

CRAMÉR-TYPE MODERATE DEVIATIONS UNDER LOCAL DEPENDENCE

BY SONG-HAO LIU^{1,2}, AND ZHUO-SONG ZHANG^{3,4,*}

¹*Department of Statistics and Data Science, Southern University of Science and Technology, liush@sustech.edu.cn*

²*Department of Statistics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,*

³*Department of Statistics and Data Science, National University of Singapore, *zszhangstat@gmail.com*

⁴*Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego,*

We establish Cramér-type moderate deviation theorems for sums of locally dependent random variables and combinatorial central limit theorems. Under some mild exponential moment conditions, optimal error bounds and convergence ranges are obtained. Our main results are more general or sharper than the existing results in the literature. The main results follow from a more general Cramér-type moderate deviation theorem for dependent random variables without any boundedness assumptions, which is of independent interest. The proofs couple Stein's method with a recursive argument.

1. Introduction. Moderate deviations estimate the relative errors for distributional approximations. Since [Cramér \(1938\)](#) proved a moderate deviation result for tail probabilities of sums of independent random variables, Cramér-type moderate deviation theorems have been widely applied to estimate rare event probabilities. Specially, for independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with zero mean and unit variance satisfying that $\mathbb{E}e^{t_0|X_1|} \leq c$ for some $t_0 > 0$, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(W_n > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq An^{-1/2}(1 + x^3) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq an^{1/6},$$

where $W_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/\sqrt{n}$, $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal distribution function, and A and a are positive constants depending only on t_0 and c . We remark that the range $0 \leq x \leq an^{1/6}$ and the error term $n^{-1/2}(1 + x^3)$ are optimal for i.i.d. random variables. For other results on Cramér-type moderate deviations, we refer the reader to [Linnik \(1961\)](#) and [Petrov \(1975\)](#).

Moderate deviation theorems for independent random variables have been well studied in the literature. However, the data may not be independent in the era of big data. It is necessary to develop the corresponding limit theory for dependent random variables.

In this paper, we focus on Cramér-type moderate deviations for sum of locally dependent random variables (see [Section 2](#)) and combinatorial central limit theorems (see [Section 3](#)). A family of locally dependent random variables means that certain subset of the random variables are independent of those outside their respective neighborhoods, which is a generalization of m -dependence. Although absolute error bounds of normal approximation for sums of locally dependent random variables have been well studied in the literature (see, e.g., [Baldi and Rinott, 1989](#); [Baldi, Rinott and Stein, 1989](#); [Rinott, 1994](#); [Dembo and Rinott, 1996](#); [Chen and Shao, 2004](#); [Fang, 2019](#)), few results for Cramér-type moderate deviation theorem for locally dependent random fields have been proved even when assuming that the random variables are bounded. Under certain dependence structures, [Raič \(2007\)](#) proved a

MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 60F10; secondary 60F05.

Keywords and phrases: Stein's method, Cramér-type moderate deviation, local dependence, combinatorial central limit theorem, Stein identity.

large deviation result with some sophisticated assumptions, which, however, seems to be too restricted to apply to other applications. In [Theorem 2.1](#), we provide a Cramér-type moderate deviation result under local dependence and some mild exponential moment conditions.

Combinatorial central limit theorem is the central limit theorem for a family of permutation statistics $\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,\pi(i)}$, where $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{X} := \{X_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is an $n \times n$ array of random variables, and π is a uniform random permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, independent of \mathbf{X} . Absolute error bounds of normal approximation for $\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,\pi(i)}$ have also been well studied in the literature (see [Hoeffding, 1951](#); [Ho and Chen, 1978](#); [Goldstein, 2005](#); [Chen, Fang and Shao, 2013](#); [Chen and Fang, 2015](#)). For relative error bounds, [Frolov \(2019\)](#) obtained a moderate deviation result under some Bernstein-type conditions. However, he did not provide the error bound. In [Theorem 3.1](#), we prove a Cramér-type moderate deviation result for combinatorial central limit theorems with best possible convergence rates and ranges.

Classical proofs of Cramér-type moderate deviations are based on the conjugate method and Fourier transforms, which perform well when dealing with independent random variables. Nevertheless, it is not easy to apply the Fourier transform without independence assumptions. Alternatively, Stein's method is a powerful tool in dealing with dependent structures. Since introduced by [Stein \(1972\)](#), Stein's method has been widely applied to prove optimal Berry–Esseen bounds and L_1 bounds with explicit constant factors for many distributional approximations ([Chen, Goldstein and Shao, 2011](#); [Chatterjee, 2014](#)), and moreover, it turns out that Stein's method can also be used to obtain moderate deviation theorems. For examples, [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#) first applied Stein's method to prove Cramér-type moderate deviation results for normal approximation via Stein identity, and recently, [Shao, Zhang and Zhang \(2021\)](#) further obtain a Cramér-type moderate deviation result for nonnormal approximations. In both papers, the authors made some boundedness assumptions about the random variables of interest. To relax boundedness assumptions, [Zhang \(2019\)](#) applied Stein's method using the exchangeable pair approach to develop a Cramér-type moderate deviation result for unbounded case. However, [Zhang \(2019\)](#)'s result cannot be applied to deal with locally dependent random variables.

In order to prove [Theorem 2.1](#) and [Theorem 3.1](#), we consider the Stein identity approach of Stein's method. Specifically, let W be a random variable, and assume that there exists a random function $\hat{K}(u)$ and a random variable R such that for all absolutely continuous functions f , the following identity holds:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{E}\{Wf(W)\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+u)\hat{K}(u)du\right\} + \mathbb{E}\{Rf(W)\}.$$

The equality (1.1) is called Stein identity (see Section 2.5 of [Chen, Goldstein and Shao \(2011\)](#)). Both L_1 bounds and Berry–Esseen bounds via Stein's identity have been well studied in the literature, and we refer the readers to [Chen, Goldstein and Shao \(2011\)](#) for a detailed survey. Based on (1.1), [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#) proved a Cramér-type moderate deviation theorem for W under the following conditions: there exists $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2$ and θ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{K}(u) &= 0 \text{ for } |u| > \delta_0, & |\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| &\leq \delta_1(1 + |W|), \\ \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} &\leq \theta, & |\mathbb{E}\{R|W\}| &\leq \delta_2(1 + |W|). \end{aligned}$$

However, the conditions may be restricted to apply in some applications. First, the random function $\hat{K}(u)$ is assumed to be positive and supported on a bounded interval $[-\delta_0, \delta_0]$, where the constant δ_0 is of order $O(n^{-1/2})$ in some typical applications. Second, the conditional expectations may not be easy to calculate if we know few on the distribution of W .

To improve [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#)'s moderate deviation result, we establish a general Cramér-type moderate deviation result ([Theorem 4.1](#)) without assuming that the random

function $\hat{K}(u)$ is positive and supported on a bounded interval, which may be of independent interest for other applications. There are several advantages of our result. First, optimal error bounds and optimal ranges are obtained for moderate deviations of locally dependent sums and combinatorial central limit theorems. Second, we relax the boundedness assumption and thus our general theorem can be applied to a much wider class of statistics.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We give the result for locally dependent random variables in [Section 2](#). Moderate deviation for combinatorial central limit theorems are discussed in [Section 3](#). Our general theorem is given in [Section 4](#). We prove our general result in [Section 5](#). Finally, the proofs of our results in [Sections 2](#) and [3](#) are presented in [Sections 6](#) and [7](#). Some supplementary materials are given in the appendix.

2. Moderate deviation for sums of locally dependent random variables. In this section, we prove a Cramér-type moderate deviation theorem for sums of locally dependent random variables.

We follow the notation in [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#). Let \mathcal{J} be an index set and let $\{X_i, i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ be a field of random variables with zero means and finite variances. Let $W = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} X_i$ and assume that $\text{Var}(W) = 1$. For $A \subset \mathcal{J}$, write $X_A = \{X_i, i \in A\}$, $A^c = \{j \in \mathcal{J} : j \notin A\}$ and denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of A .

We now introduce the following local dependence conditions:

- (LD1) For each $i \in \mathcal{J}$, there exists $A_i \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that X_i is independent of $X_{A_i^c}$.
- (LD2) For each $i \in \mathcal{J}$, there exists $B_i \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that $B_i \supset A_i$ and X_{A_i} is independent of $X_{B_i^c}$.

We note that local dependence satisfying (LD1) and (LD2) is a generalization of m -dependence. These local dependence conditions were firstly introduced by [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#), and we refer the reader to other types of local dependence structures in [Baldi and Rinott \(1989\)](#); [Baldi, Rinott and Stein \(1989\)](#); [Rinott \(1994\)](#); [Dembo and Rinott \(1996\)](#); [Fang \(2019\)](#). Absolute error bounds such as L_1 bounds and Berry–Esseen bounds for locally dependent random variables have also been well studied in the literature. For example, in [Section 4.7](#) of [Chen, Goldstein and Shao \(2011\)](#), an L_1 bound was established under (LD1) and (LD2). [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#) proved several sharp Berry–Esseen bounds under different local dependence conditions and some polynomial moment conditions. Although Cramér-type moderate deviations have been proved for m -dependent random variables (see, e.g., [Heinrich \(1982\)](#)), as far as we know, no Cramér-type moderate deviation results have been obtained for locally dependent random variables even for bounded cases.

Let $N_i = \{j \in \mathcal{J} : B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset\}$ and let $\kappa := \max_{i \in \mathcal{J}} |N_i|$. Let $n = |\mathcal{J}|$. Assume that there exist $a_n \geq 1$ and $b \geq 1$ such that for all $i \in \mathcal{J}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\{ \exp \left(a_n \sum_{j \in B_i} |X_j| \right) \right\} \leq b.$$

We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Under (LD1) and (LD2), and assume that (2.1) holds. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}[W > z]}{1 - \Phi(z)} - 1 \right| \leq C \delta_n (1 + z^3)$$

for $0 \leq z \leq ca_n^{1/3} \min\{1, \kappa^{-1/3}(1 + \theta_n)^{-2/3}\}$, where C and c are absolute constants and $\delta_n = \kappa^2 a_n^{-1} (1 + \theta_n^6)$ and $\theta_n = b^{1/2} n^{1/2} a_n^{-1}$.

REMARK. When a_n is of order $O(n^{1/2})$ and κ and b are of order $O(1)$, we have $\theta_n = O(1)$ and $\delta_n = O(n^{-1/2})$. Therefore, the error bound in (2.2) is of order $(1+z^3)/\sqrt{n}$ and the range is $0 \leq z \leq cn^{1/6}$. Specifically, for i.i.d. random variables ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n satisfying that $\mathbb{E}\xi_1 = 0$, $\text{Var}(\xi_1) = 1/n$ and $\mathbb{E}e^{\sqrt{n}|\xi_1|} \leq b_0$ for some $b_0 > 0$, we have that (2.1) holds with $B_i = \{i\}$, $a_n = \sqrt{n}$ and $b = b_0$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 reduces to

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i > z)}{1 - \Phi(z)} - 1 \right| \leq Cn^{-1/2}(1+z^3) \text{ for } 0 \leq z \leq cn^{1/6},$$

where c, C are constants depending only on b_0 . Thus, Theorem 2.1 is optimal in the sense that it provides optimal error bounds and ranges for sum of i.i.d. random variables.

REMARK. We remark that there are some different dependence structures other than (LD1) and (LD2) in the literature, e.g., decomposable random variables, dependency graphs, and so on. For decomposable random variables, Raić (2007) proved a large deviation result with some sophisticated assumptions, which maybe too strict to apply to other applications.

To illustrate that our result gives optimal error bounds and ranges for other settings, we consider the following corollary for m -dependent random fields. Let $d \geq 1$ and let \mathbb{Z}^d denote the d -dimensional space of positive integers. For any $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d), j = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define the distance by $|i - j| := \max_{1 \leq k \leq d} |i_k - j_k|$, and for $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define the distance between A and B by $\rho(A, B) = \inf\{|i - j| : i \in A, j \in B\}$. Let \mathcal{J} be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^d , and we say a field of random variables $\{X_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is an m -dependent random field if $\{X_i, i \in A\}$ and $\{X_j, j \in B\}$ are independent whenever $\rho(A, B) > m$ for any $A, B \subset \mathcal{J}$. If we choose $A_i = \{j \in \mathcal{J} : |i - j| \leq m\}$, $B_i = \{j \in \mathcal{J} : |i - j| \leq 2m\}$, then (LD1) and (LD2) are satisfied with $\kappa = (8m + 1)^d$, and Theorem 2.1 reduces to the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let $\{X_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ be an m -dependent random field on \mathbb{Z}^d with $\mathbb{E}\{X_i\} = 0$, $W = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} X_i$ and $\text{Var}(W) = 1$. If (2.1) is satisfied, then (2.2) holds with $\kappa = (8m + 1)^d$.

REMARK. Under the conditions of Corollary 2.2 with $d = 1$, Corollary 4.1 of Heinrich (1982) reduces to the following result:

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > z)}{1 - \Phi(z)} - 1 \right| \leq Cna_n^{-3}(1+z^3)$$

for $0 \leq z \leq ca_n n^{-1/3}$ where C and c are constants depending only on m and b . Since $\mathbb{E}W^2 = 1$, we have $m \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2 > 1$. On the other hand, by (2.1), $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \leq Cba_n^{-2}$. Thus, $na_n^{-2} \geq C_0$, for some constant C_0 depend on m and d . Up this constant C_0 , Heinrich's result is not better than ours and the moderate deviations for m -dependent random field on \mathbb{Z}^d seems to be new.

3. Moderate deviation for combinatorial central limit theorems. Let $n \geq 1$, and let $\mathbf{X} := \{X_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be an $n \times n$ array of independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j}\} = a_{i,j}$ and $\text{Var}(X_{i,j}) = c_{i,j}^2$. Moreover, assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{i,j}^2 = 1.$$

Let \mathcal{S}_n be the collection of all permutations over $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let π be a random permutation chosen uniformly from \mathcal{S}_n independent of \mathbf{X} . Let

$$(3.3) \quad W = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,\pi(i)}.$$

Combinatorial central limit theorems for $\tilde{W} := \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,\pi(i)}$, which is a special case of W , was firstly introduced by [Hoeffding \(1951\)](#). For the random variable \tilde{W} , [Goldstein \(2005\)](#) proved a Berry–Esseen theorem for \tilde{W} by Stein's method and zero-bias coupling, and [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#) also gives the moderate deviation result of the normal approximation, where the convergence rate and range depend on $\max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$. [Hu, Robinson and Wang \(2007\)](#) proved a moderate deviation result for the simple random sample problem, which is an application of the combinatorial central limit theorems. The Berry–Esseen bounds of combinatorial central limit theorems for W was firstly studied by [Ho and Chen \(1978\)](#), who proved an error bound using the concentration inequality approach, and [Chen and Fang \(2015\)](#) obtained a new error bound $451n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_{i,j}|^3$ via exchangeable pair approach. Recently, [Frolov \(2019\)](#) gave a Cramér-type moderate deviation result for general combinatorial central limit theorems under some Bernstein type conditions, but the author did not provide the error bounds.

The following theorem provides a Cramér-type moderate deviation result for W .

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that there exist $\alpha_n \geq 1$ and $b \geq 1$ such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\alpha_n |X_{i,j}|)\} \leq b.$$

Then

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(W > z)}{1 - \Phi(z)} - 1 \right| \leq C\delta_n(1 + z^3),$$

for $0 \leq z \leq c\alpha_n^{1/3} \min\{1, b^{-1}(\theta_n^{-1/2} + \theta_n)^{-1}\}$, where C and c are absolute constants, $\theta_n = n^{1/2}\alpha_n^{-1}$ and $\delta_n = b^2(\alpha_n^{-1} + n^{-1/2})(\theta_n^{-2} + \theta_n^6)$.

REMARK. If $\max_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} |X_{i,j}|$ is of order $O(n^{-1/2})$, then we can choose $\alpha_n = O(n^{1/2})$ and $b = O(1)$, and (3.5) reduces to

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(W > z)}{1 - \Phi(z)} - 1 \right| \leq Cn^{-1/2}(1 + z^3)$$

for $0 \leq z \leq cn^{1/6}$ for some constants $c, C > 0$.

REMARK. In [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#), the authors proved a moderate deviation for the case where $X_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$ is nonrandom. Specially, our result recovers (4.1) in [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#).

4. A general theorem via Stein identity. In this section, we proof a general theorem for dependent random variables, which will be used to prove [Theorems 2.1](#) and [3.1](#). The theorem is based on Stein identity, and it is also of independent interest and can be applied to many other applications. Let W be the random variable of interest satisfying the Stein identity (1.1)

with a random function $\hat{K}(u)$ and a random variable R . To give our general theorem, we first introduce the following notation. For $t \geq 0$ and for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$(4.1) \quad K(u) = \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}(u)\}, \quad \hat{K}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{K}(u) du,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \hat{K}_{2,t} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|e^{t|u|} |\hat{K}(u)| du,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \hat{K}_{3,t} = \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} (\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 du,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \hat{K}_{4,t} = \int_{|u| \leq 1} |u|e^{2t|u|} (\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 du,$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad M_t = \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{t|u|} |K(u)| du.$$

For any $\beta \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$, let

$$(4.6) \quad \Psi_{\beta,t}(w) = \begin{cases} e^{tw} + 1 & \text{if } w \leq \beta, \\ 2e^{t\beta} - e^{t(2\beta-w)} + 1 & \text{if } w > \beta. \end{cases}$$

We remark that the function $\Psi_{\beta,t}$ is a smoothed version of the truncated exponential function, which plays an important role in relaxing the boundedness assumption in applications.

Our general result is based on the following conditions:

(A1) Assume that there exist constants $m_0 > 0, \rho > 0$ and $r_j \geq 0, \tau_j \geq 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ such that for all $\beta, t \in [0, m_0]$,

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|R|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq r_0(1 + t^{\tau_0}) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq r_1(1 + t^{\tau_1}) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq r_2(1 + t^{\tau_2}) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{3,t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq r_3(1 + t^{\tau_3}) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{4,t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq r_4(1 + t^{\tau_4}) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq m_0} M_t \leq \rho.$$

We now state our general result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Under condition (A1). Let $\tau = \max\{\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1 + 2, \tau_2 + 3, \tau_3 + 1, \tau_4 + 1\}$ and let*

$$(4.13) \quad z_0 = \min\{m_0, 0.02e^{-\tau/2}(r_0^{1/(\tau_0+1)} + r_1^{1/(\tau_1+2)} + r_2^{1/(\tau_2+3)})^{-1}\}.$$

We have

$$(4.14) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}[W > z]}{1 - \Phi(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\delta(m_0)} + C(150^\tau + \rho)e^{\tau^2/2} \right) \delta(z)$$

for $0 \leq z \leq z_0$, where $\Phi(z)$ is the standard normal distribution function and C is an absolute constant, and

$$(4.15) \quad \delta(z) = r_0(1 + z^{\tau_0+1}) + r_1(1 + z^{\tau_1+2}) + r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2+3}) \\ + r_3(1 + z^{\tau_3+1}) + r_4^{1/2}(1 + z^{\tau_4+1}).$$

We give some remarks on our general result.

REMARK. [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#) proved a moderate deviation for Stein identities under a boundedness assumption (1.2). On that basis, for $0 \leq t \leq \delta_0^{-1}$, it can be shown that (4.9) is satisfied with $r_2 = 3\theta\delta_0$. Moreover, for all $t, \beta \in (0, \delta_0^{-1})$, one can verify (see, e.g., (5.5) and (5.6) of [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#)) that there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on θ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\{|W|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C(1+t)\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}.$$

Thus, we have that (4.7) and (4.8) are satisfied with $r_0 = C'\delta_2, r_1 = C'\delta_1$ and $\tau_0 = \tau_1 = 1$, where $C' > 0$ is an absolute constant. Therefore, by [Theorem 4.1](#), we have that (4.14) holds with $m_0 = \delta_0^{-1}, \rho = \theta, \tau = 3, \tau_0 = \tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = \tau_3 = \tau_4 = 0, r_0 = C'\delta_2, r_1 = C'\delta_1, r_2 = 3\theta\delta_0$ and

$$r_3 = 8 \int_{|u| \leq \delta_0} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2\} du, \quad r_4 = 8\delta_0 \int_{|u| \leq \delta_0} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2\} du.$$

Note that this result involves two terms r_3 and $r_4^{1/2}$ that did not appear in [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#). However, in many applications, both r_3 and $r_4^{1/2}$ have the same order as δ_0 . This shows that our result [Theorem 4.1](#) covers [Theorem 3.1](#) of [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#) with the cost of two additional terms.

5. Proof of [Theorem 4.1](#). In this section, we provide the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#). Our proof is novel in two ways. On one hand, the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#) is a combination of Stein's method and a recursive method. The recursive method has been applied to obtain optimal Berry–Esseen bounds for both univariate and multivariate normal approximations; see [Raic \(2003\)](#); [Raić \(2019\)](#) and [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#) for examples. On the other hand, use a truncated exponential function to control tail probabilities. It is known that exponential-type tail probabilities play a crucial role in the proof of Cramér-type moderate deviations. In [Chen, Fang and Shao \(2013\)](#) and [Shao, Zhang and Zhang \(2021\)](#), the authors used exponential functions directly to prove upper bounds for such tail probabilities. In the present paper, a key observation is that the exponential function can be replaced by a smoothed truncated exponential function $\Psi_{\beta,t}$ (defined in (4.6) in [Section 4](#)) when proving exponential-type tail probabilities, and the function $\Psi_{\beta,t}$ plays an important role in relaxing the boundedness assumption when applying our general theorem.

This section is organized as follows. We first develop two preliminary lemmas, [Lemmas 5.1](#) and [5.2](#), whose proofs are postponed to [Section 5.3](#). In [Lemma 5.1](#), we establish an upper bound of the ratio for the expectation of a smoothed indicator function, and we provide a Berry–Esseen bound under (1.1) in [Lemma 5.2](#). The proof of [Theorem 4.1](#) is given in [Section 5.2](#), where we apply [Lemmas 5.1](#) and [5.2](#) and a smoothing inequality.

5.1. Preliminary lemmas. We first introduce some notation. Let $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, $\phi(w) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-w^2/2}$ and $\Phi(w) = \int_{-\infty}^w \phi(t)dt$. In what follows, we write $Nh = \mathbb{E}\{h(Z)\}$ for any function h . For any $z \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$h_{z,\varepsilon}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \leq z, \\ 0 & \text{if } w > z + \varepsilon, \\ 1 + \varepsilon^{-1}(z - w) & \text{if } z < w \leq z + \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(5.1) \quad C_0 = \sup_{0 \leq z \leq z_0} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}[W > z] - (1 - \Phi(z))}{\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z))} \right|,$$

where $\delta(z)$ is given in (4.15). The following lemma gives a relative error for the test function $h_{z,\varepsilon}$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Assume that condition (A1) holds and z_0 in (4.13) satisfies $z_0 \geq 8$. Let z be a fixed real number satisfying $8 \leq z \leq z_0$, and let $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(z) = 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2})$. We have*

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{|\mathbb{E}\{h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}|}{\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z))} \leq 0.75 \left(C_0 + \frac{1}{\delta(m_0)} \right) + (184 + 2\rho)e^{\tau/2} + (150e^{\tau/2})^\tau.$$

We also need to develop a Berry–Esseen bound to prove [Theorem 4.1](#). The following lemma is a slight modification of Theorem 2.1 in [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#), because the Stein identity (1.1) in our paper involves an additional error term $\mathbb{E}\{Rf(W)\}$ compared with that in [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#). The proof is given in [Section 5.3](#), where we used a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#).

LEMMA 5.2. *Let W be a random variable satisfying $\mathbb{E}W = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}W^2 = 1$. Assume that (1.1) and (A1) hold. Then,*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq 4r_0 + 4r_1 + 28r_2 + 20r_3 + 13r_4^{1/2}.$$

5.2. Proof of [Theorem 4.1](#).

PROOF OF [THEOREM 4.1](#). It follows from [Lemma 5.2](#) that

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{0 \leq z \leq 9} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)}{\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z))} \right| \leq \frac{28}{1 - \Phi(9)}.$$

It now suffices to prove (4.14) for the case $9 \leq z \leq z_0$. When $z_0 \geq 8$, from (4.13), we have

$$(5.4) \quad 0.02e^{-\tau/2} \min\{r_0^{-1/(\tau_0+1)}, r_1^{-1/(\tau_1+2)}, r_2^{-1/(\tau_2+3)}\} \geq 8,$$

and one can verify that

$$(5.5) \quad \max\{r_0, r_1, r_2\} \leq 0.02e^{-\tau/2}.$$

Next, we use a smoothing inequality to prove the upper bound for the case $9 \leq z \leq z_0$. Let $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(z) = 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2})$. By the following well-known inequalities:

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{1+x}\phi(x) \leq 1 - \Phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{x}\phi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \geq 1,$$

we have

$$(5.7) \quad \phi(z - \varepsilon) \leq e^{z\varepsilon}\phi(z) \leq e^{z\varepsilon}(1 + z)(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

By (4.13), we have $z_0 \leq 0.02e^{-\tau/2}r_2^{-1/(\tau_2+3)}$, in other word

$$(5.8) \quad r_2 \leq \left(\frac{0.02e^{-\tau/2}}{z_0} \right)^{\tau_2+3}.$$

It then follows that for $z_0 \geq 8$,

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} z_0\varepsilon &\leq 40e^{\tau/2}r_2z_0 + 40e^{\tau/2}r_2z_0^{1+\tau_2} \\ &\leq 40e^{\tau/2} \left(\frac{0.02e^{-\tau/2}}{z_0} \right)^{\tau_2+3} z_0 + 40e^{\tau/2} \left(\frac{0.02e^{-\tau/2}}{z_0} \right)^{\tau_2+3} z_0^{1+\tau_2} \\ &\leq \frac{80e^{\tau/2}(0.02e^{-\tau/2})^3}{z_0^2} \\ &\leq 0.03, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\tau \geq 3$. Thus, it follows that

$$(5.10) \quad e^{z_0\varepsilon} \leq 1.05.$$

Also, note that for $8 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$(5.11) \quad (1 + z^{\tau_2}) \leq 2z^{\tau_2} \leq \frac{1}{256}z^{\tau_2+3} \leq 0.004(1 + z^{\tau_2+3}),$$

and by (5.8),

$$(5.12) \quad r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2+3}) \leq (0.02e^{-\tau/2})^{\tau_2+3} \frac{1 + z_0^{\tau_2+3}}{z_0^{\tau_2+3}} \leq 0.04e^{-\tau/2}.$$

Then, by (5.11) and (5.12), we have for $8 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon(z) &= 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2}) \\ &\leq 0.16e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2+3}) \\ &\leq 0.1. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $1 + z \leq 1.25z_0$ for all $8 \leq z \leq z_0$, by (5.7), (5.9) and (5.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) - \Phi(z - \varepsilon) &\leq \varepsilon\phi(z - \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \varepsilon e^{z\varepsilon}(1 + z)(1 - \Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 1.25z_0\varepsilon e^{z_0\varepsilon}(1 - \Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 0.05(1 - \Phi(z)), \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$(5.14) \quad (1 - \Phi(z - \varepsilon)) \leq (1 - \Phi(z)) + (\Phi(z) - \Phi(z - \varepsilon)) \leq 1.05(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

On the other hand, for $8 \leq z \leq z_0$, we have

$$(5.15) \quad (1 + z)(1 + z^{\tau_2}) \leq 1.25^2 z^{\tau_2+1} \leq \frac{1.25^2}{64} z^{\tau_2+3} \leq 0.04(1 + z^{\tau_2+3}).$$

Then, by (5.10) and (5.15), we have

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(z) - \Phi(z - \varepsilon) &\leq \varepsilon(1 + z)e^{z\varepsilon}(1 - \Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 40r_2e^{\tau/2}e^{z\varepsilon}(1 + z^{\tau_2})(1 + z)(1 - \Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 2r_2e^{\tau/2}(1 + z^{\tau_2+3})(1 - \Phi(z)), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(5.17) \quad \Phi(z + \varepsilon) - \Phi(z) \leq 2r_2 e^{\tau/2} (1 + z^{\tau_2+3}) (1 - \Phi(z)).$$

Recall that C_0 is defined as in (5.1). By (5.2) and (5.17), we have for $8 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[W \leq z] - \Phi(z) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\{h_{z,\varepsilon}(W) - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}\} + \Phi(z + \varepsilon) - \Phi(z) \\ & \leq (0.75(C_0 + \delta(m_0)^{-1}) + (186 + 2\rho)e^{\tau/2} + (150e^{\tau/2})^\tau)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon' = 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + (z - \varepsilon)^{\tau_2})$. By (5.2) with replacing z and ε by $z - \varepsilon$ and ε' , respectively, and by (5.14), we have for $9 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}\{h_{z-\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(W) - Nh_{z-\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}\}| \\ & \leq (0.75(C_0 + \delta(m_0)^{-1}) + (184 + 2\rho)e^{\tau/2} + (150e^{\tau/2})^\tau)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z - \varepsilon)) \\ & \leq (0.8(C_0 + \delta(m_0)^{-1}) + (194 + 2.1\rho)e^{\tau/2} + 1.05(150e^{\tau/2})^\tau)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (5.16) and (5.19), we have for $9 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[W \leq z] - \Phi(z) \\ & \geq \mathbb{E}\{h_{z-\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(W)\} - Nh_{z-\varepsilon,\varepsilon'} - (\Phi(z) - \Phi(z - \varepsilon)) \\ & \geq -(0.8(C_0 + \delta(m_0)^{-1}) + (196 + 2.1\rho)e^{\tau/2} + 1.05(150e^{\tau/2})^\tau)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.18) and (5.20), we have for $9 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{P}(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \\ & \leq (0.8(C_0 + \delta(m_0)^{-1}) + (196 + 2.1\rho)e^{\tau/2} + 1.05(150e^{\tau/2})^\tau)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Moving $\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z))$ in (5.21) to the left-hand side (LHS) and taking the supremum over $9 \leq z \leq z_0$, and by (5.3), we have

$$(5.22) \quad C_0 \leq (0.8(C_0 + \delta(m_0)^{-1}) + (530 + 2.1\rho)e^{\tau/2} + 1.05(150e^{\tau/2})^\tau) + \frac{28}{1 - \Phi(9)}.$$

Solving the recursive inequality (5.22), we obtain

$$C_0 \leq 4\delta(m_0)^{-1} + C(150^\tau + \rho)e^{\tau^2/2},$$

which proves (4.14). \square

5.3. Proofs of Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2. In order to prove Lemma 5.1, we need to prove the following lemmas. Recall that $\Psi_{\beta,t}(w)$ is defined as in (4.6). The first lemma gives an upper bound for the truncated exponential moment $\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}$.

LEMMA 5.3 (Exponential bound). *Assume that conditions (4.7)–(4.9) hold and z_0 in (4.13) satisfies $z_0 \geq 8$. We have*

$$(5.23) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq 4e^{t^2/2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq z_0,$$

where $\Psi_{\beta,t}(w)$ is defined in (4.6).

PROOF. Since $z_0 \geq 8$, we have (5.5) holds. Let

$$\delta_1(t) = r_0(1 + t^{\tau_0+1}) + r_1(1 + t^{\tau_1+2}) + r_2(1 + t^{\tau_2+3}) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Then, recalling that $\tau \geq 3$ and that z_0 is defined in (4.13), by a similar argument to that in (5.12), we have $\delta_1(z_0) \leq 0.1e^{-\tau/2} \leq 0.1$. We prove a more general result as follows: for all $0 \leq t \leq m_0$,

$$(5.24) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq 2e^{t^2/2+2\delta_1(t)},$$

which, together with the fact that $\delta_1(z_0) \leq 0.1$, implies (5.23) immediately.

It now suffices to prove (5.24). For $t \geq 0$, let $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}$. As $\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) \leq 2e^{t\beta} + 1$, then $h(t) < \infty$ for all $0 \leq t \leq m_0$. Write

$$\Psi'_{\beta,t}(w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\Psi_{\beta,t}(w), \quad \Psi''_{\beta,t}(w) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2}\Psi_{\beta,t}(w).$$

By the definition of $\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)$,

$$(5.25) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) = \begin{cases} we^{tw} & \text{if } w \leq \beta, \\ 2\beta e^{t\beta} - (2\beta - w)e^{t(2\beta-w)} & \text{if } w > \beta, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.26) \quad \Psi'_{\beta,t}(w) = \begin{cases} te^{tw} & \text{if } w \leq \beta, \\ te^{t(2\beta-w)} & \text{if } w > \beta, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.27) \quad \Psi''_{\beta,t}(w) = \begin{cases} t^2 e^{tw} & \text{if } w \leq \beta, \\ -t^2 e^{t(2\beta-w)} & \text{if } w > \beta. \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$(5.28) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) \leq w(\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) - 1), \quad \Psi'_{\beta,t}(w) \leq t\Psi_{\beta,t}(w).$$

By (5.25) and the first inequality of (5.28), it follows that

$$(5.29) \quad h'(t) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{W(\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) - 1)\}.$$

By (1.1) and (5.28) and noting that $\mathbb{E}W = 0$ and $|\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) - 1| \leq \Psi_{\beta,t}(w)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{W(\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) - 1)\} &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W+u)\hat{K}(u)du\right\} + \mathbb{E}\{R(\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) - 1)\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W)\hat{K}(u)du\right\} + \mathbb{E}\{R(\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) - 1)\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W+u) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W))\hat{K}(u)du\right\} \\ &\leq th(t) + t\mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} + \mathbb{E}\{|R\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W+u) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W))\hat{K}(u)du\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.27), for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.31) \quad & \left| \Psi'_{\beta,t}(w+u) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(w) \right| \leq |u| \sup_{s \leq |u|} \left| \Psi''_{\beta,t}(w+s) \right| \\
& \leq |u| t^2 \sup_{s \leq |u|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(w+s) \\
& \leq |u| t^2 e^{t|u|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(w).
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.2), (4.9) and (5.31), we have that the last term of (5.30) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.32) \quad & \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W+u) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W)) \hat{K}(u) du \right\} \right| \\
& \leq t^2 \mathbb{E} \{ \hat{K}_{2,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) \} \leq r_2 t^2 (1 + t^{\tau_2}) \mathbb{E} \{ \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.7), (4.8), (5.30) and (5.32) into (5.29) yields

$$h'(t) \leq th(t) + r_0(1 + t^{\tau_0})h(t) + r_1 t(1 + t^{\tau_1})h(t) + r_2 t^2(1 + t^{\tau_2})h(t).$$

Solving the differential inequality yields

$$(5.33) \quad h(t) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{t^2}{2} + r_0 \left(t + \frac{t^{\tau_0+1}}{\tau_0+1} \right) + r_1 \left(\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^{\tau_1+2}}{\tau_1+2} \right) + r_2 \left(\frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^{\tau_2+3}}{\tau_2+3} \right) \right\}.$$

Since $\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 0$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.34) \quad & t + \frac{t^{\tau_0+1}}{\tau_0+1} \leq 2(1 + t^{\tau_0+1}), \\
& \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^{\tau_1+2}}{\tau_1+2} \leq (1 + t^{\tau_1+2}), \\
& \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^{\tau_2+3}}{\tau_2+3} \leq (1 + t^{\tau_2+3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.33) and (5.34) yields (5.24), as desired. \square

The following lemma establish an error bound for differences between tail probabilities of W and Z . This is one of the key observations in our proof, since we can give a bound between $\mathbb{P}(W > z)$ and $\mathbb{P}(Z > z)$ for z slightly large than z_0 , which is very important in the recursive argument.

LEMMA 5.4. *Assume that the conditions in Lemma 5.1 hold. For $8 \leq z \leq z_0$, $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 2$, $|u| \leq 1$ and $u \wedge 0 \leq s \leq u \vee 0$, we have*

$$(5.35) \quad |\mathbb{P}[W + s > z] - \mathbb{P}[Z + s > z]| \leq 2e^{\tau/2} e^{z|u|} \delta(z) (1 - \Phi(z)) (C_0 + c_0)$$

and

$$(5.36) \quad |\mathbb{P}[W + s > z + \varepsilon] - \mathbb{P}[Z + s > z + \varepsilon]| \leq 2e^{\tau/2} e^{z|u| + z\varepsilon} \delta(z) (1 - \Phi(z)) (C_0 + c_0),$$

where C_0 is defined as in (5.1), τ is as in Theorem 4.1, and $c_0 := 1/\delta(m_0) + (150e^{\tau/2})^\tau$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.4. We first introduce some inequalities. For $z \geq 8$ and $0 \leq a \leq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.37) \quad & 1 - \Phi(z-a) \leq \frac{1}{z-a} \phi(z-a) \leq \frac{e^{za}}{z-a} \phi(z) \\
& \leq \frac{(1+z^2)e^{za}}{z(z-a)} (1 - \Phi(z)) \leq 2e^{za} (1 - \Phi(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, noting that

$(1 + (z + 3)^\ell) \leq 1.1(z + 3)^\ell \leq 1.1 \times 1.375^\ell z^\ell \leq e^{\tau/2}(1 + z^\ell)$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq \tau$ and $z \geq 8$, and by the definition of $\delta(z)$ as in [Theorem 4.1](#), we have

$$(5.38) \quad \delta(z + a) \leq e^{\tau/2}\delta(z) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq a \leq 3 \text{ and } z \geq 8.$$

We first prove [\(5.35\)](#). To this end, we consider three cases.

(1). If $s > 0$, then by the definition of C_0 in [\(5.1\)](#), by [\(5.37\)](#) and noting that $|s| \leq |u| \leq 1$, we have

$$(5.39) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathbb{P}[W + s > z] - \mathbb{P}[Z + s > z]| &\leq C_0\delta(z - s)(1 - \Phi(z - s)) \\ &\leq 2C_0e^{z|u|}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

(2). If $s < 0$ and $z - s \leq z_0$, by [\(5.38\)](#) and noting that $|s| \leq 1$,

$$(5.40) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathbb{P}[W + s > z] - \mathbb{P}[Z + s > z]| &\leq C_0\delta(z - s)(1 - \Phi(z - s)) \\ &\leq C_0e^{\tau/2}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

(3). If $s < 0$ but $z - s > z_0$, it then follows that $z_0 \geq z \geq 8$ and $|z - z_0| \leq |s| \leq |u| \leq 1$. By [\(5.1\)](#), [\(5.37\)](#) and [\(5.38\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{P}[W + s > z] - \mathbb{P}[Z + s > z]| &\leq \mathbb{P}[W > z_0] + \mathbb{P}[Z > z_0] \\ &\leq (1 - \Phi(z_0)) + C_0\delta(z_0)(1 - \Phi(z_0)) + 1 - \Phi(z_0) \\ &\leq 2e^{z|u|}(1 - \Phi(z))(1 + e^{\tau/2}C_0\delta(z)). \end{aligned}$$

By [\(4.13\)](#), we have

$$z_0 \geq 0.02e^{-\tau/2} \min\left\{50e^{\tau/2}m_0, \frac{1}{3}r_0^{-1/(\tau_0+1)}, \frac{1}{3}r_1^{-1/(\tau_1+2)}, \frac{1}{3}r_2^{-1/(\tau_2+3)}\right\}.$$

Hence, by [\(4.15\)](#) and recalling that $c_0 = 1/\delta(m_0) + (150e^{\tau/2})^\tau$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\delta(z_0)} \leq c_0.$$

By [\(5.38\)](#) and the fact that $z \leq z_0 \leq z + 1$, we now have

$$1 = \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(z)} \leq e^{\tau/2}\delta(z)\frac{1}{\delta(z_0)} \leq c_0e^{\tau/2}\delta(z).$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$(5.41) \quad |\mathbb{P}[W + s > z] - \mathbb{P}[Z + s > z]| \leq 2(C_0 + c_0)e^{\tau/2}e^{z|u|}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

Combining [\(5.39\)](#)–[\(5.41\)](#) yields [\(5.35\)](#). The inequality [\(5.36\)](#) can be shown similarly. \square

We next give the proof of [Lemma 5.1](#), which couples Stein's method with the recursive method. The proof includes two parts. First, based on Stein's method, we split the numerator on the left hand side of [\(5.2\)](#) into several terms. Second, with the help of [Lemmas 5.3](#) and [5.4](#), we bound these parts by recursive arguments.

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1. We first introduce some notation and inequalities. We fix $8 \leq z \leq z_0$ in this proof. Because $z_0 \geq 8$, we have that [\(5.5\)](#) holds. We also choose $\beta := z_0$ in the function $\Psi_{\beta,t}(w)$, and let $\varepsilon = 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2})$. By [\(5.10\)](#) and [\(5.13\)](#), we have $e^{\beta\varepsilon} \leq 1.05$ and $\varepsilon \leq 0.1$.

Now, consider the Stein equation

$$(5.42) \quad f'(w) - wf(w) = h_{z,\varepsilon}(w) - \mathbb{E}\{h_{z,\varepsilon}(Z)\},$$

and let $f := f_{z,\varepsilon}$ be its solution. Let $g(w) = wf(w)$ and let $v(w) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^w se^{-(z+\varepsilon-\varepsilon s)^2/2} ds$. Recall that $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, $Nh_{z,\varepsilon} = \mathbb{E}h_{z,\varepsilon}(Z)$, $\phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal probability density function and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal distribution function. It can be shown that (see, e.g., Lemma 5.3 of [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#))

$$(5.43) \quad Nh_{z,\varepsilon} = \Phi(z) + \varepsilon v(1) = \Phi(z) + \int_z^{z+\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{z-s}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi(s) ds,$$

$$(5.44) \quad f(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Phi(w)}{\phi(w)}(1 - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}) & \text{if } w \leq z, \\ \frac{1 - \Phi(w)}{\phi(w)}Nh_{z,\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\phi(w)}v\left(1 + \frac{z-w}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } z < w \leq z + \varepsilon, \\ \frac{1 - \Phi(w)}{\phi(w)}Nh_{z,\varepsilon} & \text{if } w > z + \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.45) \quad g'(w) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(1+w^2)\Phi(w)}{\phi(w)} + w\right)(1 - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}) & \text{if } w \leq z, \\ \left(\frac{(1+w^2)(1-\Phi(w))}{\phi(w)} - w\right)Nh_{z,\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon(1+w^2)}{\phi(w)}v\left(1 + \frac{z-w}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{w(z-w+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} & \text{if } z < w \leq z + \varepsilon, \\ \left(\frac{(1+w^2)(1-\Phi(w))}{\phi(w)} - w\right)Nh_{z,\varepsilon} & \text{if } w > z + \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by (1.1) and (5.42),

$$(5.46) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}\{h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}\{f'(W) - Wf(W)\}| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E}\{f'(W)\} - \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+u)\hat{K}(u)du\right\} - \mathbb{E}\{Rf(W)\} \right| \\ &\leq |I_1| + |I_2| + |I_3|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+u) - f'(W)\hat{K}(u)du\right\}, \quad I_2 = \mathbb{E}\{f'(W)(1 - \hat{K}_1)\}, \quad I_3 = \mathbb{E}\{Rf(W)\}.$$

For I_1 , by (5.42), we have

$$(5.47) \quad I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14},$$

where

$$I_{11} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (g(W+u) - g(W))\hat{K}(u)du\right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{12} &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u|>1} (h_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)) \hat{K}(u) du \right\}, \\
 I_{13} &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u|\leq 1} (h_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)) K(u) du \right\}, \\
 I_{14} &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u|\leq 1} (h_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)) (\hat{K}(u) - K(u)) du \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we prove the following inequalities:

$$(5.48) \quad |I_{11}| \leq 41r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2+3})(1 - \Phi(z)),$$

$$(5.49) \quad |I_{12}| \leq r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2+3})(1 - \Phi(z)),$$

$$(5.50) \quad |I_{13}| \leq 0.31(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) + (1 + 2\rho e^{\tau/2})r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2+3})(1 - \Phi(z)),$$

$$(5.51) \quad |I_{14}| \leq (0.44C_0 + 0.44c_0 + 100e^{\tau/2})\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)),$$

$$(5.52) \quad |I_2| \leq 66r_1(1 + z^{\tau_1+2})(1 - \Phi(z)),$$

$$(5.53) \quad |I_3| \leq 82r_0(1 + z^{\tau_0+1})(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

Combining (5.48)–(5.53), we complete the proof of [Lemma 5.1](#). It now suffices to prove (5.48)–(5.53). We remark that we use a recursive method in the proofs of (5.50) and (5.51), and the proofs for I_{11} , I_{12} , I_2 and I_3 are routine.

(i) *Proof of (5.48).* For I_{11} , we have

$$|I_{11}| \leq \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^u g'(W+s) \hat{K}(u) ds du \right\} \right| \leq I_{111} + I_{112} + I_{113},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{111} &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^u g'(W+s) \mathbf{1}(W+s \leq 0) \hat{K}(u) ds du \right\} \right|, \\
 I_{112} &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^u g'(W+s) \mathbf{1}(0 < W+s \leq z) \hat{K}(u) ds du \right\} \right|, \\
 I_{113} &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^u g'(W+s) \mathbf{1}(W+s > z) \hat{K}(u) ds du \right\} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now bound these terms separately.

(1) *Bound of I_{111} .* Observe that

$$0 \leq \frac{(1+w^2)\Phi(w)}{\phi(w)} + w \leq 2 \quad \text{for } w \leq 0,$$

and thus, by (5.45), $|g'(w)| \mathbf{1}[w \leq 0] \leq 2(1 - Nh_{z,\varepsilon})$. By (4.9) with $t = 0$, noting that $\Psi_{\beta,0}(w) \equiv 2$, we have

$$(5.54) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\{|u\hat{K}(u)|\} du \leq 2r_2.$$

Moreover,

$$(5.55) \quad 1 - Nh_{z,\varepsilon} \leq 1 - \Phi(z).$$

Thus,

$$(5.56) \quad I_{111} \leq 2(1 - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}) \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \leq 2r_2(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

(2) *Bound of I_{112} .* Observe that

$$(5.57) \quad 0 \leq \frac{(1+w^2)}{\phi(w)} + w \leq 3(1+w^2)e^{w^2/2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq w \leq z.$$

For any $0 \leq a \leq b \leq z$ and for any $u \wedge 0 \leq s \leq u \vee 0$, we have

$$(5.58) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\{(1 + (W+s)^2)e^{(W+s)^2/2} |\hat{K}(u)| \mathbf{1}(a \leq W+s \leq b)\} \\ & \leq (1+b^2) \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}(u)| e^{(W+s)^2/2 - b(W+s) + b(W+s)} \mathbf{1}(a \leq W+s \leq b)\} \\ & \leq (1+b^2)e^{a^2/2 - ab} \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}(u)| e^{b(W+s)} \mathbf{1}(a \leq W+s \leq b)\} \\ & \leq (1+b^2)e^{(b-a)^2/2} e^{-b^2/2} \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}(u)| e^{b|u|} \Psi_{z_0,b}(W)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\lfloor z \rfloor$ the greatest integer which is smaller than or equal to z . Noting that for $u \wedge 0 \leq s \leq u \vee 0$, by (5.45), (5.55) and (5.57) and applying (5.58) with $a = j-1, b = j$ and $a = \lfloor z \rfloor, b = z$, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}\{g'(W+s)\hat{K}(u) \mathbf{1}(0 \leq W+s \leq z)\}| \\ & \leq 3(1 - \Phi(z)) \mathbb{E}\{(1 + (W+s)^2)e^{(W+s)^2/2} |\hat{K}(u)| \mathbf{1}(0 \leq W+s \leq z)\} \\ & \leq 3(1 - \Phi(z)) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\{(1 + (W+s)^2)e^{(W+s)^2/2} |\hat{K}(u)| \mathbf{1}(j-1 \leq W+s \leq j)\} \\ & \quad + 3(1 - \Phi(z)) \mathbb{E}\{(1 + (W+s)^2)e^{(W+s)^2/2} |\hat{K}(u)| \mathbf{1}(\lfloor z \rfloor \leq W+s \leq z)\} \\ & \leq 3e^{1/2}(1 - \Phi(z)) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} (1 + j^2)e^{-j^2/2} \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}(u)| e^{j|u|} \Psi_{z_0,j}(W)\} \\ & \quad + 3e^{1/2}(1 - \Phi(z))(1 + z^2)e^{-z^2/2} \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}(u)| e^{z|u|} \Psi_{z_0,z}(W)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the definition of I_{112} , and by (4.9) and Lemma 5.3, we have for $0 \leq z \leq z_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{112} & \leq 5(1 - \Phi(z)) \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} (1 + j^2)e^{-j^2/2} \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,j} \Psi_{z_0,j}(W)\} \\ & \quad + 5(1 - \Phi(z))(1 + z^2)e^{-z^2/2} \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,z} \Psi_{z_0,z}(W)\} \\ & \leq 20r_2(1 - \Phi(z)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} (1 + j^2)(1 + j^{\tau_2}) + (1 + z^2)(1 + z^{\tau_2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For all $\ell \geq 0$ and $z \geq 8$, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^{[z]} (1+j^2)(1+j^\ell) \\
 (5.59) \quad & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{[z]} 1 + j^2 + j^\ell + j^{2+\ell} \leq z + \frac{z^3}{3} + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z}{6} + \sum_{j=1}^{[z]} (j^\ell + j^{2+\ell}) \\
 & \leq 0.51z^{\ell+3} + \sum_{j=1}^{[z]} (j^\ell + j^{2+\ell})
 \end{aligned}$$

and for any $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$ we have

$$(5.60) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n j^m \leq n^m + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_j^{j+1} x^m dx = n^m + \frac{1}{m+1} n^{m+1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{m+1} + \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{m+1}.$$

Recalling that $z \geq 8$ and $\ell \geq 0$, by (5.60) with $n = [z]$ and $m = \ell$ or $\ell + 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.61) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{[z]} (1+j^2)(1+j^\ell) \leq 0.51z^{\ell+3} + \left(\frac{1}{\ell+1} + \frac{1}{8} \right) z^{\ell+1} + \left(\frac{1}{\ell+1} + \frac{1}{8} \right) z^{\ell+3} \\
 & \leq \left(0.51 + \frac{1}{8^2(\ell+1)} + \frac{1}{8^3} + \frac{1}{\ell+3} + \frac{1}{8} \right) z^{\ell+3} \leq z^{\ell+3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $\ell \geq 0$ and $z \geq 8$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.62) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{[z]} (1+j^2)(1+j^\ell) \leq (1+z^{\ell+3}), \\
 & (1+z^2)(1+z^\ell) \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{64} \right) z^{\ell+2} \leq \frac{2.032}{8} z^{\ell+3} \leq 0.26(1+z^{\ell+3}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(5.63) \quad I_{112} \leq 26r_2(1+z^{\tau_2+3})(1-\Phi(z)).$$

(3) *Bound of I_{113} .* According to Eqs. (4.5) and (4.6) in [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#), we have $|f(w)| \leq 1$ and $|f'(w)| \leq 1$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, recalling that $g(w) = wf(w)$ and by the fact that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, we have

$$(5.64) \quad |g'(w)| \leq |f(w) + wf'(w)| \leq 1 + z + \varepsilon \leq 4(z+1) \quad \text{if } z + \varepsilon \geq w \geq z.$$

By (5.45) and (5.64) and the fact that

$$(5.65) \quad \left| \frac{(1+w^2)(1-\Phi(w))}{\phi(w)} - w \right| \leq 1 \text{ for } w \geq 8,$$

we have

$$(5.66) \quad |g'(w)| \leq 4(z+1) \quad \text{if } w \geq z.$$

For any $\ell \geq 0$ and $z \geq 8$, we have

$$(5.67) \quad (1+z)^2(1+z^\ell) \leq 2 \times 1.125^2 z^{\ell+2} \leq 0.32(1+z^{\ell+3}).$$

By (4.9), (5.6), (5.23), (5.66) and (5.67) and the Markov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.68) \quad I_{113} &\leq 4(1+z) \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0 \wedge u}^{0 \vee u} \mathbf{1}(W+s > z) |\hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \\
&\leq 4(1+z) \Psi_{z_0, z}(z)^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \hat{K}(u)| \Psi_{z_0, z}(W+|u|) du \right\} \\
&\leq 4(1+z) e^{-z^2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{z|u|} |u \hat{K}(u)| \Psi_{z_0, z}(W) du \right\} \\
&\leq 16(2\pi)^{1/2} r_2 (1+z) (1+z^{\tau_2}) \phi(z) \\
&\leq 40.2 r_2 (1+z)^2 (1+z^{\tau_2}) (1-\Phi(z)) \\
&\leq 13 r_2 (1+z^{\tau_2+3}) (1-\Phi(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (5.48) follows from (5.56), (5.63) and (5.68).

(ii) *Proof of (5.49).* By the Markov inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{12}| &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u|>1} \mathbf{1}(W+u > z) |\hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u|>1} \mathbf{1}(W > z) |\hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u| e^{-z^2} \Psi_{z_0, z}(W+|u|) |\hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u| e^{-z^2} \Psi_{z_0, z}(W) |\hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \\
&\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^2} \Psi_{z_0, z}(W) |u| e^{z|u|} |\hat{K}(u)| du \right\} \\
&\leq 2 e^{-z^2} \mathbb{E} \{ \hat{K}_{2, z} \Psi_{z_0, z}(W) \} \\
&\leq 8 r_2 (1+z^{\tau_2}) e^{-z^2/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.9) and (5.23) in the last line. By (5.6), (5.10) and (5.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{12}| &\leq 8(2\pi)^{1/2} r_2 (1+z^{\tau_2}) \phi(z) \\
&\leq 21 r_2 (1+z) (1+z^{\tau_2}) (1-\Phi(z)) \\
&\leq r_2 (1+z^{\tau_2+3}) (1-\Phi(z)),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (5.49).

(iii) *Proof of (5.50).* Observe that (see also (2.5) of [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#))

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.69) \quad |h_{z, \varepsilon}(w+u) - h_{z, \varepsilon}(w)| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{u \wedge 0}^{u \vee 0} \mathbf{1}[z < w+s \leq z+\varepsilon] ds \\
&\leq \mathbf{1}(z-u \vee 0 < w \leq z-u \wedge 0 + \varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $8 \leq z \leq z_0$, and by (5.69) and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_{13}| &\leq \int_{|u| \leq 1} \mathbb{E}\{|h_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)|\} |K(u)| du \\
 (5.70) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq 1} \int_{u \wedge 0}^{u \vee 0} \mathbb{P}[z < W+s \leq z+\varepsilon] |K(u)| ds du \\
 &\leq I_{131} + I_{132} + I_{133},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{131} &:= \int_{|u| \leq 1} (\Phi(z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon) - \Phi(z - 0 \vee u)) |K(u)| du, \\
 (5.71) \quad I_{132} &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} \int_{u \wedge 0}^{u \vee 0} (\mathbb{P}[W+s > z] - \mathbb{P}[Z+s > z]) |K(u)| ds du \right|, \\
 I_{133} &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} \int_{u \wedge 0}^{u \vee 0} (\mathbb{P}[W+s > z+\varepsilon] - \mathbb{P}[Z+s > z+\varepsilon]) |K(u)| ds du \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

One can easily verify that $(1+z^{\tau_2})(1+z^3)/(1+z^{\tau_2+3})$ is a decreasing function for $z \geq 1$ and $\tau_2 \geq 0$, and

$$(5.72) \quad \sup_{z \geq 2} \frac{(1+z^{\tau_2})(1+z^3)}{1+z^{\tau_2+3}} \leq 2.$$

Thus, for $z \geq 8$,

$$(5.73) \quad (1+z) \leq 1.125z \leq 0.02(1+z^3),$$

$$(5.74) \quad (1+z^{\tau_2})(1+z^3) \leq 2(1+z^{\tau_2+3}).$$

Moreover, if $\beta = 0$, then $1 \leq \Psi_{\beta,t}(w) \leq 3$ for all w and t , and by (4.9) with $\beta = 0$, we have

$$(5.75) \quad \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{z|u|} |uK(u)| du \leq \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,z}\} \leq 3r_2(1+z^{\tau_2}).$$

For I_{131} , by (5.6), (5.7) and (5.73), for $z \geq 8$ and $|u| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon) - \Phi(z - 0 \vee u) &\leq (|u| + \varepsilon) \phi(z - 0 \vee u) \\
 &\leq (|u| + \varepsilon) e^{z|u|} \phi(z) \\
 (5.76) \quad &\leq (|u| + \varepsilon) e^{z|u|} (1+z)(1-\Phi(z)) \\
 &\leq (0.02|u| + 0.02\varepsilon) e^{z|u|} (1+z^3)(1-\Phi(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, recalling that $\varepsilon = 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1+z^{\tau_2})$, by (4.12), (5.74) and (5.75),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{131} &\leq 0.02(1+z^3)(1-\Phi(z)) \int_{|u| \leq 1} (|u| + \varepsilon) e^{z|u|} |K(u)| du \\
 (5.77) \quad &\leq (0.06 + 0.8\rho e^{\tau/2}) r_2 (1+z^3)(1+z^{\tau_2})(1-\Phi(z)) \\
 &\leq (0.12 + 1.6\rho e^{\tau/2}) r_2 (1+z^{\tau_2+3})(1-\Phi(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

As for I_{132} , by [Lemma 5.4](#) and [\(5.75\)](#) and recalling that $\varepsilon = 40e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2})$, we have for $8 \leq z \leq z_0$ and $|u| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.78) \quad I_{132} &\leq 2e^{\tau/2}\varepsilon^{-1}(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{z|u|}|uK(u)|du \\
&\leq 6e^{\tau/2}r_2\varepsilon^{-1}(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z))(1 + z^{\tau_2}) \\
&\leq 0.15(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

As for I_{133} , as $8 \leq z \leq z_0$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, by [Lemma 5.4](#) and [\(5.10\)](#) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.79) \quad I_{133} &\leq 0.15e^{z_0\varepsilon}(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
&\leq 0.16(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

By [\(5.71\)](#) and [\(5.77\)](#)–[\(5.79\)](#), we have

$$|I_{13}| \leq 0.31(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) + (0.12 + 1.6\rho e^{\tau/2})r_2(1 + z^{\tau_1+3})(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

This proves [\(5.50\)](#).

(iv) *Proof of [\(5.51\)](#).* Without loss of generality, we assume that $r_4 > 0$. Without this assumption, the proof would be even easier. Note that by [\(5.69\)](#),

$$|I_{14}| \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u| \leq 1} \mathbf{1}[z - 0 \vee u < W \leq z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon] |\hat{K}(u) - K(u)| du \right\}.$$

Recall Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{a^2}{2c} + \frac{cb^2}{2} \quad \text{for } a, b \geq 0 \text{ and } c > 0.$$

Applying Young's inequality with $a = \mathbf{1}[z - 0 \vee u < W \leq z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon]$, $b = |\hat{K}(u) - K(u)| \mathbf{1}[W > z - 0 \vee u]$ and

$$c = \frac{e^{z|u|}}{99e^{\tau/2}} (e^{\tau/2}(4.1C_0 + 4.1c_0 + 1.6) + r_4^{-1/2}|u|),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.80) \quad |I_{14}| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{|u| \leq 1} c^{-1} \mathbb{P}[z - 0 \vee u < W \leq z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon] du \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u| \leq 1} c (\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \mathbf{1}[W > z - 0 \vee u] du \right\} \\
&:= I_{141} + I_{142}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using a similar argument to [\(5.76\)](#), and by [\(5.74\)](#) and the fact that $\tau_4 \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.81) \quad &\mathbb{P}[z - 0 \vee u < Z \leq z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon] \\
&\leq |u|e^{z|u|}(1 + z^{\tau_4+1})(1 - \Phi(z)) + 0.8e^{\tau/2}r_2(1 + z^{\tau_2})(1 + z^3)(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
&\leq r_4^{-1/2}|u|e^{z|u|}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) + 1.6e^{\tau/2}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.81) and Lemma 5.4, and noting that $e^{z_0\varepsilon} \leq 1.05$ in (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}[z - 0 \vee u < W \leq z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon] \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P}[z - 0 \vee u < Z \leq z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon] \\
 (5.82) \quad & + |\mathbb{P}[W > z - 0 \vee u] - \mathbb{P}[Z > z - 0 \vee u]| \\
 & + |\mathbb{P}[W > z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon] - \mathbb{P}[Z > z - 0 \wedge u + \varepsilon]| \\
 & \leq (e^{\tau/2}(4.1C_0 + 4.1c_0 + 1.6) + r_4^{-1/2}|u|)e^{z|u|}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$(5.83) \quad I_{141} \leq 99e^{\tau/2}\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).$$

Moreover, as $z \geq 8$, by the Markov inequality and by Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{|u|\leq 1} |u|e^{z|u|}(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \mathbf{1}(W > z - 0 \vee u)du\right\} \\
 (5.84) \quad & \leq e^{-z^2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{|u|\leq 1} |u|e^{2z|u|}(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \Psi_{z_0,z}(W)du\right\} \\
 & \leq r_4(1 + z^{\tau_4})e^{-z^2} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{z_0,z}(W)\} \\
 & \leq 4(2\pi)^{1/2}r_4(1 + z^{\tau_4})(1 + z)(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
 & \leq 21r_4(1 + z^{\tau_4+1})(1 - \Phi(z)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the inequality that

$$(1 + z)(1 + z^{\tau_4}) \leq 2(1 + z^{\tau_4+1}) \quad \text{for } z \geq 8.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{|u|\leq 1} e^{z|u|}(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \mathbf{1}(W > z - 0 \vee u)du\right\} \\
 (5.85) \quad & \leq 4(2\pi)^{1/2}r_3(1 + z)(1 + z^{\tau_3})(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
 & \leq 21r_3(1 + z^{\tau_3+1})(1 - \Phi(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (5.84) and (5.85), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.86) \quad I_{142} & \leq \frac{e^{\tau/2}(4.1C_0 + 4.1c_0 + 1.6)}{198e^{\tau/2}} \times 21r_3(1 + z^{\tau_3+1})(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
 & + \frac{21r_4^{1/2}}{198e^{\tau/2}}(1 + z^{\tau_4+1})(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
 & \leq 0.44(C_0 + c_0)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)) + r_3(1 + z^{\tau_3+1})(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
 & + r_4^{1/2}(1 + z^{\tau_4+1})(1 - \Phi(z)) \\
 & \leq (0.44C_0 + 0.44c_0 + 1)\delta(z)(1 - \Phi(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.83) and (5.86) yields (5.51).

(v) *Proof of (5.52)*. Note that (see, e.g., p. 2010 of [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#))

$$(5.87) \quad |f'(w)| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \Phi(z) & \text{if } w < 0, \\ \left(\frac{w\Phi(w)}{\phi(w)} + 1 \right) (1 - \Phi(z)) & \text{if } 0 \leq w \leq z, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|f'(W)(1 - \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\})| \mathbf{1}(W \leq 0)\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\{|f'(W)(1 - \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\})| \mathbf{1}(0 < W \leq z)\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\{|f'(W)(1 - \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\})| \mathbf{1}(W > z)\} \\ &:= I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{21} , since $-1 \leq w\Phi(w)/\phi(w) \leq 0$ for $w \leq 0$, and by (4.8) and (5.87), we have for $z \geq 8$

$$(5.88) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{21} &\leq (1 - \Phi(z)) \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1|\} \\ &\leq 2r_1(1 - \Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 0.1r_1(1 + z^{\tau_1+2})(1 - \Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

For I_{22} , by (4.8) and (5.87), we have

$$(5.89) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{22} &\leq (2\pi)^{1/2}(1 - \Phi(z)) \mathbb{E}\{(We^{W^2/2} + 1)|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \mathbf{1}(0 < W \leq z)\} \\ &\leq 2.6(1 - \Phi(z))I_{24} + 5.2r_1(1 - \Phi(z)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{24} &:= \mathbb{E}\{We^{W^2/2}|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \mathbf{1}(0 < W \leq z)\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\{We^{W^2/2}|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \mathbf{1}(j-1 < W \leq j)\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\{We^{W^2/2}|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \mathbf{1}(\lfloor z \rfloor < W \leq z)\} \\ &:= I_{241} + I_{242}. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{241} , noting that

$$w^2/2 - jw \leq (j-1)^2/2 - j(j-1) = -j^2/2 + 1/2 \quad \text{for } j-1 < w \leq j,$$

we have for $z \geq 8$, by (4.8) and (5.23),

$$(5.90) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{241} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} j \mathbb{E}\{e^{W^2/2-jW+jW}|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \mathbf{1}(j-1 \leq W \leq j)\} \\ &\leq e^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} j e^{-j^2/2} \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \Psi_{z_0,j}(W)\} \\ &\leq 4e^{1/2}r_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} j(1 + j^{\tau_1}) \\ &\leq 13.2r_1(1 + z^{\tau_1+2}). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is similar to that in (5.62). Similarly, for $z \geq 8$,

$$(5.91) \quad I_{242} \leq 4e^{1/2}r_1z(1+z^{\tau_1}) \leq 1.7r_1(1+z^{\tau_1+2}).$$

By (5.90) and (5.91), we have

$$(5.92) \quad I_{24} \leq 15r_1(1+z^{\tau_1+2}) \quad \text{for } z \geq 8.$$

By (5.89) and (5.92), we have for $z \geq 8$,

$$(5.93) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{22} &\leq 39r_1(1+z^{\tau_1+2})(1-\Phi(z)) + 5.2r_1(1-\Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 40r_1(1+z^{\tau_1+2})(1-\Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

As for I_{23} , by Lemma 5.3 and (4.8), (5.6) and (5.87) and recalling $z \geq 8$, we have

$$(5.94) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{23} &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \mathbf{1}(W > z)\} \\ &\leq e^{-z^2} \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \Psi_{z_0,z}(W)\} \\ &\leq 4r_1(1+z)(1+z^{\tau_1})e^{-z^2/2} \\ &\leq 10.1r_1(1+z)(1+z^{\tau_1})\phi(z) \\ &\leq 10.1r_1(1+z)^2(1+z^{\tau_1})(1-\Phi(z)) \\ &\leq 25.2r_1(1+z^{\tau_1+2})(1-\Phi(z)). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.88), (5.93) and (5.94), we have

$$(5.95) \quad |I_2| \leq 66r_1(1+z^{\tau_1+2})(1-\Phi(z)).$$

(vi) *Proof of (5.53).* It is known that $0 \leq f(w) \leq 1$ (see p. 2010 of Chen and Shao (2004)). Note that by (5.44) and (5.55),

$$|I_3| \leq I_{31} + I_{32} + I_{33},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{31} &= (1-\Phi(z)) \mathbb{E}\{|R| \mathbf{1}(W \leq 0)\}, \\ I_{32} &= \sqrt{2\pi}(1-\Phi(z)) \mathbb{E}\{e^{W^2/2}|R| \mathbf{1}(0 < W \leq z)\}, \\ I_{33} &= \mathbb{E}\{|R| \mathbf{1}(W > z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{31} , by (4.7) with $t = 0$, we have

$$I_{31} \leq r_0(1+z^{\tau_0+1})(1-\Phi(z)) \quad \text{for } z \geq 8.$$

For I_{32} , similar to (5.93), we have

$$I_{32} \leq 60r_0(1+z^{\tau_0+1})(1-\Phi(z)).$$

For I_{33} , similar to (5.94), we have

$$I_{33} \leq 21r_0(1+z^{\tau_0+1})(1-\Phi(z)).$$

Combining the foregoing inequalities, we have

$$|I_3| \leq 82r_0(1+z^{\tau_0+1})(1-\Phi(z)).$$

This proves (5.53). □

Now, we prove [Lemma 5.2](#).

PROOF OF [LEMMA 5.2](#). In this proof, we develop a Berry–Esseen bound using the idea in [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#). Let

$$\gamma := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)|,$$

and let $\varepsilon = \gamma/2$. Consider the Stein equation [\(5.42\)](#), and denote by $f_{z,\varepsilon}$ the solution to [\(5.42\)](#), which is given in [\(5.44\)](#). Let $g_{z,\varepsilon}(w) = wf_{z,\varepsilon}(w)$. By [Chen and Shao \(2004\)](#), we have

$$(5.96) \quad 0 \leq f_{z,\varepsilon} \leq 1, \quad |f'_{z,\varepsilon}| \leq 1.$$

Note that

$$(5.97) \quad \gamma \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{E}\{h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - Nh_{z,\varepsilon}| + 0.4\varepsilon.$$

Now, we bound the first term on the right hand side of [\(5.97\)](#). By [\(1.1\)](#) and [\(5.42\)](#), we have

$$(5.98) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\{h_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - Nh_{z,\varepsilon} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - \mathbb{E}\{Wf_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - \mathbb{E}\{Rf_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\} - \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u)\hat{K}(u)du\right\} \\ &:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \mathbb{E}\{f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W)(1 - \hat{K}_1)\}, \\ J_2 &= -\mathbb{E}\{Rf_{z,\varepsilon}(W)\}, \\ J_3 &= -\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{|u|>1} (f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W))\hat{K}(u)du\right\}, \\ J_4 &= -\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{|u|\leq 1} (f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W))K(u)du\right\}, \\ J_5 &= -\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_{|u|\leq 1} (f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W+u) - f'_{z,\varepsilon}(W))(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))du\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By [\(4.7\)–\(4.11\)](#) with $t = 0$ and noting $1 \leq \Psi_{\beta,0}(w) \leq 2$, we have

$$(5.99) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|R| &\leq 2r_0, & \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| &\leq 2r_1, \\ \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_{2,0} &\leq 2r_2, & \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_{3,0} &\leq 2r_3, & \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_{4,0} &\leq 2r_4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by [\(5.96\)](#) and [\(5.99\)](#), we have

$$(5.100) \quad |J_1| \leq 2r_1, \quad |J_2| \leq 2r_0, \quad |J_3| \leq 2\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,0}\} \leq 4r_2.$$

By Eqs. [\(2.12\)](#), [\(2.15\)](#) and [\(2.16\)](#) of [Chen, Röllin and Xia \(2020\)](#), we have with $a = 0.18$,

$$(5.101) \quad \begin{aligned} |J_4| &\leq 4r_2 + \frac{4\gamma + 0.8\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}r_2, \\ |J_5| &\leq a\gamma + 0.2a\varepsilon + \frac{2r_3}{a} + (2a + 0.4/a)r_4^{1/2} + 5r_4^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining [\(5.97\)](#), [\(5.98\)](#), [\(5.100\)](#) and [\(5.101\)](#) gives

$$\gamma \leq 0.4\gamma + 2r_0 + 2r_1 + 16.8r_2 + 11.2r_3 + 7.6r_4^{1/2}.$$

Solving the recursive inequality yields the desired result. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 2.1. We apply Theorem 4.1 to prove Theorem 2.1. To this end, we first construct Stein identity. Then, we prove a preliminary lemma which help us to prove Theorem 2.1. Denote by C, C_1, C_2, \dots absolute constants, which may take different values in different places. For $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, let $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b := \max\{a, b\}$.

For each $i \in \mathcal{J}$, let $Y_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} X_j$. Further, define

$$(6.1) \quad \hat{K}_i(u) = X_i \{ \mathbf{1}(-Y_i \leq u < 0) - \mathbf{1}(0 \leq u \leq -Y_i) \}, \quad \hat{K}(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \hat{K}_i(u),$$

and define

$$(6.2) \quad K_i(u) = \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_i(u)\}, \quad K(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} K_i(u).$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and X_i and $W - Y_i$ are independent, thus $\mathbb{E}\{X_i f(W - Y_i)\} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{W f(W)\} &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{X_i (f(W) - f(W - Y_i))\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W + t) \hat{K}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that (1.1) holds with $R = 0$ and $\hat{K}(t)$ defined as in (6.1).

6.1. *A preliminary lemma.* Let $\hat{K}_1, \hat{K}_{2,t}, \hat{K}_{3,t}, \hat{K}_{4,t}$ and M_t be as in (4.1)–(4.5) with $\hat{K}(u)$ in (6.1) and $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}$. The following lemma provides the upper bounds of the terms in Condition (A1), whose proof is put in Appendix A.

LEMMA 6.1. *Under (LD1) and (LD2), let $m_0 = (a_n^{1/3}/4) \wedge (a_n/16)$. For $0 \leq t, \beta \leq m_0$, we have*

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_1|W\} - 1| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_1 (b\kappa n a_n^{-3} + b^{1/2} \kappa^{1/2} n^{1/2} a_n^{-2}) (1+t) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_2 b n a_n^{-3} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{3,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_3 (\kappa b n a_n^{-3} + \kappa^2 b^2 n^2 a_n^{-5}) (1+t^2) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{4,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_4 (\kappa b n a_n^{-4} + \kappa^2 b^2 n^2 a_n^{-6}) (1+t^2) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq m_0} M_t \leq C_5 b n a_n^{-2}.$$

6.2. *Proof of Theorem 2.1.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. We apply Theorem 4.1 to prove Theorem 2.1. Recalling that $\theta_n = b^{1/2} n^{1/2} a_n^{-1}$, by Lemma 6.1, we have that condition (A1) is satisfied with $r_0 = \tau_0 = 0$ and

$$(6.8) \quad r_1 = C_1 \kappa \theta_n (\theta_n + 1) a_n^{-1}, \quad \tau_1 = 1,$$

$$(6.9) \quad r_2 = C_2 \theta_n^2 a_n^{-1}, \quad \tau_2 = 0,$$

$$r_3 = C_3 (\kappa \theta_n^2 + 1)^2 a_n^{-1}, \quad \tau_3 = 2,$$

$$r_4 = C_4 (\kappa \theta_n^2 + 1)^2 a_n^{-2}, \quad \tau_4 = 2,$$

$$(6.10) \quad \rho = C_5 \theta_n^2,$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 and C_5 are absolute constants. Recalling the definition of $\delta(t)$ in (4.15), and that $m_0 = (a_n^{1/3}/4) \wedge (a_n/16)$, we have

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(m_0) &\geq (r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4^{1/2})(1 + m_0^3) \\ &\geq C\theta_n\kappa(1 + \theta_n + \kappa\theta_n^3)(a_n^{-1} + a_n^2 \wedge 1) \geq C\theta_n\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.10) and (6.11) and noting that $\kappa \geq 1$, we can see that the right hand side of (4.14) is less than

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} C\left(\frac{1}{\kappa\theta_n} + \theta_n^2 + 1\right)\delta(z) &\leq 2C\left(\frac{1}{\theta_n} + \theta_n^2\right)(r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4^{1/2})(1 + z^3) \\ &\leq C'\delta_n(1 + z^3), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_n = \kappa^2 a_n^{-1}(1 + \theta_n^6)$, C' is an absolute constant and we use the fact that $x^2 + 1/x > 1$ for $x > 0$ in the first inequality. On the other hand, by (6.8) and (6.9), we have

$$(6.13) \quad r_1^{1/3} + r_2^{1/3} \leq C\kappa^{1/3}a_n^{-1/3}(1 + \theta_n)^{2/3}.$$

Applying Theorem 4.1 and by (6.12) and (6.13), we obtain the desired result. \square

7. Proof of Theorem 3.1. In this section, we use the exchangeable pair to construct Stein identity (1.1). For any $k \geq 1$ and k -fold index $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we denote by i_j its j -th element. Let $[n]_k := \{\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k : 1 \leq i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_k \leq n\}$ be a class of k -fold indices. Let $\mathbf{I} := (I_1, I_2)$ be chosen uniformly from $[n]_2$ and be independent of π and \mathbf{X} , and let $W' = W - X_{I_1, \pi(I_1)} - X_{I_2, \pi(I_2)} + X_{I_1, \pi(I_2)} + X_{I_2, \pi(I_1)}$. Then, it follows that (W, W') is an exchangeable pair. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{W - W' | \mathbf{X}, \pi\} &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{X_{i_1, \pi(i_1)} + X_{i_2, \pi(i_2)} - X_{i_1, \pi(i_2)} - X_{i_2, \pi(i_1)} | \mathbf{X}, \pi\} \\ &= \frac{2}{n-1}(W - R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.1) \quad R = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n X_{i,j}.$$

By exchangeability, with $\lambda = 2/(n-1)$ and $\Delta = W - W'$, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbb{E}\{(W - W')(f(W) + f(W'))\} = 2\mathbb{E}\{\Delta f(W)\} - \mathbb{E}\{\Delta(f(W) - f(W - \Delta))\} \\ &= 2\lambda \mathbb{E}\{(W - R)f(W)\} - \mathbb{E}\{\Delta(f(W) - f(W - \Delta))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging (7.2) yields

$$\mathbb{E}\{Wf(W)\} = \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+u) \hat{K}(u) du + \mathbb{E}\{Rf(W)\},$$

where

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{K}(u) &= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}\{\Delta(\mathbf{1}(-\Delta \leq u \leq 0) - \mathbf{1}(0 < u \leq -\Delta)) | \mathbf{X}, \pi\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})} (\mathbf{1}(-D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})} \leq u \leq 0) - \mathbf{1}(0 < u \leq -D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})})), \end{aligned}$$

and $D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = X_{i_1,j_1} + X_{i_2,j_2} - X_{i_1,j_2} - X_{i_2,j_1}$ for any $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2)$. Therefore, the condition (1.1) is satisfied.

In what follows, denote by C, C_1, C_2, \dots absolute constants, which may take different values in different places.

7.1. *A preliminary lemma.* The following lemma will be useful in the proof of [Theorem 3.1](#), and the proof of this lemma is put in [Appendix B](#). Let $\hat{K}_1, \hat{K}_{2,t}, \hat{K}_{3,t}, \hat{K}_{4,t}$ and M_t be as in (4.1)–(4.5) with $\hat{K}(u)$ defined as in (7.3) and $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)$.

LEMMA 7.1. *For $n \geq 4$ and $0 \leq t, \beta \leq \alpha_n^{1/3}/64$, we have*

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|R|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_0 b \alpha_n^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(7.5) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - 1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_1 b (n \alpha_n^{-3} + n^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-2} + n^{-1/2}) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_2 b n \alpha_n^{-3} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(7.7) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{3,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_3 b^2 (n \alpha_n^{-3} + n^2 \alpha_n^{-5}) (1 + t^2) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$(7.8) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{4,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C_4 b^2 (n \alpha_n^{-4} + n^2 \alpha_n^{-6}) (1 + t^2) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}$$

and

$$(7.9) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq m_0} M_t \leq C_5 b n \alpha_n^{-2}.$$

7.2. *Proof of [Theorem 3.1](#).*

PROOF OF [THEOREM 3.1](#). We apply [Theorem 4.1](#) to prove [Theorem 3.1](#). Recalling that $\theta_n = n^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-1}$, by [Lemma 7.1](#), we have condition (A1) is satisfied with $m_0 = \alpha_n^{1/3}/64$,

(7.10)

$$r_0 = C_0 b \alpha_n^{-1}, \quad \tau_0 = 0, \quad r_1 = C_1 b ((\theta_n^2 + \theta_n) \alpha_n^{-1} + n^{-1/2}), \quad \tau_1 = 0, \quad r_2 = C_2 b \theta_n^2 \alpha_n^{-1}, \quad \tau_2 = 0, \\ r_3 = 2C_3 b^2 (\theta_n^2 + 1)^2 \alpha_n^{-1}, \quad \tau_3 = 2, \quad r_4 = 2C_4 b^2 (\theta_n^2 + 1)^2 \alpha_n^{-2}, \quad \tau_4 = 2, \quad \rho = C_5 b \theta_n^2,$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 and C_5 are absolute constants. Recalling the definition of $\delta(t)$ in (4.15), and noting that $m_0 = \alpha_n^{1/3}/64$, we have

$$(7.11) \quad \delta(m_0) \geq r_2 (1 + m_0^3) \geq r_2 m_0^3 \geq C b \theta_n^2.$$

Combining (7.10) and (7.11), we have that the right hand side of (4.14) is less than

(7.12)

$$C \left(\frac{1}{\theta_n^2} + \theta_n^2 + 1 \right) \delta(z) \leq 2C \left(\frac{1}{\theta_n^2} + \theta_n^2 \right) (r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4^{1/2}) (1 + z^3) \leq C \delta_n (1 + z^3),$$

where $\delta_n = (\alpha_n^{-1} + n^{-1/2})(\theta_n^{-2} + \theta_n^6)$, and we used the fact that $x^2 + 1/x^2 > 1$ for $x > 0$ in the first inequality. On the other hand, by (7.10), we have

$$(7.13) \quad r_0^{1/(\tau_0+1)} \leq C b \alpha_n^{-1}, \\ r_1^{1/(\tau_1+2)} \leq C b^{1/2} (\theta_n + 1) (1 + \theta_n^{-1/2}) \alpha_n^{-1/2}, \\ r_2^{1/(\tau_2+3)} \leq C b^{1/3} \theta_n^{2/3} \alpha_n^{-1/3}.$$

By (7.13),

$$(7.14) \quad r_0^{1/(\tau_0+1)} + r_1^{1/(\tau_1+2)} + r_2^{1/(\tau_2+3)} \leq C b (1 + \theta_n) (1 + \theta_n^{-1/2}) \alpha_n^{-1/3}.$$

Applying [Theorem 4.1](#), and by (7.12) and (7.14), we obtain the desired result. \square

APPENDIX A: PROOF OF LEMMA 6.1

Throughout this section, we follow the notation and settings in [Section 6](#). We write $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}$ and for any i , let $V_i = \sum_{i \in B_i} X_i$, $T_i = \sum_{i \in B_i} |X_i|$ and $W_i = W - V_i$. In what follows, we give two general lemmas, which will be used in the proof of [Lemma 6.1](#). The following lemmas give us some technical inequalities.

LEMMA A.1. *Under (LD1) and (LD2), let $\zeta_i = \zeta(X_{A_i}) \geq 0$ be a function of X_{A_i} . Then, for $0 \leq t \leq m_0$,*

$$(A.1) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i e^{3tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \leq 81b^{1/4}h(t) \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i e^{3a_n T_i/8}\},$$

$$(A.2) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i T_i^2 e^{3tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \leq C\kappa^2 b^{1/4}h(t)\tau^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/4}\} + C\kappa^2 \tau a_n^{-4}bh(t),$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant and $\tau > 0$ is any positive number.

PROOF OF LEMMA A.1. By Hölder's inequality, for any random variables $U_1, U_2, U_3 \geq 0$, we have

$$(A.3) \quad \mathbb{E}\{U_1 U_2 U_3\} \leq (\mathbb{E}\{U_1 U_2^{(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} (\mathbb{E}\{U_1 U_3^{(1+\varepsilon)}\})^{1/(1+\varepsilon)},$$

where $\varepsilon = 16m_0/a_n$. Then

$$(A.4) \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, \quad \varepsilon m_0^2 \leq 1/3 \quad \text{and} \quad (1+\varepsilon)m_0/\varepsilon \leq a_n/8.$$

Applying (A.3) with $U_1 = \zeta_i$, $U_2 = e^{tT_i}$ and $U_3 = \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)$, and by (A.4), we have

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i) e^{3tT_i}\} \\ & \leq (\mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i e^{3(1+\varepsilon)tT_i/\varepsilon}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} (\mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)^{1+\varepsilon}\})^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ & = (\mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i e^{3(1+\varepsilon)tT_i/\varepsilon}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} (\mathbb{E}\zeta_i)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} (\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)^{1+\varepsilon}\})^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i e^{3(1+\varepsilon)tT_i/\varepsilon}\} (\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)^{1+\varepsilon}\})^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i e^{3a_n T_i/8}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)^{1+\varepsilon}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality in the third line follows from the fact that W_i is independent of ζ_i and the last inequality follows from (A.4) and $\Psi_{\beta,t} \geq 1$. Recalling the definition of $\Psi_{\beta,t}(w)$ in (4.6), we have for any u and v ,

$$(A.6) \quad \Psi_{\beta,t}(u+v) \leq \Psi_{\beta,t}(u) e^{t|v|}.$$

By (A.6) and Hölder's inequality,

$$(A.7) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)^{1+\varepsilon}\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)^{1+\varepsilon} e^{(1+\varepsilon)tT_i}\} \leq H_1 \times H_2,$$

where

$$H_1 = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)^{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\}, \quad H_2 = (\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)^2 tT_i/\varepsilon}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Recalling the definition of $\Psi_{\beta,t}(w)$, we have

$$\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) \leq 2e^{m_0^2} + 1 \leq 3e^{m_0^2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \beta, t \leq m_0,$$

which further implies that

$$(A.8) \quad \Psi_{\beta,t}(w)^{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \leq (3e^{m_0^2})^{2\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2} \Psi_{\beta,t}(w).$$

By (A.4) and (A.8), we have

$$(A.9) \quad H_1 \leq 27e^{m_0^2(2\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)}h(t) \leq 27e^{3m_0^2\varepsilon}h(t) \leq 81h(t).$$

For H_2 , by (A.4) and by Hölder's inequality again, we have

$$(A.10) \quad H_2 \leq \mathbb{E}e^{a_n T_i/4} \leq b^{1/4}.$$

Combining (A.5), (A.7), (A.9) and (A.10) yields (A.1).

We now prove (A.2). Expanding the square term of the left hand side of (A.2), we have for all $\tau > 0$,

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i T_i^2 e^{3tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} &= \sum_{j \in B_i} \sum_{k \in B_i} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i |X_j X_k| e^{3tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \\ &\leq \kappa \sum_{j \in B_i} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i X_j^2 e^{3tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa^2}{2\tau} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i^2 e^{6tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} + \frac{\kappa\tau}{2} \sum_{j \in B_i} \mathbb{E}\{X_j^4 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the right hand side of (A.11), by (A.1) with replacing ζ_i by ζ_i^2 and $3tT_i$ by $6tT_i$, we obtain

$$(A.12) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i^2 e^{6tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \leq 81b^{1/4}h(t) \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/4}\}.$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (A.11), by (A.6), we have for any $j \in B_i$, with $W_{ij} = W - \sum_{k \in B_i \cup B_j} X_k$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{X_j^4 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{X_j^4 e^{tT_j} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\}.$$

Similar to (A.1), we obtain

$$(A.13) \quad \mathbb{E}\{X_j^4 e^{tT_j} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\} \leq 81b^{1/2}h(t) \mathbb{E}\{X_j^4 e^{3a_n T_j/8}\}.$$

Observing that $|X_j| \leq T_j$, we have the expectation term of the right hand side of (A.13) can be bounded by

$$(A.14) \quad \mathbb{E}\{X_j^4 e^{tT_j}\} \leq \alpha_n^{-4} \mathbb{E}\{(a_n T_j)^4 e^{3a_n T_j/8}\} \leq C\alpha_n^{-4} \mathbb{E}e^{a_n T_j/2} \leq C\alpha_n^{-4} b^{1/2}h(t).$$

Substituting (A.12)–(A.14) into (A.11) yields (A.2). \square

LEMMA A.2. *Under (LD1) and (LD2), for each $i \in \mathcal{J}$, let $\xi_i = \xi(X_{A_i})$ be a function of X_{A_i} satisfying that $\mathbb{E}\xi_i = 0$. Let $S = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \xi_i$. For $0 \leq t, \beta \leq m_0$ and any positive number τ , we have*

$$(A.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{S^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} &\leq 81b^{1/4}\kappa h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/8}\} \\ &\quad + Cb\kappa^2 t^2 h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\xi_j| (\tau^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/4}\} + \tau a_n^{-4}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA A.2. Expanding the left hand side of (A.15) yields

$$(A.16) \quad \mathbb{E}\{S^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} := I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}, \quad I_2 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}.$$

We now give the bounds of I_1 and I_2 separately. Observe that

$$(A.17) \quad \{(i, j) : i \in \mathcal{J}, j \in N_i\} = \{(i, j) : B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset\} = \{(i, j) : j \in \mathcal{J}, i \in N_j\}.$$

Recall that $W_i = W - \sum_{j \in B_i} X_j$. For I_1 , we have

$$(A.18) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbb{E}\{(\xi_i^2 + \xi_j^2) \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_j^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{i \in N_j} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_j^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq \kappa \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i) e^{tT_i}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (A.17), (A.6) and $|N_i| \leq \kappa$ in the last line. By Lemma A.1 with $\zeta_i = \xi_i^2$, we obtain

$$(A.19) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i) e^{tT_i}\} \leq 81b^{1/4} h(t) \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/8}\}.$$

Substituting (A.19) into (A.18), we have

$$(A.20) \quad I_1 \leq 81b^{1/4} \kappa h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/8}\}.$$

For $i, j \in \mathcal{J}$, let $V_{ij} = \sum_{k \in B_i \cup B_j} X_k$, $W_{ij} = W - V_{ij}$, $T_{ij} = \sum_{k \in B_i \cup B_j} |X_k|$. It is easy to see that $|T_{ij}| \leq T_i + T_j$. In order bound I_2 , for any $i \in \mathcal{J}$ and $j \notin N_i$, by Taylor's expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} + \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} + \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i^2 \Psi''_{\beta,t}(W_i + uV_i)\} (1-u) du \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} + \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i (V_{ij} - V_i) \Psi''_{\beta,t}(W_{ij} + u(W_i - W_{ij}))\} (1-u) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i^2 \Psi''_{\beta,t}(W_i + uV_i)\} du. \end{aligned}$$

If $j \notin N_i$, then ξ_i is independent of (ξ_j, W_i) and ξ_j is independent of (ξ_i, V_i, W_{ij}) . Recalling that $\mathbb{E}\xi_i = \mathbb{E}\xi_j = 0$, we have $\mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} = \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i \Psi'_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\} = 0$. By (5.27) and by the monotonicity of $\Psi_{\beta,t}(\cdot)$, we have for any $0 \leq u \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i (V_{ij} - V_i) \Psi''_{\beta,t}(W_{ij} + u(W_i - W_{ij}))\}| \\ &\leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j V_i (V_{ij} - V_i)| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij} + u(W_i - W_{ij}))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq t^2 \sum_{l \in B_i} \sum_{m \in B_j \setminus B_i} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j X_l X_m| (\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i) + \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij}))\} \\
 &\leq 2t^2 \sum_{l \in B_i} \sum_{m \in B_j \setminus B_i} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j X_l X_m| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij}) e^{t(T_i+T_j)}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|\mathbb{E}\{\xi_i \xi_j V_i^2 \Psi''_{\beta,t}(W_i + uV_i)\}| \leq 2t^2 \sum_{l \in B_i} \sum_{m \in B_i} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j X_l X_m| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij}) e^{t(T_i+T_j)}\}.$$

Observe that

$$(T_i + T_j)^2 e^{t(T_i+T_j)} \leq 4(T_i^2 e^{2tT_i} + T_j^2 e^{2tT_j}).$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.21) \quad I_2 &\leq 2t^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \sum_{l \in B_i} \sum_{m \in B_i \cup B_j} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j X_l X_m| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij}) e^{t(T_i+T_j)}\} \\
 &\leq 2t^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j (T_i + T_j)^2| e^{t(T_i+T_j)} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\} \\
 &\leq 8t^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j| (T_i^2 e^{2tT_i} + T_j^2 e^{2tT_j}) \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\} \\
 &= 16t^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i \xi_j| T_i^2 e^{2tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (A.17) in the last line. If $j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i$, then ξ_j is independent of (ξ_i, T_i, W_{ij}) . Therefore, by (A.2) in Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq 16t^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\xi_j| \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i| T_i^2 e^{2tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{ij})\} \\
 &\leq 16t^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\xi_j| \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_i| T_i^2 e^{3tT_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \\
 &\leq C b \kappa^2 t^2 h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\xi_j| (\tau^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/4}\} + \tau a_n^{-4}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (A.20) and (A.21), we complete the proof. \square

Based on Lemmas A.1 and A.2, we are now ready to give the proof of Lemma 6.1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 6.1. Recall that $\hat{K}(u)$, $K(u)$, $\hat{K}_i(u)$ and $K_i(u)$ are defined as in (6.1) and (6.2), and

$$(A.22) \quad \hat{K}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{K}(t) dt = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} X_i Y_i, \quad \hat{K}_{2,t} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u| e^{t|u|} \hat{K}_i(u) du.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}W^2 = 1$, it follows that $\mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1 = 1$. For (6.3), by Jensen's and Hölder's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.23) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\mathbb{E}[\hat{K}_1|W] - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1| \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\
 &\leq h(t)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1|^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\})^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} (X_i Y_i - \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i)$. Then, applying [Lemma A.2](#) with $\xi_i = X_i Y_i - \mathbb{E}\{X_i Y_i\}$ and $\tau = b^{1/2}$, we have

$$(A.24) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1|^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq G_1 + G_2,$$

where

$$G_1 = 81b^{1/4} \kappa h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{a_n T_i/8}\},$$

$$G_2 = Cb\kappa^2 t^2 h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\xi_j| (b^{-1/2} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_i^2 e^{3a_n T_i/4}\} + b^{1/2} a_n^{-4}).$$

Recalling that $T_i = \sum_{j \in B_i} |X_j|$, we have $|\xi_i| \leq T_i^2 + \mathbb{E}T_i^2$, and thus, for $0 < s \leq 3/4$,

$$(A.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{X_i Y_i - \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i\}^2 e^{s a_n T_i} &\leq a_n^{-4} \mathbb{E}(\{a_n^4 T_i^4 + \mathbb{E}a_n^4 T_i^4\} e^{s a_n T_i}) \\ &\leq C a_n^{-4} (\mathbb{E}e^{a_n T_i})^{s+1/4} \\ &\leq C b^{s+1/4} a_n^{-4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality that $y^4 \leq C e^{y/4}$ for $y \geq 0$ and some $C > 0$. Moreover,

$$(A.26) \quad \mathbb{E}|\xi_j| \leq 2 \mathbb{E}T_j^2 \leq C a_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}e^{a_n T_j/2} \leq C b^{1/2} a_n^{-2}.$$

Substituting [\(A.25\)](#) and [\(A.26\)](#) into [\(A.24\)](#) gives

$$\mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - 1|^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq C(b\kappa n a_n^{-4} + b^2 \kappa^2 n^2 a_n^{-6}) h(t) (1 + t^2),$$

which proves [\(6.3\)](#) together with [\(A.23\)](#).

We next prove [\(6.4\)](#). Recalling that $\hat{K}_i(u)$ is defined as in [\(6.1\)](#), by [\(A.6\)](#) and applying [Lemma A.1](#) with $\xi_i = |\hat{K}_i(u)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_i(u) \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}| &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_i(u)| e^{t T_i} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W_i)\} \\ &\leq 81b^{1/4} h(t) \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_i(u)| e^{3a_n T_i/8}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by [\(A.22\)](#) and recalling that $t \leq a_n/16$ and $|X_i| \leq T_i, |Y_i| \leq T_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_{2,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} &\leq 81b^{1/4} h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{|X_i^2 Y_i| e^{a_n T_i/2}\} \\ &\leq 81b^{1/4} h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{T_i^3 e^{a_n T_i/2}\} \\ &\leq C b n a_n^{-3} h(t). \end{aligned}$$

We now move to prove [\(6.5\)](#) and [\(6.6\)](#) together. By definition,

$$(A.27) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{3,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} = \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} du$$

and

$$(A.28) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{4,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} = \int_{|u| \leq 1} |u| e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} du.$$

For fixed u , applying [Lemma A.2](#) with $\xi_i = \hat{K}_i(u) - K_i(u)$ and $\tau = b^{1/2} a_n$, we have

$$(A.29) \quad \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} = H_1(u) + H_2(u),$$

where

$$H_1(u) = 81b^{1/4}\kappa h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}_i(u) - K_i(u))^2 e^{3a_n T_i/8}\},$$

$$H_2(u) = Cb\kappa^2 t^2 h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\hat{K}_j(u) - K_j(u)| (b^{-1/2} a_n^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}_i(u) - K_i(u))^2 e^{3a_n T_i/4}\} + b^{1/2} a_n^{-3}).$$

For $H_1(u)$, recalling that $|X_i| \leq T_i$, $|Y_i| \leq T_i$ and $t \leq a_n/16$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_i(u)^2 e^{3a_n T_i/8}\} du &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|X_i^2 Y_i| e^{a_n T_i/2}\} \\ (A.30) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq C a_n^{-3} \mathbb{E}\{(a_n T_i)^3 e^{a_n T_i/2}\} \\ &\leq C b^{3/4} a_n^{-3}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(A.31) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{K_i(u)^2 e^{3a_n T_i/8}\} du \leq C b^{3/4} a_n^{-3}.$$

For $H_2(u)$, note that $|\hat{K}_i(u)|^2 \leq |X_i|^2$, and we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_i(u)|^2 e^{3a_n/4}\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{|X_i|^2 e^{3a_n/4}\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{T_i^2 e^{3a_n/4}\} \leq C b a_n^{-2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\{|K_i(u)|^2 e^{3a_n/4}\} \leq C b a_n^{-2}.$$

Then,

$$(A.32) \qquad H_2(u) \leq C b^{3/2} \kappa^2 t^2 a_n^{-3} h(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus N_i} \mathbb{E}|\hat{K}_j(u) - K_j(u)|.$$

Similar to (A.30) and (A.31),

$$(A.33) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}|\hat{K}_j(u) - K_j(u)| du \leq \mathbb{E}\{(|X_i Y_i| + \mathbb{E}|X_i Y_i|) e^{2t T_i}\} \leq C b^{1/2} a_n^{-2}.$$

Substituting (A.29)–(A.33) into (A.27) gives (6.5). The inequality (6.6) can be shown similarly.

It now remains to prove (6.7). By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq m_0} M_t &= \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{m_0|u|} |K(u)| du \\ (A.34) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{|u| \leq 1} |\hat{K}_i(u)| e^{m_0|u|} du \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ |X_i Y_i| e^{m_0|Y_i|} \right\} \leq 2 a_n^{-2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}\{|a_n^2 T_i^2| e^{m_0 T_i}\} \\ &\leq C n a_n^{-2} b. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX B: PROOF OF LEMMA 7.1

This section includes three subsections. In [Appendix B.1](#), we prove [Lemma 7.1](#). Before that, we give some preliminary lemmas, whose proofs are given in [Appendices B.2](#) and [B.3](#).

B.1. Proof of Lemma 7.1. For any $\mathbf{i} \in [n]_k$, we write i_l be the l -th element of \mathbf{i} and write $\pi(\mathbf{i}) = (\pi(i_1), \dots, \pi(i_k))$, Let $A(\mathbf{i}) = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be the set of elements in \mathbf{i} . For any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in [n]_k$ and any matrix $(x_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, let $x_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} = (x_{i,j} : i \in A(\mathbf{i}), j \in A(\mathbf{j}))$. Let $T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} = \sum_{l=1}^k |X_{i_l, j_l}|$. For any two positive integers $m \leq n$, we write $n_{(m)} := \prod_{i=1}^m (n - i + 1)$ as the *descending factorial*. Let $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\}$. The following preliminary lemmas are useful in the proof of Lemma 7.1.

LEMMA B.1. *Let $0 \leq m \leq 2$ be an integer and assume that $n \geq 4$. Let σ be a uniform permutation on $[n - m]$ which is independent of \mathbf{X} and let $S = \sum_{i \in [n-m]} X_{i, \sigma(i)}$. For $k = 1, 2$, and for any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in [n - m]_k$, let $\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} := \zeta(X_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}})$ be a positive function of $X_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$. We have*

$$(B.1) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \sigma(\mathbf{i})} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S)\} \leq 4b^{1/16} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta, t}(S) \max_{\mathbf{v} \in [n-m]_k} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}} e^{tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}}\},$$

$$(B.2) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{j}), \mathbf{j}} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S)\} \leq 4b^{1/16} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta, t}(S) \max_{\mathbf{v} \in [n-m]_k} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}} e^{tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}}\},$$

$$(B.3) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{j}), \sigma(\mathbf{i})} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S)\} \leq 4b^{1/8} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta, t}(S) \max_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in [n-m]_k} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} e^{t(T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}} + T_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{j}})}\}.$$

PROOF. We only prove (B.1), because (B.2) and (B.3) can be shown similarly. For any $\mathbf{i} \in [n - m]_k$, let $S^{(\mathbf{i})} = \sum_{i' \notin A(\mathbf{i})} X_{i', \sigma(i')}$. By definition, we have

$$(B.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \sigma(\mathbf{i})} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S)\} &= \frac{1}{(n - m)_k} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n-m]_k} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S) | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n - m)_k} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n-m]_k} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} e^{tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(\mathbf{i})}) | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is conditionally independent of $S^{(\mathbf{i})}$ given the event that $\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}$, and $X_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is independent of σ , the last conditional expectation in (B.4) can be rewritten as

$$(B.5) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} e^{tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(\mathbf{i})}) | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\} = \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} e^{tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(\mathbf{i})}) | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\}.$$

For the second term on the RHS of (B.5), note that $\Psi_{\beta, t}(w + x) \leq e^{t|x|} \Psi_{\beta, t}(w)$ and $|S - S^{(\mathbf{i})}| \leq T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ given $\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}$. With $\varepsilon = \alpha_n^{-2/3}$, by Hölder's inequality, and noting that $T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is independent of σ , we have

$$(B.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(\mathbf{i})}) | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\{e^{tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S) | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\} \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}/\varepsilon}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} (\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}^{1+\varepsilon}(S)\} | \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\})^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $0 \leq t \leq \beta \leq \alpha_n^{1/3}/64$, we obtain

$$(B.7) \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \quad (1 + \varepsilon)t/\varepsilon \leq 2t/\varepsilon \leq \alpha_n/32, \quad \varepsilon\beta t \leq 0.001.$$

For the first term in the RHS of (B.6), because $k = 1, 2$ and $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in [n - m]_k$, by (3.4), we obtain

$$(B.8) \quad \mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)tT_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}/\varepsilon}\} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha_n T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}/32} \leq \max_{i, j \in [n-m]} \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha_n |X_{i, j}|/16} \leq b^{1/16}.$$

Noting that $\Psi_{\beta, t}(w) \leq 2e^{t\beta} + 1 \leq 3e^{t\beta}$, we have

$$\Psi_{\beta, t}(w)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq (3e^{t\beta})^\varepsilon \Psi_{\beta, t}(w) \leq 4\Psi_{\beta, t}(w).$$

Thus,

$$(B.9) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)^{1+\varepsilon}|\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\} \leq 4\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)|\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\}.$$

Combining (B.4)–(B.6), (B.8) and (B.9), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}e^{tT_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(\mathbf{i})})|\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\} \leq 4b^{1/16} \max_{\mathbf{v} \in [n-m]_k} \mathbb{E}\{\zeta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{v}}e^{tT_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{v}}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)|\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}\}.$$

Now, taking average over $\mathbf{j} \in [n-m]_k$ yields (B.1). Using a similar argument, we obtain (B.2) and (B.3). \square

LEMMA B.2. For \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} , let $\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} := \xi(X_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})$ be a function of $X_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ such that $\mathbb{E}\xi_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})} = 0$. For any $\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2$ and $\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}$, where $[n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})} := \{(k, l) \in [n]_2 : k, l \in [n] \setminus A(\mathbf{i})\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\pi(\mathbf{i}')}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}| \\ & \leq Cb(1+t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\} + \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}^2e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|\}) \\ & \quad + Cb(1+t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\alpha_n^{-2} + n^{-1} + \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'})) \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|\} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'} = \{A(\mathbf{j}) \cap A(\mathbf{j}') \neq \emptyset\}$.

Recalling that $D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ is defined in (7.3), we give the following lemmas.

LEMMA B.3. For $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in [n]_2$, let $g_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u) = D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{1}(-D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \leq u \leq 0) - \mathbf{1}(0 < u \leq -D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}))$ and $\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u) = g_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u) - \mathbb{E}g_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}(u)$. We have

$$(B.10) \quad \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} |u|^v e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}(u) \right)^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) \right\} du \right| \leq Cb^2(n^2\alpha_n^{-5-v} + n\alpha_n^{-3-v})(1+t^2)h(t), \quad \text{for } v = 0, 1.$$

LEMMA B.4. For $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in [n]_2$, let $H_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u) = D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2$ and $\bar{H}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u) = H_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u) - \mathbb{E}H_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}(u)$. We have

$$(B.11) \quad \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \bar{H}_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})} \right)^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) \right\} \right| \leq Cb^2(n^4\alpha_n^{-6} + n^3\alpha_n^{-4})(1+t^2)h(t).$$

The proofs of Lemmas B.2 and B.3 are given in Appendix B.3. The proof of Lemma B.4 is similar to that of Lemma B.3 and thus we omit the details.

We are now ready to give the proof of Lemma 7.1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7.1. We prove (7.4)–(7.9) one by one.

(i). *Proof of (7.4).* Let $\bar{X}_{i,j} = X_{i,j} - a_{i,j}$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(B.12) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|R|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq h^{1/2}(t)(\mathbb{E}\{R^2\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\})^{1/2}.$$

By (3.1) and (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\{R^2\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{X}_{i,j}\right|^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\right\} \\
\text{(B.13)} \quad &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for fixed $i, j, i', j' \in [n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W), \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\} + \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W), \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W), \pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') = j'\} + \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W), \pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') \neq j'\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, with $W^{(i,i')} = W - \sum_{k \in \{i, i'\}} X_{k, \pi(k)}$, let consider the corresponding conditional expectation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\}| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\{(\bar{X}_{i,j}^2 + \bar{X}_{i',j'}^2) \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\{(\bar{X}_{i,j}^2 + \bar{X}_{i',j'}^2) e^{t(|X_{i,j}| + |X_{i',j'}|)} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(i,i')}) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\{(\bar{X}_{i,j}^2 + \bar{X}_{i',j'}^2) e^{t(|X_{i,j}| + |X_{i',j'}|)}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(i,i')}) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the fact that $(X_{i,j}, X_{i',j'})$ and $W^{(i,i')}$ are conditionally independent given $\pi(i) = j$ and $\pi(i') = j'$. Recalling that that $t \leq \alpha_n^{1/3}/4 \leq \alpha_n/4$ and by (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}^2 e^{t|X_{i,j}|}\} &\leq C\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_n X_{i,j}|^2 e^{\alpha_n |X_{i,j}|/4}\} \\
\text{(B.14)} \quad &\leq C\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\{e^{\alpha_n |X_{i,j}|/2}\} \leq Cb^{1/2} \alpha_n^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \alpha_n^{-2/3}$ and according to (3.4) and (B.7), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)t(|X_{i,j}| + |X_{i',j'}|)/\varepsilon}\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{e^{\alpha_n(|X_{i,j}| + |X_{i',j'}|)/2}\} \leq Cb,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(i,i')}) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\{e^{t|W - W^{(i,i')}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\} \\
&\leq (\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)t(|X_{i,j}| + |X_{i',j'}|)/\varepsilon}\})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} (\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}^{1+\varepsilon}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\})^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \\
&\leq Cb^{1/2} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.15)} \quad &|\mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j} \bar{X}_{i',j'} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\}| \\
&\leq Cb\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(i) = j, \pi(i') = j'\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, noting that $X_{i',j'}$ is independent of $(X_{i,j}, W)$ given $\pi(i) = j$ and $\pi(i') \neq j'$, we have

$$(B.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}\bar{X}_{i',j'}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) = j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} \\ = \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i',j'}\} \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) = j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(B.17) \quad \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j}X_{i',j'}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') = j'\} = 0.$$

Furthermore, if $\pi(i) \neq j$ and $\pi(i') \neq j'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}\bar{X}_{i',j'}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} \\ = \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}\bar{X}_{i',j'}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} \\ = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}^2\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} & \text{if } i = i' \text{ and } j = j', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By (B.14), we obtain

$$(B.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}\{\bar{X}_{i,j}\bar{X}_{i',j'}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') \neq j'\}| \\ \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)|\pi(i) \neq j, \pi(i') \neq j'\} \mathbf{1}((i,j) = (i',j')). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (B.15)–(B.18) to (B.13) and using (B.12) yields (7.4).

(ii). *Proof of (7.5).* Recalling the definition of \hat{K}_1 in (4.1) and $\hat{K}(u)$ in (7.3), we have

$$(B.19) \quad \hat{K}_1 = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i \in [n]_2} D_{i,\pi(i)}^2.$$

By (3.2) and (B.19), one can verify (see, e.g., Eq. (3.10) in [Chen and Fang \(2015\)](#)) that $|\mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1 - 1| \leq 2/\sqrt{n}$. Thus,

$$(B.20) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - 1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}.$$

For the first term of the R.H.S. of (B.20), recalling that $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)$, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(B.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\ \leq \frac{h^{1/2}(t)}{4n} \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in [n]_2} (D_{i,\pi(i)}^2 - \mathbb{E}D_{i,\pi(i)}^2) \right)^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W) \right\} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying [Lemma B.4](#) to the expectation in the RHS of (B.21), we obtain

$$(B.22) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\hat{K}_1 - \mathbb{E}\hat{K}_1|\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq Cb(n\alpha_n^{-3} + n^{1/2}\alpha_n^{-2})h(t).$$

Combining (B.20) and (B.22) yields (7.5).

(iii). *Proof of (7.6).* Recalling $\hat{K}(u)$ as in (7.3), we have

$$(B.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,t}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|e^{t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}(u)\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{i,\pi(i)}|^3 e^{t|D_{i,\pi(i)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying [Lemma B.1](#) with $k = 2$, $m = 0$, $\sigma = \pi$, $S = W$, and $\zeta_{i,j}(u) = |D_{i,j}|^3 e^{t|D_{i,j}|}$, we have for any $\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\{|D_{i,\pi(\mathbf{i})}|^3 e^{t|D_{i,\pi(\mathbf{i})}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\
& \leq Cb^{1/8}h(t) \max_{j \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{i,j}|^3 e^{t|D_{i,j}|+tT_{i,j}}\} \\
\text{(B.24)} \quad & \leq Cb^{1/8}h(t)\alpha_n^{-3} \max_{j_1, j_2 \in [n]} \sum_{i \in \{i_1, i_2\}} \sum_{j \in \{j_1, j_2\}} \mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_n X_{i,j}|^3 e^{6t|X_{i,j}|}\} \\
& \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-3}h(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from [\(3.4\)](#). Therefore, by [\(B.23\)](#) and [\(B.24\)](#), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{2,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \leq Cbn\alpha_n^{-3}.$$

(iv). *Proofs of [\(7.7\)](#) and [\(7.8\)](#).* Recall the definitions in [\(4.3\)](#), [\(4.4\)](#) and [\(7.3\)](#), and we have

$$\text{(B.25)} \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{K}_{3,t} \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} = \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{K}(u) - K(u))^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} du.$$

By [Lemma B.3](#) with $v = 0$, we complete the proof of [\(7.7\)](#). By [Lemma B.3](#) with $v = 1$, the inequality [\(7.8\)](#) follows similarly.

(v). *Proofs of [\(7.9\)](#).* Recalling the definition of $\hat{K}(u)$ in [\(7.3\)](#), by Fubini's theorem we have

$$\text{(B.26)} \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \alpha_n^{1/3}/64} M_t \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{e^{\alpha_n |D_{i,\pi(\mathbf{i})}|/64} |D_{i,\pi(\mathbf{i})}|^2\} \leq Cbn\alpha^{-2}$$

where the last inequality follows from the similar argument in [\(B.24\)](#).

B.2. Some useful lemmas. In order to prove [Lemmas B.2](#) and [B.3](#), we need to show some preliminary lemmas. Recall that \mathcal{S}_n is the collection of all permutations over $[n]$.

LEMMA B.5. *For $n \geq 4$, $m = 0, 1, 2$, let S and σ be defined as in [Lemma B.1](#). For any $i, j \in [n - m]$, we have*

$$\text{(B.27)} \quad |\mathbb{E}\{X_{i,\sigma(i)} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)\}| \leq C(n^{-1}\alpha_n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})b^{1/2}(1 + t^2) \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S),$$

$$\text{(B.28)} \quad |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)\}| \leq C(n^{-1}\alpha_n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})b^{1/2}(1 + t^2) \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S),$$

$$\text{(B.29)} \quad |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),\sigma(i)} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)\}| \leq C(n^{-1}\alpha_n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})b^{1/2}(1 + t^2) \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S).$$

PROOF OF LEMMA B.5. We only prove [\(B.27\)](#), because [\(B.28\)](#) and [\(B.29\)](#) can be shown similarly. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.30)} \quad \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,\sigma(i)} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)\} &= \frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S) | \sigma(i) = j\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) | \sigma(i) = j\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j} (\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})) | \sigma(i) = j\} \\
&:= I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\tau_{i,j}$ the transposition of i and j , and define

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma(i) = j, \\ \sigma \circ \tau_{i,\sigma^{-1}(j)} & \text{if } \sigma(i) \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Then $\sigma_{i,j}(i) = j$. For any given distinct $k_1, \dots, k_{n-m-1} \in [n-m] \setminus \{i\}$ and $l_1, \dots, l_{n-m-1} \in [n-m] \setminus \{j\}$, denote by A the event that $\{\sigma_{i,j}(k_u) = l_u, u = 1, \dots, n-m-1\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A) &= \mathbb{P}(A, \sigma(i) = j) + \sum_{u=1}^{n-m-1} \mathbb{P}(A, \sigma(i) = l_u, \sigma(k_u) = j) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-m)!} + (n-m-1) \frac{1}{(n-m)!} = \frac{1}{(n-m-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma(k_u) = l_u, u = 1, \dots, n-m-1 | \sigma(i) = j) = \frac{1}{(n-m-1)!}.$$

This proves that $\mathcal{L}(\sigma_{i,j}) = \mathcal{L}(\sigma | \sigma(i) = j)$. Moreover, with $S^{(i)} = S - X_{i,\sigma(i)}$, $S_{i,j} = \sum_{i'=1}^n X_{i',\sigma_{i,j}(i')}$, and let $S_{i,j}^{(i)} = S_{i,j} - X_{i,j}$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(S_{i,j}^{(i)}) = \mathcal{L}(S^{(i)} | \sigma(i) = j).$$

Noting that $X_{i,j}$ is independent of $\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})$ conditional on $\sigma(i) = j$, and recalling that $\mathbb{E}X_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) | \sigma(i) = j\} = a_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) | \sigma(i) = j\} = a_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S_{i,j}^{(i)})\}.$$

Therefore, recalling that $\sum_{j \in [n]} a_{i,j} = 0$, by assumption (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (B.31) \quad I_1 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)}{n-m} \sum_{j \in [n-m]} a_{i,j} + \frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{j \in [n-m]} a_{i,j} (\mathbb{E}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S_{i,j}^{(i)}) - \mathbb{E}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)) \\ &= I_{11} + I_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &= -\frac{\mathbb{E}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)}{n-m} \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus [n-m]} a_{i,j}, \\ I_{12} &= \frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{j \in [n-m]} a_{i,j} (\mathbb{E}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S_{i,j}^{(i)}) - \mathbb{E}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)). \end{aligned}$$

For I_{11} , by (3.4) and Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (B.32) \quad \max_{i,j} |a_{i,j}| &\leq \max_{i,j} \mathbb{E}|X_{i,j}| \leq \alpha_n^{-1} \max_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_n X_{i,j}|\} \\ &\leq \alpha_n^{-1} \max_{i,j} \log \mathbb{E}e^{|\alpha_n X_{i,j}|} \leq \alpha_n^{-1} \log b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (5.28), noting that $0 \leq m \leq 2$ and $n-m \geq n/2$, we have

$$(B.33) \quad |I_{11}| \leq \frac{tm\alpha_n^{-1} \log b}{n-m} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \leq Ctn^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \log b \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S).$$

For I_{12} , note that

$$\begin{aligned} S - S_{i,j}^{(i)} &= (X_{i,\sigma(i)} + X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j} - X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),\sigma(i)}) \mathbf{1}(\sigma(i) \neq j) + X_{i,\sigma(i)} \mathbf{1}(\sigma(i) = j) \\ &\leq |X_{i,\sigma(i)}| + |X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j}| + |X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),\sigma(i)}|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S_{i,j}^{(i)}) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)| \\ &\leq t|S - S_{i,j}^{(i)}| e^{t|S - S_{i,j}^{(i)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \\ &\leq 3t\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \left(|X_{i,\sigma(i)}| e^{3t|X_{i,\sigma(i)}|} + |X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j}| e^{3t|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j}|} + |X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),\sigma(i)}| e^{3t|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),\sigma(i)}|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying [Lemma B.1](#) with $k = 1$ and $\zeta_{i,j} = |X_{i,j}| e^{3t|X_{i,j}|}$, and noting that $t \leq \alpha_n/64$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S_{i,j}^{(i)}) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S)| &\leq 36b^{1/8}t \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \max_{i,j \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{|X_{i,j}| e^{4t|X_{i,j}|}\} \\ &\leq 36\alpha_n^{-1}b^{1/8}t \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \max_{i,j \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_n X_{i,j}| e^{\alpha_n|X_{i,j}|/16}\} \\ (B.34) \quad &\leq C\alpha_n^{-1}b^{1/8}t \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \max_{i,j \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{e^{\alpha_n|X_{i,j}|/8}\} \\ &\leq C\alpha_n^{-1}b^{1/4}t \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S). \end{aligned}$$

By [\(B.32\)](#) and [\(B.34\)](#), we obtain

$$(B.35) \quad |I_{12}| \leq C\alpha_n^{-2}t(b^{1/4} \log b) \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S).$$

Combining [\(B.33\)](#) and [\(B.35\)](#) yields

$$(B.36) \quad |I_1| \leq Cb^{1/2}(n^{-1}\alpha_n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})t \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S).$$

For I_2 , observing that

$$\mathbb{E}\{X_{i,j}(\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S) - \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})) | \sigma(i) = j\} \leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|X_{i,j}|^2 e^{t|X_{i,j}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S)\},$$

we have

$$|I_2| \leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|X_{i,\sigma(i)}|^2 e^{t|X_{i,\sigma(i)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S)\}.$$

Applying [Lemma B.1](#) with $k = 1$ and $\zeta_{i,j} = |X_{i,j}|^2 e^{t|X_{i,j}|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|I_2| \leq Ct^2b^{1/8}h(t) \max_{i,j \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{|X_{i,j}|^2 e^{2t|X_{i,j}|}\} \\ (B.37) \quad &\leq Ct^2b^{1/4}\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Combining [\(B.36\)](#) and [\(B.37\)](#) yields [\(B.27\)](#). \square

Recall that $\tau_{i,j}$ is the transposition of i and j . For $n \geq 4$, $m = 0, 1, 2$, and any permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n-m}$, define the transform

$$(B.38) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \sigma(\mathbf{j}), \\ \sigma \circ \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(j_1), i_1} & \text{if } \sigma(i_1) \neq j_1 \text{ and } \sigma(i_2) = \sigma(j_2), \\ \sigma \circ \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(j_2), i_2} & \text{if } \sigma(i_1) = j_1 \text{ and } \sigma(i_2) \neq \sigma(j_2), \\ \sigma \circ \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(j_2), i_1} \circ \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(j_1), i_2} \circ \tau_{i_1, i_2} & \text{if } \sigma(i_1) \neq j_1 \text{ and } \sigma(i_2) \neq \sigma(j_2). \end{cases}$$

The transformation (B.38) was constructed by Goldstein (2005), and further applied by Chen and Fang (2015) to prove a Berry–Esseen bound for combinatorial central limit theorems. In the following lemmas, we use this transformation to calculate the conditional expectations of functions of W given $\pi(i_1) = j_1$ and $\pi(i_2) = j_2$.

LEMMA B.6. *Let S and σ be defined as in Lemma B.1. For any $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2) \in [n - m]_2$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2) \in [n - m]_2$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, we have*

$$(B.39) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j_q), \sigma(i_p)}| \Psi'_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}(\sigma(i_1) = j_1 \text{ or } \sigma(i_2) = j_2)\} \leq C b t n^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} \mathbb{E} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S).$$

PROOF OF LEMMA B.6. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{\sigma(i_1) = j_1 \text{ or } \sigma(i_2) = j_2\}$ and $\Gamma_{u, v} = \{\sigma(u) = j_q, \sigma(i_p) = v\}$. By the law of total expectation, for any $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, we have

$$(B.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\{|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j_q), \sigma(i_p)}| \Psi'_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\}\} \\ &= \sum_{u, v \in [n - m]} \mathbb{E}\{|X_{u, v}| \Psi'_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{u, v}), \\ &\leq \sum_{u, v \in [n - m]} t \mathbb{E}\{|X_{u, v}| e^{t|X_{u, j_q}|} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(u)}) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{u, v}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.28) in the last line. Since $(X_{u, v}, X_{u, j_q})$ is independent of $(S^{(u)}, \pi)$, we have

$$(B.41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\{|X_{u, v}| e^{t|X_{u, j_q}|} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(u)}) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|X_{u, v}| e^{t|X_{u, j_q}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(u)}) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \\ &\leq C b^{1/8} t \alpha_n^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(u)}) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(B.42) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S^{(u)}) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\{e^{t|X_{u, j_q}|} \Psi_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)|X_{u, j_q}|/\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \left(\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}^{1+\varepsilon}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\} \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the property of conditional expectation and the fact that \mathbf{X} is independent of σ , we have the right hand side of (B.42) is equal to

$$(B.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)|X_{u, j_q}|}\} \right)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_1 | B_2)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \left(\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}^{1+\varepsilon}(S) \mid \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_{u, v}\} \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_1 | \Gamma_{u, v})^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq C b^{1/64} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}^{1+\varepsilon}(S) \mid \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_{u, v}\} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_1 | \Gamma_{u, v}), \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from (B.8) and (B.9) and the fact that $\Psi_{\beta, t} \geq 1$. By the property of conditional expectation,

$$(B.44) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}^{1+\varepsilon}(S) \mid \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_{u, v}\} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_1 | \Gamma_{u, v}) = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_{u, v}\}.$$

Combining (B.40)–(B.44), we have

$$(B.45) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j_q), \sigma(i_p)}| \Psi'_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\}\} \leq C b^{1/4} t \alpha_n^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(S) \mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_1\}\}.$$

For the expectation term on the right hand side of (B.45),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \mathbf{1}(\Gamma_1)\} \\
&= \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in [n-m]} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \mathbf{1}(\Gamma_1) | \sigma(i_1) = v_1, \sigma(i_2) = v_2\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{P}(\sigma(i_1) = v_1, \sigma(i_2) = v_2) \\
&= \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in [n-m]} \mathbf{1}(v_1 = j_1 \text{ or } v_2 = j_2) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) | \sigma(i_1) = v_1, \sigma(i_2) = v_2\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{P}(\sigma(i_1) = v_1, \sigma(i_2) = v_2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.46}$$

For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$, let $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}} \sigma$ and $S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}} = \sum_{r=1}^{n-m} X_{r, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}(r)}$. By (3.14) of [Chen and Fang \(2015\)](#) (see also Lemma 4.5 of [Chen, Goldstein and Shao \(2011\)](#)), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) | \sigma(i_1) = v_1, \sigma(i_2) = v_2\} = \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}})\}.
\tag{B.47}$$

Moreover, by the construction of $S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|S - S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}| &\leq |X_{i_1, v_1}| + |X_{i_2, v_2}| + \sum_{i \in \{i_1, i_2\}} \sum_{v \in \{v_1, v_2\}} |X_{\sigma^{-1}(v), \sigma(i)}| \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \in \{i_1, i_2\}} |X_{i, \sigma(i)}| + \sum_{v \in \{v_1, v_2\}} |X_{\sigma^{-1}(v), v}|.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.48}$$

By (A.6) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}})\} &\leq \mathbb{E}\{e^{t|S - S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S)\} \\
&\leq (\mathbb{E}\{e^{(1+\varepsilon)t|S - S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}|/\varepsilon}\})^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)^{1+\varepsilon}\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.49}$$

By the similar argument to (B.8) and (B.9) again, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}})\} \leq Cb^{1/2} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)\}.
\tag{B.50}$$

Combining (B.46), (B.47) and (B.49), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S) \mathbf{1}(\Gamma_1)\} \\
&\leq Cb^{1/2} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)\} \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in [n-m]} \mathbf{1}(v_1 = j_1 \text{ or } v_2 = j_2) \mathbb{P}(\sigma(i_1) = v_1, \sigma(i_2) = v_2) \\
&\leq Cb^{1/2} n^{-1} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S)\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.51}$$

By (B.45) and (B.51), we complete the proof. \square

The following lemma, whose proof is based on [Lemmas B.5](#) and [B.6](#), plays an important role in the proof of [Lemma B.2](#).

LEMMA B.7. *Let π , \mathbf{X} and W be defined as in [Theorem 3.1](#), and recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is defined as in (B.38). For $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in [n]_2$, $\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}$ and $\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}$, let $\mathcal{I} = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}')$, $\mathcal{J} = (\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')$, and*

$$\pi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \pi, \quad \pi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'} \pi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}, \quad W_{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})}^{(\mathcal{I})} = \sum_{i' \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, i_2, i'_1, i'_2\}} X_{i', \pi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}}(i')}.$$

Then,

$$|\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} - h(t)| \leq Cb^2(n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})(1 + t^2)h(t).
\tag{B.52}$$

PROOF. Recall that $h(t) = \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)$. To bound the difference between $\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}})$ and $\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)$, we consider the following three steps. In the first step, we construct an auxiliary random variable $S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')}$ that is close to W and has the same distribution as $W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})}$. In the rest, we apply Taylor's expansion to calculate the difference of the expectations.

Step 1. Constructing $S_{i,j}^{(i,i')}$. Note that π is a random permutation chosen uniformly from \mathcal{S}_n , and it follows from Eq. (3.14) of [Chen and Fang \(2015\)](#) (see also Lemma 4.5 of [Chen, Goldstein and Shao \(2011\)](#)) that

$$(B.53) \quad \mathcal{L}(\pi_{i,j}) = \mathcal{L}(\pi|\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}).$$

Write $W_{i,j} = \sum_{i' \in [n]} X_{i',\pi_{i,j}(i')}$ and $W_{i,j}^{(i)} = \sum_{i' \notin A(\mathbf{i})} X_{i',\pi_{i,j}(i')}$, and it follows from (B.53) that $\mathcal{L}(W_{i,j}) = \mathcal{L}(W|\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j})$ and $\mathcal{L}(W_{i,j}^{(i)}) = \mathcal{L}(W^{(i)}|\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j})$. To calculate $\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{i,j})$, we introduce an auxiliary permutation σ as follows. Let σ be a uniform permutation from $[n] \setminus \{i_1, i_2\}$ to $[n] \setminus \{j_1, j_2\}$, independent of everything else, and let

$$(B.54) \quad S^{(i)} = \sum_{i' \notin A(\mathbf{i})} X_{i',\sigma(i')}.$$

It also follows from Lemma 4.5 of [Chen, Goldstein and Shao \(2011\)](#) that

$$(B.55) \quad \mathcal{L}(W_{i,j}^{(i)}) = \mathcal{L}(S^{(i)}).$$

Moreover, noting that $\{i_1, i_2\} \cap \{i'_1, i'_2\} = \emptyset$, using (B.53) twice implies that

$$(B.56) \quad \mathcal{L}(\pi_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}) = \mathcal{L}(\pi|\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}).$$

Recalling (B.38), we define

$$\sigma_{i',j'} = \mathcal{P}_{i',j'}\sigma, \quad S_{i',j'}^{(i)} = \sum_{i' \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} X_{i',\sigma_{i',j'}(i')}, \quad S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} = \sum_{i' \in [n] \setminus (\{i\} \cup \{i'\})} X_{i',\sigma_{i',j'}(i')}.$$

Then, it follows by definition that $\mathcal{L}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})}) = \mathcal{L}(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')})$.

Step 2. Bounding $|\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')}) - h(t)|$. We first bound $|\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')}) - \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})|$, and the bound of $|\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) - h(t)|$ can be obtained similarly. By Taylor's expansion,

$$(B.57)$$

$$\Psi_{\beta,t}(w) = \Psi_{\beta,t}(w_0) + (w - w_0)\Psi'_{\beta,t}(w_0) + \frac{1}{2}(w - w_0)^2 \mathbb{E}\{\Psi''_{\beta,t}(w_0 + U(w - w_0))(1 - U)\},$$

where U is a uniform random variable over the interval $[0, 1]$ independent of all others. Applying Taylor's expansion (B.57) with $w_0 = S^{(i)}$ and $w = S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')}$, we have

$$(B.58) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')})\} &= \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} + \mathbb{E}\{(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)})\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\{(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)})^2 \Psi''_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)} + U(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)}))(1 - U)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $B_{i,j}$ the event that $\{\sigma(i_1) = j_1 \text{ or } \sigma(i'_2) = j'_2\}$ and denote by $B_{i,j}^c$ the complement of $B_{i,j}$. For the second term of (B.58), by the construction of $\mathcal{P}_{i',j'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbb{E}\{(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)})\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| \\
& \leq |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'_1),\sigma(i'_1)}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\mathbf{1}(B_{i',j'})\}| \\
& \quad + |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'_2),\sigma(i'_2)}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\mathbf{1}(B_{i',j'})\}| \\
& \quad + |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'_1),\sigma(i'_2)}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\mathbf{1}(B_{i',j'}^c)\}| \\
& \quad + |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'_2),\sigma(i'_1)}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\mathbf{1}(B_{i',j'}^c)\}| \\
& \quad + \sum_{i' \in \{i'_1, i'_2\}} |\mathbb{E}\{X_{i',\sigma(i')} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| + \sum_{j' \in \{j'_1, j'_2\}} |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'),j'} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| \\
& \leq \sum_{i' \in \{i'_1, i'_2\}} \sum_{j' \in \{j'_1, j'_2\}} \mathbb{E}\{|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'),\sigma(i')}| \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) \mathbf{1}(B_{i',j'})\} \\
& \quad + |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'_1),\sigma(i'_2)}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| + |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'_2),\sigma(i'_1)}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| \\
& \quad + \sum_{i' \in \{i'_1, i'_2\}} |\mathbb{E}\{X_{i',\sigma(i')} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| + \sum_{j' \in \{j'_1, j'_2\}} |\mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j'),j'} \Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemmas B.5 and B.6 with $S = S^{(i)}$ under a relabeling of indices, we obtain

$$(B.59) \quad |\mathbb{E}\{(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)})\Psi'_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\}| \leq C(n^{-1}\alpha_n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})b(1+t^2)\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}).$$

For the third term of (B.58), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(B.60) \quad & |\mathbb{E}\{(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)})^2 \Psi''_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)} + U(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)}))(1-U)\}| \\
& \leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\{(S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)})^2 e^{t|S_{i',j'}^{(i,i')} - S^{(i)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} \\
& \leq 24t^2 \left\{ \sum_{k \in \{i_1, i_2, i'_1, i'_2\}} \sum_{l \in \{j_1, j_2, j'_1, j'_2\}} \mathbb{E}\{|X_{\sigma^{-1}(l),\sigma(k)}|^2 e^{24t|X_{\sigma^{-1}(l),\sigma(k)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{i \in \{i_1, i_2, i'_1, i'_2\}} \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,\sigma(i)}^2 e^{24t|X_{i,\sigma(i)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j \in \{j_1, j_2, j'_1, j'_2\}} \mathbb{E}\{X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j}^2 e^{24t|X_{\sigma^{-1}(j),j}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma B.1 with $S = S^{(i)}$ and $\zeta_{i,j} = |X_{i,j}|^2 e^{24t|X_{i,j}|}$ under a relabeling of indices, and recalling that $t \leq \alpha_n/64$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\{|X_{\sigma^{-1}(l),\sigma(k)}|^2 e^{24t|X_{\sigma^{-1}(l),\sigma(k)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} \\
& \leq 4b^{1/8} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) \max_{u,v \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{|X_{u,v}|^2 e^{24t|X_{u,v}| + t(|X_{k,v}| + |X_{u,l}|)}\} \\
& \leq Cb^{1/8} \alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) \max_{u,v \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{(1 + |\alpha_n X_{u,v}|^2) e^{13\alpha_n |X_{u,v}|/32}\} \\
& \leq Cb^{1/8} \alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) \max_{u,v \in [n]} \mathbb{E}\{e^{\alpha_n |X_{u,v}|/2}\} \\
& \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.4) in the last line. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{X_{i,\pi(i)}^2 e^{24t|X_{i,\pi(i)}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} &\leq Cb\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}), \\ \mathbb{E}\{X_{\pi^{-1}(j),j}^2 e^{24t|X_{\pi^{-1}(j),j}|} \Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})\} &\leq Cb\alpha_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\text{R.H.S. of (B.60)} \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-2}(1+t^2) \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}).$$

Together with (B.58) and (B.59), we have

$$(B.61) \quad |\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} - \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)})| \leq Cb(n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})(1+t^2) \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}).$$

A similar argument yields

$$(B.62) \quad |\mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) - h(t)| \leq Cb(n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})(1+t^2)h(t).$$

Then applying Lemma B.1 to the last expectation of (B.61) and similar to (B.60), we have

$$(B.63) \quad \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(S^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^{(i)}) \leq \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)e^{t|W_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^{(i)}-W|}\} \leq Cbh(t).$$

Combining (B.61)–(B.63) and recalling that $t \leq \alpha_n/64$, we obtain

$$|\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} - h(t)| \leq Cb^2(n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})(1+t^2)h(t).$$

This completes the proof. \square

B.3. Proofs of Lemma B.2 and B.3. First, we apply Lemmas B.1 and B.7 to prove Lemma B.2.

PROOF OF LEMMA B.2. Applying the Taylor expansion (B.57) to $\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)$ yields

$$(B.64) \quad \begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\pi(\mathbf{i}')}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\ = \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\pi(\mathbf{i}')}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) | \pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\} \\ = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Q_1 &= \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})}) | \pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\}, \\ Q_2 &= \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})}) | \pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\}, \\ Q_3 &= \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^2\Psi''_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})} + U(W - W^{(\mathcal{I})}))(1-U) | \pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\},\end{aligned}$$

and where $\mathcal{I} = (i_1, i_2, i'_1, i'_2)$, $\mathcal{J} = (j_1, j_2, j'_1, j'_2)$, $W^{(\mathcal{I})} = \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus A(\mathcal{I})} X_{i\pi(i)}$, $V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}} = X_{i_1,j_1} + X_{i_2,j_2} + X_{i'_1,j'_1} + X_{i'_2,j'_2}$ and U is a uniform random variable on $[0, 1]$ independent of \mathbf{X} and π .

For Q_1 , as $(\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i',j'})$ is conditionally independent of $W^{(\mathcal{I})}$ given $\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}$, and as $(\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i',j'})$ is also independent of π , we have

$$(B.65) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',j'}\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})})|\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\} = \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',j'}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})})|\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\}.$$

Let $W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}$ be defined as in [Lemma B.7](#). By [\(B.56\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})})|\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\} &= \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} \\ &= h(t) + |\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} - h(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking average over $\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}$ on both sides of [\(B.65\)](#) and applying [Lemma B.7](#) gives

$$(B.66) \quad \begin{aligned} |Q_1| &\leq \frac{h(t)}{(n)_4} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} (\mathbb{E}\xi_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\xi_{i',j'}) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} (\mathbb{E}|\xi_{i,j}| \mathbb{E}|\xi_{i',j'}| |\mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} - h(t)|) \\ &\leq \frac{h(t)}{(n)_4} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\xi_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\xi_{i',j'} \right| \\ &\quad + Cb^2n^{-4}(n^{-1} + \alpha_n^{-2})(1 + t^2)h(t) \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} (\mathbb{E}|\xi_{i,j}| \mathbb{E}|\xi_{i',j'}|). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the right hand side of [\(B.66\)](#), since $\mathbb{E}\xi_{i',\pi(i')} = 0$, it follows that $\sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\xi_{i',j'} = 0$. Therefore,

$$(B.67) \quad \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\xi_{i',j'} \right| = \left| - \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2 \setminus [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\xi_{i',j'} \right| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'}) \mathbb{E}|\xi_{i',j'}|,$$

where $E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'} = \{A(\mathbf{j}) \cap A(\mathbf{j}') \neq \emptyset\}$. Hence, we have

$$(B.68) \quad |Q_1| \leq Cb(1 + t^2)n^{-4}h(t) \sum_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'}) + \alpha_n^{-2} + n^{-1})(\mathbb{E}|\xi_{i,j}| \mathbb{E}|\xi_{i',j'}|).$$

We now consider Q_2 . Since $\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',j'}V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}$ is independent of $W^{(\mathcal{I})}$ conditional on $\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$(B.69) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',j'}V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})})|\pi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{J}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',j'}V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} \\ &= Q_{2,1} + Q_{2,2} + Q_{2,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_{2,1} = \frac{1}{(n)_4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{j})}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',j'}V_{i,j}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$Q_{2,2} = \frac{1}{(n)^4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(j)}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} V_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi'_{\beta,t}(W)\},$$

$$Q_{2,3} = \frac{1}{(n)^4} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2^{(j)}} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi''_{\beta,t}(W + U(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W))(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W)\},$$

and $V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = X_{i_1,j_1} + X_{i_2,j_2}$, $V_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} = X_{i'_1,j'_1} + X_{i'_2,j'_2}$. Similar to (B.67), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{2,1}| &\leq Cn^{-4}th(t) \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'}) |\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\}|, \\ (B.70) \quad |Q_{2,2}| &\leq Cn^{-4}th(t) \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'}) |\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} V_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

We next consider $Q_{2,3}$. By (5.27) and using a similar argument for (B.60),

$$\begin{aligned} (B.71) \quad &|\mathbb{E}\{\Psi''_{\beta,t}(W + U(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W))(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W)\}| \\ &\leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) e^{t(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W)} |W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W|\} \\ &\leq Cb\alpha_n^{-1}(1 + t^2)h(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{2,3}| &\leq Cb(1 + t^2)h(t)n^{-4}\alpha_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} |\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\}| + \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} |\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} V_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\}| \right). \\ (B.72) \end{aligned}$$

By (B.69), (B.70) and (B.72), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B.73) \quad |Q_2| &\leq Cb(1 + t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\alpha_n^{-1} + \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'})) (|\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\}| + |\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} V_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}\} \mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\}|) \\ &\leq Cb(1 + t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|^2 T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2\} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\} + \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|^2 T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}^2\} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|\}) \\ &\quad + Cb(1 + t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\alpha_n^{-2} + \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'})) \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|\} \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Cauchy's inequality and the fact that $|V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}| \leq T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ for any \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} . Finally, for Q_3 , by (5.27) again, and noting that $V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}} = V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} + V_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B.74) \quad &|\mathbb{E}\{\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^2 \Psi''_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})} + U(W - W^{(\mathcal{I})}))(1 - U) | \pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}; \pi(\mathbf{i}') = \mathbf{j}'\}| \\ &\leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}| V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^2 \Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})}) e^{t|V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}|} | \pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}; \pi(\mathbf{i}') = \mathbf{j}'\}| \\ &= t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}| V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^2 e^{t|V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W^{(\mathcal{I})}) | \pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}; \pi(\mathbf{i}') = \mathbf{j}'\} \\ &= t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}| V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^2 e^{t|V_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})})\} \\ &\leq 2t^2 \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \xi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}| (T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} + T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|})\} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) e^{t|W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W|}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $|V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}| \leq T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$ for any \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} . Then applying Lemma B.1 to the second expectation in the last line of (B.74) and similar to (B.60), we have

$$(B.75) \quad \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W) e^{t|W_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathcal{I})} - W|}\} \leq Cbh(t).$$

By (B.74) and (B.75), we have

$$(B.76) \quad |Q_3| \leq Cbn^{-4}t^2h(t) \sum_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}| T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}|\xi_{\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'}| + \mathbb{E}\{|\xi_{\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'}| T_{\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'}|}\} \mathbb{E}|\xi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}|).$$

Combining (B.64), (B.68), (B.73) and (B.76) yields the desired result. \square

We finish our paper by proving Lemma B.3, which is based on Lemmas B.1 and B.2.

PROOF OF LEMMA B.3. First, for $v = 0$, expanding the square term in (B.10) yields

$$(B.77) \quad \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}(u)\right)^2 \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\right\} = H_1(u) + H_2(u),$$

where

$$H_1(u) = \frac{1}{16n^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2 \setminus [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}} \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}(u) \bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}', \pi(\mathbf{i}')}(u) \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\},$$

$$H_2(u) = \frac{1}{16n^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}} \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}(u) \bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}', \pi(\mathbf{i}')}(u) \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\}.$$

For $H_1(u)$, by Young's inequality,

$$(B.78) \quad H_1(u) \leq \frac{1}{16n^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2 \setminus [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}} \mathbb{E}\{(g_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}^2(u) + g_{\mathbf{i}', \pi(\mathbf{i}')}^2(u)) \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{g_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}^2(u) \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\}.$$

Taking integration on both sides of (B.78) implies

$$(B.79) \quad \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{g_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}^2(u) \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\} du$$

$$\leq Cn^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(W) \left(|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|} + \mathbb{E}|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|}\right)\right\}.$$

Applying Lemma B.1 with $k = 2, m = 0, \sigma = \pi$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} = |D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}|}$, we have

$$(B.80) \quad \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|} \Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\}$$

$$\leq Cb \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\} \max_{\mathbf{v} \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}|} e^{t|\sum_{r=1}^2 X_{i_r, v_r}|\}$$

$$\leq Cb^{1/16} \alpha_n^{-3} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\} \max_{\mathbf{v} \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_n D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}}|} e^{t|\sum_{r=1}^2 X_{i_r, v_r}|\}$$

$$\leq Cb \alpha_n^{-3} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\},$$

and similarly,

$$(B.81) \quad \mathbb{E}|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|^3 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i}, \pi(\mathbf{i})}|} \leq Cb \alpha_n^{-3}.$$

By (B.78)–(B.81), we have

$$(B.82) \quad \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} H_1(u) du \leq Cbn \alpha_n^{-3} \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta, t}(W)\}.$$

For $H_2(u)$, by [Lemma B.2](#), with $\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = g_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)$, we have any $\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2$, $\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}$, and $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}(u)\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}',\pi(\mathbf{i}')}(u)\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\} \\
& \leq Cb(1+t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}(u)|\} \\
& \quad + Cb(1+t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}(u)|T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|\} \\
& \quad + Cb(1+t^2)h(t)n^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2} (\alpha_n^{-2} + n^{-1} + \mathbf{1}(E_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'})) \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|\} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}(u)|\} \\
& := H_{21}(u) + H_{22}(u) + H_{23}(u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.83}$$

First we consider $H_{21}(u)$. Since $\mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|\} \leq 2\mathbb{E}|D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|$, by Fubini's theorem, we have for any $\mathbf{i} \in [n]_2$, $\mathbf{i}' \in [n]_2^{(\mathbf{i})}$, and $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in [n]_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}(u)|\} du \right| \\
& \leq 2 \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\} du \right| \\
& \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left\{ \left(|D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|^2 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} + \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})}|^2 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i},\pi(\mathbf{i})|}\} \right) T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} \right\} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.84}$$

where use the same notation as in [Lemma B.2](#). Then, by [\(3.4\)](#) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\{ |D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|^2 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} \right\} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\} \\
& \leq C\alpha_n^{-5} \mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_n^{-1} D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|^2 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} (\alpha_n^{-1} T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})^2 e^{t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{\alpha_n^{-1} |D_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\} \\
& \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-5}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.85}$$

Similar to [\(B.85\)](#),

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ |D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|^2 e^{2t|D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} \right\} \mathbb{E}\left\{ T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|} \right\} \mathbb{E}\{|D_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}|\} \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-5}.
\tag{B.86}$$

Using [\(B.84\)](#)–[\(B.86\)](#), we have

$$\left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}(u)|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^2 e^{2t|T_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|}\} \mathbb{E}\{|\bar{g}_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}(u)|\} du \right| \leq Cb\alpha_n^{-5}.
\tag{B.87}$$

Furthermore, we have,

$$\left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} H_{21}(u) du \right| \leq Cb(1+t^2)\alpha_n^{-5}h(t)
\tag{B.88}$$

Moreover, by the same argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} H_{22}(u) du \right| \leq Cb(1+t^2)\alpha_n^{-5}h(t) \\
& \left| \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} H_{23}(u) du \right| \leq Cb(1+t^2)(\alpha_n^{-5} + n\alpha_n^{-3})h(t)
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.89}$$

By (B.88) and (B.89), we have we have

$$(B.90) \quad \int_{|u| \leq 1} e^{2t|u|} H_2(u) du \leq Cb^2(n^2\alpha_n^{-5} + n\alpha_n^{-3})(1 + t^2) \mathbb{E}\{\Psi_{\beta,t}(W)\}.$$

By (B.82) and (B.90), we complete the proof (B.10) for $v = 0$. The inequality (B.10) for the case $v = 1$ can be shown similarly. \square

Acknowledgements. Liu Song-Hao was partially supported by Hong Kong RGC GRF 14304917 and 14302418. Zhuo-Song Zhang was supported by the Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 2 grant MOE2018-T2-2-076. Both authors would like to thank Qi-Man Shao and Xiao Fang for their helpful advises.

REFERENCES

- BALDI, P. and RINOTT, Y. (1989). On normal approximations of distributions in terms of dependency graphs. *Ann. Probab.* **17** 1646–1650.
- BALDI, P., RINOTT, Y. and STEIN, C. (1989). A normal approximation for the number of local maxima of a random function on a graph. In *Probability, statistics, and mathematics* 59–81. Elsevier.
- CHATTERJEE, S. (2014). A Short Survey of Stein’s Method. *Contribution to the Proceedings of the ICM 2014*.
- CHEN, L. H. Y., FANG, X. and SHAO, Q.-M. (2013). From Stein identities to moderate deviations. *Ann. Probab.* **41** 262–293.
- CHEN, L. H. and FANG, X. (2015). On the error bound in a combinatorial central limit theorem. *Bernoulli* **21** 335–359.
- CHEN, L. H., GOLDSTEIN, L. and SHAO, Q.-M. (2011). *Normal approximation by Stein’s method*. Springer.
- CHEN, L. H. Y., RÖLLIN, A. and XIA, A. (2020). Palm theory, random measures and Stein couplings. Available at arXiv 2004.05026.
- CHEN, L. H. Y. and SHAO, Q.-M. (2004). Normal approximation under local dependence. *Ann. Probab.* **32** 1985–2028.
- CRAMÉR, H. (1938). Sur un nouveau théoreme-limite de la théorie des probabilités. *Actual. Sci. Ind.* **736** 5–23.
- DEMBO, A. and RINOTT, Y. (1996). Some examples of normal approximations by Stein’s method. In *Random discrete structures* 25–44. Springer.
- FANG, X. (2019). Wasserstein-2 bounds in normal approximation under local dependence. *Electron. J. Probab.* **24** 1–14.
- FROLOV, A. N. (2019). On large deviations for combinatorial sums. Available at arXiv:1901.04244.
- GOLDSTEIN, L. (2005). Berry-Esseen bounds for combinatorial central limit theorems and pattern occurrences, using zero and size biasing. *J. Appl. Probab.* **42** 661–683.
- HEINRICH, L. (1982). A method for the derivation of limit theorems for sums of m -dependent random variables. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **60** 501–515.
- HO, S. T. and CHEN, L. H. Y. (1978). An L_p bound for the remainder in a combinatorial central limit theorem. *Ann. Probab.* **6** 231–249. [MR478291](#)
- HOEFFDING, W. (1951). A combinatorial central limit theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **22** 558–566. [MR44058](#)
- HU, Z., ROBINSON, J. and WANG, Q. (2007). Cramér-type large deviations for samples from a finite population. *Ann. Statist.* **35** 673–696.
- LINNIK, Y. V. (1961). On the probability of large deviations for the sums of independent variables. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* **2** 289–306. Univ of California Press.
- PETROV, V. V. (1975). *Sums of independent random variables* **82**. Springer Science & Business Media.
- RAIC, M. (2003). Normal Approximation by Stein’s Method. In *Proceedings of the 7th Young Statisticians Meeting* 71–97.
- RAIČ, M. (2019). A multivariate Berry–Esseen theorem with explicit constants. *Bernoulli* **25** 2824–2853.
- RAIČ, M. (2007). CLT-related large deviation bounds based on Stein’s method. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **39** 731–752.
- RINOTT, Y. (1994). On normal approximation rates for certain sums of dependent random variables. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **55** 135–143.
- SHAO, Q.-M., ZHANG, M. and ZHANG, Z.-S. (2021). Cramér-type moderate deviation theorems for nonnormal approximation. *Ann. App. Probab.* **31** 247–283.

- STEIN, C. (1972). A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 2: Probability Theory* 583–602. University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif.
- ZHANG, Z.-S. (2019). Cramér-type moderate deviation of normal approximation for exchangeable pairs. Available at [arXiv 1901.09526](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.09526).