

A new and simple condition for the global asymptotic stability of a malware spread model on WSNs

Manh Tuan Hoang^{1,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, FPT University, Hoa Lac Hi-Tech Park,
Km29 Thang Long Blvd, Hanoi, Viet Nam*

Abstract

In a very recent work [J. D. Hernández Guillén, A. Martín del Rey, A mathematical model for malware spread on WSNs with population dynamics, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 545(2020) 123609], a novel theoretical model for the spread of malicious code on wireless sensor networks was introduced and analyzed. However, the global asymptotic stability (GAS) of the disease-endemic equilibrium (DEE) point was only resolved partially under technical hypotheses that are not only difficult to be verified but also restrict the space of feasible parameters for the model. In the present work, we use a simple approach to establish the complete GAS of the DEE point without the technical hypotheses proposed in the benchmark work. This approach is based on a suitable family of Lyapunov functions in combination with characteristics of Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices. Consequently, we obtain a simple and easily-verified condition for the DEE point to be globally asymptotically stable. This result provides an important improvement for the results constructed in the benchmark work. In addition, the theoretical findings are supported by numerical and illustrative examples, which show that the numerical results are consistent with the theoretical ones.

Keywords: Malware, Wireless sensor networks, Global asymptotic stability, Lyapunov stability theory, Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrix, Dynamical systems

2010 MSC: 34C60, 34D05, 337C75, 7N99

1. Introduction

Nowadays, with the continuous development of the Internet, computer viruses and malware have become a big threat to Internet security and privacy as well as to work and daily life. This leads to urgent requests for effective measures to prevent and control computer viruses and malware. For this purpose, many mathematicians and engineers have built mathematical models, which are based on epidemiological models and the high similarity of the spread of computer viruses and biological ones, to discover characteristics and transmission mechanisms of computer viruses and malware (see, for example, [3, 11, 20, 15, 16, 17, 36] and references therein). Consequently, effective measures to prevent and control computer viruses are suggested.

*Corresponding author

Email address: tuanhm14@fe.edu.vn; hmtuan01121990@gmail.com (Manh Tuan Hoang)

In a very recent work [20], Hernández Guillén and Martín del Rey introduced and analyzed a new theoretical model for the spread of malicious code on wireless sensor networks (WSNs). More clearly, a novel mathematical model considering population dynamics, vaccination and reinfection processes was constructed and studied. In this model, the total population of nodes is classified into the four compartments, that are susceptible devices (S), infectious devices (I), carrier devices (C), and recovered devices (R), where

- susceptible nodes are those that are free of malware;
- infectious nodes are those that have been reached by the malicious code and it can perform its malicious action;
- in the case when malware is no able to cause some damage to the host node but the node serves as a transmission vector, the state of the node is that of carrier;
- recovered are those (infectious or carrier) devices in which the malicious code has been removed by means of security countermeasures.

Under some appropriate assumptions, the following dynamical differential model was formulated

$$\begin{aligned}
S'(t) &= A + \epsilon R(t) - aI(t)S(t) - vS(t) - \mu S(t), \\
C'(t) &= a(1 - \delta)I(t)S(t) - b_C C(t) - \mu C(t), \\
I'(t) &= a\delta I(t)S(t) - b_I I(t) - \mu I(t), \\
R'(t) &= b_C C(t) + b_I I(t) + vS(t) - \epsilon R(t) - \mu R(t), \\
N'(t) &= A - \mu N(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $S(t)$, $C(t)$, $A(t)$, $R(t)$ and $N(t)$ stand for the number of S , C , A and R nodes and the total population at step of time t , respectively.

The last equation of the model (1) implies that the dynamics of (1) is qualitatively equivalent to the dynamics of the following limit system (see [20])

$$\begin{aligned}
S'(t) &= A + \epsilon \left(\frac{A}{\mu} - S(t) - C(t) - I(t) \right) - aI(t)S(t) - vS(t) - \mu S(t), \\
C'(t) &= a(1 - \delta)I(t)S(t) - b_C C(t) - \mu C(t), \\
I'(t) &= a\delta I(t)S(t) - b_I I(t) - \mu I(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In [20], a feasible set and the basic reproduction number for the model (1) were computed as

$$\Omega = \{(S, C, I) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid 0 \leq S + C + I \leq A/\mu\} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{a\delta(A + N_*\epsilon)}{(b_I + \mu)(v + \epsilon + \mu)}, \quad N_* := \frac{A}{\mu}, \tag{4}$$

respectively. It was proved that:

- The model (2) always possesses a disease-free equilibrium (DFE) point $E_0 = (S_0, C_0, I_0)$ for all values of the parameters, where

$$S_0 = \frac{A + N_*\epsilon}{v + \epsilon + \mu}, \quad C_0 = 0, \quad I_0 = 0. \quad (5)$$

- A disease-endemic equilibrium point (DEE) point $E^* = (S^*, C^*, I^*)$ exists if and only if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, where E^* is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^* &= \frac{b_I + \mu}{a\delta}, \\ C^* &= \frac{(-1 + \delta)(b_I + \mu)[-a\delta(A + N_*\epsilon) + b_I(v + \epsilon + \mu) + \mu(v + \epsilon + \mu)]}{a\delta[\mu(\epsilon + \mu) + b_I(\epsilon - \delta\epsilon + \mu) + b_C(b_I + \delta\epsilon + \mu)]}, \\ I^* &= -\frac{(b_C + \mu)[-a\delta(A + N_*\epsilon) + b_I(v + \epsilon + \mu) + \mu(v + \epsilon + \mu)]}{a[\mu(\epsilon + \mu) + b_I(\epsilon - \delta\epsilon + \mu) + b_C(b_I + \delta\epsilon + \mu)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Importantly, the global asymptotic stability (GAS) of the DFE was fully determined based on the Lyapunov stability theory (see [20, Theorem 3]), whereas, the GAS of the DEE point was partially established by using the geometric approach (see [20, Theorem 4]). It was shown that

- The disease-free steady state, E_0 , is globally asymptotically stable with respect to Ω if $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$.
- The endemic steady state, E^* , is globally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ and the following inequalities hold:

$$b_I + aN_* - v - ac - b_C - \mu - \delta ac < 0, \quad (7)$$

$$-\mu - b_C + \epsilon + aN_*\delta < 0. \quad (8)$$

It is well-known that studying the GAS of dynamical systems governed by differential equations has played an important role in both theory and applications [4, 7, 21, 22, 28]. On the other hand, the model (1) provides many useful applications in information technology and computer science [20], this was also mentioned in recent works [26, 27]. Therefore, the study of GAS of the model (1) is an essential problem with many practical significance. Although the condition (8) is easily-verified, it is not a trivial task to verify the condition (7) since the parameter c depends implicitly on the parameters of the model (1) (see the proof of Theorem 4 in [20]). Moreover, the conditions (7) and (8) restrict the set of feasible parameters for the model (1).

Motivated and inspired by the above reasons, in this work we introduce a simple approach to establish the GAS of the DEE point E^* without the conditions (7) and (8). The approach is based on a suitable family of Lyapunov functions in combination with properties of Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices. Although the incorporation of the theory of Volterra–Lyapunov stable matrices into the classical method of Lyapunov functions was first introduced by Liao and Wang to study the GAS of some three- and four-dimensional epidemiological models (see [25]), the results in [25] required that the resulting matrices W associated with the Volterra–Lyapunov stable matrices A must be constant. However, it is not easy to verify this hypothesis in general. In the present work, by proposing nonstandard techniques and a suitable family of Lyapunov functions and utilizing

characteristics of Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices, we obtain a new and simple condition for the DEE point E^* to be globally asymptotically stable. This condition is not only simpler, but also easier to be verified than the conditions (7) and (8). The obtained results provide an important improvement for the results constructed in [20]. Furthermore, differently from the previous work [25], we use a necessary and sufficient condition for matrices of order 3 to be Volterra-Lyapunov stable instead of a sufficient condition as in [25]. As a result, complicated algebraic manipulations are avoided. It should be emphasized that the present approach can be applied to investigate asymptotic stability properties of the model (1) in the context of fractional derivatives.

The plan of this work is as follows:

In Section 2, we provide some concepts and preliminaries. The GAS of the DEE point E^* is studied in Section 3. Section 4 reports some numerical simulations. The last section presents some conclusions and open problems.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall from [10] some well-known results related to Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a real matrix of order n and $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a real diagonal matrix. We write $A > 0$ when A is a symmetric positive definite and $A < 0$ when A is a symmetric negative definite.

Definition 1. ([10, Definition 1]) *A matrix A is said to be Volterra-Lyapunov stable if there exists a $D > 0$ for which $AD + DA^T < 0$.*

For any subset $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n$ of the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$ the principal submatrix $A_{i_1 \dots i_j}$ of A is obtained by omitting all rows and columns except those with indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j . The corresponding principal minor is $M_{i_1 \dots i_j} = \det A_{i_1 \dots i_j}$. The minors M_i are written simply a_{ii} .

Definition 2. ([10, Definition 2]) *The signed principal minors of A are the quantities $(-1)^j M_{i_1 \dots i_j}$.*

Definition 3. ([10, Definition 3]) *Let P denote the class of matrices whose signed principal minors are all positive and P_0^+ the class whose signed principal minors are all non-negative, with at least one of each order positive.*

Theorem 1. ([10, Theorem 4]) *A 3×3 real matrix A is Volterra-Lyapunov stable if and only if $A \in P$ and the inequalities*

$$p_1(y) = (a_{13}y + a_{31})^2 - 4a_{11}a_{33}y < 0, \quad (9)$$

$$p_2(y) = (b_1y + b_2)^2 - 4M_{12}M_{23}y < 0, \quad (10)$$

where $b_1 = a_{12}a_{23} - a_{22}a_{13}$ and $b_2 = a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31}$, are satisfied simultaneously.

3. Main results

In this section, the GAS of the DEE point E^* is studied based on suitable Lyapunov functions and properties of Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices. Throughout this section, we always assume that the basic reproduction number \mathcal{R}_0 is greater than 1.

Since the total population of (1) satisfies $N' = A - \mu N$, it is sufficient to consider the following sub-model

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= A + \epsilon R - aIS - vS - \mu S, \\ I' &= a\delta IS - b_I I - \mu I, \\ R' &= b_C \left(\frac{A}{\mu} - S - I - R \right) + b_I I + vS - \epsilon R - \mu R \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

on its positively invariant set Ω given by (3). Note that the representation $C = A/\mu - S - I - R$ was used in (1) to obtain (11).

For the model (11), let us denote

$$M(t) := \delta S(t) + I(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then, we obtain an equation for M

$$M' = \delta S' + I' = \delta A + \delta \epsilon R - \delta v S - \delta \mu S - b_I I - \mu I = \delta A + \delta \epsilon R - v(M - I) - \mu M - b_I I.$$

This equation leads to a new model that is equivalent to (11)

$$\begin{aligned} M' &= \delta A + \delta \epsilon R - (v + \mu)M + (v - b_I)I, \\ I' &= a(M - I)I - b_I I - \mu I, \\ R' &= b_C \left(\frac{A}{\mu} - \frac{M - I}{\delta} - I - R \right) + b_I I + v \frac{M - I}{\delta} - \epsilon R - \mu R. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

A feasible set for the model (12) can be given by

$$\Omega^* = \{(M, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid 0 \leq M \leq A/\mu, 0 \leq I + R \leq A/\mu\}. \quad (13)$$

Form (6), we deduce that if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ the model (12) has a unique positive equilibrium point $E_2^* = (M_2^*, I_2^*, R_2^*)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_2^* &= \frac{b_I + \mu}{a} - \frac{(b_C + \mu) [-a\delta(A + N^*\epsilon) + b_I(v + \epsilon + \mu) + \mu(v + \epsilon + \mu)]}{a[\mu(\epsilon + \mu) + b_I(\epsilon - \delta\epsilon + \mu) + b_C(b_I + \delta\epsilon + \mu)]}, \\ I_2^* &= -\frac{(b_C + \mu) [-a\delta(A + N^*\epsilon) + b_I(v + \epsilon + \mu) + \mu(v + \epsilon + \mu)]}{a[\mu(\epsilon + \mu) + b_I(\epsilon - \delta\epsilon + \mu) + b_C(b_I + \delta\epsilon + \mu)]}, \\ R_2^* &= \frac{A}{\mu} - S^* - I^* - C^*. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now, the GAS of E^* for the model (1) is equivalent to the GAS of E_2^* for the model (12). Because E_2^* is the unique positive equilibrium point of the model (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A + \delta \epsilon R_2^* - (v + \mu)M_2^* + (v - b_I)I_2^* &= 0, \\ aM_2^* - aI_2^* &= b_I + \mu, \\ b_C \frac{A}{\mu} + \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} M_2^* + \left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right) I_2^* - (b_C + \epsilon + \mu)R_2^* &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By using (15), the model (12) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
M' &= \left[\delta A + \delta \epsilon R - (v + \mu)M + (v - b_I)I \right] - \left[\delta A + \delta \epsilon R_2^* - (v + \mu)M_2^* + (v - b_I)I_2^* \right] \\
&= -(v + \mu)(M - M_2^*) + (v - b_I)(I - I_2^*) + \delta \epsilon (R - R_2^*), \\
I' &= I \left[(aM - aI) - (b_I + \mu) \right] = I \left[(aM - aI) - (aM_2^* - aI_2^*) \right], \\
&= I \left[a(M - M_2^*) - a(I - I_2^*) \right], \\
R' &= \left[b_C \frac{A}{\mu} + \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} M + \left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right) I - (b_C + \epsilon + \mu)R \right] \\
&\quad - \left[b_C \frac{A}{\mu} + \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} M_2^* + \left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right) I_2^* - (b_C + \epsilon + \mu)R_2^* \right] \\
&= \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} (M - M_2^*) + \left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right) (I - I_2^*) - (b_C + \epsilon + \mu)(R - R_2^*).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Consider a family of Lyapunov functions defined by

$$V(M, I, R) = \tau_1 (R - R_2^*)^2 + \tau_2 (M - M_2^*)^2 + 2\tau_3 \left(I - I_2^* - I_2^* \ln \frac{I}{I_2^*} \right), \tag{17}$$

where τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 are positive undetermined coefficients. The derivative of the function V along solutions of (16) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dV}{dt} &= \frac{dV}{dR} \frac{dR}{dt} + \frac{dV}{dM} \frac{dM}{dt} + \frac{dV}{dI} \frac{dI}{dt} \\
&= 2\tau_1 (R - R_2^*) R' + 2\tau_2 (M - M_2^*) M' + 2\tau_3 \frac{I - I_2^*}{I} I' \\
&= 2\tau_1 \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} (M - M_2^*) (R - R_2^*) + 2\tau_1 \left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right) (R - R_2^*) (I - I_2^*) - 2\tau_1 (b_C + \epsilon + \mu) (R - R_2^*)^2 \\
&\quad - 2\tau_2 (v + \mu) (M - M_2^*)^2 + 2\tau_2 \delta \epsilon (M - M_2^*) (R - R_2^*) + 2\tau_2 (v - b_I) (M - M_2^*) (I - I_2^*) \\
&\quad + 2\tau_3 a (I - I_2^*) (M - M_2^*) - 2\tau_3 a (I - I_2^*)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

or equivalently to

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = X(QD + DQ^T)X^T, \tag{19}$$

where X, Q and D are matrices given by

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} R - R_2^* & M - M_2^* & I - I_2^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} -(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) & \delta \epsilon & 0 \\ \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} & -(v + \mu) & a \\ \frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} & v - b_I & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

Our main objective is to determine conditions guaranteeing that *the matrix Q is Volterra-Lyapunov stable*.

Lemma 1. *The matrix Q given by (20) belongs to the class P .*

Proof. We need to show that all signed principal minors of Q are positive. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= -(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) < 0, \\
M_2 &= -(v + \mu) < 0, \\
M_3 &= -a < 0, \\
M_{12} &= (b_C + \epsilon + \mu)(v + \mu) - \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} \delta \epsilon = (b_C + \mu)(b_C + \mu + \epsilon) > 0, \\
M_{13} &= (b_C + \epsilon + \mu)a > 0, \\
M_{23} &= (v + \mu)a - (v - b_I)a = a(b_I + \mu) > 0, \\
M_{123} &= \det Q = -a \left[(b_I + \mu)(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) - \delta \epsilon (b_I - b_C) \right] < 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The last inequality of (21) is obtained because $0 < \delta < 1$. Therefore, we have that all the signed principal minors of Q are positive, or equivalently, $Q \in P$. The proof is complete. \square

We now show that the inequalities (9) and (10) can be satisfied simultaneously for the matrix Q . For this purpose, we introduce the following hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(b_C a \epsilon - b_C a \delta \epsilon + b_I a \delta \epsilon - v a \epsilon \right)^2}{4(b_C + \epsilon + \mu)(a + b_I + \mu)} \\
& < (b_C + \mu)(b_C + \mu + \epsilon)(b_I + \mu)a + (v + \mu)a \left[(b_I + \mu)(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) - \delta \epsilon (b_I - b_C) \right] \\
& + 2 \sqrt{(b_C + \mu)(b_C + \mu + \epsilon)(b_I + \mu)a(v + \mu)a \left[(b_I + \mu)(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) - \delta \epsilon (b_I - b_C) \right]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Lemma 2. *The inequalities (9) and (10) are satisfied simultaneously for the matrix Q if the condition (22) holds.*

Proof. For the matrix Q , we have

$$p_1(y) = \left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right)^2 - 4(b_C + \epsilon + \mu)(a + b_I + \mu)y. \tag{23}$$

So, $p_1(y) < 0$ whenever

$$y \in \Omega_1 := (y_{p_1}^*, \infty), \quad y_{p_1}^* := \frac{\left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \right)^2}{4(b_C + \epsilon + \mu)(a + b_I + \mu)}. \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, the polynomial $p_2(y)$ is given by [10]

$$p_2(y) = b_1^2 y^2 - 2(M_{12}M_{23} + a_{22} \det Q)y + b_2^2,$$

where $b_1 = q_{12}q_{23} - q_{22}q_{13}$ and $b_2 = q_{21}q_{32} - q_{22}q_{31}$. So, its discriminant is

$$\Delta = 16a_{22}M_{12}M_{23} \det Q.$$

It follows from (21) that $\Delta > 0$. Consequently, $p_2(y) > 0$ whenever

$$y \in \Omega_2 = \left(\frac{M_{12}M_{23} + q_{22} \det Q - 2\sqrt{M_{12}M_{23}q_{22} \det Q}}{b_1^2}, \frac{M_{12}M_{23} + q_{22} \det Q + 2\sqrt{M_{12}M_{23}q_{22} \det Q}}{b_1^2} \right).$$

The inequalities (9) and (10) are satisfied simultaneously for the matrix Q if and only if $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$. This condition is equivalent to

$$y_{p_1}^* < \frac{M_{12}M_{23} + q_{22} \det Q + 2\sqrt{M_{12}M_{23}q_{22} \det Q}}{b_1^2},$$

or equivalently,

$$y_{p_1}^* := \frac{\left(\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta}\right)^2}{4(b_C + \epsilon + \mu)(a + b_I + \mu)} < \frac{M_{12}M_{23} + q_{22} \det Q + 2\sqrt{M_{12}M_{23}q_{22} \det Q}}{(a\delta\epsilon)^2}. \quad (25)$$

The condition (25) is equivalent to (22). This completes the proof. \square

Combing Lemmas 1 and 2 with Theorem 1 we obtain:

Theorem 2. *The matrix Q given by (20) is Volterra-Lyapunov stable whenever the condition (22) hold.*

As an important consequence of Theorem 2. The GAS of E_2^* of the model (12) is obtained.

Theorem 3. *The DEE point E_2^* of the model (12) is globally asymptotically stable if the condition (22) is satisfied.*

Proof. First, we recall from (19) that

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = X(QD + DQ^T)X.$$

Since the matrix Q is Volterra-Lyapunov stable, there exists a diagonal matrix $D > 0$ for which $QD + DQ^T < 0$. Consequently, there always exist positive real numbers τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 such that the function V defined by (17) satisfies the Lyapunov stability theorem [22, 28]. Hence, the GAS of E_2^* is proved. The proof is completed. \square

Because the GAS of the models (12) and (1) is equivalent, we obtain the GAS of the original model (1).

Theorem 4. *The endemic steady state E^* of the model (1) is globally asymptotically stable provided that $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ and the condition (22) is satisfied.*

Remark 1. (i) *It is easy to verify the condition (22) since it is fully explicit. Moreover, (22) is satisfied automatically when $\frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} = 0$.*

(ii) *Since the matrix Q given by (20) is constant, the resulting matrix D is also constant. Meanwhile, the results in [25] required that the matrices D associated with the Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices Q must be constant. In general, it is difficult to verify this condition.*

(iii) *The representation of the derivative of the function V given by (19) is not unique. There are 6 ways to represent dV/dt in the matrix form depending on the order of $M - M_2^*, I - I_2^*$ and $R - R_2^*$. For example, we can write*

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = X(DQ + QD^T)X^T,$$

where

$$X = [M - M_2^* \quad I - I_2^* \quad R - R_2^*], \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} -(v + \mu) & a & \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} \\ v - b_I & -a & \frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} \\ \delta\epsilon & 0 & -(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$X = [M - M_2^* \quad R - R_2^* \quad I - I_2^*], \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} -(v + \mu) & \frac{v - b_C}{\delta} & a \\ \delta\epsilon & -(b_C + \epsilon + \mu) & 0 \\ v - b_I & \frac{b_C}{\delta} - b_C + b_I - \frac{v}{\delta} & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, these representations are not convenient to determine conditions for the matrix Q to be Lyapunov-Volterra stable.

4. Numerical examples

In this section, some numerical examples, using the data in Table 1, are performed to support the theoretical results.

We now solve numerically the differential equation model (1) by applying the classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta (RK4) method (see [5]) with $h = 10^{-5}$. The solutions of the model generated by the RK4 method for $t \in [0, 160]$ are depicted in Figures 1 and 2. In these figure, each blue curve represents a phase space corresponding to a specific initial data, the yellow arrows show the evolution of the model and the red circle indicates the location of the disease-endemic equilibrium point.

It is clear that in both cases the solutions are stable and converge to the equilibrium point E^* . In other words, E^* is globally asymptotically stable. Also, the numerical results show that the conditions (7) and (8) are only technical ones.

Table 1: The parameters used in numerical examples.

Case	A	ϵ	a	v	μ	δ	b_I	b_C	\mathcal{R}_0	$E_* = (S^*, I^*, R^*)$	Remark
1	2	0.004	0.008	0.05	0.01	0.9	0.1	0.005	2.8636	(15.2796, 14.0548, 158.6942)	The condition (8) is not satisfied The condition (22) is satisfied
2	2	0.002	0.001	0.03	0.01	0.5	0.01	0.05	1.4286	(39.7946, 17.1194, 137.2670)	The condition (8) is not satisfied The condition (22) is not satisfied




Figure 1: The phase spaces for the model (1) in Case 1.




Figure 2: The phase spaces for the model (1) in Case 2.

5. Conclusions and some open problems

In this work, we have used a simple approach to establish the complete GAS of the SCIRS model (1) without the technical hypotheses proposed in [20]. This approach is based on suitable Lyapunov functions in combination with characteristics of Lyapunov-Volterra stable real matrices. The main result is that we obtain a simple and easily-verified condition for the endemic equilibrium point to be globally asymptotically stable. This result provides an important improvement for the results constructed in [20]. The obtained theoretical findings have been supported and illustrated by a set of numerical simulations.

Recently, some researchers have applied the Mickens' methodology [31, 32, 33, 34] to formulate dynamically consistent nonstandard finite difference schemes for solving computer viruses and malware propagation models (see, for instance, [12, 13, 14, 29, 30]). This is an important problem with many useful applications in real-world situations. Following these works, we can also apply the Mickens' methodology to propose nonstandard numerical schemes for the model (1). Then, the stability problem for proposed nonstandard numerical schemes will be posted. This is not a simple problem because the equilibria of the continuous model are not only locally asymptotically stable but also globally asymptotically stable. However, the present approach can be considered as a promising solution for the problem.

In recent years, many researchers have constructed and developed the Lyapunov stability theory for fractional dynamical systems (see, for instance, [1, 2, 19, 23, 24, 37]). Hence, the present approach can be combined with the Lyapunov stability theory for fractional dynamical system to investigate asymptotic stability properties of the model (1) in the context of fractional derivatives such as the Caputo fractional derivative [8], the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative [18, 35], the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative [9], the new fractional derivative involving the normalized sinc function without singular kernel [38], the new fractional derivatives with non-local and non-singular kernel [6] and so on.

In the near future, we will study the use of the approach in the present work for resolving the GAS problem for dynamical systems governed by differential and difference equations. Also, the construction of dynamically consistent nonstandard finite difference schemes for the model (1) in particular and for computer viruses and malware propagation models in general will be considered.

References

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, S. Hristova, Stability of Caputo fractional differential equations by Lyapunov functions, *Applications of Mathematics* 60 (2015) 653-676.
- [2] N. Aguila-Camacho, A. M. Duarte-Mermoud, J. A. Gallegos, Lyapunov functions for fractional order systems, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 19(2014) 2951-2957.
- [3] A. Akgul, U. Fatima, M. S. Iqbal, N. Ahmed, A. Raza, Z. Iqbal, M. Rafiq, A fractal fractional model for computer virus dynamics, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 147(2021) 110947.

- [4] L. J. S. Allen, *An Introduction to Mathematical Biology*, Prentice Hall, 2007.
- [5] U. M. Ascher, L. R. Petzold, *Computer Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations and Differential Algebraic Equations*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1998.
- [6] A. Atangana, D. Baleanu, New fractional derivative with non-local and non-singular kernel: Theory and application to heat transfer model, *Thermal Science* 20 (2016) 763-769.
- [7] F. Brauer, C. Castillo-Chavez, Z. Feng, *Mathematical Models in Epidemiology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2019.
- [8] M. Caputo, Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent-II, *Geophysical Journal International* 13(1967)529-539.
- [9] M. Caputo, M. Fabrizio, A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel, *Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications* 1(2015) 73-85.
- [10] W. Cross, Three Types of Matrix Stability, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 20(1978) 253-263.
- [11] V. P. Dubey, R. Kumar, D. Kumar, A hybrid analytical scheme for the numerical computation of time fractional computer virus propagation model and its stability analysis, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 133(2020) 109626.
- [12] Q. A. Dang, M. T. Hoang, Positivity and global stability preserving NSFD schemes for a mixing propagation model of computer viruses, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 374(2020) 112753.
- [13] Q. A. Dang, M. T. Hoang, Numerical dynamics of nonstandard finite difference schemes for a computer virus propagation model, *International Journal of Dynamics and Control* 8(2020) 772-778.
- [14] Q. A. Dang, M. T. Hoang, Q. L. Dang, Nonstandard finite difference schemes for solving a modified epidemiological model for computer viruses, *Journal of Computer Science and Cybernetics* 32(2008) 171-185.
- [15] S. Hosseini, M. A. Azgomi, The dynamics of an SEIRS-QV malware propagation model in heterogeneous networks, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 512(2018) 803-817.
- [16] Z. Hu, H. Wang, F. Liao, W. Ma, Stability analysis of a computer virus model in latent period, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 75(2015) 20-28.
- [17] S. Huang, Global dynamics of a network-based WSIS model for mobile malware propagation over complex networks, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 503(2018) 293-303.
- [18] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations: An Application-Oriented Exposition using Differential Operators of Caputo Type*, Springer 2010.

- [19] M. A. Duarte-Mermoud, N. Aguila-Camacho, A. J. Gallegos, R. Castro-Linares, Using general quadratic Lyapunov functions to prove Lyapunov uniform stability for fractional order systems, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 22 (2015) 650-659.
- [20] J. D. Hernández Guillén, A. Martín del Rey, a mathematical model for malware spread on WSNs with population dynamics, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 545(2020) 123609.
- [21] Hassan. K. Khalil, *Nonlinear Systems*, Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [22] J. P. La Salle, *The Stability of Dynamical Systems*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Pennsylvania, 1976.
- [23] Y. Li, Y. Chen, I. Podlubny, Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional order nonlinear dynamic systems, *Automatica* 45 (2009) 1965-1969
- [24] Y. Li, YQ. Chen, I. Podlubny, Stability of fractional-order nonlinear dynamic systems: Lyapunov direct method and generalized Mittag-Leffler stability, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 59 (2010) 1810-1821.
- [25] S. Liao, J. Wang, Global stability analysis of epidemiological models based on Volterra-Lyapunov stable matrices, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 45(2012) 966-977.
- [26] G. Liu, B. Peng, X. Zhong, Epidemic Analysis of Wireless Rechargeable Sensor Networks Based on an Attack-Defense Game Model, *Sensors* 2021, 21, 594. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21020594>.
- [27] G. Liu, B. Peng, X. Zhong, A Novel Epidemic Model for Wireless Rechargeable Sensor Network Security, *Sensors* 2021, 21, 123. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s21010123>.
- [28] A. M. Lyapunov, *The general problem of the stability of motion*, Taylor and Francis, London, 1992.
- [29] J. Martín-Vaquero, A. Martín del Rey, A. H. Encinas, J. D. Hernández Guillén, A. Queiruga-Dios and G. Rodríguez Sánchez, Higher-order nonstandard finite difference schemes for a MSEIR model for a malware propagation, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 317(2017) 146-156.
- [30] J. Martín-Vaquero, A. Martín del Rey, A. H. Encinas, J. D. Hernández Guillén, A. Queiruga-Dios and G. Rodríguez Sánchez, Variable step length algorithms with high-order extrapolated non-standard finite difference schemes for a SEIR model, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 330(2018) 848-854.
- [31] R. E. Mickens, *Nonstandard Finite Difference Models of Differential Equations*, World Scientific, 1993.
- [32] R. E. Mickens, *Applications of Nonstandard Finite Difference Schemes*, World Scientific, 2000.
- [33] R. E. Mickens, *Advances in the Applications of Nonstandard Finite Difference Schemes*, World Scientific, 2005.

- [34] R. E. Mickens, *Nonstandard Finite Difference Schemes: Methodology and Applications*, World Scientific, 2020.
- [35] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [36] J. Ren, X. Yang, L. -X. Yang, Y. Xu, F. Yang, A delayed computer virus propagation model and its dynamics, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 45(2012) 74-79.
- [37] C. Vargas-De-Leon, Volterra-type Lyapunov functions for fractional-order epidemic systems, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 24 (2015) 75-85.
- [38] X. Yang, F. Gao, J. A. Tenreiro Machado, D. Baleanu, A new fractional derivative involving the normalized sinc function without singular kernel, *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* 226(2017) 3567-357.