

Algorithm for monochromatic vertex-disconnection of graphs

Miao Fu* and Yuqin Zhang

Abstract. Let G be a vertex-colored graph. We call a vertex cut S of G a *monochromatic vertex cut* if the vertices of S are colored with the same color. The graph G is *monochromatically vertex-disconnected* if any two nonadjacent vertices of G has a monochromatic vertex cut separating them. The *monochromatic vertex-disconnection number* of G , denoted by $mvd(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that are used to make G monochromatically vertex-disconnected. In this paper, we propose an algorithm to compute $mvd(G)$ and give an mvd -coloring of the graph G . We run this algorithm with an example written in Java. The main part of the code is shown in Appendix *B* and the complete code is given on Github: <https://github.com/fumiaoT/mvd-coloring.git>. Secondly, inspired by the previous localization principle, we obtain a upper bound of $mvd(G)$ for some special classes of graphs. In addition, when $mvd(G)$ is large and all blocks of G are minimally 2-connected triangle-free graphs, we characterize G . On these bases, we show that any graph whose blocks are all minimally 2-connected graphs of small order, can be computed $mvd(G)$ and given an mvd -coloring in polynomial time.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 05C15, 05C40, 05C85.

Keywords. Monochromatic vertex cut; Monochromatic vertex-disconnection coloring; Depth-first search; block decomposition; Minimally 2-connected graphs.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are connected, finite, undirected. We follow the terminology and notation of Bondy and Murty [1]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a nontrivial connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set

*Miao Fu: fumiao1119@163.com

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, P. R. China 300354

Yuqin Zhang: yuqinzhang@tju.edu.cn

School of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, P. R. China 300072 .

$E(G)$. Let $|G|$ be the *order* of G . For $D \subseteq E(G)$, $G - D$ is the graph obtained by removing D from G . For $S \subseteq V(G)$, $G - S$ is the graph obtained by removing S and the edges incident to the vertices of S from G , and $G[S]$ is the subgraph of G *induced* by S . A graph G is *minimally k -connected* (*minimal block*) if G is k -connected but $G - \{e\}$ is not k -connected for every $e \in E(G)$. A *block* of a graph G is a maximal connected subgraph of G that has no cut-vertex. So every block of a nontrivial connected graph is either K_2 or a 2-connected subgraph, which is called *trivial* and *nontrivial*, respectively. All the blocks of a graph G form a *block decomposition* of G .

An *edge-coloring* of a graph G is a function $\Gamma : E(G) \rightarrow [k]$ for some positive integer k , where adjacent edges may be assigned the same color. A *vertex-coloring* of a graph G is a function $\tau : V(G) \rightarrow [k]$ for some positive integer k , where adjacent vertices may be assigned the same color.

The notion rainbow connection coloring was introduced by Chartrand et al. [2] in 2008. A *rainbow connection coloring* of a graph G is an edge-coloring of G such that any two distinct vertices of G are connected by a rainbow path (a path of G whose edges are colored pairwise differently). The notion *rainbow vertex-connection coloring* was introduced by Krivelevich and Yuster [9] in 2010, which is defined from the vertex-version. For more details about the rainbow connection coloring and vertex-connection coloring, we refer to [10–13, 19].

In contrast to the concepts of rainbow connection coloring and vertex-connection coloring, monochromatic versions of these concepts appear naturally as the other extremal. The notion monochromatic connection coloring was introduced by Caro and Yuster [3] in 2011. A *monochromatic connection coloring* of a graph G is an edge-coloring of G such that any two distinct vertices are connected by a monochromatic path (a path of G whose edges are colored the same). The notion *monochromatic vertex-connection coloring* was introduced by Cai, Li and Wu [5] in 2018, which is defined from the vertex-version. For more results on the monochromatic connection coloring and vertex-connection coloring, we refer to [4, 6, 8, 14].

There are two ways to study the connectivity of graphs, one using paths and the other using cuts. These concepts mentioned above use paths, so it is natural to consider monochromatic edge cuts and monochromatic vertex cuts in colored graphs. The notion monochromatic disconnection coloring was introduced by Li and Li [16] in 2021. An edge-colored graph G is *monochromatically disconnected* if any two distinct vertices of G has a monochromatic edge cut (an edge cut of G whose edges are colored the same) separating them. An edge-coloring of G is a *monochromatic disconnection coloring* if it makes G monochromatically disconnected. For more results, we refer to [17, 18].

In order to study the monochromatic vertex cuts, we now introduce the notion of monochromatic vertex-disconnection coloring in this paper. For a vertex-colored graph G , we call a vertex cut S a *monochromatic vertex cut*

if the vertices of S are colored with the same color. For two distinct vertices x, y of G , a *monochromatic x - y vertex cut* is a monochromatic vertex cut that separates x and y . Obviously, if x is adjacent to y , there is no x - y vertex cut, so we only need to consider nonadjacent vertices in the following. A vertex-colored graph G is *monochromatically vertex-disconnected* if any two nonadjacent vertices of G has a monochromatic vertex cut separating them. A vertex-coloring of G is a *monochromatic vertex-disconnection coloring* (*MVD-coloring* for short) if it makes G monochromatically vertex-disconnected. For a vertex-colored graph G , the *monochromatic vertex-disconnection number* of G , denoted by $mvd(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that are used to make G monochromatically vertex-disconnected. A monochromatic vertex-disconnection coloring with $mvd(G)$ colors is called an *mvd -coloring* of G . Naturally, for complete graphs K_n , we define $mvd(K_n) = n$. For a vertex-coloring τ of G , $\tau(v)$ is the color of vertex v , $\tau(G)$ is the set of colors used in G , and $|\tau(G)|$ is as the number of colors in $\tau(G)$. If τ is a vertex-coloring of G and H is a subgraph of G , then the part of the coloring of τ on H is called τ *restricted to H* .

Suppose G is a graph that may have multiple edges but no loops, and G' is a simple graph corresponding to it. Two vertices x and y are adjacent in G if and only if they are also adjacent in G' . Therefore, we have the following result, which means that we only need to consider simple graphs in this paper.

Proposition 1.1. *If G' is a simple graph corresponding to a graph G , then $mvd(G') = mvd(G)$.*

The monochromatic vertex-disconnection number is not only a natural combinatorial measure, but also applied in logistics transportation, transportation, computer network and many other fields. For example, in the process of logistics transportation, we often need to intercept threatening goods, such as smuggled drugs and confidential documents. We intercept the goods in the cities it may pass through. If the interception is successful in a city, that city can give feedback on the success of the interception by transmitting a signal (e.g. some special frequency) to other cities. Conversely, if no feedback is received, a new round of interceptions will be required. This situation can be abstracted as a graphical model. Consider cities as vertices, and if there is a transport road between two cities, we assign an edge between these two vertices. The resulting graph is denoted by G and each vertex is assigned a color according to the frequency transmitted by the city. Suppose the goods are transported from city x to city y . If there is a transport road between city x and city y (vertices x and y are adjacent), we can obviously intercept the goods directly in city y . If vertices x and y are nonadjacent, in order to intercept the goods, we need to consider the x - y vertex cut. In order to determine whether the interception is successful, the x - y vertex cut in G needs to be monochromatic, in other words, the cities corresponding to the x - y vertex cut have the same frequency. If a city (corresponding to a vertex in the x - y vertex cut) transmits this frequency, it indicates a successful interception. Conversely, a new round of interceptions is required for other

cities. Then the minimum number of frequencies for cities required in this problem is precisely the monochromatic vertex-disconnection number of the corresponding graph.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give an algorithm to compute $mvd(G)$ and get an mvd -coloring of G . This algorithm transforms the global problem of computing $mvd(G)$ and the mvd -coloring of G into a local problem for each block of G , which greatly simplifies the original problem. In Section 3, inspired by the localization principle in the previous section, we obtain an upper bound of $mvd(G)$ for some special classes of graphs. In addition, when $mvd(G)$ is large and all blocks of G are minimally 2-connected triangle-free graphs, we characterize G . On these bases, we show that any graph whose blocks are all minimally 2-connected graphs of small order, can be computed $mvd(G)$ and given an mvd -coloring in polynomial time.

2. Algorithm for mvd -coloring

In this section, we study the correlation between the mvd -coloring of a graph G and the mvd -coloring of its blocks, based on which we write an algorithm to compute $mvd(G)$ and give an mvd -coloring of G : construct a *type set* consisting of all graphs whose mvd -coloring is known; input a graph G and the algorithm performs a block decomposition of G (Algorithm 2); find graphs in the type set that are isomorphic to these blocks and color these blocks according to the colors in the type set, then complete the mvd -coloring of G (Algorithm 3). Finally, we run Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3 with an example written in Java.

2.1. Block decomposition algorithm

Our algorithm is based on the block decomposition algorithm of the undirected graph G . In 1972, Tarjan [21] showed how block decomposition problem can be solved efficiently by means of *Depth-first search (DFS)*. However, Tarjan's algorithm is skillful and the part of this algorithm that solves connected components of undirected graphs is less known. Thus in this section, first, we re-state and prove the principle of the undirected graph block decomposition algorithm in a more understandable way than in [21]. Subsequently, we combine this principle with the variables introduced in Tarjan's algorithm to obtain the pseudo-code for block decomposition.

2.1.1. The principle of block decomposition algorithm.

In DFS, we always start exploring from the most recently discovered vertex with unexplored edges and maintain a vertex, called ACTIVE. DFS number the vertices from 1 to n in the order when they are discovered. $dfs(v)$ is defined as the number assigned to vertex v . For each vertex v apart from x (the root) we can record the vertex $parent(v)$ from which v has been discovered. Then $parent(v)$ is called the *parent* of v . A *rooted tree* is a directed tree with one vertex x chosen as root. The rooted tree that we

obtain by applying DFS on an undirected graph G is called a *DFS tree*. In a DFS tree, vertex w is called an *ancestor* of v , and v is called a *descendant* of w if there is a directed path from w to v . The edges of a DFS tree are called *tree edges* and consist of all directed edges of the form $parent(v) \rightarrow v$. All other edges of the graph G are called *back edges*, and obviously each back edge connects some vertex v to one of its ancestors.

Now we state the principle of the block decomposition algorithm and provide a rigorous theoretical proof.

Theorem 2.1. *G is a connected graph. Maintain a vertex called ACTIVE. Build a DFS tree T of G , and delete parts of T as blocks are identified. We can get the blocks of G as follows.*

-
- 1: **Initialization:** pick a root $x \in V(G)$; make x ACTIVE; set $T = \{x\}$
 - 2: **while** let v denote the current ACTIVE vertex; v has an unexplored incident edge or $parent(v) \neq null$ ($v \neq x$) **do**
 - 3: **if** v has an unexplored incident edge vw **then**
 - 4: mark vw “explored”
 - 5: **if** $w \notin V(T)$ **then**
 - 6: $v \rightarrow w$ is a tree edge, add $v \rightarrow w$ to T , make w ACTIVE
 - 7: **else**
 - 8: $v \rightarrow w$ is a back edge, w is an ancestor of v
 - 9: **else**
 - 10: make $parent(v)$ ACTIVE
 - 11: **if** no vertex in the current subtree T' rooted at v has a back edge to an ancestor above $parent(v)$ **then**
 - 12: $V(T') \cup \{parent(v)\}$ is the vertex set of a block; record this information and delete $V(T')$ from T
-

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $|G|$. For K_2 , the algorithm correctly identifies the single block (K_1 is a special case). By induction, for a larger graph G , it suffices to show that the first set identified as a block is indeed a block B that share one vertex $parent(v)$ with the rest of G (since the subsequent run of the algorithm on G is equivalent to running the algorithm on the graph $G - (B - parent(v))$).

In line 10 and 11, we backtrack from v to $parent(v)$. That is, when the ACTIVE vertex changes from v to $parent(v)$, we need to check if any vertex in the subtree T' rooted at v has a neighbor above $parent(v)$. This is easy to do because in Line 8, when we mark a back edge to an ancestor as explored, we record for the vertices on the path in T between the endpoints of the back edge that there is a back edge from a descendant to an ancestor. When $parent(v)$ becomes ACTIVE again, we check if it was ever so marked.

We claim that $G[V(T') \cup \{parent(v)\}]$ is a block when the ACTIVE vertex changes from v to $parent(v)$ and no vertex in the subtree T' rooted at v has a neighbor above $parent(v)$. In this case, for any vertex j in $V(T')$, there is a back edge $k \rightarrow i$ from a descendant k of j to an ancestor i of j , then i via some tree edges down through j and finally reaches k , forming a cycle.




FIGURE 1. $(dfs(\cdot), low(\cdot))$, the DFS tree edges and back edges

Thus there is no cut-vertex in $V(T')$, in other words, no proper subset of set $V(T')$ induces a block. On the other hand, since there is no edge joining T' to an ancestor of $parent(v)$, then $parent(v)$ is a cut-vertex. Therefore, $G[V(T') \cup \{parent(v)\}]$ is a maximal subgraph having no cut-vertex. \square

2.1.2. Pseudo-code for block decomposition algorithm.

We introduce a parameter $low(\cdot)$ from Tarjan's algorithm to implement line 8 and 11 in Theorem 2.1. If v is a leaf of the DFS tree, let $low(v) = dfs(v)$ immediately when v is discovered, and update $low(v)$ to $low(v) = \min\{low(v), dfs(w)\}$ as each back edge $v \rightarrow w$ is explored. If v is not a leaf of the DFS tree, when we backtrack from v to $parent(v)$, it is clear that we have backtracked from all its descendants earlier and therefore already know their $low(\cdot)$. So in addition to what we do for the leaves, it is sufficient to do the following: when we backtrack from v to $parent(v)$, update $low(parent(v))$ to $low(parent(v)) = \min\{low(parent(v)), low(v)\}$.

Example 2.1. The graph shown in Fig. 1(1). We apply DFS to this graph, starting with root $x = A$, and assume that we discover vertices in the order of A, B, C, D, E, F, G. The resulting $(dfs(\cdot), low(\cdot))$, tree edges, and back edges are shown in Fig. 1(2).

Combining Theorem 2.1 with the parameter $low(\cdot)$ described above, it is easy to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Let G be a graph whose vertices have been numbered by DFS, starting with x ($dfs(x) = 1$). x is a cut-vertex if and only if there are at least two tree edges out of x . If $parent(v) \rightarrow v$ is a tree edge and $dfs(parent(v)) > 1$, then $parent(v)$ is a cut-vertex of G if and only if $low(v) \geq dfs(parent(v))$.*

It is worth mentioning that in DFS, we maintain the list of vertices to be searched as a stack (pushdown store). We store the vertices in the stack in the order of when they are discovered. When backtracking from v to $parent(v)$, we discover that $parent(v)$ is a cut-vertex, and we read and pop all vertices from the top of the stack down to and including v . All these vertices, plus $parent(v)$, (which is not popped at this point from the stack even if it is the next on top) constitute a block.

Now we implement Theorem 2.1 and obtain Algorithm 2. The algorithm produces the set of cut-vertices of the graph G as well as the set of blocks, both of which are initialized to null. S is a stack of vertices.

Algorithm 2 Block decomposition algorithm for graph G

Input: $(V(G), E(G))$, a root x of G , current ACTIVE vertex v

Output: set $CutVerticesSet$ of cut-vertices, set $BlocksSet$ of blocks

```

1: for every edge  $vw \in E(G)$  mark  $vw$  “unexplored”
2: for every vertex  $w \in V(G)$  do
3:    $dfs(w) \leftarrow 0$ 
4:    $parent(w) \leftarrow \text{null}$ 
5:  $v \leftarrow x$ 
6:  $dfs(x) \leftarrow 1$ 
7:  $i \leftarrow 1$ 
8: vacate  $S$ 
9: push  $x$  into  $S$ 
10: while  $v$  has an unexplored incident edge or  $parent(v) \neq \text{null}$  do
11:   if  $v$  has an unexplored incident edge  $vw$  then
12:     mark  $vw$  “explored”
13:     if  $dfs(w) = 0$  then
14:       push  $w$  into  $S$ 
15:        $parent(w) \leftarrow v$ 
16:        $i = i + 1$ 
17:        $dfs(w) \leftarrow i$ 
18:        $low(w) \leftarrow i$ 
19:        $v \leftarrow w$  (make  $w$  ACTIVE)
20:     else ( $w$  is explored, that is,  $w$  is an ancestor of  $v$ )
21:        $low(v) \leftarrow \min\{low(v), dfs(w)\}$ 
22:   else
23:     if  $low(v) \geq dfs(parent(v))$  (by Corollary 2.1) then
24:       if  $parent(v) \neq x$  or  $x$  has an unexplored incident edge then
25:         add  $parent(v)$  to  $CutVerticesSet$ 
26:       pop vertices from  $S$  down to and including  $v$ 
27:       the set of popped vertices, with  $parent(v)$ , is an element of
        $BlocksSet$ 
28:     else ( $low(v) < dfs(parent(v))$ )
29:        $low(parent(v)) \leftarrow \min\{low(parent(v)), low(v)\}$ 
        $v \leftarrow parent(v)$  (make  $parent(v)$  ACTIVE)

```

2.2. Pseudo-code for mvd -coloring algorithm

Lemma 2.1. *If τ is an MVD-coloring of G , then τ restricted to $G[S]$ is also an MVD-coloring of $G[S]$.*

Proof. Let the coloring of τ restricted to $G[S]$ be denoted as τ' and let x, y be two nonadjacent vertices of $G[S]$. If D is a monochromatic x - y vertex cut of G , then $D' = D \cap V(G[S])$ is a monochromatic x - y vertex cut in $G[S]$.

Otherwise, if there exists an x, y -path P in $G[S] - D'$, then P is also in $G - D$, a contradiction. Thus, τ' is an MVD -coloring of $G[S]$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let the connected graph G have r blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r . Then the vertex-coloring τ of G is an mvd -coloring if and only if τ restricted to each block is an mvd -coloring of each block.*

Proof. Let $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r\}$ be a block decomposition of G . If G does not have cut-vertex, then the theorem obviously holds. Now let G have at least one cut-vertex. First, we claim that τ is an MVD -coloring of G if and only if τ restricted to each block is an MVD -coloring of each block.

Since each block is a vertex induced subgraph of G , the necessity is obvious by Lemma 2.1. Suppose τ is a vertex-coloring of G and the coloring of τ restricted to B_i is denoted as τ_i . Suppose τ_i is an MVD -coloring of B_i , $i \in [r]$. For any two nonadjacent vertices x and y in G , if there exists a block B_i , which contains both x and y , then any monochromatic x - y vertex cut in B_i with the coloring τ_i is a monochromatic x - y vertex cut in G . Otherwise, there exists an x, y -path $P \not\subseteq B_i$. Since B_i is a maximal 2-connected graph, P must belong to some cycles of G . Therefore, $P \subseteq B_i$, a contradiction. If there is no block containing both x and y , that is, x and y are in different blocks, then there is exactly one x, y internally disjoint path, say P' , in G and the path P' contains at least one cut-vertex, say w . It is obvious that vertex w is a monochromatic x - y vertex cut in G .

Next, we assume τ_i is an mvd -coloring of B_i , where $i \in [r]$, and if $B_i \cap B_j = w$, then $\tau_i(B_i) \cap \tau_j(B_j) = \tau_i(w) = \tau_j(w)$, and if $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$, then $\tau_i(B_i) \cap \tau_j(B_j) = \emptyset$. Hence, τ is an MVD -coloring of G . We claim that τ is an mvd -coloring of G . Otherwise, suppose that f is an mvd -coloring of G , then $|f(G)| > |\tau(G)|$. Let the coloring of f restricted to B_i be denoted as f_i . Then for $i \in [r]$, we have that f_i is an MVD -coloring of B_i and $|f_i(B_i)| \leq |\tau_i(B_i)|$ which contradicts $|f(G)| > |\tau(G)|$.

On the contrary, we set τ to be an mvd -coloring of G . Then we obtain that τ_i is an MVD -coloring of B_i , where $i \in [r]$, and if $B_i \cap B_j = w$, then $\tau_i(B_i) \cap \tau_j(B_j) = \tau_i(w) = \tau_j(w)$, and if $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$, then $\tau_i(B_i) \cap \tau_j(B_j) = \emptyset$. We claim that τ_i is also an mvd -coloring of B_i . Otherwise, assume that τ_1 is not an mvd -coloring of B_1 and we assign some new colors to the vertices in B_1 (except for the cut-vertices). Let g_1 be the mvd -coloring of B_1 , then $|g_1(B_1)| > |\tau_1(B_1)|$. Now we get a vertex-coloring g of G , and g is an MVD -coloring of G . In this case, $|g(G)| > |T(G)|$, which contradicts the maximality of τ . \square

For a graph G with r blocks, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *If connected graph G has r blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r , then $mvd(G) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r mvd(B_i) \right) - r + 1$.*

Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.2 guarantee the existence of Algorithm 3, which is also important in the subsequent study of mvd -coloring. It is worth

mentioning that in line 4, we need to find a graph $thBlock$ in the type set that is isomorphic to $Block$, and color $Block$ with the color of $thBlock$ according to the correspondence of their vertices (each time coloring a different block with new colors). The isomorphism algorithm is not the focus of this paper, so we do not elaborate on it. Since cut-vertices may be colored multiple times, in lines 6-16 we update the colors of the cut-vertices and finally give the mvd -coloring of G .

Algorithm 3 Compute $mvd(G)$ and give an mvd -coloring of G

Input: $CutVerticesSet$ and $BlocksSet$ from Algorithm 2

Output: $mvd(G)$, mvd -coloring of G

```

1:  $mvd(G) \leftarrow 0$ 
2:  $r \leftarrow card(BlocksSet)$ 
3: for every block  $Block \in BlocksSet$  do
4:   give  $Block$  an  $mvd$ -coloring with new colors
5:    $mvd(G) \leftarrow mvd(G) + mvd(Block)$ 
    $mvd(G) \leftarrow mvd(G) - r + 1$ 
6: for every vertex  $u \in CutVerticesSet$  do
7:    $color(u) \leftarrow null$ 
8: for every block  $Block \in BlocksSet$  do
9:   for every vertex  $v \in Block$  do
10:    if  $v \in CutVerticesSet$  then
11:       $CutVertex \leftarrow$  cut-vertex in  $CutVerticesSet$  corresponding to
       $v$ 
12:      if  $color(CutVertex) = null$  then
13:         $color(CutVertex) \leftarrow color(v)$ 
14:      else
15:         $color(v) \leftarrow color(CutVertex)$ 
16:      update the colors of all vertices in  $Block$  according to the
       $mvd$ -coloring of  $Block$ 

```

2.3. An algorithm example

The graph is stored into the computer by inputting its adjacency matrix. We conclude this section by running Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3 with an example. The main part of the code is shown in Appendix B and the complete code is given on Github: <https://github.com/fumiaoT/mvd-coloring.git>.

Example 2.2. The mvd -coloring of ⑨ and ⑩ in Appendix A. 9 VERTEX are known, they are elements of the type set and are stored at the *resource directory*. The graph G is shown in Fig. 2(1) and is stored into the computer by entering its adjacency matrix at the $main(\cdot)$ function in the $GraphContext\{\cdot\}$ class. The $DFSTarjan(\cdot)$ function in the $BlockAndCutVerticesBuilder$ class performs block decomposition on G . The $getIsomorphicColors(\cdot)$ function in the $IsomorphicJudger\{\cdot\}$ class looks for graphs in the type set that are isomorphic to the blocks of G . The $MvdColorMarker$ class performs mvd -coloring on G .




FIGURE 2. An example and its mvd-coloring




FIGURE 3. The output results of block decomposition and isomorphism

The output results are listed at the end of Appendix B. The block decomposition algorithm outputs a cut-vertices set H and two blocks whose vertices and their adjacency matrices are shown in *Block num 1* and *Block num 2* respectively (also Fig. 3(1)(3)). The isomorphism algorithm looks for graphs in the type set that are isomorphic to the blocks of G and outputs their correspondence at *Isomorphic Relationship* (also Fig. 3). The *mvd-coloring* algorithm updates the colors of the cut-vertices and outputs the *mvd-coloring* of G at *Coloring Vertices Results* (also Fig. 2(2)).

Our algorithm can efficiently give an *mvd-coloring* of G provided that for any block of G , there is a graph in the type set that is isomorphic to it. Otherwise, the algorithm is also able to select blocks for which no graph in the type set can be isomorphic. Meanwhile, the *mvd-coloring* of these blocks, once solved, can further enrich our type set.

For intricate graphs abstracted from real-world problems, our algorithm can perform *mvd-coloring* quickly and accurately, reducing a lot of tedious work. In the future we can make an interactive graphical interface where the user can draw the graphs on your web page and our algorithm computes all the cut-vertices and blocks based on the graphs. Also the blocks are compared with the graphs in the type set and *mvd-coloring* is performed. Finally, the cut-vertices, the colored graphs are labeled on the user's graphs. This algorithm is convenient and applicable as a mathematical tool to solve the

mvd -coloring problem. Moreover, the algorithm can be modified in a similar way to solve a series of coloring problems, such as rd -coloring, md -coloring.

3. Results for special graphs

Inspired by the localization principle in Theorem 2.2, we obtain upper bounds on $mvd(G)$ for some graphs associated with minimal 2-connected graphs in this section. Moreover, when $mvd(G)$ is large and all blocks of G are minimally 2-connected triangle-free graphs, we characterize G .

3.1. The upper bound of $mvd(G)$

Lemma 3.1. *If G is a cycle of order $n \geq 4$, then $mvd(G) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. Let $G = v_1e_1v_2e_2 \dots v_{n-1}e_{n-1}v_n e_n v_1$. We define a vertex-coloring $\tau : V(G) \rightarrow [\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$ such that if $j \equiv i \pmod{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, then color v_j by i , where $i \in [\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$, $j \in [n]$. It is easy to verify that for any two nonadjacent vertices x and y of G , there exists a monochromatic x - y vertex cut. Therefore, τ is an MVD -coloring, $mvd(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

For $n \geq 4$, if $mvd(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and there is an MVD -coloring τ of G such that $|\tau(G)| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then there exists a color i in τ that colors only one vertex v_i of G . Otherwise, $V(G) \geq 2|\tau(G)| \geq 2(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1) \geq n + 1$. Since G is a 2-connected graph, the monochromatic v_{i-1} - v_{i+1} vertex cut must contain v_i and some vertex in $G - \{v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$, which contradicts the fact that τ is an MVD -coloring. \square

Remark 1. $mvd(G) = 3$, where G is a 3-cycle.

A *nest sequence* of graphs is a sequence G_0, G_1, \dots, G_t of graphs such that $G_i \subset G_{i+1}$, $0 \leq i < t$. An *ear decomposition* of a 2-connected graph G is a nest sequence of subgraphs G_0, G_1, \dots, G_t of G satisfying the following conditions: (i) G_0 is a cycle of G ; (ii) $G_{i+1} = G_i \cup P_i$, where P_i is an ear of G_i , $0 \leq i < t$; (iii) $G_t = G$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a 2-connected triangle-free graph and every ear P_i ($0 \leq i < t$) has internal vertices. Then $mvd(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and the bound is sharp.*

Proof. Let $F = \{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ be an ear decomposition of G . We use induction on $|F|$.

Basis step: $|F| = 1$, then G is a cycle, the theorem holds by Lemma 3.1.

Induction step: $|F| = t + 1 > 1$, let τ be an mvd -coloring of G . Since $|P_{t-1}| \geq 3$, G_{t-1} is a connected vertex induced subgraph of G and τ restricted to G_{t-1} is an MVD -coloring of G_{t-1} . By induction, we have

$$|\tau(G_{t-1})| \leq mvd(G_{t-1}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|G_{t-1}|}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n - |P_{t-1}| + 2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Suppose that the endpoints of P_{t-1} are a, b , and L is the shortest a, b -path in G_{t-1} . Since P_{t-1} is the last ear, cycle $C = L \cup P_{t-1}$ is a connected vertex

induced subgraph of G . By Lemma 2.1, τ restricted to C is an *MVD*-coloring of C , therefore there are at most $|P_{t-1}| - 2$ vertices are assigned colors in $\tau(G) - \tau(G_{t-1})$. Since $|C| \geq 4$, each color of $\tau(G) - \tau(G_{t-1})$ colors at least two internal vertices of P_{t-1} . Otherwise, if $j \in \tau(G) - \tau(G_{t-1})$ and only colors one internal vertex of P_{t-1} , say x_j , then x_{j-1}, x_{j+1} are two nonadjacent vertices of G and monochromatic $x_{j-1}x_{j+1}$ vertex cut must contains x_j , a contradiction. Then $|\tau(G) - \tau(G_{t-1})| \leq \lfloor \frac{|P_{t-1}| - 2}{2} \rfloor$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} mvd(G) &= |\tau(G)| = |\tau(G_{t-1})| + |\tau(G) - \tau(G_{t-1})| \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - |P_{t-1}| + 2}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{|P_{t-1}| - 2}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Above all, $mvd(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Furthermore, the bound is sharp for cycles of order $n \geq 4$. \square

Lemma 3.2. [15] *Let G be a minimally 2-connected graph, and G is not a cycle. Then G has an ear decomposition G_0, G_1, \dots, G_t ($t \geq 1$) satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) $G_{i+1} = G_i \cup P_i$ ($0 \leq i < t$), where P_i is an ear of G_i in G and at least one vertex of P_i has degree two in G ,

(ii) each of the two internally disjoint paths in G_0 between the endpoints of P_0 has at least one vertex with degree two in G .

Lemma 3.3. [20] *If G is a minimally 2-connected graph of order $n \geq 4$, then G contains no triangles.*

Theorem 3.2. *If G is a minimally 2-connected graph of order $n \geq 4$, then $mvd(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, if G is not a cycle, then there is an ear decomposition satisfying the conditions in Theorem 3.1. Thus, $mvd(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. If G is a cycle, then by Lemma 3.1 $mvd(G) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Furthermore, the bound is sharp. \square

Further, we obtain a upper bound of 1-connected graph related to the number of blocks.

Theorem 3.3. *For a connected graph G of order n with r blocks, t of which are trivial blocks, if all blocks are minimally 2-connected triangle-free graphs, then $mvd(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+2t-r+1}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. We first claim a connected graph G with blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r has $(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|) - r + 1$ vertices. The proof proceeds by induction on r . Basis step: $r = 1$. A graph that is a single block B_1 has $|B_1|$ vertices.

Induction step: $r > 1$. When G is not 2-connected, there is a block B that contains only one of the cut-vertices; let this vertex be v , and index the blocks so that $B_r = B$. Let $G' = G - (V(B) - \{v\})$. The graph G'

is connected and has blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{r-1} . By the induction hypothesis, $|G'| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} |B_i|\right) - (r-1) + 1$. Since we deleted $|B_r| - 1$ vertices from G to obtain G' , the number of vertices in G is as desired.

W.l.o.g, let the trivial blocks be B_1, \dots, B_t , and let the nontrivial blocks be B_{t+1}, \dots, B_r . By Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} mvd(G) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^r mvd(B_i)\right) - r + 1 \leq 2t + \left\lfloor \frac{|B_{t+1}|}{2} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{|B_r|}{2} \right\rfloor - r + 1 \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{2t + |B_{t+1}| + \dots + |B_r|}{2} \right\rfloor + t - r + 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n + r - 1}{2} \right\rfloor + t - r + 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n + 2t - r + 1}{2} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The bound is sharp for cactuses without odd cycles, where a *cactus* is a connected graph in which every block is K_2 or a cycle. \square

3.2. A contrary problem

Given a graph G , it is meaningful to calculate $mvd(G)$. Conversely, given $mvd(G)$, the complete characterization of G is also a meaningful study. Thus in this section, in the sense of isomorphism, if blocks in G are all minimal 2-connected triangle-free graphs, we characterize G when $mvd(G)$ is large. That is, Theorem 3.4,

Theorem 3.4. *For a connected graph G , if blocks in G are all minimally 2-connected triangle-free graphs, then*

$$mvd(G) = \begin{cases} n & \Leftrightarrow G \text{ is a tree,} \\ n - 1 & \emptyset, \\ n - 2 & \Leftrightarrow G \text{ is a unicycle graph with the cycle being } C_4, \\ n - 3 & \Leftrightarrow G \in \mathcal{A}, \\ n - 4 & \Leftrightarrow G \in \mathcal{B}, \\ n - 5 & \Leftrightarrow G \in \mathcal{C}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Before the proof, we show some preparatory results as follows.

First, we use the notations in [7]. Let p_1, \dots, p_k be k disjoint paths such that p_i contains m_i vertices. $f(p_i)$ denotes the first vertex of p_i and $l(p_i)$ denotes the last vertex of p_i . For vertices u and v that are not in $\cup_{i \in [k]} V(p_i)$, let $P(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$ be the graph with $V(P) = \cup_{i \in [k]} V(p_i) \cup \{u, v\}$ and $E(P) = \cup_{i \in [k]} [E(p_i) \cup \{f(p_i)u, l(p_i)v\}]$ such that p_1, \dots, p_k are subgraphs of P . In the sequence m_1, \dots, m_k , if $m_{i+1} = m_{i+2} = \dots = m_{i+j}$ for some integer i and j , we can write m_1, \dots, m_k in the form $m_1, \dots, m_i, j * m_{i+1}, m_{i+j+1}, \dots, m_k$.




FIGURE 4. An example of Corollary 3.1

Next, if τ is an *mvd*-coloring of G , G_i is a vertex induced subgraph of G , and τ_i is the coloring of τ restricted to G_i , then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that τ_i is an *MVD*-coloring of G_i . Thus, theoretically, we can infer τ by letting each τ_i be an *MVD*-coloring of G_i . Taking graph $P(1, 1, 1)$ as an example (see Fig. 4), it follows from Lemma 2.1 that if τ is the *mvd*-coloring of $P(1, 1, 1)$, then the coloring of τ restricted to cycle C_{abcd} may be (1) or (3). For case (1), the coloring of τ restricted to cycle C_{abce} and cycle C_{afcd} must be (2). For case (3), the coloring of τ restricted to cycle C_{abce} and cycle C_{afcd} must be (4). It is easy to check that both (2) and (4) are *MVD*-coloring of $P(1, 1, 1)$ and (4) is an *mvd*-coloring of $P(1, 1, 1)$. For all minimally 2-connected graphs G of order 10 or less [7], we use the same method and after tedious computations, we obtain Corollary 3.1, that is, *mvd*(G). An *mvd*-coloring of G is given in Appendix A.

Corollary 3.1. *For all minimally 2-connected graphs G of order 10 or less, $mvd(G)$ is shown in the following table.*

$\begin{matrix} \text{order} \\ \text{mvd}(G) \end{matrix}$	lower order	6 VERTEX	7 VERTEX	8 VERTEX	9 VERTEX	10 VERTEX
Type ₅ : 5	-	-	-	-	-	$P(4, 4)$
Type ₄ : 4	-	-	-	$P(3, 3)$	$P(4, 3)$ $P(5, 1, 1)$ $P(3, 3, 1)$	$\textcircled{48} - \textcircled{50}$ $P(5, 1, 1, 1)$ $P(5, 2, 1)$ $P(4, 2, 2)$ $P(4, 3, 1)$ $P(3, 3, 2)$ $P(6, 1, 1)$ $P(3, 3, 1, 1)$
Type ₃ : 3	K_3	$P(2, 2)$	$P(3, 2)$ $P(3, 1, 1)$	$P(3, 2, 1)$ $\textcircled{4}$ $P(2, 2, 2)$ $P(4, 1, 1)$ $P(3, 1, 1, 1)$	$\textcircled{12} - \textcircled{16}$ $P(3, 2, 1, 1)$ $P(4, 2, 1)$ $P(3, 4 * 1)$ $P(3, 2, 2)$ $P(4, 1, 1, 1)$	$\textcircled{28} - \textcircled{47}$ $P(4, 2, 1, 1)$ $P(3, 2, 2, 1)$ $P(4 * 2)$ $P(4, 4 * 1)$ $P(3, 5 * 1)$ $P(3, 2, 3 * 1)$
Type ₂ : 2	C_4 K_2 $P(2, 1)$ $P(1, 1, 1)$	$P(2, 1, 1)$ $P(1, 1, 1, 1)$	$P(5 * 1)$ $\textcircled{1}$ $P(2, 2, 1)$ $P(2, 1, 1, 1)$	$P(2, 4 * 1)$ $P(2, 2, 1, 1)$ $\textcircled{1} - \textcircled{3}$ $P(6 * 1)$	$P(2, 5 * 1)$ $P(7 * 1)$ $P(2, 2, 2, 1)$ $\textcircled{1} - \textcircled{11}$ $P(2, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	$\textcircled{1} - \textcircled{27}$ $P(2, 2, 4 * 1)$ $P(8 * 1)$ $P(2, 6 * 1)$ $P(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$

The *nontrivial block-induced subgraph* of a connected graph G is the subgraph induced by all nontrivial blocks of G . Let \mathcal{A} (or \mathcal{B} , or \mathcal{C}) be a set of connected graphs, and the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of each connected graph in \mathcal{A} (or \mathcal{B} , or \mathcal{C}) is exactly isomorphic to one of the graphs in Fig. 5(a) (or (b), or (c)). The blue vertex indicates that two blocks may or may not be adjacent to each other.




FIGURE 5. Results for Theorem 3.4

Proof of Theorem 3.4. By Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, it is easy to verify sufficiency. Therefore, we only need to prove the necessity. If $mvd(G) = n$, we define a coloring $\tau : V(G) \rightarrow [n]$ such that each vertex is colored differently. Let $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r\}$ be the block decomposition of G and the coloring of τ restricted to B_i be τ_i . By Theorem 2.2, τ_i is an mvd -coloring of B_i . Then B_i is complete. Otherwise, since B_i is 2-connected, at least 2 vertices in B_i have the same color, a contradiction. More precisely G is a tree, since G is triangle-free.

Now suppose $mvd(G) \geq n - 5$, G has r blocks, and t of them are trivial blocks. By Theorem 3.3, we have $n - 5 \leq mvd(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+2t-r+1}{2} \rfloor$. There are two cases.

Case 1. $n - r$ is even.

We have $2t \geq n + r - 10$. Since $t \leq r$, $r \geq n - 10$. Thus r may be $n - 2, n - 4, n - 6, n - 8, n - 10$.

$r = n - 2$. Since $2t \geq n + r - 10$ and $r = t$ if and only if G is a tree (i.e., $r = n - 1$), $n - 6 \leq t \leq n - 3$. Since G is triangle-free, we get $\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|$




FIGURE 6. Proof process of Theorem 3.4

greater than or equal to $4 + 2(n - 3)$, $8 + 2(n - 4)$, $12 + 2(n - 5)$, $16 + 2(n - 6)$ when t is equal to $n - 3$, $n - 4$, $n - 5$, $n - 6$, respectively. All the four cases above contradict $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$. Thus there is no graph that satisfies the condition when $r = n - 2$. Similarly, in all other cases of this proof, we can first use this method to make a basic determination of the number of nontrivial blocks in G .

$r = n - 4$. Similarly, $n - 7 \leq t \leq n - 5$. In fact, there can be only one nontrivial block in G . Since $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$, the order of this block is 5. The minimal 2-connected graph of order 5 is $P(2, 1)$ or $P(1, 1, 1)$. According to Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, we have $mvd(G) = n - 3$ in both cases.

$r = n - 6$. Similarly, $n - 8 \leq t \leq n - 7$. In fact, there are at most two nontrivial blocks in G . Since $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$, if $t = n - 7$, the order of this nontrivial block is 7; if $t = n - 8$, there are two nontrivial blocks B_i

and B_j , where $|B_i| + |B_j| = 9$. Since G is triangle-free, the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is one of the graphs depicted in Fig. 6. According to Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, $mvd(G) = n - 4$ when the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is $P(3, 2)$ or $P(3, 1, 1)$, and $mvd(G) = n - 5$ for the rest cases.

$r = n - 8$. Similarly, $t = n - 9$. G has exactly one nontrivial block of order 9 since $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$. The nontrivial block is one of the graphs depicted in Appendix A. 9 VERTEX. According to Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, $mvd(G) = n - 5$ when the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is one of $\{P(4, 3), P(5, 1, 1), P(3, 3, 1)\}$, and $mvd(G) < n - 5$ for the rest cases.

$r = n - 10$. Similarly, $n - 10 \leq t \leq n - 11$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $n - r$ is odd.

We have $2t \geq n + r - 11$. Since $t \leq r$, $r \geq n - 11$. Thus r may be $n - 1, n - 3, n - 5, n - 7, n - 9, n - 11$. The discussion and calculation process of Case 2 is similar to that of Case 1.

$r = n - 1$. G is a tree and $mvd(G) = n$.

$r = n - 3$. Since $2t \geq n + r - 11$ and $r = t$ if and only if G is a tree (i.e., $r = n - 1$), $n - 7 \leq t \leq n - 4$. Since G is triangle-free, we get $\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|$ greater than or equal to $8 + 2(n - 5)$, $12 + 2(n - 6)$, $16 + 2(n - 7)$ when t is equal to $n - 5$, $n - 6$, $n - 7$, respectively. All the three cases above contradict $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$. Thus G has exactly one nontrivial block. The order of this block is 4 since $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$. Since the minimal 2-connected graph of order 4 is C_4 , G is a unicycle graph with cycle C_4 . According to Corollary 2.2, $mvd(G) = n - 2$.

$r = n - 5$. Similarly, $n - 8 \leq t \leq n - 6$. In fact, there are at most two nontrivial blocks in G . Since $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$ and G is triangle-free, if $t = n - 6$, then the order of this nontrivial block is 6, that is, it can be one of $\{P(2, 2), P(2, 1, 1), P(1, 1, 1, 1)\}$; if $t = n - 7$, then there are two nontrivial blocks B_i and B_j , where $|B_i| + |B_j| = 8$, that is, both nontrivial blocks of G are C_4 and these two blocks may or may not be adjacent to each other. According to Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, $mvd(G) = n - 3$ when the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is $P(2, 2)$, and $mvd(G) = n - 4$ for the rest cases.

$r = n - 7$. Similarly, $n - 9 \leq t \leq n - 8$. In fact, there are at most two nontrivial blocks in G . Since $n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|\right) - r + 1$, if $t = n - 8$, the order of this nontrivial block is 8; if $t = n - 9$, there are two nontrivial blocks B_i and B_j , where $|B_i| + |B_j| = 10$. Since G is triangle-free, the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is one of the graphs depicted in Fig. 6. According to Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, $mvd(G) = n - 4$ when the nontrivial block-induced

subgraph of G is $P(3, 3)$, $mvd(G) = n - 5$ when the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is one of $\{\textcircled{4}, \textcircled{13}, P(4, 1, 1), P(3, 2, 1), P(3, 1, 1, 1), P(2, 2, 2)\}$, and $mvd(G) = n - 6$ for the rest cases.

$r = n - 9$. Similarly, $t = n - 10$. G has exactly one nontrivial block of order 10 since $n = (\sum_{i=1}^r |B_i|) - r + 1$. The nontrivial block is one of the graphs depicted in Appendix A. 10 VERTEX. According to Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, $mvd(G) = n - 5$ when the nontrivial block-induced subgraph of G is $P(4, 4)$, and $mvd(G) < n - 5$ for the rest cases.

$r = n - 11$. Similarly, $n - 11 \leq t \leq n - 12$, a contradiction. \square

Remark 2. *If all (nontrivial) blocks of a connected graph G are triangles, then $mvd(G) = n$.*

3.3. An example

We conclude this paper with an example, which is also an application of Corollary 3.1 and Algorithm 3.

Example 3.1. If G is any graph whose blocks are all minimally 2-connected graphs of small order, combining Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 4, we can compute $mvd(G)$ and give an mvd -coloring of G in polynomial time.

Algorithm 4 An example

Input: *CutVerticesSet* and *BlocksSet* from Algorithm 2, Appendix A

Output: $mvd(G)$, mvd -coloring of G

```

1:  $n_5 \leftarrow 0, n_4 \leftarrow 0, n_3 \leftarrow 0, n_2 \leftarrow 0$ 
2:  $mvd(G) \leftarrow 0$ 
3: for every block  $Block \in BlocksSet$  do
4:   find the block  $thBlock$  isomorphic to  $Block$  in Appendix A
5:   for  $Type_i$  in Corollary 3.1 do
6:     if  $thBlock \in Type_i$  then
7:        $n_i ++$ 
8:     color  $Block$  with new colors as  $thBlock$  in Appendix A
        $mvd(G) \leftarrow 4n_5 + 3n_4 + 2n_3 + n_2 + 1$ 
9:   for every vertex  $u \in CutVerticesSet$  do
10:    color( $u$ )  $\leftarrow$  null
11: for every block  $Block \in BlocksSet$  do
12:   for every vertex  $v \in Block$  do
13:     if  $v \in CutVerticesSet$  then
14:        $CutVertex \leftarrow$  cut-vertex in  $CutVerticesSet$  corresponding to
        $v$ 
15:       if color( $CutVertex$ )=null then
16:         color( $CutVertex$ )  $\leftarrow$  color( $v$ )
17:       else
18:         color( $v$ )  $\leftarrow$  color( $CutVertex$ )
19:       update the colors of all vertices in  $Block$  according to  $thBlock$ 
       in Appendix A

```

Theorem 3.5. *we can compute $mvd(G) = 4n_5 + 3n_4 + 2n_3 + n_2 + 1$ and give an mvd -coloring of G in polynomial time.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and 3.1, $mvd(G) = 4n_5 + 3n_4 + 2n_3 + n_2 + 1$ and the coloring given by Algorithm 4 is an mvd -coloring of G . Note the time complexity of Algorithm 2 is $O(e)$, where $e = |E(G)|$. This is because that each edge is still scanned exactly once in each direction. The number of operations per edge is bounded by a constant, except when a block is produced. Each vertex is pushed into T once, and popped once. Thus, the total time to produce the blocks is $O(n)$, where $n = |V(G)|$. When the order of $Block$ is small, the number of operations on line 4 is bounded by a constant. Therefore, the complexity of Algorithm 4 is still $O(n)$ even if we need to determine whether two graphs are isomorphic in line 4, and we know that it is a NP -problem to determine whether two graphs are isomorphic. \square

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC11921001), the Natural Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFA0704701), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC11801410) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC11971346). The authors are grateful to professor C. Zong for his supervision and discussion.




References

- [1] Bondy, J. A., Murty, U. S. R. (1977). Graph theory with applications. Macmillan.
- [2] Chartrand, G., Johns, G. L., McKeon, K. A., Zhang, P. (2008). Rainbow connection in graphs. *Mathematica Bohemica*, 133(1), 85-98.
- [3] Caro, Y., Yuster, R. (2011). Colorful monochromatic connectivity. *Discrete Mathematics*, 311(16), 1786-1792.
- [4] Cai, Q., Li, X., Wu, D. (2015). Erdős-Gallai-type results for colorful monochromatic connectivity of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 33(1), 123-131.
- [5] Cai, Q., Li, X., Wu, D. (2018). Some extremal results on the colorful monochromatic vertex-connectivity of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 35(4), 1300-1311.
- [6] Gu, R., Li, X., Qin, Z., Zhao, Y. (2015). More on the colorful monochromatic connectivity. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 40(4), 1769-1779.
- [7] Hobbs, A. M. (1973). A catalog of minimal blocks. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, Section B: Mathematical Sciences*, 77B, 53-60.
- [8] Jin, Z., Li, X., Wang, K. (2020). The monochromatic connectivity of graphs. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 24(4), 785-815.

- [9] Krivelevich, M., Yuster, R. (2010). The rainbow connection of a graph is (at most) reciprocal to its minimum degree. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 63(3), 185-191.
- [10] Lu, Z. P., Ma, Y. B. (2014). Graphs with vertex rainbow connection number two. *Science China Mathematics*, 58(8), 1803-1810.
- [11] Li, X., Shi, Y., Sun, Y. (2013). Rainbow connections of Graphs: A survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 29(1), 1-38.
- [12] Li, X., Sun, Y. (2017). An updated survey on rainbow connections of graphs-A dynamic survey. *Theory and Applications of Graphs*, 00(01), 1-65.
- [13] Li, X., Li, H., Ma, Y. (2021). The vertex-rainbow connection number of some graph operations. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 41(2), 513-550.
- [14] Li, X., Wu, D. (2018). A survey on monochromatic connections of graphs. *Theory and Applications of Graphs*, 00(01), 1-19.
- [15] Li, X., Liu, S. (2013). A sharp upper bound for the rainbow 2-connection number of a 2-connected graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313(6), 755-759.
- [16] Li, P., Li, X. (2021). Monochromatic disconnection of graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 288, 171-179.
- [17] Li, P., Li, X. (2021). Monochromatic disconnection: Erdős-Gallai-type problems and product graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10878-021-00820-3>
- [18] Li, P., Li, X. (2021). Upper Bounds for the MD-numbers and characterization of extremal graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 295, 1-12.
- [19] Ma, Y., Chen, L., Li, H. (2017). Graphs with small total rainbow connection number. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, 12(4), 921-936.
- [20] Plummer, M. D. (1968). On minimal blocks. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 134(1), 85-94.
- [21] Tarjan, R. (1972). Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 1(2), 146-160.











Appendix A. Minimal blocks With 10 and Fewer Vertices

1 VERTEX 2 VERTEX 3 VERTEX 4 VERTEX 5 VERTEX















6 VERTEX


7 VERTEX




8 VERTEX












9 VERTEX



10 VERTEX





 $P(4 * 2)$  $P(4, 4 * 1)$  $P(3, 5 * 1)$  $P(3, 2, 3 * 1)$  $P(2, 2, 4 * 1)$  $P(8 * 1)$  $P(2, 6 * 1)$  $P(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$


```

public class BlockAndCutVerticesBuilder {
    public Node[] vertices;
    public Edge[][] edges;
    public Set<Node> cutVerticesSet = new HashSet<>();
    public List<List<Node>> block = new ArrayList<>();
    public List<Edge[][]> blocksEdges = new ArrayList<>();
    public Stack<Node> nodesStack = new Stack<>();
    int root;
    int count = 1;
    public Edge[][] create(String[] vertexs, int[][] edges) {
        this.vertices = new Node[vertexs.length];
        for (int i = 0; i < vertexs.length; i++) {
            this.vertices[i] = new Node(vertexs[i]);
        }
        this.edges = new Edge[edges.length][edges[0].length];
        for (int row = 0; row < edges.length; row++) {
            for (int col = 0; col < edges[0].length; col++) {
                this.edges[row][col] = new Edge(edges[row][col]);
            }
        }
        return this.edges;
    }
    public List<MvdGraph> createMvdGraph() {
        List<MvdGraph> graphs = new ArrayList<>();
        for (int i = 0; i < block.size(); i++) {
            graphs.add(new MvdGraph(block.get(i).toArray(new Node[0]),
                blocksEdges.get(i)));
        }
        return graphs;
    }
    public void printGraph(Edge[][] edges) {
        for (int row = 0; row < edges.length; row++) {
            for (int col = 0; col < edges[0].length; col++) {
                System.out.printf("%d\t", edges[row][col].connected);
            }
            System.out.println();
        }
    }
    public void printResult() {
        System.out.println("### CutVertices and Blocks ###");
        System.out.println("cutVertices of Input Graph:");
        System.out.println("cutVerticesSet:" + cutVerticesSet);
        System.out.println();
        System.out.println("Block generated from Graph:");
        for (int i = 0; i < block.size(); i++) {
            System.out.println("Block num " + (i + 1));
            System.out.println(block.get(i));
            PrintUtils.printGraph(blocksEdges.get(i));
        }
    }
}

```

```

public void makeDFSTarjan(int activeNodeIndex) {
    vertices[activeNodeIndex].depth = 1;
    nodesStack.push(vertices[activeNodeIndex]);
    root = activeNodeIndex;
    //calculate the cut vertices and blocks
    DFSTarjan(activeNodeIndex);
    //calculate the blocks' adjacency matrices
    cutBlocksEdges();
}
public void cutBlocksEdges() {
    for (List<Node> lists : block) {
        List<Integer> indices = new ArrayList<Integer>();
        for (Node node : lists) {
            indices.add(getIndexOfNode(node));
        }
        Edge[][] blockEdge = new
            Edge[indices.size()][indices.size()];
        for (int i = 0; i < indices.size(); i++) {
            for (int j = 0; j < indices.size(); j++) {
                int rowIndex = indices.get(i);
                int colIndex = indices.get(j);
                blockEdge[i][j] = edges[rowIndex][colIndex];
            }
        }
        blocksEdges.add(blockEdge);
    }
}
private void DFSTarjan(int activeNodeIndex) {
    Node currentNode = this.vertices[activeNodeIndex];
    while(this.getNodesOfUnreachedEdge(activeNodeIndex) != null ||
        currentNode.parent != null) {
        if (this.getNodesOfUnreachedEdge(activeNodeIndex) == null)
            {
                if (currentNode.low >= currentNode.parent.depth) {
                    if (this.getIndexOfNode(currentNode.parent) !=
                        this.root ||
                        this.getNodesOfUnreachedEdge(this.root) !=
                        null) {
                            this.cutVerticesSet.add(currentNode.parent);
                            currentNode.parent.isCutVertex = true;
                    }
                    ArrayList list = new ArrayList();
                    while(!currentNode.equals(this.nodesStack.peek())) {
                        list.add(this.nodesStack.pop());
                    }
                    list.add(this.nodesStack.pop());
                    list.add(currentNode.parent);
                    this.block.add(list);
                } else {

```



```

public class IsomorphicJudger {
    public static Node[] getIsomorphicColors(MvdGraph block, MvdGraph
        type) {
        if (block == null || type == null) {
            return null;
        }
        if (block.vertices == null || type.vertices == null) {
            return null;
        }
        if (block.vertices.length != type.vertices.length) {
            return null;
        }
        int n = block.vertices.length;
        //block adjacency matrix
        int[] [] blockArray = new int[n][n];
        for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
            blockArray[i1] = new int[n];
        }
        // block 01 adjacency matrix
        int[] [] blockTongxin = new int[n][n];
        for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
            blockTongxin[i1] = new int[n];
        }
        //block XOR matrix
        int[] [] blockYihuo = new int[n][n];
        for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
            blockYihuo[i1] = new int[n];
        }
        //block XNOR matrix
        int[] [] blockTonghuo = new int[n][n];
        for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
            blockTonghuo[i1] = new int[n];
        }
        //type adjacency matrix
        int[] [] typeArray = new int[n][n];
        for (int i2 = 0; i2 < n; i2++) {
            typeArray[i2] = new int[n];
        }
        // type 01 adjacency matrix
        int[] [] typeTongxin = new int[n][n];
        for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
            typeTongxin[i1] = new int[n];
        }
        //block XOR matrix
        int[] [] typeYihuo = new int[n][n];
        for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
            typeYihuo[i1] = new int[n];
        }
        //block XNOR matrix
        int[] [] typeTonghuo = new int[n][n];
    }
}

```

```

for (int i1 = 0; i1 < n; i1++) {
    typeTonghuo[i1] = new int[n];
}
for (int row = 0; row < block.edges.length; row++) {
    for (int col = 0; col < block.edges[0].length; col++) {
        blockArray[row][col] = block.edges[row][col].connected;
    }
}
for (int row = 0; row < type.edges.length; row++) {
    for (int col = 0; col < type.edges[0].length; col++) {
        typeArray[row][col] = type.edges[row][col].connected;
    }
}
oneZero(blockArray, blockTongxin, n);
xor(blockArray, blockTongxin, blockYihuo, n);
xnor(blockArray, blockTongxin, blockTonghuo, n);
oneZero(typeArray, typeTongxin, n);
xor(typeArray, typeTongxin, typeYihuo, n);
xnor(typeArray, typeTongxin, typeTonghuo, n);
return getTransVertices(blockArray, blockYihuo, blockTonghuo,
    typeArray, typeYihuo, typeTonghuo, n, type.vertices, 0, 0);
}
public static Node[] getTransVertices(int[][] blockArray, int[][]
    blockYihuo, int[][] blockTonghuo,
        int[][] typeArray, int[][]
        typeYihuo, int[][]
        typeTonghuo, int n,
        Node[] typeVertices, int
        matchedRowNum, int
        blockRowBegin) {
    int[][] typeArrayCopy = new int[n][n];
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            typeArrayCopy[i][j] = typeArray[i][j];
        }
    }
    int[][] typeYihuoCopy = new int[n][n];
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            typeYihuoCopy[i][j] = typeYihuo[i][j];
        }
    }
    int[][] typeTonghuoCopy = new int[n][n];
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            typeTonghuoCopy[i][j] = typeTonghuo[i][j];
        }
    }
    //colored vertices template
    Node[] vertices = new Node[n];

```

```

for (int i = 0; i < vertices.length; i++) {
    vertices[i] = new Node(typeVertices[i].name,
        typeVertices[i].color);
}
//template array to store data
int[] tempBlockYihuo = new int[n];
//template array to store data
int[] tempTypeYihuo = new int[n];
//template array to store data
int[] tempBlockArray = new int[n];
//template array to store data
int[] tempBlockTonghuo = new int[n];
//template array to store data
int[] tempTypeArray = new int[n];
//template array to store data
int[] tempTypeTonghuo = new int[n];
int t;
int colNum;
for (int blockRow = blockRowBegin; blockRow < n; blockRow++) {
    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        tempBlockYihuo[j] = blockYihuo[blockRow][j];
    }
    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        tempBlockArray[j] = blockArray[blockRow][j];
    }
    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        tempBlockTonghuo[j] = blockTonghuo[blockRow][j];
    }
    //bubble sort preprocessing
    bubbleSort(tempBlockYihuo, n);
    bubbleSort(tempBlockArray, n);
    bubbleSort(tempBlockTonghuo, n);
    for (int typeRow = blockRow; typeRow < n; typeRow++) {
        colNum = 0;
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            tempTypeYihuo[j] = typeYihuo[typeRow][j];
        }
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            tempTypeArray[j] = typeArray[typeRow][j];
        }
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            tempTypeTonghuo[j] = typeTonghuo[typeRow][j];
        }
        bubbleSort(tempTypeYihuo, n);
        bubbleSort(tempTypeArray, n);
        bubbleSort(tempTypeTonghuo, n);
        //begin to compare
        for (int col = 0; col < n; col++) {
            //compare the XOR matrix
            if (tempBlockYihuo[col] != tempTypeYihuo[col]) {

```

```

    if (typeRow == n - 1) {
        return null;
    }
    break;
}
colNum = col;
if (col != n - 1) {
    continue;
}
//compare the adjacency matrix
for (int b = 0; b < n; b++) {
    if (tempBlockArray[b] == tempTypeArray[b]) {
        continue;
    } else if (b < n - 1) {
        return null;
    }
}
//compare the XNOR matrix
for (int c = 0; c < n; c++) {
    if (tempBlockTonghuo[c] == tempTypeTonghuo[c]) {
        continue;
    } else if (c < n - 1) {
        return null;
    }
}
matchedRowNum++; //two rows match
Node tempNode = vertices[blockRow];
vertices[blockRow] = vertices[typeRow];
vertices[typeRow] = tempNode;
//elementary operations: switch adjacency cols
for (int c = 0; c < n; c++) {
    t = typeArrayCopy[blockRow][c];
    typeArrayCopy[blockRow][c] =
        typeArrayCopy[typeRow][c];
    typeArrayCopy[typeRow][c] = t;
}
//elementary operations: switch adjacency rows
for (int r = 0; r < n; r++) {
    t = typeArrayCopy[r][blockRow];
    typeArrayCopy[r][blockRow] =
        typeArrayCopy[r][typeRow];
    typeArrayCopy[r][typeRow] = t;
}
//elementary operations: switch XOR cols
for (int c = 0; c < n; c++) {
    t = typeYihuoCopy[blockRow][c];
    typeYihuoCopy[blockRow][c] =
        typeYihuoCopy[typeRow][c];
    typeYihuoCopy[typeRow][c] = t;
}
}

```

```

//elementary operations: switch XOR rows
for (int r = 0; r < n; r++) {
    t = typeYihuoCopy[r][blockRow];
    typeYihuoCopy[r][blockRow] =
        typeYihuoCopy[r][typeRow];
    typeYihuoCopy[r][typeRow] = t;
}
//elementary operations: switch XNOR cols
for (int c = 0; c < n; c++) {
    t = typeTonghuoCopy[blockRow][c];
    typeTonghuoCopy[blockRow][c] =
        typeTonghuoCopy[typeRow][c];
    typeTonghuoCopy[typeRow][c] = t;
}
//elementary operations: switch XNOR rows
for (int r = 0; r < n; r++) {
    t = typeTonghuoCopy[r][blockRow];
    typeTonghuoCopy[r][blockRow] =
        typeTonghuoCopy[r][typeRow];
    typeTonghuoCopy[r][typeRow] = t;
}
}
//if each matrix's rows matched
if (colNum == n - 1) {
    //recursively compare next rows until we find a
    isomorphic solution
    Node[] result = getTransVertices(blockArray,
        blockYihuo, blockTonghuo, typeArrayCopy,
        typeYihuoCopy, typeTonghuoCopy, n, vertices,
        matchedRowNum, blockRow + 1);
    if (result != null) {
        return result;
    }
    if (matchedRowNum == n) {
        //all rows match, but also the matrices been
        operated should be the same
        if (isMaticxSame(blockArray, typeArray)) {
            return vertices;
        }
        return null;
    }
    for (int i = 0; i < vertices.length; i++) {
        vertices[i] = new Node(typeVertices[i].name,
            typeVertices[i].color);
    }
    matchedRowNum = matchedRowNum - 1;
    //continue
}
}
}

```

```

    return null;
}
private static boolean isMaticxSame(int[][] blockArray, int[][]
    typeArrayCopy) {
    if (blockArray.length != typeArrayCopy.length) {
        return false;
    }
    if (blockArray[0].length != typeArrayCopy[0].length) {
        return false;
    }
    for (int row = 0; row < blockArray.length; row++) {
        for (int col = 0; col < blockArray[0].length; col++) {
            if (blockArray[row][col] != typeArrayCopy[row][col]) {
                return false;
            }
        }
    }
    return true;
}
/**
 * bubble sort
 */
public static void bubbleSort(int mp[], int n) {
    int t;
    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n - 1 - i; j++) {
            if (mp[j] > mp[j + 1]) {
                t = mp[j];
                mp[j] = mp[j + 1];
                mp[j + 1] = t;
            }
        }
    }
}
/**
 * calculate 01 adjacency matrix
 */
public static void oneZero(int[][] p1, int[][] p2, int n) {
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            if (p1[i][j] > 0) {
                p2[i][j] = 1;
            } else {
                p2[i][j] = 0;
            }
        }
    }
}
/**
 * calculate XOR matrix

```



```

public class MvdColorMarker {
    public static List<MvdGraph> GRAPHS = new ArrayList<>();
    public int colorCount = 0;
    //load the template coloring graphs in the files
    static {
        GRAPHS.add(ReadGraphUtils.readFiles("graph_9Vertex-9.txt"));
        GRAPHS.add(ReadGraphUtils.readFiles("graph_9Vertex-11.txt"));
    }
    public void markBlocks(List<MvdGraph> blocks) {
        for (MvdGraph block : blocks) {
            findTypeAndColoring(block);
        }
        //Change the color of those vertices in the template block
        that have the same color as the cut-vertex to the color of
        the current cut-vertex
        for (MvdGraph block : blocks) {
            updateColorsOfCutVertex(block);
        }
    }
    private void updateColorsOfCutVertex(MvdGraph block) {
        if (block.template == null || block.vertices == null) {
            return;
        }
        for (int i = 0; i < block.vertices.length; i++) {
            Node node = block.vertices[i];
            if (!node.isCutVertex) {
                continue;
            }
            Node templateNode = block.template[i];
            for (int j = 0; j < block.template.length; j++) {
                if (block.template[j].color.equals(templateNode.color))
                {
                    block.vertices[j].color = node.color;
                }
            }
        }
    }
    private void findTypeAndColoring(MvdGraph block) {
        System.out.println("### Isomorphic Relationship ###");
        for (MvdGraph type : GRAPHS) {
            findTypeAndColoring(block, type);
        }
    }
    private void findTypeAndColoring(MvdGraph graph, MvdGraph type) {
        Node[] template = IsomorphicJudger.getIsomorphicColors(graph,
            type);
        if (template == null) {
            return;
        }
        for (int i = 0; i < graph.vertices.length; i++) {

```

```

        //coloring the vertices
        graph.vertices[i].color = template[i].color + colorCount;
    }
    colorCount += graph.vertices.length;
    graph.template = template;
    printRelationships(graph,type,template);
}
private void printRelationships(MvdGraph graph, MvdGraph
    type,Node[] template) {
    System.out.println("current block:");
    PrintUtils.printVerticesArray(graph.vertices);
    System.out.println("isomorphic block before elementary
        operations:");
    PrintUtils.printVerticesArray(type.vertices);
    PrintUtils.printGraph(type.edges);
    System.out.println("isomorphic block: after elementary
        operations:");
    PrintUtils.printVerticesArray(template);
    System.out.println();
}
}
}
-----
public class Node {
    public String name;
    public Node parent;
    public int depth;
    public int low;
    public Integer color;
    public Boolean isCutVertex = false;
    public Node(String name) {
        this.name = name;
        this.depth = 0;
        this.low = this.depth;
    }
    public Node(String name, Integer color) {
        this.name = name;
        this.color = color;
        this.depth = 0;
        this.low = this.depth;
    }
    public String toString() {
        if (color == null) {
            return "{" + "" + name + '\'' + '\'' ;
        }
        return "{" + "" + name + '\'' + ":'" + color + '\'' + " ";
    }
    public boolean equals(Object o) {
        if (this == o) {
            return true;
        }
    }
}

```

```

    if (o == null || getClass() != o.getClass()) {
        return false;
    }
    Node node = (Node) o;
    return depth == node.depth && low == node.low && color ==
        node.color && Objects.equals(name, node.name) &&
        Objects.equals(parent, node.parent);
}
public int hashCode() {
    return Objects.hash(name);
}
}
-----
public class Edge {
    public int connected;
    public boolean reached;
    public Edge(int connected) {
        this.connected = connected;
        this.reached = false;
    }
}
-----
public class MvdGraph {
    public Edge[] [] edges;
    public Node[] vertices;
    //record the colored vertices corresponding to vertices
    public Node[] template;
    public MvdGraph(Node[] vertices, Edge[] [] edges) {
        this.vertices = vertices;
        this.edges = edges;
    }
    public void print() {
        for (Node node : vertices) {
            System.out.print(node);
        }
        System.out.println();
        printGraph(edges);
    }
    private void printGraph(Edge[] [] edges) {
        for (int row = 0; row < edges.length; row++) {
            for (int col = 0; col < edges[0].length; col++) {
                System.out.printf("%d\t", edges[row][col].connected);
            }
            System.out.println();
        }
        System.out.println("-----");
    }
}
-----
public class PrintUtils {

```

```

public static void printGraph(Edge[][] edges) {
    for (int row = 0; row < edges.length; row++) {
        for (int col = 0; col < edges[0].length; col++) {
            System.out.printf("%d\t", edges[row][col].connected);
        }
        System.out.println();
    }
}

public static void printVerticesArray(Node[] nodes) {
    for (Node node:nodes) {
        System.out.print(node);
    }
    System.out.println();
}
}

-----
public class ReadGraphUtils {
    public static MvdGraph readFiles(String fileName) {
        try {
            FileReader in = new FileReader("resources/"+fileName);
            BufferedReader reader = new BufferedReader(in);
            String line, verticesLines;
            ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer>> edgesList = new
                ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer>>();
            verticesLines = reader.readLine();
            Node[] vertices = createVertices(verticesLines.split(","));
            while ((line = reader.readLine()) != null) {
                String[] str = line.split(",");
                ArrayList lineEdge = new ArrayList<>();
                for (String edge : str) {
                    lineEdge.add(Integer.parseInt(edge.trim()));
                }
                edgesList.add(lineEdge);
            }
            Edge[][] edges = createEdges(edgesList);
            if (edges.length != vertices.length) {
                System.out.println("The number of vertices and edges
                    should be equivalent");
                return null;
            }
            return new MvdGraph(vertices, edges);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.out.println(e);
            return null;
        }
    }

    private static Node[] createVertices(String[] strVertices) {
        Node[] vertices = new Node[strVertices.length];
        for (int i = 0; i < strVertices.length; i++) {

```

```

        vertices[i] = new
            Node(strVertices[i].split(":")[0].trim(),
                Integer.parseInt(strVertices[i].split(":")[1].trim()));
    }
    return vertices;
}
private static Edge[][] createEdges(ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer>>
    edgesList) {
    Edge[][] result = new Edge[edgesList.size()][edgesList.size()];
    for (int row = 0; row < result.length; row++) {
        for (int col = 0; col < result[0].length; col++) {
            result[row][col] = new
                Edge(edgesList.get(row).get(col));
        }
    }
    return result;
}
}
}

```

```

//resources directory

```

```

a:1, b:2, c:1, d:2, e:1, f:2, g:1, h:1, i:2

```

```

0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0
1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0
0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1
0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1
1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0
0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0

```

```

a:1, b:2, c:1, d:2, e:1, f:2, g:2, h:1, i:2

```

```

0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1
1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0
0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0
0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1
1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0

```

```

//the output results

```

```

### Input Graph ###

```

```

0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0
1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

```

```

0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
### CutVertices and Blocks ###
cutVertices of Input Graph:
cutVerticesSet:[{'H'}]

Block generated from Graph:
Block num 1
[{'I'}, {'M'}, {'D'}, {'O'}, {'C'}, {'L'}, {'Q'}, {'B'}, {'H'}]
0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1
0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0
1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1
0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0
Block num 2
[{'K'}, {'P'}, {'J'}, {'N'}, {'H'}, {'G'}, {'F'}, {'E'}, {'A'}]
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1
0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0
0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0
0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0
1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0

### Isomorphic Relationship ###
current block:
{'I':2} {'M':1} {'D':2} {'O':1} {'C':2} {'L':1} {'Q':2} {'B':1}
{'H':2}
isomorphic block before elementary operations:
{'a':1} {'b':2} {'c':1} {'d':2} {'e':1} {'f':2} {'g':2} {'h':1}
{'i':2}
0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1
1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0

```

```

0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0
1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
isomorphic block: after elementary operations:
{'g':2} {'h':1} {'i':2} {'e':1} {'f':2} {'a':1} {'b':2} {'c':1}
  {'d':2}

### Isomorphic Relationship ###
current block:
{'K':10} {'P':11} {'J':11} {'N':10} {'H':11} {'G':10} {'F':10}
  {'E':11} {'A':10}
isomorphic block before elementary operations:
{'a':1} {'b':2} {'c':1} {'d':2} {'e':1} {'f':2} {'g':1} {'h':1}
  {'i':2}
0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0
0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1
0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1
1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0
0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0
isomorphic block: after elementary operations:
{'a':1} {'b':2} {'d':2} {'e':1} {'i':2} {'g':1} {'h':1} {'f':2}
  {'c':1}

### Coloring Vertices Results ###
{'A':10} {'B':1} {'C':11} {'D':11} {'E':11} {'F':10} {'G':10}
  {'H':11} {'I':11} {'J':11} {'K':10} {'L':1} {'M':1} {'N':10}
  {'O':1} {'P':11} {'Q':11}
Process finished with exit code 0

```

Miao Fu*
Center for Applied Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin, 300354
P. R. China
e-mail: fumiao1119@163.com

Yuqin Zhang
School of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin, 300072
P. R. China
e-mail: yuqinzhang@tju.edu.cn