# LIFE SPAN OF SOLUTIONS TO A PDE MODEL FOR LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES IN HIGH SPACE DIMENSIONS

XIANGSHENG XU

Abstract. In this paper we study a system of partial differential equations which models lithiumion batteries. The system describes the conservation of Lithium and conservation of charges in the solid and electrolyte phases, together with the conservation of energy. The mathematical challenge is due to the fact that the reaction terms in the system involve the hyperbolic sine function along with possible degeneracy in one of the high order terms. We obtain a local existence assertion for the initial boundary problem for the system which offers insight into how long a battery can last.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A lithium-ion battery or Li-ion battery (abbreviated as LIB) is a type of rechargeable battery. The technology was largely developed by John Goodenough, Stanley Whittingham, Rachid Yazami, and Akira Yoshino during the 1970s–1980s, and then commercialized by a Sony and Asahi Kasei team led by Yoshio Nishi in 1991. Lithium-ion batteries are commonly used for portable electronics and electric vehicles and are growing in popularity for military, civilian, and aerospace applications. A mathematical model describing the key factors of the battery operation can be very helpful for the design and optimization of battery performance. Based on a macro-homogeneous approach developed by Newman (see [\[12,](#page-23-0) [13\]](#page-23-1)) several mathematical models have been developed for these purposes (see  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$  $[1, 4, 6, 5, 8, 9, 16, 14]$ ) which include the main physics present in charge/discharge processes.

A typical Lithium-ion battery cell  $(\Omega)$  has three regions: a porous negative electrode  $(\Omega_a)$ , a porous positive electrode  $(\Omega_c)$ , and an electro-blocking separator  $(\Omega_s)$ . See Fig[.1](#page-0-0) below. In the cell

$\Gamma_a$	negative electrode	separator	positive electrode	- C
------------	--------------------	-----------	--------------------	-----

<span id="page-0-0"></span>FIGURE 1. The domain  $\Omega$ 

there is stored an electrolyte, which is a concentrated solution containing charged species (lithium ions) that move along the cell in response to an electrochemical potential gradient. In the batteries, lithium ions move from the negative electrode through an electrolyte to the positive electrode during discharge, and back when charging. The concentration of the lithium ions in the electrolyte, C, the electric potential in the electrodes,  $\Phi_s$ , and the electric potential measured by a reference Lithium

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35A01,35M10, 35J57, 35K20, 35B50.

Key words and phrases. Lithium-ion batteries; mathematical model; the De Giorgi iteration scheme; existence and boundedness of a solution.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762. Email: xxu@math.msstate.edu.

electrode in the electrolyte,  $\Phi_e$ , can be modeled, for each  $t \in (0,T)$ , by the following equations expressing the conservation of various physical quantities [\[17\]](#page-23-10):

<span id="page-1-0"></span>(1.1) 
$$
-\text{div}(\kappa(C)\nabla\Phi_e) + \text{div}(\alpha_1\kappa(C)\nabla\ln C) - S_e = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,
$$

(1.2) 
$$
-\text{div}(\sigma \nabla \Phi_s) + S_e = 0 \text{ in } \Omega' \equiv \Omega_a \cup \Omega_c,
$$

(1.3) 
$$
\varepsilon_e \partial_t C - \text{div}(D \nabla C) - \alpha_3 S_e = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.
$$

We further specify the terms in the system. We assume:

(H1) The function  $\kappa(C)$  lies in the space  $C[0,\infty)$ , satisfying

(1.4) 
$$
\kappa(0) = 0
$$
,  $\kappa(C) > 0$  for  $C > 0$ , and  $\kappa(C) \ge c_0 C^{\alpha_0}$  on  $[0, s_0)$  for some  $c_0, s_0, \alpha_0 > 0$ .

<span id="page-1-4"></span>(H2) 
$$
\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\Omega'), \varepsilon_e \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), D \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)
$$
 with

ess inf<sub>Ω′</sub> $\sigma > 0$ , ess inf<sub>Ωε</sub> $\epsilon_e > 0$ , ess inf<sub>Ωτ</sub> $D > 0$ .

(H3) The term  $S_e$  represents a function of  $C, \Phi_s, \Phi_e$ , which has the expression

(1.5) 
$$
S_e = S_e(C, \Phi_s, \Phi_e) = \begin{cases} \alpha_4 \sqrt{C} \sinh(\alpha_2 (\Phi_s - \Phi_e - U(C))) & \text{in } \Omega', \\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega_s, \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where  $U$  is a bounded smooth function of  $C$ .

(H4) The numbers  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , in [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(1.5\)](#page-1-1) are all positive numbers, whose precise values are determined by the physical properties of the materials involved.

To prescribe the initial boundary conditions, we first set

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\Gamma_a = \partial \Omega \cap \partial \Omega_a, \quad \Gamma_c = \partial \Omega \cap \partial \Omega_c.
$$

We call  $\Gamma_a \cup \Gamma_c$  the external boundary of  $\Omega'$  and denote it by  $\partial_{ext}\Omega'$ . Subsequently, we impose

(1.6)  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_e}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega' \setminus \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega',
$$
\n
$$
-\sigma \frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = I \text{ on } \partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega',
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
$$
\n
$$
C(x, 0) = C_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega,
$$

where **n** is the unit outward normal to the boundary and I is the current density (a given quantity). As in [\[17\]](#page-23-10), we assume

$$
\int_{\partial_{\text{ext}} \Omega'} I dS = 0.
$$

This condition means that no charges are generated or consumed within the battery. Equipped with this, we can simplify the problem a little bit. To this end, we observe that [\(1.7\)](#page-1-2) combing with [\(1.6\)](#page-1-3) yields

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\int_{\partial\Omega'} \sigma \frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial \mathbf{n}} dS = 0.
$$

Consequently, (under suitable assumptions on I) we can find a function  $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega') \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega')$ such that

$$
-\text{div}(\sigma \nabla \phi) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega',
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \text{ on } \partial \Omega'.
$$

We make the following change of dependent variables

$$
\Phi_s \leftarrow \Phi_s - \phi, \quad \Phi_e \leftarrow \Phi_e - \alpha_1 \ln C.
$$

Accordingly, we represent  $S_e$  in terms of the new dependent variables

$$
S_e = \alpha_4 \sqrt{C} \sinh (\alpha_2 (\Phi_s - \Phi_e) + \alpha_2 \phi - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \ln C - \alpha_2 U(C)) \chi_{\Omega'}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \sqrt{C} \left( hC^{-d} e^{\alpha_2 (\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} - h^{-1} C^d e^{-\alpha_2 (\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right) \chi_{\Omega'},
$$

where

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
d = \alpha_1 \alpha_2
$$
 and  $h = e^{\alpha_2(\phi - U(C))}$ .

In summary, we obtain the following problem

(1.8) 
$$
-\text{div}(\kappa(C)\nabla\Phi_e) = S_e\chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega_T \equiv \Omega \times (0,T),
$$

(1.9) 
$$
- \operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla \Phi_s) = -S_e \text{ in } \Omega'_T \equiv \Omega' \times (0, T),
$$
  
(1.10) 
$$
\varepsilon_c \partial_t C - \operatorname{div}(D \nabla C) = \alpha_s S_c \chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega_T.
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_e}{\partial \Phi_e} = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\partial \Omega_x}{\partial \Phi_x} \tag{0.7}
$$

(1.11)  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{s}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega' \times (0, T),
$$

(1.12) 
$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial C} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T)
$$

(1.13) 
$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T),
$$

$$
(1.14) \tC(x,0) = C_0(x) \ton \tOmega,
$$

where  $T > 0$ . Note that the introduction of the function f in [\[17\]](#page-23-10) is unnecessary.

If we introduce the function

$$
G(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1 y_2^{-d} e^{\alpha_2 y_3} - y_1^{-1} y_2^{d} e^{-\alpha_2 y_3} \text{ for } (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},
$$

then we can write  $S_e$  in the form

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
S_e = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \sqrt{C} G(h, C, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \chi_{\Omega'}.
$$

For simplicity, we assume  $U = 1$ . Consequently, we can view h as a given function with the property

(1.15) 
$$
\frac{1}{K} \le h \le K \text{ for some } K \ge 1.
$$

The case where  $U$  is an increasing function of  $C$  can be handled in an entirely similar manner.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>Define the function spaces

(1.16) 
$$
X_T = L^{\infty}(\Omega_T) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega'_T) \times C(\overline{\Omega_T}),
$$

$$
(1.17) \t Y_T = L^{\infty}(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \times L^{\infty}(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega')) \times L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega)).
$$

Local existence of a weak solution in  $X_T \cap Y_T$  to [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0)-[\(1.14\)](#page-2-0) was already established in [\[17\]](#page-23-10). The result there asserts that there is positive number  $T_0$  such that problem [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0)-[\(1.14\)](#page-2-0) has a solution for  $T = T_0$ . This is to be expected because a battery can last for only a limited period of time. However, the local existence theorem in [\[17\]](#page-23-10) did not offer any quantitative information on the size of  $T_0$ . The objective of this paper is to fill this gap. To be precise, we have:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>Theorem 1.1. *Let (H1)-(H4) be satisfied. Assume:*

- (H5)  $N \geq 2$  and  $\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega'$  are Lipschitz;
- (H6)  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  *is such that* [\(1.15\)](#page-2-1) *is satisfied*;
- (H7)  $C_0(x) \in C^{\alpha_5}(\overline{\Omega})$  *for some*  $\alpha_5 \in (0,1)$  *with the property*

$$
\min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0(x) > 0;
$$

(H8)  $d > \frac{1}{2}$ ; (H9)  $|\Omega_s| < |\Omega'|$ .

*Then there is a positive number*  $T_0$  *such that for*  $T = T_0$  *problem* [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0)–[\(1.14\)](#page-2-0) *has a weak solution in*  $X_T \cap Y_T$  *with the property* 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\min_{\overline{\Omega}_T} C > 0,
$$

(1.19) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \Phi_e(x,t) dx + \int_{\Omega'} \Phi_s(x,t) dx = 0.
$$

*Furthermore, the number*  $T_0$  *satisfies the equation* [\(4.30\)](#page-21-0) *below.* 

A careful examination of the proof of  $(4.30)$  below reveals how  $T_0$  "explicitly" depends on the given data. At least, we can gain an estimate for  $T_0$  (see [\(2.10\)](#page-5-0) below). Our method is more constructive than the one employed in [\[17\]](#page-23-10). Obviously,  $T_0$  represents a lower bound for battery life.

Since  $\kappa(0) = 0$ , we must obtain a positive lower bound for C to avoid degeneracy in [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0). This also removes the singularity of  $S_e$  at  $C=0$ . It turns out that condition (H8) is sufficient to do the deed. See Lemma [4.1](#page-12-0) below. It is worth noting that (H8) is also the condition which ensures that  $S_e$  is a decreasing function of C. Since  $\sigma$  is taken to be 0 on  $\Omega_s$ , equation [\(1.9\)](#page-2-0) is only satisfied on  $\Omega'_T$ . Condition (H9) is assumed to circumvent the difficulty caused by this. In applications, (H9) makes sense because separators  $\Omega_s$  are rather thin. The reaction term  $S_e$  is messy at first glance. A closer examination shows that the function G in  $S_e$  is the composition of  $b(s) \equiv s - \frac{1}{s}$  with the term  $h^{-d}e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s-\Phi_e)}$ . The function  $b(s)$  has two singular points at 0 and ∞. This suggests that we need to establish

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\Phi_s - \Phi_e \in L^{\infty}(\Omega'_T), \quad C \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)
$$
 with ess  $\inf_{\Omega_T} C > 0$ .

It turns out that the above is indeed sufficient for an existence assertion for our problem. We observe

$$
\partial_{y_3} G(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \alpha_2 \left( y_1 y_2^{-d} e^{\alpha_2 y_3} + y_1^{-1} y_2^d e^{-\alpha_2 y_3} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq 2\alpha_2 > 0 \text{ for } (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \text{ and}
$$
  
\n
$$
\partial_{y_2} G(y_1, y_2, y_3) = -\frac{d}{y_2} (y_1 y_2^{-d} e^{\alpha_2 y_3} + y_1^{-1} y_2^d e^{-\alpha_2 y_3})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq -\frac{2d}{y_2} < 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}.
$$

These properties of G will be extensively exploited.

The uniqueness of a solution clearly does not hold. If  $(\Phi_e, \Phi_s, C)$  is a solution, so is  $(\Phi_e + g(t), \Phi_s + g(t))$  $g(t), C$  for any  $g(t)$ . It would be interesting to know if the uniqueness holds under [\(1.19\)](#page-3-0).

There is a large body of literature devoted to the mathematical study of lithium-ion batteries, and we will not attempt to make a comprehensive review. As far as we know, all the current work is concerned with the so-called (P2D) models in which the space dimension is essentially one with the lonely exception of  $[17]$ . Here we only mention  $[2, 4, 6, 10, 16]$  $[2, 4, 6, 10, 16]$  $[2, 4, 6, 10, 16]$  $[2, 4, 6, 10, 16]$  $[2, 4, 6, 10, 16]$ , where one can find numerical computations and analytic study of this and other related models, with parameters corresponding to actual devices, that help to highlight the structure of models and show the relevance to the applications. As observed in [\[17\]](#page-23-10), the gap between  $N = 1$  and  $N > 1$  is substantial in terms of mathematical analysis.

The space dimension  $N$  is assumed to be bigger than 2 for the convenience of applying the Sobolev embedding theorem. Our argument still works for  $N = 2$  under some minor adjustments. Hölder's inequality is often used without acknowledgment. The same goes for the following two inequalities

$$
(|a|+|b|)^{\beta} \le 2^{\beta-1} (|a|^{\beta}+|b|^{\beta}) \quad \text{if } \beta > 1, \ (|a|+|b|)^{\beta} \le |a|^{\beta}+|b|^{\beta} \quad \text{if } 0 < \beta \le 1.
$$

When no confusion arises, we suppress the dependence of a function on its variables. As usual, the letter c is used to denote a generic positive constant.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect a few known results that have played essential roles in our development. Section 3 is devoted to the study of approximate problems. It is interesting to note that our approximation scheme is designed in such a way that the global existence of a solution holds. The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) is presented in Section 4.

# 2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect a few known results that are useful to us.

Our existence theorem is based upon the following fixed point theorem, which is often called the Leray-Schauder fixed point lemma([\[7\]](#page-23-13), p.280).

<span id="page-4-4"></span>Lemma 2.1. *Let* <sup>B</sup> *be a map from a Banach space* <sup>B</sup> *into itself. Assume:*

*(LS1)* B *is continuous;*

*(LS2) the images of bounded sets of* B *are precompact;*

*(LS3) there exists a constant* c *such that*

 $||z||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq c$ 

for all 
$$
z \in \mathcal{B}
$$
 and  $\sigma \in [0,1]$  satisfying  $z = \sigma B(z)$ .

*Then* B *has a fixed point.*

<span id="page-4-5"></span>**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\{y_n\}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the recursive *inequalities*

(2.1) 
$$
y_{n+1} \le cb^n y_n^{1+\alpha} \text{ for some } b > 1, c, \alpha \in (0, \infty).
$$

*If*

$$
y_0 \leq c^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} b^{-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}},
$$

*then*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0$ .

This lemma can be found in([\[3\]](#page-23-14), p.12).

The following lemma plays a key role in the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-2) It is inspired by a result in [\[18\]](#page-23-15).

<span id="page-4-6"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $a(\tau)$  be a continuous non-negative function defined on  $[0, T_0]$  for some  $T_0 > 0$ . *Suppose that there exist three positive numbers* ε, δ, m *such that*

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(2.2) 
$$
a(\tau) < \varepsilon e^{ma^{1+\delta}(\tau)} + m \text{ for each } \tau \in [0, T_0].
$$

*Then there exists a positive number*  $s_0$  *determined by*  $m, \delta$  *only such that if* [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) *holds for* 

(2.3) 
$$
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \equiv \frac{1}{m(1+\delta)e^{ms_0^{1+\delta}s_0^{\delta}}}
$$

*then we have*

<span id="page-4-3"></span>(2.4) 
$$
a(\tau) < s_0 \text{ for each } \tau \in (0, T_0], \text{ provided that } a(0) \leq m.
$$

*Proof.* Introduce the function  $f_{\varepsilon}(s) = \varepsilon e^{ms^{1+\delta}} - s + m$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then we form the system

(2.5) 
$$
f'_{\varepsilon}(s) = \varepsilon m(1+\delta)e^{ms^{1+\delta}}s^{\delta} - 1 = 0,
$$

(2.6) 
$$
f_{\varepsilon}(s) = \varepsilon e^{ms^{1+\delta}} - s + m = 0
$$

and solve it for  $(\varepsilon, s)$ . By  $(2.5)$ , we have

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\varepsilon = \frac{1}{m(1+\delta)e^{ms^{1+\delta}s^{\delta}}}
$$

.

Substitute this into [\(2.6\)](#page-4-1) to derive

<span id="page-5-1"></span>(2.7) 
$$
\frac{1}{m(1+\delta)s^{\delta}} - s + m = 0.
$$

Clearly, the left-hand side of [\(2.7\)](#page-5-1) is a strictly decreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$  with  $f_{\varepsilon}(0+) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{s\to\infty} f_{\varepsilon}(s) = -\infty$ . Thus, [\(2.7\)](#page-5-1) has a unique solution in  $(0,\infty)$ . This solution is our  $s_0$ , and it depends only on  $m, \delta$ . Use [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2) to obtain  $\varepsilon_0$ . Our assumption implies

<span id="page-5-2"></span>(2.8) 
$$
f_{\varepsilon_0}(a(\tau)) > 0 \text{ for each } \tau \in [0, T_0].
$$

We easily infer from [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1) and [\(2.6\)](#page-4-1) that

(2.9) 
$$
f_{\varepsilon_0}(s_0) = f'_{\varepsilon_0}(s_0) = 0.
$$

Moreover,  $f_{\varepsilon_0}(s)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[0, s_0)$  and strictly increasing on  $(s_0, \infty)$ . Thus, 0 is the minimum value of  $f_{\varepsilon_0}(s)$  on  $[0,\infty)$ .

<span id="page-5-3"></span>It is easy to see from [\(2.7\)](#page-5-1) that

$$
(2.10) \t\t s_0 > m.
$$

The range of a is a closed interval because it is a continuous function  $[0, T_0]$ , and this interval is either contained in [0, s<sub>0</sub>) or (s<sub>0</sub>, ∞) due to [\(2.8\)](#page-5-2) and [\(2.9\)](#page-5-3). The latter cannot occur due to the second inequality in (2.4) and (2.10). Thus the lemma follows. second inequality in  $(2.4)$  and  $(2.10)$ . Thus the lemma follows.

### <span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>3. Approximate problems

In this section, we first present our approximation scheme. Then we proceed to prove the existence of a weak solution to our approximate problems. Note that our construction here is entirely different from that in [\[17\]](#page-23-10) where the authors directly seek C in the function space  $Z_M \equiv$  ${u \in C^{\frac{\beta}{2},\beta}(\overline{\Omega_T}) : \frac{1}{M} \leq u \text{ and } ||u||_{C^{\frac{\beta}{2},\beta}(\overline{\Omega_T})}} \leq M}$  for some  $\beta \in (0,1)$  and  $M > 1$ .

To design our approximation scheme, we pick

$$
\tau \in (0,1)
$$

and define

<span id="page-5-6"></span>(3.2) 
$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\kappa_{\tau}(s) & = & \kappa(s^+ + \tau), \\
\theta_{\tau}(s) & = & \begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{\tau} & \text{if } s \ge \frac{1}{\tau}, \\
s & \text{if } \kappa(s) \le \frac{1}{\tau} \\
\end{cases} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}.\n\end{array}
$$

<span id="page-5-4"></span>We approximate  $G(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  by (3.3)  $G_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1(\theta_{\tau}(y_2) + \tau)^{-d} e^{\alpha_2 y_3} - y_1^{-1} \theta_{\tau}^d(y_2) e^{-\alpha_2 y_3}$  for  $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Our approximate problems are:

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(3.4) 
$$
-\text{div}\left[\kappa_{\tau}(C)\nabla\Phi_{e}\right]+\tau\Phi_{e} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{4}H_{\tau}(h,C^{+},\Phi_{s}-\Phi_{e})\chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega_{T},
$$

(3.5) 
$$
-\text{div}(\sigma \nabla \Phi_s) + \tau \Phi_s = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \text{ in } \Omega'_T,
$$

(3.6) 
$$
\varepsilon_e \partial_t C - \text{div}(D \nabla C) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega_T,
$$

(3.7) 
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_e}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T),
$$

(3.8) 
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega' \times (0, T),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial C} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega' \times (0, T),
$$

(3.9) 
$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega' \times (0, T),
$$

(3.10) 
$$
C(x, 0) = C_0(x)
$$
 on  $\Omega$ ,

where

<span id="page-6-4"></span>(3.11) 
$$
H_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(y_2)} G_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3).
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** Let (H1)-(H7) be satisfied. For each  $T > 0$  there is a weak solution  $(\Phi_e, \Phi_s, C)$ *in the space*  $X_T \cap Y_T$  *to problem* [\(3.4\)](#page-6-0)*-*[\(3.10\)](#page-6-0)*, where*  $X_T$  *and*  $Y_T$  *are defined in* [\(1.16\)](#page-2-3) *and* [\(1.17\)](#page-2-3)*, respectively.*

The proof of this theorem is divided into a couple of lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $C = C(x,t) \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  be given. For each  $t \in [0,T]$  there is a unique weak solution  $(\varphi, \psi) = (\varphi(x, t), \psi(x, t))$  *in*  $(C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W^{1,2}(\Omega))^2$  *to the boundary value problem* 

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(3.12) 
$$
-\text{div}(\kappa_{\tau}(C)\nabla\varphi)+\tau\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_4H_{\tau}(h,C^+,\psi-\varphi)\chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$

(3.13) 
$$
-\text{div}(\sigma \nabla \psi) + \tau \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, \psi - \varphi) \text{ in } \Omega',
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad on \ \partial \Omega,
$$

(3.15) 
$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega'.
$$

*Proof.* We first establish the uniqueness assertion. Suppose that there exist two weak solutions, say,  $(\varphi_1, \psi_1), (\varphi_2, \psi_2)$ . Then we have

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
- \operatorname{div} (\kappa_{\tau}(C) \nabla(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)) + \tau(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^+)} \left( G_{\tau}(h, C^+, \psi_1 - \varphi_1) - G_{\tau}(h, C^+, \psi_2 - \varphi_2) \right) \chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
\n
$$
- \operatorname{div} (\sigma \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)) + \tau(\psi_1 - \psi_2)
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^+)} \left( G_{\tau}(h, C^+, \psi_1 - \varphi_1) - G_{\tau}(h, C^+, \psi_2 - \varphi_2) \right) \text{ in } \Omega'.
$$

Note from [\(3.3\)](#page-5-4) that for each fixed  $\tau$  we have

$$
G_{\tau}(h, C^+, \varphi) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega')
$$
 whenever  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega').$ 

Use  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  as a test function in [\(3.16\)](#page-6-1),  $\psi_1 - \psi_2$  in [\(3.17\)](#page-6-1), then add up the two resulting equations to derive

$$
\int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\tau}(C) |\nabla(\varphi_{1} - \varphi_{2})|^{2} dx + \tau \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{1} - \varphi_{2}|^{2} dx \n+ \int_{\Omega'} \sigma |\nabla(\psi_{1} - \psi_{2})|^{2} dx + \tau \int_{\Omega'} |\psi_{1} - \psi_{2}|^{2} dx \n= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{+})} \left( G_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, \psi_{1} - \varphi_{1}) - G_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, \psi_{2} - \varphi_{2}) \right) [(\psi_{1} - \varphi_{1}) - (\psi_{2} - \varphi_{2})] dx \n\leq 0.
$$

The last step is due to [\(1.20\)](#page-3-1). Thus,

$$
\psi_1 = \psi_2, \ \ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2.
$$

For the existence, we define an operator  $\mathbb{T}$  from  $\mathbb{B} \equiv L^{\infty}(\Omega') \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  into itself as follows: Given  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}$ , we say  $\mathbb{T}(u, v) = (\psi, \varphi)$  if  $\psi, \varphi$  are the respective solutions of the following two problems

<span id="page-7-0"></span>(3.18) 
$$
-\text{div}(\kappa_{\tau}(C)\nabla\varphi)+\tau\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_4 H_{\tau}(h, C^+, u-v)\chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$

(3.19) 
$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
$$

(3.20) 
$$
-\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla \psi) + \tau \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, u - v) \text{ in } \Omega',
$$

(3.21) 
$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega'.
$$

Observe from our assumptions that  $\kappa_{\tau}(C)$  is bounded above and bounded away from 0 below and the right hand side term in [\(3.18\)](#page-7-0) lies in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . We can easily conclude from classical theory for linear elliptic equations that problem [\(3.18\)](#page-7-0)-[\(3.19\)](#page-7-0) has a unique weak solution  $\varphi$  in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C^{\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $\beta \in (0,1)$ . Similarly, problem [\(3.20\)](#page-7-0)-[\(3.21\)](#page-7-0) has a unique weak solution  $\psi$  in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega') \cap C^{\beta}(\overline{\Omega'})$ . Therefore, the operator  $\mathbb T$  is well-defined. Obviously, any fixed point of  $\mathbb T$  is a weak solution to problem [\(3.12\)](#page-6-2)-[\(3.15\)](#page-6-2). The existence of such a fixed point will be established by applying Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-4) the Leray-Schauder fixed point lemma. To this end, we can infer from the previously-mentioned classical results that T is continuous and maps bounded sets into precompact ones. We still need to show that there is a positive number  $c$  such that

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
||(v\chi_{\Omega'}, u)||_{\infty,\Omega} \le c
$$

for all  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  satisfying  $(u, v) = \delta \mathbb{T}(u, v)$ . The preceding equation is equivalent to

<span id="page-7-2"></span>(3.23) 
$$
-\text{div}(\kappa_{\tau}(C)\nabla u)+\tau u = \frac{1}{2}\delta\alpha_4 H_{\tau}(h, C^+, v-u)\chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
$$

(3.25) 
$$
-\text{div}(\sigma \nabla v) + \tau v = -\frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, v - u) \chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega',
$$

(3.26) 
$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega'.
$$

To see [\(3.22\)](#page-7-1), we use  $(u^+)^n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ , as a test function in [\(3.23\)](#page-7-2) and keep in mind [\(3.24\)](#page-7-2) to get

<span id="page-7-3"></span>(3.27) 
$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} \left(u^{+}\right)^{n+1} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, v - u) \left(u^{+}\right)^{n} dx.
$$

Similarly, we can infer from [\(3.25\)](#page-7-2) and [\(3.26\)](#page-7-2) that

$$
\tau \int_{\Omega'} \left( v^+ \right)^{n+1} dx \le -\frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau(h, C^+, v - u) \left( v^+ \right)^n dx.
$$

Add this to [\(3.27\)](#page-7-3) to derive

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} (u^{+})^{n+1} dx + \tau \int_{\Omega'} (v^{+})^{n+1} dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq -\frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, v - u) ((v^{+})^{n} - (u^{+})^{n}) dx
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{+})} \left[ G_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, v - u) - G_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, 0) \right] ((v^{+})^{n} - (u^{+})^{n}) dx
$$
\n(3.28)\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \delta \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, 0) ((v^{+})^{n} - (u^{+})^{n}) dx.
$$

Recall from [\(1.20\)](#page-3-1) that  $G_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is increasing in  $y_3$ . Thus,

$$
[G_{\tau}(h, C^+, v - u) - G_{\tau}(h, C^+, 0)] ((v^+)^n - (u^+)^n) \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega'.
$$

Use this in [\(3.28\)](#page-8-0) to derive

$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} \left( u^{+} \right)^{n+1} dx + \tau \int_{\Omega'} \left( v^{+} \right)^{n+1} dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{+})} \left| G_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, 0) \right| \left( \left( v^{+} \right)^{n} + \left( u^{+} \right)^{n} \right) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{+})} \left| G_{\tau}(h, C^{+}, 0) \right| w^{n} dx,
$$

where

$$
w = \max\{u^+, v^+\chi_{\Omega'}\}.
$$

Consequently,

$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} w^{n+1} dx \leq \tau \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^{n+1} dx + \tau \int_{\Omega'} (v^+)^{n+1} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_\tau(C^+)} |G_\tau(h, C^+, 0)| w^n dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha_4 \|w\|_{n+1,\Omega}^n \|H_\tau(h, C^+, 0)\|_{n+1,\Omega},
$$

from whence follows

<span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
||w||_{n+1,\Omega} \le \frac{\alpha_4}{\tau} ||H_{\tau}(h, C^+, 0)||_{n+1,\Omega}.
$$

Taking  $n\to\infty$  yields

(3.29) 
$$
\max\{\|u^+\|_{\infty,\Omega}, \|v^+\|_{\infty,\Omega'}\} \le \frac{\alpha_4}{\tau} \|H_\tau(h, C^+, 0)\|_{\infty,\Omega}.
$$

In an entirely similar manner, we can also prove

(3.30) 
$$
\max\{\|u^-\|_{\infty,\Omega}, \|v^-\|_{\infty,\Omega'}\} \le \frac{\alpha_4}{\tau} \|H_\tau(h,C^+,0)\|_{\infty,\Omega}.
$$

Combining this with  $(3.29)$  yields  $(3.22)$ . The proof is complete.

To continue the proof of Theorem [3.1,](#page-6-3) we define an operator A from  $C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  into  $C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  as follows: For each  $C \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$ , we first solve system [\(3.4\)](#page-6-0)-[\(3.5\)](#page-6-0) coupled with boundary conditions [\(3.7\)](#page-6-0) and [\(3.8\)](#page-6-0) for  $(\Phi_e, \Phi_s)$ . Then use the pair to form the problem

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(3.31) 
$$
\varepsilon_e \partial_t B - \text{div}(D \nabla B) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \text{ in } \Omega_T,
$$

(3.32) 
$$
\frac{\partial B}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T),
$$

(3.33) 
$$
B(x,0) = C_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega.
$$

Classical regularity for linear parabolic equations([\[11\]](#page-23-16), Chap.III) asserts that problem [\(3.31\)](#page-9-0)-[\(3.33\)](#page-9-0) has a unique weak solution B in the space  $C([0,T];L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ . Moreover, B is Hölder continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_T}$ . We define  $B = \mathbb{A}(C)$ . It is easy to see that A is well-defined and continuous and maps bounded sets into precompact ones. To be able to use Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-4) we still need to show that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
||C||_{\infty,\Omega_T} \le c
$$

for all  $\delta \in [0,1]$  and  $C \in C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  satisfying  $C = \delta \mathbb{A}(C)$ . This equation is equivalent to the problem

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(3.35) 
$$
-\text{div}\left[\kappa_{\tau}(C)\nabla\Phi_{e}\right]+\tau\Phi_{e} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{4}H_{\tau}(h,C^{+},\Phi_{s}-\Phi_{e})\chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega_{T},
$$

(3.36) 
$$
-\text{div}(\sigma \nabla \Phi_s) + \tau \Phi_s = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_4 H_\tau(h, C^+, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \text{ in } \Omega'_T,
$$

(3.37) 
$$
\varepsilon_e \partial_t C - \text{div}(D \nabla C) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \delta H_\tau(h, C^+, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega_T,
$$

(3.38) 
$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_e}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T),
$$

(3.39) 
$$
\frac{\partial \Psi_s}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega' \times (0, T),
$$

(3.40) 
$$
C(x,0) = \delta C_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega.
$$

To see [\(3.34\)](#page-9-1), we use  $C^-$  as a test function in [\(3.37\)](#page-9-2) to get

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}\varepsilon_e(C^-)^2dx - \int_{\Omega}D|\nabla C^-|^2dx = 0,
$$

from whence follows

$$
C \ge 0
$$
 a.e. on  $\Omega_T$ .

Thus, we can replace  $C^+$  in [\(3.35\)](#page-9-2)-[\(3.37\)](#page-9-2) by C. We will do this in the subsequent calculations without acknowledgment.

An upper bound for C is established in the following lemma.

<span id="page-9-4"></span>**Lemma 3.3.** For each  $q > 1 + \frac{N}{2}$  there is a positive number c depending on  $N, q, \Omega$  and the given *data in system* [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0)*-*[\(1.10\)](#page-2-0) *such that*

$$
\max_{\Omega_T} C \le c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)}} T^{\frac{2(2q-2-N)}{q(N+2)}} \left\|e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)}\right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^2 + 4\|C_0\|_{\infty, \Omega} + 2.
$$

For our later purpose, the number c in the above inequality will be made independent of  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* We employ the well known De Giorgi iteration scheme [\[3\]](#page-23-14). Let q be given as in the lemma. Select

$$
(3.41) \t\t k \ge \max\left\{2\|C_0\|_{\infty,\Omega}, 1\right\}
$$

as below. Define

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
k_n = k - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots.
$$

Consequently,

<span id="page-10-1"></span>(3.42) 
$$
k \ge k_{n+1} \ge k_n \ge \frac{k}{2} \ge ||C_0||_{\infty,\Omega}
$$
 for each  $n \in \{0,1,\dots\}$ .

We use  $(C - k_{n+1})^+$  as a test function in [\(3.37\)](#page-9-2) to derive

(3.43) 
$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_e [(C - k_{n+1})^+]^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} D |\nabla (C - k_{n+1})^+]^2 dx
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \delta \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau (h, C, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) (C - k_{n+1})^+ dx.
$$

Note that  $G_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is decreasing in  $y_2$ . Thus,

 $\sqrt{ }$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
[G_{\tau}(h, C, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) - G_{\tau}(h, k_{n+1}, \Phi_s - \Phi_e)] (C - k_{n+1})^+ \le 0.
$$

With this in mind, we can estimate the last integral in  $(3.43)$  as follows:

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C, \Phi_s - \Phi_e)(C - k_{n+1})^+ dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C)} \left( G_{\tau}(h, C, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) - G_{\tau}(h, k_{n+1}, \Phi_s - \Phi_e) \right) (C - k_{n+1})^+ dx
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, k_{n+1}, \Phi_s - \Phi_e)(C - k_{n+1})^+ dx
$$
\n(3.44) 
$$
\leq \frac{\|h\|_{\infty, \Omega'_T}}{(\theta_{\tau}(k_{n+1}) + \tau)^d} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C)} e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} (C - k_{n+1})^+ dx.
$$
\nHere we have used (3.3), the definition of  $C$ . We easily verify from (3.1), (3.2), (3.41).

Here we have used [\(3.3\)](#page-5-4), the definition of  $G_\tau$ . We easily verify from [\(3.1\)](#page-5-5), [\(3.2\)](#page-5-6), [\(3.41\)](#page-9-3), and [\(3.42\)](#page-10-1) that 1

$$
\frac{1}{\theta_{\tau}(k_{n+1}) + \tau} \leq 2.
$$

Substitute  $(3.44)$  into  $(3.43)$ , integrate the resulting inequality with respect to t, and keep in mind the fact that  $(C - k_{n+1})^{+}|_{t=0} = 0$  due to [\(3.42\)](#page-10-1) to deduce

$$
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_e [(C - k_{n+1})^+]^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_T} D |\nabla (C - k_{n+1})^+]^2 dx dt
$$
  
(3.45)  

$$
\leq c \int_{\Omega'_T} \sqrt{\theta_\tau(C)} e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} (C - k_{n+1})^+ dx dt.
$$

Note that

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
(C - k_n)^+ \Big]^\frac{3}{2} \ge \sqrt{(C - k_n)^+} (C - k_{n+1})^+
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge \sqrt{C \left(1 - \frac{k_n}{k_{n+1}}\right)} (C - k_{n+1})^+
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \sqrt{\theta_\tau(C)} (C - k_{n+1})^+,
$$

from whence follows

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega'_T} \sqrt{\theta_\tau(C)} e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} (C - k_{n+1})^+ dx dt \leq 2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \int_{\Omega'_T} e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \left[ (C - k_n)^+ \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \|_{q, \Omega'_T} y_n^{\frac{q-1}{q}},
$$

where

$$
y_n = \int_{\Omega_T} \left[ (C - k_n)^+ \right]^{\frac{3q}{2(q-1)}} dx dt.
$$

Recall from the Sobolev embedding theorem that

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega_{T}} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right]^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} dx dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{0}^{T} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right]^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right]^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right]^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \left| \nabla (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right|^{2} dx dt
$$
\n
$$
+ c \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right]^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^{+} \right]^{2} dx dt
$$
\n
$$
(3.47) \leq c (1 + T) 2^{\frac{(n+2)(N+2)}{2N}} \left\| e^{\alpha_{2} (\Phi_{s} - \Phi_{e})} \right\|_{q, \Omega_{T}}^{\frac{N+2}{N}} \frac{\frac{(q-1)(N+2)}{qN}}{qN}.
$$

The last step is due to [\(3.45\)](#page-10-3) and [\(3.46\)](#page-10-4). We easily see that

$$
y_n \geq \int_{\Omega_T \cap \{C \geq k_{n+1}\}} (k_{n+1} - k_n)^{\frac{3q}{2(q-1)}} dx dt = \frac{k^{\frac{3q}{2(q-1)}}}{2^{\frac{3q(n+2)}{2(q-1)}}} |\{C \geq k_{n+1}\}|.
$$

Furthermore, our assumption on  $q$  implies that

$$
2 + \frac{4}{N} > \frac{3q}{2(q-1)}, \quad \beta \equiv \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3qN}{4(N+2)(q-1)} > 0.
$$

Keeping the preceding three inequalities and [\(3.47\)](#page-11-0) in mind, we estimate that

$$
y_{n+1} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_T} \left[ (C - k_{n+1})^+ \right]^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{3qN}{4(N+2)(q-1)}} |\{C \geq k_{n+1}\}|^{\frac{1+4\beta}{4}}
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{c(1+T)^{\frac{3qN}{4(N+2)(q-1)}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q,\Omega_T}^{\frac{3q}{4(q-1)}} 2^{\frac{3q(n+2)}{8(q-1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right)} y_n^{1+\beta}.
$$

By Lemma [2.2,](#page-4-5) if we choose  $k$  so large that

$$
y_0 \le \int_{\Omega_T} C^{\frac{3q}{2(q-1)}} dx dt \le \frac{ck^{\frac{3q(1+4\beta)}{8\beta(q-1)}}}{(1+T)^{\frac{3qN}{4\beta(N+2)(q-1)}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q,\Omega_T}^{\frac{3q}{4(q-1)\beta}}}
$$

then

$$
C\leq k.
$$

In view of [\(3.41\)](#page-9-3), it is enough for us to take

$$
k = c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(1+4\beta)}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^{\frac{2}{1+4\beta}} \|C\|_{\frac{3q}{2(q-1)}, \Omega_T}^{\frac{4\beta}{1+4\beta}} + 2\|C_0\|_{\infty, \Omega} + 1.
$$

That is,

$$
||C||_{\infty,\Omega_T} \leq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(1+4\beta)}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q,\Omega_T'}^{\frac{2}{1+4\beta}} ||C||_{\frac{3q}{2(q-1)},\Omega_T}^{\frac{4\beta}{1+4\beta}} + 2||C_0||_{\infty,\Omega} + 1
$$
  

$$
\leq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(1+4\beta)}} T^{\frac{8\beta(q-1)}{3q(1+4\beta)}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q,\Omega_T'}^{\frac{2}{1+4\beta}} ||C||_{\infty,\Omega_T}^{\frac{4\beta}{1+4\beta}} + 2||C_0||_{\infty,\Omega} + 1
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} ||C||_{\infty,\Omega_T} + c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} T^{\frac{8\beta(q-1)}{3q}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q,\Omega_T'}^2 + 2||C_0||_{\infty,\Omega} + 1.
$$

This implies the desired result.

To conclude the proof of Theorem [3.1,](#page-6-3) we can easily infer from [\(3.29\)](#page-8-1), [\(3.30\)](#page-8-2), and [\(3.11\)](#page-6-4) that

$$
\|\Phi_e\|_{\infty,\Omega_T} + \|\Phi_s\|_{\infty,\Omega'_T} \leq c(\tau).
$$

This combined with Lemma [3.3](#page-9-4) yields [\(3.34\)](#page-9-1). Now we are ready to invoke Lemma [2.1.](#page-4-4) Upon doing so, we obtain a fixed point of  $\mathbb{A}$ , which produces a weak solution to problem  $(3.4)-(3.10)$  $(3.4)-(3.10)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-6-3)

# 4. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2)

In this section, we offer the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-2) For this purpose, we denote the solutions to problem [\(3.4\)](#page-6-0)-[\(3.10\)](#page-6-0) constructed in Theorem [3.1](#page-6-3) by  $(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)}, C^{(\tau)})$  with  $\tau = \frac{1}{k}$ , where  $k =$  $1, 2, \cdots$ . The plan is to derive enough a priori estimates for the sequence to justify passing to the limit in problem  $(3.4)-(3.10)$  $(3.4)-(3.10)$ . The generic positive constant c in the subsequent calculations will be independent of  $\tau$ . The key to our development is the following lemmas, which asserts that  $C^{(\tau)}$ is bounded away from 0 below.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Lemma 4.1.** For each  $q > 1 + \frac{N}{2}$  there is a positive number c such that

$$
\frac{1}{C^{(\tau)}} \le \frac{1}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0} + c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(2d-1)}} \left\| e^{-\alpha_2(\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} \right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^{\frac{2}{2d-1}}.
$$

*Proof.* Pick

$$
\frac{2}{k} \le \min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0
$$

as below. Set

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
k_n = k - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots.
$$

Recall that  $G_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is decreasing in  $y_2$ . Thus,

$$
M_\tau \equiv \left[ G_\tau(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) - G_\tau(h, k_{n+1}^{-1}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) \right] \left( \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^+ \geq 0.
$$

Use  $((C^{(\tau)})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})^+$  as a test function in [\(3.37\)](#page-9-2) and apply the above inequality and the definition of  $G_{\tau}$  to get

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_e \int_{C^{(\tau)}}^{k_{n+1}^{-1}} \left( s^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{+} ds dx + 8 \int_{\Omega} D \left| \nabla \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right|^{2} dx
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \delta \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) \left( \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{+} dx
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \delta \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau} (C^{(\tau)})} M_{\tau} dx
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \delta \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau} (C^{(\tau)})} G_{\tau}(h, k_{n+1}^{-1}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) \left( \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{+} dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \delta \theta_{\tau}^d \left( k_{n+1}^{-1} \right) \int_{\Omega' \cap \{ C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1} \}} h^{-1} e^{-\alpha_2 (\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{c}{k^d} \int_{\Omega' \cap \{ C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1} \}} e^{-\alpha_2 (\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} dx.
$$

## 14 XIANGSHENG XU

The last step is due to [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1) and [\(1.15\)](#page-2-1). Using (4.1) again yields  $((C^{(\tau)})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{+} \Big|_{t=0}$  $= 0.$ With this in mind, we integrate the above inequality to derive

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_e \int_{C^{(\tau)}}^{k_{n+1}^{-1}} \left( s^{-\frac{1}{2}} - k_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{+} ds dx \n+ \int_{\Omega_T} D \left| \nabla \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right|^2 dx dt \n\le \frac{c}{k^d} \int_{\Omega' \cap \{ C^{(\tau)} \le k_{n+1}^{-1} \}} e^{-\alpha_2(\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} dx dt \n\le \frac{c}{k^d} \| e^{-\alpha_2(\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} \|_{q, \Omega'_T} \left| \Omega'_T \cap \left\{ C^{(\tau)} \le k_{n+1}^{-1} \right\} \right|^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.
$$

Note that on the set  $\Omega_T \cap \left\{C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1}\right\}$  we have

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\int_{C^{(\tau)}}^{k_{n+1}^{-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} - \sqrt{k_{n+1}}\right)^{+} ds = k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2\sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)})} + \sqrt{k_{n+1}}C^{(\tau)}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - (k_{n+1}C^{(\tau)})^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(C^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\geq \left[\left(k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left(C^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{+}\right]^{2}.
$$
\n(4.3)

Recall from the Sobolev embedding theorem that

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
y_{n+1} = \int_{\Omega_{T}} \left[ \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right]^{2+\frac{4}{N}} dx dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_{0}^{T} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right]^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right]^{2N} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right]^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \left| \nabla \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right|^{2} dx dt
$$
  
\n
$$
+ c \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right]^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+} \right]^{2} dx dt
$$
  
\n
$$
(4.4) \leq \frac{c(1+T)}{k^{\frac{d(N+2)}{N}}} \left\| e^{-\alpha_{2}(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})} \right\|_{q,\Omega_{T}'}^{\frac{N+2}{N}} \left| \Omega_{T}^{'} \cap \left\{ C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1} \right\} \right|^{(\frac{q-1)(N+2)}{qN}}.
$$

The last step is due to [\(4.3\)](#page-13-0) and [\(4.2\)](#page-13-1). Observe that

$$
y_n \geq \int_{\left\{C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1}\right\}} \left[ \left(k_n^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left(C^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{+} \right]^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} dx dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \left(k_n^{-\frac{1}{4}} - k_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} \left|\Omega'_T \cap \left\{C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1}\right\}\right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \left(\frac{k_{n+1} - k_n}{4k_n^{\frac{1}{4}}k_{n+1}}\right)^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} \left|\Omega'_T \cap \left\{C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1}\right\}\right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{2(n+2)(N+2)}{N}}k^{\frac{N+2}{2N}}} \left|\Omega'_T \cap \left\{C^{(\tau)} \leq k_{n+1}^{-1}\right\}\right|.
$$

Use this in [\(4.4\)](#page-13-2) to get

$$
y_{n+1} \leq \frac{c(1+T)}{k^{\frac{(N+2)}{N}(d-\frac{1+\lambda}{2})}} \left\| e^{-\alpha_2(\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} \right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^{\frac{N+2}{N}} 2^{\frac{2(n+2)(N+2)(1+\lambda)}{N}} y_n^{1+\lambda},
$$

where

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\lambda = \frac{(q-1)(N+2)}{qN} - 1 > 0
$$

due to our assumption. According to Lemma [2.2,](#page-4-5) if we choose  $k$  so large that

$$
y_0 = \int_{\Omega_T} \left[ \left( \left( \frac{k}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} - \left( C^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{+\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{N}} dx dt \right]
$$
  

$$
\leq \left( \frac{k}{2} \right)^{-\frac{N+2}{2N}} |\Omega_T| \leq \frac{k^{\frac{(N+2)}{N\lambda} \left( d - \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \right)}}{c(1+T)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \left\| e^{-\alpha_2 \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)} \right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^{\frac{N+2}{N\lambda}}}
$$

then

$$
C^{(\tau)} \ge \frac{1}{k}.
$$

Under (H8), [\(4.5\)](#page-14-0) is equivalent to

$$
k \geq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(2d-1)}} \left\|e^{-\alpha_2(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}-\Phi_e^{(\tau)})} \right\|^{\frac{2}{2d-1}}_{q,\Omega_T'}.
$$

This combined with  $(4.1)$  implies the desired result. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

<span id="page-14-2"></span>(4.6) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^2 + \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^2 \chi_{\Omega'} \right) dx \leq c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{3d+3+2\alpha_0}{2}} \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx,
$$

*where*  $\alpha_0$  *is given as in* [\(1.4\)](#page-1-4) *and* 

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
L^{(\tau)} = L^{(\tau)}(T) = \max_{\overline{\Omega_T}} \frac{1}{C^{(\tau)}}.
$$

*Proof.* Integrate [\(3.35\)](#page-9-2) over  $\Omega$  and take into account the boundary condition [\(3.38\)](#page-9-2) to get

(4.7) 
$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} dx = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) dx.
$$

In the same manner, we can deduce from [\(3.36\)](#page-9-2) and [\(3.39\)](#page-9-2) that

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\tau \int_{\Omega'} \Phi_s^{(\tau)} dx = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) dx.
$$

Add this to [\(4.7\)](#page-14-1) to obtain

(4.8) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} dx + \int_{\Omega'} \Phi_s^{(\tau)} dx = 0.
$$

Use  $\Phi_e^{(\tau)}$  as a test function in [\(3.35\)](#page-9-2), then  $\Phi_s^{(\tau)}$  in [\(3.36\)](#page-9-2), and add the two resulting equations to derive

<span id="page-15-0"></span>(4.9) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\tau} (C^{(\tau)}) |\nabla \Phi_e^{(\tau)}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega'} \sigma |\nabla \Phi_s^{(\tau)}|^2 dx + \tau \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_e^{(\tau)})^2 dx + \tau \int_{\Omega'} (\Phi_s^{(\tau)})^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) (\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) dx = 0.
$$

The mean value theorem asserts that there is an  $\xi$  lying between 0 and  $\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}$  such that

$$
G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) = G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) - G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) + G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)
$$
  
=  $\partial_{y_3} G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \xi) (\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) + G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0).$ 

Note from [\(1.20\)](#page-3-1) that

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
\partial_{y_3} G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \xi) = \alpha_2 \left( h(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{-d} e^{\alpha_2 \xi} + h^{-1} \theta_{\tau}^d(C^{(\tau)}) e^{-\alpha_2 \xi} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\geq \frac{2\alpha_2 \theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})}{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.
$$
\n(4.10)

With these in mind, we can estimate the last integral in  $(4.9)$  as follows:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})dx \n= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)})} \partial_{y_{3}}G(h, C^{(\tau)}, \xi)(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})^{2}dx \n+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)})} G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})dx \n\geq \alpha_{2}\alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})}{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}} (\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})^{2}dx + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})dx \n\geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{4} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})}{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}} (\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)})^{2}dx - \frac{\alpha_{4}}{8\alpha_{2}} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})} G_{\tau}^{2}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)dx.
$$

Plug this into [\(4.9\)](#page-15-0) to derive

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) |\nabla \Phi_e^{(\tau)}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega'} \sigma |\nabla \Phi_s^{(\tau)}|^2 dx + \tau \int_{\Omega} \left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)^2 dx + \tau \int_{\Omega'} \left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
(4.11) \quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} \frac{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})}{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}} (\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})^2 dx \le \frac{\alpha_4}{8\alpha_2} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})} G_{\tau}^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx.
$$

Note that the function  $\frac{s^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{s}$  $\frac{s}{(s+\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}$  is increasing on  $[0,\infty)$ . Thus,

$$
\frac{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})}{(\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)})+\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\geq \frac{\theta_{\tau}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(m_c)}{(\theta_{\tau}(m_c)+\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \text{ where } m_c=\min_{\overline{\Omega_T}} C^{(\tau)}=\frac{1}{L^{(\tau)}}.
$$

We derive from [\(4.11\)](#page-15-1) that

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega'} (\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})^2 dx \leq \frac{(\theta_\tau(m_c) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{4\alpha_2^2 \theta_\tau^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(m_c)} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{(\theta_\tau(C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\theta_\tau^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})} G_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{(\theta_\tau(m_c) + \tau)^d}{4\alpha_2^2 \theta_\tau^{d+1}(m_c)} \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{d+1} \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx.
$$
\n(4.12)

Here we have used the obvious fact that  $m_c \le \min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0(x)$  and assumed  $\frac{1}{\tau} \ge \min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0(x)$ . In view of  $(1.4)$ , we have

$$
\kappa_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)}) \geq \min\{c_0(C^{(\tau)} + \tau)^{\alpha_0}, \min_{s \in [s_0, \infty)} \kappa(s)\} \geq \min\{c_0 m_c^{\alpha_0}, \min_{s \in [s_0, \infty)} \kappa(s)\}.
$$

Without loss of generality, assume  $c_0 m_c^{\alpha_0} \le \min\{\min_{s \in [s_0,\infty)} \kappa(s), \inf_{\Omega'} \sigma\}$ . We obtain from [\(4.11\)](#page-15-1) that

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_e^{(\tau)}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega'} |\nabla \Phi_s^{(\tau)}|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{m_c^{\alpha_0}} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{(\theta_\tau (C^{(\tau)}) + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\theta_\tau^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(C^{(\tau)})} G_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx \leq \frac{c(m_c + \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{m_c^{\frac{d+1+2\alpha_0}{2}}} \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx \leq c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{d+1+2\alpha_0}{2}} \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau^2(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx.
$$

Note from [\(4.8\)](#page-15-2) that

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} dx\right)^2 + \left(\int_{\Omega'} \Phi_s^{(\tau)} dx\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Phi_e^{(\tau)} + \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \chi_{\Omega'}) dx\right)^2 + \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Phi_e^{(\tau)} - \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \chi_{\Omega'}) dx\right)^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
(4.14)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega'} (\Phi_e^{(\tau)} - \Phi_s^{(\tau)}) dx\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} dx\right)^2.
$$

We estimate from the Sobolev inequality that

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega} \left( \left( \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)} \right)^{2} + \left( \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} \right)^{2} \chi_{\Omega'} \right) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega'} \left( \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} - \frac{1}{|\Omega'|} \int_{\Omega'} \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2} dx
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{2}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2} + \frac{2}{|\Omega'|} \left( \int_{\Omega'} \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}|^{2} dx + c \int_{\Omega'} |\nabla \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}|^{2} dx + \frac{2}{|\Omega'|} \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2} + \left( \int_{\Omega'} \Phi_{s}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2} \right]
$$
\n(4.15)\n
$$
\leq c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{3d+3+2\alpha_{0}}{2}} \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}^{2}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega'|} \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} \Phi_{e}^{(\tau)} dx \right)^{2}.
$$

The last step is due to [\(4.13\)](#page-16-0), [\(4.12\)](#page-16-1), and [\(4.14\)](#page-16-2). We easily check

$$
\frac{1}{|\Omega'|}\left(\int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega'}\Phi_e^{(\tau)}dx\right)^2\leq \frac{|\Omega_s|}{|\Omega'|}\int_{\Omega}\left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)^2dx.
$$

Making use of this in  $(4.15)$  and keep  $(H9)$  in mind yield the lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.** For each  $q > \frac{N}{2}$  there is a positive number c such that

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
(4.16) \quad \text{ess sup}_{\Omega} |\Phi_e^{(\tau)}| + \text{ess sup}_{\Omega'} |\Phi_s^{(\tau)}| \le c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q-N} + \frac{3d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}} \|H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)\|_{q, \Omega'}.
$$

*Proof.* Let q be given as in the lemma. Set

$$
b = \|H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)\|_{q, \Omega'}.
$$

Fix  $n > 0$ . We use  $((\Phi_e^{(\tau)})^+ + b)^n$  as a test function in [\(3.35\)](#page-9-2) to get  $\overline{2}$ 

$$
\frac{4n}{(n+1)^2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\tau}(C) \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx + \tau \int_{\Omega} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n dx
$$
\n
$$
(4.17) \qquad = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n dx.
$$

By [\(4.7\)](#page-14-1),

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{-} dx = \tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} dx - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) dx.
$$

With this in mind, we calculate

$$
\tau \int_{\Omega} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n dx = \tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n dx - b^n \tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^- dx
$$

$$
= \tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n - b^n \right] dx
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 b^n \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) dx.
$$

Plug this into [\(4.17\)](#page-17-1) to deduce

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\frac{4n}{(n+1)^2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\tau}(C) \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
+ \tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n - b^n \right] dx
$$
\n
$$
(4.18) \qquad = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n - b^n \right] dx.
$$

Similarly, we can derive from [\(3.25\)](#page-7-2) and [\(3.26\)](#page-7-2) that

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\frac{4n}{(n+1)^2} \int_{\Omega'} \sigma \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx + \tau \int_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n - b^n \right] dx
$$
\n
$$
(4.19) \qquad = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_\tau(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^n - b^n \right] dx.
$$

Since  $G_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is increasing in  $y_3$ , we have

$$
N_{\tau} \equiv \left[ G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, \Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)}) - G_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) \right] \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n - \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n \right] \ge 0.
$$

Add [\(4.19\)](#page-18-0) to [\(4.18\)](#page-18-1) and keep the above inequality and [\(1.20\)](#page-3-1) in mind to deduce

<span id="page-18-2"></span>
$$
\frac{4n}{(n+1)^2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{\tau}(C) \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{4n}{(n+1)^2} \int_{\Omega'} \sigma \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} \sqrt{\theta_{\tau}(C^{(\tau)})} N_{\tau} dx
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 \int_{\Omega'} H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) \left[ \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n - \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n \right] dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 b \left\| \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n - \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{+} + b \right)^n \right\|_{\frac{q}{q-1}, \Omega'}
$$
\n
$$
(4.20) \leq \alpha_4 \left\| (W + b)^{n+1} \right\|_{\frac{q}{q-1}, \Omega'},
$$

where

<span id="page-18-3"></span>(4.21) 
$$
W_{\tau} = \max\left\{ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ , \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \chi_{\Omega'} \right\}.
$$

By the Sobolev inequality, we have

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\begin{split}\n&\| (W_{\tau} + b)^{n+1} \|_{\frac{N}{N-2},\Omega} \\
&= \left( \int_{\left\{ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \geq \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \chi_{\Omega'} \right\}} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{(n+1)N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \\
&+ \left( \int_{\left\{ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ < \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \chi_{\Omega'} \right\}} \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ \chi_{\Omega'} + b \right)^{\frac{(n+1)N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2} \frac{2N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} + \left( \int_{\Omega'} \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2} \frac{2N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \\
&\leq c \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{n+1} dx \\
&+ c \int_{\Omega'} \left| \nabla \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right|^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega'} \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^+ + b \right)^{n+1} dx \\
&\leq \left( \frac{c(n+1)^2 \alpha_4}{4nm_c^{\alpha_0}} + c|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \left\| (W_{\tau} + b)^{n+1} \right\|_{\frac{q}{q-1},\Omega} .\n\end{split}
$$

The last step is due to [\(4.20\)](#page-18-2) and [\(4.21\)](#page-18-3). Remember

$$
\ell \equiv \frac{N}{N-2} \frac{q-1}{q} > 1.
$$

Then let  $n + 1 = \ell^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Subsequently,

$$
\frac{n+1}{n} \le \frac{\ell}{\ell-1}
$$

.

Take the  $(n+1)$ <sup>th</sup> root of both sides of  $(4.22)$  to get

$$
\|W_{\tau} + b\|_{\frac{q\ell^{i+1}}{q-1},\Omega} = \|W_{\tau} + b\|_{\frac{N(n+1)}{N-2},\Omega}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left(\frac{c(n+1)^2\alpha_4}{4nm_c^{\alpha_0}} + c|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|W_{\tau} + b\|_{\frac{q(n+1)}{q-1},\Omega}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left(c\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\alpha_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|W_{\tau} + b\|_{\frac{q(n+1)}{q-1},\Omega}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left(c\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\alpha_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell^i}} \ell^{\frac{i}{\ell^i}} \|W_{\tau} + b\|_{\frac{q\ell^i}{q-1},\Omega}.
$$

Iterate on i to yield

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
||W_{\tau} + b||_{\frac{q\ell^{i+1}}{q-1},\Omega} \leq \left(c\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\alpha_0}\right)^{\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{\ell^j}} \ell^{\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{j}{\ell^j}} ||W_{\tau} + b||_{\frac{q\ell}{q-1},\Omega}.
$$

Take  $i\to\infty$  to get

(4.23) 
$$
\text{ess sup}_{\Omega}(W_{\tau}+b) \leq c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha_0}{\ell-1}} \|W_{\tau}+b\|_{\frac{q\ell}{q-1},\Omega}.
$$

The interpolation inequality in([\[7\]](#page-23-13), p.146) asserts

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
||W_{\tau} + b||_{\frac{q\ell}{q-1},\Omega} \le \varepsilon \text{ess sup}_{\Omega}(W_{\tau} + b) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{q\ell-q+1}{q-1}}} ||W_{\tau} + b||_{1,\Omega}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.
$$

Use this in [\(4.23\)](#page-19-1) and choose  $\varepsilon$  appropriately in the resulting inequality to get

(4.24)  
\n
$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{ess sup}_{\Omega}W_{\tau} & \leq & \text{ess sup}_{\Omega}(W_{\tau} + b) \\
& \leq & c\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{q\ell\alpha_{0}}{(\ell-1)(q-1)}} \|W_{\tau} + b\|_{1,\Omega} \\
& \leq & c\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{qN\alpha_{0}}{2q-N}} \left(\|W_{\tau}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)\|_{q,\Omega'}\right).\n\end{array}
$$

According to [\(4.6\)](#page-14-2), we have

$$
||W_{\tau}||_{\Omega,1} \leq ||(\Phi_e^{(\tau)})^+||_{\Omega,1} + ||(\Phi_s^{(\tau)})^+||_{\Omega',1}
$$
  
 
$$
\leq c \left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{3d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}} ||H_{\tau}(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)||_{2,\Omega'}.
$$

This together with [\(4.24\)](#page-20-0) implies

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
(4.25)\text{ess sup}_{\Omega} \left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)^+ + \text{ess sup}_{\Omega'} \left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)^+ \le c \left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q-N} + \frac{3d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}} \|H_\tau(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0)\|_{q,\Omega'}.
$$

In an entirely similar manner, we can also prove

$$
\text{ess sup}_{\Omega} \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)^{-} + \text{ess sup}_{\Omega'} \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)^{-} \leq c \left( L^{(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q-N} + \frac{3d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}} \| H_\tau(h, C^{(\tau)}, 0) \|_{q, \Omega'}.
$$

Combining this with $(4.25)$  yields  $(4.16)$ . The proof is complete.

To continue the proof of Theorem [3.1,](#page-6-3) we let

$$
M^{(\tau)} = M^{(\tau)}(T) = \max\{\max_{\overline{\Omega_T}} C^{(\tau)}, 1\} \ge 1.
$$

We can easily see from [\(3.11\)](#page-6-4) that

$$
\left|H_{\tau}(h,C^{(\tau)},0)\right| \leq c\left(\left(M^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(M^{(\tau)}\right)^{d+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^d \leq c\left(M^{(\tau)}\right)^{d+\frac{1}{2}}\left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^d.
$$

This together with [\(4.16\)](#page-17-2) implies

$$
\text{ess sup}_{\Omega} |\Phi_e^{(\tau)}| + \text{ess sup}_{\Omega'} |\Phi_s^{(\tau)}| \le c \left(M^{(\tau)}\right)^{d+\frac{1}{2}} \left(L^{(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q-N} + \frac{7d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}}.
$$

We conclude from Lemmas [3.3](#page-9-4) and [4.1](#page-12-0) that

<span id="page-20-2"></span>
$$
M^{(\tau)} \leq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)}} T^{\frac{2(2q-2-N)}{q(N+2)}} \left\| e^{\alpha_2(\Phi_s - \Phi_e)} \right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^2 + 4 \|C_0\|_{\infty, \Omega} + 2
$$
  
(4.26)  

$$
\leq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)}} T^{\frac{4}{N+2}} e^{c(M^{(\tau)})^{d+\frac{1}{2}} (L^{(\tau)})^{\frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q-N} + \frac{7d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}} + 4 \|C_0\|_{\infty, \Omega} + 2,
$$
  

$$
L^{(\tau)} \leq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(2d-1)}} \left\| e^{-\alpha_2(\Phi_s^{(\tau)} - \Phi_e^{(\tau)})} \right\|_{q, \Omega_T'}^{\frac{2}{2d-1}} + \frac{1}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0}
$$
  
(4.27)  

$$
\leq c(1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(2d-1)}} T^{\frac{2}{q(2d-1)}} e^{c(M^{(\tau)})^{d+\frac{1}{2}} (L^{(\tau)})^{\frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q-N} + \frac{7d+3+2\alpha_0}{4}} + \frac{1}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} C_0}.
$$

Set

(4.28) 
$$
\gamma = \frac{qN\alpha_0}{2q - N} + \frac{7d + 3 + 2\alpha_0}{4} > d + \frac{1}{2} > 1,
$$

$$
(4.29) \t\t g(T) = \max \left\{ (1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)}} T^{\frac{2(2q-2-N)}{q(N+2)}}, (1+T)^{\frac{2N}{(N+2)(2d-1)}} T^{\frac{2}{q(2d-1)}} \right\}.
$$

We can conclude from  $(4.26)$  and  $(4.27)$  that there is a positive number c such that

$$
M^{(\tau)}L^{(\tau)} < cg^2(T)e^{c(M^{(\tau)}L^{(\tau)})^{\gamma}} + c.
$$

We are in a position to invoke Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-6) For this purpose set

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
a(s) = M^{(\tau)}(s)L^{(\tau)}(s), \quad \varepsilon = cg^2(T).
$$

Subsequently,

$$
a(s) < cg^2(s)e^{ca^{\gamma}(s)} + c \le \varepsilon e^{ca^{\gamma}(s)} + c
$$
 for each  $s \in [0, T]$ .

Here we have used the fact that  $g(T)$  strictly increases from 0 to infinity on the interval  $[0,\infty)$ . We are in a position to apply Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-6) Let  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $s_0$  be determined by Lemma 2.3. Obviously, there is a unique  $T_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$
(4.30) \t\t \varepsilon_0 = cg^2(T_0).
$$

This implies

<span id="page-21-1"></span> $a(s) < s_0$  for each  $s \in [0, T_0]$  since we obviously have  $a(0) \leq c$ .

Consequently,

(4.31) 
$$
\frac{1}{c} \leq C^{(\tau)} \leq c \quad \text{in } \Omega_{T_0} \text{ for some } c > 0.
$$

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $T_0$  be given as in [\(4.30\)](#page-21-0). For each  $p > 1$  the sequence  $\{\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\}$  is precompact in  $L^p(\Omega_{T_0})$  and the sequence  $\{\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\}\)$  is precompact in  $L^p(\Omega'_{T_0})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T_0]$ . For simplicity, we employ the following notions

$$
h_t(x) = h(x,t), \ C_t^{(\tau)}(x) = C^{(\tau)}(x,t), \ \left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)_t(x) = \Phi_e^{(\tau)}(x,t), \ \left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)_t(x) = \Phi_s^{(\tau)}(x,t).
$$

We can derive from [\(3.35\)](#page-9-2) and [\(3.36\)](#page-9-2) that

<span id="page-21-2"></span>
$$
- \operatorname{div} \left[ \kappa_{\tau} (C_{t_1}^{(\tau)}) \nabla \left[ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] \right] + \tau \left( \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \operatorname{div} \left[ \left( \kappa_{\tau} (C_{t_1}^{(\tau)}) - \kappa_{\tau} (C_{t_2}^{(\tau)}) \right) \nabla \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_{\tau} \left( \left( h_{t_1}, C_{t_1}^{(\tau)}, \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right) \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} \right) \chi_{\Omega'} \right]
$$
  
\n(4.32) 
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_{\tau} \left( h_{t_2}, C_{t_2}^{(\tau)}, \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right) \chi_{\Omega'} \text{ in } \Omega,
$$
  
\n
$$
- \operatorname{div} \left[ \sigma \nabla \left[ \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] \right] + \tau \left( \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_{\tau} \left( \left( h_{t_1}, C_{t_1}^{(\tau)}, \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right) \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} \right)
$$
  
\n(4.33) 
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 H_{\tau} \left( h_{t_2}, C_{t_2}^{(\tau)}, \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right) \text{ in } \Omega'.
$$

Observe that

$$
H_{\tau}\left(\left(h_{t_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}}\right) - H_{\tau}\left(h_{t_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{2}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{2}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= H_{\tau}\left(\left(h_{t_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}}\right) - H_{\tau}\left(h_{t_{2}}, C_{t_{1}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ H_{\tau}\left(h_{t_{2}}, C_{t_{1}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}}\right) - H_{\tau}\left(h_{t_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ H_{\tau}\left(h_{t_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{1}}\right) - H_{\tau}\left(h_{t_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}^{(\tau)}, \left(\Phi_{s}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{2}} - \left(\Phi_{e}^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_{2}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3}.
$$

We can easily conclude from [\(4.31\)](#page-21-1) and [\(4.16\)](#page-17-2) that

$$
|J_1| \leq c \|h_{t_1} - h_{t_2}\|_{\infty, \Omega'},
$$
  
\n
$$
|J_2| \leq c \|C_{t_1}^{(\tau)} - C_{t_2}^{(\tau)}\|_{\infty, \Omega'}.
$$

By an argument similar to [\(4.10\)](#page-15-3), we can obtain

$$
J_3\left[\left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_1} - \left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_1}\right] - \left[\left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_2} - \left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_2}\right]\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq c\left[\left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_1} - \left(\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_2}\right] - \left[\left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_1} - \left(\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\right)_{t_2}\right]\right]^2
$$

.

Use  $(\Phi_e^{(\tau)})$  $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \end{pmatrix}$ as a test function in [\(4.32\)](#page-21-2),  $(\Phi_s^{(\tau)})$  $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \end{pmatrix}$ in [\(4.33\)](#page-21-2), add up the two resulting equations to deduce

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left[ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] \right|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega'} \left| \nabla \left[ \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] \right|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
c \int_{\Omega'} \left[ \left[ \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] - \left[ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] \right]^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \|\kappa(C_{t_1}^{(\tau)} + \tau) - \kappa(C_{t_2}^{(\tau)} + \tau) \|_{\infty, \Omega'} + c \|h_{t_1} - h_{t_2} \|_{\infty, \Omega'} + c \|C_{t_1}^{(\tau)} - C_{t_2}^{(\tau)} \|_{\infty, \Omega'}.
$$
\n(4.38)

Integrate [\(4.32\)](#page-21-2) over  $\Omega$  and [\(4.33\)](#page-21-2) over  $\Omega'$  and add up the two resulting equations to get

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] dx + \int_{\Omega'} \left[ \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right] dx = 0.
$$
\nposition to complex the proof of (4.6). Upon doing we may write

This puts us in a position to employ the proof of [\(4.6\)](#page-14-2). Upon doing so, we arrive at

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_e^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right]^2 dx + \int_{\Omega'} \left[ \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_1} - \left( \Phi_s^{(\tau)} \right)_{t_2} \right]^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \| \kappa (C_{t_1}^{(\tau)} + \tau) - \kappa (C_{t_2}^{(\tau)} + \tau) \|_{\infty, \Omega'} + c \| h_{t_1} - h_{t_2} \|_{\infty, \Omega'} + c \| C_{t_1}^{(\tau)} - C_{t_2}^{(\tau)} \|_{\infty, \Omega'}.
$$

Classicalregularity results for linear parabolic equations ([\[11\]](#page-23-16), Chap. III) assert that  ${C^{(\tau)}}$  is bounded in  $C^{\frac{\delta}{2},\delta}(\overline{\Omega_{T_0}})$  for some  $\delta \in (0,1)$ . This combined with  $(4.34)$  implies that  $\{\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\}$  is uniformly equicontinuous in  $C([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega))$  and  $\{\Phi_s^{(\tau)}\}\$ in  $C([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega'))$ . Furthermore,  $\{\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\}\$ is bounded in  $L^{\infty}(0,T_0;W^{1,2}(\Omega))$  and  $\{\Phi_e^{(\tau)}\}\$ in  $L^{\infty}(0,T_0;W^{1,2}(\Omega'))$ . By virtue of the Ascoli theo-rem([\[15\]](#page-23-17), Lemma 1), the two sequences are precompact in  $C([0,T_0];L^2(\Omega))$  and  $C([0,T_0];L^2(\Omega'))$ , respectively. This implies the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Now we have all the ingredients necessary to pass to the limit in [\(3.4\)](#page-6-0)-[\(3.10\)](#page-6-0). The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) is completed.

#### 24 XIANGSHENG XU

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-23-11"></span><span id="page-23-2"></span>[1] Y. Chen and J.W. Evans, Thermal analysis of lithium-ion batteries, J. Electrochem. Soc., 143, 2708 (1996).
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>[2] J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and A. M. Ramos, On the well-posedness of a multiscale mathematical model for Lithium-ion batteries, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., 8 (2019), 1132–1157.
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[3] E. DiBenedetto, Degenerate Parabolic Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[4] M.Doyle, J. Newman, A.S. Gozdz, C.N. Schmutz, and J.-M. Tarascon, Comparison of modeling predictions with experimental data from plastic lithium ion cells, J. Electrochem. Soc., 143, 1890 (1996).
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[5] A. Friedman, Mathematics in Industrial Problems, Part 7, 229-240 (1995).
- [6] T.F. Fuller, M. Doyle and J. Newman, Simulation and optimization of the dual lithium ion insertion cell, J. Electrochem. Soc., 141, 1 (1994).
- <span id="page-23-13"></span><span id="page-23-6"></span>[7] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [8] W.B. Gu and C.Y. Wang, Thermal and Electrochemical Coupled Modeling of a Lithium-Ion Cell, R.A. Marsh, Z. Oguma, J. Prakash, and S. Surampudi, Editors, The Electrochemical Society Series, PV 99-29, 748 (1999).
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[9] J.-S. Hong, H. Maleki, S. Al Hallaj, L. Redey and J.R. Selman, Electrochemical- Calorimetric studies of lithiumion cells, J. Eletrochem. Soc., 145, 1489 (1998).
- <span id="page-23-16"></span><span id="page-23-12"></span>[10] C. Kröner, A Mathematical Exploration of a PDE System for Lithium-Ion Batteries, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2016.
- [11] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Ural'tzeva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, Trans. Math. Mono., AMS, Vol. 23 (1968).
- <span id="page-23-1"></span><span id="page-23-0"></span>[12] J.S. Newman, Electrochemical Systems, Second Edition, 1991.
- [13] J.S. Newman, The Fundamental Principles of Current Distribution and Mass Transport in Electrochemical Cells, Allen J. Bard, Ed., Electro-analytical Chemistry, 6 (1973), 187.
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>[14] A. M. Ramos, On the well-posedness of a mathematical model for Lithium-ion batteries, Appl. Math. Model., 40 (2016), no. 1, 115–125.
- <span id="page-23-17"></span><span id="page-23-8"></span>[15] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0,T;B)$ , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 146(1987), 65-96.
- [16] C.Y. Wang, W.B. Gu and B.Y. Liaw, Micro-macroscopic coupled modeling of batteries and fuel cells I. Model development, J. Eletrochem. Soc., 145, 3407 (1998).
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[17] J. Wu, J. Xu, and H. Zou, On the well-posedness of a mathematical model for lithium-ion battery systems, Meth. Appl. Anal., **13** (2006), 275-298.
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>[18] X. Xu, Nonlinear diffusion in the Keller-Segel model of parabolic-parabolic type, J. Differential Equations, 276(2021), 264-286. [arXiv:2007.11883](http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.11883) [math.AP].

Email address: xxu@math.msstate.edu