

A semi-bijective algorithm for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks

Qianghui Guo^{a,*}, Yinglie Jin^{a,*}, Lisa H. Sun^{b,*}, Mingxing Weng^{a,c}

^a*School of Mathematical Sciences, The Key Laboratory of Pure Mathematics and Combinatorics of Ministry of Education of China (LPMC), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China.*

^b*Center for Combinatorics, The Key Laboratory of Pure Mathematics and Combinatorics of Ministry of Education of China (LPMC), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China.*

^c*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P.R. China.*

Abstract

Combinatorics of biopolymer structures, especially enumeration of various RNA secondary structures and protein contact maps, is of significant interest for communities of both combinatorics and computational biology. However, most of the previous combinatorial enumeration results for these structures are presented in terms of generating functions, and few are explicit formulas. This paper is mainly concerned with finding explicit enumeration formulas for a particular class of biologically relevant structures, say, saturated 2-regular simple stacks, whose configuration is related to protein folds in the 2D honeycomb lattice. We establish a semi-bijective algorithm that converts saturated 2-regular simple stacks into forests of small trees, which produces a uniform formula for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with any of the six primary component types. Summarizing the six different primary component types, we obtain a bivariate explicit formula for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with n vertices and k arcs. As consequences, the uniform formula can be reduced to Clote's results on k -saturated 2-regular simple stacks and the optimal 2-regular simple stacks, and Guo et al.'s result on the optimal extended 2-regular simple

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: guo@nankai.edu.cn (Qianghui Guo), ylj@nankai.edu.cn (Yinglie Jin), sunhui@nankai.edu.cn (Lisa H. Sun)

stacks.

Keywords: bijective algorithm, small tree, saturated stack, RNA secondary structure, protein contact map

1. Introduction

The diagram $G([n], E)$, a graph represented by drawing n vertices in a horizontal line and arcs $(i, j) \in E$ in the upper halfplane, is a classical combinatorial structure closely related to set partitions and lattice paths [2, 4, 25]. It attracts extensive studies by various motivations, one of which is from computational molecular biology, where the diagram is often used to model biopolymer structures like RNA secondary structures and protein contact maps.

Since Waterman set up a combinatorial framework for the study of RNA secondary structures in the 1970s [28, 30], combinatorial problems related to computational molecular biology, especially the combinatorial enumeration of various RNA secondary structures has attracted significant interest from both combinatorialists and theoretical biologists. For example, Waterman and his coworkers further obtained recurrence relations, explicit and asymptotic formulas for the number of several types of RNA secondary structures [15, 24, 26, 29]. Nebel and his coworkers provided enumerative results on statistical properties for (extended) RNA secondary structures using dot-bracket words and context-free grammar methods [17, 18, 19]. Reidys et al. systematically studied RNA secondary structures with pseudoknots, and compiled their works in a monograph [22]. Clote and his coworkers proposed the concept of saturated RNA secondary structures and studied its enumeration problems [5, 6, 7, 9, 27].

Recently, the combinatorial framework for protein contact map has also been initiated. When two amino acids in a protein fold come close enough to each other, they presumably form some kind of bond, which is called a contact. The contact map of a protein fold is a graph that represents the patterns of contacts in the fold. In combinatorics, the contact map is usually represented by arranging its amino acids on a horizontal line and drawing an arc between two

residues if they form a contact. Contacts play a fundamental role in the study of protein structure and folding problems. Goldman et al. [10] showed that for any protein fold in 2D square lattice, the contact map can be decomposed into (at most) two stacks and one queue, which can be seen as generalizations of RNA secondary structures without and with pseudoknots, respectively. Istrail and Lam [16] proposed the question concerning generalizations of the Schmitt-Waterman counting formulas for RNA secondary structures [24] to enumerating stacks and queues, and they pointed out that this could provide insights into computing rigorous approximations of the partition function of protein folding in HP models. Thereafter, a series of works attacking the enumeration of stacks and queues were made by Chen, Guo and their co-authors [3, 12, 13, 14].

However, most of the above enumeration results are in the form of generating function, or generating function equation(s), or asymptotic formulas, few are explicit formulas. This paper makes efforts to find general explicit enumeration formulas for a particular class of diagrams, say, saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks, which emerges from the contact map of protein folds in the 2D honeycomb lattice.

It is known that in the classic hydrophobic-polar (HP) protein folding model [8], the fold of a protein sequence is modeled as a self-avoiding walk in the 2D or 3D lattice. In different lattice models, the maximum vertex degree and the minimum arc length of the contact map can vary significantly. For instance, in a protein contact map in 2D square lattice, the degree of each internal vertex and terminal vertex is at most 2 and 3, respectively, and the minimum arc length is at least 3. While in the 2D honeycomb lattice, the degree of each internal vertex and terminal vertex is at most 1 and 2, respectively, and the minimum arc length is at least 5. Figure 1 [11] shows the contact map of a protein fold in the 2D honeycomb lattice. For an investigation of various lattice models used for protein folding, we refer to [21].




Figure 1: The contact map of a protein fold in the 2D honeycomb lattice. It can be decomposed into two stacks and one queue which are labelled by S_1 , S_2 , and Q , respectively.

In a diagram, we say two arcs (i, j) and (k, l) form a nesting, if $i < k < l < j$, and a crossing if $i < k < j < l$. A noncrossing diagram is called a *stack*, and a nonnesting diagram is called a *queue*. Following [3, 14], a structure (stack or queue) with arc length at least m is called *m-regular*; a structure with the degree of each vertex bounded by one and two are called *simple* and *linear*, respectively. Actually, an RNA secondary structure can be viewed as a 2-regular simple stack. Furthermore, an *extended m-regular simple stack* is an m -regular simple stack, except that the two terminal vertices have a degree bounded by 2 instead of 1.

The free energy minimization model plays an important role in the design of lots of structure prediction algorithms for RNA and protein [30, 32]. In the classic Nussinov-Jacobson energy model [20], the energy function is the negative of the number of base pairs (for RNA) or contacts (for protein) and the structures with minimum energy are called optimal. The number of optimal 2-regular simple stacks of length n , denoted by $LO_0(n)$, is given by Clote [5, Corollary 13] as follows.

$$LO_0(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n(n+2)/8, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $n \geq 1$, and $LO_0(0) = 1$. Guo et al. [11, Theorem 2] obtained the explicit expression for the number of the optimal extended 2-regular simple stacks with n vertices, denoted by $ELO_0(n)$, as follows.

$$ELO_0(n) = \begin{cases} n - 3, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (n^3 - 3n^2 - 7n + 69)/12, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $n \geq 5$.

The *saturated* structure, introduced by Zuker [31], is formally defined as the structure in which no arcs can be added without violating the constraints like arc length, vertex degree, and noncrossing. With respect to the Nussinov-Jacobson energy model, saturated secondary structures are actually local minima in the energy landscape. The combinatorial problem related to the number of saturated RNA secondary structures has been studied extensively [5, 6, 7, 9, 27]. Following Zuker [31], Clote [5] introduced the concept of k -saturated structure which is saturated and contains exactly k fewer arcs than the optimal structures, and obtained recurrence relations for the number of k -saturated 2-regular simple stacks. Particularly, 0-saturated structures are just optimal structures.

In this paper, to find explicit formulas for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks, we establish a semi-bijective algorithm that maps saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks to small forests. This algorithm is a composition of Schmitt and Waterman's bijection [24] between RNA secondary structures and linear trees, and a bijection between unlabelled linear trees and forests of small trees. The latter bijection can be seen as a variation of the bijection for Schröder trees due to Chen [1]. For saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks, we distinguish six types of primary components. By counting the resulting forests, we obtain a uniform formula for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with any of the six primary component types. As consequences, the uniform formula can be reduced to Clote's [5] results on k -saturated 2-regular simple stacks and the optimal 2-regular simple stacks. By using this uniform formula for each primary component type, we obtain the main result of this paper, an explicit formula for the enumeration of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks re-

finied by the number of arcs.

Theorem 1. *Let $ELO(n, k)$ denote the total number of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with n vertices and k arcs. For any $n \geq 6, k \geq 3$, we have*

$$ELO(n, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^4 \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{2t+k}{t-1} \binom{t}{n-2k-2-t+i} P_i(k, t), \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$P_1(k, t) = \frac{2(k-t+1)}{(k+1)_3(k+2t)_2} \left(k^3 + (4t-2)k^2 + (2t^2-4t+1)k - 2t^3 - 4t^2 + 4t \right),$$

$$P_2(k, t) = \frac{k-t+1}{(k+1)_4(k+2t)_2} \left(7k^4 + (22t-22)k^3 + (-3t^2-49t+17)k^2 - (22t^3 + 13t^2 - 37t + 2)k + 6t^4 + 44t^3 - 4t^2 - 18t \right),$$

$$P_3(k, t) = \frac{2(k-t+1)_2}{(k+1)_4(k+2t)_3} \left(k^4 + (7t-6)k^3 + (15t^2-32t+13)k^2 + (7t^3-44t^2 + 45t-12)k - 4t^4 - 18t^3 + 48t^2 - 30t + 4 \right),$$

$$P_4(k, t) = \frac{(t-1)(k+t+1)}{(k+1)_4(k+2t)_5} \left(4k^6 + (16t-42)k^5 + (8t^2-106t+160)k^4 - (24t^3 - 26t^2 - 204t + 270)k^3 + (-10t^4 + 132t^3 - 244t^2 - 50t + 196)k^2 + (13t^5 - 8t^4 - 217t^3 + 468t^2 - 208t - 48)k - 2t^6 - 20t^5 + 66t^4 + 68t^3 - 304t^2 + 192t \right),$$

and $(n)_k = n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)$ denotes the k th falling factorial.

It is worthwhile noting that Equation (1.3) reduces to Guo et al.'s result [11, Theorem 2] for the number of optimal extended 2-regular simple stacks when taking $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the semi-bijective algorithm as well as some basic definitions and notations. In Section 3, we study the enumeration of saturated m -regular simple stacks. In Section 4, we give a uniform explicit formula for enumerating saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with six primary component types. At last, Section 5 devotes to proving Theorem 1 by using the uniform formula.

2. The semi-bijective algorithm

In this section, we propose a semi-bijective algorithm that generates forests of small trees from 2-regular simple stacks. We first introduce some basic definitions and notations.

A tree with a fixed root is called a *rooted tree*. A *linear tree* is a rooted tree together with a linear ordering on the set of children of each vertex in the tree. A linear tree of height one is called a *small tree*, and a forest of small trees is called a *small forest*, also known as *meadow* in graph theory. In a linear tree, the *fiber* of a vertex is the list of its children, a vertex with empty fiber is called a leaf, and all the other vertices are called internal. Obviously, the fiber of the root of a small tree is the list of its leaves. An internal vertex whose children are all leaves is called *outmost internal*. A *labelled tree* on $[n]$ is a tree in which the labels of all nodes is exactly $[n]$ with no repetition, where $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A linear tree on n vertices with each vertex labelled by a distinct number in $[n]$ is called a *labelled linear tree*. See Figure 2 for an example.




Figure 2: A labelled linear tree on $[16]$ with internal vertices $1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 16$ and outmost internal vertices $7, 8, 16$.

Let interval $\langle i, j \rangle$ denote the set $\{i + 1, i + 2, \dots, j - 1\}$, which may be empty. Let $[m, n]$ denote the set $\{m, m + 1, \dots, n\}$. Denote $\mathcal{R}(n, k)$ the set of 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs, and $\mathcal{T}(n, k)$ the set of unlabelled linear trees with n vertices, in which k vertices are internal.

Recall the following bijection given by Schmitt and Waterman [24],

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathcal{R}(n, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}(n - k + 1, k + 1), \\ S &\mapsto T, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

which is defined as follows.

Denote the set of isolated vertices of S by I . Let V be the set $\{[i, j] : (i, j) \in S\} \cup \{[0, n + 1]\} \cup I$. Partially order V by set inclusion and then the Hasse diagram of V is a rooted tree having $n - k + 1$ vertices in which $k + 1$ vertices are internal. The linear order of the set I of terminal vertices gives this tree a linear structure. By removing all the labels of this linear tree, we obtain $T \in \mathcal{T}(n - k + 1, k + 1)$.

Figure 3 illustrates the bijection φ .




Figure 3: A saturated 2-regular simple stack in $\mathcal{R}(21, 6)$ and its corresponding unlabelled linear tree in $\mathcal{T}(16, 7)$.

Based on the bijection φ and the enumeration results on unlabelled linear trees [1], Schmitt and Waterman [24] derived the number of 2-regular simple

stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs

$$|\mathcal{R}(n, k)| = \frac{1}{k} \binom{n-k}{k+1} \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}, \quad n, k \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Next, we give a bijective algorithm that constructs small forests from labelled linear trees. The idea of our algorithm originates from Chen's decomposition algorithm for Schröder trees [1], which has been used to obtain many classical results for enumerations of trees.

Denote $\mathcal{LT}(n, k)$ the set of labelled linear trees on n vertices with k internal vertices. Let $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ be the set of forests on $[n]$ with k small trees such that all the roots are assigned labels less than or equal to $n - k + 1$, and all the vertices with labels greater than $n - k + 1$ are asterisked. In other words, the last $k - 1$ labels of $[n]$ are asterisked and cannot be roots. Obviously, roots must be unasterisked. Note that the set of labels of the nodes in $\mathcal{LT}(n, k)$ is exactly $[n]$, and that the set of all labels of the small trees in $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ is also exactly $[n]$ with no repetition in the labels among the trees in the forest.

We define the bijection between $\mathcal{LT}(n, k)$ and $\mathcal{F}(n + k - 1, k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathcal{LT}(n, k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n + k - 1, k) \\ LT &\mapsto F, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

as follows: for a given $LT \in \mathcal{LT}(n, k)$,

1. Initialize $F = \emptyset$;
2. Suppose the label of the largest outmost internal vertex of LT is i , and denote its fiber by B . Then add a small tree with root i and fiber B into F ;
3. In LT , remove the fiber B and relabel the vertex i by $(n + 1)^*$;
4. Repeat step 2 and step 3, and relabel the largest outmost internal vertex i in each time by $(n + 2)^*, \dots, (n + k - 1)^*$ subsequently, until all the internal vertices have been asterisked except the root. Then add the small tree with the root of LT into F .

Given $F \in \mathcal{F}(n + k - 1, k)$, the inverse map can be done as follows.

1. In F , among the trees with no asterisked vertex, select the one whose root label is the largest, denote that tree by T ;
2. Find the tree T^* in F that contains $(n+1)^*$, then update F by replacing $(n+1)^*$ with T in T^* ;
3. Repeat step 1 and step 2 for vertices $(n+2)^*, \dots, (n+k-1)^*$ until there is only one tree in F ;
4. Let LT be the only tree in F .

It is straightforward to see that the above two maps are inverse to each other, and thus ψ is a bijection. Figure 4 shows an example.




Figure 4: A labelled linear tree in $\mathcal{LT}(16, 7)$ and its corresponding small forest in $\mathcal{F}(22, 7)$.

Through the construction of φ and ψ , it is interesting to observe the correspondences between properties of 2-regular simple stacks, linear trees, and small forests as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Correspondences between 2-regular simple stacks, linear trees and small forest.

$\mathcal{R}(n, k)$	$\mathcal{T}(n - k + 1, k + 1)$	$\mathcal{F}(n + 1, k + 1)$
k arcs	$k + 1$ internal vertices	$k + 1$ small trees
$n - 2k$ isolated vertices	$n - 2k$ leaves	$n - 2k$ unasterisked leaves
arc of length m and covering $m - 1$ isolated vertices	outmost internal vertice with degree $m - 1$	small trees with $m - 1$ unasterisked leaves
b visible vertices	b leaves in the children of the root	b unasterisked leaves in the small tree that contains $(n + 1)^*$

Remark that a vertex in a stack is called *visible* if it is not covered by any arc. For the last cell in Table 1, note that if $S \in \mathcal{R}(n, k)$ has only isolated vertices, then $T = \varphi(S)$ is a small tree, all the vertices of S are visible, and T remains unchanged under bijection ψ , so all the leaves of $\psi(T)$ are unasterisked.

Now we are ready to give our semi-bijective algorithm that maps a 2-regular simple stack to its corresponding small forest. The main idea is that first convert the 2-regular simple stack S to an unlabeled linear tree, then label the vertices of the tree $\varphi(S)$, and finally apply bijection ψ to produce the desired small forests. The full algorithm is stated as follows.

Algorithm The semi-bijective algorithm **STF**

Input: A 2-regular simple stack $S \in \mathcal{R}(n, k)$.

Output: A set of small forests $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(n + 1, k + 1)$.

Step 1. Set $T = \varphi(S) \in \mathcal{T}(n - k + 1, k + 1)$.

Step 2. Label the vertices of T distinctly with numbers in $[n - k + 1]$ arbitrarily, and let \mathcal{LT} be the set of the $(n - k + 1)!$ labelled linear trees.

Step 3. Set $\mathcal{F} = \{F \mid F = \psi(T), T \in \mathcal{LT}\}$.

In the following, we will use the algorithm **STF** to enumerate saturated m -regular simple stacks, and a variant of **STF** will be used to enumerate saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks.

3. Saturated m -regular simple stacks

In this section, we are concerned with the enumeration of saturated m -regular simple stacks through the semi-bijective algorithm STF.

Denote the set of saturated m -regular simple stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs by $\mathcal{R}_s(n, k; m)$, and denote $\mathcal{F}_s(n + 1, k + 1; m)$ the set of small forests generated from $\mathcal{R}_s(n, k; m)$ by STF, that is

$$\mathcal{F}_s(n + 1, k + 1; m) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{R}_s(n, k; m)} \text{STF}(S).$$

Let $R_s(n, k; m) = |\mathcal{R}_s(n, k; m)|$ and $F_s(n, k; m) = |\mathcal{F}_s(n, k; m)|$. The following lemma characterizes the set $\mathcal{F}_s(n + 1, k + 1; m)$.

Lemma 1. *Assume F is a small forest, then $F \in \mathcal{F}_s(n + 1, k + 1; m)$ if and only if $F \in \mathcal{F}(n + 1, k + 1)$ and the fiber of any small tree in F satisfies the following properties:*

- (P1) *When the fiber contains no asterisked vertex, it must be of $m - 1$ or m unasterisked vertices.*
- (P2) *When the fiber contains asterisked vertices, then the unasterisked vertices are all consecutive, and there are no more than m of them. Here unasterisked vertices are consecutive if no asterisked vertex appears between unasterisked ones.*

Moreover, we have

$$R_s(n, k; m) = \frac{F_s(n + 1, k + 1; m)}{(n - k + 1)!}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{F}_s(n + 1, k + 1; m) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(n + 1, k + 1)$. Through the bijection φ defined by (2.1), one can see that the saturated m -regular simple stacks correspond to those unlabelled linear trees satisfying the following two restrictions:

- (R1) The fiber of any outmost internal vertex must be of $m - 1$ or m leaves.
- (R2) The fiber of any non-outmost internal vertex contains at most m leaves, which are all consecutive.

Note that in Step 2 of algorithm **STF**, each unlabelled linear tree corresponds to $(n - k + 1)!$ labelled linear trees and thus equation (3.1) holds. Further applying the bijection ψ , the internal vertices (except the root) and leaves of each labelled linear tree are mapped to asterisked and unasterisked leaves of small trees in the corresponding small forest, respectively. This leads to the two properties (P1) and (P2).

Conversely, assume that $F \in \mathcal{F}(n + 1, k + 1)$ satisfying (P1) and (P2). Applying the inverse map of the bijection ψ to F , we see that the asterisked and unasterisked leaves of small trees in F correspond to the internal vertices (except the root) and leaves of a labelled linear tree, respectively. Let $LT := \psi^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{LT}(n - k + 1, k + 1)$, then it is direct to check that LT satisfies (R1) and (R2) corresponding to the two properties (P1) and (P2) of F . Denote T the unlabelled linear tree obtained from removing the labels of LT . The restrictions (R1) and (R2) guarantee the preimage of T with respect to the bijection φ is a saturated m -regular simple stack.

Moreover, note that there is no restriction for the labeling of LT . Thus we have $(n - k + 1)!$ labelled linear trees with the same configuration as LT , which leads to equation (3.1) again. \square

Let $[m, n]^*$ denote the set $\{m^*, (m + 1)^*, \dots, n^*\}$, and $[n]^* = [1, n]^*$. Based on relation (3.1), we can derive the following enumeration result on saturated m -regular simple stacks by counting the small forests in $\mathcal{F}_s(n + 1, k + 1; m)$.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$R_s(n, k; m) = [x^{n-2k}y^k] \frac{(y - y^2 + xy + x^{m-1}(1 - y)^2 - x^{m+1})^{k+1}}{(k + 1)(1 - x)^{k+1}(1 - y)^{2(k+1)}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $n, k \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $f(s, t)$ denote the number of fibers constructed by vertices on $[s] \cup [t]^*$ satisfying the properties in Lemma 1. When $t = 0$, by the property (P1) in Lemma 1, it is easy to see that

$$f(s, 0) = \begin{cases} s!, & s = m - 1 \text{ or } s = m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $t > 0$, the property (P2) in Lemma 1 leads to that

$$f(s, t) = \begin{cases} t!, & s = 0, \\ s!(t+1)!, & 1 \leq s \leq m, \\ 0, & s > m. \end{cases}$$

Denote the exponential generating function of $f(s, t)$ by

$$F(x, y; m) = \sum_{s, t \geq 0} f(s, t) \frac{x^s}{s!} \frac{y^t}{t!},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y; m) &= f(m-1, 0) \frac{x^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} + f(m, 0) \frac{x^m}{m!} + \sum_{t \geq 1} f(0, t) \frac{y^t}{t!} + \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} f(s, t) \frac{x^s}{s!} \frac{y^t}{t!} \\ &= (m-1)! \frac{x^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} + m! \frac{x^m}{m!} + \sum_{t \geq 1} t! \frac{y^t}{t!} + \left(\sum_{s=1}^m s! \frac{x^s}{s!} \right) \left(\sum_{t \geq 1} (t+1)! \frac{y^t}{t!} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{s=0}^m x^s \right) \left(\sum_{t \geq 0} (t+1) y^t \right) - \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} x^s - \sum_{t \geq 1} t y^t \\ &= \frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-y)^2} - \frac{1-x^{m-1}}{1-x} - \frac{y}{(1-y)^2} \\ &= \frac{y-y^2+xy+x^{m-1}(1-y)^2-x^{m+1}}{(1-x)(1-y)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Note that a small forest $F \in \mathcal{F}_s(n+1, k+1; m)$ contains $n-k+1$ unasterisked vertices, $n-2k$ unasterisked leaves, and k asterisked leaves. To construct such a small forest, we can first choose $k+1$ unasterisked numbers as the roots' labels, and then choose the $k+1$ fibers, the numbers of which coincide with the generating function $F(x, y; m)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R_s(n, k; m) &= \frac{F_s(n+1, k+1; m)}{(n-k+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-k+1)!} \binom{n-k+1}{k+1} \left[\frac{x^{n-2k}}{(n-2k)!} \cdot \frac{y^k}{k!} \right] (F(x, y; m))^{k+1} \\ &= [x^{n-2k} y^k] \frac{(y-y^2+xy+x^{m-1}(1-y)^2-x^{m+1})^{k+1}}{(k+1)(1-x)^{k+1}(1-y)^{2(k+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that an m -regular simple stack on $[n]$ contains at most $\lfloor \frac{n-m+1}{2} \rfloor$ arcs, thus $n \geq m-1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-m+1}{2} \rfloor$ is a necessary condition for $R_s(n, k; m) \neq 0$. Specially, for the case of saturated 2-regular and 3-regular simple stacks, (3.2) reduces to the following explicit formulas.

Corollary 1. *When $n, k \geq 0$, we have*

$$R_s(n, k; 2) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1} \binom{t}{n-2k-t}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$R_s(n, k; 3) = \sum_{s=2}^{n-2k} \sum_{t=1}^s \frac{(-1)^{s-t-1}}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{n-2k-s} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{t}{s-t} \binom{s+k-n-1}{s-t-1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Setting $m = 2$ in (3.2) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} R_s(n, k; 2) &= [x^{n-2k} y^k] \frac{(x^2 + x - y^2 + y)^{k+1}}{(k+1)(1-y)^{2(k+1)}} \\ &= [y^k] \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} (y-y^2)^{k+1-t} [x^{n-2k}] (x^2+x)^t}{(k+1)(1-y)^{2(k+1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} ([y^{t-1}] (1-y)^{-(k+t+1)}) ([x^{n-2k-t}] (x+1)^t) \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1} \binom{t}{n-2k-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $m = 3$ into (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_s(n, k; 3) &= [x^{n-2k} y^k] \frac{(x^2 + xy + y)^{k+1} (x-y+1)^{k+1}}{(k+1)(1-y)^{2(k+1)}} \\ &= [y^k] \frac{\sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} ([x^s] (x^2 + xy + y)^{k+1}) ([x^{n-2k-s}] (x-y+1)^{k+1})}{(k+1)(1-y)^{2(k+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [x^s] (x^2 + xy + y)^{k+1} &= \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{t}{s-t} y^{t+k-s+1}, \\ [x^{n-2k-s}] (x-y+1)^{k+1} &= \binom{k+1}{n-2k-s} (1-y)^{-(n-3k-s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_s(n, k; 3) &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{n-2k-s} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{t}{s-t} \left([y^k] \frac{y^{t+k-s+1}}{(1-y)^{n-k-s+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^{n-2k} \sum_{t=1}^s \frac{(-1)^{s-t-1}}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{n-2k-s} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{t}{s-t} \binom{k+s-n-1}{s-t-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $LO_k(n)$ denote the number of k -saturated 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$. According to the definition of k -saturated 2-regular simple stacks, we have

$$LO_k(n) = R_s \left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor - k; 2 \right). \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, when $k = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$, (3.4) reduces to Clote's results [5, Corollary 13] for the optimal 2-regular simple stacks, see (1.1). Moreover, substituting $k = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - 1$ and $k = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - 2$ into (3.4), we have the following results for 1-saturated and 2-saturated 2-regular simple stacks, respectively.

Corollary 2. *For any $n \geq 3$, we have*

$$LO_1(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{192} (n^2 + 8n + 31), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{(n-2)(n-4)}{9216} (n^4 + 12n^3 + 68n^2 - 288n - 2304), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

$$LO_2(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-3)(n-5)(n-7)}{737280} (n^5 + 23n^4 + 278n^3 + 634n^2 - 9879n - 52497), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{(n-4)(n-6)(n-8)}{88473600} (n^7 + 28n^6 + 400n^5 - 560n^4 - 56336n^3 - 320768n^2 + 1555200n + 13363200), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Note that for $LO_1(n)$, Clote [5, Corollary 15] obtained a recurrence relation which can be reformulated as follows,

$$LO_1(2m+1) = LO_1(2m-1) + \frac{1}{3}m^3 + \frac{1}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{6}m - 1,$$

$$LO_1(2m) = LO_1(2m-2) + LO_1(2m-3) + \frac{1}{120}m^5 + \frac{7}{24}m^3 - m^2 - \frac{3}{10}m + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (LO_1(2i-1) + LO_1(2m-2i-1)),$$

where $m \geq 3$ and $LO_1(0) = LO_1(1) = LO_1(2) = LO_1(3) = LO_1(4) = 0, LO_1(5) = 4$.

4. Enumeration of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with given primary component types

In this section, we devote to deriving a uniform explicit formula for enumerating saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with any of the six primary component types. Clote's result [5] on saturated 2-regular simple stacks is then a consequence of this uniform formula.

The concept of primary component plays a key role in the enumeration of stacks. Following the structure decomposition idea proposed by Chen et al. [3], the primary component is defined as the union of the connected components containing vertices 1 and n . Following the idea of Guo et al. [11], the primary component of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$ can be classified into six types according to the degrees of vertices 1 and n , see Table 2.

Table 2: Six primary component types of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$.

$(\deg(1), \deg(n))$	primary component types
$(2,0), (0,2)$	\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}'_1
$(2,1), (1,2)$ $1, n$ form an arc	\mathcal{A}_2 \mathcal{A}'_2
$(2,1), (1,2)$ $1, n$ do not form an arc	\mathcal{A}_3 \mathcal{A}'_3
$(1,1)$	\mathcal{A}_4
$(2,2)$ $1, n$ form an arc	\mathcal{A}_5
$(2,2)$ $1, n$ do not form an arc	\mathcal{A}_6

As shown in Table 2, the primary component splits $[n]$ into disjoint intervals, each of which contains a substructure. According to the degree and arc length restrictions of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks, we can classify these substructures into seven types.

Denote T and \widehat{T} an arbitrary nonempty saturated 2-regular simple stack, and a nonempty saturated 2-regular simple stack with no visible vertex, respectively. Let \bullet and ε stand for an isolated vertex and an empty stack, respectively. Then with these notations, the seven types of the substructures in the intervals can be represented as follows:

- $T_1 = T + \varepsilon$: T or an empty stack;
- $T_2 = T$;
- $T_3 = \widehat{T} + \varepsilon$: \widehat{T} or an empty stack;
- $T_4 = \widehat{T}$;
- $T_5 = \widehat{T} + \bullet$: \widehat{T} or an isolated vertex;
- $T_6 = \widehat{T} \bullet + \bullet + \widehat{T} + \varepsilon$: T_3 followed by an isolated vertex, or just T_3 ;

- $T_7 = \widehat{T} \bullet + \bullet + \widehat{T}$: T_3 followed by an isolated vertex, or just \widehat{T} .

Note that the substructures of types T_1, T_3 may be empty. T'_6 and T'_7 stand for the reverse structures of T_6 and T_7 , respectively.

In the following, we give a semi-bijective algorithm that maps an extended 2-regular simple stack S on $[n]$ with a given primary component type $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^6$ to a set of small forests, which is actually a variation of algorithm STF. The idea is to preprocess S before applying STF and redefine the labeling rules in Step 2 of STF to distinguish the primary component from the other parts. Denote this modified algorithm eSTF which is stated as follows.

Algorithm The semi-bijective algorithm eSTF

Input: An extended 2-regular simple stack S with n vertices and k arcs, denote k_1 the number of arcs in the primary component of S .

Output: A set of small forests $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(n + d + 1, k + 1)$.

Step 0. For $v \in \{1, n\}$, if $\deg v = 0$, delete v from S ; if $\deg v = 1$, do nothing; if $\deg v = 2$, add a new vertex u to the left of v and bond one of the two arcs at v to u so that no crossing occurs. Denote $d = \sum_{v \in \{1, n\}} (\deg v - 1)$. Relabel the vertices of S by $[n + d]$ from left to right.

Step 1. Apply the Schmitt-Waterman's bijection on S , set $T = \varphi(S) \in \mathcal{T}(n + d - k + 1, k + 1)$.

Step 2. Denote P the vertex subset of T consisting of the root and the k_1 internal vertices corresponding to k_1 arcs in the primary component. Label the vertices of P by breadth-first order with $1, \dots, k_1 + 1$, and label the other vertices in T by $[k_1 + 2, n + d - k + 1]$ in any of the $(n + d - k - k_1)!$ ways. Denote the set of these $(n + d - k - k_1)!$ labelled linear trees by \mathcal{LT} .

Step 3. Set $\mathcal{F} = \{F \mid F = \psi(T), T \in \mathcal{LT}\}$.

The following example illustrates algorithm eSTF.




Figure 5: A saturated extended 2-regular simple stack.

In the saturated extended 2-regular simple stack in Figure 5, $n = 21, k = 6, k_1 = 2, d = 0$. By Step 0 and Step 1, we get the simple stack S and its corresponding unlabelled linear tree $\varphi(S)$ as shown in Figure 3. The new labeling rule will produce $13!$ different labelled trees from $\varphi(S)$. Figure 4 shows one of the labelled linear trees and its corresponding small forest.

According to Lemma 1, saturated 2-regular simple stacks will produce a subset of small forests in which the fiber of any small tree contains no unasterisked vertex, or one unasterisked vertex, or two adjacent unasterisked vertices, and we call such a fiber *saturated*. Corresponding to the substructures of type T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 , we can classify the fiber of small trees into the following four types.

- F_1 : an arbitrary saturated fiber;
- F_2 : an arbitrary nonempty saturated fiber;
- F_3 : an arbitrary saturated fiber with no unasterisked vertices;
- F_4 : an arbitrary nonempty saturated fiber with no unasterisked vertices;

Let $\mathcal{R}_s(n, k; k_1, d)$ denote the set of extended saturated 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs whose primary component \mathcal{A} contains k_1 arcs and

$\sum_{v \in \{1, n\}} (\deg v - 1) = d$. Denote the set of small forests corresponding to $\mathcal{R}_s(n, k; k_1, d)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_s(n + d + 1, k + 1; k_1, d) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{R}_s(n, k; k_1, d)} \mathbf{eSTF}(S).$$

Set $R_s(n, k; k_1, d) = |\mathcal{R}_s(n, k; k_1, d)|$ and $F_s(n + d + 1, k + 1; k_1, d) = |\mathcal{F}_s(n + d + 1, k + 1; k_1, d)|$. Similarly to Lemma 1, we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that F is a small forest. If $F \in \mathcal{F}_s(n+d+1, k+1; k_1, d)$, then*

- (P1) *The fiber of any small tree in F contains no unasterisked vertices, or one unasterisked vertex, or two adjacent unasterisked vertices.*
- (P2) *The vertices $1, 2, \dots, k_1 + 1$ are roots of the small trees, and the positions of vertices $(n+d+1)^*, \dots, (n+d-k_1+2)^*$ are fully determined by the primary component \mathcal{A} .*
- (P3) *The vertex $(n+d-k_1+1)^*$, if exists, must be a leaf of one of the small trees with roots $1, 2, \dots, k_1 + 1$.*

Moreover,

$$R_s(n, k; k_1, d) = \frac{F_s(n+d+1, k+1; k_1, d)}{(n+d-k-k_1)!}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Assume $S \in \mathcal{R}_s(n, k; k_1, d)$. By Step 0 of **eSTF**, we obtain a saturated simple stack in $\mathcal{R}(n+d, k)$, still denoted by S . Step 1 of **eSTF** maps S to a unlabelled tree $T \in \mathcal{T}(n+d-k+1, k+1)$ in which the fiber of any vertex contains no leaf, or one leaf, or two adjacent leaves, which is the case $m = 2$ in Lemma 1, thus property (P1) holds.

According to Step 2 of **eSTF**, the numbers in $[k_1 + 1]$ are the labels of some internal vertices, and thus they are the roots of some of the small trees. Note that the primary component \mathcal{A} corresponds to the vertices in P except the root, which are labelled by $2, \dots, k_1 + 1$ in Step 2 and relabelled by $(n+d+1)^*, \dots, (n+d-k_1+2)^*$ in bijection ψ . Thus the positions of vertices $(n+d+1)^*, \dots, (n+d-k_1+2)^*$ are fully determined by \mathcal{A} and property (P2) follows.

For property (P3), assume that LT is one of the labelled linear trees obtained after Step 2. Note that $(n+d-k_1+1)^*$ is the largest asterisked vertex, except the vertices in $[n+d-k_1+2, n+d+1]^*$, this implies that $(n+d-k_1+1)^*$ is the new label of a vertex whose parent is in $[1, k_1 + 1]$. Therefore $\psi(LT)$ satisfies the property (P3).

Equation (4.1) is straightforward by noting that the vertices of T , except those in P , are labelled arbitrarily in any of the $(n+d-k-k_1)!$ ways. \square

Lemma 2 shows that the number of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks can be obtained by enumerating the corresponding small forests. To this end, the following basic notations and properties on set partitions are prerequisite.

Recall a *partition* of a finite set S is a collection $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\}$ of subsets $B_i \subseteq S$ such that $B_i \neq \emptyset$, $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_k = S$. We call $B_i \in \pi$ a *block* of π . An *ordered partition* is a set partition in which the blocks are linearly ordered. If the elements of each block of π are ordered linearly, we call π an *inner-ordered partition* of S . An ordered partition is called *dual-ordered* if it is also inner-ordered. If a partition π contains exactly k blocks, we call π a k -partition.

Lemma 3. *The number of inner-ordered k -partitions of $[n]$ that each block contains at most two elements is $\frac{n!}{k!} \binom{k}{n-k}$.*

Proof. To obtain a dual-ordered k -partition of $[n]$ that each block contains at most two elements, we first linearly order $[n]$ in $n!$ ways. Then divide each sequence on n elements into k linearly ordered nonempty blocks such that each block contains at most two elements, which can be obtained through the following generating function

$$[x^n](x + x^2)^k = [x^{n-k}] \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i = \binom{k}{n-k}.$$

At last, dividing $k!$ to remove the order of the k blocks completes the proof. \square

Next, we consider the inner-ordered partitions of a union set consisting of two kinds of elements.

Lemma 4. *Let $S = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $T = \{t_j\}_{j=1}^k$. Then the number of inner-ordered k -partitions on the set $S \cup T$ such that each block contains exactly one element in T is $s! \binom{2k+s-1}{s}$.*

Proof. Note that any inner-ordered k -partition under consideration can be obtained from a dual-ordered k -partition by neglecting the order of the blocks.

To construct a dual-ordered k -partition, we first construct a sequence of length $2k - 1$ consisting of alternatively appeared $t_j \in T$ and vertical bars, then from the $2k$ positions before or after each element of the sequence, choose s positions with repetitions in $\binom{2k}{s}$ ways to place the elements of S . At last, linearly ordering the elements of S and T in $s!$ and $k!$ ways, respectively, and neglecting the order of the k blocks completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5. *Given $l \geq 1, r \geq 0, u \geq 0, v \geq 0, r + v \geq 1$, denote $C(l, r, u, v)$ the number of dual-ordered $(u + v + r)$ -partitions on $[l] \cup [l + 1, l + r]^*$ with the following three properties:*

- (a) *Each block contains no unasterisked element, or one unasterisked element, or two adjacent unasterisked elements.*
- (b) *The first u blocks contain no unasterisked element.*
- (c) *The element $(l + r)^*$ is contained in one of the first $u + v$ blocks.*

Then we have

$$C(l, r, u, v) = l! r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \binom{2t+r}{t-u-v} f(t, r, u, v), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$f(t, r, u, v) = \frac{ut + (u+v)(t+r+v)}{t(2t+r)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. To construct such a partition under consideration with $u + v + r$ blocks, assume that l unasterisked vertices are contained by exactly t blocks, and these t blocks contain s asterisked vertices. Then by the properties (a) and (b), it is easy to see that $\lceil \frac{l}{2} \rceil \leq t \leq \min\{l, r + v\}$ and $0 \leq s \leq t - u - v$. We denote $p = u + v + r$ for convenience. A dual-ordered partition π can be constructed by the following four steps:

- (1) First construct an inner-ordered t -partitions π_1 of l unasterisked vertices such that each block contains at most two vertices to satisfy the property (a). According to Lemma 3, there are $\frac{l!}{t!} \binom{t}{l-t}$ ways.
- (2) Insert s unlabelled asterisked vertices into t blocks of π_1 . According to Lemma 4, there are $\binom{2t+s-1}{s}$ ways to do this.

(3) Divide the remaining $r - s$ unlabelled asterisked vertices into $(p - t)$ blocks to construct a $(p - t)$ -partition π_2 . The number of ways to do this is $\frac{1}{(p-t)!} \binom{r-s-1}{p-t-1}$.

(4) List the r asterisked vertices. Assume that the first s asterisked vertices are just the ones inserted into the t blocks of π_1 . For each permutation of all p blocks, denote U -blocks and R -blocks the first u blocks and last r blocks, respectively, let V -blocks denote the v blocks between U -blocks and R -blocks. To meet properties (b) and (c), according to the position of the vertex $(l + r)^*$, we need to discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. If the vertex $(l + r)^*$ lies in one of the first s positions, then there are $s(r - 1)!$ ways to list the asterisked vertices, and the block containing $(l + r)^*$ must contain unasterisked vertices. It is easy to see that $(l + r)^*$ must lie in V -blocks of π . So π can be constructed by choosing u blocks from $p - t$ blocks of π_2 and linearly ordering, then taking the block containing $(l + r)^*$ as one of the V -blocks, and permuting the remaining $r + v - 1$ blocks. Thus there are

$$v \binom{p-t}{u} u! (r + v - 1)!$$

ways to produce π .

Case 2. If the vertex $(l + r)^*$ lies in one of the last $r - s$ positions, then there are $(r - s)(r - 1)!$ ways to list the asterisked vertices, and the block containing $(l + r)^*$ has no unasterisked vertices. If we take the block containing $(l + r)^*$ as one of the U -blocks, there are

$$u \binom{p-t-1}{u-1} (u - 1)! (r + v)!$$

ways to produce π . Otherwise, the block containing $(l + r)^*$ should be one of the V -blocks, then the number of ways is

$$v \binom{p-t-1}{u} u! (r + v - 1)!$$

Summarizing, we have

$$C(l, r, u, v)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t=\lfloor l/2 \rfloor}^{\min\{l, r+v\}} \frac{l!(r-1)!}{t!(p-t)!} \binom{t}{l-t} \sum_{s=0}^{t-u-v} \binom{2t+s-1}{s} \binom{r-s-1}{p-t-1} \left(sv \binom{p-t}{u} u!(r+v-1)! \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (r-s) \binom{p-t-1}{u-1} (u-1)!(r+v)! + v \binom{p-t-1}{u} u!(r+v-1)! \right). \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

For the case of $u > 0$, we have $p-t \geq u > 0$. Then (4.4) can be simplified as

$$l!r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \sum_{s=0}^{t-u-v} \binom{2t+s-1}{s} \binom{r-s-1}{p-t-1} g(s, t, r, u, v), \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$g(s, t, r, u, v) = \frac{sv}{tr} + \frac{(r-s)(u(r+v) + v(r+v-t))}{(p-t)tr}.$$

Applying the following identity [23, P8 (3b)]

$$\sum_{s=0}^m \binom{q+s-1}{s} \binom{n-s}{m-s} = \binom{n+q}{m}, \quad n, m \geq 0, q \geq 1, \tag{4.6}$$

we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^m \binom{q+s-1}{s} \binom{n-s}{m-s} s = q \binom{n+q}{m-1}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^m \binom{q+s-1}{s} \binom{n-s}{m-s} (n+1-s) = (n-m+1) \binom{n+q+1}{m}. \tag{4.8}$$

Setting $q = 2t, n = r-1, m = t-u-v$ in the above two identities and substituting them into the sums involving s in (4.5), it turns to

$$\begin{aligned}
&l!r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \left(\frac{2v}{r} \binom{2t+r-1}{p+t} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{u(r+v) + v(r+v-t)}{tr} \binom{2t+r}{p+t} \right) \\
&= l!r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \binom{2t+r}{t-u-v} \frac{ut + (u+v)(t+r+v)}{t(2t+r)}. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

For the case of $u = 0$, we divide the summation of (4.4) into two parts as $\lfloor l/2 \rfloor \leq t < r+v = p$ and $t = r+v = p$. As in the case of $u > 0$, the part for

$\lfloor l/2 \rfloor \leq t < p$ can be simplified to

$$l!r! \sum_{t=1}^{\min\{l, r+v-1\}} \binom{t}{l-t} \binom{r+v-1}{t-1} \binom{2t+r}{t-v} \frac{v(t+r+v)}{t(2t+r)}. \quad (4.10)$$

The part for $t = r + v = p$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{l!(r-1)!}{(r+v)!} \binom{r+v}{l-r-v} \binom{3r+2v-1}{r} r v \binom{0}{u} (r+v-1)! \\ & = l!r! \binom{r+v}{l-r-v} \binom{3r+2v}{r} \frac{2v}{3r+2v}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

At last, summing (4.10) and (4.11) completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to give the uniform formula for saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with any of the six primary component types.

Theorem 3. Denote $\mathcal{P}(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2)$ the set of extended saturated 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs and \mathcal{A} the primary component satisfying that

- (1) \mathcal{A} contains k_1 arcs and $\sum_{v \in \{1, n\}} (\deg v - 1) = d$.
- (2) \mathcal{A} splits $[n]$ into disjoint intervals, on which there are I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2 substructures of type T_1, T_2, T_3 and T_4 , respectively.

Let $P(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = |\mathcal{P}(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2)|$, then

$$P(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{I_1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_1} \binom{I_1}{i} \binom{J_1}{j} \frac{C(l, r, j + J_2, i + I_2)}{l!r!}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $l = n + d - 2k$, $r = k - k_1$, and $C(l, r, u, v)$ is defined by (4.2).

Proof. Note that the total number of vertices in the intervals is $l = n + d - 2k$. For the trivial case $k = k_1$, it is obvious that $J_2 = 0$, and the J_1 intervals of type T_3 must be empty. Suppose that there are i nonempty intervals in I_1 intervals of type T_1 , so that l vertices are distributed in $I_2 + i$ intervals and these intervals can contain only one or two isolated vertices. Choose $l - I_2 - i$ intervals from $I_2 + i$ intervals to place two vertices. Therefore

$$P(n, k_1; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & J_2 > 0, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{I_1} \binom{I_1}{i} \binom{I_2 + i}{l - I_2 - i}, & J_2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

For the case of $k > k_1$, since $\mathcal{P}(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(n, k; k_1, d)$, the small forests corresponding to $\mathcal{P}(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2)$ must satisfy conditions (P1)–(P3) in Lemma 2. Additionally, from the second restriction for the primary component \mathcal{A} , the small forests corresponding to $\mathcal{P}(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2)$ should also satisfy the following fourth condition.

- (P4) Ignore the determined vertices $(n + d + 1)^*, \dots, (n + d - k_1 + 2)^*$. The fiber type of the small trees with roots in $[k_1 + 1]$ are determined, where there are I_1, I_2, J_1 , and J_2 fibers of type F_1, F_2, F_3 , and F_4 , respectively.

We take four steps to construct the small forests on $[n + d - k + 1]$ satisfying those four restrictions.

- (1) Assume there are i, j nonempty fibers in the I_1, J_1 fibers of type F_1 and F_3 , respectively. Choose these fibers in $\binom{I_1}{i} \binom{J_1}{j}$ ways.
- (2) From the condition (P2) in Lemma 2, we have determined $k_1 + 1$ root labels of small trees corresponding to the primary component \mathcal{A} . Select the remaining $k - k_1$ root labels from $[k_1 + 2, n + d - k + 1]$ in $\binom{l+r}{r}$ ways.
- (3) Ignore vertices $(n + d + 1)^*, \dots, (n + d - k_1 + 2)^*$, there are $n + d - 2k$ unasterisked vertices and $k - k_1$ asterisked vertices distributed in $r + i + j + I_2 + J_2$ saturated fibers. Construct a dual-ordered $(r + i + j + I_2 + J_2)$ -partition π of these vertices with the following three restrictions:
 - (a) Each block contains no unasterisked element, or one unasterisked element, or two adjacent unasterisked elements.
 - (b) The first $j + J_2$ blocks contain no unasterisked element.
 - (c) The element $(n + d - k_1 + 1)^*$ is contained in one of the first $i + j + I_2 + J_2$ blocks.

According to Lemma 5, we have $C(l, r, j + J_2, i + I_2)$ ways to do this.

- (4) Denote \mathcal{R}_I and \mathcal{R}_J the sets of the roots of $i + I_2$ fibers of type F_2 and $j + J_2$ fibers of type F_4 , respectively. Let L_I and L_J be the increasing list of the roots in \mathcal{R}_I and \mathcal{R}_J , respectively. Allocate $r + i + j + I_2 + J_2$ blocks of π to the roots in L_J, L_I and $(k_1 + 2, \dots, k + 1)$ orderly.

It derives that

$$(l+r)!P(n, k; k_1, d, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{I_1} \sum_{j=0}^{J_1} \binom{I_1}{i} \binom{J_1}{j} \binom{l+r}{r} C(l, r, j+J_2, i+I_2). \quad (4.14)$$

Thus equation (4.12) follows. It is easy to prove that equation (4.12) equals 0 when $k < k_1$ and reduces to equation (4.13) when $k = k_1$. The theorem therefore holds for all nonnegative integers k . \square

In fact, the idea of Theorem 3 is also applicable to enumerate saturated 2-regular simple structures. Note that when $n \geq 1$, a saturated 2-regular simple stack is just a structure of type T_2 . Taking the primary component to be an empty graph and k_1, d to be 0 in Theorem 3, we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} R_s(n, k; 2) &= P(n, k; 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1} \binom{t}{n-2k-t}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as (3.4).

5. Enumeration of saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks

In this section, we aim to study the enumeration of the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs based on Theorem 3 for such stacks with given primary component types. As consequences, we also obtain enumeration formulas for optimal, 1-saturated, and 2-saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks.

First, we give the detailed proof of Theorem 1, which is a case-by-case application of Theorem 3 on the six primary component types shown in Table 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Denote the number of the saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$ with k arcs and primary component type being \mathcal{A}_i in Table 2 by $s_i(n, k)$. Note that types \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{A}'_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are symmetric, we will

consider \mathcal{A}_i only, therefore,

$$ELO(n, k) = 2(s_1(n, k) + s_2(n, k) + s_3(n, k)) + s_4(n, k) + s_5(n, k) + s_6(n, k). \quad (5.1)$$

For saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks with primary component of type \mathcal{A}_1 , the three intervals splitted by the primary component are of type T_2 , T_1 and T_6 , respectively. If T_6 is just T_3 , it is the case $k_1 = 2, d = 0, I_1 = I_2 = J_1 = 1, J_2 = 0$ in Theorem 3. If T_6 is T_3 followed by an isolated vertex, we delete the isolated vertex and the length of the structure becomes $n - 1$. It corresponds to the case $k_1 = 2, d = 0, I_1 = I_2 = J_1 = 1, J_2 = 0$ in Theorem 3. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(n, k) &= P(n, k; 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0) + P(n - 1, k; 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \left(\binom{t}{h} + \binom{t}{h-1} \right) \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 \binom{k+i-2}{t-1} \binom{2t+k-2}{t-i-j-1} f(t, k-2, j, 1+i), \end{aligned}$$

where $h = n - 2k - t$, and $f(t, r, u, v)$ is given by (4.3).

For the case of primary component type being \mathcal{A}_2 , the two intervals are of type T_2 and T_6 , respectively. Following similar discussions on the interval of type T_6 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(n, k) &= P(n, k; 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0) + P(n - 1, k; 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \binom{k-1}{t} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1} \left(\binom{t}{h+1} + \binom{t}{h} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the case of primary component type being \mathcal{A}_3 , the four intervals are of type T_2, T_1, T_3 , and T_7 , respectively. For the interval of type T_7 , if it is just T_4 , it is the case $k_1 = 3, d = 1, I_1 = I_2 = J_1 = J_2 = 1$ in Theorem 3. Otherwise, if it is T_3 followed by an isolated vertex. We obtain a structure with $n - 1$ vertices by deleting the isolated vertex. It corresponds to the case $k_1 = 3, d = 1, I_1 = I_2 = 1, J_1 = 2, J_2 = 0$ in Theorem 3. Therefore,

$$s_3(n, k) = P(n, k; 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) + P(n - 1, k; 3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{k+i-3}{t-1} \left(\binom{t}{h+1} \binom{1}{j} \binom{2t+k-3}{t-i-j-2} \right) f(t, k-3, 1+j, 1+i) \\
&\quad + \binom{t}{h} \binom{2}{j} \binom{2t+k-3}{t-i-j-1} f(t, k-3, j, 1+i).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_4(n, k) &= P(n, k; 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{n-2k} \frac{1}{t} \binom{k-2}{t-1} \binom{t}{h} \binom{2t+k-1}{t-1}, \\
s_5(n, k) &= P(n, k; 3, 2, 1, 2, 0, 0) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{2+i}{t} \binom{k-2+i}{t-1} \binom{t}{h+2} \binom{2t+k-4}{t-2-i}, \\
s_6(n, k) &= P(n, k; 4, 2, 3, 2, 0, 0) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^3 \frac{2+i}{t} \binom{3}{i} \binom{k-3+i}{t-1} \binom{t}{h+2} \binom{2t+k-5}{t-2-i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above expressions for $s_i(n, k)$, $i = 1, \dots, 6$ into (5.1) and simplifying, it leads to (1.3) which completes the proof. \square

Let $ELO_k(n)$ denote the number of k -saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks on $[n]$. Due to Guo et al. [11, Lemma 4], for $n \geq 3$, it holds that

$$ELO_k(n) = ELO\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - k\right). \quad (5.2)$$

According to (5.2), we can obtain the enumeration formula for k -saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks from Theorem 1. For example, when $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, (1.3) reduces to the result (1.2) for optimal structures due to Guo et al. [11]. Moreover, for $n \geq 7$, substituting $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$ into (1.3), we obtain the enumeration formula for 1-saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks.

$$ELO_1(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{384}n^5 - \frac{1}{128}n^4 - \frac{1}{24}n^3 + \frac{5}{32}n^2 + \frac{7}{8}n - 3, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{23040}n^7 - \frac{1}{7680}n^6 - \frac{29}{23040}n^5 - \frac{23}{1536}n^4 + \frac{2599}{23040}n^3 \\ \quad + \frac{7481}{7680}n^2 - \frac{46937}{7680}n + \frac{533}{512}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 8$, substituting $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2$ into (1.3), we obtain the enumeration formula for 2-saturated extended 2-regular simple stacks.

$$ELO_2(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2211840}n^9 - \frac{1}{737280}n^8 - \frac{1}{46080}n^7 - \frac{23}{30720}n^6 + \frac{223}{46080}n^5 \\ \quad + \frac{1397}{15360}n^4 - \frac{10049}{17280}n^3 - \frac{6413}{2880}n^2 + \frac{23}{2}n + 27, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{309657600}n^{11} - \frac{1}{103219200}n^{10} - \frac{1}{4128768}n^9 - \frac{61}{4128768}n^8 \\ \quad + \frac{5323}{51609600}n^7 + \frac{21673}{7372800}n^6 - \frac{558619}{30965760}n^5 - \frac{143243}{688128}n^4 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \\ \quad + \frac{75730687}{103219200}n^3 + \frac{361742593}{34406400}n^2 - \frac{32607521}{2293760}n - \frac{14339839}{65536}, \end{cases}$$

We list some values of $ELO(n, k)$ in Table 3. Note that Theorem 1 only holds for $k \geq 3$, $ELO(n, 1)$ and $ELO(n, 2)$ can be obtained by straightforward exhaustive enumeration.

Table 3: Values of $ELO(n, k)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 24$.

$k \backslash n$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	1													
2		2	7	9	8	6	2							
3				3	18	46	73	82	70	40	10			
4						5	41	162	395	666	834	799	563	251
5								7	80	444	1534	3667	6449	8690
6										9	139	1026	4728	15151
7												11	222	2099
8														13
sum	1	2	7	12	26	57	116	251	545	1159	2517	5503	11962	26204

$k \backslash n$	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
4	50							
5	9146	7403	4312	1570	260			
6	35820	64919	92557	105168	94660	65265	32109	9875
7	12362	50796	154746	363026	673021	1003604	1214930	1191281
8	333	3921	28613	145817	553028	1623141	3784746	7141955
9		15	476	6827	60299	371629	1708309	6100976
10				17	655	11239	117960	862174
11						19	874	17676
12								21
sum	57711	127054	280704	622425	1381923	3074897	6858928	15323958

At last, we illustrate the bivariate sequence $ELO(n, k)$ for some n and k in Figure 6. The Maple source codes of this paper can be found at <https://github.com/xiaoshuangxiaoshuang/SE2RSS>.




Figure 6: Curves of $ELO(n, k)$.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful reading and valuable comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071235 and 11501307), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

- [1] W. Y. Chen, A general bijective algorithm for trees, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 87 (24) (1990) 9635–9639.
- [2] W. Y. Chen, E. Y.-P. Deng, R. R.-X. Du, R. P. Stanley, C. H. Yan, Crossings and nestings of matchings and partitions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2005) 1555–1575.
- [3] W. Y. Chen, Q.-H. Guo, L. H. Sun, J. Wang, Zigzag stacks and m -regular linear stacks, *J. Comput. Biol.* 21 (12) (2014) 915–935.
- [4] W. Y. Chen, S. Y. J. Wu, C. H. Yan, Linked partitions and linked cycles, *Eur. J. Comb.* 29 (2008) 1408–1426.
- [5] P. Clote, Combinatorics of saturated secondary structures of RNA, *J. Comput. Biol.* 13 (9) (2006) 1640–1657.
- [6] P. Clote, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, B. Salvy, Asymptotics of canonical and saturated RNA secondary structures, *J. Bioinform. Comput. Biol.* 7 (5) (2009) 869–893.
- [7] P. Clote, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Stacho, Asymptotic expected number of base pairs in optimal secondary structure for random RNA using the Nussinov–Jacobson energy model, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 155 (6-7) (2007) 759–787.
- [8] K. A. Dill, Theory for the folding and stability of globular proteins, *Biochemistry.* 24 (6) (1985) 1501–1509.
- [9] É. Fusy, P. Clote, Combinatorics of locally optimal RNA secondary structures, *J. Math. Biol.* 68 (1) (2014) 341–375.
- [10] D. Goldman, S. Istrail, C. H. Papadimitriou, Algorithmic aspects of protein structure similarity, in: 40th IEEE Symp. Found. Comput. Sci., IEEE, 1999, pp. 512–521.

- [11] Q.-H. Guo, Y. Jin, M. Li, L. H. Sun, Y. Xu, On the number of saturated and optimal extended 2-regular simple stacks in the Nussinov–Jacobson energy model, *J. Comput. Biol.* 29 (5) (2022) 425–440.
- [12] Q.-H. Guo, L. H. Sun, Combinatorics of contacts in protein contact maps, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 80 (2) (2018) 385–403.
- [13] Q.-H. Guo, L. H. Sun, J. Wang, Enumeration of extended m -regular linear stacks, *J. Comput. Biol.* 23 (12) (2016) 943–956.
- [14] Q.-H. Guo, L. H. Sun, J. Wang, Regular simple queues of protein contact maps, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 79 (1) (2017) 21–35.
- [15] J. Howell, T. Smith, M. Waterman, Computation of generating functions for biological molecules, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 39 (1) (1980) 119–133.
- [16] S. Istrail, F. Lam, Combinatorial algorithms for protein folding in lattice models: a survey of mathematical results, *Commun. Inf. Syst.* 9 (4) (2009) 303–346.
- [17] R. Müller, M. E. Nebel, Combinatorics of RNA secondary structures with base triples, *J. Comput. Biol.* 22 (7) (2015) 619–648.
- [18] M. E. Nebel, Combinatorial properties of RNA secondary structures, *J. Comput. Biol.* 9 (3) (2002) 541–573.
- [19] M. E. Nebel, A. Scheid, On quantitative effects of RNA shape abstraction, *Theory Biosci.* 128 (4) (2009) 211–225.
- [20] R. Nussinov, A. B. Jacobson, Fast algorithm for predicting the secondary structure of single-stranded RNA, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 77 (11) (1980) 6309–6313.
- [21] C. L. Pierri, A. De Grassi, A. Turi, Lattices for ab initio protein structure prediction, *Proteins.* 73 (2) (2008) 351–361.

- [22] C. Reidys, *Combinatorial Computational Biology of RNA: Pseudoknots and Neutral Networks*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [23] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [24] W. R. Schmitt, M. S. Waterman, Linear trees and RNA secondary structure, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 51 (3) (1994) 317–323.
- [25] P. R. Stein, On a class of linked diagrams, I. enumeration, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 24 (3) (1978) 357–366.
- [26] P. R. Stein, M. S. Waterman, On some new sequences generalizing the catalan and motzkin numbers, *Discrete Math.* 26 (3) (1979) 261–272.
- [27] J. Waldispühl, P. Clote, Computing the partition function and sampling for saturated secondary structures of RNA, with respect to the Turner energy model, *J. Comput. Biol.* 14 (2) (2007) 190–215.
- [28] M. S. Waterman, Secondary structure of single-stranded nucleic acids, *Adv. math. suppl. studies* 1 (1978) 167–212.
- [29] M. S. Waterman, Combinatorics of RNA hairpins and cloverleaves, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 60 (2) (1979) 91–98.
- [30] M. S. Waterman, T. F. Smith, RNA secondary structure: A complete mathematical analysis, *Math. Biosci.* 42 (3-4) (1978) 257–266.
- [31] M. Zuker, RNA folding prediction: The continued need for interaction, *Lectures Math. Life Sci.* 17 (1986) 87–124.
- [32] M. Zuker, J. A. Jaeger, D. H. Turner, A comparison of optimal and sub-optimal RNA secondary structures predicted by free energy minimization with structures determined by phylogenetic comparison, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19 (10) (1991) 2707–2714.