

Some remarks on contractive and existence sets

Maciej Ciesielski and Grzegorz Lewicki

December 2, 2021

Abstract

Let X be a real or complex Banach space and let $F \subset X$ be a non-empty set. F is called an *existence set of best coapproximation* (*existence set* for brevity), if for any $x \in X$, $R_F(x) \neq \emptyset$, where

$$R_F(x) = \{d \in F : \|d - c\| \leq \|x - c\| \text{ for any } c \in F\}.$$

It is clear that any existence set is a contractive subset of X . The aim of this paper is to present some conditions on F and X under which the notions of existence set and contractive set are equivalent.

AMS Classification: 47B37, 46E30, 47H09.

Key Words and Phrases: Banach spaces, reflexivity, strict convexity, contractive and existence sets, one complemented spaces.

1 Introduction

Let X be a real or complex Banach space and let $F \subset X$ be a non-empty set. A continuous mapping $P : X \rightarrow F$ is called a *projection onto F* , whenever $P|_F = Id$, that is $P^2 = P$. Setting

$$Min(F) = \{z \in X : \text{for every } c \in F, x \in X, \text{ if } \|z - c\| \geq \|x - c\| \text{ then } x = z\},$$

we say that $F \subset X$ is *optimal* if $Min(F) = F$. Observe that for any $F \subset X$, $F \subset Min(F)$. This notion has been introduced by Beauzamy and Maurey in [5], where basic properties concerning optimal sets can be found.

A set $F \subset X$ is called an *existence set of best coapproximation* (*existence set* for brevity), if for any $x \in X$, $R_F(x) \neq \emptyset$, where

$$R_F(x) = \{d \in F : \|d - c\| \leq \|x - c\| \text{ for any } c \in F\}.$$

Notice that any contractive set is an existence set. Indeed, if $P : X \rightarrow F$ is a contractive projection, then $Px \in R_F(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Also it is clear that any existence set is an optimal set. The converse, in general, is not true. However, the following result is satisfied.

THEOREM 1. ([5], Prop. 2) *If X is one-complemented in X^{**} and strictly convex, then any optimal subset of X is an existence set in X , which, in particular, holds true for strictly convex spaces X , such that $X = Z^*$ for some Banach space Z .*

Existence and optimal sets have been studied by many authors from different points of view, mainly in the context of approximation theory (see e.g. [2], [3], [[10] - [16]], [19], [20], [24], [22], [[27] - [36]]).

Recall that a closed subspace F of a Banach space X is called *one-complemented* if there exists a linear projection of norm one from X onto F . It is obvious that any one-complemented subspace is an existence set. The converse, in general, is not true. By a deep result of Lindenstrauss, [24] there exists a Banach space X and F a linear subspace of X , $\text{codim}(F) = 2$, such that:

- a). F is one-complemented in any containing it hyperplane Y of X ;
- b). F is not one-complemented in X .

This gives you immediately an example of a subspace being an existence set which is not one-complemented. However, in [5] (see also [22] p.121), the the following result has been proven.

THEOREM 2. (see [5], Prop. 5). *Let V be a linear subspace of a smooth, reflexive and strictly convex Banach space. If V is an optimal set then V is one-complemented in X . If X is a smooth Banach space, then any subspace of X which is an existence set is one-complemented. Moreover, in both cases a norm-one projection from X onto V is uniquely determined.*

The aim of this paper is to present some conditions on a Banach space X and a convex and closed set $F \subset X$ under which the notions of existence set and contractive set are equivalent. In other words, we will study the problem of existence of a non-expansive selection $P : X \rightarrow F$ such that $Px \in R_F(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

In Section 1 some preliminary results will be demonstrated.

The main results of the paper will be presented in Section 2. In Section 2 we assume that F has a nonempty interior in X .

In Section 3 we consider the general case.

All the results will be demonstrated for real Banach spaces. However, at the end of Section 3, we show how to apply the results obtained in the real case to the case of complex Banach spaces (see Lemma 8, Lemma 9 and Theorem 16). Now we present some notions which will be used in this paper.

In the sequel by $S(X)$ we denote the unit sphere in a Banach space X and by $S(X^*)$ the unit sphere in its dual space. A functional $f \in S(X^*)$ is called a *supporting functional* for $x \in X$, if $f(x) = \|x\|$. Analogously, a point $x \in S(X)$ is called a *norming point* for $f \in X^*$ if $f(x) = \|f\|$.

A point $x \in X$ is called a *smooth point* if it has exactly one supporting functional. A Banach space X is called *smooth* if any $x \in S(X)$ is a smooth point.

By $\text{ext}(X)$ we denote the set of all extreme points of $S(X)$. A Banach space X is called *strictly convex* if $\text{ext}(X) = S(X)$.

If F is a linear subspace of a Banach space X , by $\mathcal{P}(X, F)$ we will denote the

set of all linear, continuous projections from X onto F . If X is a Banach space and $Z \subset X$ is an affine subspace of X , for $F \subset Z$ by $\text{int}_Z(F)$ ($\text{int}(F)$ if $Z = X$), we denote the interior of F with respect to Z .

To the end of this paper, unless otherwise stated, all Banach spaces are real. Also we will need

Definition 1. *It is said that a Banach space X has (CFPP) if and only if for any nonempty, convex, closed and bounded set $F \subset X$ and any nonexpansive mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $T(F) \subset F$, T has a fixed point in F .*

Section 1

We start with a lemma presenting basic properties of existence sets.

LEMMA 1. *Let X be a Banach space and let $F \subset X$ be an existence set. Then for any $d \in X$, $F + d$ is an existence set. Also, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, tF is an existence set. If F is a linear subspace then F is an existence set if and only if for any $x \in X \setminus F$ there exists $P_x \in \mathcal{P}(F_x, F)$, $\|P_x\| = 1$, where $F_x = \text{span}[x] \oplus F$.*

Proof. Fix $d \in X$ and $x \in X$. Let $p \in R_F(x - d)$. Let $c \in F + d$, $c = c_1 + d$, where $c_1 \in F$. Then

$$\|c - (p + d)\| = \|c_1 - p\| \leq \|x - d - c_1\| = \|x - c\|,$$

which shows that $p + d \in R_{F+d}(x)$. Now fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $t = 0$, then $F = \{0\}$ is obviously an existence set. If $t \neq 0$, fix $p \in R_F(x/t)$. Let $c \in tF$, $c = tc_1$. Observe that

$$\|p - c_1\| \leq \|p - x/t\|$$

and consequently

$$|t|\|p - c_1\| \leq |t|\|p - x/t\|,$$

which implies that $\|tp - c\| \leq \|tp - x\|$. Hence $tp \in R_{tF}(x)$. Now assume that F is a linear subspace and fix $x \in X \setminus F$. Then any $y \in F_x$ can be represented in the unique way as $y = tx + v_o$, where $v_o \in F$. Fix $p \in R_F(x)$. We show that $tp + v_o \in R_F(tx + v_o)$. This is obvious if $t = 0$. So assume that $t \neq 0$. Fix $v \in F$. Since $\frac{v-v_o}{t} \in F$,

$$\|p - \frac{v - v_o}{t}\| \leq \|x - \frac{v - v_o}{t}\|$$

and consequently

$$|t|\|p - \frac{v - v_o}{t}\| \leq |t|\|x - \frac{v - v_o}{t}\|,$$

which implies that $\|tp + v_o - v\| \leq \|tx + v_o - v\| = \|y - v\|$. Notice that a linear mapping $P_x : F_x \rightarrow F$ defined by $P_x(tx + v_o) = tp + v_o$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(F_x, F)$. By the above reasoning, for any $y \in F_x$, $\|P_x y\| = \|P_x y - 0\| \leq \|y\|$, which shows

that $\|P_x\| = 1$. Conversely, if there exists $P_x \in \mathcal{P}(F_x, F)$, $\|P_x\| = 1$, then for any $v \in F$, and $y \in F_x$,

$$\|P_x y - v\| = \|P_x(y - v)\| \leq \|y - v\|$$

which shows our claim.

LEMMA 2. (compare with [8], Lemma 1). Let X be a Banach space and let $C \subset X$ be a locally compact and convex set. For $F \subset C$, $F \neq \emptyset$, define

$$N(F) = \{f : C \rightarrow C : \|y - f(x)\| \leq \|y - x\| \text{ for any } y \in F, x \in C\}.$$

Then $N(F)$ is compact in the topology of pointwise-weak convergence.

Proof. Fix $x_o \in F$. For $x \in X$ set $C_x = \{y \in C : \|y - x_o\| \leq \|x - x_o\|\}$. Notice that for $f \in N(F)$

$$\|f(x) - x_o\| \leq \|x - x_o\|.$$

Hence $N(F) \subset P = \prod_{x \in C} C_x$. Since C is convex and locally weakly compact, by the Mazur Theorem, C_x , as a bounded subset of C , is weakly compact. By the Tychonoff Theorem, P is a compact set in the topology of pointwise-weak convergence, which we denote by τ . Hence to show that $N(F)$ is compact, we need to demonstrate that $N(F)$ is a τ closed subset of P . Let $\{f_\gamma\} \subset N(F)$ be a net τ -converging to $f \in P$. Hence for any $y \in F$, $f_\gamma(y) \rightarrow f(y)$ in the weak topology. Since $f_\gamma(y) = y$, for any γ , $f(y) = y$. Moreover, for $y \in F$, $x \in C$,

$$\|y - f(x)\| \leq \liminf_{\gamma} \|y - f_\gamma(x)\| \leq \|y - x\|.$$

Hence $f \in N(F)$, which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. (compare with [8], Lemma 2) Let F, C, X and $N(F)$ be as in Lemma 2. Then there exists $r \in N(F)$ such that for any $f \in N(F)$, $x \in C$ and $y \in F$

$$\|y - f(r(x))\| = \|y - r(x)\|.$$

Proof. First we define a partial ordering in $N(F)$. For any $f, g \in N(F)$ it is said that $f < g$ if and only if for any $x \in C$ and $y \in F$

$$\|f(x) - y\| \leq \|g(x) - y\| \text{ and } \|f(x_o) - y_o\| < \|g(x_o) - y_o\|$$

for some $y_o \in F$, $x_o \in C$. It is said that $f \leq g$ if and only if $f < g$ or $f = g$. It is easy to see that $(N(F), \leq)$ is a partially ordered set. Observe that $N(F) \neq \emptyset$, since $id_C \in N(F)$. Now we show that there exists a minimal element in $(N(F), \leq)$. First notice that for any $f \in N(F)$ the set $A_f = \{g \in N(F) : g \leq f\}$ is τ -closed in $N(F)$, which follows easily from definition of our partial ordering. Hence by Lemma 2, A_f is a compact subset of $N(F)$. Now let $G \subset N(F)$ be a chain. We show that there exists a smallest element in G . Notice that if $f_1, \dots, f_n \in G$ then without loss of generality we can assume that $f_n \leq f_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq f_1$. Hence

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_{f_j} = A_{f_n} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since the sets A_f are closed and $N(F)$ is compact, $\bigcap_{f \in G} A_f \neq \emptyset$. Hence any $g \in \bigcap_{f \in G} A_f$ is the smallest element in G . By the Kuratowski-Zorn lemma, there exist $r \in N(F)$ a minimal element in $(N(F), \leq)$. Let $f \in N(F)$. Hence for any $x \in C$ and $y \in F$,

$$\|y - (f \circ r)(x)\| \leq \|y - r(x)\| \leq \|y - x\|,$$

which shows that $f \circ r \leq r$. If for some $x_o \in C$ and $y_o \in F$, $\|y_o - (f \circ r)(x_o)\| < \|y_o - r(x_o)\|$, then $f \circ r \neq r$ and $f \circ r \leq r$, a contradiction with the minimality of r .

THEOREM 3. (compare with [8], Theorem 1) Let F, C, X and $N(F)$ be as in Lemma 2. Assume that for any $x \in C$ there exists $h \in N(F)$ such that $h(x) \in F$. Then there exists $g \in N(F)$ such that for any $x \in C$ $g(x) \in F$. In particular, $r(x) \in R_F(x)$ for any $x \in C$, where r is a maximal element from Lemma 3. If $C = X$, then F is an existence set in X .

Proof. Let $r \in N(F)$ be as in Lemma 3. We show that for any $x \in X$, $r(x) \in F$. Notice that, since $r(x) \in C$, there exists $h \in N(F)$ such that $h(r(x)) \in F$. Let $y = h(r(x))$. Observe that by Lemma 3,

$$0 = \|h(r(x)) - y\| = \|y - r(x)\|.$$

Hence $r(x) = y \in F$, as required.

THEOREM 4. Let X be a reflexive space. For $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ define

$$G = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq 0\}.$$

If G is an existence set then $F = \ker(f)$ is one-complemented in X .

Proof. Since G is an existence set, for any $x \in S(X)$, we can select $P_o x \in R_G(x)$. Define a mapping $P : X \rightarrow G$ by $P0 = 0$, $Px = \|x\|P_o(x/\|x\|)$ for $x \neq 0$. Notice that $Px \in R_G(x) \subset G$ for any $x \in X$. This is obvious if $x = 0$. If $x \neq 0$, for any $d \in G$,

$$\|P_o(x/\|x\|) - d/\|x\|\| \leq \|(x - d)/\|x\|\|$$

and consequently

$$\|Px - d\| = \|\|x\|P_o(x/\|x\|) - d\| \leq \|x - d\|.$$

In particular, for any $d \in F = \ker(f)$, $\|Px - d\| \leq \|x - d\|$ and $P|_F = id_F$. This shows that $P \in N(F)$ (in our case $C = X$). Now we show that for any $x \in X$ there exists $Q \in N(F)$ such that $Qx \in F$. Fix $x \in X$. If $f(x) = 0$, then $Id_F(x) \in F$. Now assume that $f(x) > 0$. If $f(Px) = 0$, then $Px \in F$. If $f(Px) < 0$, then there exists $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)Px) = 0$. Since $N(F)$ is convex, $Q = \alpha Id_X + (1 - \alpha)P \in N(F)$ and $Qx \in F$. Now assume that $f(x) < 0$ and set $G_1 = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq 0\}$. By Lemma 1 for any $x \in X$, $P_1 x = -P(-x) \in R_{G_1}(x) \subset G_1$. Since $F \subset G_1$, for any $d \in F$, $\|P_1 x - d\| \leq \|x - d\|$ and $P_1|_F = id_F$. This shows that $P_1 \in N(F)$. If $f(P_1 x) = 0$, then $P_1 x \in F$. If

$f(P_1x) > 0$, then there exists $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)P_1x) = 0$. Since $N(F)$ is convex, $Q_1 = \alpha Id_X + (1-\alpha)P_1 \in N(F)$ and $Q_1x \in F$. By Theorem 3 applied to $C = X$, there exists $Q : X \rightarrow \ker(f)$ such that $Q|_{\ker(f)} = id|_{\ker(f)}$ and $\|Qx - d\| \leq \|x - d\|$ for any $x \in X$ and $d \in \ker(f)$. Hence $\ker(f)$ is an existence set. By Lemma 1, $\ker(f)$ is one-complemented in X .

THEOREM 5. *Let X be a Banach space and let $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$. If $\ker(f)$ is one-complemented in X , then for any $d \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $G_d = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq d\}$ is a contractive subset of X .*

Proof. By Lemma 1, we can assume that $d = 0$. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(X, \ker(f))$, $\|P\| = 1$. Define $Q : X \rightarrow G_o$ by $Qx = x$ if $f(x) \leq 0$ and $Qx = Px$ if $f(x) > 0$. We show that for any $x, z \in X$ $\|Qx - Qz\| \leq \|x - z\|$. If $f(x) \leq 0$ and $f(z) \leq 0$, then

$$\|Qx - Qz\| = \|x - z\|.$$

If $f(x) \geq 0$ and $f(z) \geq 0$, then

$$\|Qx - Qz\| = \|Px - Pz\| \leq \|x - z\|.$$

Now assume that $f(x) > 0$ and $f(z) < 0$. Let $y \in X$ be so chosen that $Py = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$. Then, it is easy to see that for any $w \in X$, $Pw = w - f(w)y$. Hence if $w = \alpha y + v$ where $v \in \ker(f)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\|Pw\| = \|\alpha Py + Pv\| = \|v\| \leq \|w\| = \|\alpha y + v\|.$$

This means that for any $v \in \ker(f)$ and $t \geq 0$ the function $g_v(t) = \|ty + v\|$ satisfies

$$g_v(t) \geq g_v(0) = \|v\|.$$

Since g_v is a convex function, g_v is increasing in $[0, +\infty)$. Since $f(x) > 0$, if $x = \alpha y + v$, then $f(x) = \alpha > 0$. Analogously, since $f(z) < 0$, if $z = \beta y + w$, then $f(z) = \beta < 0$. Notice that, since the function g_{v-w} is increasing in $[0, +\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qx - Qz\| &= \|Px - z\| = \|v - (\beta y + w)\| = \|- \beta y + (v - w)\| \\ &= g_{v-w}(-\beta) \leq g_{v-w}(-\beta + \alpha) = \\ &= \|(-\beta + \alpha)y + v - w\| = \|\alpha y + v - (\beta y + w)\| = \|x - z\|, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Since $Q|_{G_o} = id_{G_o}$, G_o is a contractive set. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 4. *Let X be a Banach space and let $C \subset X$ be a closed, convex and bounded set such that $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Define for $x \in X$,*

$$f(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : x/t \in C\}.$$

Then f is a convex and continuous function.

Proof. First we show that for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $f(x_1 + x_2) \leq f(x_1) + f(x_2)$. Fix $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $t_1 > 0$ and $t_2 > 0$ satisfying $t_1 < f(x_1) + \epsilon$ and $t_2 < f(x_2) + \epsilon$ such that $\frac{x_i}{t_i} \in C$ for $i = 1, 2$. Notice that

$$\frac{x_1 + x_2}{t_1 + t_2} = \frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2} \frac{x_1}{t_1} + \frac{t_2}{t_1 + t_2} \frac{x_2}{t_2}.$$

Since C is convex, by definition of t_1 and t_2 , $\frac{x_1 + x_2}{t_1 + t_2} \in C$. This shows that

$$f(x_1 + x_2) \leq f(x_1) + f(x_2) + 2\epsilon$$

and consequently f is a subadditive function. Moreover, it is easy to see that $f(ax) = af(x)$ for any $a \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. Hence for any $a, b \geq 0$, $a + b = 1$, and $x, y \in X$

$$f(ax + by) \leq f(ax) + f(by) \leq af(x) + bf(y),$$

which shows that f is a convex function. Now we prove that f is continuous. Let $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$ such that $f(x) < t < f(x) + \epsilon$. Since $0 \in \text{int}(C)$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_o(x/t, r) \subset C$. Hence for $n \geq n_o$, $x_n/t \in B_o(x/t, r) \subset C$. Hence for any $n \geq n_o$, $f(x_n) \leq t \leq f(x) + \epsilon$ and consequently,

$$\limsup_n f(x_n) \leq f(x).$$

Now, assume on the contrary that

$$0 \leq d = \liminf_n f(x_n) < f(x).$$

Fix $d < t < f(x)$. Hence $f(x) > 0$, so $x \neq 0$. Then there exists a subsequence x_{n_k} such that $f(x_{n_k}) < t$ and consequently $x_{n_k}/t \in C$. Since C is closed, $x/t \in C$. Hence $f(x) \leq t$, which is a contradiction. Finally we get

$$\limsup_n f(x_n) \leq f(x) \leq \liminf_n f(x_n)$$

which shows that $f(x) = \lim_n f(x_n)$, as required.

REMARK 1. If $C = -C$ then the function f from Lemma 4 is the Minkowski functional of C .

LEMMA 5. Let X be a Banach space and let $C \subset X$ be a closed, convex and bounded set such that $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Let $\{x_n\} \subset \sigma(C)$ be a dense subset of $\sigma(C)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists exactly one supporting functional f_n for x_n , with $\|f_n\| = 1$. Assume that $f_n(x_n) = d_n$ and $f_n(v) \leq d_n$ for any $v \in V$. Put

$$D_n = \{x \in X : f_n(x) \leq d_n\}.$$

Then $C = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$.

Proof. By definition of f_n and D_n , $C \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$. Now assume on the contrary that there exists $y_o \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n \setminus C$. Since $0 \in \text{int}(C)$, there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $ty_o \in \sigma(C)$. Fix $\{y_n\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that

$$\|y_n - ty_o\| \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

This is possible, since $\{x_n\}$ is a dense subset of $\sigma(C)$. Let d_n and f_n be so chosen that $f_n(y_n) = d_n$ and $V \subset D_n = \{x \in X : f_n(x) \leq d_n\}$. Now we show that $\{d_n\}$ is bounded. Assume on the contrary that this is not true. Without loss of generality, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $d_n \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $R > 0$ be so chosen that $C \subset B(0, R)$. Since $f_n(y_n) = d_n$, and $y_n \in C$, for $n \geq n_o$,

$$\sup\{f_n(z) : z \in B(0, R)\} \geq f_n(y_n) \geq 2R.$$

Hence

$$\sup\{f_n(z) : z \in B(0, 1)\} \geq 2$$

and consequently, $\|f_n\| > 2$ for $n \geq n_o$ which is a contradiction. Now we show that $e_o = \liminf_n d_n > 0$. Assume on the contrary that $\liminf_n d_n = 0$. Without loss of generality, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $d_n \rightarrow 0$. Fix $r > 0$ such that $B(0, r) \subset \text{int}(C)$. Fix $n_o \geq 2$ such that $\frac{r}{n_o} < 1$. Fix k_o such that $0 \leq d_k < \frac{r}{n_o}$ for $k \geq k_o$. Fix $x \in B(0, r)$. If $f_k(x) \geq 0$, then

$$0 \leq f_k(x) \leq d_k < \frac{r}{n_o}.$$

If $f_k(x) < 0$, then $f_k(-x) \geq 0$ and $f_k(-x) \leq d_k < \frac{r}{n_o}$. Hence

$$\sup\{|f_k(x)| : x \in B(0, r)\} \leq \frac{r}{n_o}$$

and consequenntly $\|f_k\| \leq \frac{1}{n_o} < 1$ which is a contradiction. Without loss of generality, passing to a subsequence if necessary, by (1), we can assume that $\|y_n - ty_o\| \rightarrow 0$ and $d_n \rightarrow e_o > 0$. Notice that

$$f_n(ty_o) = f_n(y_n) - f_n(y_n - ty_o) = d_n - f_n(y_n - ty_o) \geq d_n - \|y_n - ty_o\|.$$

Hence

$$\liminf_n f_n(y_o) = \frac{\liminf_n f_n(ty_o)}{t} \geq \frac{\liminf_n d_n}{t} = \frac{e_o}{t}.$$

Since $t \in (0, 1)$ and $e_o > 0$, $f_n(y_o) > d_n$ for $n \geq n_o$. Hence $y_o \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 6. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Let $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ be a family of convex existence sets directed by \subset . Then $F = \text{cl}(\bigcup_{t \in T} F_t)$ is also an existence set.*

Proof. Since $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is directed by \subset , for any $t_1, t_2 \in T$ there exists $t_3 \in T$ such that $F_{t_1} \cup F_{t_2} \subset F_{t_3}$. Define a partial ordering \leq in T by $t_1 \leq t_2$ provided $F_{t_1} \subset F_{t_2}$. Fix $F_{t_o} = F_o \in \{F_t\}_{t \in T}$. Since $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is directed by \subset , $F = cl(\bigcup_{t \geq t_o} F_t)$. By the axiom of choice, for any $t \geq t_o$ we can select $P_t \in N(F_t)$ (see Lemma 2) such that $P_t(x) \in R_{F_t}(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Observe that $\{P_t\}_{t \geq t_o} \subset N(F_o)$. Set for any $t \geq t_o$ $A_t = cl(\{P_s : s \geq t\})$ where the closure is taken with respect to the topology in $N(F_o)$ defined in Lemma 2. Since $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is directed by \subset , for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq t_o$ there exists $u \in T$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{t_i} \supset A_u \neq \emptyset.$$

By the proof of Lemma 2, $\bigcap_{t \geq t_o} A_t \neq \emptyset$. Fix $P \in \bigcap_{t \geq t_o} A_t$. We show that for any $x \in X$, $Px \in R_F(x)$. Fix $x \in X$ and $d \in \bigcup_{t \geq t_o} F_t$. Then there exists $s \geq t_o$ such that $d \in F_s$ and consequently $d \in F_u$ for $u \geq s$. Since $P \in A_s$,

$$\|Px - d\| \leq \liminf_{u \geq s} \|P_u x - d\| \leq \|x - d\|.$$

If $d \in F$, then there exists a sequence $\{d_n\} \subset \bigcup_{t \geq t_o} F_t$ such that $\|d_n - d\| \rightarrow 0$. By the previous reasoning,

$$\|Px - d\| = \lim_n \|Px - d_n\| \leq \lim_n \|x - d_n\| = \|x - d\|,$$

as required.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space and let F be a convex existence set. Define for any $v \in F$ and $t > 0$ $F_t = (1-t)v + tF$ and let $C_v = cl(\bigcup_{t > 0} F_t)$. Then F is an existence set.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, F_t is an existence set for any $t > 0$. Since $F_t \subset F_s$ for $t \leq s$, by Lemma 6 C_v is an existence set too.

LEMMA 7. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Let $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ be a family of convex existence sets directed by \supset . If $F = \bigcap_{t \in T} F_t \neq \emptyset$, then F is also an existence set.*

Proof. Since $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is directed by \supset , for any $t_1, t_2 \in T$ there exists $t_3 \in T$ such that $F_{t_1} \cap F_{t_2} \supset F_{t_3}$. Define a partial ordering \leq in T by $t_1 \leq t_2$ provided $F_{t_1} \supset F_{t_2}$. By the axiom of choice, for any $t \in T$ we can select $P_t \in N(F_t)$ such that $P_t(x) \in R_{F_t}(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Observe that $\{P_t\}_{t \in T} \subset N(F)$. Set for any $t \geq t_o$ $A_t = cl(\{P_s : s \geq t\})$ where the closure is taken with respect to the topology in $N(F)$ defined in Lemma 2. Since $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is directed by \supset , for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq t_o$ there exists $u \in T$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{t_i} \supset A_u \neq \emptyset.$$

By the proof of Lemma 2, $\bigcap_{t \in T} A_t \neq \emptyset$. Fix $P \in \bigcap_{t \in T} A_t$. We show that for any $x \in X$, $Px \in R_F(x)$. Fix $x \in X$ and $d \in F$. Hence $d \in F_s$ for any $s \in T$ and consequently

$$\|Px - d\| \leq \liminf_s \|P_s x - d\| \leq \|x - d\|.$$

To finish the proof we need to show that $Px \in F$ for any $x \in X$. Assume on the contrary that there exists $x_o \in X$ such that $Px_o \notin F$. Then there exists $t_o \in T$ such that $Px_o \notin F_{t_o}$. Hence $Px_o \notin F_t$ for any $t \geq t_o$. Since $P_t x_o \in F_t \subset F_{t_o}$ for $t \geq t_o$, by the Mazur Theorem $Px_o \in F_{t_o}$, which is a contradiction.

Section 2

Now we state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 6. *Let X be a reflexive, separable Banach space. Let $F \subset X$ be a nonempty, closed, bounded and convex existence set with nonempty interior in X . Then F is an intersection of a countable family of contractive half-spaces.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 we can assume that $0 \in \text{int}(F)$. Define a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : x/t \in C\}.$$

By Lemma 4 f is a convex and continuous function. By the Mazur Theorem f is Gateaux differentiable on a countable, dense subset of X . Since $f(tx) = tf(x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, there exists a dense countable subset $Z = \{z_n\}$ of $\sigma(C)$ such that f is Gateaux differentiable at any $z \in Z$. Let for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $D_n = \text{cl}(\bigcup_{t>0} F_{t,n})$, where $F_{t,n} = \{(1-t)z_n + tF\}$. By Corollary 1, D_n is an existence set for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f is Gateaux differentiable at z_n , there exists $f_n \in S(X^*)$ and $d_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $D_n = \{x \in X : f_n(x) \leq d_n\}$ and $f_n(z_n) = d_n$. (Since $0 \in \text{int}(C)$, $d_n > 0$.) By Theorem 4 and Lemma 1, $\ker(f_n)$ is one-complemented in X . By Theorem 5, D_n is a contractive half-space. By Lemma 5, $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$, as required.

Now we will show a sufficient condition under which intersections of countable families of contractive sets are contractive.

THEOREM 7. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a reflexive Banach space. Let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a sequence of strictly convex norms on X such that there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}$ of nonegative numbers, $s_n \rightarrow 0$ satisfying for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$*

$$(1 - s_n)\|x\|_n \leq \|x\| \leq (1 + s_n)\|x\|_n \quad (2)$$

Assume that $\{F_k\}$ is a countable family of convex sets such that for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ F_k is a contractive set with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. Assume that $F = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k \neq \emptyset$. Then F is a contractive subset of X with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, we can assume that $0 \in F$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $P_{k,n} : X \rightarrow F_k$ be a contractive mapping with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. Fix a sequence

of positive numbers $\{c_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k = 1$. Define $P_n : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$P_n x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k P_{k,n} x.$$

(Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k = 1$, P_n is well-defined.) Now we show that $Fix(P_n) = F$. By definition of $P_{k,n}$, $F \subset Fix(P_n)$. Now assume that $x \in Fix(P_n) \setminus \{0\}$. Since $0 \in F$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\|P_{k,n}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n$. Fix $f_n \in S(X^*)$ (with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$) such that $f_n(x) = \|x\|_n$. Notice that

$$\|x\|_n = f_n(x) = f_n\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k P_{k,n} x\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k f_n(P_{k,n} x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \|P_{k,n} x\|_n \leq \|x\|_n.$$

Hence for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\|P_{k,n} x\|_n = \|x\|_n$ and $f_n(P_{k,n} x) = \|x\|_n$. Hence for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\frac{P_{k,n} x}{\|P_{k,n} x\|_n}$ is a norming point for f_n . Since $(X, \|\cdot\|_n)$ is strictly convex, f_n has exactly one norming point with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. Hence for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{k,n} x = x$, which shows that $x \in F$. By ([8], Theorem 2 and Lemma 3), $F = Fix(P_n)$ is a contractive subset of $(X, \|\cdot\|_n)$. Let $Q_n : X \rightarrow F$ be a contractive mapping with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. Define for any $M \geq 1$

$$N_M(F) = \{f : X \rightarrow X : \|y - f(x)\| \leq M\|y - x\| \text{ for any } y \in F, x \in X\}.$$

Reasoning as in Lemma 2, we can show that $N_M(F)$ is a compact set with respect to the topology of weak pointwise convergence. Put

$$M = \sup\left\{\frac{1+s_n}{1-s_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

Notice that for any $x \in X$ and $y \in F$,

$$\|Q_n x - y\| \leq (1+s_n)\|Q_n x - y\|_n \leq (1+s_n)\|x - y\|_n \leq \frac{1+s_n}{1-s_n}\|x - y\| \leq M\|x - y\|.$$

Hence $Q_n \in N_M(F)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Reasoning as in Lemma 3, we can show that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \neq \emptyset$, where $A_n = cl(\{Q_k : k \geq n\})$. Fix $Q \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. We show that Q is a contractive mapping from X onto F with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Notice that for any $x, y \in X$

$$\|Q_n x - Q_n y\|_n \leq \|x - y\|_n \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{1 - s_n}.$$

Since $s_n \rightarrow 0$,

$$\liminf_n \frac{\|Q_n x - Q_n y\|_n}{1 - s_n} \leq \|x - y\|.$$

Since $Q \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, this shows that

$$\|Qx - Qy\| \leq \liminf_n \|Q_n x - Q_n y\| \leq \liminf_n \frac{\|Q_n x - Q_n y\|_n}{1 - s_n} \leq \|x - y\|,$$

as required. Moreover, since for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $Q_n x \in F$ and F is convex, by the Mazur Theorem, $Qx \in F$. Since for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in F$, $Q_n x = x$, $Qx = x$. The proof is complete.

REMARK 2. Observe that, in general, the countable intersection of contractive sets need not to be even an existence set. In ([19], Example 2.10), it was shown that $F = \ker(f_1) \cap \ker(f_2) \subset l_\infty^{(4)}$ is not an existence set. Here $f_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $f_2 = (1/2, 1/6, 1/6, 1/6)$. (We understand that $f_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^4 x_j f_{ij}$, for $x \in l_\infty^{(4)}$ and $i = 1, 2$.) Observe that $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_n$, where

$$F_n = \{x \in l_\infty^{(4)} : |f_i(x)| \leq 1/n, i = 1, 2\}.$$

By Lemma 7 and Theorem 1 for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ F_n is not an existence set. Observe that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $F_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_{n,k}$, where

$$F_{n,k} = \{x \in F_n : |x_i| \leq k \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, 4\}.$$

By Lemma 6 and Theorem 1, for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_{n,k}$ is not an existence set too.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 is

THEOREM 8. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and F be as in Theorem 6. Assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- a. F is an existence set;
- b. F is a contractive set;
- c. F is an intersection of a countable number of contractive half-spaces.

Proof. Assume that F is an existence set. By Theorem 6, F is an intersection of a countable number of contractive half-spaces. By Theorem 7, F is a contractive set. Since each existence set is contractive, the proof is complete.

Now we present two applications of Theorem 8.

THEOREM 9. Let $X = l_1^{(n)}$ and let $F \subset X$ be a convex bounded set with nonempty interior in X . Then the following conditions are equivalent

- a. F is an existence set;
- b. F is a contractive set;
- c. F is an intersection of a countable number of contractive half-spaces.

Proof. Assume that F is a convex existence set in X . By Theorem 6, F is an intersection of a countable family $\{F_k\}$ of half-spaces. By Theorem 4, each F_k is determined by $f_k \in S(X^*)$ such that $\ker(f_k)$ is one-complemented in X . By [4], each f_k has at most two coordinates different from zero. By [7] and [1], for each k , $\ker(f_k)$ is also one-complemented in $l_p^{(n)}$ for $p \geq 1$. Fix a sequence $p_l > 1$ $p_l \rightarrow 1$. By Theorem 5, for any k, l F_k is a contractive subset of $l_{p_l}^{(n)}$. Applying Theorem 8 to $\|\cdot\|_l = \|\cdot\|_{p_l}$ and $\{F_k\}$ we get the result.

THEOREM 10. Let $X = l_\infty^{(n)}$ and let $F \subset X$ be a convex set. Assume that $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty F_k$, where for each k F_k is a half space determined by $f_k \in S(X^*)$ having at most two coordinates different from zero. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- a. F is an existence set;
- b. F is a contractive set;

Proof. Fix a sequence $p_l \rightarrow +\infty$. By [7] and [1], and Theorem 5, for any k, l F_k is a contractive subset of $l_{p_l}^{(n)}$. Reasoning as in Theorem 9 we get the result.

Now we present a sufficient condition (in which strict convexity is not assumed), under which the intersection of a countable family of contractive half-spaces is a contractive set.

THEOREM 11. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space satisfying (CFPP) (see Def. 1). Assume that $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$, where for each k F_k is a contractive half-space determined by $f_k \in S(X^*)$. (By Theorem 4, for each k $\ker(f_k)$ is one complemented in X .) Let P_k be a norm one projection from X onto $\ker(f_k)$. (By [4], for any $x \in X$ $P_k x = x - f_k(x)y_k$ where $y_k \in X$ satisfies $f_k(y_k) = 1$.) Assume furthermore that there exists a sequence $\{d_k\}$ of nonnegative numbers such that $F_k = \{x \in X : f_k(x) \leq d_k\}$. If $0 \notin \text{cl}(\text{conv}(\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}))$, then F is a contractive set.*

Proof. We follow the idea included in [8], Lemma 3). Fix a sequence a_n of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 1$. By the proof of Theorem 5 and Lemma 1 the mapping $Q_n : X \rightarrow F_n$ defined by $Q_n x = x - f_n(x - z_n)y_n$ if $f_n(x) > d_n$ and $Q_n x = x$ in the opposite case, is a contractive projection from X onto F_n . (Here for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ z_n is so chosen that $f_n(z_n) = d_n$.) Define $Q : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Qx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n Q_n x.$$

It is easy to see that Q is a nonexpansive mapping. We show that $\text{Fix}(Q_n) = F$. It is easy to see that $F \subset \text{Fix}(Q)$. Assume on the contrary that there exists $x \in \text{Fix}(Q) \setminus F$. Since $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$, the set

$$Z = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : Q_n(x) \neq x\} \neq \emptyset.$$

By definition of Q

$$x = \sum_{k \notin Z} a_k x + \sum_{k \in Z} a_k Q_k x.$$

(We assume that $\sum_{k \notin Z} a_k = 0$ if $Z = \mathbb{N}$.) By definition of Q_k ,

$$0 = \sum_{k \in Z} a_k f_k(x - z_k)y_k.$$

Since $a_k > 0$ and $f_k(x - z_k) > 0$ for $k \in Z$, $0 \in \text{cl}(\text{conv}(\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}))$, which is a contradiction.

REMARK 3. *In [8], Theorem 4, sufficient conditions for a Banach space X satisfying (CFPP) are presented. In particular, any finite-dimensional Banach space satisfies (CFPP).*

EXAMPLE 1. *Let $X = l_{\infty}^{(n)}$. Let for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^k \in S(X^*)$, $f^k = (f_1^k, \dots, f_n^k)$ be so chosen that*

$$|f_j^k| \geq \sum_{i \neq j} |f_i^k| \quad (3)$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ depending on k . By [4], for any k , $\ker(f^k)$ is one-complemented in X . Fix a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{d_k\}$. By Theorem 5 the half spaces $F_k = \{x \in X : f^k(x) \leq d_k\}$ are contractive subsets of X . Assume that $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$, is a nonempty set. Let for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $Z_j = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |f_j^k| \geq \sum_{i \neq j} |f_i^k|\}$. Assume that for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $Z_j \neq \emptyset$, $f_j^k > 0$ for any $k \in Z_j$. By [4] and the proof of Theorem 11, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q_k x = x - f^k(x - z_k)y_k$, where $y_k = (0, (\frac{1}{f_j^k})_j, \dots, 0)$ where $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfies (3). Hence by our assumption on Z_j , $0 \notin \text{cl}(\text{conv}(\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}))$. By Theorem 11, F is a contractive subset of X . Observe that if for some k f^k has more than two coordinates different from zero, this result cannot be deduced from Theorem 10.

REMARK 4. The assumption that F is a bounded set in Theorem 8 can be weakened. If we assume that $F = \text{cl}(\bigcup_{t \in T} F_t)$ where $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is a directed by \subset family of bounded and convex existence sets such that $\text{int}(F_{t_o}) \neq \emptyset$ for some $t_o \in T$, by Lemma 6 the conditions (a) and (b) from Theorem 8 are equivalent. If $T = \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{int}(F) \neq \emptyset$, then by the Baire Property $\text{int}(F_{t_o}) \neq \emptyset$ for some $t_o \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, we can prove

THEOREM 12. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a strictly convex, reflexive and separable Banach space and let $F \subset X$ be a bounded set with nonempty interior (compare with Remark 4.) Then the following conditions are equivalent

- a. F is an existence set;
- b. F is a contractive set;
- c. F is an intersection of a countable number of contractive half-spaces.
- d. F is an optimal set.

Proof. If F is an existence set, then, by definition, F is an optimal set. Since X is strictly convex, if F is an optimal set, by [5], F is a convex set. By Theorem 1, F is an existence set. Hence by Theorem 8, the proof is complete.

REMARK 5. There exists Banach spaces such that there is no bounded, convex sets with nonempty interior being existence sets. For example, if we take $X = L_p[0, 1]$ for $1 < p < +\infty$, then there is no $F \subset X$, F convex with $\text{int}(F) \neq \emptyset$ being an existence set. Indeed, if such an F exists, then by Theorem 6 F would be an intersection of a countable family of contractive half-spaces $\{D_n\}$. By Theorem 4, each D_n is determined by $f_n \in S(X^*)$ with $\ker(f_n)$ being one-complemented. But by [5], (see also [14], [21], [28] and [35]), each hyperplane in X is not one complemented.

Section 3

Now, we prove some results on existence sets F without assumptions that they have nonempty interior in the whole space X . To the end of this section, if is not otherwise stated, we assume that $\text{int}_Z(F) \neq \emptyset$ where $Z = \text{cl}(\text{span}(F))$ and $\text{int}_Z(F)$ means the interior with respect to Z .

REMARK 6. *It may happen that $\text{int}_Z(F) = \emptyset$. For example, if we take $X = L_p[0, 1]$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, and $F = \{f \in X : f \geq 0\}$ then it is easy to see that $\text{Span}(F) = X$ and $\text{int}_X(F) = \emptyset$. Observe that F is a contractive subset of X . Indeed, it is easy to see that the mapping $Pf = f\chi(D_{f,+})$ is a contractive projection onto F . Here $\chi(D_{f,+})$ denote the characteristic function of the set $D_{f,+} = \{t \in [0, 1] : f(t) \geq 0\}$. If X is finite-dimensional, then $\text{int}_Z(F) \neq \emptyset$ for any $F \subset X, F \neq \emptyset$.*

We start with

THEOREM 13. *Let X be a Banach space and let $F \subset X$ be a convex and bounded (compare with Remark 4) existence set. Assume that $Z = \text{cl}(\text{span}(F))$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 8. If Z is a contractive subset of X then F is a contractive subset of X .*

Proof. Since F is an existence set in X , F is an existence set in Z . By Theorem 8 applied to Z there exists a contractive projection $Q : Z \rightarrow F$. Let $P : X \rightarrow Z$ be a contractive projection. The $Q \circ P$ is a contractive projection from X onto F . The proof is complete.

Now we present examples of Banach spaces X in which any subspace being an existence set is a contractive set. In [22], the following result was shown.

THEOREM 14. *Let X be a Banach space and let $Z \subset X$, be a linear subspace, which is an existence set. Put*

$$G_Z = \{z \in Z \setminus \{0\} : \text{there exists exactly one } f \in S(X^*) : f(z) = \|z\|\}. \quad (4)$$

Assume that the norm closure of G_Z in X is equal to Z . Then there exists exactly one projection $P \in \mathcal{P}(X, Z)$ such that $\|P\| = 1$, which means that Z is a contractive subset of X .

REMARK 7. *In particular, if X is a smooth space, then (4) is satisfied. Applying Theorem 14 it was shown in [22], that in c_o, l_1 and some Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces any subspace Z which is an existence set is one-complemented. Also it was shown in [20] that any subspace Z of the Lorentz sequence space $l_{1,w}$ which is an existence set, is contractive.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Let $F \subset X$ be a bounded and convex existence set such that $\dim(Z = \text{span}(F)) < \infty$. If X is strictly convex and smooth then X is contractive. If X is finite-dimensional, strictly convex and smooth Banach space then any bounded optimal set is contractive.*

Proof. If $\dim(Z = \text{span}(F)) < \infty$, then $\text{int}_Z(F) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 13 and Theorem 14 F is contractive set. If X is strictly convex and reflexive and F is a bounded optimal set then by [5], F is a convex existence set. If X is finite-dimensional, then $\text{int}_Z(F) \neq \emptyset$ for any $F \subset X$. The proof is complete.

The following result can be applied to sets satisfying $\text{int}_Z(F) = \emptyset$. The proof of it is the same as in [19].

THEOREM 15. *Let X be a reflexive smooth Banach space and let $F \subset X$ be a convex existence set such that $F = cl(\bigcup_{t \in T} F_t)$, where $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is a family of convex and compact existence sets ordered by \subset . Then F is a contractive set. If F is a convex, compact existence set then the assumption of reflexivity can be omitted.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and fix $t \in T$. By ([19], Th. 3.3) there exists $P_t x \in R_{F_t}(x)$ such that for any $d \in F_t$ and $s \geq 0$

$$\|Px - d\| \leq \|sx + (1 - s)P_t x - d\|.$$

Since X is smooth, by ([9], Lemma 1), $P_t x$ is uniquely determined. By ([9], Th. 1) F_t is a contractive set. (Up to now the reflexivity is not needed.) By ([8], Lemma 4, F is a contractive set.

REMARK 8. *In general, we do not know under which conditions on a Banach space X any existence and convex set can be represented as $F = cl(\bigcup_{t \in T} F_t)$, where $\{F_t\}_{t \in T}$ is a family of convex and compact existence sets ordered by \subset . Such a result has been proven [19], Lemma 3.7 for reflexive Köthe sequence spaces. For l_p spaces such a result has been demonstrated in [10].*

REMARK 9. *Notice that in general a contractive set need not to be convex. Let, for example $X = l_\infty^{(2)}$. Define*

$$F = \{(x, y) \in X : |y| \leq |x|\}.$$

Then after elementary, but tedious calculations, one can see that the mapping $P : X \rightarrow F$ defined by

$$P(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x, \operatorname{sgn}(y)x) & \text{for } x \geq 0, |y| > |x| \\ (x, -\operatorname{sgn}(y)x) & \text{for } x < 0, |y| > |x| \\ (x, y) & \text{for } |y| \leq |x| \end{cases}$$

is a contractive projection from X onto F . It would be interesting to obtain a relation between existence and contractive sets in nonconvex case.

Notice that the following two well-known lemmas permit us to adopt the results proved for real Banach spaces in the case of complex Banach spaces. We present the proofs of them for a convenience of the reader.

LEMMA 8. *Let X be a complex Banach space with a Hamel basis $H = \{h_t\}_{t \in T}$. Let X_R be a real linear space spanned by $\{h_t, ih_s\}_{s, t \in T}$. Then $H_R = \{h_t, ih_s\}_{s, t \in T}$ is a Hamel basis of X_R over \mathbb{R} . Let us equip X_R with a norm induced from X , i.e.,*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j h_{t_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j i h_{t_j} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + ib_j) h_{t_j} \right\|$$

Then the mapping $Iz : X \rightarrow X_R$ defined by

$$Iz\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j h_{t_j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{re}(a_j) h_{t_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{im}(a_j) i h_{t_j}$$

is a linear surjective isometry over \mathbb{R} which means that $\|Iz(x)\| = \|x\|$, $Iz(x+y) = Iz(x) + Iz(y)$, $Iz(ax) = aIz(x)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x, y \in X$. In particular, X_R is a real Banach space.

Proof. Since H is a Hamel basis over \mathbb{C} , the set $\{h_t, ih_s\}_{s,t \in T}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . The fact that Iz is a linear isometry over \mathbb{R} follows immediately from the definition of the norm in X_R . To prove surjectivity, fix $x \in X_R$. Then $x = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j y_{t_j} + \sum_{k=1}^m b_k i y_{s_k}$ with $a_j \neq 0$ and $b_k \neq 0$. Let $S = \{t_1, \dots, t_n, s_1, \dots, s_m\}$. Let $z = \sum_{j \in S} c_j y_j$, where $c_j = a_j + ib_j$. (We put $a_j = 0$ if $j \notin \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ and $b_j = 0$ if $j \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$). It is clear that $Iz(z) = x$, which completes the proof.

LEMMA 9. *Let X be a complex Banach space. Then X is reflexive if and only if X_R is reflexive, X is strictly convex if and only if X_R is strictly convex, X is smooth if and only if X_R is smooth. Moreover X satisfies (CFPP) if and only if X_R satisfies (CFPP).*

Proof. The proofs of all above properties follows from Lemma 8. Before presenting them, fix $f \in X^*$. Then $f = \operatorname{re}(f) + i(\operatorname{im}(f))$. Put $g = \operatorname{re}(f)$. Since $f(ix) = if(x)$ for any $x \in X$, we easily get that $f(x) = g(x) - ig(ix)$. Moreover, $\|f\| = \|g\|$. Indeed, it is immediate that $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$. To prove a converse, fix $x \in X$, $\|x\| = 1$. Then $f(e^{it}x) = g(e^{it}x)$ for some $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Hence

$$|f(x)| = |f(e^{it}x)| = |g(e^{it}x)| \leq \|g\| \|e^{it}x\| = \|g\|$$

which shows our claim. Moreover, by the above reasoning if $g \in (X_R)^*$ then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(x) = g(x) - ig(ix)$ belongs to X^* and $\|f\| = \|g\|$. Hence, in particular, any $f \in S(X^*)$ attains its norm at some $x \in S(X)$ if and only if any $g \in (X_R)^*$ attains its norm in some $z \in S(X_R)$. Consequently, by the James Theorem X is reflexive if and only if X_R is reflexive. Analogously, for any $x \in S(X)$ there exists exactly one $f \in S(X^*)$ satisfying $f(x) = \|x\| = 1$ if and only if for any $z \in S(X_R)$ there exists exactly one $g \in S((X_R)^*)$ satisfying $g(z) = \|z\| = 1$. Hence X is smooth if and only if X_R is smooth. The equivalence of strict convexity for X and X_R and the equivalence of (CFPP) for X and X_R follows immediately from Lemma 8, so we omit the proofs.

THEOREM 16. *Theorem 6, Theorem 7, Theorem 8 (By a half-space determined by $f \in S(X^*)$ we understand the set $\{x \in X : \operatorname{re}(f)(x) \leq d\}$.), Theorem 9, Theorem 10, Theorem 11, Theorem 12, Theorem 13, Theorem 14 and Theorem 15 holds true for any complex Banach space X .*

Proof. Let X be a complex Banach space and let $F \subset X$. Then by Lemma 8, F is a convex set if and only if $Iz(F)$ is a convex set, F is an existence set

in X if and only if $Iz(F)$ is a and existence set in X_R and F is a contractive subset of X if and only if $Iz(F)$ is a contractive subset of X_R . By Lemma 9, we can adopt the proofs of the above mentioned theorems given in the real case to the complex case.

References

- [1] M. Baronti and P. L. Papini, *Norm-one projections onto subspaces of l_p* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **4**, **152** (1988), 53 -61.
- [2] B. Beauzamy, *Projections contractantes dans les espaces de Banach*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **102** (1978), 43 – 47.
- [3] B. Beauzamy and P. Enflo, *Théorèmes de point fixe et d'approximation*, Ark. Mat. **23,1** (1985), 19 – 34.
- [4] J. Blatter and E. W. Cheney, *Minimal projections onto hyperplanes in sequence spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl. **101**, (1974), 215 - 227.
- [5] B. Beauzamy and B. Maurey, *Points minimaux et ensembles optimaux dans les espaces de Banach*, J. Funct. Anal. **24** (1977), 107 – 139.
- [6] H. Berens, *Über die beste Approximation in \mathbb{R}^n* , Arch. Math. **39** (1982) 376 – 382.
- [7] F. Bohnenblust, *Subspaces of $l_{p,n}$ spaces*, Amer. J. Math., **63'** (1941), 64 - 72.
- [8] R. E. Bruck Jr., *Properties of fixed-point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973) 251 – 262.
- [9] R. E. Bruck Jr., *Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **47,2** (1973) 341 – 355.
- [10] V. Davis, P. Enflo, *Contractive projections on l_p -spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series **137** (1989) 151 – 161.
- [11] P. Enflo, *Contractive projections onto subsets of $L^1(0, 1)$* , London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, **137** (1989) 162 – 184.
- [12] P. Enflo, *Contractive projections onto subsets of L^p -spaces*, in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Function Spaces, **136**, 79 – 94, New York, Basel, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1992.
- [13] D.G. de Figueiredo and L. A. Karlovitz, *On the extensions of contractions of normed spaces*, in: Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **18,1** 95 – 104, Providence 1970, Amer. Math. Soc..

- [14] C. Franchetti, *The norm of minimal projection onto hyperplanes in $L_p[0, 1]$ and the radial constant*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B **7,4**(1990), 803 -821.
- [15] P. M. Gruber, *Fixpunktmengen von Kontraktionen in endlichdimensionalen normierten Räumen*, Geom. Dedicata **4** (1975), 179 – 198.
- [16] L. Hetzelt, *On suns and cosuns in finite dimensional normed real vector spaces*, Math. Hungar. **45** (1985) 53 – 68.
- [17] J. Jamison, A. Kamińska and G. Lewicki, *One-complemented subspaces of Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces*, Journ. Approx. Theory, **130** (2004) 1 – 37.
- [18] A. Kamińska, *Rotundity of Orlicz-Musielak sequence spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. **29** (1981), no. 3-4, 137–144.
- [19] A. Kamińska, G. Lewicki, *Contractive and optimal sets in modular spaces*, Math. Nachr., **268** (2004) 74 – 95.
- [20] A. Kamińska, G. Lewicki, *Extreme and smooth points in Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz Spaces with applications to contractive projections*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **39,5** (2009) 1533 - 1572.
- [21] G. Lewicki and L. Skrzypek, *Minimal projections onto hyperplanes in l_p^n* , Journ. Approx. Theory, **202**, (20160, 42 - 63.
- [22] G. Lewicki, G. Trombetta, *Optimal and one-complemented subspaces*, Monatsh. Math., **153**, (2008), 115 - 132.
- [23] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [24] J. Lindenstrauss, *On projections with norm 1 - an example*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964) 403 – 406.
- [25] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1034**, Springer, 1983.
- [26] H. Nakano, *Modulated Semi-Ordered Linear Spaces*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1950.
- [27] P. L. Papini and I. Singer, *Best co-approximation in normed linear spaces*, Monatsh. Math. **88** (1979) 27 – 44.
- [28] B. Randrianantoanina, *Norm one projections in Banach spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **5** (2001) 35 – 95.
- [29] Rao, T. S.S.R.K *On ideals and generalized centers of finite sets in Banach spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications, (2013) **398,2**, 886 - 888.

- [30] Rao, T. S.S.R.K *On intersections of ranges of projections of norm one in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (2013), **141**, **10**, 3579 - 3586.
- [31] Rao, T. S.S.R.K *Existence sets of best coapproximation and projections of norm one*, Monatsh. Math., (2015), **176**,**4**, 607 - 614.
- [32] Rao, T. S.S.R.K *Coproximality for quotient spaces*, Zeitschrift für Analysis und Ihre Anwendungen, (2017), **36**,**2**, 151 - 157.
- [33] Rao, T. S.S.R.K *Into isometries of Banach spaces*, Recent Trends in Operator Theory and Applications, Book Series: Contemporary Mathematics, (2019), **137**, 135 - 144
- [34] S.Reich, *Product formulas, nonlinear semigroups and accretive operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis **36** (1980) 147 - 168.
- [35] S. Rolewicz, *On projections on subspaces of codimension one*, Studia Math., **96**,**1**, (1990), 17 -19.
- [36] U. Westphal, *Cosuns in $l^p(n)$* , J. Approx. Theory **54** (1988) 287 – 305.

Maciej CIESIELSKI
 Institute of Mathematics
 Poznań University of Technology
 Piotrowo 3A, 60-965 Poznań, Poland
 email: maciej.ciesielski@put.poznan.pl;

Grzegorz LEWICKI
 Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
 Jagiellonian University
 30-348 Kraków, Łojasiewicza 6, Poland
 email: grzegorz.lewicki@im.uj.edu.pl;