

# THE INFLUENCE OF VISCOUS COEFFICIENTS ON THE LIFESPAN OF 3-D ANISOTROPIC NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

TIANTIAN HAO AND YANLIN LIU

ABSTRACT. The anisotropic Navier-Stokes system arises in geophysical fluid dynamics, which is derived by changing  $-\nu\Delta$  in the classical Navier-Stokes system to  $-(\nu_1\partial_1^2 + \nu_2\partial_2^2 + \nu_3\partial_3^2)$ . Here  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are the viscous coefficients, which can be different from each other. This reflects that the fluid can behave differently in each direction.

The purpose of this paper is to derive some lower bound estimates on the lifespan to such anisotropic Navier-Stokes system. We not only investigate the case when  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are all positive, but also the more sophisticated cases when one or two of them vanish. We find that in these lower bound estimates, the weights of  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are not equal. A detailed study of this problem can also help us to have a better understanding of the nonlinear structure in the classical Navier-Stokes system.

*Keywords:* Navier-Stokes system, anisotropic, lifespan, viscous coefficients, partial dissipation

*AMS Subject Classification (2000):* 35Q30, 76D03

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the lifespan of solutions to the following 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes system (AN-S):

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - (\nu_1\partial_1^2 + \nu_2\partial_2^2 + \nu_3\partial_3^2)u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla P, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $u$  stands for velocity field and  $P$  the scalar pressure of the fluid, which guarantees the divergence-free condition of  $u$ , and  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are the viscous coefficients.

When  $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu$ , (1.1) reduces to the classical Navier-Stokes system (N-S):

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_t u - \nu\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla P, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

This isotropic property comes from the assumption made by Stokes that the Cauchy stress tensor can be decomposed as  $\sigma = -P\operatorname{Id} + 2\nu\mathcal{M}$ , where  $P$  is the static pressure, and  $\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u^j + \partial_j u^i)_{3 \times 3}$  is the Cauchy strain tensor. In this way, we get (1.2).

However, in the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics (see for instance [3, 17]), the Cauchy stress tensor in general has the following form:  $\sigma = -P\operatorname{Id} + \tau$ , where the Turbulent stress tensor  $\tau = (\nu_i\partial_i u^j + \nu_j\partial_j u^i)_{3 \times 3}$ . Then by using  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , it is not difficult to verify that

$$\operatorname{div} \tau = (\nu_1\partial_1^2 + \nu_2\partial_2^2 + \nu_3\partial_3^2)u,$$

which leads to the system (1.1). Here the fact that  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  may be different, reflects that the fluid may behave differently in each direction. That is why we call it anisotropic.

---

*Date:* November 25, 2021.

In the seminal paper [10], among many other fundamental results, Leray proved that the strong solutions to (1.2) with initial data  $u_0 \in L^p$  ( $3 < p \leq \infty$ ) must exist at least to

$$(1.3) \quad T^* \geq C\nu^{\frac{p+3}{p-3}} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{-\frac{2p}{p-3}}, \quad \forall p \in (3, \infty].$$

However, it is still one big open problem that for general initial data, whether or not the corresponding strong solutions always exist globally in time.

It is worth mentioning that, the powers of  $\nu$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L^p}$  in (1.3) are determined by the scaling property of N-S, which says that if  $u$  is a solution of (1.2) with initial data  $u_0$  on  $[0, T]$ , then  $u_\lambda$  is also a solution of (1.2) with initial data  $u_{0,\lambda}$  on  $[0, T/\lambda^2]$ , where

$$(1.4) \quad u_\lambda(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \text{and} \quad u_{0,\lambda}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda u_0(\lambda x), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

As a result, it seems hopeless so far to refine these powers in (1.3).

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the lower bound estimate (1.3) to AN-S, including all the cases that either  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are all positive, or some of them vanish. Notice that to estimate the lifespan, we need to use the dissipation effect caused by the viscosity to offset the potential accumulation caused by the convection. Thus a detailed study of this problem can also help us to have a better understanding of the nonlinear structure in N-S.

The first result of this paper states as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider (1.1) with  $0 < \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$  and  $u_0 \in L^p$ . Let  $T^*$  be the lifespan of the strong solution to (1.1). Then  $T^*$  satisfies the following lower bound estimate:*

$$(1.5) \quad T^* \geq C\nu_2^{\frac{p}{p-3}} (\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)^{\frac{1}{p-3}} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{-\frac{2p}{p-3}}, \quad \text{when } p \in (3, \infty),$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad T^* \geq C\nu_3 \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{-2}, \quad \text{when } p = \infty.$$

**Remark 1.1.** (1) *It is not difficult to verify that the lower bound estimates (1.5) and (1.6) given in Theorem 1.1 also keep invariant under the scaling transformation (1.4). As a result, the power of  $\|u_0\|_{L^p}$  and the total power of all the  $\nu_i$ 's are the same as the corresponding powers in Leray's result (1.3).*

(2) *The  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  are in different statuses in (1.5) and (1.6), and the viscous coefficient with highest power is the smallest one  $\nu_3$  in (1.6), but  $\nu_2$  in (1.5) for finite  $p$ .*

*Another difference is that, the power of the largest viscous coefficient  $\nu_1$  in (1.5) is positive, which makes*

$$\lim_{\nu_1 \rightarrow \infty} T^* = \infty, \quad \text{when } u_0 \in L^p \text{ for } p \in (3, \infty).$$

*In view of this and the fact that the strong solutions to N-S will eventually become small (see [8]), naturally the following corollary can be expected:*

**Corollary 1.1.** *Consider (1.1) with  $0 < \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$  and  $u_0 \in L^2 \cap L^p$  for  $p \in (3, \infty)$ <sup>1</sup>. Then (1.1) would admit a global strong solution, provided  $\nu_1$  is so large that*

$$(1.7) \quad \nu_1 \gg \nu_2^{-p-1} \nu_3^{-5(p-3)-1} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{4(p-3)} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{2p}.$$

<sup>1</sup>Only requiring  $u_0 \in L^p$  is not enough to prove global existence, since if  $u$  is a global solution, then for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $u_\lambda$  given by (1.4) is also a global solution with initial data  $u_{0,\lambda}$ . However, as  $\|u_{0,\lambda}\|_{L^p}$  is not scaling invariant, it would become large by choosing  $\lambda$  to be either large or small, in another word, we can prove global existence of strong solution for any initial data in  $L^p$ , which is obviously far beyond what we can do right now.

Roughly speaking, this corollary says that the strong solution to (1.1) will become global provided only one of the viscous coefficients is sufficiently large, which is consistent with the results obtained in [13, 16]. Here we give an alternative point of view.

Next, notice there is no  $\nu_1$  in (1.6), we shall give a refined estimate for the  $p = \infty$  case.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Consider (1.1) with  $0 < \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$  and  $u_0 \in L^\infty$  satisfying  $|u_0(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $T^*$  be the lifespan of the corresponding strong solution. Then we have*

$$\lim_{\nu_1 \rightarrow \infty} T^* = \infty.$$

**Remark 1.2.** *The requirement that  $u_0$  vanishes at infinity is quite natural for N-S, which can be deduced from (but does not imply)  $u_0 \in L^p$  for any finite  $p$ . Thus not the same as (1.5), here we can not get an explicit rate how  $T^*$  tends to infinity as  $\nu_1 \rightarrow \infty$ .*

In the following, let us turn to the more difficult situation that some of the  $\nu_i$ 's vanish. First, we consider the case when one of the viscous coefficients vanishes, i.e.  $0 = \nu_3 < \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$ :

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - (\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2)u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

This anisotropic Navier-Stokes system is used to simulate turbulent diffusion in the Ekman layer in geophysical fluid mechanics.

Since (1.8) only has horizontal dissipation, it is reasonable to use functional spaces which distinguish horizontal derivatives from the vertical one, for instance the anisotropic Besov space  $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ , see Definition 2.1 below. Paicu [14] proved the local well-posedness of (1.8) in  $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ , and also the global well-posedness with small initial data in  $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ . This result corresponds to the Fujita-Kato's theorem ([7]) for the classical N-S. Later, the smallness condition for global well-posedness was weakened by the authors in [15, 18] to be

$$\|u_0^h\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \exp(C \|u_0^3\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^4 / \nu_2^4) \ll \nu_2.$$

We refer the readers to [3, 5, 9, 15] for well-posedness of (1.8) in other functional spaces.

However, there seems little work concerning the lifespan of this anisotropic system (1.8) except [11]. And our result states as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Consider (1.8) with  $0 < \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$ ,  $u_0$  and  $\nabla u_0$  in  $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , the maximal existence time  $T^*$  of the corresponding strong solution satisfies*

$$(1.9) \quad |T^*|^\alpha \gtrsim \frac{\mathcal{C}(\alpha)}{\|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{1-2\alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{2\alpha}},$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{C}(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \nu_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \nu_2^{\frac{3}{4}-\alpha}, & \text{when } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]; \\ \nu_1^{\frac{3}{8}-\alpha} \nu_2^{\frac{5}{8}}, & \text{when } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}]; \\ \nu_1^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nu_2^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, & \text{when } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

**Remark 1.3.** In the sense of scaling, (1.9) corresponds to (1.5) with  $p = \frac{3}{1-2\alpha}$ , which varies from 3 to  $\infty$  when  $\alpha$  varies from 0 to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . One can see this more clearly by writing (1.5) as

$$|T^*|^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \gtrsim \frac{\nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3)^{\frac{1}{2p}}}{\|u_0\|_{L^p}} \gtrsim \frac{\nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3)^{\frac{1}{2p}}}{\|u_0\|_{L^3}^{\frac{3}{p}}\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{p-3}{p}}}, \quad \text{when } p \in (3, \infty).$$

Due to the invalidity of the product law in the end-point Besov spaces, here we did not consider the borderline case  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  for simplification. But we still can expect from the expression (1.10) that when  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , there would be no  $\nu_1$  in the estimate for  $T^*$ , just the same as (1.6).

**Corollary 1.2.** Consider (1.8) with  $0 < \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$  and  $u_0 \in B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ . The corresponding strong solution will exist globally in time provided

$$\nu_1 \gg \nu_2^{-3} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^4.$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds uniformly in time:

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Next, let us focus on the case when two of the viscous coefficients vanish:

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu_3 \partial_3^2 u + \nabla P = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Our result concerning the lifespan of this anisotropic system (1.11) states as follows:

**Theorem 1.4.** Consider (1.11) with  $\nu_3 > 0$  and  $u_0 \in H^{s_1, 0}$  for any  $s_1 > 2$ , where the mixed Sobolev norm  $H^{s_1, 0} = L_v^2(H_h^{s_1})$  is defined as follows:

$$\|a\|_{H^{s_1, 0}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi_h|)^{2s_1} |\widehat{a}(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \quad \text{where } \xi = (\xi_h, \xi_3) \text{ and } \xi_h = (\xi_1, \xi_2).$$

Then (1.11) has a unique solution  $u \in L_{T^*}^\infty(H^{s_1, 0})$  with  $\partial_3 u \in L_{T^*}^2(H^{s_1, 0})$ , where

$$(1.12) \quad T^* \geq C \min \left\{ \frac{\nu_3^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1, 0}}^{\frac{4}{3}}}, \frac{\nu_3^3}{\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1, 0}}^4} \right\}.$$

**Remark 1.4.** Although both (1.8) and (1.11) are the systems with partial dissipation, but actually (1.8) behaves close to the classical N-S with full dissipation due to the divergence-free condition, while (1.11) is more like the Euler equations in some aspects.

For example, it is still a big open problem that whether or not a solution to 3-D Euler equations can form singularities at finite time. Similarly, although (1.12) implies

$$\lim_{\nu_3 \rightarrow \infty} T^* \geq \lim_{\nu_3 \rightarrow \infty} T^* = \infty,$$

but it seems difficult to prove this solution can be global for sufficiently large  $\nu_3$ , just as what we have proved in Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2 for (1.1) and (1.8) respectively.

On the other hand, if we simply neglect the diffusion term and view (1.11) as Euler equations and assume initially  $u_0 \in H^{s_2}$  for any  $s_2 > \frac{5}{2}$ , then it is well-known that the corresponding solution exists at least to  $C\|u_0\|_{H^{s_2}}^{-1}$ . Interpolating between this and (1.12) gives

$$T^* \geq C \frac{\nu_3^\alpha}{\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1, 0}}^{4\alpha} \|u_0\|_{H^{s_2}}^{1-3\alpha}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right].$$

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we shall introduce the functional spaces used in this paper, and give some technical lemmas. In Section 3, we shall present the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. While Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In the last section, we shall give a brief proof of Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2.

Let us end this section with some notations we shall use throughout this paper.

**Notations:** We shall always denote  $C$  to be an absolute constant which may vary from line to line.  $a \lesssim b$  means that  $a \leq Cb$ . For any Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we designate the  $\mathcal{H}$  inner product of  $f$  and  $g$  by  $(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}}$ , and we shall denote  $(f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  simply as  $(f, g)$ .

For a Banach space  $B$ , we shall use the shorthand  $L_T^p(B)$  for  $\|\cdot\|_B \|_{L^p(0, T; dt)}$ .  $\dot{H}^s$  (resp.  $H^s$ ) is the standard  $L^2$  based homogeneous (resp. inhomogeneous) Sobolev spaces. Without specially mentioning, all the norms for space variables are taken on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and the subscript h (resp. v) is used to denote that the norm is taken on  $\mathbb{R}_{x_1} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_2}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{R}_{x_3}$ ).

## 2. FUNCTIONAL SPACES AND SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS

For the convenience of the readers, we shall first collect some basic facts on anisotropic Littlewood-Paley theory. Let us recall the following dyadic operators from [1]:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_j a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\hat{a}), & \Delta_\ell^v a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-\ell}|\xi_3|)\hat{a}), \\ S_j a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\hat{a}), & S_\ell^v a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-\ell}|\xi_3|)\hat{a}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}a$  and  $\hat{a}$  denote the Fourier transform of  $a$ , while  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$  is the inverse Fourier transform,  $\chi(\tau)$  and  $\varphi(\tau)$  are smooth functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp } \varphi &\subset \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\tau| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \tau > 0, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1, \\ \text{Supp } \chi &\subset \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} : |\tau| \leq \frac{4}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \chi(\tau) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By using these dyadic operators, let us define the following Besov-type spaces:

**Definition 2.1.** *Let us consider  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow -\infty} \|S_\ell^v u\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ . We set*

$$\|u\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} \|\Delta_j^v a\|_{L^2}.$$

And the corresponding Chemin-Lerner type space (see [4]) is given by

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^p(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} \|\Delta_j^v a\|_{L^p([0, t]; L^2)}, \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty].$$

**Remark 2.1.** *Clearly, by this definition, Minkowski's inequality implies*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^p(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^p(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}, \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty].$$

The following anisotropic Bernstein inequality will be frequently used in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1** ([5, 14]). *Let  $\mathcal{B}_v$  be a ball of  $\mathbb{R}_{x_3}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_v$  be a ring of  $\mathbb{R}_{x_3}$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q_2 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ . Then there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp } \hat{a} \subset 2^\ell \mathcal{B}_v &\Rightarrow \|\partial_{x_3}^\alpha a\|_{L_h^p(L_v^{q_1})} \lesssim 2^{\ell(|\alpha| + (1/q_2 - 1/q_1))} \|a\|_{L_h^p(L_v^{q_2});} \\ \text{Supp } \hat{a} \subset 2^\ell \mathcal{C}_v &\Rightarrow \|a\|_{L_h^p(L_v^{q_1})} \lesssim 2^{-\ell N} \|\partial_{x_3}^N a\|_{L_h^p(L_v^{q_1})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2^{-\ell N} \sup_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial_{x_3}^\alpha a\|_{L_h^p(L_v^{q_1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also recall the following two lemmas, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 2.2** (Product law, see [1]). *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in (-d/2, d/2)$  with  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ . Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

While for the end-point case when  $s_1 = \frac{d}{2}$ , there holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$  that

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

**Lemma 2.3** (Commutator estimate, see [6]). *Given  $s > d/2$ , there is a constant  $c = c(d, s)$  such that, for all  $u, B$  with  $\nabla u, B \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,*

$$\|\Lambda^s[(u \cdot \nabla)B] - (u \cdot \nabla)(\Lambda^s B)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|B\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where  $\Lambda^s$  denotes fractional derivative operator defined as  $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda^s f)(\xi) = |\xi|^s \widehat{f}(\xi)$ .

The following anisotropic Sobolev-type inequality will play an essential role in this paper.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f$  be a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

and generally for any  $2 \leq p < \infty$  that

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Similarly, for the function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , there holds

$$(2.4) \quad \|g\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

*Proof.* Let us first prove (2.2). For any integers  $N_1, N_2$ , by using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq \sum_{k,\ell} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 f\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{k \leq N_1, \ell \leq N_2} + \sum_{k \leq N_1, \ell > N_2} + \sum_{k > N_1, \ell \leq N_2} + \sum_{k > N_1, \ell > N_2} \right) 2^{\frac{k+\ell}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_k^i f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-k}|\xi_i|)\widehat{f})$ . For these terms on the right-hand side, we first get

$$\sum_{k \leq N_1, \ell \leq N_2} 2^{\frac{k+\ell}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \sum_{k \leq N_1, \ell \leq N_2} 2^{\frac{k+\ell}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{N_1+N_2}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

While it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\sum_{k \leq N_1, \ell > N_2} 2^{\frac{k+\ell}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \sum_{k \leq N_1, \ell > N_2} 2^{\frac{k-3\ell}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 \partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{N_1-3N_2}{4}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

and

$$\sum_{k > N_1, \ell \leq N_2} 2^{\frac{k+\ell}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \sum_{k > N_1, \ell \leq N_2} 2^{\frac{\ell-3k}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 \partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{N_2-3N_1}{4}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Similarly, we can use Lemma 2.1 again to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k>N_1, \ell>N_2} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim \sum_{k>N_1, \ell>N_2} 2^{-\frac{k+\ell}{4}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 \partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k^1 \Delta_\ell^2 \partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{N_1+N_2}{4}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by substituting the above four estimates into (2.5), and taking  $N_1, N_2$  so that

$$2^{N_1} \sim \frac{\|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}, \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{N_2} \sim \frac{\|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}},$$

leads to the first desired estimate (2.2).

The other two estimates (2.3) and (2.4) can be proved exactly along the same line.  $\square$

In the sequel, we shall frequently use Bony's decomposition from [2] in the vertical direction:

$$(2.6) \quad fg = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_k^v f \cdot S_{k-1}^v g + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{k+2}^v f \cdot \Delta_k^v g.$$

With the help of this decomposition, we shall prove the following Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, which are the core of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $a, b, c$  be regular enough. Then for any  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , there exists some  $(d_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on the unit sphere of  $\ell^1$  such that for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there holds*

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^v(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^v c) dt' \right| \lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)} \|c\|_{X(t)},$$

where  $\|f\|_{X(t)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . If in addition  $\text{div} a = 0$ , then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^v(a^3 \cdot \partial_3 b), \Delta_\ell^v b) dt' \right| \lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\text{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\text{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)}^2.$$

*Proof.* We first get, by using Bony's decomposition (2.6) that

$$\left| (\Delta_\ell^v(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^v c) \right| = \left| \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} (\Delta_\ell^v(\Delta_k^v a \otimes S_{k-1}^v b), \Delta_\ell^v c) + \sum_{k \geq l-4} (\Delta_\ell^v(S_{k+2}^v a \otimes \Delta_k^v b), \Delta_\ell^v c) \right|.$$

In view of the estimate (2.2), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} (\Delta_\ell^v(\Delta_k^v a \otimes S_{k-1}^v b), \Delta_\ell^v c) \right| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|\Delta_k^v a\|_{L^2} \|S_{k-1}^v b\|_{L^\infty L_h^4} \|\Delta_\ell^v c\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|\Delta_k^v a\|_{L^2} \|b\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 b\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 b\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^v c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_1 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_2 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k \geq l-4} (\Delta_\ell^v(S_{k+2}^v a \otimes \Delta_k^v b), \Delta_\ell^v c) \right| &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq l-4} \|S_{k+2}^v a\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2} \|\Delta_k^v b\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \|\Delta_\ell^v c\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq l-4} \|a\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2} \|\Delta_k^v b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k^v \partial_1 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_k^v \partial_2 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^v c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_1 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_2 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we can achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \left| \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^\vee(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) dt' \right| \lesssim t^\alpha \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|\Delta_k^\vee a\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{2\alpha} \|\Delta_k^\vee a\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{L_t^\infty(L_h^\infty L_h^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \|\partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L_h^\infty L_h^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L_h^\infty L_h^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& + t^\alpha \sum_{k \geq l-4} \|a\|_{L_t^\infty(L_h^\infty L_h^2)}^{2\alpha} \|a\|_{L_t^2(L_h^\infty L_h^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \|\Delta_k^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice for any function  $f$  and any  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , there holds

$$(2.10) \quad \|f\|_{L_t^p(L_h^\infty L_h^2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_t^p(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^p(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta_\ell^\vee f\|_{L_t^p(L^2)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell} d_\ell \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^p(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}.$$

By using (2.10), then we can deduce from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^\vee(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) dt' \lesssim t^\alpha \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)} \|c\|_{X(t)} \\
& \quad \times 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell} d_\ell \left( \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} d_k + \sum_{k \geq l-4} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} d_k \right) \\
& \lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)} \|c\|_{X(t)},
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the first desired estimate (2.7).

Next, let us turn to the proof of (2.8). Due to the support property of the symbols of the dyadic operators, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\ell^\vee(a^3 \cdot \partial_3 b) &= \sum_{|k-\ell| \leq 5} \Delta_\ell^\vee(S_{k-1}^\vee a^3 \Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 b) + \sum_{k \geq \ell-4} \Delta_\ell^\vee(\Delta_k^\vee a^3 S_{k+2}^\vee \partial_3 b) \\
&= \sum_{|k-\ell| \leq 5} \left( [\Delta_\ell^\vee, S_{k-1}^\vee a^3] \Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 b + S_{k-1}^\vee a^3 \Delta_\ell^\vee \Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 b \right) + \sum_{k \geq \ell-4} \Delta_\ell^\vee(\Delta_k^\vee a^3 S_{k+2}^\vee \partial_3 b) \\
&= \sum_{|k-\ell| \leq 5} [\Delta_\ell^\vee, S_{k-1}^\vee a^3] \Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 b + \sum_{|k-\ell| \leq 5} \left( (S_{k-1}^\vee a^3 - S_{\ell-1}^\vee a^3) \Delta_\ell^\vee \Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 b \right) \\
& \quad + S_{\ell-1}^\vee a^3 \Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_3 b + \sum_{k \geq \ell-4} \Delta_\ell^\vee(\Delta_k^\vee a^3 S_{k+2}^\vee \partial_3 b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall handle the above term by term.

For the commutator term, we first use Taylor's formula to get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(x) &= \sum_{|k-\ell| \leq 5} 2^\ell \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(2^\ell(x_3 - y_3)) \cdot (y_3 - x_3) \int_0^1 S_{k-1}^\vee \partial_3 a^3(x_h, x_3 + \tau(y_3 - x_3)) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \Delta_\ell^\vee \Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 b(x_h, y_3) dy_3 \right)(x),
\end{aligned}$$



where  $h(x_3) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(|\xi_3|))(x_3)$  is Schwartz. Then in view of the estimates (2.2) and (2.10), as well as the divergence-free condition  $\operatorname{div} a = 0$ , we deduce

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (I_1, \Delta_\ell^\vee b) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^\alpha \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|S_{k-1}^\vee \partial_3 a^3\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{2\alpha} \|S_{k-1}^\vee \partial_3 a^3\|_{L_t^2(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \|\Delta_\ell^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, in view of the support property, we have for any  $k$  with  $|k-l| \leq 5$  that

$$\|S_{k-1}^\vee a^3 - S_{\ell-1}^\vee a^3\|_{L_\vee^\infty L_h^2} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 5} \|\Delta_{\ell+\alpha}^\vee \partial_3 a^3\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\ell} d_\ell \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}},$$

which together with Lemma 2.1 and the estimates (2.2) and (2.10) gives

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (I_2, \Delta_\ell^\vee b) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^\alpha \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|S_{k-1}^\vee a^3 - S_{\ell-1}^\vee a^3\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{2\alpha} \|S_{k-1}^\vee a^3 - S_{\ell-1}^\vee a^3\|_{L_t^2(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times 2^\ell \|\Delta_\ell^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term, we can use integration by parts to obtain

$$(I_3, \Delta_\ell^\vee b) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} S_{\ell-1}^\vee \partial_3 a^3 \cdot |\Delta_\ell^\vee b|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} S_{\ell-1}^\vee \operatorname{div}_h a^h \cdot |\Delta_\ell^\vee b|^2 dx,$$

which leads to

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (I_3, \Delta_\ell^\vee b) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^\alpha \|S_{\ell-1}^\vee \operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{2\alpha} \|S_{\ell-1}^\vee \operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{L_t^2(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \|\Delta_\ell^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by using Lemma 2.1, the estimates (2.2) and (2.10) again, we achieve

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (I_4, \Delta_\ell^\vee b) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^\alpha \sum_{k \geq l-4} 2^{-k} \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 a^3\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{2\alpha} \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_3 a^3\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times 2^k \|S_{k+2}^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|S_{k+2}^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|S_{k+2}^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L_\vee^\infty L_h^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad \times \|\Delta_\ell^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\operatorname{div}_h a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|b\|_{X(t)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now combining the estimates (2.11)-(2.14) leads to the second desired estimate (2.8).  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *With a small modification to the above proof, namely by changing the index for time integral, we can obtain a series of similar estimates, such as*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^\vee(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^{\frac{\beta+\gamma}{2}} 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\beta \|\partial_1 b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}-\beta} \\ &\times \|\partial_2 b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\gamma \|\partial_2 b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}-\gamma} \|c\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 c\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 c\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \forall \beta, \gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $a, b, c$  be regular enough. Then for any  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , there exists some  $(d_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on the unit sphere of  $\ell^1$  such that for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there holds*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^\vee(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|\partial_1 b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \\ &\times \|\partial_2 b\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \|c\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\partial_1 c\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\partial_2 c\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We first get, by using Bony's decomposition (2.6) that

$$\left| (\Delta_\ell^\vee(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) \right| = \left| \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} (\Delta_\ell^\vee(\Delta_k^\vee a \otimes S_{k-1}^\vee b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) + \sum_{k \geq l-4} (\Delta_\ell^\vee(S_{k+2}^\vee a \otimes \Delta_k^\vee b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) \right|.$$

For  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let us take  $p = \frac{2}{1-2\alpha} \in [4, \infty)$ . Then by using (2.3) with this  $p$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} (\Delta_\ell^\vee(\Delta_k^\vee a \otimes S_{k-1}^\vee b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) \right| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|\Delta_k^\vee a\|_{L^2} \|S_{k-1}^\vee b\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^p} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|\Delta_k^\vee a\|_{L^2} \|b\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^2}^{1-2\alpha} \|\partial_1 b\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^2}^\alpha \|\partial_2 b\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^2}^\alpha \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L^2}^{2\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k \geq l-4} (\Delta_\ell^\vee(S_{k+2}^\vee a \otimes \Delta_k^\vee b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) \right| &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq l-4} \|S_{k+2}^\vee a\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^2} \|\Delta_k^\vee b\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^p} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq l-4} \|a\|_{L_\infty^\vee L_h^2} \|\Delta_k^\vee b\|_{L^2}^{1-2\alpha} \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L^2}^{2\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we can achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t (\Delta_\ell^\vee(a \otimes b), \Delta_\ell^\vee c) dt' \right| &\lesssim t^\alpha \sum_{|k-l| \leq 5} \|\Delta_k^\vee a\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|b\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\infty^\vee L_h^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \|\partial_1 b\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\infty^\vee L_h^2)}^\alpha \\ &\times \|\partial_2 b\|_{L_t^\infty(L_\infty^\vee L_h^2)}^\alpha \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{2\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \\ &+ t^\alpha \sum_{k \geq l-4} \|a\|_{L_t^2(L_\infty^\vee L_h^2)} \|\Delta_k^\vee b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{1-2\alpha} \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_1 b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^\alpha \\ &\times \|\Delta_k^\vee \partial_2 b\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^\alpha \|\Delta_\ell^\vee c\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^{2\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_1 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\Delta_\ell^\vee \partial_2 c\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the inequality (2.10) leads to the desired estimate (2.15).  $\square$

### 3. THE LIFESPAN OF AN-S WITH FULL DISSIPATION

In this section, we consider the case with full dissipation, i.e. with  $0 < \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$ . The purpose of the first subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1, while the second subsection is devoted to the refined lower bound estimate of lifespan with  $L^\infty$  initial data.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** According to the value of  $p$ , we divide the proof into 2 cases.

**Case 1. when  $3 < p < \infty$ .** In view of (1.1), we write the equation for  $u^i$  as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \partial_t u^i - (\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2) u^i + u \cdot \nabla u^i + \partial_i P = 0.$$

Then by taking  $L^2$  inner product of (3.1) with  $|u|^{p-2} u^i$ , and summing up in  $i$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^p}^p + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \frac{4(p-2)}{p^2} \|\partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^3 |(\partial_k P, |u|^{p-2} u^k)|,$$

where we have used integration by parts, which makes sense since  $p > 3$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k^2 u^i \cdot |u|^{p-2} u^i dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{i=1}^3 |\partial_k u^i|^2 \cdot |u|^{p-2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} \partial_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 |u^i|^2 \right) \cdot \partial_k |u|^{p-2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u|^2 \cdot |u|^{p-2} + (p-2) \partial_k |u| \cdot \partial_k |u| \cdot |u|^{p-2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and the divergence-free condition  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla u^i) \cdot |u|^{p-2} u^i dx = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla |u^i|^2) \cdot |u|^{p-2} dx = 0.$$

On the other hand, we split the pressure  $P$  into  $P_1 + P_2$  with

$$P_1 = (-\Delta)^{-1} \sum_{i \neq 3 \text{ or } j \neq 3} \partial_i \partial_j (u^j u^i), \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_3^2 (u^3 u^3).$$

Then by using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^2 |(\partial_k P, |u|^{p-2} u^k)| + |(\partial_3 P_1, |u|^{p-2} u^3)| &\lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla^2 (u \otimes u)\|_{L^p} \|\nabla_h (|u|^{p-2} u)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \\ &\lesssim \|u \otimes u\|_{L^p} \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

While Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\|u \otimes u\|_{L^p} \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} = \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^4}^{\frac{4}{p}} \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \lesssim \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{k=1}^3 \| \partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

As a result, we deduce

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^2 |(\partial_k P, |u|^{p-2} u^k)| + |(\partial_3 P_1, |u|^{p-2} u^3)| \\ \lesssim \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{k=1}^3 \| \partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

While for  $(\partial_3 P_2, |u|^{p-2} u^3)$ , we can use the divergence-free condition to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3 (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_3^2 (u^3 \cdot u^3) |u|^{p-2} u^3 dx &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_3 u^3 \cdot u^3 |u|^{p-2} u^3 dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}_h u^h \cdot u^3 |u|^{p-2} u^3 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce, by using integration by parts and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\partial_3 P_2, |u|^{p-2} u^3)| &\lesssim \|\partial_3^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} (u^3)\|_{L^{2p}} \|\nabla_h (u^3 |u|^{p-2} u^3)\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{2p-1}}} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L^{2p}} \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \| |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{k=1}^3 \|\partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}$$

Now by substituting the estimates (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), and then integrating the resulting inequality in time, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}^p + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \frac{4(p-2)}{p^2} \|\partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right) \\
\leq \|u_0\|_{L^p}^p + C t^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^3 \|\partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}$$

In the following, we shall use a standard continuity argument to get a lower bound estimate for the lifespan  $T^*$  for the solution  $u$ . To do this, let us denote

$T^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ T > 0 : u \text{ exists on } [0, T), \text{ and for any } t < T, \text{ there holds} \right.$

$$\left. \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}^p + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \frac{4(p-2)}{p^2} \|\partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right) \leq 2 \|u_0\|_{L^p}^p \right\},$$

which is positive due to the local well-posedness result. Then for  $0 < t < T^*$ , (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}^p + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \frac{4(p-2)}{p^2} \|\partial_k |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u|^2 |u|^{p-2} dx \right) \\
\leq \|u_0\|_{L^p}^p + C |T^*|^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \nu_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then in view of the definition of  $T^*$ , there must hold

$$C |T^*|^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \nu_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{p+1} > \|u_0\|_{L^p}^p,$$

which guarantees the existence time of the solution  $u$  is at least

$$T^* \geq T^* > C \nu_2^{\frac{p}{p-3}} (\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)^{\frac{1}{p-3}} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{-\frac{2p}{p-3}}.$$

This is exactly the first desired lower bound estimate (1.5) when  $3 < p < \infty$ .

**Case 2. when  $p = \infty$ .** Instead of energy estimate and continuity argument, the strategy for  $L^\infty$  case is to use the following Banach fixed point theorem:

**Lemma 3.1** (Lemma 5.5 in [1]). *Let  $E$  be a Banach space,  $\mathcal{B}$  a continuous bilinear map from  $E \times E$  to  $E$ , and  $\alpha$  a positive number such that*

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{4 \|\mathcal{B}\|} \quad \text{with} \quad \|\mathcal{B}\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\|u\|, \|v\| \leq 1} \|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|.$$

*Then for any  $a$  in the ball  $B(0, \alpha)$  in  $E$ , a unique  $x$  exists in  $B(0, 2\alpha)$  in  $E$  such that*

$$x = a + \mathcal{B}(x, x).$$

Let us continue our proof. First, it is standard to write (1.1) in the integral form:

$$(3.6) \quad u(t) = e^{t(\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2)} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2)} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (u \otimes u)(s) ds,$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the Leray projection operator on divergence free vector fields.

For the linear part, there holds

$$\|e^{t(\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2)} u_0\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

While for the bilinear part, in view of the assumption  $0 < \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2)} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (u \otimes v) \right\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} &\lesssim \nu_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds \cdot \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \\ &\lesssim \nu_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{t} \cdot \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then in view of Lemma 3.1, as long as

$$\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} < C\nu_3^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad t < C\nu_3 \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{-2},$$

we can seek a unique strong solution to (3.6). This means that the lifespan of  $u$  at least satisfies

$$T^* \geq C\nu_3 \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{-2}.$$

Moreover, this solution remains in the ball with radius  $2\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$  before  $T^*$ , namely

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \forall t \leq T^*.$$

Combining the above two cases, now we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Since  $u_0 \in L^\infty$  vanishes at infinity, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can split  $u_0$  into  $u_{1,0} + u_{2,0}$  with  $u_{1,0} \in L^4 \cap L^\infty$  and  $\|u_{2,0}\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon$ . We define  $u_2$  to be the solution of the following Navier-Stokes system:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_2 + u_2 \cdot \nabla u_2 - (\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2) u_2 + \nabla \bar{P} = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u_2 = 0, \\ u_2|_{t=0} = u_{2,0}, \end{cases}$$

for some properly chosen pressure function  $\bar{P}$ . And then it follows from (1.1) and (3.7) that  $u_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u - u_2$  and  $\Pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P - \bar{P}$  solve the following perturbed system:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 - (\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2 + \nu_3 \partial_3^2) u_1 + u \cdot \nabla u_1 + u_1 \cdot \nabla u_2 + \nabla \Pi = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u_1 = 0, \\ u_1|_{t=0} = u_{1,0}. \end{cases}$$

We first get, by using Theorem 1.1 and the restriction  $\|u_{2,0}\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon$  that the solution  $u_2$  to (3.7) at least exists on  $[0, T_2]$ , where  $T_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C\nu_3 \epsilon^{-2}$ . Moreover, there holds

$$(3.9) \quad \|u_2(t)\|_{L^\infty} < 2\epsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_2].$$

Next, by taking  $L^2$  inner product of the first equation in (3.8) with  $|u_1|^2 u_1$ , we get

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_1\|_{L^4}^4 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_k |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq |(u_1 \cdot \nabla u_2 + \nabla \Pi, |u_1|^2 u_1)|.$$

For the terms on the right-hand side, we first get, by using integration by parts that

$$\left| (u \cdot \nabla u_2^i, |u_1|^2 u_1^i) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_2^i u_1^j \partial_j u_1^i |u_1|^2 + u_2^i u_1^j u_1^i \partial_j |u_1|^2 dx \right|.$$

Then by using Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we get

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |(u_1 \cdot \nabla u_2, |u_1|^2 u_1)| &\leq \|u_2\|_{L^\infty} \|u_1\|_{L^4}^2 \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla |u_1|^2\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_3}{8} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx + \|\nabla |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\nu_3} \|u_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_1\|_{L^4}^4. \end{aligned}$$

As for the pressure term  $\Pi$ , we write it as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \Pi &= \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_i \partial_j (u_1^i u_1^j + 2u_2^i u_1^j) \\ &= (-\Delta)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \nabla \partial_j (u_1 \cdot \nabla u_1^j + 2u_2 \cdot \nabla u_1^j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} II_1 + II_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \partial_j$  is a Fourier multiplier of degree 0, then we can get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} |(II_1, |u_1|^2 u_1)| &\leq C \|u_1\|_{L^4} \| |u_1|^2 \|_{L^4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|u_1\|_{L^4}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1 |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_3}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\nu_3} \|u_1\|_{L^4}^3 \|\partial_1 |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 |u_1|^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second step, we have used (2.4) again. Similarly, there holds

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |(II_2, |u_1|^2 u_1)| &\leq C \|u_2\|_{L^\infty} \|u_1\|_{L^4}^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_3}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1|^2 |u_1|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\nu_3} \|u_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_1\|_{L^4}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now by submitting (3.11)-(3.13) into (3.10) and then integrating in time, we achieve

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|u_1\|_{L_t^\infty(L^4)}^4 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \|\partial_k u_1 \cdot |u_1|\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\partial_k |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{C}{\nu_3} t \|u_2\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}^2 \|u_1\|_{L_t^\infty(L^4)}^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\nu_3} t^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u_1\|_{L_t^\infty(L^4)}^3 \|\partial_1 |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$T_1^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ 0 < T \leq T_2 : u \text{ exists on } [0, T), \text{ and for any } t < T, \text{ there holds} \right.$$

$$\left. \|u_1\|_{L_t^\infty(L^4)}^4 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \|\partial_k u_1 \cdot |u_1|\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\partial_k |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \right) < 2 \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^4 \right\}.$$

Then for any  $t < T_1^*$ , we can obtain from (3.9) and (3.14) that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|u_1\|_{L_t^\infty(L^4)}^4 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \|\partial_k u_1 \cdot |u_1|\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\partial_k |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{C}{\nu_3} \left( t \epsilon^2 \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^4 + t^{\frac{1}{4}} \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} \nu_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} \nu_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^6 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if

$$T_1^* < \min \left\{ \frac{\nu_3}{4C} \epsilon^{-2}, \left( \frac{\nu_3}{4C} \right)^4 \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^{-8} \right\},$$

then we can deduce from (3.15) that for any  $t < T_1^*$ , there holds

$$\|u_1\|_{L_t^\infty(L^4)}^4 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \nu_k \left( \|\partial_k u_1\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\partial_k |u_1|^2\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \right) \leq \frac{3}{2} \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^4,$$

which contradict to the definition of  $T_1^*$ . As a result, actually there must hold

$$(3.16) \quad T_1^* \geq \min \left\{ T_2, \frac{\nu_3}{4C} \epsilon^{-2}, \left( \frac{\nu_3}{4C} \right)^4 \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \|u_{1,0}\|_{L^4}^{-8} \right\}.$$

Hence for fixed  $u_0$  and sufficiently large  $\nu_1$ , we can take  $\epsilon$  to be  $\nu_1^{-1}$  which is sufficiently small. And then it is not difficult to derive from the chosen of  $T_2 = C\nu_3\epsilon^{-2}$  and (3.16) that

$$\lim_{\nu_1 \rightarrow \infty} T_2 = \lim_{\nu_1 \rightarrow \infty} T_1^* = \infty,$$

which in particular implies the lifespan of  $u = u_1 + u_2$  also tends to infinity as  $\nu_1 \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

#### 4. THE LIFESPAN OF AN-S WITH PARTIAL DISSIPATION

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Step 1.  $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$  estimate of  $u$ .** By applying  $\Delta_\ell^v$  to (1.8), and then taking  $L^2$  inner product of the resulting equation with  $\Delta_\ell^v u$  gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_\ell^v u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_1 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_2 u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq |(\Delta_\ell^v (u^h \cdot \nabla_h u), \Delta_\ell^v u) + (\Delta_\ell^v (u^3 \cdot \partial_3 u), \Delta_\ell^v u)|.$$

Integrating in time and then using Lemma 2.5, we get for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there holds

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Delta_\ell^v u^h\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + 2\nu_1 \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_1 u^h\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + 2\nu_2 \|\Delta_\ell^v \partial_2 u^h\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\ & \leq \|\Delta_\ell^v u_0^h\|_{L^2}^2 + Ct^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|u\|_{X(t)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|f\|_{X(t)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . Taking square root of (4.1), multiplying  $2^\ell$  to both sides of the resulting inequality, and then summing up in  $\ell$  gives

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} + Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u\|_{X(t)} \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

**Step 2.  $B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$  estimate of  $\nabla u$ .** We first write the equation satisfied by  $\Delta_\ell^v \nabla u$  as

$$(4.3) \quad \partial_t \Delta_\ell^v \nabla u - (\nu_1 \partial_1^2 + \nu_2 \partial_2^2) \Delta_\ell^v \nabla u + \Delta_\ell^v \nabla (u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla \Delta_\ell^v \nabla P = 0.$$

By taking  $L^2$  inner product of (4.3) with  $\Delta_\ell^v \nabla u$ , we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_\ell^v \nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i \|\partial_i \Delta_\ell^v \nabla u\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_\ell^v \nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \left( \Delta_\ell^v \nabla (u^h \cdot \nabla_h u), \Delta_\ell^v \nabla u \right) dt', \quad \mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \left( \Delta_\ell^v \nabla (u^3 \cdot \partial_3 u), \Delta_\ell^v \nabla u \right) dt'.$$

And in view of Leibniz formula, we can write

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^t \left( \Delta_\ell^\vee(\nabla u^h \cdot \nabla_h u), \Delta_\ell^\vee \nabla u \right) dt' + \int_0^t \left( \Delta_\ell^\vee(u^h \cdot \nabla_h \nabla u), \Delta_\ell^\vee \nabla u \right) dt' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \int_0^t \left( \Delta_\ell^\vee(\nabla u^3 \cdot \partial_3 u), \Delta_\ell^\vee \nabla u \right) dt' + \int_0^t \left( \Delta_\ell^\vee(u^3 \cdot \partial_3 \nabla u), \Delta_\ell^\vee \nabla u \right) dt' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2.$$

Now let us handle these term by term. By using (2.7) with  $a = \nabla_h u$ ,  $b = \nabla u^h$  and  $c = \nabla u$ , we can obtain for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_1| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|\partial_1 \nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

While in view of Remark 2.2, we get for  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$  that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_2| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\partial_2 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}]$  that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_2| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha-\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \|\partial_2 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

As for the case when  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ , we can use (2.15) to deduce

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_2| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|\partial_1 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \|\partial_2 u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, thanks to (2.7), there holds for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_1| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\nabla u^3\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\nabla u^3\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|\partial_3 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad \times \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the divergence-free condition on  $u$  tells us that

$$\nabla u^3 = (\nabla_h u^3, \partial_3 u^3) = (\nabla_h u^3, -\text{div}_h u^h).$$

As a result, we can obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_1| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \|\partial_3 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad \times \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$



While by using the commutator estimate (2.8), there holds for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  that

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_2| &\lesssim t^\alpha 2^{-\ell} d_\ell^2 \|\operatorname{div}_h u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{2\alpha} \|\operatorname{div}_h u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by substituting (4.5)-(4.10) into (4.4), we achieve for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \nu_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} &\leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} + Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( F(\alpha) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \|\nabla_h u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the function  $F(\alpha)$  is taken as follows:

$$F(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}-\alpha} \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \quad \times \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}}, & \text{when } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]; \\ \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}-\alpha} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \quad \times \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{8}}, & \text{when } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}]; \\ \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \quad \times \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^\alpha \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, & \text{when } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

**Step 3. Continuity argument.** Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} T^* &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ T > 0 : u \text{ exists on } [0, T), \text{ and for any } t < T, \text{ there holds} \right. \\ &\quad \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \text{and} \\ &\quad \left. \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2\|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all  $t < T^*$ , we can deduce from (4.2) that

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ \leq \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \left( 1 + Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to verify that before time  $T^*$ , there holds

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{F(\alpha)}{\|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}} \lesssim G(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^\alpha, & \text{when } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]; \\ \nu_1^{-\frac{3}{16} + \frac{\alpha}{2}} \nu_2^{-\frac{5}{16}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^\alpha, & \text{when } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}]; \\ \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{4}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{4}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^\alpha, & \text{when } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}), \end{cases}$$

which together with the assumption  $\nu_2 \leq \nu_1$  implies that for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there holds

$$(4.14) \quad F(\alpha) + \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{1 + \alpha} \lesssim G(\alpha) \cdot \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

And then we can deduce from (4.11) that

$$(4.15) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} G(\alpha)\right).$$

Now we have obtained estimates (4.12) and (4.15), which hold for all  $t < T^*$ . In order not to contradict to the definition of  $T^*$ , there must hold

$$C|T^*|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^\alpha + G(\alpha) \right) \geq 1,$$

which together with (4.14) implies the lifespan  $T^*$  of  $u$  at least satisfies

$$T^* \geq T^* \geq CG(\alpha)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}},$$

where  $G(\alpha)$  is given by (4.13). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We first get, by taking  $L_h^2$  inner produce of (1.11) with  $u$  that <sup>2</sup>

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L_h^2}^2 - \nu_3 (\partial_3^2 u, u)_{L_h^2} &= -(u \cdot \nabla u, u)_{L_h^2} - (\nabla P, u)_{L_h^2} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L_h^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L_h^2} \|u\|_{L_h^2} - (\nabla P, u)_{L_h^2} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^2 (\|\partial_3 u\|_{L_h^2} + \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}) - (\nabla P, u)_{L_h^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we have used the fact that  $H_h^{s_1} \hookrightarrow L_h^\infty$  since  $s_1 > 2$ .

Next, by taking  $\dot{H}_h^{s_1}$  inner product of (1.11) with  $u$ , we get

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}}^2 - \nu_3 (\partial_3^2 \Lambda_h^{s_1} u, \Lambda_h^{s_1} u)_{L_h^2} = -\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{E} - (\nabla P, u)_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}},$$

where the operator  $\Lambda_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-\Delta_h)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and

$$\mathcal{D} = (\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h (u^h \cdot \nabla_h u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2}, \quad \mathcal{E} = (\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h (u^3 \cdot \partial_3 u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2}.$$

For the first term  $\mathcal{D}$ , let us write it as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= (\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} (\nabla_h u^h \cdot \nabla_h u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} + (\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} (u^h \cdot \nabla_h \nabla_h u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} \\ &= (\Lambda_h^{s_1-2} \nabla_h (\nabla_h u^h \cdot \nabla_h u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-2} \nabla_h^2 u)_{L_h^2} + ([\Lambda_h^{s_1-1}, u^h \cdot \nabla_h] \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} \\ &\quad + (u^h \cdot \nabla_h \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in our aim estimate (1.12), the norm  $H^{s_1, 0}$  is inhomogeneous in horizontal variables. So without loss of generality, we assume  $s_1 \in (2, 3)$  from now on for simplification. Then we can use Lemma 2.2 to get

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_1| &\leq \|\nabla_h u \otimes \nabla_h^2 u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1-2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_h u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{1-\frac{s_1-2}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{1+\frac{s_1-2}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1-2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}}^3. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup>The subscript h stands for horizontal, which means that the norm is taken on  $\mathbb{R}_{x_1} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_2}$ .

And since  $s_1 - 1 > 1$ , the commutator term  $\mathcal{D}_2$  can be handled by using Lemma 2.3 as

$$(4.19) \quad |\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \|[\Lambda_h^{s_1-1}, u^h \cdot \nabla_h] \nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2} \|\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2} \lesssim \|\nabla_h u\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}}^3 \lesssim \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^3.$$

And thanks to  $H_h^{s_1-1} \hookrightarrow L_h^\infty$  for  $s_1 > 2$ , we get by using integrating by parts that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_3| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_h} \operatorname{div}_h u^h \cdot |\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u|^2 dx_h \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\operatorname{div}_h u^h\|_{L_h^\infty} \|\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= (\Lambda_h^{s_1-1}(\nabla_h u^3 \partial_3 u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} + (\Lambda_h^{s_1-1}(u^3 \partial_3 \nabla_h u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} \\ &= (\Lambda_h^{s_1-2} \nabla_h(\nabla_h u^3 \partial_3 u), \Lambda_h^{s_1-2} \nabla_h^2 u)_{L_h^2} + ([\Lambda_h^{s_1-1}, u^3 \nabla_h] \partial_3 u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} \\ &\quad + (u^3 \partial_3 \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in our choice  $s_1 \in (2, 3)$ , thus we can use Lemma 2.2 to deduce

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_1| &\lesssim \left( \|\nabla_h^2 u^3 \cdot \partial_3 u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1-2}} + \|\nabla_h u^3 \cdot \partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1-2}} \right) \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \|\nabla_h^2 u^3\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1-2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{1-\frac{s_1-2}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{1+\frac{s_1-2}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1-2}} \|\nabla_h u^3\|_{\dot{H}_h^{1-\frac{s_1-2}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u^3\|_{\dot{H}_h^{1+\frac{s_1-2}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^2 \|\partial_3 u\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

And since  $s_1 - 1 > 1$ , the commutator term  $\mathcal{E}_2$  can be handled by using Lemma 2.3 as

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_2| &\leq \|[\Lambda_h^{s_1-1}, u^3 \nabla_h] \partial_3 u\|_{L_h^2} \|\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_h u^3\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}} \|\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^2 \|\partial_3 u\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by substituting (4.18)-(4.22) into (4.17), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}}^2 - \nu_3 (\partial_3^2 \Lambda_h^{s_1} u, \Lambda_h^{s_1} u)_{L_h^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^3 + \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^2 \|\partial_3 u\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}} - (u^3 \partial_3 \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} - (\nabla P, u)_{\dot{H}_h^{s_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.16) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^2 - \nu_3 (\partial_3^2 u, u)_{L_h^2} - \nu_3 (\partial_3^2 \Lambda_h^{s_1} u, \Lambda_h^{s_1} u)_{L_h^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^3 + \|u\|_{H_h^{s_1}}^2 \|\partial_3 u\|_{H_h^{s_1-1}} - (u^3 \partial_3 \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L_h^2} - (\nabla P, u)_{H_h^{s_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in time and the space variable  $x_3$  gives

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^2 + \nu_3 \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^2 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|u\|_{L_v^4(H_h^{s_1})}^2 \|u\|_{H^{s_1,0}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u\|_{L_v^4(H_h^{s_1})}^2 \|\partial_3 u\|_{H^{s_1-1,0}} - (u^3 \partial_3 \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L^2} - (\nabla P, u)_{L_v^2(H_h^{s_1})} \right) dt', \end{aligned}$$

where the norm  $H^{s_1,0} = L_v^2(H_h^{s_1})$  can be given as follows

$$\|a\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi_h|)^{2s_1} |\widehat{a}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

By using integration by parts and the fact that  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , we have

$$-(\nabla P, u)_{L_v^2(H_h^{s_1})} = (P, \operatorname{div} u)_{L_v^2(H_h^{s_1})} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -(u^3 \partial_3 \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u, \Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u)_{L^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3 u^3 |\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u|^2 dx \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_3 u^3\|_{L_v^2(L_h^\infty)} \|\Lambda_h^{s_1-1} \nabla_h u\|_{L_v^4(L_h^2)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\operatorname{div}_h u^h\|_{L_v^2(H_h^{s_1-1})} \|u\|_{L_v^4(H_h^{s_1})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above two estimates into (4.23), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} (4.24) \quad &\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^2 + \nu_3 \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|u\|_{L_v^4(H_h^{s_1})}^2 \|u\|_{H^{s_1,0}} + \|u\|_{L_v^4(H_h^{s_1})}^2 \|\partial_3 u\|_{H^{s_1-1,0}} \right) dt' \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^2 + t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^{\frac{5}{2}} + t^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we shall use the continuity argument once again. Let us denote

$$T^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ T > 0 : u \text{ exists on } [0, T), \text{ and for any } t < T, \text{ there holds} \right. \\ \left. \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^2 + \nu_3 \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^2 < 2\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^2 \right\}.$$

Similar to the local well-posedness theory of the Euler equations, we can prove this  $T^*$  is well-defined and positive. Moreover, this solution  $u$  will not form singularities on  $[0, T^*]$ .

Then for any  $t < T^*$ , we can deduce from (4.24) that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^2 + \nu_3 \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{H_h^{s_1,0}}^2 + C(\nu_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} t^{\frac{3}{4}} + \nu_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} t^{\frac{1}{4}}) \|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^3.$$

Thus in order not to contradict to the definition of  $T^*$ , there must hold

$$C(\nu_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} t^{\frac{3}{4}} + \nu_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} t^{\frac{1}{4}}) \|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}} \geq 1,$$

which implies

$$T^* \geq C \min \left\{ \frac{\nu_3^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^{\frac{4}{3}}}, \frac{\nu_3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^4} \right\}.$$

Moreover, for any time  $t \leq T^*$ , there holds

$$(4.25) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^2 + \nu_3 \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^2 < 2\|u_0\|_{H^{s_1,0}}^2.$$

The only remaining thing to prove is the uniqueness. For any two solutions  $u_1, u_2$  of (1.11) with same initial data, their difference  $\delta_u = u_1 - u_2$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \delta_u - \nu_3 \partial_3^2 \delta_u + u \cdot \nabla \delta_u + \delta_u \cdot \nabla u_2 + \nabla(P_1 - P_2) = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \delta_u = 0, \\ \delta_u|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The  $L^2$  energy estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.26) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\delta_u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_3 \|\partial_3 \delta_u\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\delta_u \cdot \nabla u_2) \delta_u \, dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\delta_u^h \cdot \nabla_h u_2) \delta_u \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_2 \cdot (\delta_u^3 \partial_3 \delta_u + \delta_u \partial_3 \delta_u^3) \, dx \\
&\leq \|\delta_u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla_h u_2\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{2} \nu_3 \|\partial_3 \delta_u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_3^{-1} \|\delta_u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u_2\|_{L^\infty}^2
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in view of (4.25), for any  $t \leq T^*$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.27) \quad \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u_2(t')\|_{L^\infty} + \nu_3^{-1} \|u_2(t')\|_{L^\infty}^2) \, dt' &\lesssim t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u_2\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \nu_3^{-1} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^{s_1,0})} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L_t^2(H^{s_1,0})} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.27) and the fact that  $\delta_u|_{t=0} = 0$ , we can deduce by applying Gronwall's inequality to (4.26) that  $\delta_u$  indeed vanishes on  $[0, T^*]$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 5. GWP WITH VISCOUS COEFFICIENTS LARGE IN ONE DIRECTION

In this section, we shall prove that both (1.1) and (1.8) would admit a global strong solution provided one of their viscous coefficients is sufficiently large.

**5.1. The proof of Corollary 1.1.** Since initially  $u_0 \in L^2$ , the energy inequality gives

$$(5.1) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \nu_i \|\partial_i u\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we know the corresponding strong solution at least exists to

$$T^* \geq C \nu_2^{\frac{p}{p-3}} (\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3)^{\frac{1}{p-3}} \|u_0\|_{L^p}^{-\frac{2p}{p-3}},$$

which together the condition (1.7) on  $\nu_1$  ensures

$$T^* \gg \nu_3^{-5} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^4.$$

Then by applying Chebyshev's inequality on (5.1), and in view of the assumption that  $0 < \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$ , we know there must exist some  $t_0 \in (0, T^*)$  such that

$$2\nu_3 \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \nu_i \|\partial_i u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{T^*} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and thus

$$\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\nu_3 T^*}} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \ll \nu_3^2.$$

This in particular means that from time  $t_0 < T^*$ , this strong solution  $u$  has already become to a small solution. Then due to the classical well-posedness result for N-S with small initial data (see for instance [10]), this strong solution  $u$  indeed exists globally in time.

**5.2. The proof of Corollary 1.2.** Exactly along the same line to the proof of (4.2), but by using Lemma 2.5 with  $\alpha = 0$  instead of  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  there, we can achieve

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} + C \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla_{\text{h}} u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Subsection 4.1, let us denote

$$T^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ T > 0 : u \text{ exists on } [0, T), \text{ and for any } t < T, \text{ there holds} \right. \\ \left. \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \right\}.$$

The local well-posedness result in [14] guarantees that this  $T^*$  is well-defined and positive.

We shall prove by contradiction. If  $T^* < \infty$ , then for all  $t \leq T^*$ , we can deduce from (5.2) that

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \left( 1 + C \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

As a result, as long as  $\nu_1$  is sufficiently large such that

$$C \nu_1^{-\frac{1}{8}} \nu_2^{-\frac{3}{8}} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{1}{2},$$

we can deduce

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq \frac{3}{2} \|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \forall t \leq T^*.$$

This contradicts to the choice of  $T^*$ . Thus there must be  $T^* = \infty$ , and the following estimate holds uniformly in time:

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

This completes the proof of this corollary.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Prof. Ping Zhang for his careful reading and some valuable comments. Liu is supported by NSF of China under grant 12101053, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant 310421118.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **343**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] J.M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularites pour les equations aux derivees partielles non lineaires, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* **14** (1981) no. 2, 209–246.
- [3] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher, E. Grenier, *Mathematical Geophysics. An Introduction to Rotating Fluids and the Navier-Stokes Equations*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 32, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [4] J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes, *J. Differential Equations*, **121** (1995), 314–328.
- [5] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **272** (2007), 529–566.
- [6] C. Ferfferman, D. McCormick, J. Robinson and J. Rodrigo, Higher order commutator estimates and local existence for the non-resistive MHD equations and related models, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), 1035–1056.
- [7] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **16** (1964), 269–315.

- [8] I. Gallagher, D. Iftimie and F. Planchon, Asymptotics and stability for global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Ann. Inst.* **53** (2003) 1387–1424.
- [9] D. Iftimie, A uniqueness result for the Navier-Stokes equations with vanishing vertical viscosity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2002), 1483–1493.
- [10] J. Leray, Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace, *Acta Mathematica*, **63** (1934), 193–248.
- [11] S. Liang, P. Zhang and R. Zhu, Remark on the lifespan of solutions to 3-D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Commun. Math. Res.* **36** No. 1, (2020), 31–50.
- [12] Y. Liu, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global well-posedness of 3-D anisotropic Navier-Stokes system with small unidirectional derivative, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **238** (2020), no. 2, 805–843.
- [13] Y. Liu and P. Zhang, Global well-posedness of 3-D anisotropic Navier-Stokes system with large vertical viscous coefficient. *J. Funct. Anal.* **279** (2020), no. 10, 108736, 33 pp.
- [14] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **21** (2005), 179–235.
- [15] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes system in the critical spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **307** (2011), 713–759.
- [16] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global strong solutions to 3-D Navier-Stokes system with strong dissipation in one direction. *Sci. China Math.* **62** (2019), no. 6, 1175–1204.
- [17] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Berlin-Heidelberg-NewYork: Springer, 1979.
- [18] T. Zhang, Erratum to: Global wellposed problem for the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations in an anisotropic space, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **295** (2010), 877–884.

(T. Hao) ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA.

*Email address:* htt@amss.ac.cn

(Y. Liu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MOE, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 100875 BEIJING, CHINA.

*Email address:* liuyanlin@bnu.edu.cn