# Very weak solution for the exterior stationary Stokes equations with Navier slip boundary condition

Anis Dhifaoui

UR Analysis and Control of PDEs, UR13ES64, Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences of Monastir University of Monastir 5019 Monastir, Tunisia

Email adresses: anisdhifaoui123@gmail.com

#### Abstract

In some problems of fluid mechanics, it is possible to be confronted with data that are not regular, that is why we are interested here in the search for the so-called very weak solutions for the stationary Stokes problem with Navier-type boundary conditions in a three-dimensional exterior domain. The problem describes the flow of a viscous and incompressible fluid past an obstacle where we assume that the fluid may slip on the boundary of the obstacle. Because the flow domain is unbounded, we set the problem in weighted Sobolev spaces in order to control the behavior at infinity of the solutions. Our purpose is to prove the existence and the uniqueness of a very weak solution in a Hilbertian framework.

**Keywords**: Stokes equations, Navier boundary condition, exterior domain, weighted spaces, strong solutions, very weak solutions.

### 1 Introduction

We are interested in this paper on the existence of very weak solutions for the (linear stationary) Stokes problem in a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The set  $\Omega$  is simply connected exterior domain, namely the complement of a simply connected bounded domain which represents the obstacle. The system of stationary Stokes be written as follows:

$$-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

where the unknowns are u the velocity of the fluid and its pressure  $\pi$  and f the external forces acting on the fluid. To these equations, we supplement the following Navier's type slip boundary conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0, \quad 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,$$
 (1.2)

where  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T)$  denotes the rate-of-strain tensor field,  $\alpha$  is a scalar friction function,  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit normal vector to  $\Gamma$  and the notation  $[\cdot]_{\tau}$  denotes the tangential component of a vector on  $\Gamma$ . The boundary condition (1.2), proposed by H. Navier in 1827 [33]. The first condition in (1.2) is the no-penetration condition and the second condition expresses the fact that the tangential velocity is proportional to the tangential stress. The Navier slip conditions have been extensively studied, see for instance [2, 3, 15, 18, 21, 25, 26, 36] and references therein.

The purpose of this paper is to study the exterior problem composed by the Stokes equations (1.1) and the Navier slip boundary conditions (1.2), with a positive friction function  $\alpha$  and where we also include the non homogeneous case. Although the Stokes problem set in bounded domains with conditions (1.2) has been well studied by various authors (see for instance [12, 16, 1] or [13, 36] for the case  $\alpha = 0$  and references therein), to the best of our knowledge, it is not the case when the domain is unbounded and  $\alpha > 0$ . We can just mention [34] where (1.2) was used for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains and [19] for the stationary exterior Stokes equations, the authors in their articles they studied some results of existence and uniqueness for different types of solutions, including the variational solution  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  and the strong solutions  $W_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega) \times W_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For the case of Navier boundary conditions without friction ( $\alpha = 0$ ), let us mention [8, 30], where the following boundary conditions (also known as Hodge boundary conditions) were used:

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0, \quad \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma},$$
 (1.3)

where **curl** *u* is the vorticity field. These conditions coincide with (1.2) on flat boundaries when  $\alpha = 0$ . They were also used in [17] for the study of the non stationary Navier-Stokes equations in half-spaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We finally refer to [31, 32] for the study of the non stationary problem of Navier-Stokes with mixed boundary conditions that include (1.2) without friction.

The notion of very weak solution for the stationary Stokes or Navier-Stokes equations, corresponding to very irregular data, has been developed in the last years by Giga [22] and also by Lions and Magenes [28] for the Laplace's equation. The Stokes problem when  $\Omega$  is bounded with Dirichlet boundary condition has been studied by a large number of authors, from different points of view, here so we give some examples: Giga and Sohr [23], Amrouche and Girault [5] Galdi, Simader and Sohr [20], K. Schumacher [35], Amrouche and Rodriguez-Bellido [14], for the exterior domain we can mention Amrouche and Meslameni [9]. The very weak solutions of the problem (1.1)– (1.2) has been studied for a bounded domain in [12] for  $\alpha = 0$ , in [30] for the exterior domain for the case  $\alpha = 0$  and in [10] for the half-space.

The aim of this work is to study some results of existence, uniqueness of very weak solution for the stationary Stokes problem (1.1) with the boundary condition (1.2). The study is based on a  $L^2$ -theory and, because the domain  $\Omega$  is unbounded, we choose to set the problem in weighted spaces. The weight functions are polynomials and enable to describe the growth or the decay of functions at infinity which allows to look for solutions of (1.1)–(1.2) with various behavior at infinity and this is one of the main advantages of the weighted spaces. One important question is to define rigorously the traces of the vector functions which are living in subspaces of  $W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  (see Lemma 2.5). We prove existence and uniqueness of very weak solutions ( $\boldsymbol{u}, \pi$ ) belongs to  $W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  (see Definition 3.4). The main idea here relies on the use of a duality argument using the strong solutions obtained in [19]. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the Notations, the functional framework based on weighted Hilbert spaces. We recall the definitions of some spaces and their respective norms, besides some density results, characterization of dual space, we shall precise in which sense, the Navier slip boundary conditions are taken. We recall the main results on Stokes problem that we shall use. The main results of this paper are presented in Theorems 3.6 – 3.8 which proves the existence and uniqueness of very weak solution ( $u, \pi$ ) belongs to  $W_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \times W_{-k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 2 Notations and preliminaries

### 2.1 Notations

Throughout this paper we assume that  $\Omega'$  denotes a bounded open in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $\mathscr{C}^{2,1}$ , simply connected bounded and with a connected boundary  $\partial \Omega' = \Gamma$ , representing an obstacle. Let  $\Omega$  be the complement of  $\overline{\Omega'}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , in other words an exterior domain. We will use bold characters for vector and matrix fields. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of non-negative integers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  the set of all integers. For any multi-index  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^3$ , we denote by  $\partial^{\lambda}$  the differential operator of order  $\lambda$ ,

$$\partial^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \frac{\partial^{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|}}{\partial_1^{\lambda_1} \partial_2^{\lambda_2} \partial_3^{\lambda_3}}, \quad |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2.$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathscr{P}_k$  stands for the space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to k and  $\mathscr{P}_k^{\Delta}$  the harmonic polynomials of  $\mathscr{P}_k$ . If k is a negative integer, we set by convention  $\mathscr{P}_k = \{0\}$ . We denote by  $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$  the space of  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$  functions with compact support in  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathscr{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  the restriction to  $\Omega$  of functions belonging to  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . We recall that  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$  is the well-known space of distributions defined on  $\Omega$ . We recall that  $L^2(\Omega)$  is the well-known Lebesgue real space and for  $m \ge 1$ , we recall that  $H^m(\Omega)$  is the well-known Hilbert space  $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ . We shall write  $u \in H^m_{loc}(\Omega)$  to mean that  $u \in H^m(\mathscr{O})$ , for any bounded domain  $\mathscr{O}$ , with  $\overline{\mathscr{O}} \subset \Omega$ . For any positive real number R, let  $B_R$  denote the open ball centered at the origin, with radius R and assuming that R is sufficiently large for  $\overline{\Omega'} \subset B_R$ , we denote by  $\Omega_R$  the intersection  $\Omega \cap B_R$ . The notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Gamma}$  will denote the duality pairing and will be specified when needed. If not specified,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Gamma}$  will denote the duality pairing between the space  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  and its dual space  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Given a Banach space X, with dual space X' and a closed subspace Y of X, we denote by  $X' \perp Y$  the subspace of X' orthogonal to Y, i.e.

$$X' \perp Y = \{ f \in X'; < f, v \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in X \} = (X/Y)'.$$

The space  $X' \perp Y$  is also called the polar space of *Y* in *X'*. Given *A* and *B* two matrix fields, such that  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 3}$  and  $B = (b_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 3}$ , then we define  $A : B = (a_{ij}b_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 3}$ . Finally, as usual, C > 0 denotes a generic constant the value of which may change from line to line and even at the same line.

#### 2.2 Weighted Hilbert spaces

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a typical point in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and let  $r = |\mathbf{x}| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{1/2}$  denotes its distance to the origin. In order to control the behaviour at infinity of our functions and distributions we use for basic weights the quantity  $\rho(\mathbf{x}) = (1 + r^2)^{1/2}$  which is equivalent to r at infinity. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we introduce

$$W_k^{0,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \, \rho^k u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},\,$$

which is a Hilbert space equipped with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{W^{0,2}_{k}(\Omega)} = \|\rho^{k}u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

Let  $m \ge 1$  be an integer. We define the weighted Hilbert space:

$$W_k^{m,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega); \, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^3 : 0 \le |\lambda| \le m, \, \rho^{k-m+|\lambda|} \partial^{\lambda} u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},\$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{m,2}_{k}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{0 \le |\lambda| \le m} \|\rho^{k-m+|\lambda|} \partial^{\lambda} u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

We define the semi-norm

$$|u|_{W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|=m} \|\rho^k \partial^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let us give an examples of such spaces that will be often used in the remaining of the paper. For m = 1, we have

$$W_k^{1,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \, \rho^{k-1} u \in L^2(\Omega), \, \rho^k \nabla u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}.$$

For m = 2, we have

$$W_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in W_k^{1,2}(\Omega), \rho^{k+1} \nabla^2 u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}.$$

For the sake of simplicity, we have defined these spaces with integer exponents on the weight function. But naturally, these definitions can be extended to real number exponents with eventually some slight modifications (see[6] for more details).

We shall now give some basic properties of those spaces:

#### **Properties 2.1.**

- 1. The space  $\mathscr{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  is dense in  $W_{k}^{m,2}(\Omega)$ .
- *2.* For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^3$ , the mapping

$$u \in W_k^{m,2}(\Omega) \to \partial^{\lambda} u \in W_k^{m-|\lambda|,2}(\Omega)$$
(2.1)

1 /0

is continuous.

3. For  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the following continuous imbedding:

$$W_k^{m,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{k-1}^{m-1,2}(\Omega).$$
(2.2)

4. The space  $\mathscr{P}_{m-2-k}$  is the space of all polynomials included in  $W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)$  and the following Poincaré-type inequality holds:

$$\forall u \in W_k^{m,2}(\Omega), \quad \inf_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{j'}} \| u + \mu \|_{W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)} \le C \| u \|_{W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)},$$
(2.3)

where  $j' = \min(m-2-k, m-1)$ . In other words the semi-norm  $|\cdot|_{W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)}$  is a norm on  $W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)/\mathscr{P}_{j'}$ . In particular  $|\cdot|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}$  is a norm on  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

For more details on the above properties and the ones that we shall present in the following, the reader can refer to [24, 27, 6, 7] and references therein.

Note that all the local properties of the space  $W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)$  coincide with those of the standard Hilbert spaces  $H^{m,2}(\Omega)$ . Hence, it also satisfies the usual trace theorems on the boundary  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, we can define the space

$$\mathring{W}_{k}^{m,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W_{k}^{m,2}(\Omega), \, \gamma_{0}u = 0, \, \gamma_{1}u = 0, \cdots, \, \gamma_{m-1}u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \right\}.$$

If  $\Omega$  is the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then the spaces  $\mathring{W}_k^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $W_k^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  coincide. The space  $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $\mathring{W}_k^{m,2}(\Omega)$ . Therefore, the dual space of  $\mathring{W}_k^{m,2}(\Omega)$ , denoted by  $W_{-k}^{-m,2}(\Omega)$  is a space of distributions. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the continuous imbedding

$$W_k^{-m,2}(\Omega) \subset W_{k-1}^{-m-1,2}(\Omega).$$
 (2.4)

Moreover, we have the following Poincaré-type inequality:

$$\forall u \in \mathring{W}_{k}^{m,2}(\Omega), \quad \|u\|_{W_{k}^{m,2}(\Omega)} \le C |u|_{W_{k}^{m,2}(\Omega)}.$$
(2.5)

We now introduce some weighted Hilbert spaces that are specific for the study of the Stokes problem (1.1) with the Navier boundary conditions (1.2). We start by introducing the following space for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$H_k(\operatorname{div};\Omega) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} \in W_k^{0,2}(\Omega), \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in W_{k+1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \right\}.$$

This space is endowed with the norm

$$\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{H_k(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} = \left(\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{W_k^{0,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{W_{k+1}^{0,2}(\Omega)}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  is dense in  $H_k(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$ . For the proof, one can use the same arguments as for the proof of the density of  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  in  $W_k^{m,2}(\Omega)$  (see [24]). Therefore, denoting by  $\boldsymbol{n}$  the unit normal vector to the boundary  $\Gamma$  pointing outside  $\Omega$ , if  $\boldsymbol{v}$  belongs to  $H_k(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$ , then  $\boldsymbol{v}$  has a normal trace  $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$  in  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  and there exists C > 0 such that

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\nu} \in H_k(\operatorname{div};\Omega), \quad \|\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} \le C \|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{H_k(\operatorname{div};\Omega)}.$$
(2.6)

Moreover the below Green's formula holds. For any  $\boldsymbol{v} \in H_k(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W^{1,2}_{-k}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{\Gamma} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} \, d\boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (2.7)

The closure of  $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$  in  $H_k(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$  is denoted by  $\mathring{H}_k(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$  and can be characterized by

 $\mathring{H}_{k}(\operatorname{div};\Omega) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in H_{k}(\operatorname{div};\Omega), \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \right\}.$ 

Its dual space is denoted by  $H^{-1}_{-k}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$  and is characterized by the below proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** Assume that  $\Omega$  is of class  $\mathscr{C}^{1,1}$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A distribution f belongs to  $H_k^{-1}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$  if and only if there exist  $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in W_k^{0,2}(\Omega)$  and  $\chi \in W_{k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega)$ , such that  $\boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{\psi} + \nabla \chi$ . Moreover

$$\|\psi\|_{W^{0,2}_{k}(\Omega)} + \|\chi\|_{W^{0,2}_{k-1}(\Omega)} \le C \|f\|_{H^{-1}_{k}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)}.$$

The proof of Proposition 2.2 can be found in [8, Proposition 1.3]. A consequence of this proposition and the imbedding (2.4) is that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the imbedding

$$H_k^{-1}(\operatorname{div};\Omega) \subset W_{k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega).$$
 (2.8)

#### 2.3 Density and trace results

In this work, we are going to study the existence and uniqueness of very weak solutions for the stationary Stokes problem (1.1)–(1.2). Let us recall some notations related to the boundary condition. First, for any vector field  $\boldsymbol{v}$  on  $\Gamma$ , we can write

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n})\boldsymbol{n}, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $v_{\tau}$  is the projection of v on the tangent hyper-plan to  $\Gamma$ . Next, for any point x on  $\Gamma$ , one may choose an open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{W}$  of x in  $\Gamma$  small enough to allow the existence of two families of  $\mathscr{C}^2$  curves on  $\mathcal{W}$  and where the lengths  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  along each family of curves are possible system of coordinates. Denoting by  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  the unit tangent vectors to each family of curves, we have

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} = (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_1) \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$

As a result for any  $v \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  the following formula holds (see [12, Lemma 2.1])

$$2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} = \nabla_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}) + \left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial\boldsymbol{n}}\right)_{\tau} - \Lambda\boldsymbol{v} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \qquad (2.10)$$

where

$$\Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}{\partial s_{k}} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}_{k}.$$

Now, we need to introduce some functional spaces. Otherwise, it is necessary to establish some Green formulas which are deduce from density lemmas. We start by the following space:

$$T_{k+1}(\Omega) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathring{H}_{k-1}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega); \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathring{W}_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega) \right\},\$$

which is a Hilbert space equipped with the following norm

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{T_{k+1}(\Omega)} = \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{W^{0,2}_{k-1}(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{v}\|_{W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)}$$

The following Lemma state some properties related to the space  $T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following properties hold:

1- A distribution **f** belongs to  $(T_{k+1}(\Omega))'$  if and only if there exist  $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in W^{0,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$  and  $f_0 \in W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$ , such that

$$\boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{\phi} + \nabla f_0$$

- 2- The space  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ .
- 3- For any  $\chi \in W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  and  $\boldsymbol{v} \in T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ , we have  $\langle \nabla \chi, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}(\Omega)} = -\langle \chi, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_{W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)}.$ (2.11)

Giving a meaning to Navier boundary conditions of a very weak solution of a Stokes problem is not easy. For this reason, we need to introduce the following Hilbert space, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega); \ \Delta \, \boldsymbol{\nu} \in (T_{k+1}(\Omega))' \right\},\$$

which has the following norm:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)} = \|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)} + \|\Delta\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))}$$

**Lemma 2.4.** The space  $\mathscr{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  is dense in  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$ .

The proofs of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 can be found in [30]. Finally, in order to write a Green formula, we define for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$S_{k+1}(\Omega) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} \in W_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega); \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0, \ \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0, \ 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\nu})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \right\}$$

The next lemma will help us to prove a trace result.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\alpha$  belongs to  $W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)$ . The linear mapping  $\Theta : \mathbf{u} \mapsto 2[\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \mathbf{u}_{\tau}$  defined on  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  can be extended to a linear and continuous mapping still denoted by  $\Theta$ , from  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$  into  $H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)$  and we have the following Green's formula: for any  $\mathbf{u} \in H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\boldsymbol{x} + \langle 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} - \langle 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}.$$
(2.12)

*Proof.* The goal is to prove that the mapping  $\Theta$  defined on  $\mathscr{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  is continuous for the norm of  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathscr{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega)$ . Then thanks to the following identity

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = 2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) - \nabla \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} \tag{2.13}$$

and the Green formula (2.7), we have

$$\left\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{(T^2_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times T^2_{k+1}(\Omega))} = -2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\boldsymbol{x} + 2 \langle \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{\Gamma}$$

Next, the fact that  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  also implies that

$$\langle \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle_{\Gamma} = \langle \left( \left[ \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n} \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \right)\boldsymbol{n} + \left[ \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n} \right]_{\tau},\boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{\Gamma} = \langle \left[ \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n} \right]_{\tau},\boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{\Gamma}$$

It follows that, for any  $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$-\left\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\Omega} = 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\boldsymbol{x} - 2 \left\langle [\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\Gamma}.$$

Since we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \, d\boldsymbol{x}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\left\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{(T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\mathbf{x} + \left\langle 2 [\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\Gamma} - \left\langle 2 \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{u} \right\rangle_{\Gamma}.$$
(2.14)

Now, since  $2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} = -\alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau}$  on Γ, we have

$$-2\langle \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{u}\rangle = \langle \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau},\boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}\rangle_{\Gamma} - 2\langle [\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}]\cdot\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\rangle_{\Gamma}$$

Plunging this in (2.14), for any  $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega)$  we have

$$\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(T^2_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times T^2_{k+1}(\Omega))} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\mathbf{x} + \langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Omega)} - 2 \langle [\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}.$$

Let now  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  be any element of  $H^{3/2}(\Gamma)$ . Since  $\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\alpha \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . So there exists an element  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  in  $W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau}$$
 and  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} = \Lambda \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{n} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} - \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau}$  on  $\Gamma$ .

Where

$$\Lambda \boldsymbol{\mu} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}{\partial s_{k}} \right) \boldsymbol{\tau}_{k}.$$

As  $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = -\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

and we recall the following formula (see [5]):

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \qquad (2.15)$$

where  $\beta$  denotes the mean curvature of  $\Gamma$ , div<sub> $\Gamma$ </sub> is the surface divergence. We deduce that

div
$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$$
 on  $\Gamma$ .

Using relations (2.10), we have

$$2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau} = \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}\right)_{\tau} - \Lambda \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau}.$$

Since  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} = \Lambda \mu - n \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \mu_{\tau} - \alpha \mu_{\tau}$  on  $\Gamma$  and  $\varphi = \mu_{\tau}$  on  $\Gamma$ , then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} = (\Lambda \boldsymbol{\mu})_{\tau} - \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} = \Lambda \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau}$$

We deduce that  $2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Which implies that the function  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  belongs to  $S_{k+1}(\Omega)$  and satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \mu_{\tau} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \Lambda \, \mu - \boldsymbol{n} \text{div}_{\Gamma} \mu_{\tau} - \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we have the following estimate

$$\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau}\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma)}.$$
(2.16)

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \right\rangle_{\Gamma} \right| &= \left| \left\langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau} \right\rangle_{\Gamma} \right| = \left| \left\langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\Gamma} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right\rangle_{\Omega} \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\mathbf{x} - 2 \langle [\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_{\Gamma} \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \right\|_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))'} \| \boldsymbol{\varphi} \|_{T_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \| \boldsymbol{u} \|_{W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)} \| \boldsymbol{\varphi} \|_{W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \| \boldsymbol{u} \|_{H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)} \| \boldsymbol{\varphi} \|_{W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (2.16), we obtain that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$ :

$$\|2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}\|_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{H_{\mu-1}(\Delta;\Omega)}.$$

Therefore, the linear mapping  $\Theta : \mathbf{u} \to 2[\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \mathbf{u}_{\tau}$  defined in  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  is continuous for the norm of  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$ . Finally, by density of  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  in  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$ , we can extend this mapping from  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta;\Omega)$  into  $H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)$  and formula (2.12) holds.

### **3** Very weak solution

In this section, we consider the Stokes problem with Navier slip boundary conditions:

$$(\mathscr{S}_T) \begin{cases} -\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{f} \text{ and } \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\chi} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{g} \text{ and } 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{h} \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to investigate the existence and the uniqueness of a very weak solutions belongs to  $W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for the Stokes problem ( $\mathscr{S}_T$ ). The main idea consists in the use of a duality argument with the strong solutions obtained in [19]. We assume that  $\alpha$  is a positive function that belongs to  $W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)$ .

Before stating the theorem of the existence and the uniqueness of the very weak solution for Stokes problem, we need to introduce the following null spaces for  $s \in \{1,2\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\mathcal{N}_{k}^{s,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \in W_{k}^{s,2}(\Omega) \times W_{k}^{s-1,2}(\Omega); \ T(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = (\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{0}) \text{ in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ on } \Gamma \right\}$$

with

$$T(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\pi}) = (-\Delta \, \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \, \boldsymbol{\pi}, \, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}).$$

For all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we introduce the following spaces:

$$N_{k} = \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \in \mathscr{P}_{k} \times \mathscr{P}_{k-1}^{\Delta}, \ -\Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ and } \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

that is the null space of the Stokes operator in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we recall that by agreement on the notation  $\mathscr{P}_k$ , the space  $N_k = \{(\mathbf{0}, 0)\}$  when k < 0 and  $N_0 = \mathscr{P}_0 \times \{0\}$ .

The next proposition characterizes the kernel of  $\mathcal{N}_{k}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ :

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  is of class  $\mathscr{C}^{1,1}$  and assume  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

• If 
$$k \ge 0$$
, then  $\mathcal{N}_k^{1,2}(\Omega) = \{(\mathbf{0}, 0)\}.$ 

• If k < 0, then  $\mathcal{N}_k^{1,2}(\Omega) = \{(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \theta - \mu); (\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mu) \in N_{-k-1}\}$ , where  $(\boldsymbol{v}, \theta) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  is the unique solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad and \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad in \quad \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \quad and \quad 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} = 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\tau} \quad on \quad \Gamma. \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

The proof of this Proposition can be found in [19].

The next theorem states an existence, uniqueness and regularity result for problem  $(\mathcal{S}_T)$  (for instance see [19]).

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  is of class  $\mathscr{C}^{2,1}$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{f} \in W^{0,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$ ,  $g \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\chi \in W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  satisfying  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Assume moreover that the following compatibility condition is satisfied

$$\forall (\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \in \mathcal{N}_{-k}^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{x} - \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\eta} d\boldsymbol{x} = \langle \boldsymbol{g}, 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle_{\Gamma} - \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{\Gamma}.$$
(3.2)

Then, the Stokes problem  $(\mathcal{S}_T)$  has a solution  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$  unique up to an element of  $\mathcal{N}^{1,2}_k(\Omega)$  and we have:

$$\inf_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mu)\in\mathcal{N}_{k}^{1,2}(\Omega)} \left( \|\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_{W_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)} + \|\boldsymbol{\pi}+\mu\|_{W_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega)} \right) \leq C \left( \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{W_{k+1}^{0,2}(\Omega)} + \|\boldsymbol{\chi}\|_{W_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} + \|\boldsymbol{h}\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} \right)$$

The following lemma proves the identity between some null spaces.

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{2,1}$ ,  $\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then we have the following identity:

$$\mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}_{-k}^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

*Proof.* For the proof of this lemma, we shall apply a technique used in [9] for the Stokes equation with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. The proof falls into two parts:

- First inclusion: Using the imbedding (2.2), then we have  $\mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}_{-k}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .
- Second inclusion: Let  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in \boldsymbol{W}_{-k}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W_{-k}^{0,2}(\Omega)$  such that

$$-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$
$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma.$$

Note that if  $\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1,2}_{-k}(\Omega)$  and  $-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{0}$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in W^{0,2}_{-k}(\Omega)$ , we obtain  $\boldsymbol{u} \in H^2_{-k}(\Delta;\Omega)$  then thanks [19, Lemma 2.5] we have  $\boldsymbol{u} \mapsto 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}$  belongs to  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ , and if div  $\boldsymbol{u} = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . That means that the boundary conditions makes sense.

Now, let us introduce the following partition of unity:

$$\varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad 0 \le \varphi, \psi \le 1, \quad \varphi + \psi = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \varphi = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad B_R, \quad \text{supp} \; \varphi \subset B_{R+1}.$$
(3.3)

Let  $\Omega_{R+1}$  denote the intersection  $\Omega \cap B_{R+1}$ . Then, we can write

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\psi} \, \boldsymbol{u}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{\psi} \, \boldsymbol{\pi}.$$

The pair  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi)$  has an extension  $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tilde{\pi})$  that belongs to  $W_{-k}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W_{-k}^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . It suffices to prove that  $(\varphi \boldsymbol{u}, \varphi \pi)$  belongs to  $H^2(\Omega_{R+1}) \times H^1(\Omega_{R+1})$  and that  $(\psi \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \psi \tilde{\pi})$  belongs to  $W_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W_{-k+1}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

To that end, consider first

$$-\Delta(\psi\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) + \nabla(\psi\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}) = \boldsymbol{f}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}(\psi\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = \chi_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{f}_1 = -(2\nabla \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} + \boldsymbol{u} \Delta \boldsymbol{\psi}) + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\chi}_1 = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi}.$$

We easily see that  $f_1$  and  $\chi_1$  have bounded supports and belong to  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . As a consequence,  $(f_1, \chi_1)$  belongs to  $W^{0,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we need to show that  $f_1$  and  $\chi_1$  satisfies the following compatibility condition:

$$\forall (\lambda, \mu) \in N_{-k-1}, \quad \langle f_1, \lambda \rangle_{W^{0,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{0,2}_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} - \langle \chi_1, \mu \rangle_{W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{-1,2}_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

For this, using the same calculation in the proof of [19, Theorem 3.7]. We obtain (3.5).

Therefore, it follows from [4, Theorem 3.9], that there exists a unique solution  $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{q}) \in (W^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  satisfying the following Stokes problem:

$$-\Delta \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}} + \nabla \widetilde{\boldsymbol{q}} = \boldsymbol{f}_1$$
 and div  $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}} = \chi_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

It follows that  $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} - \psi \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tilde{q} - \psi \tilde{\pi})$  belongs to  $N_{k-1}$ . Since  $N_{k-1} \subset W^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , then there exist (P, Q) belongs to  $W^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} - \psi \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tilde{q} - \psi \tilde{\pi}) = (P, Q)$ . Consequently,  $(\psi \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \psi \tilde{\pi})$  belongs to  $W^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Consider now the system

$$-\Delta(\varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) + \nabla(\varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}) = \boldsymbol{f}_2 \text{ and } \operatorname{div}(\varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = \chi_2,$$

where  $f_2$  and  $\chi_2$  have similar expressions as  $f_1$  and  $\chi_1$  with  $\psi$  remplaced by  $\varphi$ . It is easy to check that ( $f_2, \chi_2$ ) belongs to  $L^2(\Omega_{R+1}) \times H^1(\Omega_{R+1})$ . Then the regularity results for the Stokes problem with Navier boundary conditions in a bounded domain of class  $\mathscr{C}^{2,1}$  (see [11]), allow to prove that the following Stokes problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \varphi \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \varphi \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{f}_2 \text{ and } \operatorname{div} \varphi \boldsymbol{u} = \chi_2 \text{ in } \Omega_{R+1}, \\ \varphi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ and } 2[\mathbf{D}(\varphi \boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha(\varphi \boldsymbol{u})_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ on } \Gamma. \\ \varphi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ and } 2[\mathbf{D}(\varphi \boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha(\varphi \boldsymbol{u})_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ on } \partial B_{R+1}, \end{cases}$$

has a solution  $(\varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$  belongs to  $H^2(\Omega_{R+1}) \times H^1(\Omega_{R+1})$  which also implies that  $(\varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \varphi \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$  belongs to  $W^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Consequently, the pair  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi)$  belongs to  $W^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$  and thus  $\mathcal{N}^{1,2}_{-k}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$ .

Now, we begin by giving the definition of very weak solutions.

#### **Definition 3.4.** Given f, $\chi$ , g and h with

$$f \in (T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))', \quad \chi \in W_{-k}^{0,2}(\Omega), \quad g \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma), \quad h \in H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)$$

such that  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ , a pair  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  is called very weak solution of  $(\mathscr{S}_T)$  if and only if, for any  $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, q) \in S^2_{k+1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$ , the relations

$$-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\boldsymbol{x} - \langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{W_{-k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega)}$$

$$= \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}^{2}(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}^{2}(\Omega)} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} - \langle \boldsymbol{g}, 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}$$
(3.6)

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla q \, d\boldsymbol{x} = -\int_{\Omega} \chi q \, d\boldsymbol{x} + \langle \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{q} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}$$
(3.7)

are satisfied.

Note that  $S_{k+1}(\Omega)$  is included in  $T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ , which insures that all brackets are well defined

**Proposition 3.5.** Under the assumptions of Definition 3.4, the following two statements are *equivalent*:

- i)  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  is a very weak solution of  $(\mathscr{S}_T)$ . ii)  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  satisfies the problem  $(\mathscr{S}_T)$  in the sense of distributions.

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) Let  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  a very weak solution of  $(\mathscr{S}_T)$ . Therefore, it follows immediately from (3.6) and (3.7), that for any  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{f}$$
 and div  $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\chi}$  in  $\Omega$ .

It remains now to prove that the Navier boundary conditions are satisfied. Let us first prove that  $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = g$  on Γ. For this, we consider the equation div  $\boldsymbol{u} = \chi$  in Ω. We multiply this equation by  $q \in W_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and compare with (3.7). We get

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, q \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} = \langle g, q \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}$$

Which yields  $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{g} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Next, writing

$$-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we deduce that u belongs to  $H_{-k-1}(\Delta; \Omega)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} \in H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)$ . Applying (2.12) and (2.11) enables us to write: for any  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S^2_{k+1}(\Omega),$ 

$$-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\mathbf{x} - \langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)}$$

$$+ \langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} = \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(\boldsymbol{T}_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times \boldsymbol{T}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)}$$

$$(3.8)$$

Comparing (3.6) with (3.8), we get, for any  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega)$ 

$$\langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} = \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)}.$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)$ , there exists  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega)$  such that  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tau}$  on  $\Gamma$  (see proof of Lemma 2.5). Consequently,

$$\langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} = \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)}$$

and we deduce that  $2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{h}$  on  $\Gamma$ .

ii)⇒i) Suppose that  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  satisfies the problem  $(\mathscr{S}_T)$  in the sense of distributions. Then, we have

$$-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{f}$$
 and div  $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\chi}$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ ,

which implies that, for any  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\langle -\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{\mathscr{D}'(\Omega) \times \mathscr{D}(\Omega)} = \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{\mathscr{D}'(\Omega) \times \mathscr{D}(\Omega)}.$$
(3.9)

Since  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ , then (3.9) is still valid for any  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ . In particular, (3.9) is still valid for any  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in S_{k+1}(\Omega) \subset T_{k+1}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\nabla \pi \in (T_{k+1}(\Omega))'$  and  $-\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f} - \nabla \pi \in (T_{k+1}(\Omega))'$ . Then according to (2.11) and (2.12), it is clear that (3.6) holds. Now from the equation div  $\boldsymbol{u} = \chi$  in  $\Omega$ , we can deduce that for any  $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} q d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \chi q d\mathbf{x}$$

Using the Green's formula (2.7), we can deduce (3.7).

Now, we are in position to prove the existence and uniqueness of a very weak solution for Stokes problem ( $\mathscr{S}_T$ ).

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose that g = 0. Given any f,  $\chi$  and h with

$$\boldsymbol{f} \in (\boldsymbol{T}_{k+1}^2(\Omega))', \quad \chi \in W^{0,2}_{-k}(\Omega), \quad \boldsymbol{h} \in H^{-3/2}(\Gamma),$$

such that  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  and for any  $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \eta) \in \mathcal{N}_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{(\boldsymbol{T}^{2}_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times \boldsymbol{T}^{2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} - \int_{\Omega} \chi \eta d\boldsymbol{x} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} = 0, \qquad (3.10)$$

then, problem  $(\mathcal{S}_T)$  has a solution  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  unique up to an element of  $\mathcal{N}^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the following theorem is similar to [30, Theorem 7]. Observe that, in view of Proposition 3.5, if the pair  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi)$  that belongs to  $W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  is a solution of  $(\mathscr{S}_T)$ , then for any  $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, q) \in S_{k+1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$ , adding (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \left( -\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \nabla q \right) d\mathbf{x} - \langle \boldsymbol{\pi}, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{W_{-k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega)} = \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(\boldsymbol{T}_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times \boldsymbol{T}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} - \int_{\Omega} \chi q d\mathbf{x} + \langle \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{q} - 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}.$$
(3.11)

In particular, if  $\forall (\boldsymbol{\varphi}, q) \in \mathcal{N}_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$  we obtain (3.10).

It remains now to look for  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi)$  belongs to  $W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  satisfying (3.11). To that end, let **T** be the linear form defined from  $W^{0,2}_{k+1}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega) \perp \mathscr{N}^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$\mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} - \int_{\Omega} \chi q d\mathbf{x},$$

where the pair  $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, q) \in W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$  is a solution of the following problem (see Theorem 3.2):

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \nabla q = \boldsymbol{F} \quad and \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = -\theta & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \quad and \quad 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{0} & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

and satisfying the following estimate:

$$\inf_{(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mu)\in\mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)} \left( \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_{W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \|\boldsymbol{q}+\mu\|_{W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \right) \leq C \left( \|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{W^{0,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \right).$$
(3.12)

Then for any pair  $(F, \theta) \in W^{0,2}_{k+1}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)$  and for any  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{N}^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{F},\boldsymbol{\theta})| &= \left| \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} - \int_{\Omega} \chi q d\mathbf{x} \right| \\ &= \left| \langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} - \int_{\Omega} \chi (q+\mu) d\mathbf{x} \right| \\ &\leq C \Big( \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{(T_{k+1}(\Omega))'} + \|\chi\|_{W^{0,2}_{-k}(\Omega)} + \|\boldsymbol{h}\|_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)} \Big) \Big( \|\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_{W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \|q+\mu\|_{W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{T}(F,\theta)| &\leq C \inf_{(\lambda,\mu) \in \mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)} \left( \| \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \lambda \|_{W^{2,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \| q + \mu \|_{W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \| F \|_{W^{0,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} + \| \theta \|_{W^{1,2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can deduce that the linear form **T** is continuous on the space  $W_{k+1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega) \perp \mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ . Since the dual space of  $W_{k+1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}_{k+1}^{1,2}(\Omega) \perp \mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$  is  $W_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \times W_{-k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega) / \mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ . From Riesz's Representation theorem, we deduce that there exists a unique  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi)$  belongs to  $W_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \times W_{-k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega) / \mathcal{N}_{-k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega) = U_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega)$ .

Now, we will finish with the case that *g* is not vanish. For this reason we need the following lemma concerning the existence and uniqueness for the exterior Neumann problem.

**Lemma 3.7.** For any f in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and g in  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Then, the problem :

$$-\Delta u = f \quad in \quad \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \quad on \quad \Gamma,$$
 (3.13)

has a unique solution  $u \in W_{-1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and we have the following estimate:

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}_{-1}(\Omega)} \le C \left( \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Omega)} \right)$$
(3.14)

*Proof.* Let us extend f by zero in  $\Omega'$  and let  $\tilde{f}$  denote the extended function. Then  $\tilde{f}$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Thanks [6, Theorem 3.9], there exists a unique function  $\tilde{v} \in W_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

$$-\Delta \,\widetilde{\nu} = \widetilde{f} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{3.15}$$

Then  $\nabla \tilde{v} \cdot n$  belongs to  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ . It follows from [29, Theorem 2.7], that the following problem:

$$\Delta w = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla w \cdot n = g - \nabla \widetilde{v} \cdot n \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \tag{3.16}$$

has a unique solution  $w \in W^{1,2}_{-1}(\Omega)$ . Thus  $u = \tilde{v}_{|_{\Omega}} + w \in W^{1,2}_{-1}(\Omega)$  is the required solution of (3.13).

**Theorem 3.8.** *Given any* f*,*  $\chi$ *,* g *and* h *with* 

$$\boldsymbol{f} \in (\boldsymbol{T}_{k+1}^2(\Omega))', \quad \boldsymbol{\chi} \in W^{0,2}_{-k}(\Omega), \quad \boldsymbol{g} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma), \quad \boldsymbol{h} \in H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)$$

such that  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  and for any  $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \eta) \in \mathcal{N}_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{(\boldsymbol{T}^{2}_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times \boldsymbol{T}^{2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} - \int_{\Omega} \chi \eta d\boldsymbol{x} = \langle \boldsymbol{g}, 2[\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \eta \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} - \langle \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)},$$
(3.17)

then, problem  $(\mathcal{S}_T)$  has a solution  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  unique up to an element of  $\mathcal{N}^{2,2}_{-k+1}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Consider the following exterior Neumann problem

$$\Delta v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
(3.18)

Then thanks to Lemma 3.7, this problem has a solution v that belongs to  $W_{-1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Let R be a positive real number large enough so that  $\overline{\Omega'} \subset B_R$  and let  $\psi \in \mathscr{D}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $0 \le \psi \le 1$ , supp  $\psi \subset \overline{\Omega}_{R+1}$  and  $\psi = 1$  in  $\overline{\Omega}_R$ . Set now  $w = v\psi$ , then w has obviously a compact support and thus w belongs to  $W_{-k-1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Next,  $\Delta w = v \Delta \psi + 2\nabla v \nabla \psi$  has a compact support and thus belongs to  $W_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega)$ .

Next we look for a pair  $(z, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \boldsymbol{z} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{f} + \Delta(\nabla w) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{\chi} - \Delta w \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{z}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{h}' \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \end{cases}$$
(3.19)

where  $\boldsymbol{h}' = \boldsymbol{h} - 2[\mathbf{D}(\nabla w)\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} - \alpha(\nabla w)_{\tau}$ .

Observe that in view of the assumption on  $\boldsymbol{f}$  and Lemma 2.3,  $\boldsymbol{f} + \Delta(\nabla w)$  belongs to  $(T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))'$ . Besides, as w belongs to  $W_{-k-1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , then  $\nabla w$  belongs to  $W_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega)$  and  $\Delta(\nabla w)$  belongs to  $(T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))'$ . As a consequence,  $\boldsymbol{h}'$  belongs to  $H^{-3/2}(\Gamma)$  and clearly satisfies  $\boldsymbol{h}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Thus, due to the Theorem 3.6, problem (3.19) has a solution  $(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \in W_{-k-1}^{0,2}(\Omega) \times W_{-k-1}^{-1,2}(\Omega)$  if the following condition is satisfied.

$$\forall (\boldsymbol{\xi}, \eta) \in \mathcal{N}_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega), \qquad \langle \boldsymbol{f} + \Delta(\nabla w), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{(T_{k+1}^2(\Omega))' \times T_{k+1}^2(\Omega)} - \int_{\Omega} (\chi - \Delta w) \eta d\boldsymbol{x} + \langle \boldsymbol{h}', \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} = 0.$$

$$(3.20)$$

Let  $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \eta)$  be in  $\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ . For any  $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \psi) \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega}) \times \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( -\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - \eta \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] d\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \eta \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} - \langle 2[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{n}]_{\tau} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\tau} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)}.$$
(3.21)

In particular, the Green's formula (3.21) holds for any  $\varphi = \nabla w \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  and  $\psi = 0$ . We obtain

$$\langle -\Delta(\nabla w), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{(\boldsymbol{T}^{2}_{k+1}(\Omega))' \times \boldsymbol{T}^{2}_{k+1}(\Omega)} - \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \, \eta \, d\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \langle \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{2}[\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{n}] \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \eta \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} - \langle \boldsymbol{h} - \boldsymbol{h}', \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{H^{-3/2}(\Gamma) \times H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} = 0.$$
(3.22)

Combining (3.17) and (3.22) allows to obtain (3.20). Thus setting  $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{z} + \nabla \boldsymbol{w}$ , the pair  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \pi) \in W^{0,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,2}_{-k-1}(\Omega)$  is the solution of  $(\mathcal{S}_T)$ .

## Conclusion

In this paper, we solved the exterior Stokes problem with the Navier slip boundary conditions with a positive friction term. To prescribe the growths or decay of functions at infinity, we set the problem in weighted Sobolev spaces. Our study is based on an  $L^2$ -theory. We established the existence and the uniqueness of the very weak solution to the problem. The main idea consists in the use of a duality argument with the strong solutions obtained in [19]. In a forthcoming work, we will study the very weak solution to the problem in weighted  $L^p$ spaces.

### References

- [1] P. Acevedo, C. Amrouche, C. Conca, and A. Ghosh. Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary condition. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 357(2):115–119, 2019.
- [2] Y. Achdou and O. Pironneau. Domain decomposition and wall laws. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 320(5):541–547, 1995.
- [3] Y. Achdou, O. Pironneau, and F. Valentin. Effective boundary conditions for laminar flows over periodic rough boundaries. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 147(1):187–218, 1998.
- [4] F. Alliot and C. Amrouche. The Stokes problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : an approach in weighted Sobolev spaces. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 9(5):723–754, 1999.
- [5] C. Amrouche and V. Girault. Decomposition of vector spaces and application to the Stokes problem in arbitrary dimension. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 44(119)(1):109–140, 1994.
- [6] C. Amrouche, V. Girault, and J. Giroire. Weighted Sobolev spaces for Laplace's equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 73(6):579–606, 1994.
- [7] C. Amrouche, V. Girault, and J. Giroire. Dirichlet and Neumann exterior problems for the *n*-dimensional Laplace operator: an approach in weighted Sobolev spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 76(1):55–81, 1997.
- [8] C. Amrouche and M. Meslameni. Stokes problem with several types of boundary conditions in an exterior domain. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, No. 196, 28 pp, 2013.
- [9] C. Amrouche and M. Meslameni. Very weak solutions for the Stokes problem in an exterior domain. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 59(1):3–29, 2013.

- [10] C. Amrouche, vS. Nevcasová, and Y. Raudin. Very weak, generalized and strong solutions to the Stokes system in the half-space. J. Differential Equations, 244(4):887–915, 2008.
- [11] C. Amrouche and A. Rejaiba. Stationary Stokes equations with friction slip boundary conditions. In *Twelfth International Conference Zaragoza-Pau on Mathematics*, volume 39 of *Monogr. Mat. García Galdeano*, pages 23–32. Prensas Univ. Zaragoza, Zaragoza, 2014.
- [12] C. Amrouche and A. Rejaiba. *L<sup>p</sup>*-theory for Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations*, 256(4):1515–1547, 2014.
- [13] C. Amrouche and A. Rejaiba. Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary condition. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 39(17):5091–5112, 2016.
- [14] C. Amrouche and M. Á. Rodríguez-Bellido. Stationary Stokes, Oseen and Navier-Stokes equations with singular data. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 199(2):597–651, 2011.
- [15] A. Basson and D. Gérard-Varet. Wall laws for fluid flows at a boundary with random roughness. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61(7):941–987, 2008.
- [16] H. Beirão Da Veiga. Regularity for Stokes and generalized Stokes systems under nonhomogeneous slip-type boundary conditions. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 9(9-10):1079– 1114, 2004.
- [17] H. Beirão da Veiga. Vorticity and regularity for flows under the Navier boundary condition. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 5(4):907–918, 2006.
- [18] J. Casado-Díaz, E. Fernández-Cara, and J. Simon. Why viscous fluids adhere to rugose walls: a mathematical explanation. *J. Differential Equations*, 189(2):526–537, 2003.
- [19] A. Dhifaoui, M. Meslameni., and U. Razafison. Weighted Hilbert spaces for the stationary exterior Stokes problem with Navier slip boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 472(2):1846–1871, 2019.
- [20] G. P. Galdi, C. G. Simader, and H. Sohr. A class of solutions to stationary Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations with boundary data in  $W^{-1/q,q}$ . *Math. Ann.*, 331(1):41–74, 2005.
- [21] D. Gérard-Varet and N. Masmoudi. Relevance of the slip condition for fluid flows near an irregular boundary. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 295(1):99–137, 2010.
- [22] Y. Giga. Analyticity of the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator in  $L_r$  spaces. Math. Z., 178(3):297–329, 1981.
- [23] Y. Giga and H. Sohr. On the Stokes operator in exterior domains. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., 36(1):103–130, 1989.
- [24] B. Hanouzet. Espaces de Sobolev avec poids. Application au problème de Dirichlet dans un demi espace. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 46:227–272, 1971.
- [25] W. Jäger and A. Mikelić. On the roughness-induced effective boundary conditions for an incompressible viscous flow. *J. Differential Equations*, 170(1):96–122, 2001.

- [26] Daniel D. Joseph and Gordon S. Beavers. Boundary conditions at a naturally permeable wall. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 30:197–207, 1967.
- [27] A. Kufner. *Weighted Sobolev spaces*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1985.
- [28] J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications. Vol. 1.* Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, No. 17. Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [29] H. Louati, M. Meslameni, and U. Razafison. Weighted L<sup>p</sup>-theory for vector potential operators in three-dimensional exterior domains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 39(8):1990– 2010, 2016.
- [30] H. Louati, M. Meslameni, and U. Razafison. On the three-dimensional stationary exterior stokes problem with non standard boundary conditions. *ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 2020.
- [31] G. Mulone and F. Salemi. On the existence of hydrodynamic motion in a domain with free boundary type conditions. *Meccanica*, 18:136–144, 1983.
- [32] G. Mulone and F. Salemi. On the hydrodynamic motion in a domain with mixed boundary conditions: existence, uniqueness, stability and linearization principle. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), 139:147–174, 1985.
- [33] C.L.M.H. Navier. Mémoire sur les Lois du Mouvement des fluides. *Mem. Acad. Sci. Inst. de France (2)*, 6:389–440, 1827.
- [34] A. Russo and A. Tartaglione. On the Navier problem for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 251(9):2387–2408, 2011.
- [35] K. Schumacher. Very weak solutions to the stationary Stokes and Stokes resolvent problem in weighted function spaces. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 54(1):123–144, 2008.
- [36] V. A. Solonnikov and V. E. Scadilov. A certain boundary value problem for the stationary system of Navier-Stokes equations. *Trudy Mat. Int. Steklov.*, 125:1515–1547,235, 1973.