

Functional Connectivity of the Brain Across Rodents and Humans

Nan Xu¹, Theodore J. LaGrow³, Nmachi Anumba¹, Azalea Lee^{2,4}, Xiaodi Zhang¹, Behnaz Yousefi¹, Yasmine Bassil², Gloria Perrin Clavijo¹, Vahid Khalilzad Sharghi¹, Eric Maltbie¹, Lisa Meyer-Baese¹, Maysam Nezafati¹, Wen-Ju Pan¹, Shella Keilholz^{1,2}

¹Biomedical Engineering, Emory University/Georgia Tech

²Neuroscience Program, Emory University

³Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Tech

⁴Emory University School of Medicine

Abstract:

Resting-state functional magnetic resonant imaging (rs-fMRI), which measures spontaneous fluctuations in the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal, is increasingly utilized for the investigation of normal and pathological brain activity. Rodent models play a key role in studies that examine the neuronal and neurophysiological processes that underpin the spontaneous fluctuations in the BOLD signal and the functional connectivity that results. Translating this knowledge from rodents to humans requires a basic knowledge of the similarities and differences across species in terms of both the BOLD signal fluctuations and the resulting functional connectivity. This review begins by examining similarities and differences in anatomical features, acquisition parameters, and preprocessing techniques, as factors that contribute to functional connectivity. Homologous functional networks are compared across species, and aspects of the BOLD fluctuations such as the topography of the global signal and the relationship between structural and functional connectivity are examined. Time-varying features of functional connectivity, obtained by sliding windowed approaches, quasi-periodic patterns, and coactivation patterns, are compared across species. Applications demonstrating the use of rs-fMRI as a translational tool for cross-species analysis are discussed, with an emphasis on neurological and psychiatric disorders. Finally, open questions are presented to encapsulate the future direction of the field.

Keywords: rs-fMRI, functional connectivity, rats, mice, humans

I. Introduction

Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) is a powerful, noninvasive tool for the investigation of the brain's intrinsic functional organization at the macroscale level. Based on spontaneous fluctuations in the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal detected with rs-fMRI, functional connectivity can be calculated by finding the Pearson correlation between the BOLD signals of different brain areas, resulting in spatial maps reflective of the brain's intrinsic functional organization (Biswal et al. 1995). Differences in functional connectivity based on rs-fMRI have been linked to cognition and behavior (Magnuson et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2015; Thompson, Magnuson, et al. 2013) and changes in vigilance level (Chang et al. 2013; Tagliazucchi and Laufs 2014; E. A. Allen et al. 2012) and can discriminate between patient populations and healthy controls (Abbas, Bassil, and Keilholz 2019; Du et al. 2018; Engels et al. 2018; Long et al. 2020; Miller et al. 2016; Rombouts et al. 2005; Sakoğlu et al. 2010; Sorg et al. 2007). However, because the BOLD signal is only loosely and indirectly linked to the underlying neural activity, findings regarding such differences in functional connectivity can often be difficult to interpret. Over the course of the last 20 years, rodent models have proven instrumental in understanding the neuronal and neurophysiological basis of spontaneous BOLD signal fluctuations and the consequent intrinsic functional connectivity. The number of rs-fMRI studies in rodents increases each year (Figure 1), and such studies will continue to play a key role in interpreting the alterations of functional connectivity that are observed across conditions and groups.

Much of the same general neural architecture is present in both humans and rodents, which accounts for the preponderance of rodent work in neuroscience. At the same time, differences in brain size, relative volumes of brain areas, and the presence or absence of cortical folding present obvious differences across species. In this manuscript, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of features extracted from rs-fMRI studies in humans and rodents (rats and mice), with the goal of identifying similarities and differences that are important for the translation of knowledge gained in rodents to studies in humans. Resting-state networks are the most commonly reported features for both humans and animals, but we intend to go beyond basic functional connectivity analysis to report commonalities in network dynamics, community structure, and application to disease characterization. Before delving into the main aim, however, we will first touch upon the image acquisition parameters as well as the relative influences of the well-known fMRI signal confounds, such as motion or physiological noise, in both species. We hope that this manuscript will highlight areas for further investigation into important species-specific features and identify commonalities across species that increase confidence in investigations of animal models of brain disorders.





Figure 1: Number of papers of fMRI Functional Connectivity Papers Published over the last 20 years. Utilizing the Web of Science (Clarivate 2017) to search the last 20 years of published articles, an increasing and consistent trend of rs-fMRI studies for both human and rodent fMRI data is prominent. Each frequency search of published articles over a given year is scoped over publication titles, abstracts, and keywords. The keywords included in every search were: fMRI and functional connectivity. Each search was then delineated by year and the combinatorics of mouse, rat, and human (excluding “non-human” to avoid primate specific studies). The y-axis is in a logarithmic-scale to best characterize the increase of rodent papers over the time period.

II. Motivation for rs-fMRI studies in the rodent

The power of rs-fMRI lies in its ability to acquire information about activity noninvasively throughout the whole brain, making it suitable for use in healthy human subjects. This noninvasive characterization of brain function can be matched with invasive or time-consuming manipulations in rodents to provide insight into the neurophysiological processes that give rise to the BOLD fluctuations and create a better framework for the interpretation of rs-fMRI studies in humans. As a result, rodent studies have been invaluable for understanding the neural basis of rs-fMRI. Noninvasive techniques such as electroencephalography (EEG), electrocorticography (ECoG), or magnetoencephalography (MEG) that are suitable for human studies can measure extracranial electrical or magnetic fields resulting from neural activity but have limitations in terms of sensitivity, localization, and resolution. In rodents, however, the BOLD signal can be directly compared to valuable invasive methods that are not feasible in humans, including concurrent microelectrode recordings or intracranial optical images of neural activity (Hewson-Stoate et al. 2005; Lu et al. 2007; Ma et al. 2016; Mateo et al. 2017). These studies have definitively demonstrated that the spontaneous BOLD fluctuations used to map functional connectivity are related to coordinated neural activity, placing rs-fMRI in humans on firm ground. As the field of rs-fMRI moved towards time-varying analysis, these same tools were crucial in demonstrating that while some of the variance is due to properties of the signal and analysis, some of it also reflects true variability in neural activity (Chan et al. 2015; S D Keilholz et al. 2013; Thompson, Merritt, et al. 2013).

Comparison of Cross Species Tissues



		Mouse	Rat	Human
Anatomy	Brain Volume	415 mm ³ (Kovacëvic et al. 2005)	1765 mm ³ (Welniak-Kaminska et al. 2019)	1200 cm ³ (Yu et al. 2013)
	Gyrification	Flat (Ventura-Antunes, Mota, and Herculano-Houzel 2013)	Flat (Ventura-Antunes et al. 2013)	Folded (Ventura-Antunes et al. 2013)
	Cortical Thickness	<1 mm (Lerch et al. 2008)	1 - 2 mm (Vetreno et al. 2017)	1 - 4.5 mm (Fischl and Dale 2000a)
MRI Parameters	Resolution	20 - 50 μ m (Turnbull and Mori 2007)	90 - 150 μ m (Barrière et al. 2019)	0.1 - 3 mm (Edlow et al. 2019; Fischl and Dale 2000a)
	TR (seconds)	0.15-3 (Gilbert et al. 2019; Turnbull and Mori 2007)	0.15-3 (Gilbert et al. 2019)	<0.25 – 5 (Chuang and Chen 2001; Van Dijk et al. 2010; Sahib et al. 2018)
	Resting-State Scan Time (minutes)	~10-20 (Bauer et al. 2017; Belloy et al. 2018)	~10 (Christiaen et al. 2019)	~5-15 (Elliott et al. 2019)

Table 1: Overview and summary of brain features between mice, rats, and humans. The first row demonstrates the relative sizing of each species with a coronal Nissl-stained slicing from the BrainMaps initiative (Mikula et al. 2007). Each subsequent row compares common features of both anatomy and MRI parameters associated with mice, rats and humans in relation to functional connectivity studies.

Tools for genetic manipulation are available and particularly well-developed in rodents, making analysis possible to understand the genetic underpinnings of the structural and functional architecture of the brain. The two most prominent forms of genetic manipulation, optogenetics and chemogenetics, use light and pharmacological agents respectively, to manipulate the activity of neurons. The combination of either of these techniques with fMRI allows for the stimulation or suppression of a specific cell type or pathway while simultaneously imaging the whole brain functional response to the manipulation. For example, optogenetic-fMRI (ofMRI) has been used to examine the BOLD response to the activation of specific cellular populations (Lee et al. 2010; Weitz et al. 2015), and optogenetic and chemogenetic manipulations are beginning to provide insight into the complex interaction of neuromodulatory systems and localized activity (Albaugh et al. 2016; Weitz et al. 2019; Zerbi et al. 2015).

Rodent models also open the possibility of probing alterations that are observed in patient populations. For neurological disorders in particular, due to the origins of the BOLD signal, it is often difficult to tell if a change in functional connectivity arises from an alteration in the structural connections between areas, an alteration in the activity of the areas, or an alteration in the vasculature that affects neurovascular coupling. If, however, an animal model of the disorder presents the same alteration in functional connectivity, its underlying causes can be investigated using a variety of imaging, recording, and histological techniques. The mouse model, in particular, due to its universally-available transgenic animals, has served as a major tool for exploring pathogenic mechanisms of a variety of brain diseases (Denic, Macura, et al. 2011; Whitesell et al. 2019).

III. Acquisition parameters, conditions and confounds in rodents compared to humans

Size of anatomical features. The most obvious difference between the brains of mice, rats, and humans is size (Figure 1). From a purely anatomical point of view, there is a clear size difference between species; 415 mm³ volume of the mouse brain (Kovacčević et al. 2005) compared to the 1765 mm³ volume of the rat brain (Welniak-Kaminska et al. 2019) compared to the 1200 cm³ of the human brain (Yu et al. 2013). Ratiometrically, a 1 mm³ voxel in the human brain is equivalent to a 1470833 μm³ voxel in the rat (114 μm isotropic) or 345833 μm³ in the mouse (70 μm isotropic). This level of spatial resolution is challenging but achievable because the small size of the rodents makes it feasible to construct high field scanners (7T and up) that have higher SNR compared to fields typically used in humans (typically 3T, moving to 7T). For functional images, resolution is typically ~2 mm in humans, 200-400 μm in mice, and 300-500 μm in rats. For comparison, ocular dominance columns in humans were measured to have a mean diameter of 863 microns, and whisker barrel columns in mice and rats (which are essentially anatomically identical as reported by (Petersen 2007)) are approximately 150 microns in diameter (Adams, Sincich, and Horton 2007; Woolsey and Van der Loos 1970). Cortical thickness is more consistent across species, ~0.9mm in mice, ~1-2mm in rats, and ~1-4.5 mm in humans (Fischl and Dale 2000b; Lerch et al. 2008; Yu et al. 2013). These features can be found in Table 1. Unlike the gyrate cortex of humans, the cortex of rats and mice is smooth rather than folded, which tends to reduce the mixing of white and grey matter within a single rs-fMRI voxel (Ventura-Antunes et al. 2013).

Acquisition parameters. Gradient-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) is the most widely used acquisition technique for both human and rodent studies. Echo times must be shorter in rodents due to the shorter T2* at high magnetic field strength. The small size of the rodent brain combined

with the high field and proximity of susceptibility gradients can also cause substantial distortion and signal dropout. On the positive side, stronger gradients can be used to provide the necessary high spatial resolution. Rodent data is frequently non-isotropic, with in-plane resolution of 0.2-0.5 mm and 0.4-1.0 mm slice thickness (Becq et al. 2020; Belloy et al. 2021; Liska et al. 2015; Schwarz et al. 2013; Tsai et al. 2020) while isotropic rodent data has $\sim 0.5\text{mm}^3$ voxels (Kundu et al. 2014) compared to about 2-3 mm^3 voxels for isotropic human data (Franzmeier et al. 2017). Repetition rates (TRs) in these studies are typically 1-3 s for both humans and rodents. More recently, advances in ultrafast fMRI have pushed the TR to subsecond for both humans (Feinberg et al. 2010; Sahib et al. 2018) and rodents (Gilbert et al. 2019; Lee et al. 2019). Researchers often take advantage of the stability of anesthetized animals to acquire multiple short scans or a single longer set of data (Pan et al. 2015). These parameters can be found in Table 1.

Anesthesia. A critical difference between typical rs-fMRI studies in humans and in rodents is that anesthesia is typically used in the rodent to prevent motion and minimize stress. For fMRI, this has limited rodent studies to simple sensory stimuli, since studies of emotion, behavior, and/or task are not possible in animal models under anesthesia. This is less of an issue for rs-fMRI, where no stimulus is required. However, anesthetics alter cerebral blood flow and blood volume, which impact the BOLD signal, along with neural activity. Different anesthetics affect neural activity and neurovascular coupling in different ways. For example, isoflurane increases cerebral blood flow (CBF), which leads to a lower CBF and BOLD response to hypercapnia than in awake rats (Sicard et al. 2003). In contrast, CBF decreases in rats given dexmedetomidine (Ganjoo et al. 1998).

Specifically, for rs-fMRI, networks are more highly localized under light isoflurane anesthesia and become less spatially specific under deeper isoflurane anesthesia (Liu et al. 2013). However, much of the specificity can be recovered after global signal regression, which may help to control for the widespread vasodilation that isoflurane induces. In mice, different anesthetic agents result in different levels of interhemispheric connectivity (Jonckers et al. 2014). Many of the macroscale features of functional connectivity are observed under different anesthetics in rats, but different anesthetics have specific effects on particular connection types (Becq et al. 2020).

The complex effects of anesthetics make the possibility of rs-fMRI in awake rodents very attractive, despite the challenges involved, with a number of groups successfully conducting rs-fMRI studies in unanesthetized rats or mice (Jonckers et al. 2014; Liu and Huang 2020; Ma et al. 2020; Zilu Ma, Ma, and Zhang 2018; Stenroos et al. 2018). However, the process remains time-consuming and resource-intensive, limiting the widespread use of unanesthetized rodents. This developing line of work in awake and anesthetized rodents remains an open question in fMRI research for best practices and universally accepted protocols.




Figure 2: Head motion in a representative human rs-fMRI data set and rat rs-fMRI data set. The blue lines show translation and rotation for a randomly selected subject from the 3T Human Connectome Project dataset (Van Essen et al. 2013); voxel size is 2mm isotropic. The red lines show translation and rotation for a randomly selected rat imaged under dexmedetomidine and isoflurane while paralyzed and mechanically ventilated; voxel size is 0.4mm isotropic (x10 scale in shown). The linear drifts were removed. Relative to human rs-fMRI data, the typical rodent rs-fMRI data has extremely low head motion for both translation and rotation. Breath-related motions are common in human rs-fMRI but rarely seen in the data from immobilized rats. Rodent rs-fMRI provides an excellent opportunity to study BOLD signal and functional connectivity with minimal noise from motion.

Motion. Motion varies greatly in rodent rs-fMRI depending on whether awake or anesthetized animals are used. In anesthetized rodents, motion is minimal compared to humans. Rodents are secured with a stereotaxic head holder and the anesthesia prevents voluntary motion. Sometimes paralytic agents are administered if the rodents are mechanically ventilated. For awake animals, the situation is very different. While healthy human subjects are generally able to remain steady during image acquisition with clear verbal communication, animal models require acclimation and head fixation methods (commonly implanted fixation points) to ensure minimal motion. Rodents may require only a few days or up to weeks of acclimation (King et al. 2005). Typical levels of head motion (average relative motion to voxel size) range from 2-3 % in awake animals, compared

with 4-10% in humans to almost no head motion in anesthetized animals (Paasonen et al. 2018). An example of head motion displayed in human and rat rs-fMRI are depicted in Figure 2 demonstrating the ability for minimal motion correction in anesthetized rats. Similar correction techniques can be applied in both animals and humans, although in anesthetized animals the level of motion can be low enough that motion correction is not applied and data exhibiting motion are merely discarded (Paasonen et al. 2018). Additionally, some studies have shown that typical motion and nuisance correction for rodent MRI studies may not be suitable for proper analysis but rather removal of high motion rodents from a dataset as the correction did not significantly improve the signal fidelity (Chuang et al. 2018).

Respiration and cardiac pulsation. Respiratory and cardiac rates are much faster for rodents than for humans. Rates vary across individuals and strains, but are on the order of 85 breaths per minute/300 beats per minute for rats (Carnevali et al. 2013) and 160 breaths per minute/630 beats per minute for mice (Anon n.d.) in unanesthetized animals. The high frequencies of physiological cycles means that the image sampling rate must be very high to avoid aliasing. In rats, a rs-fMRI study with a TR of 100 ms that could directly resolve the primary peaks associated with cardiac pulsation and respiration found that cardiac pulsation was most prominent near the base of the brain, while respiration contributions were most prominent near the sagittal sinus (Williams et al. 2010). As in humans, most rodent rs-fMRI studies use longer TRs (1-2 s) to allow whole brain coverage, which means that respiratory and cardiac contributions can alias into the frequencies of interest. Unlike in human rs-fMRI studies, where variations in heart rate and respiratory rate are known to contribute to the BOLD fluctuations along with the primary frequency components, little has been done to examine similar variability in rodents. Indeed, respiratory variation is minimal when mechanical ventilation is applied. In line with the observation that widespread signal changes occur during varied breathing patterns in humans (Power et al. 2018), artifacts are increased in spatial extent in spontaneously breathing animals compared to ventilated animals (Pais-Roldán et al. 2018). Some part of this artifact can arise from the motion of the chest in the magnetic field, which induces more artifacts at high magnetic field strengths when the chest is in close proximity to the coil, as it is in small animal studies (Kalthoff et al. 2011).

IV. Time-averaged features of rs-fMRI across species

Homologous functional networks across species. Functional connectivity refers to coherent changes in the BOLD fluctuations from different areas of the brain, often captured as correlation values. Regions that then show a high statistical association are referred to as a resting-state network (RSN). In humans, there are a variety of nomenclatures that are used to describe RSNs. A broadly used set of RSNs defined on human cortical regions was determined by (Yeo et al. 2011), which includes the default, the somatomotor, the visual, frontoparietal, dorsal attention, ventral attention, and limbic networks. In rodent studies, several functional networks have also been commonly identified from resting-state fMRI data in anesthetized mice (Grandjean et al. 2020; Grandjean, Giulia Preti, et al. 2017; Stafford et al. 2014), in anesthetized rats (Liang, King, and Zhang 2012), and in awake rats (Liang, King, and Zhang 2011; Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018). Many of these brain networks are homologous to those of primates, for example, the default mode network (Grandjean et al. 2020; Grandjean, Zerbi, et al. 2017; Hsu et al. 2016; Stafford et al. 2014), somatosensory network (Grandjean et al. 2020; Grandjean, Zerbi, et al. 2017), and subcortical system (Grandjean et al. 2020), though it is well noted that the anesthetization causes a profound impact on the RSNs being identified (Liang et al. 2011, 2012; Zilu Ma et al. 2018).

Table 2 lists the homologous RSNs across humans, rats, and mice. For the sake of comparing the macroscale networks observed in the human brain to those observed in the rodent brain, we have chosen to use the seven network parcellation from (Yeo et al. 2011), which captures the large-scale functional architecture of the brain without being too granular for high level comparisons across species. The seven Yeo RSNs detected in cortical areas are used as the reference, and the homologous functional networks in rats and mice brains and the corresponding major anatomical regions are described. For rats, the cortical RSNs defined in (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018) are primarily used except for cingulate and prefrontal areas, which can be further divided into limbic and parietal networks as defined in (Barrière et al. 2019) as well as some areas in default mode network (DMN) as described in (Lu et al. 2012). For mice RSNs, the homologous networks defined in (Zerbi et al. 2015) are primarily used. Additionally, the newly-described Fronto-parietal network in mice is also included (Tombaz et al. 2020).

While the RSNs described in (Yeo et al. 2011) only focus on the cortex, large portions of RSNs detected in rodent brains also include other brain areas. For example, in the pioneering work of functional parcellation of awake rat brain, the reported RSNs also include brainstem, midbrain, thalamus/hypothalamus, amygdala, striatum, and hippocampus/retro hippocampus (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018). Similarly, while cortical RSNs discovered in (Zerbi et al. 2015) only include somatosensory, sensory and olfactory processing networks (Zerbi et al. 2015), the limbic system networks (shown in Table 2), as well as the basal ganglia and cerebellar networks (that are not listed in the table) are all non-cortical networks. The cross-species comparison of each homologous network is discussed below.

As shown in Table 2, visual and somatomotor networks can be robustly detected in humans, rats and mice by using a whole brain functional analysis. The visual networks in humans and rodents, despite the overall differences (Jonckers et al. 2011; Katzner and Weigelt 2013), share structural and functional principles, which allow for investigation of visual processing from the cellular level (using rodents models) to the macroscopic level (in human studies) (Katzner and Weigelt 2013). For the whole somatomotor cluster, separate networks of somatosensory, motor, auditory, and olfactory were discerned in the functional network analysis of rodents which encompass the anatomical regions that are named after (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018; Zerbi et al. 2015). However, the somatosensory, motor and auditory cortices were all grouped into one somatomotor network in human studies (Yeo et al. 2011).

The olfactory network in humans was not discerned as a separate network in the whole brain RSN analysis as was revealed in rodents, although a recent study was able to detect the human olfactory network using functional connectome analysis (Arnold et al. 2020). The olfactory network in rodents typically includes the main and accessory olfactory systems, which are responsible for the sense of smell and pheromone-based communication, respectively (Huilgol and Tole 2016). For humans, the olfactory network serves more heterogeneous functions, including not only olfactory sensory perception but also multiple non-sensory functions such as emotion, neuroendocrine, and homeostasis. Anatomically, the human olfactory network is widely distributed over cortical and subcortical areas, which can be further decomposed into limbic, frontal and sensory systems (Huilgol and Tole 2016).

Human networks (Yeo et al. 2011)	anatomical regions	Rat networks	anatomical regions	Mouse networks	anatomical regions
Visual	Visual cortex	Visual areas (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018)	Rostral and caudal part of visual cortex, parietal cortex, and retrosplenial cortex	Sensory, Visual (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Visual cortex and retrosplenial dysgranular
Somatomotor	Motor cortex	Motor (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018)	Primary and secondary motor cortex, mammillary nucleus, ventral hypothalamus	Sensory, Motor (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Motor cortex
	Auditory cortex	Auditory (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018)	Auditory cortex	Sensory, Auditory (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Dorsal and ventral auditory cortex
	Somatosensory cortex posterior insular cortices	Somatosensory (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018)	Primary and secondary somatosensory cortex, posterior part of the insular cortex	Somatosensory (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Upper lip region, barrel field, hindlimb region, and forelimb region in primary somatosensory cortex
Limbic	Orbital frontal cortex	Olfactory (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018)	Piriform cortex, anterior olfactory nucleus, and olfactory tubercle	Sensory, Olfactory (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Piriform cortex, medial orbital cortex, and glomerular layer of the olfactory bulb
	Temporal pole	Limbic (Barrière et al. 2019)	Prelimbic cortex, prelimbic/infralimbic	Limbic system (non-cortical) (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Cingulate cortex area 1, 2 and retrosplenial cortex Ventral and dorsal hippocampus, amygdala
Default	Precuneus posterior cingulate cortex/retrosplenial cortex	Default mode network (DMN) (Lu et al. 2012)	Cingulate cortex, retrosplenial cortex	DMN-like (Zerbi et al. 2015)	Cingulate cortex area 1, 2, retrosplenial cortex

	Prefrontal cortex		Orbital cortex, prelimbic cortex		Medial entorhinal cortex, medial orbital cortex, prelimbic cortex
	Parahippocampal cortex		Hippocampus (CA1)		Parasubiculum, caudomedial entorhinal cortex, caudate putamen, thalamus
	Parietal and temporal lobes		Posterior parietal cortex, auditory/temporal association cortex		
Frontoparietal	Parietal and temporal lobes	Parietal* (Barrière et al. 2019)	Lateral and medial parietal associative cortex, the postero caudal, dorsal and rostral part of parietal cortex	Parieto-frontal* (Tombaz et al. 2020)	Posterior parietal cortex, secondary motor cortex
	Dorsal, lateral, and ventral prefrontal cortex				
	Orbital frontal cortex				
	Precuneus, cingulate, medial posterior prefrontal cortex				
Dorsal attention	Posterior, frontal eye fields, precentral ventral				
Ventral attention	Parietal operculum, temporal occipital, frontal operculum insula, lateral prefrontal cortex, medial nodes				

Table 2: Homologous functional networks across mice, rats, and humans. * indicates that the network that is not exactly homologous but shares similar functions in some partial regions.

The limbic network, acting as one of the most complicated systems in the brain, is involved in various brain functions, including homeostasis, memory, emotions, olfaction, and many more (Moini and Piran 2020). Note that the limbic network coverage listed in Table 2 only includes the cortical structures (Yeo et al. 2011). Yet, the human limbic network indeed extends to subcortical and interbrain regions—including amygdala, hippocampus, septal nuclei, and hypothalamus (Rajmohan et al. 2007; Sokolowski and Corbin 2012). Similar limbic structures and hence similar functions have been discovered in human and rodents, despite the enlarged olfactory bulbs and the presence of the accessory olfactory bulbs in rodents (Sokolowski and Corbin 2012). Due to the similar processing of emotions and other social cues in the limbic network for both rodents and humans, limbic responses to social cues have been increasingly studied in rodent models, which were then translated to humans (Sokolowski and Corbin 2012).

The default network or default mode network (DMN) is a network suppressed during tasks and active during resting-state, such as during mind-wandering; it has also been found to be active during remembering, imaging the future and making social inferences (Buckner 2013; Buckner and DiNicola 2019). A key feature of the DMN revealed by functional analysis is that it exhibits a pattern of anticorrelation with the parietal/fronto-cortical areas, which are supposed to be more active during tasks (Fox et al. 2005). A homologous DMN or DMN-like network has been increasingly reported in both rats (Liang et al. 2012; Lu et al. 2012; Upadhyay et al. 2011) and mice (Grandjean et al. 2020; Sforazzini et al. 2014; Zerbi et al. 2015), which exhibit a similar robust anti-correlation pattern with the parietal/fronto areas (Gozzi and Schwarz 2015) and a passive role during tasks (Li et al. 2015; Schwarz et al. 2013). Additionally, similar within network connectivity patterns were observed across rodents and humans (Gozzi and Schwarz 2015). The anatomical coverage of the DMN was distributed along the medial and lateral parietal, medial prefrontal, and medial and lateral temporal cortices across rodents and humans (Raichle 2015), with the critical components including the parietal cortex, the orbitofrontal cortex, and the cingulate areas (Stafford et al. 2014), as well as the temporal association areas (Barrière et al. 2019; Grandjean et al. 2020; Schaefer et al. 2018). The homologous default networks are shown in Figure 3. Recent studies in both rodents and humans have further suggested that the DMN comprises multiple distinct but interwoven networks rather than a single network (Buckner and DiNicola 2019). Despite these similarities across rodents and humans, discrepancies remain. As is reviewed in (Gozzi and Schwarz 2015), cross-species differences in the DMN still exist both in functional and in neuroanatomical organizations. Notably, the precuneus, which acts as the most prominent hub in the human DMN, lacks a clear neuroanatomical equivalence in rodents, and its functional role might be relegated to the retrosplenial cortex in rodents (Vogt and Paxinos 2012).

Comparison of Default Mode Networks Across Species




Figure 3: *Homologous DMN across mice, rats and humans. The mouse DMN (left) is demonstrated on the Allen Institute for Brain Science mouse atlas (Lein et al. 2007) and follows the region coverage exported in (Grandjean et al. 2020). The rat DMN (middle), demonstrated on the SIGMA anatomical atlas (Barrière et al. 2019), follows the region coverage exported in (Hsu et al. 2016). The human brain (right), demonstrated on the Schaefer-Yeo atlas (Schaefer et al. 2018), shows the default network in (Yeo et al. 2011). All figures were created by BrainNet Viewer (Xia, Wang, and He 2013).*

Apart from the above well-defined homologous networks, the existence of homologous RSNs involved in higher cognitive functions in rodents is still left as an open question. In particular, the dorsal and ventral attention networks have not yet been discovered in rodents (Vossel, Geng, and Fink 2014). These two attention networks in humans are collectively involved in the control of attention related to top-down goals and bottom-up sensory stimulation (Vossel et al. 2014). It has been hypothesized that they might simply not be present or be subserved by some brain regions in other large networks such as the DMN (Gozzi and Schwarz 2015). Similarly, it has been questioned whether rodents have a homologous frontoparietal network, which supports the executive function and goal-oriented, cognitively demanding tasks (Gozzi and Schwarz 2015). Yet, areas with similar functions have been increasingly found in both mice (Harvey, Coen, and Tank 2012; Tombaz et al. 2020) and rats (Brunton, Botvinick, and Brody 2013). For example, posterior parietal cortex (PPC), a central component in the frontoparietal network, is involved in visual attention, working memory, spatial processing, and movement planning in humans, and it has been found to be involved in navigation, sensory processing and decision making in rodents (Harvey et al. 2012). More recent work studied the network comprised of both PPC and the frontal motor areas across species (Tombaz et al. 2020). This circuit was referred to as the “parieto-frontal” network in mice (Table 2), and supports functions of sensorimotor transformations and decision making, but note that it is different from the frontoparietal network in humans. Similar to humans, both PCC and frontal motor areas in mice robustly encode several different naturalistic behaviors; but unlike humans, their responses to the same set of observed actions were absent, which raise the possibility that sensorimotor action recognition in rodents could take place outside of the parieto-frontal circuit.

Cross-species studies may also demonstrate that there are inconsistent neurological patterns between species that may require different processing methods. For example, rats and mice exhibit cortical differences in rs-fMRI data where unilateral cortical networks exist for mice versus bilateral networks in rats (Jonckers et al. 2011). Additionally, there is evidence demonstrating

functional connectivity differences between non-human primates and rodents within the medial frontal cortex and regions of the lateral frontal cortex (Schaeffer et al. 2020). For both humans and non-humans, the border between subregions of the cingulate cortex is drawn along the rostrocaudal axis; however, in mice and rats this boundary has been routinely drawn perpendicularly to this axis (Heukelum et al. 2020). Further, there is differences anatomically between the types of cells, regional cell packing across species (Abreu et al. 2020), and specific wiring of various structures where species with fewer interhemispheric connections exhibit better intrahemispheric connectivity (Assaf et al. 2020).

Global signal. The global signal is obtained by averaging the BOLD timecourses over the entire brain. Fluctuations in the global signal have been linked to physiological fluctuations, mainly respiratory effects, head motion, scanner related effects and vascular effects (Murphy and Fox 2017; Power et al. 2017). Along with these nuisance variables, the global signal also contains contributions from wide-spread coherent neuronal activity, and animal studies have been crucial to understanding this aspect. In an early study, Schölvinck and colleagues showed that the rs-fMRI signal calculated over the entire cerebral cortex in monkeys is positively correlated with the spontaneous fluctuations in the local field potentials though recorded from a single cortical site (Scholvinck et al. 2010). More recent studies in monkeys have demonstrated that the activity of the basal forebrain is linked to arousal level (Liu, De Zwart, et al. 2018) and global signal (Turchi et al. 2018), showing that inactivation of the basal forebrain leads to increased global spontaneous fluctuations. The spatial pattern of correlation of global signal and global signal amplitude decrease in the same way in rats and humans with increasing levels of anesthesia (Tanabe et al. 2020). In awake rats, the peaks of the global signal correspond to time of high activity in sensorimotor cortex, hippocampus, medial thalamus, prefrontal cortex, and the basal forebrain (Ma et al. 2020). Neither respiration nor head motion were significantly different across times of high and low global signal. It is possible that contributions from motion and physiological noise are reduced in anesthetized animals, where variability is minimized, as compared to humans.

Relationship to structural connectivity. A number of properties of functional connectivity are common across species. In general, there is substantial overlap of structural and functional connectivity in both rodents and humans. In the rat, a meta-analysis of tracing data combined with rs-fMRI found a Spearman rank order correlation of 0.48 between the structural and functional connectivity matrices (Díaz-Parra et al. 2017). In the mouse, cortical functional connectivity is related to monosynaptic connectivity described by the Allen Brain Connectivity Atlas and derived from viral tracers (Stafford et al. 2014). Bilateral cortical areas such as somatosensory cortex typically exhibit close correspondence with the structural connectivity, while functional connectivity in the DMN is only partially explained by monosynaptic connections and little connection was observed between anterior and posterior areas (Grandjean, Zerbi, et al. 2017). In contrast, in humans, diffusion-based tractography identifies strong connections between anterior and posterior areas that follow the medial wall of the cortex (Honey et al. 2009). In mice, functional connectivity in subcortical regions was mostly mediated by polysynaptic connections. Little evidence of functional connectivity was found for thalamocortical circuits, despite the monosynaptic structural connections, possibly due to the use of anesthesia, as thalamocortical connectivity has been observed in humans (Zhang et al. 2010).

Functional connectivity gradients. Functional connectivity gradients, typically obtained using dimensionality reduction on voxel-wise functional connectivity matrices, identify spatial axes along

which voxels exhibit similar functional connectivity profiles (Margulies et al., 2016). Functional gradients have been linked to neuronal micro-architecture, such as myelin density or gene expression across cortical sheet (Burt et al., 2018; Fornito et al., 2019), and reflect fundamental properties of the brain's functional organization. Functional connectivity gradients have also been observed in the mouse and tied to similar properties (although the relationship to cytoarchitecture was not significant) (Huntenburg et al. 2020). Many aspects of the gradients observed in mice recapitulate findings in humans (Fulcher et al. 2019). Functional connectivity gradients in the mouse brain used to assess the intrinsic functional organization of the cortex reveal that a prominent gradient reflects the spatial distance from the presumed origins of cortical evolution. The primary functional gradient in the anesthetized mouse brain spans from primary sensory areas towards more transmodal regions. This may be due to the effect of the specialization of sensory areas on intrinsic functional organization of the mouse cortex. Similarly in humans, the primary functional gradient spans sensorimotor-to-transmodal axis (i.e., from SMN to DMN), which is also viewed as the axis of information integration and abstraction (Margulies et al., 2016; Huntenburg *et al.*, 2020).

Graph metrics. Functional connectivity can also be described in terms of a graph, where nodes represent different parcels of the brain and edges represent the correlation between them. Graphical analytical tools can then be utilized to describe the community structure of functionally connected regions. For the node determination, many brain atlases have been developed for brain network analysis. In mice study, the anatomical atlas provided by Australian Mouse Brain Mapping Consortium and the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas have been widely used (Grandjean et al. 2020; Grandjean, Giulia Preti, et al. 2017; Stafford et al. 2014). For adult rat brains, many brain anatomical atlases have been developed and some have been employed in recent whole brain network analysis, e.g., the Swanson atlas (Swanson 2018), and the frontier SIGMA atlas that developed from multimodal imaging data (Barrière et al. 2019). A detailed comparison of these rat atlases is described in (Barrière et al. 2019). In addition to these anatomical parcels, brain-wide functional parcels were also recently derived from the resting-state fMRI data for anesthetized mice (Grandjean et al. 2020; Grandjean, Giulia Preti, et al. 2017), anesthetized rats (Barrière et al. 2019) and awake rats (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018). These functional parcels, which often overlap with multiple neighboring parcels from the anatomical atlas, profile the functional network connectivity. In the recent rodents' study, different approaches have been used for determining the data-specific functional parcels. For example, independent component analysis-based (ICA-based) methods have been popularly used in the study of anesthetized (Barrière et al. 2019; Grandjean et al. 2020; Grandjean, Giulia Preti, et al. 2017) and awake (Liang et al. 2011) rodents. In the recent study of deriving brain-wide functional parcels for awake rats, k-mean clustering on dissecting the spatial similarity/dissimilarity between functional connectivity profiles was also used (Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018). In human studies, more parcellation schemes become available for identifying functional parcels, for example, the frontier brain cortical atlas developed from both resting-state and task fMRI data (Schaefer et al. 2018), the Gordon cortical atlas that was purely developed from resting-state fMRI data (Gordon et al. 2016), and the Brainnetome atlas developed from structural MRI, rs-fMRI, and diffusion MRI which covers both the cortical and subcortical regions (Fan et al. 2016). A detailed comparison between different human brain atlases was discussed in (Eickhoff, Yeo, and Genon 2018).

To determine the brain organization, clustering or graph theory-based community detection methods are often used (Gordon et al. 2016; Liang et al. 2011; Power et al. 2011; Yeo et al. 2011).

In particular, these methods cluster the functional connectivity map computed by the Pearson correlation from the BOLD signals extracted from the anatomical or functional parcels into distinct non-overlapping functional networks. In human studies, the clustering algorithm developed in (Lashkari et al. 2010) has been used for identifying seven common functional networks in brain cortex (Yeo et al. 2011). Similar networks were obtained (Gordon et al. 2016; Power et al. 2011) by using the Infomap graphical analytical algorithm (Rosvall and Bergstrom 2008).

Previous studies have noted rich club organization of neural structure centrality and network identification for the human (Grayson et al. 2014; van den Heuvel and Sporns 2011), and mouse brain (de Reus and van den Heuvel 2014), as well as for the a variety of other animal models: macaque (Harriger, Heuvel, and Sporns 2012); mouse lemurs (Garin et al. 2021); cat (Zamora-López, Zhou, and Kurths 2009, 2010, 2011; de Reus and van den Heuvel 2013); avian (Shanahan et al. 2013); and neural systems of nematodes (*C. elegans*) (Towlson et al. 2013). For example, highly connected areas known as hubs tend to be densely interconnected, forming a “rich club” of brain areas (Sporns et al. 2007; van den Heuvel et al. 2011). In humans, these areas are found in regions of the brain such as the precuneus, frontal cortex, posterior parietal cortex, and the thalamus. A similar distribution of rich club nodes has been observed in rodents, for example in the cingulate cortex and other areas of the default mode network, and in the thalamus (Liska et al. 2015; Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018; van den Heuvel, Scholtens, and de Reus 2016). Existence of such rich club nodes for human and mouse brains supports the opinion that the state or extent of anatomical interconnection among brain regions govern the functional connectivity in spontaneous brain activity. This similarity between functional networks of the rodent and human brains allows for the determination of fundamental indicators in topology of neural circuits that can be used to translate to clinical studies of brain networks during normal and abnormal development. Most of these rich hubs are shown to be strongly mutually interconnected. One limitation of such analysis is the mismodeling of long-distance projections of neurons within each hub or rich club denoting by van den Heuvel et al. to which the degree of centrality needs to be relaxed and varies from study to study (van den Heuvel et al. 2016).

V. Time-varying features of rs-fMRI across species

In the last decade, researchers have increasingly turned to a time-varying assessment of functional connectivity, which provides information about the evolving state of the brain, rather than the time-averaged picture obtained when functional connectivity is calculated over the course of the whole scan. Animal models have played an essential role in distinguishing variance of interest from changes unrelated to neural activity. Here we review commonly reported findings across species, along with neuronal correlates where known.

Windowed approaches and brain states. Sliding window correlation is one of the most basic approaches of time-varying analysis and involves calculating correlation between pairs of brain areas (i.e., functional connectivity) for a time window from a scan, rather than for the entire scan. The window is then moved along the scantimecourse to give a timecourse of correlation.

Early studies in rodents examined sliding window correlation between a few pairs of areas and were instrumental in showing that apparent dynamics could arise from the properties of the BOLD signal itself (Keilholz et al. 2013). Nevertheless, simultaneous microelectrode recording and rs-fMRI showed that sliding window correlation of the BOLD signal at least partially reflects changes

in the correlation of bandlimited power of local field potentials across areas (Thompson, Merritt, et al. 2013).

In humans, sliding window correlation is typically applied to parcellated brain signals, with the resulting matrices of functional connectivity over the course of the scan then clustered to identify common brain states (Allen et al. 2012). This approach is increasingly applied in rodent models as well (Grandjean, Giulia Preti, et al. 2017). Grandjean et al. used an ICA parcellation and calculated sliding window correlation for 45s windows and found distinct states that varied in terms of network dominance and interaction between the DMN, lateral cortical areas, and striatal areas, among others. Another study used the Allen Mouse Brain parcellation and identified states that varied in terms of their occurrence rates over different anesthesia levels (Yuncong Ma, Hamilton, and Zhang 2017).

In humans, there is evidence that the relative occurrence of brain states based on sliding window correlation reflects the relative arousal of the subject (Haimovici et al. 2017; Allen et al. 2012; Chang et al. 2013). It is not clear how this effect might manifest in anesthetized or sedated animals that are maintained at a stable condition. It may be that the variability across states is less than would be observed in humans, which does appear to be the case for Allen et al compared to Yuncong Ma et al, where connectivity is relatively similar across states. However, using an ICA-based parcellation, Bukhari et al. observed greater variability across states, and the occurrence of some states was linked to the level of anesthesia (Bukhari, Schroeter, and Rudin 2018).

Quasi-periodic patterns. Quasi-periodic patterns (QPPs) are spatiotemporal patterns of activity that occur repeatedly throughout the course of the scan. They were first reported in anesthetized rats, where they appeared as bilateral waves of activity propagating from lateral to medial areas (Majeed M; Keilholz, SD et al. 2009). Shortly thereafter, similar patterns were found in humans using a pattern-finding algorithm, where they involve cyclical activation and deactivation of the DMN and task-positive network (TPN) (Majeed et al. 2011). More recently, QPPs have also been observed in healthy mice (Belloy et al. 2018).

Multimodal studies in rodents have been key to developing a better understanding of the neurophysiology underlying QPPs. Early work showed that they are present in CBV-weighted data, as well as BOLD (Magnuson, Majeed, and Keilholz 2010). Using simultaneous microelectrode recording and MRI, further work showed that QPPs have links to infraslow electrical activity (Thompson et al. 2014; Pan et al. 2013). QPPs continue to occur after acute disconnection of the corpus callosum but are often unilateral, suggesting that the white matter connections may be necessary for the coordination of the pattern across hemispheres (Magnuson et al. 2014).

QPPs are similar across species in that they appear to involve analogous areas. In humans, activity propagates from the somatomotor network to the default mode network (Yousefi and Keilholz 2021). In rats, activity propagates from lateral somatosensory areas to medial cingulate cortex (Majeed et al. 2009, 2011), and in a recent study using whole brain imaging in mice, QPPs involved anticorrelation between DMN areas and TPN areas, reminiscent of findings in humans (Belloy et al. 2021).

In humans, QPPs involve the whole brain, including subcortical areas and the cerebellum (Yousefi and Keilholz 2021), and regression of global signal makes QPPs more similar across individuals in terms of the spatial extent of anti-correlations between the DMN and other cortical areas (Yousefi et al., 2018). Global signal regression also reduces variability in the QPPs in mice (Belloy

et al. 2018), but it is not yet known whether the pattern extends to subcortical or cerebellar areas. The amplitude of the QPP in subcortical areas is lower than in cortical areas in humans (Yousefi and Keilholz 2021), and the relatively small amount of data obtained from rats and mice - compared to the large Human Connectome Project database (Van Essen et al. 2013) - may hinder detection. Since surface coils are often used for rodent rs-fMRI studies, there is also a loss of sensitivity with depth that further limits the capability to detect small fluctuations. Finally, many studies in rats and mice do not acquire data from the cerebellum in order to maintain higher spatial and temporal resolution.

The timing of the QPPs varies across species. In humans, it is approximately 20 seconds in length, while in rodents, the length of the QPP varies depending on the anesthetic used (~5-10 s; Thompson et al. 2014). The variations observed are in line with the differences in lag times between infraslow activity and the BOLD signal, and variations observed in the hemodynamic response to stimulation under similar conditions, suggesting that a similar mechanism accounts for the differences in the length of the QPP (Pan et al. 2013).

Coactivation patterns. Coactivation patterns (CAPs) analysis is another method used to capture dynamically evolving brain activity. It was illustrated in (Liu and Duyn 2013; Tagliazucchi, Balenzuela, et al. 2012) that, by extracting the time points at which there is high signal intensity in a selected region of interest, spatial patterns similar to resting-state networks seen in functional connectivity-based analysis can be obtained using only a very small fraction of the data. These selected fMRI frames can be further clustered into several groups based on their spatial patterns. Although both sliding window correlation and CAPs both use k-means clustering to identify the time-varying features, sliding window correlation applies a clustering algorithm on functional connectivity matrices calculated based on a relatively long time window, which has a much coarser time scale than the spatial patterns in individual frames that are clustered in CAPs. Using the CAPs method, it was demonstrated that the DMN could be temporally decomposed into multiple DMN CAPs with different spatial configurations (Liu and Duyn 2013), suggesting that the DMN and TPN may have a many-to-one correspondence instead of a one-to-one relationship suggested by traditional non-dynamic methods (Liu, Zhang, et al. 2018). Using the CAPs method in awake and anesthetized rodents, Liang et al. have found that the networks of infralimbic cortex and somatosensory cortex could be decomposed into several spatial patterns in a similar way (Liang, Liu, and Zhang 2015). In mice, CAPs occur at specific phases of fMRI global signal fluctuations using rs-fMRI under anesthesia (Gutierrez-Barragan et al. 2019). Zhiwei Ma and Zhang (2018) investigated the temporal transitions among CAPs in both awake rodents and humans, and found the transitions are nonrandom in both cases (Ma and Zhang 2018). There have also been applications of CAPs in task fMRI, e.g. language task and spatial perception tasks (Bordier and Macaluso 2015) and visual attention tasks (Bray et al. 2015) in humans. We are unaware of similar reports in fMRI studies in rodents.

Like other dynamic approaches that are purely based on fMRI measurement, it is hard to interpret whether the distinct spatial patterns revealed by CAPs are attributed to fluctuations in neural activities or physiological noise, with fMRI being an indirect measurement of neural activities. Applying the CAPs method to animal models, like rodents, can play an important role in investigating the neural correlates of such temporal fluctuations because of the availability of more direct and invasive measurements of neural activity. Zhang et al. have used concurrent local field potential and fMRI measurements to identify potential neural correlates of CAPs on anesthetized rodents, and have found that the CAPs observed in rs-fMRI are linked to the time points with high

local field potential broadband power (Zhang, Pan, and Keilholz 2020). To what extent, and by what means the temporal fluctuations are linked to neural activity remains to be discovered. Figure 4 shows examples of *sliding window correlation*, *quasi-periodic patterns*, and *coactivation patterns*.




Figure 4: Overview comparison of windowed approaches, quasi-periodic patterns, and coactivation patterns. (A) Distinct brain states revealed by sliding window correlation are excerpted from (Tsurugizawa and Yoshimaru 2021) for mice (left) and from (Allen et al. 2012) for human brains (right). (B) The quasi-periodic patterns and their correlation with the whole brain images across time are excerpted from (Belloy et al. 2018) for mice (left) and from (Majeed et al. 2011) for humans (right). (C) Brain states determined by coactivation patterns are excerpted from (Adhikari et al. 2021) for mice (left) and from (Janes et al. 2020) for human brains (right).

VI. Applications

Studies of cognitive processes. Cognitive neuroscience has made extensive use of fMRI to study the mechanisms underlying cognitive processes like memory, learning and attention. In rodents, the main barrier to similar studies is the common use of anesthesia, which makes it impossible to perform similar behavioral studies in the scanner. However, using rs-fMRI, researchers are able to examine functional connectivity before and after a variety of behavioral training. In this case, changes that occur during the training itself are not observed and anesthesia can be used during the scans. In an *in vivo* study from 2016, Nasrallah et al. reported that functional connectivity changes can be observed between the hippocampal CA3 and thalamus, septum and cingulate cortex in sedated rats that had undergone a cognitive task including a training on a hidden platform water maze (Nasrallah et al. 2016). Another possibility is to use unanesthetized animals. For example, Liang et al. observed the effects of predator odor exposure in an inescapable environment on freezing behavior, anxiety levels, and rs-fMRI seven days following the occurrence of the event. They determined that a single traumatic episode can be detected in the “compromised” network of the amygdala and prefrontal cortex even a week following the event (Liang, King, and Zhang 2014).

Relationship to neural activity. The use of rodent models allows the direct investigation of the relationship between BOLD and neural activity using simultaneous noninvasive (e.g., fMRI or hemoglobin imaging) and invasive (e.g, local field potentials or calcium imaging) recordings (Keilholz 2014; Mitra et al. 2018; Pan et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2012; Thompson, Merritt, et al. 2013). Studies have shown that different BOLD dynamic patterns tie to neural activity at different frequency bands (Keilholz 2014; S D Keilholz et al. 2013; Thompson, Pan, and Keilholz 2015). The infraslow activity (<0.1Hz), in particular, has a distinctive spatiotemporal signature, which does not appear in higher frequency activities (Mitra et al. 2018; Pan et al. 2013; Thompson et al. 2012), whereas the higher frequency bands are tied to time-varying functional connectivity (Keilholz 2014).

In humans, the relationship between the BOLD signal and neural activity was studied using either separate or simultaneous recordings of EEG/ECoG/MEG and fMRI (Allen et al. 2018; Chang et al. 2013; Grooms et al. 2012; Tagliazucchi, Von Wegner, et al. 2012). For example, Kucyi and his colleagues studied the correspondence between the temporal profiles of ECoG frequencies and functional brain networks detected by fMRI (Kucyi et al. 2018). Their results indicated that functional connectivity reveals divergence or unique temporal profiles at different frequency bands, which agrees with the previous discovery in rat somatosensory cortex using simultaneous BOLD functional connectivity and intracranial recordings (Thompson, Merritt, et al. 2013). Other studies discovered that ECoG functional connectivity at different frequency band corresponds to different functional structures detected by BOLD (Hacker et al. 2017; Hipp and Siegel 2015). This relationship in humans was further supported by studies using simultaneously-recorded EEG and fMRI, which have found associations between BOLD functional connectivity and changes in power across multiple frequency bands including delta, theta, alpha, beta, and low-gamma (E. Allen et al. 2018; Tagliazucchi, Von Wegner, et al. 2012; Chang et al. 2013). However, due to the nature of the poor spatial resolution of EEG (roughly 6-20 cm² of synchronized cortical area is needed for scalp EEG spike detection) (Singh 2014), these studies are unable to directly link the electrophysiological basis of spatially specific variations in coupled activity between brain regions for functional connectivity. Studies using MEG have observed time-varying interregional

correlations of band-limited power that have similar spatial topography to BOLD functional connectivity networks (Pasquale et al. 2010; Vidaurre et al. 2018).

Animal models of brain disorders. The use of animal models to study human neurological disorders is advantageous for many reasons, some of the most notable being the many possibilities afforded by genetic manipulation (McGraw, Ward, and Samaco 2017), the greater control of subject variables, and the experimental flexibility that comes from investigative techniques unable to be used in humans, such as the combination of chemogenetics and MRI (Zerbi et al. 2019). Rodent models in particular have shown great promise in recent years due to their utility in studies involving genetic alteration and their various similarities to the human brain. However, choosing a rodent model must be done carefully as many variables, such as the difference in brain structure and function between rodents and humans as well as the type of rodent used (Ellenbroek and Youn 2016; Jonckers et al. 2011), act as contributing factors to the efficacy of the model.

Rodents have served as the foundation for models of a vast number of diseases, many of which have shown alterations in the DMN in humans (Mohan et al. 2016). The finding that rodents exhibit a homologous DMN network consisting of both cortical and subcortical structures supports their use as a model for brain disorders. There already exist various rodent disease models for disorders such as Alzheimer's Disease (Braidy et al. 2011; Puzzo et al. 2015), Parkinson's Disease (Campos et al. 2013; Harvey, Wang, and Hoffer 2008; Terzioglu and Galter 2008), Epilepsy (Curia et al. 2008; Sharma et al. 2007), Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (Sagvolden et al. 2005), Schizophrenia (Powell and Miyakawa 2006; Pratt et al. 2012), Post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Flandreau and Toth 2018; Verbitsky, Dopfel, and Zhang 2020), as well as Depression and other mood disorders (Gururajan et al. 2019; Pollak, Rey, and Monje 2010). However, in this paper we will focus mostly on those used for Alzheimer's Disease, Epilepsy, ADHD, and Autism Spectrum Disorder.

Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease that accounts for the majority of dementia cases (Guerreiro et al. 2020). A fully comprehensive and translatable AD rodent model does not exist due to many factors, some of the most prominent being that AD is a multifaceted, complex disease that is highly variable and heterogeneous across patients; also, the fact that there exist different types of AD and replicating their progression in rodents is difficult (Drummond and Wisniewski 2017). AD is characterized by the accumulation of β -amyloid (A β) into A β plaques and the presence of tau neurofibrillary tangles throughout the brain. These pathologic hallmarks are then followed by clinical symptoms including cognitive decline and memory loss (Anon 2020). The interaction and complex progression of these two pathologic elements is not fully understood, although they are the basis of many AD models used to date.

Many studies in humans have shown alterations in functional connectivity and network dysfunction in brains that have been affected by AD. Abnormal DMN connectivity, especially decreased DMN connectivity, has been shown to occur in prodromal and later stages of AD (Adriaanse et al. 2014; Badhwar et al. 2017; Gour et al. 2014; Jacobs et al. 2013), with reduced DMN connectivity being thought to correlate with AD severity (Brier et al. 2012; Petrella et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2010). Hyperconnectivity in both the Salience and Limbic networks has also been shown in humans with AD (Badhwar et al. 2017; Gour et al. 2014; Peterson and Li 2018). Similarly, decreased DMN connectivity (Tudela et al. 2019) and general functional connectivity abnormalities (Anckaerts et al. 2019) have been shown in TgF344-AD rats. Interhemispheric hippocampal functional connectivity has been shown to decrease in 3xTg-AD transgenic mice

when compared to controls (Manno et al. 2019) and decreased functional connectivity was also shown to correlate with tau protein expression in transgenic mouse models of tauopathy (Green et al. 2019). These findings are mostly congruent with alterations reported in humans.

Epilepsy. Epilepsy is a brain disorder characterized by excessive firing of neurons causing recurrent seizures and is a condition of altered neural network organization (Dumlu, Ademoğlu, and Sun 2020; Kramer and Cash 2012; Mohan et al. 2016). A recently published systematic review of 24 studies looking at RSN changes in idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE) reported reduced functional connectivity in DMN in IGE patients compared to healthy controls, regardless of the subtype of IGE. Several studies also found changes in non-DMN local connectivity, including hyperconnectivity in the cerebellum and hypoconnectivity in the left middle and inferior temporal gyri involved in the dorsal attention network, the precentral and postcentral gyri of the sensorimotor network (Parsons et al. 2020). However, in rat models of absence epilepsy (WAG/Rij), an increased interhemispheric connectivity in the somatosensory cortices was found (Mishra et al. 2011). Similarly, Pedersen et al. found increased connectivity within and between somatosensory cortices, putamen, and thalamus in patients with the *GABRG2* genetic variant, which is known to cause early-onset absence epilepsy and febrile seizures (Pedersen et al. 2019). The variable findings point to the importance of understanding the diversity in etiologies that lead to different pathological processes in epilepsy.

To focus on a relatively less heterogeneous group, we turn to studies of temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE). It is the subtype of epilepsy that has been studied most extensively in relation to disruptions in resting-state brain connectivity, the DMN in particular (Mohan et al. 2016). As in IGE patients, TLE patients have been shown to have decreased connectivity in the DMN (Haneef et al. 2012, 2014; Liao et al. 2010; Pittau et al. 2012; Roger et al. 2020). In addition to global network connectivity, also of interest in focal epilepsy is the regional connectivity in epileptogenic or seizure-onset zones. Studies have found conflicting results. With regions of interest defined including the bilateral hippocampi, Haneef et al. found increased connectivity in TLE patients in regions of the limbic system, including bilateral temporal lobes, insula, fornix, angular gyrus, and thalamus (Haneef et al. 2014). A recent study by Roger et al., which focused on examining the language and memory networks in mesial TLE patients, also found increased connectivity in limbic regions around the dysfunctional hippocampus (Roger et al. 2020). In contrast, Pittau et al. and Bettus et al. found decreased connectivity in the epileptogenic areas, including the hippocampi and amygdala (Bettus et al. 2009; Pittau et al. 2012). In a study of lithium-pilocarpine (LIP)-treated rat models of TLE, Jiang et al. showed a decreased connectivity in the hippocampal network including the hippocampus, thalamus, retrosplenial cortex, and somatosensory cortex (Jiang et al. 2018). On the other hand, increased connectivity was seen between the hippocampal network and the visual cortex, mesencephalon, and insula. The functional connectivity within the hippocampal network was positively correlated with spatial memory task performance and the connectivity between hippocampal network and visual cortex was negatively correlated with object memory performance. Christiaen et al. (2019) used an intraperitoneal kainic acid (IPKA) rat model of TLE to longitudinally study functional connectivity changes during the development of epilepsy (Christiaen et al. 2019). The group found decreasing connectivity most evident in the retrosplenial cortex, which is a region of the DMN in rats, over time following one episode of induction of status epilepticus.

Taken together, studies have shown the utility of rs-fMRI in understanding the disruptions in networks in epilepsy and possible correlations with neurocognitive functioning. Varying results

among studies is a reflection of the heterogeneity of the disorder, encompassing diverse etiologies and pathologies under the same diagnosis. Given the variability in connectivity changes both at the group and individual levels, longitudinal studies tracking change over time as well as those comparing pre- and post- treatment would be crucial to support using functional connectivity measures as a biomarker in epilepsy.

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Studies of brain functional connectivity in ADHD have commonly involved the DMN, frontoparietal, and corticostriatal networks. A systematic review by Posner et al. revealed that in ADHD, the negative correlational relationship between DMN and frontoparietal is either diminished or absent, and may represent the neural substrate for interruption in attentional control (Posner, Park, and Wang 2014). They also reviewed studies that reported hypoconnectivity within the DMN. In rodents, Huang et al. compared DMNs in the spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) strain, a commonly used rodent model for the combined subtype of ADHD, and a genetically related Wistar Kyoto rat (WKY) control strain (Huang et al. 2016). Compared to controls, SHR rats displayed hyperconnectivity in the DMN overall, which is not in line with results from many human studies. The majority of studies included in the aforementioned systematic review and meta-analysis were in children. The conflicting findings of connectivity changes point to the importance of understanding the heterogeneity in the patient population as well as different rodent models of ADHD. For example, results from SHR rats may align with those seen in adults with the combined type of ADHD, but not with findings in children and/or different subtypes. Future studies in rodent models of ADHD exploring these sources of sample heterogeneity—subtypes, age group, and medication effects—would be valuable in better understanding the neuropathology of ADHD and mechanisms of treatment, as well as discovering new potential targets of treatment.

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). A systematic review of rs-fMRI studies in ASD revealed both hypoconnectivity and hyperconnectivity in and between different regions and networks (Hull, Mandy, and Petrides 2016). A finding commonly reported by numerous studies was global hypoconnectivity in the DMN. However, there were studies that found both decreased and increased connectivity in different regions of the DMN that also varied with age of the participants (Uddin, Supekar, and Menon 2010; Washington et al. 2014). A recent meta-analysis by Lau et al. of rs-fMRI studies of ASD that used non-seed-based methods revealed that individuals with ASD exhibit a reduced local functional connectivity in the dorsal posterior cingulate cortex (dPCC) as well as an area that extends from the postcentral gyrus in the right medial paracentral lobule (Lau, Leung, and Lau 2019). The authors did not identify any regions of hyperconnectivity in the ASD group compared to controls. The same group also conducted a meta-analysis of seed-based studies of connectivity involving the PCC in ASD and showed that there is hypoconnectivity between the PCC and ventromedial prefrontal cortex in individuals with ASD compared to healthy controls (Lau, Leung, and Zhang 2020).

Various mouse models of genetic mutations known to cause autism have been studied and were also found to exhibit hypoconnectivity. A mouse model of ASD with a homozygous deletion of *Cntnap2* was found to have decreased connectivity between the cingulate cortex and the retrosplenial cortex, which represent the anterior component of the mouse DMN and a posterior hub, respectively (Liska et al. 2018). The DMN hypoconnectivity was correlated with reduced social behaviors in mice, which is in line with human studies that found a negative correlation between DMN connectivity and social and communication impairments in individuals with ASD (Assaf et al., 2010; Bertero et al., 2018; Liska et al., 2018; Liu and Huang, 2020).

Bertero et al. found reduced global connectivity in the medial prefrontal cortex in both human carriers and mice with 16p11.2 deletion, one of the most common copy number variations (CNVs) in ASD (Bertero et al. 2018). This mouse model was also found to have significantly reduced anterior-posterior connectivity along the axis of cingulate and retrosplenial cortex. In 2020, Tsurugizawa et al. developed and used a system to obtain awake fMRI in mice with 15q duplication, a model for another well-known CNV in ASD (15q11-13 duplication) (Tsurugizawa et al. 2020). When compared to wild-type mice, *15q dup* mice had widespread resting-state hypoconnectivity involving all 32 selected regions of interest playing a role in cognitive function and odor recognition.

Studies examining rodent models and humans with the same genetic mutations displaying comparable phenotypes of ASD are valuable in understanding the neuropathology of the disorder as well as potential targets for treatment. However, it is also crucial to acknowledge the diversity in the etiologies of ASD since only about 10% of ASD cases can be attributed to monogenic mutations (Liska and Gozzi 2016). As in the name, individuals with ASD display a wide spectrum of levels of functioning, with varying severity of symptoms. Functional connectivity alterations may serve as unique neural biomarkers in different subgroups of ASD.

VII. Open questions and challenges

Protocol Differences Produce Challenges of Reproducibility. Over the last decade, large, publicly available datasets have become expected procedure for human resting-state fMRI studies (Biswal et al. 2010; Milham et al. 2018). The efforts towards centralized and publicly-available databases have accelerated the pace of research on the systems-level activity of the brain. Many repositories include data acquired at different sites with different protocols while others obtain data from many subjects using the same protocol at one or few sites: BOLD5000 (Chang et al. 2019), NKI-Rockland sample (Nooner et al. 2012), Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development study (ABIDE I & II) (Casey et al. 2018; Di Martino et al. 2014), the UK Biobank (Littlejohns et al. 2020), Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS) Cross-Sectional (Marcus et al. 2007), OASIS Longitudinal (Marcus et al. 2010), OASIS-3 (LaMontagne et al. 2019), and the Human Connectome Project (Van Essen et al. 2013). Efforts by Markiewicz et al. (2021) have accelerated the centralization of smaller datasets into one database where over 17,000 participants across 493 datasets consisting of a mix of MRI, EEG, MEG, and positron emission tomography (PET) data are publicly available to use through the use of a common community standard increasing the utility of shared data to study brain functional connectivity across a wide array of data and datatypes (Markiewicz et al. 2021). For rodent rs-fMRI, the situation is akin to the situations in humans a decade ago, with a few shared datasets, many of which were acquired under different conditions, and none of which contain the sheer numbers of subjects that are available in human databases (Lee et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2020). The field is ripe for the type of large-scale studies that are performed in humans.

One of the benefits of large-scale studies like the Human Connectome Project is the widespread adoption of specific imaging protocols. In rodents, there is still no standard protocol, and acquisition parameters such as spatial resolution, sampling rate, and coverage vary substantially from lab to lab. Moreover, the situation is complicated by the use (or lack) of anesthesia. Both the type of anesthetic and the delivery protocol also vary across studies. The move to unanesthetized animals would mitigate this aspect of site-to-site variability, but imaging awake rodents remains challenging and less robust (Grandjean et al. 2020).

In humans, most studies use one of a handful of atlases to parcellate the brain. Standard atlases remain to be adopted in rodents, although some excellent candidates are emerging (Barrière et al. 2019; Zhiwei Ma et al. 2018; Grandjean et al. 2020). The ability to obtain high quality images of the majority of the brain is a fairly recent development in rodents, which partially explains the lag relative to human studies.

Variability within and across animals. Although neuroimaging studies from rats and mice are often lumped together in the single category of “rodents”, there are substantial differences across the species that have barely been explored. Even more, there are differences between strains for each species (Walkin et al. 2013; Yoon et al. 1999). While strain differences are sometimes exploited to examine the genetic basis for functional differences, they are most often ignored. Finally, even within a strain, many studies use a single sex to minimize unwanted differences (in susceptibility to anesthesia, for example). In humans it is standard practice to track sex as a potential covariate of interest, and future work in animals should do the same.

The limitations of animal models. It is well known that animal models often do not capture key aspects of human disorders such as neurodevelopmental disorders (Zhao and Bhattacharyya 2018); multiple sclerosis (Denic, Johnson, et al. 2011); neurological and psychiatric disorders (Fernando and Robbins 2011; Monteggia, Heimer, and Nestler 2018); psychoactive drug use and addiction (Müller 2018; Venniro et al. 2020); and obesity and diabetes modeling (Kleinert et al. 2018) where rodents do not exhibit similar human behavior coinciding with the disease. Indeed, the prior section describes cases where findings in humans and rodents are similar, and other cases where the findings are discordant. In cases where results differ substantially in humans and rodents, the underlying cause may be an inadequate rodent model of the disorder, a failure to capture the heterogeneity present in the population, or a disconnect between the mechanisms in rodents and humans. Similarly, while there are obvious parallels between rodent and human brains, there are also major differences that can make neuroscience research challenging. For sensory areas, there is a relatively simple way to determine that function is similar across species, but for higher level areas that integrate input and contribute to high level cognition, comparisons are less straightforward. For example, the default mode network is implicated in a wide variety of human behavior, and an analogous network has been proposed for rodents. How, though, do we determine if the network function is truly comparable? This is an ongoing challenge for animal research that is intended to inform or guide interpretation of work in humans.

Open Questions.

What causes the widespread coordination that we observe as functional connectivity? This is the fundamental question for rs-fMRI researchers, and animal studies play a key role in elucidating the underlying mechanisms using multimodal, invasive measurement techniques. At the most basic level, it appears that the general mechanisms that relate neural activity to the changes in blood oxygenation measured with fMRI are similar across species, which increases confidence that work in animals can help to interpret work in humans. However, much is still unknown, and it is easy to imagine that the frequencies of neural activity, the precise mechanisms of neurovascular coupling, and the pattern of neuromodulatory input might have important differences across species. We can only continue to investigate these relationships and the conditions under which they hold, in an attempt to identify commonalities that might be tested in a subset of human studies.

How do we obtain results that are most comparable across species? Certainly, robust protocols for imaging unanesthetized animals would be a large step towards improving comparability. In the meantime, obtaining data under multiple contrasting anesthetic conditions (Flatt et al. 2021; Mathew et al. 2021; Stenroos et al. 2021; Williams et al. 2010) can help to identify features that are anesthesia-specific and less likely to be conserved in awake animals, and common features that are more likely to be conserved. The use of awake animals also opens the door for more cognitive tasks, in contrast to the simple sensory stimulation used for most rodent fMRI.

Another important issue is that noise must be minimized in both species. In humans, motion is a major contributor to BOLD fluctuations, while in rodents, respiration plays a major role. These unwanted physiological contributions can affect the images in each species in different ways, so careful cleanup is needed (Drew et al. 2020). With these many limitations, these open questions are paramount for understanding the use of rodent modeling precluded in studies in human functional connectivity.

Conclusions.

Rodent models have played and will continue to play an important role in understanding the functional architecture of the brain as measured with rs-fMRI. While differences in acquisition strategies, particularly the use of anesthesia, make comparisons between rodents and humans less than straight-forward, the observation of comparable networks across species increases confidence that multimodal studies in animal models can help to interpret rs-fMRI in humans. Research in rodent models has already established a firm neural basis for both time-averaged and time-varying characterizations of functional networks, and further investigation will provide more insight into the mechanisms that coordinate systems-level neural activity and hemodynamics. Resting-state fMRI is already being applied in animal models of human disorders and, in some but not all cases, has detected alterations consistent with those observed in the patient population. Further efforts to understand the correspondence of rs-fMRI across species and the development of improved animal models may eventually allow researchers to probe the mechanisms that underlie alterations in functional connectivity.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Harry Watters for helpful discussions, and acknowledge funding from 1R01MH111416, 1R01NS078095, and 1R01EB029857. T.J.L. would like to thank the financial support from the George R. Riley Fellowship.

VIII. References

- Abbas, Anzar, Yasmine Bassil, and Shella Keilholz. 2019. "Quasi-Periodic Patterns of Brain Activity in Individuals with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder." *NeuroImage: Clinical* 21:101653. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101653.
- Abreu, Rodolfo, João Jorge, Alberto Leal, Thomas Koenig, and Patrícia Figueiredo. 2020. "EEG Microstates Predict Concurrent fMRI Dynamic Functional Connectivity States." *Brain Topography* 2020 34:1 34(1):41–55. doi: 10.1007/S10548-020-00805-1.
- Adams, Daniel L., Lawrence C. Sincich, and Jonathan C. Horton. 2007. "Complete Pattern of Ocular Dominance Columns in Human Primary Visual Cortex." *Journal of Neuroscience* 27(39):10391–403. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2923-07.2007.
- Adhikari, Mohit H., Michaël E. Belloy, Annemie Van der Linden, Georgios A. Keliris, Marleen Verhoye, Adhikari MH, Belloy ME, Van der Linden A, Keliris GA, and Verhoye M. 2021. "No Title." 91. doi: 10.3389/FNCIR.2020.612529.
- Adriaanse, Sofie M., Maja A. A. Binnewijzend, Rik Ossenkoppele, Betty M. Tijms, Wiesje M. van der Flier, Teddy Koene, Lieke L. Smits, Alle Meije Wink, Philip Scheltens, Bart N. M. van Berckel, and Frederik Barkhof. 2014. "Widespread Disruption of Functional Brain Organization in Early-Onset Alzheimer's Disease." *PLOS ONE* 9(7):e102995. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0102995.
- Albaugh, Daniel L., Andrew Salzwedel, Nathalie Van Den Berge, Wei Gao, Garret D. Stuber, and Yen Yu Ian Shih. 2016. "Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Electrical and Optogenetic Deep Brain Stimulation at the Rat Nucleus Accumbens." *Scientific Reports* 6:31613. doi: 10.1038/srep31613.
- Allen, EA, E. Damaraju, T. Eichele, L. Wu, and VD Calhoun. 2018. "EEG Signatures of Dynamic Functional Network Connectivity States." *Brain Topography* 31(1):101–16. doi: 10.1007/S10548-017-0546-2.
- Allen, Elena A., Eswar Damaraju, Sergey M. Plis, Erik B. Erhardt, Tom Eichele, and Vince D. Calhoun. 2012. "Tracking Whole-Brain Connectivity Dynamics in the Resting State." *Cereb Cortex* 24(3):663–76. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhs352.
- Anckaerts, Cynthia, Ines Blockx, Priska Summer, Johanna Michael, Julie Hamaide, Christina Kreutzer, Hervé Boutin, Sébastien Couillard-Després, Marleen Verhoye, and Annemie Van der Linden. 2019. "Early Functional Connectivity Deficits and Progressive Microstructural Alterations in the TgF344-AD Rat Model of Alzheimer's Disease: A Longitudinal MRI Study." *Neurobiology of Disease* 124:93–107. doi: 10.1016/J.NBD.2018.11.010.
- Anon. 2020. "2020 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures." *Alzheimer's and Dementia* 16(3):391–460. doi: 10.1002/alz.12068.
- Anon. n.d. "MGI-Mouse Facts."
- Arnold, T. Campbell, Yuqi You, Mingzhou Ding, Xi-Nian Zuo, Ivan de Araujo, and Wen Li. 2020. "Functional Connectome Analyses Reveal the Human Olfactory Network Organization." *ENeuro* 7(4):1–14. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0551-19.2020.
- Assaf, Michal, Kanchana Jagannathan, Vince D. Calhoun, Laura Miller, Michael C. Stevens, Robert Sahl, Jacqueline G. O'Boyle, Robert T. Schultz, and Godfrey D. Pearlson. 2010. "Abnormal Functional Connectivity of Default Mode Sub-Networks in Autism Spectrum Disorder Patients." *NeuroImage* 53(1):247–56. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.05.067.

- Assaf, Yaniv, Arieli Bouznach, Omri Zomet, Assaf Marom, and Yossi Yovel. 2020. "Conservation of Brain Connectivity and Wiring across the Mammalian Class." *Nature Neuroscience* 23:7 23(7):805–8. doi: 10.1038/s41593-020-0641-7.
- Badhwar, AmanPreet, Angela Tam, Christian Dansereau, Pierre Orban, Felix Hoffstaedter, and Pierre Bellec. 2017. "Resting-State Network Dysfunction in Alzheimer's Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring* 8(1):73–85. doi: 10.1016/J.DADM.2017.03.007.
- Barrière, D. A., R. Magalhães, A. Novais, P. Marques, E. Selingue, F. Geffroy, F. Marques, J. Cerqueira, J. C. Sousa, F. Boumezbeur, M. Bottlaender, T. M. Jay, A. Cachia, N. Sousa, and S. Mériaux. 2019. "The SIGMA Rat Brain Templates and Atlases for Multimodal MRI Data Analysis and Visualization." *Nature Communications* 10(1):1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13575-7.
- Bauer, Adam Q., Andrew W. Kraft, Grant A. Baxter, Patrick W. Wright, Matthew D. Reisman, Annie R. Bice, Jasmine J. Park, Michael R. Bruchas, Abraham Z. Snyder, Jin-Moo Lee, and Joseph P. Culver. 2017. "Effective Connectivity Measured Using Optogenetically Evoked Hemodynamic Signals Exhibits Topography Distinct from Resting State Functional Connectivity in the Mouse." *Cerebral Cortex* 28(1):1–17. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx298.
- Becq, Guillaume J. P. C., Tarik Habet, Nora Collomb, Margaux Faucher, Chantal Delon-Martin, Véronique Coizet, Sophie Achard, and Emmanuel L. Barbier. 2020. "Functional Connectivity Is Preserved but Reorganized across Several Anesthetic Regimes." *NeuroImage* 219:116945. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2020.116945.
- Belloy, M. E. Michaël E., Disha Shah, Anzar Abbas, Amrit Kashyap, Steffen Roßner, Annemie Van Der Linden, S. D. Shella D. Keilholz, Georgios A. G. A. Keliris, and Marleen Verhoye. 2018. "Quasi-Periodic Patterns of Neural Activity Improve Classification of Alzheimer's Disease in Mice." *Scientific Reports* 8(1):10024. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28237-9.
- Belloy, Michaël E., Jacob Billings, Anzar Abbas, Amrit Kashyap, Wen-Ju Pan, Rukun Hinz, Verdi Vanreusel, Johan Van Audekerke, Annemie Van der Linden, Shella D. Keilholz, Marleen Verhoye, and Georgios A. Keliris. 2021. "Resting Brain Fluctuations Are Intrinsically Coupled to Visual Response Dynamics." *Cerebral Cortex* 31(3):1511–22. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhaa305.
- Belloy, Michaël E., Maarten Naeyaert, Anzar Abbas, Disha Shah, Verdi Vanreusel, Johan van Audekerke, Shella D. Keilholz, Georgios A. Keliris, Annemie Van der Linden, and Marleen Verhoye. 2018. "Dynamic Resting State fMRI Analysis in Mice Reveals a Set of Quasi-Periodic Patterns and Illustrates Their Relationship with the Global Signal." *NeuroImage* 180:463–84.
- Belloy, Michaël E., Maarten Naeyaert, Anzar Abbas, Disha Shah, Verdi Vanreusel, Johan van Audekerke, Shella D. Keilholz, Georgios A. Keliris, Annemie Van der Linden, Marleen Verhoye, Belloy ME, Naeyaert M, Abbas A, Shah D, Vanreusel V, van Audekerke J, Keilholz SD, Keliris GA, Van der Linden A, Verhoye M, Michaël E. Belloy, Maarten Naeyaert, Anzar Abbas, Disha Shah, Verdi Vanreusel, Johan van Audekerke, Shella D. Keilholz, Georgios A. Keliris, Annemie Van der Linden, Marleen Verhoye, Belloy ME, Naeyaert M, Abbas A, Shah D, Vanreusel V, van Audekerke J, Keilholz SD, Keliris GA, Van der Linden A, and Verhoye M. 2018.

Dynamic Resting State FMRI Analysis in Mice Reveals a Set of Quasi-Periodic Patterns and Illustrates Their Relationship with the Global Signal. Vol. 180. Academic Press Inc.

- Bertero, Alice, Adam Liska, Marco Pagani, Roberta Parolisi, Maria Esteban Masferrer, Marta Gritti, Matteo Pedrazzoli, Alberto Galbusera, Alessia Sarica, Antonio Cerasa, Mario Buffelli, Raffaella Tonini, Annalisa Buffo, Cornelius Gross, Massimo Pasqualetti, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2018. "Autism-Associated 16p11.2 Microdeletion Impairs Prefrontal Functional Connectivity in Mouse and Human." *Brain* 141(7):2055–65. doi: 10.1093/BRAIN/AWY111.
- Bettus, Gaëlle, Eric Guedj, Florian Joyeux, Sylviane Confort-Gouny, Elisabeth Soulier, Virginie Laguitton, Patrick J. Cozzone, Patrick Chauvel, Jean Philippe Ranjeva, Fabrice Bartolomei, and Maxime Guye. 2009. "Decreased Basal FMRI Functional Connectivity in Epileptogenic Networks and Contralateral Compensatory Mechanisms." *Human Brain Mapping* 30(5):1580–91. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20625.
- Biswal, Bharat B., Maarten Mennes, Xi Nian Zuo, Suril Gohel, Clare Kelly, Steve M. Smith, Christian F. Beckmann, Jonathan S. Adelstein, Randy L. Buckner, Stan Colcombe, Anne Marie Dogonowski, Monique Ernst, Damien Fair, Michelle Hampson, Matthew J. Hoptman, James S. Hyde, Vesa J. Kiviniemi, Rolf Kötter, Shi Jiang Li, Ching Po Lin, Mark J. Lowe, Clare Mackay, David J. Madden, Kristoffer H. Madsen, Daniel S. Margulies, Helen S. Mayberg, Katie McMahon, Christopher S. Monk, Stewart H. Mostofsky, Bonnie J. Nagel, James J. Pekar, Scott J. Peltier, Steven E. Petersen, Valentin Riedl, Serge A. R. B. Rombouts, Bart Rypma, Bradley L. Schlaggar, Sein Schmidt, Rachael D. Seidler, Greg J. Siegle, Christian Sorg, Gao Jun Teng, Juha Veijola, Arno Villringer, Martin Walter, Lihong Wang, Xu Chu Weng, Susan Whitfield-Gabrieli, Peter Williamson, Christian Windischberger, Yu Feng Zang, Hong Ying Zhang, F. Xavier Castellanos, and Michael P. Milham. 2010. "Toward Discovery Science of Human Brain Function." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107(10):4734–39. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0911855107.
- Biswal, Bharat, F. Zerrin Yetkin, Victor M. Haughton, and James S. Hyde. 1995. "Functional Connectivity in the Motor Cortex of Resting Human Brain Using Echo-planar Mri." *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 34(4):537–41. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910340409.
- Bordier, Cécile, and Emiliano Macaluso. 2015. "Time-Resolved Detection of Stimulus/Task-Related Networks, via Clustering of Transient Intersubject Synchronization." *Human Brain Mapping*. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22852.
- Braidy, Nady, Pablo Muñoz, Adrian G. Palacios, Gloria Castellano-Gonzalez, Nibaldo C. Inestrosa, Roger S. Chung, Perminder Sachdev, and Gilles J. Guillemain. 2011. "Recent Rodent Models for Alzheimer's Disease: Clinical Implications and Basic Research." *Journal of Neural Transmission* 2011 119:2 119(2):173–95. doi: 10.1007/S00702-011-0731-5.
- Bray, Signe, Aiden E. G. F. Arnold, Richard M. Levy, and Giuseppe Iaria. 2015. "Spatial and Temporal Functional Connectivity Changes between Resting and Attentive States." *Human Brain Mapping* 36(2):549–65. doi: 10.1002/HBM.22646.
- Brier, Mathew R., Jewell B. Thomas, Abraham Z. Snyder, Tammie L. Benzinger, Dongyang Zhang, Marcus E. Raichle, David M. Holtzman, John C. Morris, and

- Beau M. Ances Dr. 2012. "Loss of Intranetwork and Internetwork Resting State Functional Connections with Alzheimer's Disease Progression." *Journal of Neuroscience* 32(26):8890–99. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5698-11.2012.
- Brunton, Bingni W., Matthew M. Botvinick, and Carlos D. Brody. 2013. "Rats and Humans Can Optimally Accumulate Evidence for Decision-Making." *Science* 340(6128):95–98. doi: 10.1126/SCIENCE.1233912.
- Buckner, Randy L. 2013. "The Brain's Default Network: Origins and Implications for the Study of Psychosis." *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 15(3):351. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2013.15.3/RBUCKNER.
- Buckner, Randy L., and Lauren M. DiNicola. 2019. "The Brain's Default Network: Updated Anatomy, Physiology and Evolving Insights." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 20(10):593–608.
- Bukhari, Q., A. Schroeter, and M. Rudin. 2018. "Increasing Isoflurane Dose Reduces Homotopic Correlation and Functional Segregation of Brain Networks in Mice as Revealed by Resting-State fMRI." *Scientific Reports* 2018 8:1 8(1):1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28766-3.
- Campos, Filipa Lopes, Miguel M. Carvalho, Ana C. Cristovão, Goun Je, Graça Baltazar, António J. Salgado, Yoon-Seong Kim, and Nuno Sousa. 2013. "Rodent Models of Parkinson's Disease: Beyond the Motor Symptomatology." *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* 0(NOV):175. doi: 10.3389/FNBEH.2013.00175.
- Carnevali, Luca, Andrea Sgoifo, Mimosa Trombini, Rainer Landgraf, Inga D. Neumann, and Eugene Nalivaiko. 2013. "Different Patterns of Respiration in Rat Lines Selectively Bred for High or Low Anxiety." *PLoS ONE* 8(5):64519. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064519.
- Casey, B. J., Tariq Cannonier, May I. Conley, Alexandra O. Cohen, Deanna M. Barch, Mary M. Heitzeg, Mary E. Soules, Theresa Teslovich, Danielle V. Dellarco, Hugh Garavan, Catherine A. Orr, Tor D. Wager, Marie T. Banich, Nicole K. Speer, Matthew T. Sutherland, Michael C. Riedel, Anthony S. Dick, James M. Bjork, Kathleen M. Thomas, Bader Chaarani, Margie H. Mejia, Donald J. Hagler, M. Daniela Cornejo, Chelsea S. Sicut, Michael P. Harms, Nico U. F. Dosenbach, Monica Rosenberg, Eric Earl, Hauke Bartsch, Richard Watts, Jonathan R. Polimeni, Joshua M. Kuperman, Damien A. Fair, and Anders M. Dale. 2018. "The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study: Imaging Acquisition across 21 Sites." *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* 32:43–54. doi: 10.1016/J.DCN.2018.03.001.
- Chan, Allen W., Majid H. Mohajerani, Jeffrey M. LeDue, Yu Tian Wang, and Timothy H. Murphy. 2015. "Mesoscale Infralow Spontaneous Membrane Potential Fluctuations Recapitulate High-Frequency Activity Cortical Motifs." *Nature Communications* 6:7738. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8738.
- Chang, Catie, Zhongming Liu, Michael C. Chen, Xiao Liu, and Jeff H. Duyn. 2013. "EEG Correlates of Time-Varying BOLD Functional Connectivity." *NeuroImage* 72(0):227–36. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.01.049.
- Chang, Nadine, John A. Pyles, Austin Marcus, Abhinav Gupta, Michael J. Tarr, and Elissa M. Aminoff. 2019. "BOLD5000, a Public fMRI Dataset While Viewing 5000 Visual Images." *Scientific Data* 2019 6:1 6(1):1–18. doi: 10.1038/s41597-019-0052-3.

- Christiaen, Emma, Marie Gabrielle Goossens, Robrecht Raedt, Benedicte Descamps, Lars Emil Larsen, Erine Craey, Evelien Carrette, Kristl Vonck, Paul Boon, and Christian Vanhove. 2019. "Alterations in the Functional Brain Network in a Rat Model of Epileptogenesis: A Longitudinal Resting State fMRI Study." *NeuroImage* 202. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116144.
- Chuang, Kai-Hsiang, Hsu-Lei Lee, Zengmin Li, Wei-Tang Chang, Fatima A. Nasrallah, Ling Yun Yeow, and Kavita Kaur D. /O. Ranji. Singh. 2018. "Evaluation of Nuisance Removal for Functional MRI of Rodent Brain." *NeuroImage*. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2018.12.048.
- Chuang, Kai Hsiang, and Jyh Horng Chen. 2001. "IMPACT: Image-Based Physiological Artifacts Estimation and Correction Technique for Functional MRI." *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 46(2):344–53. doi: 10.1002/MRM.1197.
- Clarivate, Analytics. 2017. "Web of Science."
- Curia, Giulia, Daniela Longo, Giuseppe Biagini, Roland S. G. Jones, and Massimo Avoli. 2008. "The Pilocarpine Model of Temporal Lobe Epilepsy." *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 172(2):143–57.
- Denic, Aleksandar, Aaron J. Johnson, Allan J. Bieber, Arthur E. Warrington, Moses Rodriguez, and Istvan Pirko. 2011. "The Relevance of Animal Models in Multiple Sclerosis Research." *Pathophysiology* 18(1):21–29. doi: 10.1016/J.PATHOPHYS.2010.04.004.
- Denic, Aleksandar, Slobodan I. Macura, Prasanna Mishra, Jeffrey D. Gamez, Moses Rodriguez, and Istvan Pirko. 2011. "MRI in Rodent Models of Brain Disorders." *Neurotherapeutics* 8(1):3–18.
- Díaz-Parra, Antonio, Zachary Osborn, Santiago Canals, David Moratal, and Olaf Sporns. 2017. "Structural and Functional, Empirical and Modeled Connectivity in the Cerebral Cortex of the Rat." *NeuroImage* 159:170–84. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.046.
- Van Dijk, Koene R. A., Trey Hedden, Archana Venkataraman, Karleyton C. Evans, Sara W. Lazar, and Randy L. Buckner. 2010. "Intrinsic Functional Connectivity as a Tool for Human Connectomics: Theory, Properties, and Optimization." *Journal of Neurophysiology* 103(1):297–321. doi: 10.1152/JN.00783.2009/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/Z9K0011098670015.JPEG.
- Drew, Patrick J., Celine Mateo, Kevin L. Turner, Xin Yu, and David Kleinfeld. 2020. "Ultra-Slow Oscillations in fMRI and Resting-State Connectivity: Neuronal and Vascular Contributions and Technical Confounds." *Neuron* 107(5):782–804. doi: 10.1016/J.NEURON.2020.07.020.
- Drummond, Eleanor, and Thomas Wisniewski. 2017. "Alzheimer's Disease: Experimental Models and Reality." *Acta Neuropathologica* 133(2):155–75.
- Du, Yuhui, Susanna L. Fryer, Zening Fu, Dongdong Lin, Jing Sui, Jiayu Chen, Eswar Damaraju, Eva Mennigen, Barbara Stuart, Rachel L. Loewy, Daniel H. Mathalon, and Vince D. Calhoun. 2018. "Dynamic Functional Connectivity Impairments in Early Schizophrenia and Clinical High-Risk for Psychosis." *NeuroImage* 180:632–45. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.10.022.
- Dumlu, Seda Nilgün, Ahmet Ademoğlu, and Wei Sun. 2020. "Investigation of Functional Variability and Connectivity in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy: A Resting State fMRI Study." *Neuroscience Letters* 733. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135076.

- Edlow, Brian L., Azma Mareyam, Andreas Horn, Jonathan R. Polimeni, Thomas Witzel, M. Dylan Tisdall, Jean C. Augustinack, Jason P. Stockmann, Bram R. Diamond, Allison Stevens, Lee S. Tirrell, Rebecca D. Folkerth, Lawrence L. Wald, Bruce Fischl, and Andre van der Kouwe. 2019. "7 Tesla MRI of the Ex Vivo Human Brain at 100 Micron Resolution." *Scientific Data* 6:1 6(1):1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41597-019-0254-8.
- Eickhoff, Simon B., B. T. Thoma. Yeo, and Sarah Genon. 2018. "Imaging-Based Parcellations of the Human Brain." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 19(11):672–86.
- Ellenbroek, Bart, and Jiun Youn. 2016. "Rodent Models in Neuroscience Research: Is It a Rat Race?" *DMM Disease Models and Mechanisms* 9(10):1079–87. doi: 10.1242/dmm.026120.
- Elliott, Maxwell L., Annchen R. Knodt, Megan Cooke, M. Justin Kim, Tracy R. Melzer, Ross Keenan, David Ireland, Sandhya Ramrakha, Richie Poulton, Avshalom Caspi, Terrie E. Moffitt, and Ahmad R. Hariri. 2019. "General Functional Connectivity: Shared Features of Resting-State and Task fMRI Drive Reliable and Heritable Individual Differences in Functional Brain Networks." *NeuroImage* 189:516–32. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2019.01.068.
- Engels, Gwenda, Annemarie Vlaar, Brónagh McCoy, Erik Scherder, and Linda Douw. 2018. "Dynamic Functional Connectivity and Symptoms of Parkinson's Disease: A Resting-State fMRI Study." *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 10:388. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00388.
- Van Essen, David C., Stephen M. Smith, Deanna M. Barch, Timothy E. J. Behrens, Essa Yacoub, and Kamil Ugurbil. 2013. "The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: An Overview." *NeuroImage* 80:62–79. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2013.05.041.
- Fan, Lingzhong, Hai Li, Junjie Zhuo, Yu Zhang, Jiaojian Wang, Liangfu Chen, Zhengyi Yang, Congying Chu, Sangma Xie, Angela R. Laird, Peter T. Fox, Simon B. Eickhoff, Chunshui Yu, and Tianzi Jiang. 2016. "The Human Brainnetome Atlas: A New Brain Atlas Based on Connectional Architecture." *Cerebral Cortex* 26(8):3508–26. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw157.
- Feinberg, David A., Steen Moeller, Stephen M. Smith, Edward Auerbach, Sudhir Ramanna, Matt F. Glasser, Karla L. Miller, Kamil Ugurbil, and Essa Yacoub. 2010. "Multiplexed Echo Planar Imaging for Sub-Second Whole Brain fMRI and Fast Diffusion Imaging." *PLOS ONE* 5(12):e15710. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0015710.
- Fernando, A. B. P., and T. W. Robbins. 2011. "Animal Models of Neuropsychiatric Disorders." <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032210-104454> 7:39–61. doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV-CLINPSY-032210-104454.
- Fischl, Bruce, and Anders M. Dale. 2000a. "Measuring the Thickness of the Human Cerebral Cortex from Magnetic Resonance Images." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 97(20):11050–55. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.200033797.
- Fischl, Bruce, and Anders M. Dale. 2000b. "Measuring the Thickness of the Human Cerebral Cortex from Magnetic Resonance Images." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 97(20):11050–55. doi: 10.1073/pnas.200033797.
- Flandreau, Elizabeth I., and Mate Toth. 2018. "Animal Models of PTSD: A Critical

- Review.” Pp. 47–68 in *Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences*. Vol. 38. Springer Verlag.
- Flatt, Emmanuelle, Bernard Lanz, Yves Pilloud, Andrea Capozzi, Mathilde Hauge Lerche, Rolf Gruetter, and Mor Mishkovsky. 2021. “Measuring Glycolytic Activity with Hyperpolarized [2H7, U-13C6] D-Glucose in the Naive Mouse Brain under Different Anesthetic Conditions.” *Metabolites* 2021, Vol. 11, Page 413 11(7):413. doi: 10.3390/METABO11070413.
- Fox, M. D., A. Z. Snyder, J. L. Vincent, M. Corbetta, D. C. Van Essen, and M. E. Raichle. 2005. “The Human Brain Is Intrinsically Organized into Dynamic, Anticorrelated Functional Networks.” *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(27):9673–78. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504136102.
- Franzmeier, N., M. Á. Araqu. Caballero, A. N. W. Taylor, L. Simon-Vermot, K. Buerger, B. Ertl-Wagner, C. Mueller, C. Catak, D. Janowitz, E. Baykara, B. Gesierich, M. Duering, and M. Ewers. 2017. “Resting-State Global Functional Connectivity as a Biomarker of Cognitive Reserve in Mild Cognitive Impairment.” *Brain Imaging and Behavior* 11(2):368–82. doi: 10.1007/s11682-016-9599-1.
- Fulcher, Ben D., John D. Murray, Valerio Zerbi, and Xiao-Jing Wang. 2019. “Multimodal Gradients across Mouse Cortex.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(10):4689–95. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1814144116.
- Ganjoo, Pragati, Neil E. E. Farber, Antal Hudetz, Jeremy J. J. Smith, Enric Samsó, John P. P. Kampine, and William T. T. Schmeling. 1998. “In Vivo Effects of Dexmedetomidine on Laser-Doppler Flow and Pial Arteriolar Diameter.” *Anesthesiology* 88(2):429–39.
- Garin, Clément M., Nachiket A. Nadkarni, Brigitte Landeau, Gaël Chételat, Jean Luc Picq, Salma Bougacha, and Marc Dhenain. 2021. “Resting State Functional Atlas and Cerebral Networks in Mouse Lemur Primates at 11.7 Tesla.” *NeuroImage* 226:117589. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2020.117589.
- Gilbert, Kyle M., David J. Schaeffer, Joseph S. Gati, L. Martyn Klassen, Stefan Everling, and Ravi S. Menon. 2019. “Open-Source Hardware Designs for MRI of Mice, Rats, and Marmosets: Integrated Animal Holders and Radiofrequency Coils.” *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 312:65–72. doi: 10.1016/J.JNEUMETH.2018.11.015.
- Gordon, Evan M., Timothy O. Laumann, Babatunde Adeyemo, Jeremy F. Huckins, William M. Kelley, and Steven E. Petersen. 2016. “Generation and Evaluation of a Cortical Area Parcellation from Resting-State Correlations.” *Cereb Cortex* 26(1):288–303. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhu239.
- Gour, Natalina, Olivier Felician, Mira Didic, Lejla Koric, Claude Gueriot, Valérie Chanoine, Sylviane Confort-Gouny, Maxime Guye, Mathieu Ceccaldi, and Jean Philippe Ranjeva. 2014. “Functional Connectivity Changes Differ in Early and Late-Onset Alzheimer’s Disease.” *Human Brain Mapping* 35(7):2978–94. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22379.
- Gozzi, Alessandro, and Adam J. Schwarz. 2015. “Large-Scale Functional Connectivity Networks in the Rodent Brain.” *NeuroImage* 127:496–509. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.12.017.
- Grandjean, Joanes, Carola Canella, Cynthia Anckaerts, Gülebru Ayrancı, Salma Bougacha, Thomas Bienert, David Buehlmann, Ludovico Coletta, Daniel Gallino, Natalia Gass, Clément M. Garin, Nachiket Abhay Nadkarni, Neele S. Hübner,

- Meltem Karatas, Yuji Komaki, Silke Kreitz, Francesca Mandino, Anna E. Mechling, Chika Sato, Katja Sauer, Disha Shah, Sandra Strobelt, Norio Takata, Isabel Wank, Tong Wu, Noriaki Yahata, Ling Yun Yeow, Yohan Yee, Ichio Aoki, M. Mallar Chakravarty, Wei Tang Chang, Marc Dhenain, Dominik von Elverfeldt, Laura Adela Harsan, Andreas Hess, Tianzi Jiang, Georgios A. Keliris, Jason P. Lerch, Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg, Hideyuki Okano, Markus Rudin, Alexander Sartorius, Annemie Van der Linden, Marleen Verhoye, Wolfgang Weber-Fahr, Nicole Wenderoth, Valerio Zerbi, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2020. "Common Functional Networks in the Mouse Brain Revealed by Multi-Centre Resting-State fMRI Analysis." *NeuroImage* 205:116278. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116278.
- Grandjean, Joanes, Maria Giulia Preti, Thomas A. W. Bolton, Michaela Buerge, Erich Seifritz, Christopher R. Pryce, Dimitri Van De Ville, and Markus Rudin. 2017. "Dynamic Reorganization of Intrinsic Functional Networks in the Mouse Brain." *NeuroImage* 152:497–508. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.026.
- Grandjean, Joanes, Valerio Zerbi, Joshua Henk Balsters, Nicole Wenderoth, and Markus Rudin. 2017. "Structural Basis of Large-Scale Functional Connectivity in the Mouse." *The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 37(34):8092–8101. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0438-17.2017.
- Grayson, David S., Siddharth Ray, Samuel Carpenter, Swathi Iyer, Taciana G. Costa Dias, Corinne Stevens, Joel T. Nigg, and Damien A. Fair. 2014. "Structural and Functional Rich Club Organization of the Brain in Children and Adults." *PLOS ONE* 9(2):e88297. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0088297.
- Green, Claudia, Astrid Sydow, Stefanie Vogel, Marta Anglada-Huguet, Dirk Wiedermann, Eckhard Mandelkow, Eva-Maria Mandelkow, and Mathias Hoehn. 2019. "Functional Networks Are Impaired by Elevated Tau-Protein but Reversible in a Regulatable Alzheimer's Disease Mouse Model." *Molecular Neurodegeneration* 2019 14:1 14(1):1–13. doi: 10.1186/S13024-019-0316-6.
- Grooms, Joshua K., Garth J. Thompson, Hillary Schwarb, Eric Schumacher, Regina Schmidt, Charles Epstein, and Shella D. Keilholz. 2012. "Low-Frequency EEG Correlates of fMRI in the Resting State." *BMC Neuroscience* 2012 13:1 13(1):1–2. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-13-S1-P107.
- Guerreiro, Rita, Elizabeth Gibbons, Miguel Tábuas-Pereira, Celia Kun-Rodrigues, Gustavo C. Santo, and Jose Bras. 2020. "Genetic Architecture of Common Non-Alzheimer's Disease Dementias." *Neurobiology of Disease* 142:104946. doi: 10.1016/J.NBD.2020.104946.
- Gururajan, Anand, Andreas Reif, John F. Cryan, and David A. Slattery. 2019. "The Future of Rodent Models in Depression Research." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2019 20:11 20(11):686–701. doi: 10.1038/s41583-019-0221-6.
- Gutierrez-Barragan, Daniel, M. Albert Basson, Stefano Panzeri, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2019. "Infraslow State Fluctuations Govern Spontaneous fMRI Network Dynamics." *Current Biology : CB* 29(14):2295--2306.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.06.017.
- Hacker, CD, Snyder AZ, Pahwa M, Corbetta M, and Leuthardt EC. 2017. "Frequency-Specific Electrophysiologic Correlates of Resting State fMRI Networks." *NeuroImage* 149:446–57. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.01.054.
- Haimovici, Ariel, Enzo Tagliazucchi, Pablo Balenzuela, and Helmut Laufs. 2017. "On

- Wakefulness Fluctuations as a Source of BOLD Functional Connectivity Dynamics." *Scientific Reports* 7(1):5908. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-06389-4.
- Haneef, Zulfi, Agatha Lenartowicz, Hsiang J. Yeh, Jerome Engel, and John M. Stern. 2012. "Effect of Lateralized Temporal Lobe Epilepsy on the Default Mode Network." *Epilepsy and Behavior* 25(3):350–57. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2012.07.019.
- Haneef, Zulfi, Agatha Lenartowicz, Hsiang J. Yeh, Harvey S. Levin, Jerome Engel, and John M. Stern. 2014. "Functional Connectivity of Hippocampal Networks in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy." *Epilepsia* 55(1):137–45. doi: 10.1111/epi.12476.
- Harriger, Logan, Martijn P. van den Heuvel, and Olaf Sporns. 2012. "Rich Club Organization of Macaque Cerebral Cortex and Its Role in Network Communication." *PLOS ONE* 7(9):e46497. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0046497.
- Harvey, B. K., Y. Wang, and Barry J. Hoffer. 2008. "Transgenic Rodent Models of Parkinson's Disease." *Acta Neurochirurgica, Supplementum* (101):89–92. doi: 10.1007/978-3-211-78205-7_15.
- Harvey, Christopher D., Philip Coen, and David W. Tank. 2012. "Choice-Specific Sequences in Parietal Cortex during a Virtual-Navigation Decision Task." *Nature* 2012 484:7392 484(7392):62–68. doi: 10.1038/nature10918.
- Heukelum, Sabrina van, Rogier B. Mars, Martin Guthrie, Jan K. Buitelaar, Christian F. Beckmann, Paul H. E. Tiesinga, Brent A. Vogt, Jeffrey C. Glennon, Martha N. Havenith, Sabrina van Heukelum, Rogier B. Mars, Martin Guthrie, Jan K. Buitelaar, Christian F. Beckmann, Paul H. E. Tiesinga, Brent A. Vogt, Jeffrey C. Glennon, Martha N. Havenith, Sabrina van Heukelum, Rogier B. Mars, Martin Guthrie, Jan K. Buitelaar, Christian F. Beckmann, Paul H. E. Tiesinga, Brent A. Vogt, Jeffrey C. Glennon, and Martha N. Havenith. 2020. "Where Is Cingulate Cortex? A Cross-Species View." *Trends in Neurosciences* 43(5):285–99. doi: 10.1016/J.TINS.2020.03.007.
- van den Heuvel, M. P., and O. Sporns. 2011. "Rich-Club Organization of the Human Connectome." *J Neurosci* 31(44):15775–86. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3539-11.2011.
- van den Heuvel, Martijn P., Lianne H. Scholtens, and Marcel A. de Reus. 2016. "Topological Organization of Connectivity Strength in the Rat Connectome." *Brain Structure and Function* 221(3):1719–36. doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-0999-6.
- Hewson-Stoate, Nicola, Myles Jones, John Martindale, Jason Berwick, and John Mayhew. 2005. "Further Nonlinearities in Neurovascular Coupling in Rodent Barrel Cortex." *Neuroimage* 24(2):565–74. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.08.040.
- Hipp, Joerg F., and Markus Siegel. 2015. "BOLD fMRI Correlation Reflects Frequency-Specific Neuronal Correlation." *Current Biology* 25(10):1368–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.049.
- Honey, C. J., O. Sporns, L. Cammoun, X. Gigandet, J. P. Thiran, R. Meuli, and P. Hagmann. 2009. "Predicting Human Resting-State Functional Connectivity from Structural Connectivity." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106(6):2035–40. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0811168106.
- Hsu, Li-Ming Ming, Xia Liang, Hong Gu, Julia K. Brynildsen, Jennifer A. Stark, Jessica A. Ash, Ching-Po Po Lin, Hanbing Lu, Peter R. Rapp, Elliot A. Stein, and Yihong Yang. 2016. "Constituents and Functional Implications of the Rat Default Mode Network." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(31):E4541--

- E4547. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1601485113.
- Huang, Sheng Min, Yi Ling Wu, Shin Lei Peng, Hsu Hsia Peng, Teng Yi Huang, Kung Chu Ho, and Fu Nien Wang. 2016. "Inter-Strain Differences in Default Mode Network: A Resting State fMRI Study on Spontaneously Hypertensive Rat and Wistar Kyoto Rat." *Scientific Reports* 6. doi: 10.1038/srep21697.
- Huilgol, Dhananjay, and Shubha Tole. 2016. "Cell Migration in the Developing Rodent Olfactory System." *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 73(13):2467. doi: 10.1007/S00018-016-2172-7.
- Hull, Laura, William Mandy, and KV Petrides. 2016. "Behavioural and Cognitive Sex/Gender Differences in Autism Spectrum Condition and Typically Developing Males and Females." *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1177/1362361316669087* 21(6):706–27. doi: 10.1177/1362361316669087.
- Huntenburg, Julia M., Ling Yun Yeow, Francesca Mandino, and Joanes Grandjean. 2020. "Gradients of Functional Connectivity in the Mouse Cortex Reflect Neocortical Evolution." *BioRxiv* 2020.03.04.976860. doi: 10.1101/2020.03.04.976860.
- Jacobs, Heidi I. L., Joaquim Radua, Helen C. Lückmann, and Alexander T. Sack. 2013. "Meta-Analysis of Functional Network Alterations in Alzheimer's Disease: Toward a Network Biomarker." *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 37(5):753–65.
- Janes, Amy C., Alyssa L. Peechatka, Blaise B. Frederick, and Roselinde H. Kaiser. 2020. "Dynamic Functioning of Transient Resting-State Coactivation Networks in the Human Connectome Project." *Human Brain Mapping* 41(2):373–87. doi: 10.1002/HBM.24808.
- Jiang, Yin, Chun Lei Han, Huan Guang Liu, Xiu Wang, Xin Zhang, Fan Gang Meng, and Jian Guo Zhang. 2018. "Abnormal Hippocampal Functional Network and Related Memory Impairment in Pilocarpine-Treated Rats." *Epilepsia* 59(9):1785–95. doi: 10.1111/epi.14523.
- Jonckers, Elisabeth, Johan van Audekerke, Geoffrey de Visscher, Annemie van der Linden, and Marleen Verhoye. 2011. "Functional Connectivity fMRI of the Rodent Brain: Comparison of Functional Connectivity Networks in Rat and Mouse." *PLoS ONE* 6(4). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018876.
- Jonckers, Elisabeth, Rafael Delgado Y Palacios, Disha Shah, Caroline Guglielmetti, Marleen Verhoye, and Annemie Van der Linden. 2014. "Different Anesthesia Regimes Modulate the Functional Connectivity Outcome in Mice." *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine : Official Journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 72(4):spcone-- spcone. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25480.
- Kalthoff, Daniel, Jörg U. Seehafer, Chrystelle Po, Dirk Wiedermann, and Mathias Hoehn. 2011. "Functional Connectivity in the Rat at 11.7T: Impact of Physiological Noise in Resting State fMRI." *NeuroImage* 54(4):2828–39. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.053.
- Katzner, Steffen, and Sarah Weigelt. 2013. "Visual Cortical Networks: Of Mice and Men." *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 23(2):202–6. doi: 10.1016/J.CONB.2013.01.019.
- Keilholz, S D, M. E. Magnuson, W. J. Pan, M. Willis, and G. J. Thompson. 2013. "Dynamic Properties of Functional Connectivity in the Rodent." *Brain Connect*

- 3(1):31–40. doi: 10.1089/brain.2012.0115.
- Keilholz, Shella D. 2014. “The Neural Basis of Time-Varying Resting-State Functional Connectivity.” *Brain Connectivity* 4(10):769–79. doi: 10.1089/brain.2014.0250.
- Keilholz, Shella D., Matthew E. Magnuson, Wen-Ju Pan, Martha Willis, and Garth J. Thompson. 2013. “Dynamic Properties of Functional Connectivity in the Rodent.” <https://Home.Liebertpub.Com/Brain> 3(1):31–40. doi: 10.1089/BRAIN.2012.0115.
- King, J. A., T. S. Garelick, M. E. Brevard, W. Chen, T. L. Messenger, T. Q. Duong, and C. F. Ferris. 2005. “Procedure for Minimizing Stress for fMRI Studies in Conscious Rats.” *J Neurosci Methods* 148(2):154–60.
- Kleinert, Maximilian, Christoffer Clemmensen, Susanna M. Hofmann, Mary C. Moore, Simone Renner, Stephen C. Woods, Peter Huypens, Johannes Beckers, Martin Hrabe de Angelis, Annette Schürmann, Mostafa Bakhti, Martin Klingenspor, Mark Heiman, Alan D. Cherrington, Michael Ristow, Heiko Lickert, Eckhard Wolf, Peter J. Havel, Timo D. Müller, and Matthias H. Tschöp. 2018. “Animal Models of Obesity and Diabetes Mellitus.” *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 2018 14:3 14(3):140–62. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.161.
- Kovacëvic, N., J. T. Henderson, E. Chan, N. Lifshitz, J. Bishop, A. C. Evans, R. M. Henkelman, and X. J. Chen. 2005. “A Three-Dimensional MRI Atlas of the Mouse Brain with Estimates of the Average and Variability.” *Cereb Cortex*. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhh165.
- Kramer, Mark A., and Sydney S. Cash. 2012. “Epilepsy as a Disorder of Cortical Network Organization.” *Neuroscientist* 18(4):360–72.
- Kucyi, Aaron, Jessica Schrouff, Stephan Bickel, Brett L. Foster, James M. Shine, and Josef Parvizi. 2018. “Intracranial Electrophysiology Reveals Reproducible Intrinsic Functional Connectivity within Human Brain Networks.” *Journal of Neuroscience* 38(17):4230–42. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0217-18.2018.
- Kundu, Prantik, Mathieu D. Santin, Peter A. Bandettini, Edward T. Bullmore, and Alexandra Petiet. 2014. “Differentiating BOLD and Non-BOLD Signals in fMRI Time Series from Anesthetized Rats Using Multi-Echo EPI at 11.7T.” *NeuroImage* 102:861–74. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.07.025.
- LaMontagne, Pamela J., Tammie L. S. Benzinger, John C. Morris, Sarah Keefe, Russ Hornbeck, Chengjie Xiong, Elizabeth Grant, Jason Hassenstab, Krista Moulder, Andrei G. Vlassenko, Marcus E. Raichle, Carlos Cruchaga, and Daniel Marcus. 2019. “OASIS-3: Longitudinal Neuroimaging, Clinical, and Cognitive Dataset for Normal Aging and Alzheimer Disease.” *MedRxiv* 2019.12.13.19014902. doi: 10.1101/2019.12.13.19014902.
- Lashkari, Danial, Ed Vul, Nancy Kanwisher, and Polina Golland. 2010. “Discovering Structure in the Space of fMRI Selectivity Profiles.” *NeuroImage* 50(3):1085–98. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.106.
- Lau, Way K. W., Mei Kei Leung, and Benson W. M. Lau. 2019. “Resting-State Abnormalities in Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Meta-Analysis.” *Scientific Reports* 9(1). doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40427-7.
- Lau, Way K. W., Mei Kei Leung, and Ruibin Zhang. 2020. “Hypofunctional Connectivity between the Posterior Cingulate Cortex and Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex in Autism: Evidence from Coordinate-Based Imaging Meta-Analysis.” *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 103. doi:

- 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.109986.
- Lee, Hsu-Lei, Zengmin Li, Elizabeth J. Coulson, and Kai Hsiang Chuang. 2019. "Ultrafast fMRI of the Rodent Brain Using Simultaneous Multi-Slice EPI." *NeuroImage* 195:48–58. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.03.045.
- Lee, Jin Hyung, Remy Durand, Viviana Gradinaru, Feng Zhang, Inbal Goshen, Dae Shik Kim, Lief E. Fenno, Charu Ramakrishnan, and Karl Deisseroth. 2010. "Global and Local fMRI Signals Driven by Neurons Defined Optogenetically by Type and Wiring." *Nature* 465(7299):788–92. doi: 10.1038/nature09108.
- Lee, Sung-Ho, Margaret A. Broadwater, Woomi Ban, Tzu Wen Winnie Wang, Hyeon Joong Kim, Jaiden Seongmi Dumas, Ryan P. Vetreno, Melissa A. Herman, A. Leslie Morrow, Joyce Besheer, Thomas L. Kash, Charlotte A. Boettiger, Donita L. Robinson, Fulton T. Crews, and Yen Yu Ian Shih. 2021. "An Isotropic EPI Database and Analytical Pipelines for Rat Brain Resting-State fMRI." *NeuroImage* 243:118541. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2021.118541.
- Lein, ES, Hawrylycz MJ, Ao N, Ayres M, Bensinger A, Bernard A, Boe AF, Boguski MS, Brockway KS, Byrnes EJ, Chen L, Chen L, Chen TM, Chin MC, Chong J, Crook BE, Czaplinska A, Dang CN, Datta S, Dee NR, Desaki AL, Desta T, Diep E, Dolbeare TA, Donelan MJ, Dong HW, Dougherty JG, Duncan BJ, Ebbert AJ, Eichele G, Estin LK, Faber C, Facer BA, Fields R, Fischer SR, Fliss TP, Frensley C, Gates SN, Glattfelder KJ, Halverson KR, Hart MR, Hohmann JG, Howell MP, Jeung DP, Johnson RA, Karr PT, Kawal R, Kidney JM, Knapik RH, Kuan CL, Lake JH, Laramie AR, Larsen KD, Lau C, Lemon TA, Liang AJ, Liu Y, Luong LT, Michaels J, Morgan JJ, Morgan RJ, Mortrud MT, Mosqueda NF, Ng LL, Ng R, Orta GJ, Overly CC, Pak TH, Parry SE, Pathak SD, Pearson OC, Puchalski RB, Riley ZL, Rockett HR, Rowland SA, Royall JJ, Ruiz MJ, Sarno NR, Schaffnit K, Shapovalova NV, Sivisay T, Slaughterbeck CR, Smith SC, Smith KA, Smith BI, Sodt AJ, Stewart NN, Stumpf KR, Sunkin SM, Sutram M, Tam A, Teemer CD, Thaller C, Thompson CL, Varnam LR, Visel A, Whitlock RM, Wohnoutka PE, Wolkey CK, Wong VY, Wood M, Yaylaoglu MB, Young RC, Youngstrom BL, Yuan XF, Zhang B, Zwingman TA, and Jones AR. 2007. "Genome-Wide Atlas of Gene Expression in the Adult Mouse Brain." *Nature* 445(7124):168–76. doi: 10.1038/NATURE05453.
- Lerch, Jason P., Jeffrey B. Carroll, Adrienne Dorr, Shoshana Spring, Alan C. Evans, Michael R. Hayden, John G. Sled, and R. Mark Henkelman. 2008. "Cortical Thickness Measured from MRI in the YAC128 Mouse Model of Huntington's Disease." *NeuroImage* 41(2):243–51. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.019.
- Li, Jingfeng M., William J. Bentley, Abraham Z. Snyder, Marcus E. Raichle, and Lawrence H. Snyder. 2015. "Functional Connectivity Arises from a Slow Rhythmic Mechanism." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112(19):1419837112---. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1419837112.
- Liang, Zhifeng, Jean King, and Nanyin Zhang. 2011. "Uncovering Intrinsic Connectional Architecture of Functional Networks in Awake Rat Brain." doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4557-10.2011.
- Liang, Zhifeng, Jean King, and Nanyin Zhang. 2012. "Intrinsic Organization of the Anesthetized Brain." *Journal of Neuroscience* 32(30):10183–91. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1020-12.2012.

- Liang, Zhifeng, Jean King, and Nanyin Zhang. 2014. "Neuroplasticity to a Single-Episode Traumatic Stress Revealed by Resting-State FMRI in Awake Rats." *NeuroImage* 103:485–91. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.08.050.
- Liang, Zhifeng, Xiao Liu, and Nanyin Zhang. 2015. "Dynamic Resting State Functional Connectivity in Awake and Anesthetized Rodents." *NeuroImage* 104:89–99. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.10.013.
- Liao, Wei, Zhiqiang Zhang, Zhengyong Pan, Dante Mantini, Jurong Ding, Xujun Duan, Cheng Luo, Guangming Lu, and Huafu Chen. 2010. "Altered Functional Connectivity and Small-World in Mesial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy." *PLoS ONE* 5(1). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008525.
- Liska, Adam, Alice Bertero, Ryszard Gomolka, Mara Sabbioni, Alberto Galbusera, Noemi Barsotti, Stefano Panzeri, Maria Luisa Scattoni, Massimo Pasqualetti, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2018. "Homozygous Loss of Autism-Risk Gene CNTNAP2 Results in Reduced Local and Long-Range Prefrontal Functional Connectivity." *Cerebral Cortex* 28(4):1141–53. doi: 10.1093/CERCOR/BHX022.
- Liska, Adam, Alberto Galbusera, Adam J. Schwarz, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2015. "Functional Connectivity Hubs of the Mouse Brain." *NeuroImage* 115:281–91. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.033.
- Liska, Adam, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2016. "Can Mouse Imaging Studies Bring Order to Autism Connectivity Chaos?" *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 10(NOV). doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00484.
- Littlejohns, Thomas J., Jo Holliday, Lorna M. Gibson, Steve Garratt, Niels Oesingmann, Fidel Alfaro-Almagro, Jimmy D. Bell, Chris Boulton, Rory Collins, Megan C. Conroy, Nicola Crabtree, Nicola Doherty, Alejandro F. Frangi, Nicholas C. Harvey, Paul Leeson, Karla L. Miller, Stefan Neubauer, Steffen E. Petersen, Jonathan Sellors, Simon Sheard, Stephen M. Smith, Cathie L. M. Sudlow, Paul M. Matthews, and Naomi E. Allen. 2020. "The UK Biobank Imaging Enhancement of 100,000 Participants: Rationale, Data Collection, Management and Future Directions." *Nature Communications* 2020 11:1 11(1):1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15948-9.
- Liu, Xiao, and Jeff H. Duyn. 2013. "Time-Varying Functional Network Information Extracted from Brief Instances of Spontaneous Brain Activity." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110(11):4392–97. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1216856110.
- Liu, Xiao, Nanyin Zhang, Catie Chang, and Jeff H. Duyn. 2018. "Co-Activation Patterns in Resting-State FMRI Signals." *NeuroImage* 180:485–94.
- Liu, Xiao, Xiao-Hong Zhu, Yi Zhang, and Wei Chen. 2013. "The Change of Functional Connectivity Specificity in Rats under Various Anesthesia Levels and Its Neural Origin." *Brain Topography* 26(3):1–15. doi: 10.1007/s10548-012-0267-5.
- Liu, Xiao, J. A. De Zwart, M. L. Scholvinck, Catie Chang, Frank Q. Ye, David A. Leopold, and Jeff H. Duyn. 2018. "Subcortical Evidence for a Contribution of Arousal to FMRI Studies of Brain Activity." *Nature Communications* In press(1):395. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02815-3.
- Liu, Xingdan, and Huifang Huang. 2020. "Alterations of Functional Connectivities Associated with Autism Spectrum Disorder Symptom Severity: A Multi-Site Study Using Multivariate Pattern Analysis." *Scientific Reports* 10(1). doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-60702-2.

- Liu, Yikang, Pablo D. Perez, Zhiwei Zilu Ma, Zhiwei Zilu Ma, David Dopfel, Samuel Cramer, Wenyu Tu, and Nanyin Zhang. 2020. "An Open Database of Resting-State fMRI in Awake Rats." *NeuroImage* 220:117094. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117094.
- Long, Yicheng, Hengyi Cao, Chaogan Yan, Xiao Chen, Le Li, Francisco Xavier Castellanos, Tongjian Bai, Qijing Bo, Guanmao Chen, Ningxuan Chen, Wei Chen, Chang Cheng, Yuqi Cheng, Xilong Cui, Jia Duan, Yiru Fang, Qiyong Gong, Wenbin Guo, Zhenghua Hou, Lan Hu, Li Kuang, Feng Li, Kaiming Li, Tao Li, Yansong Liu, Qinghua Luo, Huaqing Meng, Daihui Peng, Haitang Qiu, Jiang Qiu, Yuedi Shen, Yushu Shi, Tianmei Si, Chuanyue Wang, Fei Wang, Kai Wang, Li Wang, Xiang Wang, Ying Wang, Xiaoping Wu, Xinran Wu, Chunming Xie, Guangrong Xie, Haiyan Xie, Peng Xie, Xiufeng Xu, Hong Yang, Jian Yang, Jiashu Yao, Shuqiao Yao, Yingying Yin, Yonggui Yuan, Aixia Zhang, Hong Zhang, Kerang Zhang, Lei Zhang, Zhijun Zhang, Rubai Zhou, Yiting Zhou, Junjuan Zhu, Chaojie Zou, Yufeng Zang, Jingping Zhao, Calais Kin-yuen Chan, Weidan Pu, and Zhening Liu. 2020. "Altered Resting-State Dynamic Functional Brain Networks in Major Depressive Disorder: Findings from the REST-Meta-MDD Consortium." *NeuroImage: Clinical* 102163. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102163.
- Lu, H., Q. Zou, H. Gu, M. E. Raichle, E. A. Stein, and Y. Yang. 2012. "Rat Brains Also Have a Default Mode Network." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109(10):3979–84.
- Lu, H., Y. Zuo, H. Gu, J. A. Waltz, W. Zhan, C. A. Scholl, W. Rea, Y. Yang, and E. A. Stein. 2007. "Synchronized Delta Oscillations Correlate with the Resting-State Functional MRI Signal." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104(46):18265–69.
- Ma, Ying, Mohammed A. Shaik, Mariel G. Kozberg, Sharon H. Kim, Jacob P. Portes, Dmitriy Timerman, and Elizabeth M. C. C. Hillman. 2016. "Resting-State Hemodynamics Are Spatiotemporally Coupled to Synchronized and Symmetric Neural Activity in Excitatory Neurons." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113(52):201525369. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1525369113.
- Ma, Yuncong, Christina Hamilton, and Nanyin Zhang. 2017. "Dynamic Connectivity Patterns in Conscious and Unconscious Brain." *Brain Connectivity* 7(1):1–12. doi: 10.1089/brain.2016.0464.
- Ma, Yuncong, Zilu Ma, Zhifeng Liang, Thomas Neuberger, and Nanyin Zhang. 2020. "Global Brain Signal in Awake Rats." *Brain Structure and Function* 225(1):227–40. doi: 10.1007/s00429-019-01996-5.
- Ma, Zhiwei, Pablo Perez, Zilu Ma, Yikang Liu, Christina Hamilton, Zhifeng Liang, and Nanyin Zhang. 2018. "Functional Atlas of the Awake Rat Brain: A Neuroimaging Study of Rat Brain Specialization and Integration." *NeuroImage* 170:95–112. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.07.007.
- Ma, Zhiwei, and Nanyin Zhang. 2018. "Temporal Transitions of Spontaneous Brain Activity." *ELife* 7. doi: 10.7554/ELIFE.33562.
- Ma, Zilu, Yuncong Ma, and Nanyin Zhang. 2018. "Development of Brain-Wide Connectivity Architecture in Awake Rats." *NeuroImage* 176:380–89. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2018.05.009.
- Magnuson, Matthew E. M. E., Garth J. G. J. Thompson, W. J. Wen-Ju Pan, and S. D. Shella D. Keilholz. 2014. "Effects of Severing the Corpus Callosum on Electrical

- and BOLD Functional Connectivity and Spontaneous Dynamic Activity in the Rat Brain." *Brain Connectivity* 4(1):15–29. doi: 10.1089/brain.2013.0167.
- Magnuson, Matthew Evan, Garth John Thompson, Hillary Schwarb, Wen Ju Pan, Andy McKinley, Eric H. Schumacher, and Shella Dawn Keilholz. 2015. "Errors on Interrupter Tasks Presented during Spatial and Verbal Working Memory Performance Are Linearly Linked to Large-Scale Functional Network Connectivity in High Temporal Resolution Resting State FMRI." *Brain Imaging and Behavior* 9(4):854–67. doi: 10.1007/s11682-014-9347-3.
- Magnuson, Matthew, Waqas Majeed, and Shella D. Keilholz. 2010. "Functional Connectivity in Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent and Cerebral Blood Volume-Weighted Resting State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Rat Brain." *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 32(3):584–92. doi: 10.1002/jmri.22295.
- Majeed M; Keilholz, SD, W; Magnuson, W. Majeed, M. Magnuson, and S. Keilholz. 2009. "Stimulus Induced Modulation of Low Frequency Fluctuations in BOLD FMRI of the Rat." *Proc Int Soc Magn Reson Med* 14(2006):1581.
- Majeed, Waqas, Matthew Magnuson, Wendy Hasenkamp, Hillary Schwarb, E. H. H. Eric H. H. Schumacher, Lawrence Barsalou, and Shella D. D. S. D. Keilholz. 2011. "Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Low Frequency BOLD Fluctuations in Rats and Humans." *Neuroimage* 54(2):1140–50. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.08.030.
- Majeed, Waqas, Matthew Magnuson, Shella D. S. D. Keilholz, and W; Magnuson Majeed M.; Keilholz, S. 2009. "Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Low Frequency Fluctuations in BOLD FMRI of the Rat." *J Magn Reson Imag* 30(2):384–93. doi: 10.1002/jmri.21848.
- Manno, Francis A. M., Arturo G. Isla, Sinai H. C. Manno, Irfan Ahmed, Shuk Han Cheng, Fernando A. Barrios, and Condon Lau. 2019. "Early Stage Alterations in White Matter and Decreased Functional Interhemispheric Hippocampal Connectivity in the 3xTg Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease." *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 11:39. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00039.
- Marcus, Daniel S., Anthony F. Fotenos, John G. Csernansky, John C. Morris, and Randy L. Buckner. 2010. "Open Access Series of Imaging Studies: Longitudinal MRI Data in Nondemented and Demented Older Adults." *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 22(12):2677–84. doi: 10.1162/JOCN.2009.21407.
- Marcus, Daniel S., Tracy H. Wang, Jamie Parker, John G. Csernansky, John C. Morris, and Randy L. Buckner. 2007. "Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS): Cross-Sectional MRI Data in Young, Middle Aged, Nondemented, and Demented Older Adults." *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 19(9):1498–1507. doi: 10.1162/JOCN.2007.19.9.1498.
- Markiewicz, Christopher J., Krzysztof J. Gorgolewski, Franklin Feingold, Ross Blair, Yaroslav O. Halchenko, Eric Miller, Nell Hardcastle, Joe Wexler, Oscar Esteban, Mathias Goncavles, Anita Jwa, and Russell Poldrack. 2021. "The OpenNeuro Resource for Sharing of Neuroscience Data." *ELife* 10. doi: 10.7554/ELIFE.71774.
- Di Martino, A., C. G. Yan, Q. Li, E. Denio, F. X. Castellanos, K. Alaerts, J. S. Anderson, M. Assaf, S. Y. Bookheimer, M. Dapretto, B. Deen, S. Delmonte, I. Dinstein, B. Ertl-Wagner, D. A. Fair, L. Gallagher, D. P. Kennedy, C. L. Keown, C. Keyser, J. E. Lainhart, C. Lord, B. Luna, V. Menon, N. J. Minshew, C. S. Monk, S. Mueller, R. A. Müller, M. B. Nebel, J. T. Nigg, K. O'Hearn, K. A. Pelphrey, S. J. Peltier, J. D.

- Rudie, S. Sunaert, M. Thioux, J. M. Tyszka, L. Q. Uddin, J. S. Verhoeven, N. Wenderoth, J. L. Wiggins, S. H. Mostofsky, and M. P. Milham. 2014. "The Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange: Towards a Large-Scale Evaluation of the Intrinsic Brain Architecture in Autism." *Molecular Psychiatry* 19(6):659–67. doi: 10.1038/MP.2013.78.
- Mateo, Celine, Per M. Knutsen, Philbert S. Tsai, Andy Y. Shih, and David Kleinfeld. 2017. "Entrainment of Arteriole Vasomotor Fluctuations by Neural Activity Is a Basis of Blood-Oxygenation-Level-Dependent 'Resting-State' Connectivity." *Neuron* 96(4):936-948.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.10.012.
- Mathew, Alexander S., Catherine M. Gorick, E. Andrew Thim, William J. Garrison, Alexander L. Klibanov, G. Wilson Miller, Natasha D. Sheybani, and Richard J. Price. 2021. "Transcriptomic Response of Brain Tissue to Focused Ultrasound-Mediated Blood–Brain Barrier Disruption Depends Strongly on Anesthesia." *Bioengineering & Translational Medicine* 6(2):e10198. doi: 10.1002/BTM2.10198.
- McGraw, Christopher M., Christopher S. Ward, and Rodney C. Samaco. 2017. "Genetic Rodent Models of Brain Disorders: Perspectives on Experimental Approaches and Therapeutic Strategies." *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics* 175(3):368–79.
- Mikula, Shawn, Issac Trotts, James M. Stone, and Edward G. Jones. 2007. "Internet-Enabled High-Resolution Brain Mapping and Virtual Microscopy." *NeuroImage* 35(1):9. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2006.11.053.
- Milham, Michael P., R. Cameron Craddock, Jake J. Son, Michael Fleischmann, Jon Clucas, Helen Xu, Bonhwang Koo, Anirudh Krishnakumar, Bharat B. Biswal, F. Xavier Castellanos, Stan Colcombe, Adriana Di Martino, Xi-Nian Zuo, and Arno Klein. 2018. "Assessment of the Impact of Shared Brain Imaging Data on the Scientific Literature." *Nature Communications* 2018 9:1 9(1):1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04976-1.
- Miller, Robyn L., Maziar Yaesoubi, Jessica A. Turner, Daniel Mathalon, Adrian Preda, Godfrey Pearlson, Tulay Adali, and Vince D. Calhoun. 2016. "Higher Dimensional Meta-State Analysis Reveals Reduced Resting fMRI Connectivity Dynamism in Schizophrenia Patients." edited by D. Marinazzo. *PloS One* 11(3):e0149849. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149849.
- Mishra, Asht Mangal, Damien J. Ellens, Ulrich Schridde, Joshua E. Motelow, Michael J. Purcaro, Matthew N. DeSalvo, Miro Enev, Basavaraju G. Sanganahalli, Fahmeed Hyder, and Hal Blumenfeld. 2011. "Where fMRI and Electrophysiology Agree to Disagree: Corticothalamic and Striatal Activity Patterns in the WAG/Rij Rat." *J Neurosci* 31(42):15053–64. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0101-11.2011.
- Mitra, Anish, Andrew Kraft, Patrick Wright, Benjamin Acland, Abraham Z. Snyder, Zachary Rosenthal, Leah Czerniewski, Adam Bauer, Lawrence Snyder, Joseph Culver, Jin Moo Lee, and Marcus E. Raichle. 2018. "Spontaneous Infra-Slow Brain Activity Has Unique Spatiotemporal Dynamics and Laminar Structure." *Neuron* 98(2):297-305.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.03.015.
- Mohan, Akansha, Aaron J. Roberto, Abhishek Mohan, Aileen Lorenzo, Kathryn Jones, Martin J. Carney, Luis Liogier-Weyback, Soonjo Hwang, and Kyle A. B. Lapidus. 2016. *The Significance of the Default Mode Network (DMN) in Neurological and Neuropsychiatric Disorders: A Review*. Vol. 89. Yale Journal of Biology and

Medicine Inc.

- Moini, Jahangir, and Pirouz Piran. 2020. "Limbic, Olfactory, and Gustatory Systems." *Functional and Clinical Neuroanatomy* 467–95. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-817424-1.00015-X.
- Monteggia, Lisa M., Hakon Heimer, and Eric J. Nestler. 2018. "Meeting Report: Can We Make Animal Models of Human Mental Illness?" *Biological Psychiatry* 84(7):542–45. doi: 10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2018.02.010.
- Müller, Christian P. 2018. "Animal Models of Psychoactive Drug Use and Addiction – Present Problems and Future Needs for Translational Approaches." *Behavioural Brain Research* 352:109–15. doi: 10.1016/J.BBR.2017.06.028.
- Murphy, Kevin, and Michael D. Fox. 2017. "Towards a Consensus Regarding Global Signal Regression for Resting State Functional Connectivity MRI." *NeuroImage* 154:169–73. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.11.052.
- Nasrallah, Henry A., John W. Newcomer, Robert Risinger, Yangchun Du, Jacqueline Zummo, Anjana Bose, Srdjan Stankovic, Bernard L. Silverman, and Elliot W. Ehrich. 2016. "Effect of Aripiprazole Lauroxil on Metabolic and Endocrine Profiles and Related Safety Considerations among Patients with Acute Schizophrenia." *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 77(11):1519–25. doi: 10.4088/JCP.15m10467.
- Nooner, Kate Brody, Stanley J. Colcombe, Russell H. Tobe, Maarten Mennes, Melissa M. Benedict, Alexis L. Moreno, Laura J. Panek, Shaquanna Brown, Stephen T. Zavitz, Qingyang Li, Sharad Sikka, David Gutman, Saroja Bangaru, Rochelle Tziona Schlachter, Stephanie M. Kamiel, Ayesha R. Anwar, Caitlin M. Hinz, Michelle S. Kaplan, Anna B. Rachlin, Samantha Adelsberg, Brian Cheung, Ranjit Khanuja, Chaogan Yan, Cameron C. Craddock, Vincent Calhoun, William Courtney, Margaret King, Dylan Wood, Christine L. Cox, A. M. Clar. Kelly, Adriana Di Martino, Eva Petkova, Philip T. Reiss, Nancy Duan, Dawn Thomsen, Bharat Biswal, Barbara Coffey, Matthew J. Hoptman, Daniel C. Javitt, Nunzio Pomara, John J. Sidtis, Harold S. Koplewicz, Francisco Xavier Castellanos, Bennett L. Leventhal, and Michael P. Milham. 2012. "The NKI-Rockland Sample: A Model for Accelerating the Pace of Discovery Science in Psychiatry." *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 0(OCT):152. doi: 10.3389/FNINS.2012.00152/BIBTEX.
- Paasonen, Jaakko, Petteri Stenroos, Raimo A. Salo, Vesa Kiviniemi, Olli Gröhn, and Olli Gröhn. 2018. "Functional Connectivity under Six Anesthesia Protocols and the Awake Condition in Rat Brain." *NeuroImage* 172:9–20. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2018.01.014.
- Pais-Roldán, Patricia, Bharat Biswal, Klaus Scheffler, and Xin Yu. 2018. "Identifying Respiration-Related Aliasing Artifacts in the Rodent Resting-State fMRI." *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 12:788. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00788.
- Pan, W. J. Wen-Ju, Jacob C. W. J. C. W. Billings, J. K. Joshua K. Grooms, Sadia Shakil, and S. D. Shella D. Keilholz. 2015. "Considerations for Resting State Functional MRI and Functional Connectivity Studies in Rodents." *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 9(JUL):269. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015.00269.
- Pan, Wen Ju, Garth John Thompson, Matthew Evan Magnuson, Dieter Jaeger, and Shella Keilholz. 2013. "Infraslow LFP Correlates to Resting-State fMRI BOLD Signals." *NeuroImage* 74(0):288–97. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.02.035.
- Pan, Wen ju, Garth Thompson, Matthew Magnuson, Waqas Majeed, Dieter Jaeger, and

- Shella Keilholz. 2011. "Broadband Local Field Potentials Correlate with Spontaneous Fluctuations in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Signals in the Rat Somatosensory Cortex Under Isoflurane Anesthesia." *Brain Connectivity* 1(2):119–31. doi: 10.1089/brain.2011.0014.
- Parsons, Nicholas, Stephen C. Bowden, Simon Vogrin, and Wendyl J. D'Souza. 2020. "Default Mode Network Dysfunction in Idiopathic Generalised Epilepsy." *Epilepsy Research* 159.
- Pasquale, Francesco de, Stefania Della Penna, Abraham Z. Snyder, Christopher Lewis, Dante Mantini, Laura Marzetti, Paolo Belardinelli, Luca Ciancetta, Vittorio Pizzella, Gian Luca Romani, and Maurizio Corbetta. 2010. "Temporal Dynamics of Spontaneous MEG Activity in Brain Networks." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107(13):6040–45. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.0913863107.
- Pedersen, Mangor, Magdalena Kowalczyk, Amir Omidvarnia, Piero Perucca, Samuel Gooley, Steven Petrou, Ingrid E. Scheffer, Samuel F. Berkovic, and Graeme D. Jackson. 2019. "Human GABRG2 Generalized Epilepsy." *Neurology Genetics* 5(4). doi: 10.1212/NXG.0000000000000340.
- Petersen, Carl C. H. 2007. "The Functional Organization of the Barrel Cortex." *Neuron* 56(2):339–55.
- Peterson, Andrew C., and Chiang-shan R. Li. 2018. "Noradrenergic Dysfunction in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Diseases—An Overview of Imaging Studies." *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 0(MAY):127. doi: 10.3389/FNAGI.2018.00127.
- Petrella, J. R., F. C. Sheldon, S. E. Prince, V. D. Calhoun, and P. M. Doraiswamy. 2011. "Default Mode Network Connectivity in Stable vs Progressive Mild Cognitive Impairment." *Neurology* 76(6):511–17. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31820af94e.
- Pittau, Francesca, Christophe Grova, Friederike Moeller, François Dubeau, and Jean Gotman. 2012. "Patterns of Altered Functional Connectivity in Mesial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy." *Epilepsia* 53(6):1013–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2012.03464.x.
- Pollak, Daniela D., Carlos E. Rey, and Francisco J. Monje. 2010. "Rodent Models in Depression Research: Classical Strategies and New Directions." *Annals of Medicine* 42(4):252–64.
- Posner, Jonathan, Christine Park, and Zhishun Wang. 2014. "Connecting the Dots: A Review of Resting Connectivity MRI Studies in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder." *Neuropsychology Review* 24(1):3–15.
- Powell, Craig M., and Tsuyoshi Miyakawa. 2006. "Schizophrenia-Relevant Behavioral Testing in Rodent Models: A Uniquely Human Disorder?" *Biological Psychiatry* 59(12):1198–1207.
- Power, Jonathan D., Alexander L. Cohen, Steven M. Nelson, Gagan S. Wig, Kelly Anne Barnes, Jessica A. Church, Alecia C. Vogel, Timothy O. Laumann, Fran M. Miezin, Bradley L. Schlaggar, and Steven E. Petersen. 2011. "Functional Network Organization of the Human Brain." *Neuron* 72(4):665–78. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.006.
- Power, Jonathan D., Mark Plitt, Stephen J. Gotts, Prantik Kundu, Valerie Voon, Peter A. Bandettini, and Alex Martin. 2018. "Ridding fMRI Data of Motion-Related Influences: Removal of Signals with Distinct Spatial and Physical Bases in Multiecho Data." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 201720985. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1720985115.

- Power, Jonathan D., Mark Plitt, Timothy O. Laumann, and Alex Martin. 2017. "Sources and Implications of Whole-Brain fMRI Signals in Humans." *NeuroImage* 146:609–25. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.09.038.
- Pratt, Judith, Catherine Winchester, Neil Dawson, and Brian Morris. 2012. "Advancing Schizophrenia Drug Discovery: Optimizing Rodent Models to Bridge the Translational Gap." *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 11(7):560–70.
- Puzzo, Daniela, Walter Gulisano, Agostino Palmeri, and Ottavio Arancio. 2015. "Rodent Models for Alzheimer's Disease Drug Discovery." <http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/17460441.2015.1041913> 10(7):703–11. doi: 10.1517/17460441.2015.1041913.
- Raichle, Marcus E. 2015. "The Brain's Default Mode Network." <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014030> 38:433–47. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014030.
- Rajmohan, V., E. Mohandas, RajMohan, and E. Mohandas. 2007. "The Limbic System." *Indian Journal of Psychiatry* 49(2):132. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.33264.
- Reus, Marcel A. de, and Martijn P. van den Heuvel. 2013. "Rich Club Organization and Intermodule Communication in the Cat Connectome." *Journal of Neuroscience* 33(32):12929–39. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1448-13.2013.
- de Reus, Marcel A., and Martijn P. van den Heuvel. 2014. "Simulated Rich Club Lesioning in Brain Networks: A Scaffold for Communication and Integration?" *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 0(AUG):647. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00647.
- Roger, Elise, Cedric Pichat, Laurent Torlay, Olivier David, Felix Renard, Sonja Banjac, Arnaud Attyé, Lorella Minotti, Laurent Lamalle, Philippe Kahane, and Monica Baciú. 2020. "Hubs Disruption in Mesial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy. A Resting-State fMRI Study on a Language-and-Memory Network." *Human Brain Mapping* 41(3):779–96. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24839.
- Rombouts, Serge A. R. B., Frederik Barkhof, Rutger Goekoop, Cornelis J. Stam, and Philip Scheltens. 2005. "Altered Resting State Networks in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Mild Alzheimer's Disease: An fMRI Study." *Human Brain Mapping* 26(4):231–39. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20160.
- Rosvall, Martin, and Carl T. Bergstrom. 2008. *Maps of Random Walks on Complex Networks Reveal Community Structure*. Vol. 105. National Academy of Sciences.
- Sagvolden, Terje, Vivienne A. Russell, Heidi Aase, Espen Borgå Johansen, and Mehdi Farshbaf. 2005. "Rodent Models of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder." *Biological Psychiatry* 57(11):1239–47. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.002.
- Sahib, Ashish Kaul, Michael Erb, Justus Marquetand, Pascal Martin, Adham Elshahabi, Silke Klamer, Serge Vulliemoz, Klaus Scheffler, Thomas Ethofer, and Niels K. Focke. 2018. "Evaluating the Impact of Fast-fMRI on Dynamic Functional Connectivity in an Event-Based Paradigm." *PLoS ONE* 13(1). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190480.
- Sakoğlu, Ünal, Godfrey D. Pearlson, Kent a. Kiehl, Y. Michelle Wang, Andrew M. Michael, and Vince D. Calhoun. 2010. "A Method for Evaluating Dynamic Functional Network Connectivity and Task-Modulation: Application to Schizophrenia." *Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine* 23(5–6):351–66. doi: 10.1007/s10334-010-0197-8.
- Schaefer, Alexander, Ru Kong, Evan M. Gordon, Timothy O. Laumann, Xi-Nian Zuo,

- Avram J. Holmes, Simon B. Eickhoff, and B. T. Thomas Yeo. 2018. "Local-Global Parcellation of the Human Cerebral Cortex from Intrinsic Functional Connectivity MRI." *Cerebral Cortex* 28(9):3095–3114. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx179.
- Schaeffer, David J., Yuki Hori, Kyle M. Gilbert, Joseph S. Gati, Ravi S. Menon, and Stefan Everling. 2020. "Divergence of Rodent and Primate Medial Frontal Cortex Functional Connectivity." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117(35):21681–89. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.2003181117.
- Scholvinck, M. L., Alexander Maier, Frank Q. Ye, Jeff H. Duyn, David A. Leopold, Marieke L. Scholvinck, Alexander Maier, Frank Q. Ye, Jeff H. Duyn, David A. Leopold, and M. L. Scholvinck. 2010. "Neural Basis of Global Resting-State fMRI Activity." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107(22):10238–43. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913110107.
- Schwarz, Adam J., Natalia Gass, Alexander Sartorius, Celine Risterucci, Michael Spedding, Esther Schenker, Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg, and Wolfgang Weber-Fahr. 2013. "Anti-Correlated Cortical Networks of Intrinsic Connectivity in the Rat Brain." *Brain Connectivity* 3(5):503–11. doi: 10.1089/brain.2013.0168.
- Sforazzini, Francesco, Adam J. Schwarz, Alberto Galbusera, Angelo Bifone, and Alessandro Gozzi. 2014. "Distributed BOLD and CBV-Weighted Resting-State Networks in the Mouse Brain." *NeuroImage* 87:403–15. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.09.050.
- Shanahan, Murray, Verner P. Bingman, Toru Shimizu, Martin Wild, and Onur Güntürkün. 2013. "Large-Scale Network Organization in the Avian Forebrain: A Connectivity Matrix and Theoretical Analysis." *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 7(JUN). doi: 10.3389/FNCOM.2013.00089.
- Sharma, Alok K., Rachel Y. Reams, William H. Jordan, Margaret A. Miller, H. Leon Thacker, and Paul W. Snyder. 2007. "Mesial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy: Pathogenesis, Induced Rodent Models and Lesions." *Toxicologic Pathology* 35(7):984–99.
- Sicard, K., Q. Shen, M. E. Brevard, R. Sullivan, C. F. Ferris, J. A. King, and T. Q. Duong. 2003. "Regional Cerebral Blood Flow and BOLD Responses in Conscious and Anesthetized Rats under Basal and Hypercapnic Conditions: Implications for Functional MRI Studies." *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 23(4):472–81.
- Singh, Sanjay P. 2014. "Magnetoencephalography: Basic Principles." *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology* 17(SUPPL. 1). doi: 10.4103/0972-2327.128676.
- Smith, Stephen M., Thomas E. Nichols, Diego Vidaurre, Anderson M. Winkler, Timothy E. J. Behrens, Matthew F. Glasser, Kamil Ugurbil, Deanna M. Barch, David C. Van Essen, and Karla L. Miller. 2015. "A Positive-Negative Mode of Population Covariation Links Brain Connectivity, Demographics and Behavior." *Nature Neuroscience* 2015 18:11 18(11):1565–67. doi: 10.1038/nn.4125.
- Sokolowski, Katie, and Joshua G. Corbin. 2012. "Wired for Behaviors: From Development to Function of Innate Limbic System Circuitry." *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience* 0(APRIL):55. doi: 10.3389/FNMOL.2012.00055.
- Sorg, C., V. Riedl, M. Muhlau, V. D. Calhoun, T. Eichele, L. Laer, A. Drzezga, H. Forstl, A. Kurz, C. Zimmer, and A. M. Wohlschläger. 2007. "Selective Changes of Resting-State Networks in Individuals at Risk for Alzheimer's Disease." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104(47):18760–65. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708803104.

- Sporns, O., C. J. Honey, and R. Kotter. 2007. "Identification and Classification of Hubs in Brain Networks." *PLoS One* 2(10):e1049. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001049.
- Stafford, James M., Benjamin R. Jarrett, Oscar Miranda-Dominguez, Brian D. Mills, Nicholas Cain, Stefan Mihalas, Gareth P. Lahvis, K. Matthew Lattal, Suzanne H. Mitchell, Stephen V. David, John D. Fryer, Joel T. Nigg, and Damien A. Fair. 2014. "Large-Scale Topology and the Default Mode Network in the Mouse Connectome." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111(52):18745–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1404346111.
- Stenroos, Petteri, Jaakko Paasonen, Raimo A. Salo, Kimmo Jokivarsi, Artem Shatillo, Heikki Tanila, and Olli Gröhn. 2018. "Awake Rat Brain Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Using Standard Radio Frequency Coils and a 3D Printed Restraint Kit." *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 12(AUG):548. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00548.
- Stenroos, Petteri, Tiina Pirttimäki, Jaakko Paasonen, Ekaterina Paasonen, Raimo A. Salo, Hennariikka Koivisto, Teemu Natunen, Petra Mäkinen, Teemu Kuulasmaa, Mikko Hiltunen, Heikki Tanila, and Olli Gröhn. 2021. "Isoflurane Affects Brain Functional Connectivity in Rats 1 Month after Exposure." *NeuroImage* 234:117987. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2021.117987.
- Swanson, Larry W. 2018. "Brain Maps 4.0—Structure of the Rat Brain: An Open Access Atlas with Global Nervous System Nomenclature Ontology and Flatmaps." *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 526(6):935–43. doi: 10.1002/cne.24381.
- Tagliazucchi, Enzo, Pablo Balenzuela, Daniel Fraiman, and Dante R. Chialvo. 2012. "Criticality in Large-Scale Brain Fmri Dynamics Unveiled by a Novel Point Process Analysis." *Frontiers in Physiology* 3 FEB:15. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00015.
- Tagliazucchi, Enzo, and Helmut Laufs. 2014. "Decoding Wakefulness Levels from Typical FMRI Resting-State Data Reveals Reliable Drifts between Wakefulness and Sleep." *Neuron* 82(3):695–708. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.03.020.
- Tagliazucchi, Enzo, Frederic Von Wegner, Astrid Morzelewski, Verena Brodbeck, and Helmut Laufs. 2012. "Dynamic BOLD Functional Connectivity in Humans and Its Electrophysiological Correlates." *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 0(DEC):339. doi: 10.3389/FNHUM.2012.00339.
- Tanabe, Sean, Zirui Huang, Jun Zhang, Yali Chen, Stuart Fogel, Julien Doyon, Jinsong Wu, Jianghui Xu, Jianfeng Zhang, Pengmin Qin, Xuehai Wu, Ying Mao, George A. Mashour, Anthony G. Hudetz, and Georg Northoff. 2020. "Altered Global Brain Signal during Physiologic, Pharmacologic, and Pathologic States of Unconsciousness in Humans and Rats." *Anesthesiology* 1392–1406. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000003197.
- Terzioglu, Mügen, and Dagmar Galter. 2008. "Parkinson's Disease: Genetic versus Toxin-Induced Rodent Models." *FEBS Journal* 275(7):1384–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06302.x.
- Thompson, Garth J., Wen-Ju Pan, Matthew E. Magnuson, and Shella D. Keilholz. 2012. "fMRI Correlates for Low Frequency Local Field Potentials Appear as a Spatiotemporal Dynamic under Multiple Anesthetic Conditions." *BMC Neuroscience* 13(S1):1–2. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-13-s1-o19.
- Thompson, Garth John, Matthew Evan Magnuson, Michael Donelyn Merritt, Hillary Schwarb, Wen Ju Pan, Andrew Mckinley, Lloyd D. Tripp, Eric H. Schumacher, and

- Shella Dawn Keilholz. 2013. "Short-Time Windows of Correlation between Large-Scale Functional Brain Networks Predict Vigilance Intraindividually and Interindividually." *Human Brain Mapping* 34(12):3280–98. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22140.
- Thompson, Garth John, Michael Donelyn Merritt, Wen Ju Pan, Matthew Evan Magnuson, Joshua Koehler Grooms, Dieter Jaeger, and Shella Dawn Keilholz. 2013. "Neural Correlates of Time-Varying Functional Connectivity in the Rat." *NeuroImage* 83(0):826–36. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.07.036.
- Thompson, Garth John, Wen Ju Pan, and Shella Dawn Keilholz. 2015. "Different Dynamic Resting State fMRI Patterns Are Linked to Different Frequencies of Neural Activity." *Journal of Neurophysiology* 114(1):114–24. doi: 10.1152/jn.00235.2015.
- Thompson, Garth John, Wen Ju Pan, Matthew Evan Magnuson, Dieter Jaeger, and Shella Dawn Keilholz. 2014. "Quasi-Periodic Patterns (QPP): Large-Scale Dynamics in Resting State fMRI That Correlate with Local Infraslow Electrical Activity." *NeuroImage* 84:1018–31. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.09.029.
- Tombaz, Tuce, Benjamin A. Dunn, Karoline Hovde, Ryan John Cubero, Bartul Mimica, Pranav Mamidanna, Yasser Roudi, and Jonathan R. Whitlock. 2020. "Action Representation in the Mouse Parieto-Frontal Network." *Scientific Reports* 2020 10:1 10(1):1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-62089-6.
- Towson, Emma K., Petra E. Vértés, Sebastian E. Ahnert, William R. Schafer, and Edward T. Bullmore. 2013. "The Rich Club of the *C. Elegans* Neuronal Connectome." *Journal of Neuroscience* 33(15):6380–87. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3784-12.2013.
- Tsai, Pei Jung, Robin J. Keeley, Stephanie A. Carmack, Janaina C. M. Vendruscolo, Hanbing Lu, Hong Gu, Leandro F. Vendruscolo, George F. Koob, Ching Po Lin, Elliot A. Stein, and Yihong Yang. 2020. "Converging Structural and Functional Evidence for a Rat Salience Network." *Biological Psychiatry* 88(11):867–78. doi: 10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2020.06.023.
- Tsurugizawa, Tomokazu, Kota Tamada, Nobukazu Ono, Sachise Karakawa, Yuko Kodama, Clement Debacker, Junichi Hata, Hideyuki Okano, Akihiko Kitamura, Andrew Zalesky, and Toru Takumi. 2020. "Awake Functional MRI Detects Neural Circuit Dysfunction in a Mouse Model of Autism." *Science Advances* 6(6). doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aav4520.
- Tsurugizawa, Tomokazu, and Daisuke Yoshimaru. 2021. "Impact of Anesthesia on Static and Dynamic Functional Connectivity in Mice." *NeuroImage* 241:118413. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2021.118413.
- Tudela, Raúl, Emma Muñoz-Moreno, Roser Sala-Llonch, Xavier López-Gil, and Guadalupe Soria. 2019. "Resting State Networks in the TgF344-AD Rat Model of Alzheimer's Disease Are Altered From Early Stages." *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 11(JUL):213. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00213.
- Turchi, Janita, Catie Chang, Frank Q. Ye, Brian E. Russ, David K. Yu, Carlos R. Cortes, Ilya E. Monosov, Jeff H. Duyn, and David A. Leopold. 2018. "The Basal Forebrain Regulates Global Resting-State fMRI Fluctuations." *Neuron* 97(4):940-952.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.01.032.
- Turnbull, Daniel H., and Susumu Mori. 2007. "MRI in Mouse Developmental Biology." *NMR in Biomedicine* 20(3):265. doi: 10.1002/NBM.1146.

- Uddin, Lucina Q., Kaustubh Supekar, and Vinod Menon. 2010. "Typical and Atypical Development of Functional Human Brain Networks: Insights from Resting-State fMRI." *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 0(MAY):21. doi: 10.3389/FNSYS.2010.00021.
- Upadhyay, Jaymin, Scott J. Baker, Prasant Chandran, Loan Miller, Younglim Lee, Gerard J. Marek, Unal Sakoglu, Chih-Liang Chin, Feng Luo, Gerard B. Fox, and Mark Day. 2011. "Default-Mode-Like Network Activation in Awake Rodents." *PLOS ONE* 6(11):e27839. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0027839.
- Venniro, Marco, Matthew L. Banks, Markus Heilig, David H. Epstein, and Yavin Shaham. 2020. "Improving Translation of Animal Models of Addiction and Relapse by Reverse Translation." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2020 21:11 21(11):625–43. doi: 10.1038/s41583-020-0378-z.
- Ventura-Antunes, Lissa, Bruno Mota, and Suzana Herculano-Houzel. 2013. "Different Scaling of White Matter Volume, Cortical Connectivity, and Gyrfication across Rodent and Primate Brains." *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* 7(MARCH). doi: 10.3389/FNANA.2013.00003.
- Verbitsky, Alexander, David Dopfel, and Nanyin Zhang. 2020. "Rodent Models of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder: Behavioral Assessment." *Translational Psychiatry* 10(1):1–28.
- Vetreno, Ryan P., Richard Yaxley, Beatriz Paniagua, G. Allan Johnson, and Fulton T. Crews. 2017. "Adult Rat Cortical Thickness Changes across Age and Following Adolescent Intermittent Ethanol Treatment." *Addiction Biology* 22(3):712–23. doi: 10.1111/ADB.12364.
- Vidaurre, Diego, Laurence T. Hunt, Andrew J. Quinn, Benjamin A. E. Hunt, Matthew J. Brookes, Anna C. Nobre, and Mark W. Woolrich. 2018. "Spontaneous Cortical Activity Transiently Organises into Frequency Specific Phase-Coupling Networks." *Nature Communications* 2018 9:1 9(1):1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05316-z.
- Vogt, Brent A., and George Paxinos. 2012. "Cytoarchitecture of Mouse and Rat Cingulate Cortex with Human Homologies." *Brain Structure and Function* 2012 219:1 219(1):185–92. doi: 10.1007/S00429-012-0493-3.
- Vossel, Simone, Joy J. Geng, and Gereon R. Fink. 2014. "Dorsal and Ventral Attention Systems: Distinct Neural Circuits but Collaborative Roles." *The Neuroscientist* 20(2):150. doi: 10.1177/1073858413494269.
- Walkin, Louise, Sarah E. Herrick, Angela Summers, Paul E. Brenchley, Catherine M. Hoff, Ron Korstanje, and Peter J. Margetts. 2013. "The Role of Mouse Strain Differences in the Susceptibility to Fibrosis: A Systematic Review." *Fibrogenesis & Tissue Repair* 2013 6:1 6(1):1–12. doi: 10.1186/1755-1536-6-18.
- Washington, Stuart D., Evan M. Gordon, Jasmit Brar, Samantha Warburton, Alice T. Sawyer, Amanda Wolfe, Erin R. Mease-Ference, Laura Girton, Ayichew Hailu, Juma Mbwana, William D. Gaillard, M. Layne Kalbfleisch, and John W. VanMeter. 2014. "Dysmaturation of the Default Mode Network in Autism." *Human Brain Mapping* 35(4):1284–96. doi: 10.1002/HBM.22252.
- Weitz, Andrew J., Zhongnan Fang, Hyun Joo Lee, Robert S. Fisher, Wesley C. Smith, ManKin Choy, Jia Liu, Peter Lin, Matthew Rosenberg, and Jin Hyung Lee. 2015. "Optogenetic fMRI Reveals Distinct, Frequency-Dependent Networks Recruited by Dorsal and Intermediate Hippocampus Stimulations." *NeuroImage* 107:229–41. doi:

- 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.10.039.
- Weitz, Andrew J., Hyun Joo Lee, Man Kin Choy, and Jin Hyung Lee. 2019. "Thalamic Input to Orbitofrontal Cortex Drives Brain-Wide, Frequency-Dependent Inhibition Mediated by GABA and Zona Incerta." *Neuron* 104(6):1153-1167.e4. doi: 10.1016/J.NEURON.2019.09.023.
- Welniak-Kaminska, Marlena, Michal Fiedorowicz, Jaroslaw Orzel, Piotr Bogorodzki, Klaudia Modlinska, Rafal Stryjek, Anna Chrzanowska, Wojciech Pisula, and Pawel Grieb. 2019. "Volumes of Brain Structures in Captive Wild-Type and Laboratory Rats: 7T Magnetic Resonance in Vivo Automatic Atlas-Based Study." *PLoS ONE* 14(4). doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0215348.
- Whitesell, Jennifer D., Alex R. Buckley, Joseph E. Knox, Leonard Kuan, Nile Graddis, Andrew Pelos, Alice Mukora, Wayne Wakeman, Phillip Bohn, Anh Ho, Karla E. Hirokawa, and Julie A. Harris. 2019. "Whole Brain Imaging Reveals Distinct Spatial Patterns of Amyloid Beta Deposition in Three Mouse Models of Alzheimer's Disease." *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 527(13):2122-45. doi: 10.1002/cne.24555.
- Williams, Kathleen A. K. A., Matthew Magnuson, Waqas Majeed, S. M. Stephen M. LaConte, Scott J. S. J. Peltier, Xiaoping Hu, and Shella D. S. D. Keilholz. 2010. "Comparison of α -Chloralose, Medetomidine and Isoflurane Anesthesia for Functional Connectivity Mapping in the Rat." *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 28(7):995-1003. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2010.03.007.
- Woolsey, Thomas A., and Hendrik Van der Loos. 1970. "The Structural Organization of Layer IV in the Somatosensory Region (S I) of Mouse Cerebral Cortex: The Description of a Cortical Field Composed of Discrete Cytoarchitectonic Units." *Brain Research* 17(2):205-42. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(70)90079-X.
- Xia, M., J. Wang, and Y. He. 2013. "BrainNet Viewer: A Network Visualization Tool for Human Brain Connectomics." *PLoS One* 8(7):e68910. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068910.
- Yeo, B. T., Fenna M. Krienen, Jorge Sepulcre, Mert R. Sabuncu, Danial Lashkari, Marisa Hollinshead, Joshua L. Roffman, Jordan W. Smoller, L. Zollei, Jonathan R. Polimeni, Bruce Fischl, Hesheng Liu, Randy L. Buckner, B. T. Thomas Yeo, Fenna M. Krienen, Jorge Sepulcre, Mert R. Sabuncu, Danial Lashkari, Marisa Hollinshead, Joshua L. Roffman, Jordan W. Smoller, Lilla Zöllei, Jonathan R. Polimeni, Bruce Fischl, Hesheng Liu, Randy L. Buckner, Bruce Fischl, Hesheng Liu, Randy L. Buckner, B. T. Yeo, Fenna M. Krienen, Jorge Sepulcre, Mert R. Sabuncu, Danial Lashkari, Marisa Hollinshead, Joshua L. Roffman, Jordan W. Smoller, L. Zollei, Jonathan R. Polimeni, Bruce Fischl, Hesheng Liu, Randy L. Buckner, B. T. Thomas Yeo, Fenna M. Krienen, Jorge Sepulcre, Mert R. Sabuncu, Danial Lashkari, Marisa Hollinshead, Joshua L. Roffman, Jordan W. Smoller, Lilla Zöllei, Jonathan R. Polimeni, Bruce Fischl, Hesheng Liu, Randy L. Buckner, Bruce Fischl, Hesheng Liu, and Randy L. Buckner. 2011. "The Organization of the Human Cerebral Cortex Estimated by Intrinsic Functional Connectivity." *J Neurophysiol* 106(3):1125-65. doi: 10.1152/jn.00338.2011.
- Yoon, Young Wook, Doo Hyun Lee, Bae Hwan Lee, Kyungsoon Chung, and Jin Mo Chung. 1999. "Different Strains and Substrains of Rats Show Different Levels of Neuropathic Pain Behaviors." *Experimental Brain Research* 129(2):167-71. doi:

10.1007/s002210050886.

- Yousefi, Behnaz, and Shella Keilholz. 2021. "Propagating Patterns of Intrinsic Activity along Macroscale Gradients Coordinate Functional Connections across the Whole Brain." *NeuroImage* 231:117827. doi: 10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2021.117827.
- Yousefi, Behnaz, Jaemin Shin, Eric H. Schumacher, and Shella D. Keilholz. 2018. "Quasi-Periodic Patterns of Intrinsic Brain Activity in Individuals and Their Relationship to Global Signal." *NeuroImage* 167:297–308. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.11.043.
- Yu, Xin, Chunqi Qian, Der-yow Chen, Stephen J. Dodd, and Alan P. Koretsky. 2013. "Deciphering Laminar-Specific Neural Inputs with Line-Scanning FMRI." *Nature Methods* 2013 11:1 11(1):55–58. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2730.
- Zamora-López, Gorka, Changsong Zhou, and Jürgen Kurths. 2009. "Graph Analysis of Cortical Networks Reveals Complex Anatomical Communication Substrate." *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* 19(1):015117. doi: 10.1063/1.3089559.
- Zamora-López, Gorka, Changsong Zhou, and Jürgen Kurths. 2010. "Cortical Hubs Form a Module for Multisensory Integration on Top of the Hierarchy of Cortical Networks." *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 0(MAR):1. doi: 10.3389/NEURO.11.001.2010.
- Zamora-López, Gorka, Changsong Zhou, and Jürgen Kurths. 2011. "Exploring Brain Function from Anatomical Connectivity." *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 0(JUN):83. doi: 10.3389/FNINS.2011.00083.
- Zerbi, Valerio, Amalia Floriou-Servou, Marija Markicevic, Yannick Vermeiren, Oliver Sturman, Mattia Privitera, L. von Ziegler, Kim David Ferrari, B. Weber, Peter Paul De Deyn, Nicole Wenderoth, and Johannes Bohacek. 2019. "Rapid Reconfiguration of the Functional Connectome after Chemogenetic Locus Coeruleus Activation." *Neuron* 103(4):702-718.e5. doi: 10.1016/J.NEURON.2019.05.034.
- Zerbi, Valerio, Joanes Grandjean, Markus Rudin, and Nicole Wenderoth. 2015. "Mapping the Mouse Brain with Rs-FMRI: An Optimized Pipeline for Functional Network Identification." *NeuroImage* 123:11–21. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.090.
- Zhang, Dongyang, Abraham Z. Snyder, Joshua S. Shimony, Michael D. Fox, and Marcus E. Raichle. 2010. "Noninvasive Functional and Structural Connectivity Mapping of the Human Thalamocortical System." *Cereb Cortex* 20(5):1187–94. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp182.
- Zhang, Xiaodi, Wen Ju Pan, and Shella Dawn Keilholz. 2020. "The Relationship between BOLD and Neural Activity Arises from Temporally Sparse Events." *NeuroImage* 207:116390. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116390.
- Zhao, Xinyu, and Anita Bhattacharyya. 2018. "Human Models Are Needed for Studying Human Neurodevelopmental Disorders." *American Journal of Human Genetics* 103(6):829. doi: 10.1016/J.AJHG.2018.10.009.
- Zhou, Juan, Michael D. Greicius, Efsthios D. Gennatas, Matthew E. Growdon, Jung Y. Jang, Gil D. Rabinovici, Joel H. Kramer, Michael Weiner, Bruce L. Miller, and William W. Seeley. 2010. "Divergent Network Connectivity Changes in Behavioural Variant Frontotemporal Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease." *Brain* 133(5):1352–67. doi: 10.1093/brain/awq075.