furthermore, an m -regular simple stack with the degrees of the two terminal vertices bounded by two is called an extended m -regular simple stack.

Schmitt and Waterman [14] obtained an explicit formula for the number of RNA secondary structures of length n with k base pairs by establishing a one-to-one correspondence between secondary structures and linear trees. Nebel [12] derived the generating functions of m -regular RNA secondary structures from generating functions for binary tree structures with Horton-Strahler number. Reidys systematically developed an entirely novel approach for the study of RNA secondary structures with pseudoknot in his monograph [13].

Most of the RNA prediction algorithms are based on free energy minimization [16, 18]. The optimal structures are those with the lowest free energy, and the saturated structure, introduced by Zuker [17], is formally defined as the secondary structure in which no base pairs can be added without violating the definition of secondary structure. Clote et al [4–7, 15] delves into the combinatorial problem related to the number of saturated RNA secondary structures. Following Zuker [17], Clote [4] defined k -saturated secondary structure as the secondary structure, which is saturated and additionally contains k fewer base pairs than the maximum possible number of base pairs, and provided recurrence relations to compute the number of k -saturated secondary structures.

The enumeration of various RNA secondary structures has been studied extensively. However, few explicit formulas have been found except for the result presented by Schmitt and Waterman [14], and most results are in the form of generating function equation, recurrence relation, and asymptotic formula [3, 8–10]. Inspired by the work due to Schmitt and Waterman [14], Chen [2] and Clote [4], we construct an algorithm to map saturated extended 2-Regular simple stacks to meadows. By enumerating the meadows, we get the explicit formulas for the number of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks.

2 The bijective algorithm

This section will present the algorithm to decompose extended 2-regular simple stacks to meadows, which will be the primary tool for the investigations presented subsequently.




Figure 2.1: A secondary structure and the corresponding unlabeled linear tree.

First, we introduce some of the terms in graph theory about trees. The *fiber* of v is the set of children of v . A *linear tree* is a rooted tree together with a linear ordering on the fiber of each inner vertex in the tree. A rooted tree of height one will be called a *small tree*. We adopt the term 'meadow' for a forest of small trees, which has already been used in graph theory. Since the small tree has only one inner vertex, i.e. root, the set of leaves of the small tree is called the fiber of the small tree. The inner vertex whose children are all leaves is called *outmost inner vertex*.

Next, we present two crucial bijections. Let $\mathcal{R}_{n,k}$ be the secondary structures on $[n]$ which have exactly k arcs, and let $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ be the set of unlabeled linear trees having n vertices, k of which are inner vertices.

Lemma 2.1. [14] *For all $n, k \geq 1$, there exists a bijection $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_{n+k-2, k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{n, k}$.*

Let B be a secondary structure on $[n+k-2]$ with $k-1$ arcs. Denote the set of isolated vertices by F . Let V be the set $\{[i, j] : (i, j) \in B\} \cup F \cup \{*\}$. Partially order V by letting $*$ be maximal, and otherwise ordering by set inclusion. The Hasse diagram of this poset is a rooted tree, with root $*$, having a total of n vertices, k of which are inner vertices. The linear order of the set F of terminal vertices gives this tree a linear structure. For example, Figure 2.1 shows a secondary structure in $\mathcal{R}_{21,6}$ and the corresponding unlabeled linear tree in $\mathcal{T}_{16,7}$.

Let $\mathcal{LT}_{n,k}$ be the set of all labeled linear trees on n ($n > 1$) vertices with k inner vertices and $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$ the set of meadows of k small trees with roots less than $n-k+1$ on n vertices. In the meadow of k small trees on n vertices, we mark all the vertices greater than $n-k+1$ by the symbol $*$. Then the roots of the meadows in $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$ are all unstarred.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $n, k \geq 1$, there is a bijection $\psi : \mathcal{LT}_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n+k-1, k}$.*

Proof. I first give the procedure to construct a labeled linear tree on n ($n > 1$) vertices with k inner vertices from a meadow M of k small trees on $\{1, 2, \dots, n+k-1\}$ with root less than n .

- (1) Find the tree T in M with the largest root such that there is no marked vertex in T . Let i be the root of T .
- (2) Find the tree T^* in M that contains $(n+1)^*$, then replace $(n+1)^*$ with T in T^* .
- (3) Repeat the above procedure for vertices $n+2, \dots, n+k-1$.

The following is the procedure to decompose a labeled linear tree into small trees.




Figure 2.2: A labeled linear tree and its meadow decomposition

secondary structure	rooted tree	meadow
k arcs	$k + 1$ inner vertices	$k + 1$ small trees
$n - 2k$ isolated vertices	$n - 2k$ leaves	$n - 2k$ unstarred leaves
p hairpins	p outmost inner vertices	p small trees with no starred vertices
arc of length m	outmost inner vertex with degree $m - 1$	small trees with $m - 1$ unstarred leaves
b visible vertices	b leaves in the fiber of root	

Table 2.1: Correspondences between secondary structures, rooted trees and meadows

- (1) Find the largest inner vertex i such that all children of i are leaves. Then we obtain a small tree with root i and leaf set fiber of i .
- (2) Remove the fiber of i and relabel the vertex i by $n + 1$.
- (3) Repeat the above procedure and relabel the encountered roots of trees subsequently by $n + 1, \dots, n + k - 1$.

■

Figure 2.2 shows an instance for the merge and decompose algorithm in Lemma.2.2.

A hairpin loop in secondary structure S is given by base pair $(i, j) \in S$, such that $i + 1, \dots, j - 1$ are unpaired in S . Call a vertex $i \in [n]$ *visible* if it is not covered by any arc. By observing bijections φ and ψ , we can easily find the corresponding relationships between secondary structures, rooted trees and meadows, see Table 2.1.

An vertex v is incident with an arc (i, j) if $v \in \{i, j\}$; then (i, j) is an edge at v . For a vertices set V , arc (i, j) is at V if there exists $v \in V$ such that v incident with (i, j) . Let S be a stack and V be a subset of vertices of S . We call the component of S containing V and

$(\deg(1), \deg(n))$	arc pattern w.r.t. $\{1, n\}$
$(2,0), (0,2)$	
$(2,1), (1,2)$ $1, n$ form an arc	
$(2,1), (1,2)$ $1, n$ do not form an arc	
$(1,1)$	
$(2,2)$ $1, n$ form an arc	
$(2,2)$ $1, n$ do not form an arc	

Table 2.2: The arc pattern w.r.t. $\{1, n\}$ of the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with n vertices

arcs at V the arc pattern of S with respect to V . Table 2.2 shows all cases of arc patterns with respect to $\{1, n\}$ of the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with n vertices. See Figure 1.1 for an example of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with arc pattern A_1 .

As shown in Table 2.2, the arc patterns with respect to $\{1, n\}$ split $[n]$ into disjoint intervals, on which substructures can be constructed. To meet the restrictions of saturated extended 2-regular simple stack, the substructures can be classified into the following seven types:

- T_1 : an arbitrary saturated simple stack;
- T_2 : an arbitrary nonempty saturated simple stack;
- T_3 : an arbitrary saturated simple stack with no visible vertex;
- T_4 : an arbitrary nonempty saturated simple stack with no visible vertex;
- T_5 : T_4 or an isolated vertex.
- T_6 : T_3 followed by an isolated vertex, or just T_3 ;
- T_7 : T_3 followed by an isolated vertex, or just T_4 ;

Note that, the substructures of types T_1, T_3 can be empty. T_6' and T_7' stand for the reverse structures of T_6 and T_7 , respectively.

In order to enumerate the number of stacks showed in Table 2.2, we introduce the meadow decompose algorithm Φ for extended simple stacks. Let S be an arbitrary extended simple stack, V be a subset of vertices of S , and A be the arc pattern w.r.t. V of S . Assume the number of arcs of A is k_1 .

- (1) For any vertex $v \in V$, denote the degree of v in A by $\deg_A v$. If $\deg_A v = 0$, delete v in S ; if $\deg_A v = 1$, do nothing; if $\deg_A v = 2$, add a new vertex u to the left of v and bond one of the two arcs at v to u such that no crossing occurs;
- (2) Map the stack obtained in step (1) into an unlabeled linear tree with $k_1 + 1$ determined inner vertices by φ .
- (3) Assume the linear tree obtained by step (2) have n vertices. Label the $k_1 + 1$ determined inner vertices by $[k_1 + 1]$ in the order from top to bottom and left to right, and label the remaining vertices by $[k_1 + 2, n]$ in $(n - k_1 - 1)!$ ways.
- (4) Decompose the labeled linear tree into meadows by ψ .

Let S be an arbitrary saturated RNA secondary structure, and M be an arbitrary meadow in $\Phi(S)$. By observing bijections φ, ψ , and algorithm Φ , we note that the fiber of any small trees in M contains at most two adjacent unstarred vertices and call such fiber as s-fiber. Corresponding to the substructures of type T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 , the fiber of small trees of meadows can also be categorized into four types:

- T_1 : an arbitrary s-fiber;
- T_2 : an arbitrary nonempty s-fiber;
- T_3 : an arbitrary s-fiber with no unstarred vertices;
- T_4 : an arbitrary nonempty s-fiber with no unstarred vertices;

Applying the meadow decompose algorithm Φ to the stack in Figure 1.1, after step (1) we will get the simple stack shows in Figure 2.1. And the labeling linear tree in Figure 2.2 is one of the labeling linear trees we get after step (3).

3 Enumeration of the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with given arc pattern

In this section, we will study the general counting formula for extended 2-regular simple stacks with given arc pattern, and use this formula to derive the explicit counting formulas for 2-regular simple stacks and extended 2-regular simple stacks.

Let $[m, n]$ (resp. $[m, n]^*$) denote the interval $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$ (resp. $[i^*, (i + 1)^*, \dots, j^*]$). Specially, we abbreviate $[1, n]$ as $[n]$. Note that the interval is allowed to be empty.

A *partition* of a finite set S is a collection $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\}$ of subsets $B_i \subseteq S$ such that $B_i \neq \emptyset$, $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_k = S$. We call B_i a *block* of

π . If elements of each block of π are ordered linearly, we shall call π a *composition* of S . An *ordered partition* (resp. *ordered composition*) is a partition (resp. composition) in which the blocks are linearly ordered. If a partition (resp. ordered partition, composition, ordered composition) π contains exactly k blocks, we call π a k -partition (resp. k -ordered partition, k -composition, k -ordered composition).

Lemma 3.1. *The number of k -compositions of $[n]$ that each block contains at most two elements is $\frac{n!}{k!} \binom{k}{n-k}$.*

Proof. To obtain a k -ordered compositions of $[n]$ that each block contains at most two elements, we may linearly order $[n]$ in $n!$ ways and then divide the sequence into k linearly ordered nonempty compartments such that each compartment contains at most two elements. The ways to split the sequence can be easily obtained from the generating function

$$[x^n](x + x^2)^k = [x^{n-k}] \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i = \binom{k}{n-k}.$$

And the proof follows from dividing by the number of permutations of k compartments. ■

Lemma 3.2. *The number of k -composition of $[s] \cup [k]^*$ that each block contains one and only one starred element is $s! \binom{2k+s-1}{s}$.*

Proof. We can linearly order $[s] \cup [k]^*$ and $k - 1$ vertical bars, such that starred elements and vertical bars alternate, and then break the sequence at each vertical bar. To this end we linearly order $[s]$ and $[k]^*$ in $s!$ and $k!$ ways, respectively, and choose s positions from $2k + s - 1$ positions in $\binom{2k+s-1}{s}$ ways to put unstarred elements. Alternatively, starred elements and vertical bars are placed in the rest $2k - 1$ positions. Dividing by the number of permutations of k blocks and lemma follows. Dividing by the number of permutations of k blocks and lemma follows. ■

Lemma 3.3. *For all $l \geq 1, r \geq 0, u \geq 0, v \geq 0, r + v \geq 1$. Denote the number of $(r + u + v)$ -ordered compositions of $[l] \cup [l + 1, l + r]^*$ with the following three properties by $C(l, r, u, v)$.*

- (a) *There can be no more than two unstarred elements in each block, and two unstarred elements in the same block must be adjacent.*
- (b) *First u blocks contain no unstarred elements.*
- (c) *Element $(l + r)^*$ is in the first $u + v$ blocks.*

We have

$$C(l, r, u, v) = l! r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \binom{2t+r}{t-u-v} f(t, r, u, v), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$f(t, r, u, v) = \frac{ut + (u + v)(t + r + v)}{t(2t + r)}.$$

Proof. Assume that l unstarred vertices are distributed over exactly t blocks, and these t blocks contain s starred vertices, then $\lceil \frac{l}{2} \rceil \leq t \leq \min\{l, r+v\}$, $0 \leq s \leq t-u-v$. We denote $r+u+v$ as p for convenience. When $1 \leq t \leq p-1$, we construct the ordered composition in five steps.

- (1) Construct a t -compositions C_1 of l unstarred vertices such that each block contains at most two vertices. According to Lemma 3.1, there are $\frac{l!}{t!} \binom{t}{l-t}$ ways.
- (2) Sort r starred vertices. The number of ways of sorting will be discussed along with the block ordering in step (5).
- (3) Construct a t -compositions C_2 of the first s starred vertices and blocks of C_1 , such that each block contains exactly one block of C_1 . Note that s starred vertices are already linearly ordered. By Lemma 3.2, there are $\binom{2t+s-1}{s}$ ways.
- (4) Construct a $(p-t)$ -composition of the latter $r-s$ starred vertices. The number of ways to do this is $\frac{1}{(p-t)!} \binom{r-s-1}{p-t-1}$.
- (5) Now we order the p blocks constructed in step (1),(3),(4) such that properties (b),(c) hold. Categorize the discussion by the position of $(l+r)^*$ in the sort of step (2).
 - If $(l+r)^*$ is setted in the first s position, there are $s(r-1)!$ ways. According to step (3), the block containing $(l+r)^*$ contains unstarred vertices. Therefore we have $vu!(r+v-1)! \binom{p-t}{u}$ ways to order the blocks.
 - Else we have $(r-s)(r-1)!$ ways to sort. In this case, the block containing $(l+r)^*$ contains no unstarred vertices. If we place the block containing $(l+r)^*$ in the first u positions, there are $u(u-1)!(r+v)! \binom{p-t-1}{u-1}$ ways. Otherwise, the position of block containing $(l+r)^*$ should between $u+1$ and $u+v$, then the number of ways is $vu!(r+v-1)! \binom{p-t-1}{u}$.

When $t = p$, all of the blocks contains unstarred elements and all r starred elements are contained in t blocks. Then we can similarly derive that the number of ordered composition is

$$\binom{0}{u} \frac{l!}{(r+v)!} \binom{r+v}{l-r-v} r! \binom{3r+2v-1}{r} v(r+v-1)!.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} C(l, r, u, v) &= \frac{l!r!v}{(r+v)!} \binom{0}{u} \binom{r+v}{l-r-v} \binom{3r+2v-1}{r} \\ &\quad + l!r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v-1\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \sum_{s=0}^{t-u-v} \binom{2t+s-1}{s} \binom{r-s-1}{p-t-1} g(s, t, r, u, v). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(s, t, r, u, v) = \frac{sv}{tr} + \frac{(r-s)[u(r+v) + v(r+v-t)]}{(p-t)tr}.$$

The summation with respect to s can be eliminated by the combinatorial identity in [11] (page 8) and theorem follows. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a given subset of $[n]$ and A be an arc pattern w.r.t V which splits $[n]$ into I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2 disjoint intervals of type T_1, T_2, T_3 and T_4 , respectively. Denote k_1 as the number of arcs in A and $d := \sum_{v \in V} (\deg v - 1)$. Let $P(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2)$ denote the number of saturated stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs whose arc pattern w.r.t V is A . Then we have*

$$P(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{I_1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_1} \binom{I_1}{i} \binom{J_1}{j} \frac{C(l, r, J_2 + j, I_2 + i)}{l!r!}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $l = n + d - 2k$, $r = k - k_1$, and $C(l, r, u, v)$ – see Lemma 3.3.

Proof. Note that the total number of vertices in the intervals is $n + d - 2k$ since the number of vertices of degree 2 minus the number of isolated vertices equals d . For the trivial case $k = k_1$, it is obviously that interval of type T_4 can not exist and interval of type T_3 must be empty. We suppose there are i nonempty intervals in I_1 intervals of type T_1 , so that $n + d - 2k$ vertices are distributed in $I_2 + i$ intervals and these intervals can contain only one or two isolated vertices. Choose $l - I_2 - i$ intervals from $I_2 + i$ intervals to place two vertices. Therefore

$$P(n, k_1; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & J_2 > 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{I_1} \binom{I_1}{i} \binom{I_2 + i}{l - I_2 - i}, & J_2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The general case of $k > k_1$ is discussed as follow. By the decompose algorithm Φ , the linear trees obtained after step (3) is of $n + d - k + 1$ vertices, with $k + 1$ inner vertices, $k_1 + 1$ vertices whose labels have been fixed and $n + d - k - k_1 = l + r$ vertices with random labels. After step (4) we get the meadows on $[n - k + 1 + d] \cap [n - k + 2 + d, n + d + 1]^*$ containing $k + 1$ small trees and satisfying the following properties.

- (a) The vertices $1, 2, \dots, k_1 + 1$ are roots of the small trees, and the positions of vertices $(n + d + 1)^*, \dots, (n + d - k_1 + 2)^*$ are determined.
- (b) Ignoring the determined vertices $(n + d + 1)^*, \dots, (n + d - k_1 + 2)^*$. The fiber types of small trees with roots in $[k_1 + 1]$ are determined, where there are I_1, I_2, J_1 , and J_2 fibers of type T_1, T_2, T_3 , and T_4 , respectively.
- (c) Vertex $(n + d - k_1 + 1)^*$ is a leaf of the small tree with roots $1, 2, \dots, k_1 + 1$.

We take three steps to construct the meadows with these properties.

- (1) Assume there are i, j nonempty fibers in the I_1, J_1 fibers of type T_1 and T_3 , respectively. Choose these fibers in $\binom{I_1}{i} \binom{J_1}{j}$ ways.
- (2) Select the remaining $k - k_1$ root label from $[k_1 + 2, n - k + 1 + d]$ in $\binom{l+r}{r}$ ways.
- (3) Ignoring vertices $(n + d + 1)^*, \dots, (n + d - k_1 + 2)^*$, there are $n + d - 2k$ unstarred vertices and $k - k_1$ starred vertices distributed in $r + i + j + I_2 + J_2$ s-fibers. Construct a $(r + i' + j')$ -ordered composition of these vertices in $C(l, r, j', i')$ ways and allocate to the roots.

It derives that

$$(l+r)!P(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{I_1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_1} \binom{I_1}{i} \binom{J_1}{j} \binom{l+r}{r} C(l, r, j', i'). \quad (3.4)$$

and the Equation 3.2 follows. It is easy to prove that Equation 3.2 equals 0 when $k < k_1$ and reduces to Equation 3.3 when $k = k_1$. Theorem therefore holds for all integer k . ■

Let $LO(n, k)$ (resp. $MO(n, k)$) denote the number of all saturated 2-regular simple stacks (resp. with no visible vertex). Let $ELO(n, k)$ (resp. $EMO(n, k)$) denote the number of all extended saturated 2-regular simple stacks (resp. with no visible vertex).

Corollary 3.1. *For any $n \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, we have*

$$LO(n, k) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{t=1}^T \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{t}{n-2k-t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1}.$$

For any $n \geq 3, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, we have

$$MO(n, k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=1}^T \binom{k}{t} \binom{t}{n-2k-t} \binom{2t+k}{t-1},$$

where $T = \min\{n-2k, k+1\}$.

Proof. When $n \geq 1$, a saturated 2-regular simple stacks is just a structure of type T_2 . Similarly, when $n \geq 3$, a saturated 2-regular simple stacks with no visible vertices is just a structure of type T_4 . Take $V = \emptyset$ and empty arc pattern A_e in Theorem 3.1, we immediately get

$$LO(n, k) = P(n, k; 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{t=1}^T \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{t}{n-2k-t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1},$$

$$MO(n, k) = P(n, k; 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=1}^T \binom{k}{t} \binom{t}{n-2k-t} \binom{2t+k}{t-1}.$$

■

Futhermore, the number of optimal 2-regular simple stacks of length n is given by the following formula.

Corollary 3.2. [4] *For any $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$LO(2k+1, k) = 1,$$

$$LO(2k, k-1) = \binom{k+1}{2}.$$

		n											n					
k		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	k		1	2	3	4	5	6
1				1							1							
2					2	7	9	8	6	2	2					2	5	3

Table 3.1: $ELO(n, k)$ (left), $EMO(n, k)$ (right)

For $k = 1, 2$, it is easily to obtained $ELO(n, k)$ and $EMO(n, k)$ which are listed in Table 3.1.

We use the combinatorial notation $(n)_k$ for $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)$.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $n \geq 6, k \geq 3$, we have*

$$ELO(n, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{2t+k}{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^4 \binom{t}{n-2k-2-t+i} P_i(k, t),$$

where

$$P_1(k, t) = \frac{2(k-t+1)}{(k+1)_3(k+2t)_2} [k^3 + (4t-2)k^2 + (2t^2-4t+1)k - 2t^3 - 4t^2 + 4t].$$

$$P_2(k, t) = \frac{k-t+1}{(k+1)_4(k+2t)_3} [7k^5 + (36t-36)k^4 + (41t^2-137t+61)k^3 + (-28t^3-105t^2+169t-36)k^2 + (-38t^4+62t^3+96t^2-96t+4)k + 12t^5 + 76t^4 - 96t^3 - 28t^2 + 36t].$$

$$P_3(k, t) = \frac{2(k-t+1)_2}{(k+1)_4(k+2t)_3} [k^4 + (7t-6)k^3 + (15t^2-32t+13)k^2 + (7t^3-44t^2+45t-12)k - 4t^4 - 18t^3 + 48t^2 - 30t + 4].$$

$$P_4(k, t) = \frac{(t-1)(k+t+1)}{(k+1)_4(k+2t)_5} [4k^6 + (16t-42)k^5 + (8t^2-106t+160)k^4 + (-24t^3+26t^2+204t-270)k^3 + (-10t^4+132t^3-244t^2-50t+196)k^2 + (13t^5-8t^4-217t^3+468t^2-208t-48)k - 2t^6 - 20t^5 + 66t^4 + 68t^3 - 304t^2 + 192t].$$

Proof. Denote the number of the structures with n vertices and k arcs of arc pattern A_i in Table 2.2 by $s_i(n, k)$, and the number of such structures with no visible vertices by $\bar{s}_i(n, k)$. Obviously, A_i and A'_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are symmetric, we thus consider only A_i .

Set $V_0 = \{1, n\}$. To avoid lengthy formulas, we replace $n - 2k - t$ with h in the following proof. Theorem 3.1 shows that the number of stacks of arc pattern $A_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$ w.r.t. V_0 can be presented as follows.

For arc pattern A_1 , there are 1 interval for each type of T_1 and T_2 . We have two cases of the third interval with type T_6 . For the case of T_3 , we can use the Theorem 3.1 directly to get the conclusion. For the case of T_3 followed by an isolated vertex, we delete the isolated vertex then the length of the structure becomes $n - 1$. This process is clearly reversible.

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(n, k) &= P(n, k; 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0) + P(n-1, k; 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \left[\binom{t}{h} + \binom{t}{h-1} \right] \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 \binom{k+i-2}{t-1} \binom{2t+k-2}{t-i-j-1} f(t, k-2, j, 1+i). \end{aligned}$$

where $f(t, r, u, v)$ defines in Lemma 3.3.

For arc pattern A_2 , there is 1 interval of type T_2 . We use the same technique used in arc pattern A_1 to deal with interval of type T_6 .

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(n, k) &= P(n, k; 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0) + P(n-1, k; 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k-1}{t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1} \left[\binom{t}{h+1} + \binom{t}{h} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For arc pattern A_3 , there is 1 interval for each type of T_1, T_2 , and T_3 . For the fourth interval, if it is just a T_4 , we can just apply Theorem 3.1 to get the result. Otherwise, there is T_3 followed by an isolated vertex. By deleting the isolated vertex, we obtain a structure with $n-1$ vertices.

$$\begin{aligned} s_3(n, k) &= P(n, k; 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) + P(n-1, k; 3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{k+i-3}{t-1} \left[\binom{t}{h+1} \binom{1}{j} \binom{2t+k-3}{t-i-j-2} f(t, k-3, 1+j, 1+i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \binom{t}{h} \binom{2}{j} \binom{2t+k-3}{t-i-j-1} f(t, k-3, j, 1+i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_4(n, k) &= P(n, k; 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) = \sum_{t=1}^{n-2k} \frac{1}{t} \binom{k-2}{t-1} \binom{t}{h} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1}. \\ s_5(n, k) &= P(n, k; 3, 2, 1, 2, 0, 0) = \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{2+i}{t} \binom{k-2+i}{t-1} \binom{t}{h+2} \binom{2t+k-4}{t-2-i}. \\ s_6(n, k) &= P(n, k; 4, 2, 3, 2, 0, 0) = \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^3 \frac{2+i}{t} \binom{3}{i} \binom{k-3+i}{t-1} \binom{t}{h+2} \binom{2t+k-5}{t-2-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then substituting into

$$ELO(n, k) = 2(s_1(n, k) + s_2(n, k) + s_3(n, k)) + s_4(n, k) + s_5(n, k) + s_6(n, k).$$

Simplify the formula by Maple command, which completes the proof. ■

Corollary 3.3. *When $k \geq 3$, the number of optimal extended 2-regular simple stacks is*

$$\begin{aligned} ELO(2k, k) &= 2k - 3, \\ ELO(2k+1, k) &= \frac{2}{3}k^3 - \frac{5}{3}k + 5. \end{aligned}$$

By Matlab, some of the $ELO(n, k)$ values are listed in Table 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *For any $n \geq 6, k \geq 3$, we have*

$$EMO(n, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k}{t} \binom{2t+k}{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^3 \binom{t}{n-2k-1-t+i} Q_i(k, t),$$

k	n													
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	1													
2		2	7	9	8	6	2							
3				3	18	46	73	82	70	40	10			
4						5	41	162	395	666	834	799	563	251
5								7	80	444	1534	3667	6449	8690
6										9	139	1026	4728	15151
7												11	222	2099
8														13
sum	1	2	7	12	26	57	116	251	545	1159	2517	5503	11962	26204
k	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24						
4	50													
5	9146	7403	4312	1570	260									
6	35820	64919	92557	105168	94660	65265	32109	9875						
7	12362	50796	154746	363026	673021	1003604	1214930	1191281						
8	333	3921	28613	145817	553028	1623141	3784746	7141955						
9		15	476	6827	60299	371629	1708309	6100976						
10				17	655	11239	117960	862174						
11							19	874	17676					
12														21
sum	57711	127054	280704	622425	1381923	3074897	6858928	15323958						

Table 3.2: $ELO(n, k), 3 \leq n \leq 24$

where

$$Q_1(k, t) = \frac{k-t}{(k)_3(k+2t)_3} [5k^4 + (29t-24)k^3 + (50t^2-105t+35)k^2 + (18t^3-138t^2+112t-12)k - 12t^4 - 60t^3 + 112t^2 - 36t - 4].$$

$$Q_2(k, t) = \frac{2(k-t)}{(k)_3(k+2t)_3} [k^4 + (7t-6)k^3 + (15t^2-32t+13)k^2 + (7t^3-44t^2+45t-12)k - 4t^4 - 18t^3 + 48t^2 - 30t + 4].$$

$$Q_3(k, t) = \frac{t-1}{(k)_3(k+2t)_4} [4k^5 + (16t-26)k^4 + (14t^2-78t+56)k^3 + (-8t^3-58t^2+144t-46)k^2 + (-8t^4+14t^3+90t^2-122t+12)k + 3t^5+22t^4-17t^3-84t^2+72t].$$

Proof. As Table 2.2 shows, the structures of arc pattern A_1, A'_1 inevitably contain visible vertices, while the structure of arc pattern $A_2, A'_2, A_3, A'_3, A_4, A_5$ are all saturated 2-regular simple stacks with no visible vertex. Therefore for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$, $\bar{s}_i(n) = s_i(n)$.

The structure with arc pattern A_6 contain no visible vertex if and only if the third interval contains a structure of type T_3 . Thereby

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}_6(n, k) &= P(n, k; 4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{t}{n-2k+2-t} \sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=0}^1 \binom{2}{i} \binom{k+i-3}{t-1} \binom{2t+k-4}{t-i-j-2} f(t, k-4, j, i+2). \end{aligned}$$

substituting into

$$ELO(n, k) = 2(s_2(n, k) + s_3(n, k)) + s_4(n, k) + s_5(n, k) + \bar{s}_6(n, k).$$

And the theorem can be obtained by simplifying it with the Maple command. ■

k	n														
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
1	1														
2		2	5	3											
3				3	16	32	31	12							
4						5	38	133	263	306	198	55			
5								7	76	390	1196	2371	3093	2584	
6										9	134	935	3978	11363	
7												11	216	1957	
8														13	
sum	1	2	5	6	16	37	69	152	339	705	1528	3372	7287	15917	
k	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24							
5	1260	273													
6	22689	31998	31417	20520	8035	1428									
7	10870	41244	112568	226462	338135	371646	293026	157179							
8	326	3712	25886	124288	435777	1151940	2333286	3639555							
9		15	468	6533	55637	327207	1416835	4686114							
10				17	646	10840	110407	776809							
11						19	864	17150							
12								21							
sum	35145	77242	170339	377820	838230	1863080	4154418	9276828							

Table 3.3: $EMO(n, k), 3 \leq n \leq 24$

Corollary 3.4. *When $k \geq 3$, the number of optimal extended 2-regular simple stacks with no visible vertex is*

$$EMO(2k, k) = 2k - 3,$$

$$EMO(2k + 1, k) = \frac{2}{3}k^3 - \frac{8}{3}k + 6.$$

By Matlab, some of the $EMO(n, k)$ values are given by Table 3.2.

References

- [1] Banerjee, A.R., Jaeger, J.A. and Turner, D.H. 1993. Thermal unfolding of a group I ribozyme: the low-temperature transition is primarily disruption of tertiary structure. *Biochemistry*. 32, 153–163.
- [2] Chen, W.Y., 1990. A general bijective algorithm for trees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 87(24), 9635–9639.
- [3] Chen, W.Y.C., Guo, Q.-H., Sun, L.H. and Wang, J. 2014. Zigzag stacks and m -regular linear stacks. *J. Comput. Biol.* 21 (12), 915–935.
- [4] Clote, P. 2006. Combinatorics of saturated secondary structures of RNA. *J. Comput. Biol.* 13, 1640–1657.

- [5] Clote, P., Kranakis, E., Krizanc, D. and Salvy, B. 2009. Asymptotics of canonical and saturated RNA secondary structures. *J. Bioinform. Comput. Biol.* 7, 869-893.
- [6] Clote, P., Kranakis, E., Krizanc, D. and Stachoe, L. 2007. Asymptotic expected number of base pairs in optimal secondary structure for random RNA using the Nussinov-Jacobson energy model. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 155, 759-787.
- [7] Fusy, E. and Clote, P. 2014. Combinatorics of locally optimal RNA secondary structures. *J. Math. Biol.* 68, 341-375.
- [8] Guo, Q.-H. and Sun, L.H. 2018. Combinatorics of Contacts in Protein Contact Maps. *Bull. Math. Biol.* 80, 385-403.
- [9] Guo, Q.-H., Sun, L.H. and Wang, J. 2016. Enumeration of Extended m -Regular Linear Stacks. *J. Comput. Biol.* 23, 943-956.
- [10] Guo, Q.-H., Sun, L.H. and Wang, J. 2017. Regular Simple Queues of Protein Contact Maps. *Bull. Math. Biol.* 79, 21-35.
- [11] Riordan, J. 1968. *Combinatorial identities*. Wiley.
- [12] Nebel, M.E. 2002. Combinatorial properties of RNA secondary structures. *J. Comput. Biol.* 9, 541-573.
- [13] Reidys, R. 2011. *Combinatorial Computational Biology of RNA Pseudoknots and Neutral Networks*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [14] Schmitt, W.R. and Waterman, M. 1994. Linear trees and RNA secondary structure. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 51, 317-323.
- [15] Waldspühl, J. and Clote, P., 2007. Computing the partition function and sampling for saturated secondary structures of RNA, with respect to the Turner energy model. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 14(2), 190-215.
- [16] Waterman, M. and Smith, T. 1978. RNA secondary structure: a complete mathematical analysis. *Math. Biosci.* 42, 257-266.
- [17] Zuker, M., 1986. RNA folding prediction: The continued need for interaction between biologists and mathematicians. *Lectures on Mathematics in the Life Sciences.* 17, 87-124.
- [18] Zuker, M., Jaeger, J.A. and Turner, D.H., 1991. A comparison of optimal and suboptimal RNA secondary structures predicted by free energy minimization with structures determined by phylogenetic comparison. *Nucleic acids research*, 19(10), 2707-2714.