

Quantitative phase imaging through an ultra-thin lensless fiber endoscope

Jiawei Sun,^{1,2*} Jiachen Wu,^{1,3} Song Wu,⁴ Liangcai Cao,³ Ruchi Goswami,⁵ Salvatore Girardo,⁵ Jochen Guck,^{5,6} Nektarios Koukourakis,^{1,2*} and Juergen W. Czarske^{1,2,6,7*}

1. *Laboratory of Measurement and Sensor System Technique (MST), TU Dresden, Helmholtzstrasse 18, 01069 Dresden, Germany.*
2. *Competence Center for Biomedical Computational Laser Systems (BIOLAS), TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany.*
3. *State Key Laboratory of Precision Measurement Technology and Instruments, Department of Precision Instruments, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, China.*
4. *Institute for Integrative Nanosciences, IFW Dresden, Helmholtzstraße 20, 01069 Dresden, Germany*
5. *Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light & Max-Planck-Zentrum für Physik und Medizin, 91058 Erlangen, Germany.*
6. *Cluster of Excellence Physics of Life, TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany.*
7. *Institute of Applied Physics, TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany.*

* jiawei.sun@tu-dresden.de (J.S.); nektarios.koukourakis@tu-dresden.de (N.K.);
juergen.czarske@tu-dresden.de (J.C.)

Abstract

Quantitative phase imaging (QPI) is a label-free technique providing both morphology and quantitative biophysical information in biomedicine. However, applying such a powerful technique to in vivo pathological diagnosis remains challenging. Multi-core fiber bundles (MCFs) enable ultra-thin probes for in vivo imaging, but current MCF imaging techniques are limited to amplitude imaging modalities. We demonstrate a computational lensless microendoscope that uses an ultra-thin bare MCF to perform quantitative phase imaging of biomedical samples with up to 1 μm lateral resolution and nanoscale axial resolution. The incident complex light field at the measurement side is precisely reconstructed from a single-shot far-field speckle pattern at the detection side, enabling digital focusing and 3D volumetric reconstruction without any mechanical movement. The accuracy of the quantitative phase reconstruction is validated by imaging the phase target and hydrogel beads through the MCF. With the proposed imaging modality, 3D imaging of human cancer cells is achieved through the ultra-thin fiber endoscope, promising widespread clinical applications.

Introduction

QPI is an effective and label-free method for cell and tissue imaging in biomedicine (1). 3D images of transparent samples can be reconstructed with QPI in a non-invasive manner (2–10), enabling nanoscale sensitivity to morphology and dynamics. Meanwhile, quantitative biophysical parameters such as refractive index (11, 12), dry mass (13, 14), matter density (15) and skewness (16) can be extracted from the quantitative phase shift, providing both morphological and quantitative biophysical information for digital pathology (17). Recent researches combining QPI with deep learning have been used for virtual staining (18, 19) and dynamic blood examination (20, 21), which was reported as a high throughput approach to detect SARS-CoV-2 virus (22). On the other hand, current QPI methods are mostly based on bulky and expensive microscope platforms with limited working distance and penetration depth, which means invasive sampling or sectioning diseased tissues or organs are required for pathological diagnosis (23, 24). Such invasive approaches limit the in vivo application of QPI in clinical diagnosis, especially in the early diagnosis of cancer and tumors.

In clinical diagnosis, endoscopes with diameters of a few millimeters are commonly used for *in vivo* imaging. Recent advances in MCF based computational imaging demonstrate the great potential of fiber bundles to be the next generation microendoscopes with minimal invasiveness of a few hundred micrometers (25–27), but the phase information of the sample is lost due to the incoherent illumination. Despite computational methods that have been proposed to recover the 3D information of samples (28–30), precise QPI via MCF with nanoscale sensitivity is still challenging. Coherent imaging is achieved via multi-mode fibers with transmission matrix measurement (31, 32) or wavefront shaping (33–38), and similar approaches are also applied to MCF-based coherent imaging (39–44). In practice, bulky and expensive optical systems with spatial light modulators and complicated calibration processes are still required, and the scan-based imaging technique can be slow, indicating a gap to their clinical applications. Furthermore, an endoscope with nanoscale axial resolution is not yet reported, therefore, a simple and cost-effective 3D microendoscope with nanoscale sensitivity is highly demanded.

In this research, we found that the MCF can directly work as a phase encoder without a coded aperture (29) at the measurement side, encoding the incident complex light field to a speckle pattern in the far-field at the detection side. We propose a novel computational approach named the far-field amplitude-only speckle transfer (FAST) method to decode the incident light field from the far-field speckles. Unlike conventional fiber facet imaging methods, where imaging resolution is limited by the core-to-core spacing (Fig. 1C), our approach enables 3D QPI reconstruction with nanoscale axial sensitivity and lateral resolution up to about 1 μm via direct recovering of the incident complex light field. We demonstrate a computational quantitative phase microendoscope (QPE) providing both morphological and quantitative biophysical information with a simple optical system, paving the path for *in vivo* clinical applications of the fiber bundles.




Fig. 1. Lensless quantitative phase microendoscope: setup and concept. (A) Microscopic image of the tip facet of the 10,000 core fiber bundle with a diameter of 350 μm . Scale bar 50 μm . (B) Photo of the fiber bundle and a one euro coin for scale. (C) Conventional lensless microendoscopic imaging can only get the pixelated intensity information of the specimen, and the sample has to be very close to the fiber facet. (D) Quantitative phase and high-resolution amplitude images of the specimen can be reconstructed from the far-field speckle image. The sample can be placed far from the facet due to the digital focusing capability. (E) Experimental setup; SMF, single-mode fiber; MO, microscope objective.

Results

Image reconstruction through the fiber bundle

In an MCF, the optical path length (OPL) varies for light traveling in different fiber cores, which results in a random phase distribution at the detection side for a plane wave illumination at the measurement side. Thanks to the memory effect, the intrinsic OPL difference is temporally stable in the measurement process. The phase shift induced by the sample can thus be reconstructed from intensity-only far-field speckles at the detection side.




Fig. 2. Working principle of the lensless quantitative phase microendoscope. (A) The phase of a coherent light source is distorted by the MCF at the detection side. (B) A USAF resolution test target is located 1.6 mm away from the facet. The far-field speckle image is captured on the camera. (C) Reference speckle image captured on the detection side with a point light source illumination on the measurement side.

(D) Reconstructed reference phase image on the fiber facet. (E) Far-field speckle image of the test target. (F) Phase and (G) amplitude reconstruction on the fiber facet, which contains the light field information of the test target. (H) Retrieved phase image of the diffracted test target. Reconstructed (I) amplitude and (J) phase image of the 6 and 7 group elements of the test target on the focal plane. Scale bars 50 μm .

The imaging principle and reconstruction process of the lensless quantitative phase microendoscope is demonstrated in Fig. 2. Initially, the fiber bundle is illuminated by a collimated laser beam or a point light source for a reference measurement of the intrinsic OPL difference of fiber cores. Two far-field speckle patterns, which are z_0 and z_1 away from the fiber facet at the detection side, are magnified and projected on the camera at the detection side (Fig. 2A). The intrinsic phase shift of the MCF (Fig. 2D) induced by the OPL difference is reconstructed from the far-field speckles with the FAST method (Fig. S2).

A negative resolution test target, where only the pattern is transparent, is put 1.6 mm (z_2) away from the facet as a sample at the measurement side. The speckle pattern z_1 away from the facet, which is the system response of the sample, is captured on the camera at the detection side (Fig. 2E). The phase $\phi_1(x, y)$ and amplitude $A_1(x, y)$ information on the facet is reconstructed from the intensity-only far-field speckle. The phase of the sample is encoded at the detection side as shown in Fig. 2F due to the fiber core OPL difference. The original phase incident on the fiber bundle at the measurement side $\phi'_s(x, y)$, which contains the phase information of the test target, can be decoded by the measured intrinsic phase shift $\phi_0(x, y)$ as shown in Fig. 2H

$$\phi'_s = \phi_1 - \phi_0. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, the original amplitude information of the incident light field is maintained at the detection side as shown in Fig. 2G. Therefore, the incident light field on the measurement facet can be expressed as a complex field $E'_s(x, y)$

$$E'_s = A_1 \cdot \exp(i\phi'_s) \quad (2)$$

Hence, the incident light field is back-propagated numerically to the sample plane with the angular spectrum method (45). The digital-focused amplitude and phase image of the test target is calculated from the propagated complex field. The 6 and 7 group elements of the test chart are resolved in both amplitude (Fig. 2I) and phase (Fig. 2J) reconstruction through the MCF. It can be noticed that the field-of-view is further extended beyond the size of the fiber facet with the FAST technique.

3D volumetric reconstruction

Two stacked positive resolution test targets, where the patterns are not transparent, are used to characterize the 3D volumetric reconstruction from a single-shot speckle image. The axial distance between the patterns on the test targets is 1.4 mm, and the top layer is

1.26 mm away from the facet at the measurement side. On the detection side, the 3D information of the light field is stored in the far-field speckle (Fig. 3A). The complex field of the incident light at the measurement side is reconstructed from the proposed method. Hence, the slices at various axial distances are recovered from the complex field by the numerical propagation (Fig. 3B). A reconstructed video demonstrating the digital focusing process is shown in Movie S1.




Fig. 3. 3D volumetric reconstruction of a multi-layer target, which consists of two test targets located at 1.26 mm and 2.66 mm away from the facet at the measurement side. (A-B) 3D volumetric amplitude reconstruction at different axial distances from the far-field speckle. **(C)** Image of the top layer from a bulky reflective microscope. **(D-E)** Reconstructed **(D)** amplitude and **(E)** phase image of the top layer, the linewidth is 22.1 μm . **(F)** Image of the bottom layer from a bulky reflective microscope. **(G-H)** Reconstructed **(G)** amplitude and **(H)** phase image of the bottom layer, the linewidths are 11.05, 9.84, and 8.77 μm respectively. Scale bars 50 μm .

Images of the stacked test targets at both layers can be also acquired with a bulky reflective microscope, but mechanical tuning of the sample position is required. In contrast, images of samples located at multiple axial distances can be reconstructed from a single-shot speckle image captured at the detection side of the MCF. The phase information can also be recovered at different axial distances as shown in Fig. 3E, H. The lines on the test target are not transparent, which leads to the random phase distribution in the area of lines.

Video-rate imaging via dynamic reconstruction

Video-rate imaging of a glass bead flow in a microchannel via the microendoscope is demonstrated in Movie S2, validating the video-rate imaging capability of the quantitative phase microendoscope. The glass bead suspension is pumped into a microchannel constantly by a syringe. The channel is located 1 mm away from the fiber facet at the measurement side. The corresponding far-field speckles at the detection side are recorded on the camera at a frame rate of 10 frames per second.

Quantitative phase imaging reconstruction

Phase imaging can provide additional contrast in label-free microscopic imaging, and precise measurement of quantitative phase values can define the refractive index or thickness of biomedical samples and measure the height of semiconductor structures in nanometer axial resolution.




Fig. 4. Quantitative phase imaging through the microendoscope via far-field speckle reconstruction. (A) The phase target is imaged on the distal side of the microendoscope. (B) Far-field speckle image of the phase target is captured on the camera. (C) Reconstructed phase image of the target from the speckle image. Scale bar 50 μm . (D) Quantitative optical path difference profile of the marked areas in (A, C). (E) 3D optical path difference map. (F) The smallest width of the line the system can resolve at various phase shifts. The measurement process is demonstrated in Fig. S3.

A phase target shown in Fig. 4A is used to characterize the precision of the reconstructed phase from the MCF microendoscope. The phase target is projected on the MCF at the measurement side, and the corresponding system response on the detection camera is shown in Fig. 4B. The quantitative phase image is reconstructed from the speckle image with the FAST method. The phase tilt in the background is corrected numerically and a plane phase image is subtracted to correct the phase value in the background. The final quantitative phase reconstruction is demonstrated in Fig. 4C. Colors in the phase image represent different phase values, hence, the quantitative phase information is successfully recovered with the FAST reconstruction. A vital optical parameter - optical path difference (OPD), which correlates the refractive index and the thickness of the sample, can be calculated from the quantitative phase shift. Comparison of the calculated OPD between the original phase target and the phase reconstruction through the fiber bundle is demonstrated in Fig. 4D, characterizing the high fidelity of the quantitative phase reconstruction. The data colored in orange indicates the data sampled from the phase target and the blue represents the sampled data from the phase reconstruction. The size of the sample area is 142 μm in the longitude direction and 2 μm in the latitudinal direction. The calculated 3D OPD map is demonstrated in Fig. 4E and Movie S3.

3D imaging of biomedical samples through the fiber endoscope

Both morphological and quantitative biomedical parameters can be extracted from an OPD image, indicating the great potential in biomedical applications of the quantitative phase microendoscope. The cell-like Polyacrylamide (PAAm) hydrogel bead has a spherical shape and homogeneous refractive index distribution (46). This makes PAAm beads ideal for verifying the OPD measurement fidelity with the microendoscope for biomedical samples.




Fig. 5. Quantitative optical path difference (OPD) map of PAAm micro-gel beads acquired with (A) digital holographic microscope (DHM), (B) quantitative phase microendoscope (QPE). Scale bars 20 μm . (C-D) OPD distribution along the red dashed lines in the maps on the left side. The dashed lines represent the ideal OPD distribution of the PAAm bead.

A reference OPD measurement of the bead is done on a digital holographic microscope (DHM) (see Fig. 5A). The average diameter of the beads is measured as 16.7 μm . PAAm beads in suspension are also resolved clearly in the reconstructed OPD map with the MCF-based microendoscope (see Fig. 5B). Due to the ideal spherical shape and homogeneous refractive index distribution of the beads, the refractive index of the beads can be calculated precisely from the OPD (Eq. 3). The OPD distribution of the lines (marked in red in Fig. 5 (A and B)) through the center of the bead are demonstrated as blue lines in Fig. 5 (C and D). Simulated OPD distribution of an ideal sphere with refractive index difference 0.008 to the background, demonstrated as orange dashed lines in Fig. 5 (C and D), fits the measured OPD distribution well. The refractive index of the medium (D-PBS) is determined as 1.335, hence the refractive index of the PAAm beads is measured as 1.343. Although the reconstructed OPD map from the microendoscope has a relatively lower spatial resolution and higher background noise, the quantitative OPD values of the beads are recovered correctly. This verifies the strength of the quantitative phase microendoscope to provide accurate OPD measurement at the single-cell level.




Fig. 6. Quantitative phase imaging of cancer cell cytokinesis via microendoscope. (A) Intensity image of a HeLa cell in cytokinesis from a conventional reflective microscope. (B-C) Microendoscopic (B) amplitude and (C) phase reconstruction of the HeLa cell in cytokinesis from the far-field speckle. Scale bars 30 μm. (D-E) 3D OPD map of the HeLa cell measured from the (D) conventional digital holographic microscope and the (E) quantitative phase microendoscope.

Human cancer cells are used to characterize the performance of the quantitative phase imaging for biological cells via microendoscope. The image of a HeLa cell in cytokinesis captured from a bulky reflective microscope is shown in Fig. 6A. The reconstructed amplitude image of the same cell through the microendoscope is demonstrated in Fig. 6B. The cell is still distinguishable from the background noise. The contrast is significantly improved in the reconstructed phase image (see Fig. 6C). The cancer cell undergoing cytokinesis is clearly resolved by a microendoscope without labeling. It is visible in the phase reconstruction that the cell membranes of two daughter cells are not separated yet. The 3D OPD map of the HeLa cell is thus calculated from the phase shift measured by the quantitative phase microendoscope (see Fig. 6E and Movie S4). A high-resolution OPD map of a similar HeLa cell in cytokinesis is reconstructed from the DHM as a reference measurement (see Fig. 6D).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that the ultra-thin lensless MCF endoscope provides high-resolution QPI in hard-to-reach areas. The reconstructed OPD map demonstrated sufficient image quality for morphological evaluations of live human cancer cells. Vital cellular parameters such as cell volume (1), dry mass (13, 14), and refractive index (2, 47) can also be extracted from the precise OPD map for cytopathology investigation and clinical diagnosis. The precise quantitative phase imaging performance can be hardly achieved using other endoscopes illuminated by incoherent light sources due to the wide

spectrum of the light source. Digital holography is a common method for quantitative phase imaging (48, 49), however, digital holographic imaging with long MCFs requires complex optical systems and tedious optical alignment (43, 50). Our proposed FAST reconstruction method does not require digital holography, the precise amplitude and phase images of the sample can be recovered from an intensity-only speckle. The 2D image correlation between the amplitude reconstruction with the off-axis holography and the FAST method is 0.998 (see Fig. S5). The quantitative phase of the sample is also precisely retrieved with a lower phase noise level in the background compared to the digital holographic reconstruction (see Fig. S5E, F). Therefore, the holography-free lensless microendoscope based on FAST reconstruction provides sufficient reconstruction quality for both amplitude and phase images with a significantly simplified optical system.

Furthermore, lensless microendoscopes enable digital focusing of the reconstructed complex light field to different depths, which significantly increases the depth of field to several millimeters. This 3D volumetric reconstruction capability gives more degrees of freedom in sample examinations. The miniature lensless microendoscope with a diameter of 0.35 mm is so far the tiniest imaging probe with micrometer range lateral resolution and nanoscale axial sensitivity, paving the way to in vivo label-free detection with minimal invasiveness.

Different from our previously reported lensless endoscope based on 3D scanning imaging (40, 41), the current setup can achieve comparable lateral resolution and higher axial resolution from single-shot image reconstruction. It has to be noted that single-shot imaging is a highly desired attribute for high throughput measurements and dynamic monitoring of samples, because the image capturing speed can reach the maximum frame rate of the detection camera. Due to the simplicity of the proposed method, digital holography and wavefront shaping are not necessary, which leads to a compact and cost-effective system. Additionally, compared to endoscopes implementing micro-lens or printed structures on fiber tips (27, 29, 30), our system is built from a commercially off-the-shelf fiber bundle and common optical components, which is easily replicable for further applications.

The iterative process of the FAST reconstruction may raise concerns about computational time. Due to the complexity of the reference phase shift of the fiber bundle (Fig. 2D), two speckles at different axial distances are required as the input for the FAST reconstruction. The reconstruction is operated on MATLAB and takes about 8 min to calculate the reference phase shift (2560x1920 pixels) on a desktop computer (CPU, AMD Ryzen Threadripper 3960X) with GPU (NVIDIA TITAN RTX) acceleration. To reconstruct the further phase shift caused by the sample (Fig. 2D), only a single speckle image is required with the reference phase shift as the initial phase for the iteration, and it only takes about 24 s for a single reconstruction. Performing the reconstruction on multiple GPUs in a parallel pool can further improve the computational time, because the algorithm relies on 2D FFT. The stability of the system when bending the fiber bundle is a critical attribute for in vivo applications. Slight deformation of the fiber bundle would lead to an additional global tilt of the image plane on the detection side (37). The resulting tilt on the phase reconstruction, which is extracted from the background, can be further corrected numerically.

Materials and Methods

Multi-core fiber bundle

A 40-cm-long fiber bundle (FIGH-350S, Fujikura, Japan) with around 10,000 cores is used in this work. The diameter of the fiber bundle is 350 μm . The average core diameter is 2 μm and core-to-core spacing is 3.2 μm .

Experimental setup

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1E. A 532-nm diode-pumped solid-state continuous-wave laser (Verdi, Coherent Inc., USA) is coupled into a single-mode fiber (460HP, Thorlabs, Germany), and the output beam from the single-mode fiber is used to illuminate the sample. The diffracted light field incident the MCF at the measurement side. At the detection side, a 10x microscope objective (0.25 NA; Plan Achromat Objective, Olympus) and an achromatic lens ($f=200$ mm; Thorlabs, Germany) compose a 4-f system. Hence, the magnified far-field speckle can be projected on the detection camera (UI-3482LE, IDS GmbH, Germany).

Speckle reconstruction algorithm

A specialized phase retrieval algorithm for the fiber bundle is implemented to reconstruct the phase on the fiber facet from the far-field speckle image. The iterative reconstruction process is demonstrated in Fig. S1 and explained in supplementary methods. The total variation minimization algorithm introduced in (51) is implemented on the reconstructed amplitude images to reduce the speckle noises. On the other hand, a 2D median filter is applied to the reconstructed phase images to reduce the phase spikes.

Optical path difference (OPD)

When a coherent light propagates through a homogeneous medium with a refractive index n , the OPL is defined as the product of the geometric traveling distance d of light. Therefore, the OPLs are different when the coherent light travels through mediums with different refractive indices n_0 , n_1 in the same distance d , and the OPD is defined as

$$\text{OPD} = (n_1 - n_0)d \quad (3)$$

In experiments, the OPD can be measured from the phase shift $\Delta\phi$ of a coherent light source passes through mediums with different refractive indices

$$\text{OPD} = \left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2\pi} + k\right)\lambda \quad (4)$$

where k is non-negative integers, λ is the wavelength of the light source. Hence, the phase shift also corresponds to the refractive index difference and the thickness of the medium

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{2\pi d}{\lambda}(n_1 - n_0) + 2\pi k \quad (5)$$

Phase target

The phase target shown in Fig. 5 is displayed on a spatial light modulator (PLUTO, Holoeye Photonics AG, Germany) and projected on the fiber facet at the measurement side.

Microgel beads preparation

The polyacrylamide (PAAm) microgel beads functionalized with fluorescent dye were produced by using a microdroplet generation system and protocol described in a previous study (46). The continuous phase was a fluorinated oil (HFE-7500, Ionic Liquids Technology, Germany) containing ammonium Kritox® surfactant, N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED), and acrylic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany). The dispersed phase was a pre-gel mixture of acrylamide, N,N'-methylenebis acrylamide, ammonium persulphate (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany) and Alexa Fluor® 488 Hydrazide (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) dissolved in 10 mM Tris-buffer. The flow of the two phases was controlled by a pressure microfluidic controller (Fluigent MFCSTM-EX) and adjusted to obtain beads with a final diameter of about 16µm, analyzed by bright-field microscopy. A ratio of the cross-linking agent to monomer of 3.25% and a total monomer concentration of 9.9% resulted in beads with Young's modulus of about 6 kPa, measured by AFM indentation. The functionalized PAAm beads were washed and re-suspended in 1xPBS and stored at 4°C until further use. To image the PAAm beads with the microendoscope, the beads are suspended in DPBS (Thermo Fisher, USA) and located 0.5 mm away from the measurement fiber facet.

HeLa cell preparation

The stable HeLa cell line was kindly provided by the lab of Mariana Medina Sánchez (Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research). HeLa cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher, USA) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. HeLa cells were recovered and incubated for 2 weeks before use for spheroids culture. Equal amounts of HeLa cells (2×10^5 cells resuspended in 4 mL) were added to 3.5 cm cell-repellent dishes (Greiner bio-one) after trypsinization and washing with PBS (Thermo Fisher, USA) for preparing spheroids with homogeneous sizes. After two days of maturation, spheroids were separated into different groups and incubated with related treatments. The culture medium was exchanged to DPBS (Thermo Fisher, USA) without phenol red before the measurement.

References

1. Y. K. Park, C. Depeursinge, G. Popescu, Quantitative phase imaging in biomedicine. *Nat. Photonics* 2018 1210. **12**, 578–589 (2018).
2. M. Schürmann, G. Cojoc, S. Girardo, E. Ulbricht, J. Guck, P. Müller, Three-dimensional correlative single-cell imaging utilizing fluorescence and refractive index tomography. *J. Biophotonics*. **11**, e201700145 (2018).
3. C. J. Mann, L. Yu, C.-M. Lo, M. K. Kim, High-resolution quantitative phase-contrast microscopy by digital holography. *Opt. Express*. **13**, 8693 (2005).
4. C. Polonschii, M. Gheorghiu, S. David, S. Gáspár, S. Melinte, H. Majeed, M. E. Kandel, G. Popescu, E. Gheorghiu, High-resolution impedance mapping using electrically activated

- quantitative phase imaging. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2021 101. **10**, 1–11 (2021).
5. Z. Wang, V. Bianco, D. Pirone, P. Memmolo, M. M. Villone, P. L. Maffettone, P. Ferraro, Dehydration of plant cells shoves nuclei rotation allowing for 3D phase-contrast tomography. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2021 101. **10**, 1–16 (2021).
 6. B. Mandracchia, Z. Wang, V. Ferraro, M. M. Villone, E. Di Maio, P. L. Maffettone, P. Ferraro, Quantitative imaging of the complexity in liquid bubbles' evolution reveals the dynamics of film retraction. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2019 81. **8**, 1–12 (2019).
 7. X. Chen, M. E. Kandel, C. Hu, Y. J. Lee, G. Popescu, Wolf phase tomography (WPT) of transparent structures using partially coherent illumination. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2020 91. **9**, 1–9 (2020).
 8. A. B. Parthasarathy, K. K. Chu, T. N. Ford, J. Mertz, Quantitative phase imaging using a partitioned detection aperture. *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 4062 (2012).
 9. A. Saba, J. Lim, A. B. Ayoub, E. E. Antoine, D. Psaltis, Polarization-sensitive optical diffraction tomography. *Optica*. **8**, 402 (2021).
 10. J. Lim, A. B. Ayoub, E. E. Antoine, D. Psaltis, High-fidelity optical diffraction tomography of multiple scattering samples. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2019 81. **8**, 1–12 (2019).
 11. A. Wang, Zhuo and Popescu, Gabriel and Tangella, Krishnarao V and Balla, Tissue refractive index as marker of disease. *J. Biomed. Opt.* **16**, 116017 (2011).
 12. P. Y. Liu, L. K. Chin, W. Ser, H. F. Chen, C. M. Hsieh, C. H. Lee, K. B. Sung, T. C. Ayi, P. H. Yap, B. Liedberg, K. Wang, T. Bourouina, Y. Leprince-Wang, Cell refractive index for cell biology and disease diagnosis: Past, present and future. *Lab Chip*. **16**, 634–644 (2016).
 13. M. Schürmann, J. Scholze, P. Müller, J. Guck, C. J. Chan, Cell nuclei have lower refractive index and mass density than cytoplasm. *J. Biophotonics*. **9**, 1068–1076 (2016).
 14. S. Aknoun, J. Savatier, P. Bon, F. Galland, L. Abdeladim, B. Wattellier, S. Monneret, Living cell dry mass measurement using quantitative phase imaging with quadriwave lateral shearing interferometry: an accuracy and sensitivity discussion. *J. Biomed. Opt.* **20**, 126009 (2015).
 15. S. P. Singh, S. Kang, J. W. Kang, P. T. C. So, R. R. Dasari, Z. Yaqoob, I. Barman, Label-free characterization of ultra violet-radiation-induced changes in skin fibroblasts with Raman spectroscopy and quantitative phase microscopy. *Sci. Reports* 2017 71. **7**, 1–8 (2017).
 16. S. R. Underwood, S. Walton, P. J. Laming, P. J. Ell, R. W. Emanuel, R. H. Swanton, Quantitative phase analysis in the assessment of coronary artery disease. *Br. Heart J.* **61**, 14–22 (1989).
 17. K. R. Lee, K. Kim, J. Jung, J. H. Heo, S. Cho, S. Lee, G. Chang, Y. J. Jo, H. Park, Y. K. Park, Quantitative Phase Imaging Techniques for the Study of Cell Pathophysiology: From Principles to Applications. *Sensors* 2013, Vol. 13, Pages 4170-4191. **13**, 4170–4191 (2013).
 18. Y. Rivenson, T. Liu, Z. Wei, Y. Zhang, K. de Haan, A. Ozcan, PhaseStain: the digital staining of label-free quantitative phase microscopy images using deep learning. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2019 81. **8**, 1–11 (2019).
 19. H. Wang, Y. Rivenson, Y. Jin, Z. Wei, R. Gao, H. Günaydın, L. A. Bentolila, C. Kural, A. Ozcan, Deep learning enables cross-modality super-resolution in fluorescence microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 2018 161. **16**, 103–110 (2018).
 20. G. Kim, Y. J. Jo, H. Cho, H. seok Min, Y. K. Park, Learning-based screening of hematologic disorders using quantitative phase imaging of individual red blood cells. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **123**, 69–76 (2019).
 21. F. Merola, P. Memmolo, L. Miccio, R. Savoia, M. Mugnano, A. Fontana, G. D'Ippolito, A. Sardo, A. Iolascon, A. Gambale, P. Ferraro, Tomographic flow cytometry by digital

- holography. *Light Sci. Appl.* **6** (2017), doi:10.1038/lsa.2016.241.
22. N. Goswami, Y. R. He, Y. H. Deng, C. Oh, N. Sobh, E. Valera, R. Bashir, N. Ismail, H. Kong, T. H. Nguyen, C. Best-Popescu, G. Popescu, Label-free SARS-CoV-2 detection and classification using phase imaging with computational specificity. *Light Sci. Appl. 2021 101*. **10**, 1–12 (2021).
 23. H. Majeed, S. Sridharan, M. Mir, L. Ma, E. Min, W. Jung, G. Popescu, Quantitative phase imaging for medical diagnosis. *J. Biophotonics*. **10**, 177–205 (2017).
 24. J. Li, J. Garfinkel, X. Zhang, D. Wu, Y. Zhang, K. de Haan, H. Wang, T. Liu, B. Bai, Y. Rivenson, G. Rubinstein, P. O. Scumpia, A. Ozcan, Biopsy-free in vivo virtual histology of skin using deep learning. *Light Sci. Appl. 2021 101*. **10**, 1–22 (2021).
 25. N. Krstajić, A. R. Akram, T. R. Choudhary, N. McDonald, M. G. Tanner, E. Pedretti, P. A. Dalgarno, E. Scholefield, J. M. Girkin, A. Moore, M. Bradley, K. Dhaliwal, Two-color widefield fluorescence microendoscopy enables multiplexed molecular imaging in the alveolar space of human lung tissue. *J. Biomed. Opt.* **21**, 1 (2016).
 26. V. Szabo, C. Ventalon, V. De Sars, J. Bradley, V. Emiliani, Spatially selective holographic photoactivation and functional fluorescence imaging in freely behaving mice with a fiberscope. *Urology*. **84**, 1157–1169 (2014).
 27. T. Gissibl, S. Thiele, A. Herkommer, H. Giessen, Two-photon direct laser writing of ultracompact multi-lens objectives. *Nat. Photonics*. **10**, 554–560 (2016).
 28. A. Orth, M. Ploschner, E. R. Wilson, I. S. Maksymov, B. C. Gibson, Optical fiber bundles: Ultra-slim light field imaging probes. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaav1555 (2019).
 29. J. Shin, D. N. Tran, J. R. Stroud, S. Chin, T. D. Tran, M. A. Foster, A minimally invasive lens-free computational microendoscope. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaaw5595 (2019).
 30. F. E. Robles, J. J. Olson, P. C. Costa, P. Ledwig, S. Neill, Z. Zhang, Z. Guang, J. J. Olson, J. J. Olson, F. E. Robles, F. E. Robles, F. E. Robles, Towards in-vivo label-free detection of brain tumor margins with epi-illumination tomographic quantitative phase imaging. *Biomed. Opt. Express, Vol. 12, Issue 3, pp. 1621-1634*. **12**, 1621–1634 (2021).
 31. M. Plöschner, T. Tyc, T. Čížmár, Seeing through chaos in multimode fibres. *Nat. Photonics*. **9**, 529–535 (2015).
 32. S. Rothe, H. Radner, N. Koukourakis, J. W. Czarske, Transmission matrix measurement of multimode optical fibers by mode-selective excitation using one spatial light modulator. *Appl. Sci.* **9** (2019), doi:10.3390/app9010195.
 33. D. Haufe, N. Koukourakis, L. Büttner, J. W. Czarske, Transmission of Multiple Signals through an Optical Fiber Using Wavefront Shaping. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2017**, 55407 (2017).
 34. L. Büttner, M. Thümmel, J. Czarske, Velocity measurements with structured light transmitted through a multimode optical fiber using digital optical phase conjugation. *Opt. Express*. **28**, 8064 (2020).
 35. D. E. B. Flaes, H. Uhlířová, M. Šiler, P. Jákl, R. Heintzmann, S. Turtaev, S. Krátký, T. Tučková, T. Čížmár, H. Uhlířová, T. Čížmár, T. Čížmár, T. Čížmár, Computational image enhancement of multimode fibre-based holographic endo-microscopy: harnessing the muddy modes. *Opt. Express, Vol. 29, Issue 23, pp. 38206-38220*. **29**, 38206–38220 (2021).
 36. S. Rothe, P. Daferner, S. Heide, D. Krause, F. Schmieder, N. Koukourakis, J. W. Czarske, Benchmarking analysis of computer generated holograms for complex wavefront shaping using pixelated phase modulators. *Opt. Express*. **29**, 37602 (2021).
 37. R. Kuschmierz, E. Scharf, D. F. Ortégón-González, T. Glosemeyer, J. W. Czarske, Ultra-thin 3D lensless fiber endoscopy using diffractive optical elements and deep neural networks. *Light Adv. Manuf.* **2**, 1–10 (2021).
 38. D. Stellinga, D. B. Phillips, S. P. Mekhail, A. Selyem, S. Turtaev, T. Čížmár, M. J. Padgett, Time of flight 3D imaging through multimode optical fibres. *Science (80-.)*. **374**, 1395–1399 (2021).

39. U. Weiss, O. Katz, Two-photon lensless micro-endoscopy with in-situ wavefront correction. *Opt. Express*. **26**, 28808 (2018).
40. R. Kuschmierz, E. Scharf, N. Koukourakis, J. W. Czarske, Self-calibration of lensless holographic endoscope using programmable guide stars. *Opt. Lett.* **43**, 2997 (2018).
41. E. Scharf, J. Dremel, R. Kuschmierz, J. Czarske, Video-rate lensless endoscope with self-calibration using wavefront shaping. *Opt. Lett.* **45**, 3629–3632 (2020).
42. V. Tsvirkun, S. Sivankutty, G. Bouwmans, O. Katz, E. R. Andresen, H. Rigneault, Widefield lensless endoscopy with a multicore fiber. *Opt. Lett.* **41**, 4771–4774 (2016).
43. J. Sun, N. Koukourakis, J. W. Czarske, Complex Wavefront Shaping through a Multi-Core Fiber. *Appl. Sci.* **11**, 3949 (2021).
44. J. Sun, N. Koukourakis, J. Guck, J. W. Czarske, Rapid computational cell-rotation around arbitrary axes in 3D with multi-core fiber. *Biomed. Opt. Express*. **12**, 3423–3437 (2021).
45. L. Yu, M. K. Kim, Wavelength-scanning digital interference holography for tomographic three-dimensional imaging by use of the angular spectrum method. *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 2092–2094 (2005).
46. S. Girardo, N. Träber, K. Wagner, G. Cojoc, C. Herold, R. Goswami, R. Schlüßler, S. Abuhattum, A. Taubenberger, F. Reichel, D. Mokbel, M. Herbig, M. Schürmann, P. Müller, T. Heida, A. Jacobi, E. Ulbricht, J. Thiele, C. Werner, J. Guck, Standardized microgel beads as elastic cell mechanical probes. *J. Mater. Chem. B*. **6**, 6245–6261 (2018).
47. P. Müller, M. Schürmann, S. Girardo, G. Cojoc, J. Guck, Accurate evaluation of size and refractive index for spherical objects in quantitative phase imaging. *Opt. Express*. **26**, 10729 (2018).
48. N. Koukourakis, V. Jaedicke, A. Adinda-Ougba, S. Goebel, H. Wiethoff, H. Höpfner, N. C. Gerhardt, M. R. Hofmann, Depth-filtered digital holography. *Opt. Express*. **20**, 22636 (2012).
49. M. Finkeldey, L. Göring, C. Brenner, M. Hofmann, N. C. Gerhardt, Depth-filtering in common-path digital holographic microscopy. *Opt. Express*. **25**, 19398 (2017).
50. N. Badt, O. Katz, “Label-free video-rate micro-endoscopy through flexible fibers via Fiber Bundle Distal Holography (FiDHo).”
51. A. Kokaram, An Algorithm for Total Variation Minimization and Applications. *J. Math. Imaging Vis.* **2004 201**. **20**, 89–97 (2004).

Acknowledgments

We would like to express great appreciation to Dr. Robert Kuschmierz for contributinal discussions, ideas and revising the manuscript. We would also like to thank Elias Scharf, Jakob Dremel, David Fernando Ortégón González, and Haoyu Wang for valuable discussions. We gratefully to Dr. Mariana Medina Sánchez for providing the HeLa cells. The support from all the colleagues at MST and BIOLAS is greatly appreciated.

Funding:

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) grant CZ55/40-1
 Tsinghua Scholarship for Overseas Graduate Studies grant 2020023
 European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programs No 953121 (project FLAMIN-GO)

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: J.S.
 Methodology: J.S., J.W.

Investigation: J.S., J.W., N.K., J.C.
Visualization: J.S.
Sample preparation: R.G., S.G., J.S.
Supervision: J.C., J.G., L.C.
Project Management: J.C.
Writing—original draft: J.S.
Writing—review & editing: All authors

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data are available in the main text or supplementary materials. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Methods

Figs. S1 to S5

Movies S1 to S5

References (1 to 7)