

# GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION VIA SCHRÖDINGER-FÖLLMER DIFFUSION

YIN DAI, YULING JIAO, LICAN KANG, XILIAN LU, AND JERRY ZHIJIAN YANG

ABSTRACT. We study the problem of find a global minimizers of  $V(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  approximately via sampling from a probability distribution  $\mu_\sigma$  with density  $p_\sigma(x) = \frac{\exp(-V(x)/\sigma)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(y)/\sigma) dy}$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure for  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  small enough. We propose and analyze a sampler based on the Euler discretization of the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion processes with stochastic approximation. Under some smooth and growth condition on  $V$ , we prove that  $\forall 0 < \delta \ll 1, \tau > 0$  with probability at least  $1 - \sqrt{\delta}$ , the output of the proposed sampler is a  $\tau$ -global minimizer of  $V$ , if number of iterations larger than  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{d}{\delta})$ , number of Gaussian samples per iteration larger than  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{d}{\delta})$  and the  $\sigma \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\tau}{\log(1/\delta)})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the challenging problem of finding a global minimum of a function  $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , in particular when  $V$  is non-convex. Suppose  $N := \{x_1^*, \dots, x_\kappa^*\}$  is set of the global minimum of  $V$  with finite cardinality, i.e.,

$$x_i^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_x V(x), \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, \kappa. \quad (1)$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(V(x) < V(x_i^*) - \varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V(x) < V(x_i^*) + \varepsilon) > 0$ . Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1]$  be one constant, define

$$C_\sigma := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx,$$

and assume  $C_\sigma < \infty$  for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ . Then we can define one probability density function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as

$$p_\sigma(x) = \frac{\exp(-V(x)/\sigma)}{C_\sigma}.$$

Let  $\mu_\sigma$  be the probability distribution measure corresponding to the density function  $p_\sigma$ . Assuming function  $V$  be twice differentiable, We have measure  $\mu_\sigma$  converges weakly to probability

measure with weights proportional to  $\frac{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_j^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$  at  $x_i^*$  as  $\sigma$  goes to 0, that is,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \mu_\sigma = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{x_i^*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_j^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

then solving the optimization problem (1) can be converted into sampling from the probability distribution measure  $\mu_\sigma$  for small enough  $\sigma$ .

We introduce the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion process associated  $\mu_\sigma$ , defined as

$$dX_t = b(X_t, t) dt + dB_t, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad X_0 = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the drift function

$$b(x, t) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)}[\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z)]}{\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)}[\hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z)]} : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

with the density ratio  $f_\sigma(\cdot) = \frac{p_\sigma(\cdot)}{\phi(\cdot)}$  and  $\phi(\cdot)$  being the density function of standard normal distribution, and  $\hat{f}_\sigma(\cdot)$  defined in (10). According to Léonard (2014) and Eldan et al. (2020),

*Key words and phrases.* Global optimization, Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion, Stochastic approximation.

the process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  in (2) was first formulated by Föllmer (Föllmer, 1985, 1986, 1988) when studying the Schrödinger bridge problem (Schrödinger, 1932). The main feature of the above Schrödinger-Föllmer process is that it interpolates  $\delta_0$  and  $\mu_\sigma$  in time  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.,  $X_1 \sim \mu_\sigma$ , see Proposition 2.3. Then we can solve the optimization problem (1) by sampling from  $\mu_\sigma$  via the following Euler-Maruyama discretization of (2),

$$Y_{t_{k+1}} = Y_{t_k} + sb(Y_{t_k}, t_k) + \sqrt{s}\epsilon_{k+1}, \quad Y_{t_0} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1,$$

where  $s = 1/K$  is the step size,  $t_k = ks$ , and  $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k=1}^K$  are independent and identically distributed from  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ . If the expectations in the drift term  $b(x, t)$  do not have analytical forms, one can use Monte Carlo method to evaluate  $b(Y_{t_k}, t_k)$  approximately, i.e., one can sample from  $\mu_\sigma$  according

$$\tilde{Y}_{t_{k+1}} = \tilde{Y}_{t_k} + s\tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) + \sqrt{s}\epsilon_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{Y}_{t_0} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1,$$

where  $\tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) = \frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(\tilde{Y}_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k}Z_j)]}{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\hat{f}_\sigma(\tilde{Y}_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k}Z_j)]}$  with  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  i.i.d  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ .

The main result of this paper is summarized in the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Informal) Under some smooth and growth condition on  $V$ ,  $\forall 0 < \delta \ll 1$ ,  $\tau > 0$  with probability at least  $1 - \sqrt{\delta}$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_{t_K}$  is a  $\tau$ -global minimizer of  $V$ , i.e.,  $V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) \leq \tau + \inf V(x)$ , if number of iterations  $K \geq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\tau}{\delta})$ , number of Gaussian samples per iteration  $m \geq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\tau}{\delta})$  and the  $\sigma \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\tau}{\log(1/\delta)})$ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the proposed method. In Section 3, we present our theoretical analysis. We conclude in Section 4. Proofs for all the theorems are provided in Appendix 6.

## 2. METHODOLOGY DESCRIPTION

In this section we first provide some background on the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion. We then present the proposed method to solve the minimization problem (1) based on the Euler-Maruyama discretization of the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion.

**2.1. Background on Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion.** We first recall the Schrödinger bridge problem, then introduce the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion.

**2.1.1. Schrödinger bridge problem.** Let  $\Omega = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the space of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued continuous functions on the time interval  $[0, 1]$ . Denote  $Z = (Z_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  as the canonical process on  $\Omega$ , where  $Z_t(\omega) = \omega_t$ ,  $\omega = (\omega_s)_{s \in [0,1]} \in \Omega$ . The canonical  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$  is then generated as  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(Z_t, t \in [0, 1]) = \{ \{ \omega : (Z_t(\omega))_{t \in [0,1]} \in H \} : H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \}$ . Denote  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  as the space of probability measures on the path space  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathbf{W}_x \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  as the Wiener measure whose initial marginal is  $\delta_x$ . The law of the reversible Brownian motion, is then defined as  $\mathbf{P} = \int \mathbf{W}_x dx$ , which is an unbounded measure on  $\Omega$ . One can observe that,  $\mathbf{P}$  has a marginal coinciding with the Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}$  at each  $t$ . Schrödinger (1932) studied the problem of finding the most likely random evolution between two probability distributions  $\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This problem is referred to as the Schrödinger bridge problem (SBP). SBP can be further formulated as seeking a probability law on the path space that interpolates between  $\tilde{\nu}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$ , such that the probability law is close to the prior law of the Brownian diffusion with respect to the relative entropy (Jamison, 1975; Léonard, 2014), i.e., finding a path measure  $\mathbf{Q}^* \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  with marginal  $\mathbf{Q}_t^* = (Z_t)_\# \mathbf{Q}^* = \mathbf{Q}^* \circ Z_t^{-1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\mathbf{Q}^* \in \arg \min \mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mathbf{Q} \parallel \mathbf{P}),$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q}_0 = \tilde{\nu}, \mathbf{Q}_1 = \tilde{\mu},$$

where the relative entropy  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mathbf{Q} \parallel \mathbf{P}) = \int \log \left( \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{d\mathbf{P}} \right) d\mathbf{Q}$  if  $\mathbf{Q} \ll \mathbf{P}$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{Q}$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mathbf{P}$ ), and  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mathbf{Q} \parallel \mathbf{P}) = \infty$  otherwise. The following theorem characterizes the solution of SBP.

**Theorem 2.1.** (*Léonard, 2014*) If measures  $\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\mu} \ll \mathcal{L}$ , then SBP admits a unique solution  $d\mathbf{Q}^* = f^*(Z_0)g^*(Z_1)d\mathbf{P}$ , where  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -measurable nonnegative functions satisfying the Schrödinger system

$$\begin{cases} f^*(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}[g^*(Z_1) | Z_0 = \mathbf{x}] = \frac{d\tilde{\nu}}{d\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{x}), & \mathcal{L}\text{-a.e.} \\ g^*(\mathbf{y})\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}[f^*(Z_0) | Z_1 = \mathbf{y}] = \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}), & \mathcal{L}\text{-a.e.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the pair  $(\mathbf{Q}_t^*, \mathbf{v}_t^*)$  with

$$\mathbf{v}_t^*(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}[g^*(Z_1) | Z_t = \mathbf{x}]$$

solves the minimum action problem

$$\min_{\mu_t, \mathbf{v}_t} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim \mu_t} [\|\mathbf{v}_t(\mathbf{z})\|^2] dt$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mu_t = -\nabla \cdot (\mu_t \mathbf{v}_t) + \frac{\Delta \mu_t}{2}, & \text{on } (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \mu_0 = \tilde{\nu}, \mu_1 = \tilde{\mu}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $K(s, \mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) = [2\pi(t-s)]^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2}{2(t-s)}\right)$  be the transition density of the Wiener process,  $\tilde{q}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{y})$  be the density of  $\tilde{\nu}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$ , respectively. Denote

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}) = f^*(\mathbf{x}), \quad g_1(\mathbf{y}) = g^*(\mathbf{y}),$$

$$f_1(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}[f^*(Z_0) | Z_1 = \mathbf{y}] = \int K(0, \mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{y}) f_0(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

$$g_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}[g^*(Z_1) | Z_0 = \mathbf{x}] = \int K(0, \mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{y}) g_1(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Then, the Schrödinger system in Theorem 2.1 can also be characterized by

$$\tilde{q}(\mathbf{x}) = f_0(\mathbf{x})g_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \tilde{p}(\mathbf{y}) = f_1(\mathbf{y})g_1(\mathbf{y}) \quad (3)$$

with the following forward and backward time harmonic equations (*Chen et al., 2021*),

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_t(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Delta}{2} f_t(\mathbf{x}), & \text{on } (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \\ \partial_t g_t(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\Delta}{2} g_t(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases}$$

Let  $q_t$  denote marginal density of  $\mathbf{Q}_t^*$ , i.e.,  $q_t(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{d\mathbf{Q}_t^*}{d\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{x})$ , then it can be represented by the product of  $g_t$  and  $f_t$  (*Chen et al., 2021*). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  consist of admissible Markov controls with finite energy. Then, the vector field

$$\mathbf{v}_t^* = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log g_t(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log \int K(t, \mathbf{x}, 1, \mathbf{y}) g_1(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \quad (4)$$

solves the following stochastic control problem.

**Theorem 2.2.** (*Dai Pra, 1991*)

$$\mathbf{v}_t^*(\mathbf{x}) \in \arg \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}_t\|^2 dt \right]$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{v}_t dt + dB_t, \\ \mathbf{x}_0 \sim \tilde{q}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}_1 \sim \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

According to Theorem 2.2, the dynamics determined by the SDE in (5) with a time-varying drift term  $\mathbf{v}_t^*$  in (4) will drive the particles sampled from the initial distribution  $\tilde{\nu}$  to evolve to the particles drawn from the target distribution  $\tilde{\mu}$  on the unit time interval. This nice property is what we need in designing samplers: we can sample from the underlying target distribution  $\tilde{\mu}$  via pushing forward a simple reference distribution  $\tilde{\nu}$ . In particular, if we take the initial distribution  $\tilde{\nu}$  to be  $\delta_0$ , the degenerate distribution at 0, then the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion process (8) defined below is a solution to (5), i.e., it will transport  $\delta_0$  to the target distribution.

2.1.2. *Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion process.* From now on, without loss of generality, we can assume that the minimum value of  $V$  is 0, i.e.,  $V(x_i^*) = 0, i = 1, \dots, \kappa$ , otherwise, we consider  $V$  replaced by  $V - \min_x V(x)$ . Since  $\mu_\sigma$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the  $d$ -dimensional standard Gaussian distribution  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ . Let  $f_\sigma$  denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_\sigma$  with respect to  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ , or the ratio of the density of  $\mu_\sigma$  over the density of  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ , i.e.,

$$f_\sigma(x) = \frac{d\mu_\sigma}{dN(0, \mathbf{I}_d)}(x) = \frac{p_\sigma(x)}{\phi(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (6)$$

Let  $Q_t$  be the heat semigroup defined by

$$Q_t f_\sigma(x) = \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)}[f_\sigma(x + \sqrt{t}Z)], \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (7)$$

The Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  is defined as Föllmer (1985, 1986, 1988)

$$dX_t = b(X_t, t)dt + dB_t, \quad X_0 = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (8)$$

where  $b(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is the drift term given by

$$b(x, t) = \nabla \log Q_{1-t} f_\sigma(x). \quad (9)$$

This process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  defined by (8) is a solution to (5) with  $\tilde{\nu} = \delta_0$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_\sigma$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_t(x) = b(x, t)$  (Dai Pra, 1991; Lehec, 2013a; Eldan et al., 2020). In order to facilitate subsequent calculations, we introduce the following notation

$$\hat{f}_\sigma(x) := C_\sigma (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} f_\sigma(x). \quad (10)$$

To ensure that the SDE (8) admits a unique strong solution, we assume that

- (A1) For each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\hat{f}_\sigma, \nabla \hat{f}_\sigma$  are Lipschitz continuous with constant  $\gamma_\sigma > 0$ ;
- (A2) For each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , there exists constant  $\xi_\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\hat{f}_\sigma \geq \xi_\sigma$ .

Hypotheses for conditions (A1)-(A2) stems from the definition 5 and Lemma 6 of Lehec (2013b) and Assumption 1 in Tzen and Raginsky (2019). So far we have not found some results that are weaker than conditions (A1)-(A2).

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  satisfies assumptions (A1) and (A2), then the Schrödinger-Föllmer SDE (8) has a unique strong solution  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  with  $X_0 \sim \delta_0$  and  $X_1 \sim \mu_\sigma$ .*

**Remark 2.4.** (i) *The drift term  $b(x, t)$  is scale-invariant with respect to  $f_\sigma$  in the sense that  $b(x, t) = \nabla \log Q_{1-t} C f_\sigma(x), \forall C > 0$ . Therefore, the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion can be used for sampling from an unnormalized distribution  $\mu_\sigma$ , that is, the normalizing constant  $C_\sigma$  of  $\mu_\sigma$  does not need to be known.*

- (ii) *We have  $\mu_\sigma(dx) = \exp(-V(x)/\sigma)dx/C_\sigma$  with the normalized constant  $C_\sigma$ , then  $f_\sigma(x) = \frac{(\sqrt{2\pi})^d}{C_\sigma} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma + \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2})$ , further,  $\hat{f}_\sigma(x) = \exp(-V(x)/\sigma - \|x\|_2^2/2)$ . Once  $V(x)$  is twice differentiable and for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\|_2 \geq R} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma} + \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2}\right) \left\|x - \frac{\nabla V(x)}{\sigma}\right\|_2 < \infty,$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\|_2 \geq R} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma} + \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2}\right) \left\|\mathbf{I}_d - \frac{\nabla^2 V(x)}{\sigma}\right\|_2 < \infty,$$

*then both  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  and  $\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma$  are Lipschitz continuous, i.e., (A1) holds. If the potential function  $V$  satisfies  $V(x) \leq \sigma \left(\frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2} - \log \xi_\sigma\right)$  for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then condition (A2) holds.*

- (iii) *Under (A1) and (A2), some calculation shows that*

$$\|\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma\|_2 \leq \gamma_\sigma, \quad \|\nabla^2 \hat{f}_\sigma\|_2 \leq \gamma_\sigma,$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1]} \|\nabla Q_{1-t} \hat{f}_\sigma(x)\|_2 \leq \gamma_\sigma, \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1]} \|\nabla^2(Q_{1-t} \hat{f}_\sigma(x))\|_2 \leq \gamma_\sigma,$$

and

$$b(x, t) = \frac{\nabla Q_{1-t} \hat{f}_\sigma(x)}{Q_{1-t} \hat{f}_\sigma(x)}, \quad \nabla b(x, t) = \frac{\nabla^2(Q_{1-t} \hat{f}_\sigma)(x)}{Q_{1-t} \hat{f}_\sigma(x)} - b(x, t) b(x, t)^\top.$$

We conclude that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1]} \|b(x, t)\|_2 \leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma}, \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1]} \|\nabla b(x, t)\|_2 \leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma} + \frac{\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2}.$$

(iv) Under **(A1)** and **(A2)**, then we can easily deduce that the drift term  $b$  satisfies a linear growth condition and a Lipschitz continuity condition (Revuz and Yor, 2013; Pavliotis, 2014), that is,

$$\|b(x, t)\|_2^2 \leq C_0(1 + \|x\|_2^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{C1})$$

and

$$\|b(x, t) - b(y, t)\|_2 \leq C_1 \|x - y\|_2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1], \quad (\text{C2})$$

where  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are two finite positive constants that depend on  $\sigma$ .

The linear growth condition **(C1)** and Lipschitz continuity condition **(C2)** ensure the unique strong solution of Schrödinger-Föllmer SDE (8).

**2.2. Euler-Maruyama discretization for Schrödinger-Föllmer Diffusion.** Proposition 2.3 shows that the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion will transport  $\delta_0$  to the probability distribution measure  $\mu_\sigma$  on the unite time interval. Since the drift term  $b(x, t)$  is scale-invariant with respect to  $f_\sigma$  in the sense that  $b(x, t) = \nabla \log Q_{1-t} C f_\sigma(x), \forall C > 0$ . Therefore, the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion can be used for sampling from  $\mu_\sigma(dx) = \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx / C_\sigma$ , where the normalizing constant of  $C_\sigma$  may not to be known. To this end, we use the Euler-Maruyama method to discretize the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion (8). Let

$$t_k = k \cdot s, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, K, \quad \text{with } s = 1/K, \quad Y_{t_0} = 0,$$

the Euler-Maruyama scheme reads

$$Y_{t_{k+1}} = Y_{t_k} + sb(Y_{t_k}, t_k) + \sqrt{s} \epsilon_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1, \quad (\text{11})$$

where  $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k=1}^K$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$  and

$$b(Y_{t_k}, t_k) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_Z[\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z)]}{\mathbb{E}_Z[\hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z)]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_Z[Z \hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z)]}{\mathbb{E}_Z[\hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z)] \sqrt{1-t_k}}, \quad (\text{12})$$

where the second equality follows from Stein's lemma (Stein, 1972, 1986; Landsman and Nešlehová, 2008). From the definition of  $b(Y_{t_k}, t_k)$  in (12) we may not get its explicit expression. Here, we consider one estimator  $\tilde{b}_m$  of  $b$  by replacing  $\mathbb{E}_Z$  about drift term  $b$  with  $m$ -samples mean, i.e.,

$$\tilde{b}_m(Y_{t_k}, t_k) = \frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z_j)]}{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z_j)]}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K-1, \quad (\text{13})$$

or

$$\tilde{b}_m(Y_{t_k}, t_k) = \frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [Z_j \hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z_j)]}{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\hat{f}_\sigma(Y_{t_k} + \sqrt{1-t_k} Z_j)] \cdot \sqrt{1-t_k}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K-1, \quad (\text{14})$$

where  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ . The detailed description of the proposed method is summarized in following Algorithm 1 below.

**Algorithm 1** Solving (1) via Euler-Maruyama discretization of Schrödinger-Föllmer Diffusion

- 
- 1: Input:  $\sigma$ ,  $m$ ,  $K$ . Initialize  $s = 1/K$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_{t_0} = 0$ .
  - 2: **for**  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1$  **do**
  - 3:   Sample  $\epsilon_{k+1} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ .
  - 4:   Sample  $Z_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , from  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ .
  - 5:   Compute  $\tilde{b}_m$  according to (13) or (14),
  - 6:    $\tilde{Y}_{t_{k+1}} = \tilde{Y}_{t_k} + s\tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) + \sqrt{s}\epsilon_{k+1}$ .
  - 7: **end for**
  - 8: Output:  $\{\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\}_{k=1}^K$ .
- 

In the next section, we establish the probability bound of  $\tilde{Y}_{t_K}$  being a  $\tau$ -global minimizer (Theorem 3.5), and drive the bound on the Wasserstein-2 distance between the law of  $\tilde{Y}_{t_K}$  generated by Algorithm 1 and the probability distribution measure  $\mu_\sigma$  under some certain conditions (Theorem 3.6 and 3.8).

## 3. THEORETICAL PROPERTY

In this section, we show that the Gibbs measure  $\mu_\sigma$  weakly converges to a multidimensional distribution concentrating on the optimal points  $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_\kappa^*\}$ . Since the minimum value of  $V$  is 0, then we will estimate the probabilities of  $V(X_1) > \tau$  and  $V(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}) > \tau$  for any  $\tau > 0$ , and establish the non-asymptotic bounds on the Wasserstein-2 distance between the law of the samples generated from Algorithm 1 and the target distribution  $\mu_\sigma$ . Recall that the linear growth condition (C1) and Lipschitz continuity (C2) hold under conditions (A1) and (A2), which make the Schrödinger-Föllmer SDE (8) has the unique strong solution. Besides, we can obtain that the drift term  $b(x, t)$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $x$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous in  $t$ ,

$$\|b(x, t) - b(y, s)\|_2 \leq C_2 \left( \|x - y\|_2 + |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \quad (\text{C3})$$

where  $C_2$  is one finite and positive constant depending on  $\sigma$ .

**Remark 3.1.** (C1) and (C2) are the essentially sufficient conditions such that the Schrödinger-Föllmer SDE (8) admits the unique strong solution. (C3) has been introduced in Theorem 4.1 of Tzen and Raginsky (2019), and it is also similar to the condition (H2) of Chau et al. (2019) and Assumption 3.2 of Barkhagen et al. (2018). Obviously, (C3) implies (C2), and (C1) holds if the drift term  $b(x, t)$  is bounded over  $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1]$ .

Firstly, we show that the Gibbs measure  $\mu_\sigma$  weakly converges to a multidimensional distribution. This result can be traced back to the 1980s. For the overall continuity of the article, we combine the Laplace's method in Hwang (1980, 1981) to give a detailed proof of the result. The key point is to prove that for all  $\delta' > 0$ ,  $\mu_\sigma(\{x; \|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'\})$  converges to

$$\frac{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_j^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ as } \sigma \downarrow 0.$$

Next, we want to estimate the probabilities of  $V(X_1) > \tau$  and  $V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau$  for any  $\tau > 0$ . However, the second analysis is more complicated due to discretization, and the main idea comes from Dalalyan (2017b); Cheng et al. (2018b), which construct a continuous-time interpolation stochastic differential equation for the Euler-Maruyama discretization. In their work, the relative entropy is controlled via using the Girsanov's theorem to estimate Radon-Nikodym derivatives.

Another method of controlling relative entropy is proposed by Mou et al. (2019). By direct calculation, the time derivative of the relative entropy between the interpolated and the original stochastic differential equation (8) is controlled by the mean squared difference between the drift terms of the Fokker-Planck equations for the original and the interpolated processes. Compared to the bound obtained from Lemma 6.2, this bound has an additional backward conditional expectation inside the norm. It becomes a key reason for obtaining higher precision orders. But it must satisfy the dissipative condition to the drift term of the stochastic differential equation and initial distribution smoothness.

The concrete result is showed in following Theorems 3.2-3.5. See Appendix 6 for detailed proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be twice continuously differentiable function. Suppose there exists a finite set  $N := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; V(x) = \inf_x V(x)\} = \{x_1^*, \dots, x_\kappa^*\}$ ,  $\kappa \geq 2$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x))dx < \infty$ , then*

$$\mu_\sigma \xrightarrow{w} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{x_i^*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_j^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \text{as } \sigma \downarrow 0.$$

Under Theorem 3.2, a natural question is to care about the rate at which measure  $\mu_\sigma$  converges to multidimensional distribution. However, the large deviation of the Gibbs measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure has related results in the 1980s and 1990s. See Márquez (1997); Chiang et al. (1987); Holley et al. (1989). Therefore we can obtain the following property.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Assume that the condition of Theorem 3.2 holds, then for all  $\tau > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \log \mu_\sigma \left( V(x) - \min_x V(x) \geq \tau \right) = -\tau. \quad (15)$$

**Remark 3.4.** *Although we can directly use the large deviation principle to obtain Proposition 3.3, further, we can obtain that the Gibbs measure  $\mu_\sigma$  weakly converges to the global minimum points of the potential function  $V$  and the corresponding convergence rate. However, we cannot directly obtain the specific limit distribution form directly from Proposition 3.3.*

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose assumptions (A1)-(A2) hold. For each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \tau)$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{C}$  with given in (A.15) such that*

$$P(V(X_1) > \tau) \leq \tilde{C} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \varepsilon}{\sigma}\right),$$

$$P(V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \varepsilon}{\sigma}\right) + \sqrt{sd} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{\log m}}\right),$$

where  $s = 1/K$  is the step size. Moreover, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has a finite upper bound for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$P(V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \varepsilon}{\sigma}\right) + \sqrt{sd} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{m}}\right).$$

We note that this mathematical symbol  $\mathcal{O}$  indicates that one constant depending on  $\sigma$  is omitted, so does in following Theorems 3.6-3.8. At last, we establish the non-asymptotic bounds on the Wasserstein-2 distance between the law of the samples generated from Algorithm 1 and the distribution  $\mu_\sigma$ . We introduce the definition of Wasserstein distance. Let  $\mathcal{D}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$  be the collection of coupling probability measures on  $(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$  such that its respective marginal distributions are  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ . The Wasserstein of order  $p \geq 1$  measuring the discrepancy between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  is defined as

$$W_p(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \inf_{\nu \in \mathcal{D}(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2^p d\nu(\theta_1, \theta_2) \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Assume (A1)-(A2) hold, then*

$$W_2(\text{Law}(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}), \mu_\sigma) \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{ds}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{\log m}}\right),$$

where  $s = 1/K$  is the step size.

**Remark 3.7.** *This theorem provides some guidance on the selection of  $s$  and  $m$ . To ensure convergence of the distribution of  $\tilde{Y}_{t_K}$ , we should set the step size  $s = o(1/d)$  and  $m = \exp(d/o(1))$ . In high-dimensional models with a large  $d$ , we need to generate a large number of random vectors from  $N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$  to obtain an accurate estimate of the drift term  $b$ . If we assume that  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  is bounded*

for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  above, we can improve the nonasymptotic error bound, in which  $\sqrt{d/\log m}$  can be improved to be  $\sqrt{d/m}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Assume that, in addition to the conditions of Theorem 3.6,  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has a finite upper bound for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then*

$$W_2(\text{Law}(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}), \mu_\sigma) \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{ds}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{m}}\right),$$

where  $s = 1/K$  is the step size.

**Remark 3.9.** *With the boundedness condition on  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , to ensure convergence of the sampling distribution, we can set the step size  $s = o(1/d)$  and  $m = d/o(1)$ . Note that the sample size requirement for approximating the drift term is significantly less stringent than that in Theorem 3.6.*

**Remark 3.10.** *Langevin sampling method has been studied under the (strongly) convex potential assumption [Durmus and Moulines \(2016a,b\)](#); [Durmus et al. \(2017\)](#); [Dalalyan \(2017a,b\)](#); [Cheng and Bartlett \(2018\)](#); [Dalalyan and Karagulyan \(2019\)](#); the dissipativity condition for the drift term [Raginsky et al. \(2017\)](#); [Mou et al. \(2019\)](#); [Zhang et al. \(2019\)](#); the local convexity condition for the potential function outside a ball [Durmus et al. \(2017\)](#); [Cheng et al. \(2018a\)](#); [Ma et al. \(2019\)](#); [Bou-Rabee et al. \(2020\)](#). Here, we should mention that, our regularity condition (A1)-(A2) and  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  is bounded from above do not imply the above mentioned conditions used in Langevin sampling. Indeed, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  is bounded for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then potential function  $V$  grows as a quadratic functions. In particular, if we take  $V(x) = \|x\|_2^2/2 + \sin \|x\|_2^2$ , then  $\nabla^2 V(x) = (1 + 2 \cos \|x\|_2^2)\mathbf{I}_d - (4 \sin \|x\|_2^2)xx^T$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It is obviously that  $V$  does not satisfy Bakry-Emery condition, that is, there is no constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 V(x) \geq \kappa \mathbf{I}_d$  holds for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . On other hand, if we take  $V(x) = \|x\|_2^2/2 - \sin e^{\|x\|_2^2}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\nabla V(x) = x - 2x \exp(\|x\|_2^2) \cos e^{\|x\|_2^2}$ , further,  $\langle \nabla V(x), x \rangle = \|x\|_2^2 \left(1 - 2 \exp(\|x\|_2^2) \cos e^{\|x\|_2^2}\right)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . At this time, the function  $V$  does not satisfy the dissipative condition, that is, there is no constants  $A, B > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\langle \nabla V(x), x \rangle \geq A\|x\|_2^2 - B$  holds.*

#### 4. CONCLUSION

We study the problem of find a global minimizers of  $V(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  approximately via sampling from a probability distribution  $\mu_\sigma$  with density  $p_\sigma(x) = \frac{\exp(-V(x)/\sigma)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(y)/\sigma) dy}$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure for  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  small enough. We analyze a sampler based on the Euler discretization of the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion processes with stochastic approximation under appropriate assumptions on the step size  $s$  and the potential  $V$ . We prove that the output of the proposed sampler is an approximate global minimizers of  $V(x)$  with high probability.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Y. Jiao is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant 11871474 and by the research fund of KLATASDSMOE of China. X. Lu is partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 11871385), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No.2018YFC1314600) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (No. 2019CFA007), J. Yang was supported by NSFC (Grant No. 12125103, 12071362), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFA0714200) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (No. 2019CFA007).

#### 6. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we prove Remark 2.4, Propositions 2.3, 3.3, Theorems 3.2, 3.5-3.6, 3.8.



### 6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.3.

*Proof.* By (A1) and (A2), it yields that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\|b(x, t)\|_2 = \frac{\|\nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x)\|_2}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x)} \leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Then, by (A1)-(A2) and (A.1), for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|b(x, t) - b(y, t)\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{\nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x)}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x)} - \frac{\nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)} \right\|_2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{\nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x) - \nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)} + \frac{\nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x) (Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y) - Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x))}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x)Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|\nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x) - \nabla Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)\|_2}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)} + \|b(x, t)\|_2 \cdot \frac{|Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(x) - Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)|}{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma} + \frac{\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} \right) \|x - y\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $C_1 = \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma} + \frac{\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2}$  yields the Lipschitz continuous condition (C2). Combining (A.1) and (C2) with the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|b(x, t)\|_2 \leq \|b(0, t)\|_2 + C_1 \|x\|_2 \leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma} + C_1 \|x\|_2.$$

Let  $C_0 \geq \max \left\{ \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma}, C_1 \right\}$ , then (C1) holds. Therefore, the drift term  $b(x, t)$  satisfies the linear growth condition (C1) and Lipschitz condition (C2), then the Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion SDE (8) has the unique strong solution (Revuz and Yor, 2013; Pavliotis, 2014).

Moreover, Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  defined in (8) admits the transition probability density

$$p_{s,t}(x, y) := \tilde{p}_{s,t}(x, y) \frac{Q_{1-t}\hat{f}_\sigma(y)}{Q_{1-s}\hat{f}_\sigma(x)},$$

where

$$\tilde{p}_{s,t}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi(t-s))^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(t-s)}\|x-y\|_2^2\right)$$

is the transition probability density of a standard  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion. See Dai Pra (1991); Lehec (2013b) for details. It follows that for any Borel measurable set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 \in A) &= \int_A p_{0,1}(0, y) dy \\ &= \int_A \tilde{p}_{0,1}(0, y) \frac{Q_0\hat{f}_\sigma(y)}{Q_1\hat{f}_\sigma(0)} dy \\ &= \mu_\sigma(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $X_1$  is distributed as the probability distribution  $\mu_\sigma$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 6.2. Proof of Remark 2.4.

*Proof.* Through  $f_\sigma$  defined by (6) and simple calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2} - \frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \left(x - \frac{\nabla V(x)}{\sigma}\right), \\ \nabla^2 \hat{f}_\sigma(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2} - \frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \left(x - \frac{\nabla V(x)}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \left(x - \frac{\nabla V(x)}{\sigma}\right)^\top \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \exp\left(\frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2} - \frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{I}_d - \frac{\nabla^2 V(x)}{\sigma}\right).$$

Then, the assumption **(A1)**-**(A2)** will hold if for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\|_2 \geq R} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma} + \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2}\right) \left\| \frac{\nabla^2 V(x)}{\sigma} \right\|_2 &< \infty, \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\|_2 \geq R} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma} + \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2}\right) \cdot \left\| x - \frac{\nabla V(x)}{\sigma} \right\|_2 &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  and  $\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma$  are Lipschitz continuous.

Next, we give the sufficient conditions such that condition **(A2)** hold. Indeed, if  $0 < \xi_\sigma < 1$  for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\hat{f}_\sigma(x) \geq \xi_\sigma$ , hence, we have  $V(x) \leq \sigma \left(\frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2} - \log \xi_\sigma\right)$ .  $\square$

### 6.3. Proof of Proposition 3.3.

*Proof.* Under the Theorem 3.2, then  $C_\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x)) dx < \infty$  for all  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ . According to the Varadhan's theorem 1.1 in Rezakhanlou (2015), it follows that the family  $\{\mu_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in [0,1]}$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies large deviation principle with rate function  $V(x) - \min_x V(x)$  is equivalent to the following statement: for every function  $F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the bounded continuous function space on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C_\sigma^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx = \sup_x \{F(x) - I(x)\}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the rate function  $I(x)$  is defined by

$$I(x) := V(x) - \min_x V(x).$$

Next, we only need to prove (A.2). On one hand,

$$\log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C_\sigma^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx = -\log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx + \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx,$$

By the Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx &= -\sigma \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x) - \min_x V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx + \min_x V(x) \\ &\rightarrow \min_x V(x) + \frac{d}{2} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \log \sigma = \min_x V(x), \quad \text{as } \sigma \downarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

On the other hand, similar to the process above, by the Lemma 6.1 we obtain

$$\sigma \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx \rightarrow -\inf_x \{V(x) - F(x)\} = \sup_x \{F(x) - V(x)\}, \quad \text{as } \sigma \downarrow 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Hence, combining (A.3) and (A.4), we get

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C_\sigma^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{F(x) - V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx = \sup_x \{F(x) - V(x) + \min_x V(x)\}.$$

Since measure  $\mu_\sigma$  satisfies the large deviation principle with rate function  $I$ , if we take closed set  $F := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; V(x) - \min_x V(x) \geq \tau\}$ , then

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \log \mu_\sigma(F) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \log \mu_\sigma\left(V(x) - \min_x V(x) \geq \tau\right) = -\inf_{x \in F} I(x) = -\tau.$$

$\square$

**6.4. Preliminary lemmas for Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.5.** In order to prove that the Gibbs measure  $\mu_\sigma$  weakly converges to a multidimensional distribution and estimate the probabilities of  $V(X_1) > \tau$  and  $V(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}) > \tau$  for any  $\tau > 0$ . We first need to prove the following Lemmas 6.1-6.2.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Assume  $V \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $V(x_0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla V(x_0) = 0$  and Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 V(x_0)$  is positive definite. If  $\delta > 0$  is enough small, for  $x_0$ 's any neighborhood  $U_\delta(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \|x - x_0\|_2 < \delta\}$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{U_\delta(x_0)} e^{-tV(x_1, \dots, x_d)} dx_1 \cdots dx_d = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x \in U_\delta$ , we have

$$\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)(x - x_0)^\top \nabla^2 V(x_0)(x - x_0)}{2} \leq V(x) \leq \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)(x - x_0)^\top \nabla^2 V(x_0)(x - x_0)}{2},$$

then

$$\int_{U_\delta(x_0)} \exp(-tV(x)) dx \leq \int_{U_\delta(x_0)} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)(x - x_0)^\top \nabla^2 V(x_0)(x - x_0)\right) dx. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

There is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  such that  $Y = PX$ . Thus  $(x - x_0)^\top \nabla^2 V(x_0)(x - x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (y_i)^2$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  are all the eigenvalues of Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 V(x_0)$ . Hence

$$\int_{U_\delta(x_0)} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)(x - x_0)^\top \nabla^2 V(x_0)(x - x_0)\right) dx = \int_{U_\delta(0)} \exp\left(-\frac{t(1 - \varepsilon)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (y_i)^2\right) dy, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Further, we can get

$$\int_{U_\delta(0)} \exp\left(-\frac{t(1 - \varepsilon)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (y_i)^2\right) dy = \left(\frac{2}{t(1 - \varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\|z\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{t(1 - \varepsilon)}{2}}\delta} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (z_i)^2\right) dz, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

in the above equality we let  $z = \sqrt{\frac{t(1 - \varepsilon)}{2}}y$ . Combining (A.5), (A.6) and (A.7) then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{U_\delta(x_0)} e^{-tV(x)} dx &\leq \left(\frac{2}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\|z\|_2 < \sqrt{\frac{t(1 - \varepsilon)}{2}}\delta} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (z_i)^2\right) dz \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (z_i)^2\right) dz_1 \cdots dz_d \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\right) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{U_\delta(x_0)} e^{-tV(x)} dx &\geq \left(\frac{2}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\|z\|_2 < \sqrt{\frac{t(1 + \varepsilon)}{2}}\delta} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (z_i)^2\right) dz \\ &\geq \left(\frac{2}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (z_i)^2\right) dz_1 \cdots dz_d \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\right) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{U_\delta(x_0)} e^{-tV(x)} dx = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

□

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $X = (X_t, \mathcal{F}_t), Y = (Y_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  are strong solutions of the following two stochastic differential equations*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= a(X_t, t)dt + dB_t, \quad t \in [0, 1] \\ dY_t &= b(Y_t, t)dt + dB_t, \quad Y_0 = X_0, t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

and  $X_0$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable. In addition, if drift terms  $a(X_t, t)$  and  $b(X_t, t)$  satisfy  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^1 \|a(X_t, t)\|_2^2 + \|b(X_t, t)\|_2^2 dt \right) \right] < \infty$ , then we have

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_Y}{d\mathbb{P}_X}(X) = \exp \left( \int_0^1 \langle b(X_t, t) - a(X_t, t), dB_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \|b(X_t, t) - a(X_t, t)\|_2^2 dt \right), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and the relative entropy of  $\mathbb{P}_X$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_Y$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mathbb{P}_X \| \mathbb{P}_Y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} [\|b(X_t, t) - a(X_t, t)\|_2^2] dt,$$

where probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y$  induced by process  $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$  and  $(Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* By the Novikov condition, we know that

$$M_t := \exp \left( \int_0^t \langle b(X_u, u) - a(X_u, u), dB_u \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|b(X_u, u) - a(X_u, u)\|_2^2 du \right)$$

is exponential martingale and  $\mathbb{E}M_t = 1$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We can denote a new probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $d\mathbb{Q} = M_1 d\mathbb{P}$ . By Girsanov's theorem, under new probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have

$$\tilde{B}_t := B_t - \int_0^t (b(X_u, u) - a(X_u, u)) du$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Brownian motion. Hence, under the new probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b(X_t, t)dt + d\tilde{B}_t &= b(X_t, t)dt + dB_t - (b(X_t, t) - a(X_t, t))dt \\ &= a(X_t, t)dt + dB_t = dX_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can get distribution  $\mathbb{Q}_X = \mathbb{P}_Y$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_X$  is the distribution of  $X$  under the measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Further, we can obtain (A.8). On other hand, by the definition of relative entropy of  $\mathbb{P}_X$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_Y$ , we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mathbb{P}_X \| \mathbb{P}_Y) = \mathbb{E} \left[ -\log \left( \frac{d\mathbb{P}_Y}{d\mathbb{P}_X}(X) \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} [\|b(X_t, t) - a(X_t, t)\|_2^2] dt.$$

Therefore, the proof Lemma 6.2 is completed. □

### 6.5. Proof of Theorem 3.2.

*Proof.* The result can be traced back to the 1980s. For the overall continuity of the article, we combine the Laplace's method in Hwang (1980, 1981) to give a detailed proof of the result. The key proof is to prove that for all  $\delta' > 0, \mu_\sigma(\{x; \|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'\})$  converges to

$\frac{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_j^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$  as  $\sigma \downarrow 0$ . In fact, for all  $\delta' \in (0, 1)$ . We firstly introduce the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} a(\delta') &:= \inf\{V(x); \|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'\}; \\ \tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta') &:= \int_{\|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \kappa; \\ \tilde{m}(\sigma, \delta') &:= \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} \|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\mu_\sigma(\{x; \|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'\}) = \frac{\int_{\|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx} = \frac{\tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta')}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \tilde{m}_j(\sigma, \delta') + \tilde{m}(\sigma, \delta')}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

On the one hand, Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 V(x_i^*)$  is symmetric and positive definite. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , choosing  $0 < \delta' < \varepsilon$  such that for any  $\|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'$ , then we have

$$\frac{(x - x_i^*)^\top (\nabla^2 V(x_i^*) - \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d)(x - x_i^*)}{2} \leq V(x) - V(x_i^*) \leq \frac{(x - x_i^*)^\top (\nabla^2 V(x_i^*) + \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d)(x - x_i^*)}{2}.$$

Hence, for any  $i = 1, \dots, \kappa$ , we obtain

$$(2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}} \tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta') \leq (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_i^*)^\top (\nabla^2 V(x_i^*) - \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d)(x - x_i^*)}{2\sigma}\right) dx,$$

$$(2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}} \tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta') \geq (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_i^*)^\top (\nabla^2 V(x_i^*) + \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d)(x - x_i^*)}{2\sigma}\right) dx.$$

By the Lemma 6.1 and let  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\det(\nabla^2 V(x_i^*) + \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d))^{-\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}} \tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta') \\ &\leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}} \tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta') \\ &\leq (\det(\nabla^2 V(x_i^*) - \varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , we get

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}\right) \tilde{m}_i(\sigma, \delta') = (\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}\right) \tilde{m}(\sigma, \delta') &= (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{a(\delta') - V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} \|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x) - a(\delta')}{\sigma}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a(\delta') := \inf\{V(x); \|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'\} > V(x_i^*)$  and  $V(x) \geq a(\delta')$  for  $\|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'$ , then for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} \|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'} \exp\left(-\frac{V(x) - a(\delta')}{\sigma}\right) dx &\leq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} \|x - x_i^*\|_2 \geq \delta'} \exp(-(V(x) - a(\delta'))) dx \\ &\leq \exp(a(\delta')) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x)) dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also it follows that

$$(2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{a(\delta') - V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \sigma \downarrow 0.$$

Thus we get

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{V(x_i^*)}{\sigma}\right) \tilde{m}(\sigma, \delta') = 0. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

By injection (A.10), (A.11) into (A.9), we get

$$\mu_\sigma(\{x; \|x - x_i^*\|_2 < \delta'\}) \rightarrow \frac{(\det \nabla^2 V(x_i^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\det \nabla^2 V(x_j^*))^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{as } \sigma \downarrow 0.$$

Therefore, the proof Theorem 3.2 is completed.  $\square$

### 6.6. Proof of Theorem 3.5.

*Proof.* Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(V(X_1) > \tau) = \frac{\int_{V(x) > \tau} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

According to Remark 2.4 (i),  $V$  has at least linear growth at infinity: there exists  $C > 0$  such that for  $R$  large enough

$$V(x) \geq \min_{\|y\|_2=R} V(y) + C(\|x\|_2 - R) \quad \text{for } \|x\|_2 > R.$$

We can choose sufficiently large  $R$  such that  $\min_{\|y\|_2=R} V(y) > \tau$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V(x) \geq \tau} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx &= \int_{V(x) \geq \tau, \|x\|_2 \leq R} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx + \int_{V(x) \geq \tau, \|x\|_2 > R} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right) \text{Vol}(B_R) + \int_{V(x) \geq \tau, \|x\|_2 > R} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau + C(\|x\|_2 - R)}{\sigma}\right) dx \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right) \left( \text{Vol}(B_R) + \frac{\text{Vol}(B_1)}{C} \sigma \right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right) (\text{Vol}(B_R) + \text{Vol}(B_1)/C), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where  $\text{Vol}(B_R)$  is the volume of a ball with radius  $R$ . On the other hand, there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $V(x) < \varepsilon$  when  $\|x\|_2 < r$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx \geq \int_{\|x\|_2 < r} \exp(-V(x)/\sigma) dx > \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma}\right) \text{Vol}(B_r). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

By injection (A.13), (A.14) into (A.12), we get

$$P(V(X_1) > \tau) \leq \tilde{C} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \varepsilon}{\sigma}\right),$$

where

$$\tilde{C} := \frac{C \text{Vol}(B_R) + \text{Vol}(B_1)}{C \text{Vol}(B_r)}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Next, we will prove that the second conclusion holds in the discrete case. Recall that  $s = 1/K$  is the step size, and  $t_k := ks$  is the cumulative step size up to iteration  $k$ . Let  $\mu_{t_k}$  be the probability measure of  $Y_{t_k}$  defined by (11), at the same time, process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  satisfies Schrödinger-Föllmer diffusion process (8). Then for fixed  $\tau > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau) &= \mathbb{P}(V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau, V(Y_{t_K}) > \tau) + \mathbb{P}(V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau, V(Y_{t_K}) \leq \tau) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(V(Y_{t_K}) > \tau) + \|\tilde{Y}_{t_K} - Y_{t_K}\|_{TV} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(V(X_{t_K}) > \tau) + \|X_{t_K} - Y_{t_K}\|_{TV} + \|\tilde{Y}_{t_K} - Y_{t_K}\|_{TV} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(V(X_{t_K}) > \tau) + \sqrt{2\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_\sigma || \mu_{t_K})} + \sqrt{2\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_{t_K} || \text{Law}(\tilde{Y}))}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where we use Pinsker's inequality (Bakry et al., 2014) in the last inequality and the first inequality holds due to the fact that letting  $g(x) := \mathbb{1}_{V(x) > \tau}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{P}(V(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) > \tau) - \mathbb{P}(V(Y_{t_K}) > \tau)| &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left( g(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}) - g(Y_{t_K}) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) d \left( \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_K}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{Y_{t_K}}(x) \right) \right| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_K}} - \mathbb{P}_{Y_{t_K}}|(\mathbb{R}^d) = \|\tilde{Y}_{t_K} - Y_{t_K}\|_{TV}, \end{aligned}$$

where the total variation metric between two probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined by  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} := |\mu - \nu|(\mathbb{R}^d) = 2 \sup_{A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|$ .

Firstly, From the first part of proof, we can get a bound for the first term on the right hand side of (A.16). That is, for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \tau)$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{C}$  defined by (A.15) such that

$$\mathbb{P}(V(Z_{t_K}) > \tau) \leq \tilde{C} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \varepsilon}{\sigma}\right). \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Secondly, we estimate the boundness of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_\sigma | \mu_{t_K})$ . To make use of continuous-time tools, we construct a continuous-time interpolation for the discrete-time algorithm (11). In particular, we define a stochastic process  $\{Y_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  via stochastic differential equation

$$dY_t = \hat{b}(Y_t, t)dt + dB_t, \quad t \in [0, 1], Y_0 = 0, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

with the non-anticipative drift  $\hat{b}(Y_t, t) := \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} b(Y_{t_k}, t_k) \mathbb{1}_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(t)$ . And by the Proposition 2.3 we know the process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  is defined by (8) with  $X_1 \sim \mu_\sigma$ . Thus, combining (11), (A.18) and Lemma 6.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_\sigma | \mu_{t_K}) &= \mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\text{Law}(X) | \text{Law}(Y)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left( \|b(Y_t, t) - \hat{b}(Y_t, t)\|_2^2 \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left( \|b(Y_t, t) - b(Y_{t_k}, t_k)\|_2^2 \right) dt \\ &\leq C_2^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left( \|Y_t - Y_{t_k}\|_2^2 + (t - t_k) \right) dt \\ &= C_2^2 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left( \|b(Y_{t_k}, t_k)(t - t_k) + (B_t - B_{t_k})\|_2^2 \right) dt + \frac{1}{2K} \right] \\ &\leq 2C_2^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left( \|b(Y_{t_k}, t_k)\|_2^2 (t - t_k)^2 + d(t - t_k) \right) dt + \frac{C_2^2}{2K} \\ &\leq 2C_2^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma} \right)^2 (t - t_k)^2 + d(t - t_k) \right] dt + \frac{C_2^2}{2K} \\ &= \frac{2C_2^2}{3} \left( \frac{\gamma_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{K^2} + \frac{(2d+1)C_2^2}{2K} = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{K} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where the first inequality holds due to (C3) and the fact that  $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$ , the fourth equality holds due to continuous-time interpolation equation (A.18), and the third inequality holds due to the fact that  $\|b(x, t)\|_2^2 \leq \gamma_\sigma^2 / \xi_\sigma^2$ .

So it remains to estimate relative entropy  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_{t_K} | \text{Law}(\tilde{Y}))$ . Similar to the process of relative entropy  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_\sigma | \mu_{t_K})$ , we need to construct a continuous-time interpolation process  $\{\tilde{Y}_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  defined by

$$d\tilde{Y}_t = \hat{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_t, t)dt + dB_t, \quad t \in [0, 1], \tilde{Y}_0 = 0, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

with the non-anticipative drift  $\hat{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_t, t) := \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \mathbb{1}_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(t)$ , where  $\tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_k, t_k)$  defined by (13) or (14). Therefore, combining (A.18), (A.20), Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_{t_K} | \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{Y}}) &= \mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mathbb{P}_Y | \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{Y}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left( \|\hat{b}(\tilde{Y}_t, t) - \hat{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_t, t)\|_2^2 \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left( \|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k)\|_2^2 \right) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right) dt = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has the finite upper bound, then by Lemma 6.7, we can similarly get

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{KL}}(\mu_{t_K} \|\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{Y}}) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d}{m}\right). \quad (\text{A.22})$$

By injecting (A.17), (A.19), and (A.21) or (A.22) into (A.16), we can get the desired results.  $\square$

**6.7. Preliminary lemmas for Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8.** First, we introduce Lemmas 6.3-6.7 in preparing for the proofs of Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Assume (A1) and (A2) hold, then*

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_t\|_2^2] \leq 2(C_0 + d) \exp(2C_0 t).$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $X_t$  in (8), we have  $\|X_t\|_2 \leq \int_0^t \|b(X_u, u)\|_2 du + \|B_t\|_2$ . Then, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t\|_2^2 &\leq 2 \left( \int_0^t \|b(X_u, u)\|_2 du \right)^2 + 2\|B_t\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2t \int_0^t \|b(X_u, u)\|_2^2 du + 2\|B_t\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2t \int_0^t C_0 (\|X_u\|_2^2 + 1) du + 2\|B_t\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds by the inequality  $(a + c)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2c^2$ , the last inequality holds by (C1). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\|X_t\|_2^2] &\leq 2t \int_0^t C_0 (\mathbb{E} [\|X_u\|_2^2] + 1) du + 2\mathbb{E} [\|B_t\|_2^2] \\ &\leq 2C_0 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [\|X_u\|_2^2] du + 2(C_0 + d). \end{aligned}$$

By Bellman-Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_t\|_2^2] \leq 2(C_0 + d) \exp(2C_0 t).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Assume (A1) and (A2) hold, then for any  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] \leq 2d(t_2 - t_1) \exp \left\{ \frac{C_0}{d} [1 + 2(C_0 + d)e^{2C_0}] \right\}.$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $X_t$  in (8), we have

$$X_t - X_{t_1} = \int_{t_1}^t b(X_s, s) ds + \int_{t_1}^t dB_s, \quad \forall t \in [t_1, 1].$$

Then, by the Itô formula, for any  $t \in [t_1, 1]$ , we have

$$d\|X_t - X_{t_1}\|_2^2 = 2 \langle X_t - X_{t_1}, b(X_t, t) \rangle dt + \text{tr}(I_{d \times d}) dt + 2 \langle X_t - X_{t_1}, dB_t \rangle.$$

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we achieve for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\|X_t - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_1}^t \|X_s - X_{t_1}\|_2 \|b(X_s, s)\|_2 ds \right] + d(t - t_1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^t \mathbb{E} [\|X_s - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t_1}^t \mathbb{E} [\|b(X_s, s)\|_2^2] ds + d(t - t_1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^t \mathbb{E} [\|X_s - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] ds + \frac{C_0}{\varepsilon} \int_{t_1}^t \mathbb{E} [1 + \|X_s\|_2^2] ds + d(t - t_1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^t \mathbb{E} [\|X_s - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] ds + (t - t_1) \left[ d + \frac{C_0}{\varepsilon} (1 + 2(C_0 + d)e^{2C_0}) \right], \end{aligned}$$



where the last inequality holds by (C1). Futher, by Bellman-Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_t - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] \leq (t - t_1) \left[ d + \frac{C_0}{\varepsilon} (1 + 2(C_0 + d)e^{2C_0}) \right] e^\varepsilon.$$

Thus, choosing  $\varepsilon = C_0 [1 + 2(C_0 + d) \exp(2C_0)] / d$ , then we get

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}\|_2^2] \leq 2d(t_2 - t_1) \exp\left(\frac{C_0}{d} [1 + 2(C_0 + d)e^{2C_0}]\right).$$

□

**Lemma 6.5.** *Assume (A1) and (A2) hold, then for any  $R > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{\|x\|_2 \leq R, t \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} [\|b(x, t) - \tilde{b}_m(x, t)\|_2^2] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d \exp(R^2)}{m}\right).$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has the finite upper bound for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} [\|b(x, t) - \tilde{b}_m(t, x)\|_2^2] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d}{m}\right).$$

*Proof.* Denote two independent sets of independent copies of  $Z \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ , that is,  $\mathbf{Z} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_m\}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}' = \{Z'_1, \dots, Z'_m\}$ . For notation convenience, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} h &:= \mathbb{E}_Z [\nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z)], \quad h_m := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z_i)}{m}, \\ e &:= \mathbb{E}_Z [\hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z)], \quad e_m := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z_i)}{m}, \\ h'_m &:= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z'_i)}{m}, \quad e'_m := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z'_i)}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to  $h - h_m = \mathbb{E}[h'_m - h_m | \mathbf{Z}]$ , then  $\|h - h_m\|_2^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[\|h'_m - h_m\|_2^2 | \mathbf{Z}]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|h - h_m\|_2^2] &\leq \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}[\|h'_m - h_m\|_2^2 | \mathbf{Z}]\} = \mathbb{E}[\|h'_m - h_m\|_2^2] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{Z_1, Z'_1} \left[ \left\| \nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z_1) - \nabla \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z'_1) \right\|_2^2 \right]}{m} \\ &\leq \frac{(1-t)\gamma_\sigma^2}{m} \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, Z'_1} [\|Z_1 - Z'_1\|_2^2] \\ &\leq \frac{2d\gamma_\sigma^2}{m}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

where the second inequality holds by (A1). Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|e - e_m|^2] &\leq \mathbb{E}[|e'_m - e_m|^2] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{Z_1, Z'_1} \left[ \left| \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z_1) - \hat{f}_\sigma(x + \sqrt{1-t}Z'_1) \right|^2 \right]}{m} \\ &\leq \frac{(1-t)\gamma_\sigma^2}{m} \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, Z'_1} [\|Z_1 - Z'_1\|_2^2] \\ &\leq \frac{2d\gamma_\sigma^2}{m}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

where the second inequality holds due to (A1). Thus, by (A.23) and (A.24), it follows that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} [\|h - h_m\|_2^2] \leq \frac{2d\gamma_\sigma^2}{m}, \tag{A.25}$$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E} [|e - e_m|^2] \leq \frac{2d\gamma_\sigma^2}{m}. \tag{A.26}$$

Then, by (A1) and (A2), through some simple calculation, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b(x, t) - \tilde{b}_m(x, t)\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{h}{e} - \frac{h_m}{e_m} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|h\|_2 |e_m - e| + \|h - h_m\|_2 |e|}{|ee_m|} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma |e_m - e| + \|h - h_m\|_2 |e|}{\xi_\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Let  $R > 0$ , then

$$\sup_{\|x\|_2 \leq R} \hat{f}_\sigma(x) \leq \mathcal{O}(\exp(R^2/2)). \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Therefore, by (A.25)-(A.28), it can be concluded that

$$\sup_{\|x\|_2 \leq R, t \in [0, 1]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|b(x, t) - \tilde{b}_m(x, t)\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d \exp(R^2)}{m} \right).$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has the finite upper bound, that is, there exists a finite and positive constant  $\zeta_\sigma > 0$  such that  $f_\sigma \leq \zeta_\sigma$ . Then, similar to (A.27), it follows that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\|b(x, t) - \tilde{b}_m(x, t)\|_2^2 \leq 2 \frac{\gamma_\sigma^2 |e_m - e|^2 + \zeta_\sigma^2 \|h - h_m\|_2^2}{\xi_\sigma^4}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Then, by (A.25)-(A.26) and (A.29), it follows that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, 1]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|b(x, t) - \tilde{b}_m(t, x)\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{m} \right).$$

□

**Lemma 6.6.** *Assume (A1) and (A2) hold, then for any  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2^2 \right] \leq \frac{6\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} + 3d.$$

*Proof.* Define  $\Theta_{k,t} := \tilde{Y}_{t_k} + (t - t_k)\tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k)$ , hence, we get  $\tilde{Y}_t = \Theta_{k,t} + B_t - B_{t_k}$ , where  $t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}$  with  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1$ . By (A1) and (A2), it follows that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\|b(x, t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2}, \quad \|\tilde{b}_m(x, t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2}. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Then, by (A.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta_{k,t}\|_2^2 &= \|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2^2 + (t - t_k)^2 \|\tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k)\|_2^2 + 2(t - t_k)\tilde{Y}_{t_k}^\top \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \\ &\leq (1 + s)\|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2^2 + \frac{(s + s^2)\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_t\|_2^2 | \tilde{Y}_{t_k} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\Theta_{k,t}\|_2^2 | \tilde{Y}_{t_k} \right] + (t - t_k)d \\ &\leq (1 + s)\|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2^2 + \frac{(s + s^2)\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} + sd. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k+1}}\|_2^2 \right] \leq (1 + s)\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2^2 \right] + \frac{(s + s^2)\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} + sd.$$

Since  $\tilde{Y}_{t_0} = 0$ , then by induction, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k+1}}\|_2^2 \right] \leq e^{(k+1)s} \left( d + \frac{(1 + s)\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} \right) \leq e \left( d + \frac{2\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} \right) \leq \frac{6\gamma_\sigma^2}{\xi_\sigma^2} + 3d.$$

□

**Lemma 6.7.** *Assume (A1) and (A2) hold, then for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right).$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has the finite upper bound for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{m} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $R > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_k}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_Z \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}(\|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2 \leq R) \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_k}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_Z \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}(\|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2 > R) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Next, we need to bound the two terms of (A.31). Firstly, by Lemma 6.5, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_k}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_Z \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}(\|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2 \leq R) \right] \right\} \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d \exp(R^2)}{m} \right).$$

Secondly, combining (A.30) and Lemma 6.6 with Markov inequality, it yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_k}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_Z \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}(\|\tilde{Y}_{t_k}\|_2 > R) \right] \right\} \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{R^2} \right).$$

Thence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d \exp(R^2)}{m} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{R^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.32})$$

Set  $R = \left( \frac{\log m}{2} \right)^{1/2}$  in (A.32), then we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right).$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{f}_\sigma$  has the finite upper bound, then by Lemma 6.5, we can similarly get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Y}_{t_k}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_Z \left[ \left\| b(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_k}, t_k) \right\|_2^2 \right] \right\} \leq \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{m} \right).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 6.8. Proof of Theorem 3.6.

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\tilde{Y}_{t_k}$  and  $X_{t_k}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{Y}_{t_k} - X_{t_k}\|_2^2 &\leq \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + \left( \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|b(X_u, u) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2 du \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2 \left( \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|b(X_u, u) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2 du \right) \\ &\leq (1+s)\|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + (1+s) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|b(X_u, u) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 du \\ &\leq (1+s)\|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + 2(1+s) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|b(X_u, u) - b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 du \\ &\quad + 2s(1+s)\|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1}) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 \\ &\leq (1+s)\|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + 4C_2^2(1+s) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[ \|X_u - \tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + |u - t_{k-1}| \right] du \\ &\quad + 2s(1+s)\|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1}) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1+s)\|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + 8C_2^2(1+s) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|X_u - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 du \\
&\quad + 8C_2^2 s(1+s)\|X_{t_{k-1}} - \tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + 4C_2^2(1+s)s^2 \\
&\quad + 2s(1+s)\|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1}) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 \\
&\leq (1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))\|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 + 8C_2^2(1+s) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|X_u - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 du \\
&\quad + 4C_2^2(1+s)s^2 + 2s(1+s)\|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1}) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds due to  $2ac \leq sa^2 + \frac{c^2}{s}$  for any  $s > 0$  and the fourth inequality holds by condition (C3). Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_k} - X_{t_k}\|_2^2 \right] \\
&\leq (1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 \right] + 8C_2^2(1+s) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|X_u - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 \right] du \\
&\quad + 4C_2^2(s^2+s^3) + 2s(1+s)\mathbb{E} \left[ \|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1}) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 \right] \\
&\leq (1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 \right] + H(s) + 4C_2^2(s^2+s^3) \\
&\quad + 2s(1+s)\mathbb{E} \left[ \|b(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1}) - \tilde{b}_m(\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}}, t_{k-1})\|_2^2 \right] \\
&\leq (1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 \right] + H(s) + 4C_2^2(s^2+s^3) + 2s(1+s)\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right), \tag{A.33}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $H(s) := 16dC_2^2(s^2+s^3) \exp \left\{ \frac{C_0}{d} [1+2(C_0+d)e^{2C_0}] \right\}$  follows from Lemma 6.4, and the last inequality holds by Lemma 6.7. Owing to  $\tilde{Y}_{t_0} = X_{t_0} = 0$ , we can conclude that there exists a finite constant  $\tilde{C}_4 > 0$  depending on  $C_0, C_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_K} - X_{t_K}\|_2^2 \right] &\leq \frac{(1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))^K - 1}{s+8C_2^2(s+s^2)} \left[ H(s) + 4C_2^2(s^2+s^3) + 2(s+s^2)\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right) \right] \\
&\leq s(1+s)\tilde{C}_4 \left( d + \frac{d}{\log m} \right) = \mathcal{O}(ds) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{\log m} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$W_2(\text{Law}(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}), \mu_\sigma) \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{ds}) + \mathcal{O} \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{\log m}} \right).$$

□

## 6.9. Proof of Theorem 3.8.

*Proof.* This proof is the same as Theorem 3.2. Similar to (A.33), by Lemma 6.7, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_k} - X_{t_k}\|_2^2 \right] &\leq (1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_{k-1}} - X_{t_{k-1}}\|_2^2 \right] + H(s) \\
&\quad + 4C_2^2(s^2+s^3) + 2s(1+s)\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{m} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{Y}_{t_K} - X_{t_K}\|_2^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{(1+s+8C_2^2(s+s^2))^K - 1}{s+8C_2^2(s+s^2)} \left[ H(s) + 4C_2^2(s^2+s^3) + 2(s+s^2)\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{m} \right) \right] \\
&\leq \mathcal{O}(ds) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{d}{m} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $H(s) := 16dC_2^2(s^2 + s^3) \exp\left\{\frac{C_0}{d} [1 + 2(C_0 + d)e^{2C_0}]\right\}$ . Hence, it follows that

$$W_2(\text{Law}(\tilde{Y}_{t_K}), \mu_\sigma) \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{ds}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{m}}\right).$$

□

## REFERENCES

- Bakry D, Gentil I, Ledoux M, et al. (2014) Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators, vol 103. Springer
- Barkhagen M, Chau NH, Moulines É, Rásonyi M, Sabanis S, Zhang Y (2018) On stochastic gradient langevin dynamics with dependent data streams in the logconcave case. arXiv preprint arXiv:181202709
- Bou-Rabee N, Eberle A, Zimmer R, et al. (2020) Coupling and convergence for hamiltonian monte carlo. *Annals of Applied Probability* 30(3):1209–1250
- Chau NH, Moulines É, Rásonyi M, Sabanis S, Zhang Y (2019) On stochastic gradient langevin dynamics with dependent data streams: the fully non-convex case. arXiv preprint arXiv:190513142
- Chen Y, Georgiou TT, Pavon M (2021) Stochastic control liaisons: Richard sinkhorn meets gaspard monge on a schrödinger bridge. *SIAM Review* 63(2):249–313
- Cheng X, Bartlett P (2018) Convergence of langevin mcmc in kl-divergence. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Volume 83: Algorithmic Learning Theory* pp 186–211
- Cheng X, Chatterji NS, Abbasi-Yadkori Y, Bartlett PL, Jordan MI (2018a) Sharp convergence rates for langevin dynamics in the nonconvex setting. arXiv preprint arXiv:180501648
- Cheng X, Chatterji NS, Bartlett PL, Jordan MI (2018b) Underdamped langevin mcmc: A non-asymptotic analysis. In: *Conference on learning theory*, PMLR, pp 300–323
- Chiang TS, Hwang CR, Sheu SJ (1987) Diffusion for global optimization in  $\hat{r}^n$ . *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 25(3):737–753
- Dai Pra P (1991) A stochastic control approach to reciprocal diffusion processes. *Applied mathematics and Optimization* 23(1):313–329
- Dalalyan AS (2017a) Further and stronger analogy between sampling and optimization: Langevin monte carlo and gradient descent. arXiv: Statistics Theory
- Dalalyan AS (2017b) Theoretical guarantees for approximate sampling from smooth and log-concave densities. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 79(3):651–676
- Dalalyan AS, Karagulyan AG (2019) User-friendly guarantees for the langevin monte carlo with inaccurate gradient. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 129(12):5278–5311
- Durmus A, Moulines E (2016a) High-dimensional bayesian inference via the unadjusted langevin algorithm. arXiv: Statistics Theory
- Durmus A, Moulines E (2016b) Sampling from a strongly log-concave distribution with the unadjusted langevin algorithm. arXiv: Statistics Theory
- Durmus A, Moulines E, et al. (2017) Nonasymptotic convergence analysis for the unadjusted langevin algorithm. *The Annals of Applied Probability* 27(3):1551–1587
- Eldan R, Lehec J, Shenfeld Y, et al. (2020) Stability of the logarithmic sobolev inequality via the föllmer process. In: *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*, Institut Henri Poincaré, vol 56, pp 2253–2269
- Föllmer H (1985) An entropy approach to the time reversal of diffusion processes. In: *Stochastic Differential Systems Filtering and Control*, Springer, pp 156–163
- Föllmer H (1986) Time reversal on wiener space. In: *Stochastic processes—mathematics and physics*, Springer, pp 119–129
- Föllmer H (1988) Random fields and diffusion processes. In: *École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XV–XVII, 1985–87*, Springer, pp 101–203
- Holley RA, Kusuoka S, Stroock DW (1989) Asymptotics of the spectral gap with applications to the theory of simulated annealing. *Journal of functional analysis* 83(2):333–347
- Hwang CR (1980) Laplace’s method revisited: weak convergence of probability measures. *The Annals of Probability* pp 1177–1182

- Hwang CR (1981) A generalization of laplace’s method. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 82(3):446–451
- Jamison B (1975) The markov processes of schrödinger. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* 32(4):323–331
- Landsman Z, Nešlehová J (2008) Stein’s lemma for elliptical random vectors. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 99(5):912–927
- Lehec J (2013a) Representation formula for the entropy and functional inequalities. In: *Annales de l’IHP Probabilités et statistiques*, vol 49, pp 885–899
- Lehec J (2013b) Representation formula for the entropy and functional inequalities. In: *Annales de l’IHP Probabilités et statistiques*, vol 49, pp 885–899
- Léonard C (2014) A survey of the schrödinger problem and some of its connections with optimal transport. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-A* 34(4):1533
- Ma YA, Chen Y, Jin C, Flammarion N, Jordan MI (2019) Sampling can be faster than optimization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(42):20881–20885
- Márquez D (1997) Convergence rates for annealing diffusion processes. *The Annals of Applied Probability* pp 1118–1139
- Mou W, Flammarion N, Wainwright MJ, Bartlett PL (2019) Improved bounds for discretization of langevin diffusions: Near-optimal rates without convexity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:190711331*
- Pavliotis GA (2014) *Stochastic processes and applications: diffusion processes, the Fokker-Planck and Langevin equations*, vol 60. Springer
- Raginsky M, Rakhlin A, Telgarsky M (2017) Non-convex learning via stochastic gradient langevin dynamics: a nonasymptotic analysis. In: *Conference on Learning Theory*, PMLR, pp 1674–1703
- Revuz D, Yor M (2013) *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, vol 293. Springer Science & Business Media
- Rezakhanlou F (2015) *Lectures on the large deviation principle*. Lecture Notes, Math UC Berkeley
- Schrödinger E (1932) Sur la théorie relativiste de l’électron et l’interprétation de la mécanique quantique. In: *Annales de l’institut Henri Poincaré*, vol 2, pp 269–310
- Stein C (1972) A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In: *Proceedings of the sixth Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability*, volume 2: Probability theory, University of California Press, pp 583–602
- Stein C (1986) *Approximate computation of expectations*. IMS
- Tzen B, Raginsky M (2019) Theoretical guarantees for sampling and inference in generative models with latent diffusions. In: *Conference on Learning Theory*, PMLR, pp 3084–3114
- Zhang Y, Akyildiz ÖD, Damoulas T, Sabanis S (2019) Nonasymptotic estimates for stochastic gradient langevin dynamics under local conditions in nonconvex optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:191002008*

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, P.R. CHINA  
*Email address:* yindai.math@whu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AND HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, P.R. CHINA  
*Email address:* yulingjiaomath@whu.edu.cn

CENTER FOR QUANTITATIVE MEDICINE DUKE-NUS MEDICAL SCHOOL, SINGAPORE  
*Email address:* kanglican@duke-nus.edu.sg

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AND HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, P.R. CHINA  
*Email address:* xllv.math@whu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AND HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, P.R. CHINA  
*Email address:* zjyang.math@whu.edu.cn