arXiv:2112.12574v4 [math.PR] 14 Nov 2022

A NEW BOUND IN THE LITTLEWOOD-OFFORD PROBLEM

FRIEDRICH GÖTZE AND ANDREI YU. ZAITSEV

ABSTRACT. The paper deals with studying a connection of the Littlewood–Offord problem with estimating the concentration functions of some symmetric infinitely divisible distributions. It is shown that the concentration function of a weighted sum of independent identically distributed random variables is estimated in terms of the concentration function of a symmetric infinitely divisible distribution whose spectral measure is concentrated on the set of plus-minus weights.

The aim of the present work is to provide a supplement to the paper of Eliseeva and Zaitsev [1]. We study a connection of the Littlewood–Offord problem with estimating the concentration functions of some symmetric infinitely divisible distributions. In the proof, we repeat the arguments of [1] adding at the last step an application of Jensen's inequality.

Let X, X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables. The concentration function of a \mathbf{R}^d -dimensional random vector Y with distribution $F = \mathcal{L}(Y)$ is defined by the equality

$$Q(F,\lambda) = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{P}(Y \in x + \lambda B), \quad 0 \le \lambda \le \infty,$$

where $B = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : ||x|| \leq 1/2\}$. Of course, $Q(F, \infty) = 1$. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, where $a_k = (a_{k1}, \ldots, a_{kd}) \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. In this paper we study the behavior of the concentration functions of the weighted sums $S_a = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k a_k$ with respect to the properties of vectors a_k . Interest in this subject has increased considerably in connection with the study of eigenvalues of random matrices (see, for instance, Friedland and Sodin [2], Rudelson and Vershynin [3], [4], Tao and Vu [5], [6], Nguyen and Vu [7], Vershynin [8], Tikhomirov [9], Livshyts, Tikhomirov and Vershynin [10], Campos et al. [11]). For a detailed history of the problem we refer to a review of Nguyen and Vu [12]. The authors of the above articles (see also Halász [13]) called this question the Littlewood–Offord problem, since, for the first time, this problem was considered in 1943 by Littlewood and Offord [14] in connection with the study of random polynomials. They considered a special case, where the coefficients $a_k \in \mathbf{R}$ are one-dimensional, and X takes values ± 1 with probabilities 1/2.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60F05; secondary 60E15, 60G50.

Key words and phrases. concentration functions; inequalities; the Littlewood–Offord problem; sums of independent random variables.

The authors were supported by SFB $1283/2\ 2021 - 317210226$ and by the RFBR-DFG grant 20-51-12004. The second author was supported by grant RFBR 19-01-00356.

The recent achivements in estimating the probabilities of singularity of random matrices [9], [10], [11] were based on the Rudelson and Vershynin [3], [4], [8] method of *least common denominator*. Note that the results of [2], [4], [8] (concerning the Littlewood–Offord problem) were improved and refined in [15], [16], [17].

Now we introduce some notation. In the sequel, let F_a denote the distribution of the sum S_a , let E_y be the probability measure concentrated at a point y, and let G be the distribution of symmetrized random variable $\widetilde{X} = X_1 - X_2$. For $\delta \geq 0$ we denote

$$p(\delta) = G\{\{z : |z| > \delta\}\}.$$
(1)

The symbol c will be used for absolute positive constants which may be different even in the same formulas.

Writing $A \ll B$ means that $|A| \leq cB$. Also we will write $A \asymp B$, if $A \ll B$ and $B \ll A$. We will write $A \ll_d B$, if $|A| \leq c(d)B$, where c(d) > 0 depends on d only. Similarly, $A \asymp_d B$, if $A \ll_d B$ and $B \ll_d A$. The scalar product in \mathbf{R}^d will be denoted $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Later $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer k such that k < x. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we will use the norms $||x||^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ and $|x| = \max_j |x_j|$. We denote by $\widehat{F}(t), t \in \mathbf{R}^d$, the characteristic function of d-dimensional distributions F.

Products and powers of measures will be understood in the convolution sense. For infinitely divisible distribution F and $\lambda \geq 0$, we denote by F^{λ} the infinitely divisible distribution with characteristic function $\widehat{F}^{\lambda}(t)$.

The elementary properties of concentration functions are well studied (see, for instance, [18], [19], [20]). It is known that

$$Q(F,\mu) \ll_d (1 + \lfloor \mu/\lambda \rfloor)^d Q(F,\lambda)$$
⁽²⁾

for any $\mu, \lambda > 0$. Hence,

$$Q(F,c\lambda) \asymp_d Q(F,\lambda). \tag{3}$$

Let us formulate a generalization of the classical Esséen inequality [21] to the multivariate case ([22], see also [19]):

Lemma 1. Let $\tau > 0$ and let F be a d-dimensional probability distribution. Then

$$Q(F,\tau) \ll_d \tau^d \int_{|t| \le 1/\tau} |\widehat{F}(t)| \, dt.$$
(4)

In the general case $Q(F, \tau)$ cannot be estimated from below by the right hand side of inequality (4). However, if we assume additionally that the distribution F is symmetric and its characterictic function is non-negative for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, then we have the lower bound:

$$Q(F,\tau) \gg_d \tau^d \int_{|t| \le 1/\tau} \widehat{F}(t) \, dt, \tag{5}$$

and, therefore,

$$Q(F,\tau) \asymp_d \tau^d \int_{|t| \le 1/\tau} \widehat{F}(t) \, dt, \tag{6}$$

(see [23] or [18], Lemma 1.5 of Chapter II for d = 1). In the multivariate case relations (5) and (6) may be found in Zaitsev [24]. Exactly the use of relation (6) allows us to simplify the arguments of Friedland and Sodin [2], Rudelson and Vershynin [4] and Vershynin [8] which were applied to Littlewood–Offord problem (see [15], [16], [17]).

The main result of this paper is a general inequality which reduces the estimation of concentration functions in the Littlewood–Offord problem to the estimation of concentration functions of some infinitely divisible distributions. This result is formulated in Theorem 1.

For $z \in \mathbf{R}$, introduce the distribution H_z with the characteristic function

$$\widehat{H}_{z}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle z)\right)\right).$$
(7)

It depends on the vector a. It is clear that H_z is a symmetric infinitely divisible distribution. Therefore, its characteristic function is positive for all $t \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Recall that $G = \mathcal{L}(X_1 - X_2)$ and $F_a = \mathcal{L}(S_a)$, where $S_a = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k a_k$.

Theorem 1. Let V be an arbitrary one-dimensional Borel measure such that $\lambda = V\{\mathbf{R}\} > 0$, and $V \leq G$, that is, $V\{B\} \leq G\{B\}$, for any Borel set B. Then, for any $\tau > 0$, we have

$$Q(F_a,\tau) \ll_d \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} Q(H_1^{\lambda},\tau|z|^{-1}) W\{dz\},\tag{8}$$

where $W = \lambda^{-1}V$.

Corollary 1. For any $\varepsilon, \tau > 0$, we have

$$Q(F_a, \tau) \ll_d Q(H_1^{p(\tau/\varepsilon)}, \varepsilon), \tag{9}$$

where $p(\cdot)$ is defined in (1).

In order to verify Corollary 1 we note that the distribution $G = \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{X})$ may be represented as the mixture

$$G = p_0 G_0 + p_1 G_1$$
, where $p_j = \mathbf{P} \{ \tilde{X} \in A_j \}, \quad j = 0, 1,$

 $A_0 = \{x \colon |x| \leq \tau/\varepsilon\}, A_1 = \{x \colon |x| > \tau/\varepsilon\}, G_j \text{ are probability measures defined for } p_j > 0$ by the formula $G_j\{B\} = G\{B \cap A_j\}/p_j$, for any Borel set B. In fact, G_j is the conditional distribution of \widetilde{X} given that $\widetilde{X} \in A_j$. If $p_j = 0$, then we can take as G_j an arbitrary measure.

The conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied for $V = p_1 G_1$. $\lambda = p_1 = p(\tau/\varepsilon), W = G_1$.

Inequalities (2) and (6) imply that

$$Q(F_a, \tau) \ll_d \int_{z \in A_1} Q(H_1^{\lambda}, \tau |z|^{-1}) W\{dz\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \geq \tau/\varepsilon} Q(H_1^{p(\tau/\varepsilon)}, \tau/z) = Q(H_1^{p(\tau/\varepsilon)}, \varepsilon), \qquad (10)$$

proving (9).

Applying Theorem 1 with V of the form

$$V\{dz\} = \left(1 + \lfloor \tau(\varepsilon|z|)^{-1} \rfloor\right)^{-d} G\{dz\},\tag{11}$$

and using inequality (2), we obtain

Corollary 2. For any $\varepsilon, \tau > 0$, we have

$$Q(F_a, \tau) \ll_d \lambda^{-1} Q(H_1^\lambda, \varepsilon), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda(G, \tau/\varepsilon) = V\{\mathbf{R}\} = \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(1 + \left\lfloor \tau(\varepsilon|z|)^{-1} \right\rfloor\right)^{-d} G\{dz\}.$$
(13)

It is clear that $\lfloor \tau(\varepsilon |z|)^{-1} \rfloor = 0$ if $|z| > \tau/\varepsilon$. Therefore, $\lambda = \lambda(G, \tau/\varepsilon) \ge p(\tau/\varepsilon)$ and hence $Q(H_1^{\lambda}, \varepsilon) \le Q(H_1^{p(\tau/\varepsilon)}, \varepsilon)$. Thus, if $\lambda \gg_d 1$, then inequality (12) of Corollary 2 is stronger than inequality (9) of Corollary 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on elementary properties of concentration functions. We repeat the arguments of [1] adding at the last step an application of Jensen's inequality. In [1], inequality (2) was used instead. The main result of [1] does not imply Corollary 2. Note that H_1^{λ} is an infinitely divisible distribution with the Lévy spectral measure $M_{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{4} M^*$, where $M^* = \sum_{k=1}^n (E_{a_k} + E_{-a_k})$. It is clear that the assertions of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1 and 2 may be treated as statements about the measure M^* .

Corollary 1 was already proved earlier in [1] and [25], see also [26] for the case $\tau = 0$. It was

Corollary 1 was already proved earlier in [1] and [25], see also [26] for the case $\tau = 0$. It was used essentially in [25] and [27] to show that Arak's inequalities for concentration functions may be used for investigations of the Littlewood–Offord problem. Arak has shown that if the concentration function of infinitely divisible distribution is relatively large, then the spectral measure of this distribution is concentrated in a neighborhood of a set with simple arithmetical structure. Together with Corollary 1, this means that large value of $Q(F_a, \tau)$ implies a simple arithmetical structure of the set $\{\pm a_k\}_{k=1}^n$. This statement is similar to the so-called "inverse principle" in the Littlewood–Offord problem (see [5], [7], [12]).

Note that using the results of Arak [23], [28] (see also [18]) one could derive from Corollary 1 inequalities similar to bounds for concentration functions in the Littlewood–Offord problem, which were obtained in a paper of Nguyen and Vu [7] (see also [12]). A detailed discussion of this fact is presented in [25] and [27]. We noticed that Corollary 2 may be stronger than Corollary 1. Therefore, the results of [25] and [27] could be improved (in the sense of dependence of constants on the distribution of X_1) replacing an application of Corollary 1 by an application of Corollary 2. The authors are going to devote a separate publication to this topic.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us show that, for arbitrary probability distribution W and $\lambda, T > 0$,

$$\log \int_{|t| \le T} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_k \rangle z)\right) \lambda W\{dz\}\right) dt$$
$$\leq \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(\log \int_{|t| \le T} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_k \rangle z)\right)\right) dt\right) W\{dz\}$$
$$= \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(\log \int_{|t| \le T} \widehat{H}_z^{\lambda}(t) dt\right) W\{dz\}.$$
(14)

It suffices to prove (14) for discrete distributions $W = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j E_{z_j}$, where $0 \le p_j \le 1, z_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j = 1$. Applying in this case the generalized Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{|t| \le T} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle z)\right) \lambda W\{dz\}\right) dt$$
$$= \int_{|t| \le T} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle z_{j})\right)\right) dt$$
$$\leq \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{|t| \le T} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle z_{j})\right)\right) dt\right)^{p_{j}}.$$
 (15)

Taking logarithms of the left and right-hand sides of (15), we get (14). In general case we can approximate W by discrete distributions in the sense of weak convergence and pass to the limit. Note also that the integrals $\int_{|t| \leq T} dt$ may be replaced in (14) by the integrals $\int \mu \{dt\}$ with an arbitrary Borel measure μ .

Since for characteristic function $\widehat{U}(t)$ of a random vector Y, we have

$$|\widehat{U}(t)|^2 = \mathbf{E} \exp(i\langle t, \widetilde{Y} \rangle) = \mathbf{E} \cos(\langle t, \widetilde{Y} \rangle),$$

where \widetilde{Y} is the corresponding symmetrized random vector, then

$$|\widehat{U}(t)| \le \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-|\widehat{U}(t)|^2\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\left(1-\cos(\langle t,\widetilde{Y}\rangle)\right)\right).$$
(16)

According to Lemma 1 and relations $V = \lambda W \leq G$, (14) and (16), applying Jensen's inequality of the form $\exp(\mathbf{E} f(\xi)) \leq \mathbf{E} \exp(f(\xi))$ for any measurable function f and any

random variable ξ , we have

$$Q(F_{a},\tau) \ll_{d} \tau^{d} \int_{\tau|t|\leq 1} |\widehat{F_{a}}(t)| dt$$

$$\ll_{d} \tau^{d} \int_{\tau|t|\leq 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle \widetilde{X})\right)\right) dt$$

$$= \tau^{d} \int_{\tau|t|\leq 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle z)\right) G\{dz\}\right) dt$$

$$\leq \tau^{d} \int_{\tau|t|\leq 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(1 - \cos(\langle t, a_{k} \rangle z)\right) \lambda W\{dz\}\right) dt$$

$$\leq \exp\left(\int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \log\left(\tau^{d} \int_{\tau|t|\leq 1} \widehat{H}_{z}^{\lambda}(t) dt\right) W\{dz\}\right)$$

$$\leq \int_{z \in \mathbf{R}} \left(\tau^{d} \int_{\tau|t|\leq 1} \widehat{H}_{z}^{\lambda}(t) dt\right) W\{dz\}.$$
(17)

Using (6), we have

$$\tau^d \int_{\tau|t| \le 1} \widehat{H}_z^{\lambda}(t) \, dt \quad \asymp_d \quad Q(H_z^{\lambda}, \tau) = Q\big(H_1^{\lambda}, \tau|z|^{-1}\big). \tag{18}$$

Substituting this formula into (17), we obtain (8). In (18), we have used that $H_z^{\lambda} = \mathcal{L}(z\eta)$, where η is a random vector with $\mathcal{L}(\eta) = H_1^{\lambda}$. \Box

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the reviewers for valuable remarks.

References

- Eliseeva, Yu.S., Zaitsev, A.Yu. On the Littlewood–Offord problem. J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 2016, 214, 4, 467–473.
- [2] Friedland, O., Sodin, S. Bounds on the concentration function in terms of Diophantine approximation. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 2007, 345, 513–518.
- [3] Rudelson, M., Vershynin, R. The Littlewood–Offord problem and invertibility of random matrices. Adv. Math. 2008, 218, 600–633.
- [4] Rudelson, M., Vershynin, R. The smallest singular value of a random rectangular matrix. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 2009, 62, 1707–1739.
- [5] Tao, T., Vu, V. Inverse Littlewood–Offord theorems and the condition number of random discrete matrices. Ann. of Math. (2) 2009, 169, 595–632.
- [6] Tao, T., Vu, V. From the Littlewood–Offord problem to the circular law: universality of the spectral distribution of random matrices. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 2009, 46, 377–396.
- [7] Nguyen, H., Vu, V. Optimal inverse Littlewood–Offord theorems. Adv. Math. 2011, 226, 5298–5319.
- [8] Vershynin, R. Invertibility of symmetric random matrices. *Random Structures Algorithms* 2014, 44, 135–182.
- [9] Tikhomirov, K. Singularity of random Bernoulli matrices. Ann. of Math. (2) 2020, 191, 2, 593–634.
- [10] Livshyts, G.V., Tikhomirov, K., Vershynin, R. The smallest singular value of inhomogeneous square random matrices. Ann. Probab. 2021, 49, 1286–1309.

- [11] Campos, M., Jenssen, M., Michelen, M., Sahasrabudhe, J. The singularity probability of a random symmetric matrix is exponentially small. arXiv:2105.11384 (2021).
- [12] Nguyen, H., Vu, V. Small probabilities, inverse theorems and applications. arXiv:1301.0019 (2013).
- [13] Halász, G. Estimates for the concentration function of combinatorial number theory and probability. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* 1977, 8, 197–211.
- [14] Littlewood, J.E., Offord, A.C. On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. 1943, 12, 277–286.
- [15] Eliseeva, Yu.S., Zaitsev, A.Yu. Estimates for the concentration functions of weighted sums of independent random variables. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 2013, 57, 4, 670–678.
- [16] Eliseeva, Yu.S. Multivariate estimates for the concentration functions of weighted sums of independent identically distributed random variables, Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI 2013, 412, 121–137 (in Russian), English version: arXiv:1303.4005.
- [17] Eliseeva, Yu.S., Götze, F., Zaitsev, A.Yu. Estimates for the concentration functions in the Littlewood– Offord problem. Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI 2013, 420, 50–69 (in Russian), English version: arXiv:1203.6763.
- [18] Arak, T.V., Zaitsev, A.Yu. Uniform limit theorems for sums of independent random variables. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 1988, 174, 222 p.
- [19] Hengartner, W., Theodorescu, R. Concentration Functions. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [20] Petrov, V.V. Sums of Independent Random Variables. Nauka, Moscow, 1972.
- [21] Esséen, C.-G. On the Kolmogorov-Rogozin inequality for the concentration function. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb. 1966, 5, 210–216.
- [22] Esséen, C.-G. On the concentration function of a sum of independent random variables. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb. 1968, 9, 290–308.
- [23] Arak, T.V. On the approximation by the accompanying laws of n-fold convolutions of distributions with nonnegative characteristic functions. Theory Probab. Appl. 1980, 25, 2, 221–243.
- [24] Zaitsev, A.Yu. Multidimensional generalized method of triangular functions. J. Soviet Math. 1988, 43, 6, 2797–2810.
- [25] Eliseeva, Yu.S., Götze, F., Zaitsev, A.Yu. Arak inequalities for concentration functions and the Littlewood–Offord problem. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 2018, 62, 2, 196–215. arXiv: 1506.09034.
- [26] Zaitsev, A.Yu. A bound for the maximal probability in the Littlewood–Offord problem. J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 2016, 219, 5, 743–746.
- [27] Götze, F., Zaitsev, A.Yu. New applications of Arak's inequalities to the Littlewood–Offord problem. Eur. J. Math. 2018, 4, 2, 639–663. arXiv: 1611.00831.
- [28] Arak, T.V. On the convergence rate in Kolmogorov's uniform limit theorem. I. Theory Probab. Appl. 1981, 26, 2, 219–239.

Email address: goetze@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

Email address: zaitsev@pdmi.ras.ru

ST. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute

Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg 191023, Russia

and St.Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg, 199034 Russia