

# BeamScatter: Scalable, Deployable Long-Range backscatter communication with Beam-Steering

Manideep Dunna, Shih-Kai Kuo, Akshit Agarwal, Patrick Mercier, Dinesh Bharadia  
 {mdunna,s1kuo,akagarwa,pmercier,dineshb}@ucsd.edu

University of California, San Diego

## Abstract


WiFi backscatter tags can enable direct connectivity of IoT devices with commodity WiFi hardware at low power. However, most state of the art backscatter tag implementations in this area have limited transmitter to tag range and are not suitable to be deployed in a WiFi mesh network nor take full advantage of today's WiFi networks. In this paper, we present BeamScatter, which can realize a backscatter tag based on MIMO techniques that can work at very long separation of 28m from an access-point and enables their deployment in WiFi mesh networks. BeamScatter presents a novel technique to perform beam-steering on the MIMO tag at a very low power consumption of  $88\mu\text{W}$  and achieve a peak throughput of 1Mbps. Next BeamScatter creates a novel modeling framework to decide the optimal phase setting on the tag to steer the backscattered signal towards a specific direction of interest.

## 1 Introduction

Providing IoT devices with connectivity using existing infrastructure [15, 16], in different indoor environments ranging from smart homes, smart offices, and smart stores to smart warehouse is essential. In recent times, providing such connectivity via WiFi backscatter has become lucrative, as it provides connectivity with existing infrastructure like WiFi, all the while consuming very low power [14]. However, the current implementations are unable to support such large scale environments like smart stores or warehouses as they have limited number of WiFi access points which are spread upto 50 meter away [6].

To extend the connectivity range, recent work has explored use of MIMO based WiFi backscatter connectivity. Recent work [10] explored the use of van-atta MIMO array [1, 12] to increase the range of the WiFi backscatter communications. However, the van-atta MIMO array reflects the signal back to transmitting access point as shown in the Fig. 1. Such kind of reflecting back to the original AP, even in nearby frequency band requires the receiver to handle the strong transmitted signal originating from the AP. The interference limits the range of the backscatter communication worse yet and requires the APs to handle the self-interference, and is limited.

In contrast, in real world APs are deployed in mesh, that is multiple access points are deployed. Ideally, if the tag could reflect signal incident from any AP to any other AP, it could solve the self-interference issue and also make it robust as



**Figure 1:** Figure showing the deployment of BeamScatter tag in a WiFi mesh network where an AP can act as either excitation radio or receiver. The tag is shown to redirect the excitation signals from one AP to another AP upon modulating them.

multiple access point can hear the reflected signal from the tag or in general there can be multiple sources (excitation APs) and multiple receiving APs. It enables a network paradigm in mesh WiFi network, where the AP to AP communication can be used to communicate information from the tag.

In this paper, we present BeamScatter, which designs a MIMO backscatter tag architecture that can reflect incident signal from any direction to any other direction. It achieves this via a reflection mechanism in which each antenna applies different phase shift to the reflected signal, such that the combined reflected signal from all of the antennas constructively combine in the direction of the receiving AP. In BeamScatter, the tag design is achieved such that the phase shifting is achieved in a fine grained fashion without any signal loss. Furthermore, BeamScatter designs an algorithm which optimizes the choice of phase shift to be applied to each antenna of the BeamScatter tag, with a simple low-overhead protocol. BeamScatter protocol is deployable on COTS WiFi mesh networks requiring no modification. We evaluate the BeamScatter's tag performance with realistic simulation and show that it can achieve upto 56 meter range between APs and requires 4 APs to cover an entire warehouse of 14000 sq. ft.

The first challenge with BeamScatter tag is to achieve the phase shift operation, so it can steer the signal from one direction to other direction, all the while it has to change the frequency of the incident signal, encoding its information. A challenge with the phase shift operation is high insertion loss, a continuous phase shift operation would lose up-to 10 dB of signal [4], which would require 10x more antennas to recover

the same gain. In BeamScatter, we develop of novel phase shifting mechanism which is combined with frequency shifting mechanism required by the tag. Specifically, we shift the phase of the clock to achieve the phase shift of the reflected signal. We show mathematically this works and creates the necessary phase shift on the RF signal. This implementation therefore needs just a single switch fed with phase varying frequency input, which we achieve via ring-oscillators which consume low-power and creates low-loss of signal.


Next, we develop the beam-steering of the incoming signal by choosing optimal phase shift for each antenna. Achieving optimal phase shift could be challenging as each antenna phase can take upto  $K$  values. With  $N$  antenna's the number of combination would be grow to  $K^N$ , which would a few hours to optimize the phase values. Instead, in BeamScatter we design a novel algorithm which leverages the CSI from WiFi platforms to optimize the phase shift. Specifically, we come up with unique phase shifts combination, which enables us to learn the direction of incoming and outgoing signal. We use the directions of incoming and outgoing signal to learn optimal phase shifts and apply those.

Finally, we design a complete protocol which enables each tag to wake-up to specific wake up sequence. Next optimize the phase shifts on the tag for each transmit and receive AP, all of which takes place concurrently, and a look up table is constructed for each incident and receiving AP, stored at the tag. During the wake-up tag is informed about the receiver as well, it programs itself to the optimal phase shift and the receiving AP decodes the data. The entire protocol is developed to require minimal power at the tag as well. We evaluate the tag in the emulation based on prior work and show that it can achieve accurate beams and tune the design with minimal overhead and communication 1 Mbps of data-rate.

## 2 Background and Motivation

WiFi backscatter communication provides a useful way to convey information by piggybacking [7, 8, 15] on the existing RF signals in the environment instead of generating the RF signals locally. This way of communicating enables us to deploy low power battery assisted devices that can have a very long life up to a few years. In the paradigm of backscatter communication, a transmitter sends signals which acts as an excitation signal to the backscatter tag. The backscatter tag embeds its data by modulating the excitation signal which is used by the receiver to decode the tag data. By equipping a device with a backscatter tag, for instance an IoT device [2], it can be provided internet connectivity at a very low energy footprint.

For easy and large-scale adaptation of backscatter tags, the backscatter tags have to be compatible with widely available existing infrastructure like WiFi access points. Also, the excitation signals should be used for productive communication i.e. backscatter tags should not limit the excitation signals to be used for just conveying tag data. Hitchhike [15] presents



**Figure 2:** Figure showing the backscattered signal spectrum and the transmit signal leakage when the transmitter and receiver are co-located. The transmit leakage dominates the backscattered signal power beyond a certain AP to tag separation and limits the range for the retro-reflective backscatter tag.

a way of communicating with backscatter tags using COTS WiFi devices by modulating the excitation WiFi packets on one channel to a non-overlapping WiFi channel. But it has a limitation that the backscatter tag should be placed very close to the transmitting WiFi AP. The issue of placing the tag close to the transmitter is due to the lack of Synchronization at the backscatter tags.

SyncScatter [6] resolves the transmitter to tag placement issue by introducing the idea of a hierarchical wake-up receiver. But even with synchronization, the backscatter tags have a limited maximum range of 13 m from a Wireless access point and still remain a barrier to realize the tag deployment in a WiFi mesh network where access-points are separated by 50m from one another.

**Van-atta based solution:** To address the limited range problem, a simple idea used in the prior work [10] is to enable MIMO with van-atta structure [12] to extend the range of a backscatter tag from a WiFi access point to 23m. The idea here is that the backscatter tags are made retro-reflective by placing multiple antennas on them. The multiple antennas on the tag result in additional array gain compared to single antenna tags and increase the backscattered signal power from the tag. The increased backscattered power translates to supporting a backscatter link for a larger Tx to tag separation than single antenna tags. But the idea of using retro-reflective tags meant that the Transmitter and the Receiver WiFi AP are co-located with each other which introduce more implementation challenges.

The primary challenge is that, a co-located Tx and Rx means there will be a lot of self interference [13] from the transmitter to the receiver. To understand this, consider the spectrum mask [11] for the WiFi standard as shown in Fig 2. The backscattered signal from the tag is also shown here for comparison. As we can see, the signal leakage from the transmitter is far higher than the backscattered signal at the receiver

AP and causes a series of problems in the RF front-end processing. Although the transmitter leakage and backscattered signal are on two different channels, commercial WiFi access points do not have a very strong analog filter to remove the leakage signal. Hence, the strong transmitter leakage signal acts as a Jammer to the backscattered signal and causes gain compression at the amplifier in the RF-front end. This non-linearity results in a signal occupying the same frequency band as the backscattered signal and is much stronger than backscattered signal leading to poor decoding of backscattered signal from the tag.


**Proposed solution:** To address the issues with co-located Tx and Rx, we propose a new tag design that takes advantage of the multiple antennas on the tag to support large Tx to tag range while simultaneously eliminating the self-interference problem. Our idea here is to modify the tag design such that the backscatter tag can steer the incident signals towards a different AP as shown in Fig 1 rather than towards the same transmitting AP. Steering the backscattered signal towards a different AP solves the self-interference problem and will not cause any non-linear effects [5] at the receiver. In the next section, we explain how we can design such a tag that can steer the backscattered signal.

### 3 BeamScatter Tag Design Overview

#### 3.1 Redirectivity design

In this section, we describe how to design a backscatter tag that can redirect the incident signals on the tag towards a direction of interest. The process of sending signals in a specific direction is known as beamforming and is well studied as to how to enable beamforming on a wireless transmitter. Here, we develop an approach to perform beamforming of signals at the backscatter tag. Before going into the details of beamforming at tag side, let us first look at how beamforming is done at a transmitter.

Typical transmit beamformers contain an array [9] of antennas that are fed by signals that are phase-shifted with respect to each other. The phase difference between signals going to antenna array determines the beamforming direction. For instance, consider a linear array of  $N$  antennas on a wireless transmitter with  $\delta$  phase shift between signals fed to consecutive antennas. The signal emanating from different antenna has to travel different path-lengths that depends on the direction of travel and consequently signals from different antenna acquire different phase. The signal due to the array at an arbitrary point in the space is equal to the sum of the signals radiated from each antenna. So, the total signal in the direction  $\theta$  is proportional to  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{jk\delta} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}kd\sin(\theta)}$  where  $d$  is the inter-antenna spacing and  $\lambda$  is the signal wavelength. In order to steer the signal in a direction  $\theta_0$ , the wireless signals radiated from each antenna has to constructively add up i.e. they should all acquire the same phase in the direction  $\theta_0$ . By choosing the phase shift  $\delta$  equal to  $\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d\sin(\theta_0)$ , we can add the signals from all the antennas constructively and steer the



**Figure 3:** Figure showing the beam steering capability of the tag when the WiFi Tx AP and Rx AP are not in straight line with the tag.

signal towards the direction  $\theta_0$ .

Following the similar principle of adding constructive addition of signals by imparting extra phase on each antenna, we build a multi-antenna backscatter tag to steer the backscattered signal towards a direction of interest. To understand this, consider a transmitter and a backscatter tag with  $N$  antennas linearly spaced on it with inter-element spacing  $d$  as shown in Fig 3. The signals arriving at each antenna travels a different path-length and acquire a different phase at each antenna. Also, each antenna on the backscatter tag can impart its own arbitrary phase on the signals before they re-radiate the incident signals. When each of these antennas reflects these incident signals, the signal strength at an arbitrary point in space depends on the phases imparted by the antenna and also on the angle at which the signal is incident on the tag. This is in contrast to the transmit beamforming where the signal strength depends only on the phases imparted by the antenna element.

To understand this, let the incident signal onto the backscatter tag makes an angle  $\theta_1$  with its normal. Then the phase of the incident signal at each antenna is expressed in vector form as:  $-\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d\sin(\theta_1)[0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ (N-1)]^T$ . Let the additional phases applied at each antenna be  $\Delta[0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ (N-1)]^T$ . So, the total re-radiated signal at a point in an arbitrary direction  $\theta$  is proportional to  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{jk\Delta} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}k[d\sin(\theta)+d\sin(\theta_1)]}$  which also includes the phase acquired by the re-radiated signal traveling in the direction  $\theta$ . To steer the backscattered signal in the direction  $\theta = \theta_0$ , we have to choose  $\Delta$  such that the re-radiated signals from all the antennas constructively interfere in the direction  $\theta_0$ . This results in choosing  $\Delta$  to be equal to  $\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d[\sin(\theta_0) + \sin(\theta_1)]$ . Our key insight is that, the phase acquired due to the additional path length for the incident signal has to be compensated as well in order to steer the reflected signal.

So far we have seen how to enable beamsteering at the backscatter tag by applying additional phase shifts at each antenna. In addition to steering the beam, we should also be able to isolate the reflected signal from the other signals that are ar-

iving at the receiver. To see why this the case, consider a WiFi transmitter, a backscatter tag and a WiFi receiver. The WiFi receiver receives signals in two paths, the first one(direct path) directly from the transmitter and the second path(reflected path) via the backscatter tag where both the signal paths occupy the same frequency spectrum. When the backscatter tag wants to convey some data, the receiver will not be able to understand the tag data unless the receiver is able to separate the direct path signal from the reflected path signal. To solve this problem, previous backscatter works [14, 15] propose to modulate the backscattered signal by shifting the signal to a different frequency spectrum so that the receiver can separate the direct and reflected signals. The idea here is to shift the incident WiFi signals on one channel to a completely different WiFi channel. For example, when a WiFi transmitter transmitting on Channel 1 centered at 2412MHz, the tag modulates the incident signal such that the resulting signal is shifted to Channel 11 (centered at 2462MHz) which has no overlap with Channel 1. Recall that we also need to apply different phase shifts at each antenna to steer the backscattered signal. So, how do we do achieve Channel shifting in addition to the applying phase shifts at each antenna?

To perform simultaneous channel shifting along with phase shifting at each antenna, we propose a novel structure on the tag where we have 4 antennas each of them connected to an SP4T RF switch [3]. Each SP4T RF switch is driven by a clock with a different phase that are tapped off a ring oscillator. The phase shifts of the clocks are chosen in such a way that the backscattered signal from the 4 antennas on the tag constructively adds up at the receiver. The antennas on the tag are separated by half wavelength from each other to allow maximum beam steerability with given number of antennas.

### 3.2 Determining the best phase setting on the tag:

In this subsection, we discuss the algorithm to determine the best phase setting at each antenna element on the tag. Recall the notation that,  $\Delta$  correspond to the phase difference between two consecutive clocks modulating the RF switches. Here we consider the Tx, tag and AP setup as described in Fig 3. For a 4 antenna backscatter tag with  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  inter-antenna spacing, the signal strength at the receiving WiFi AP is proportional to  $\sum_{k=0}^3 e^{jk\Delta} e^{-j\pi k[\sin(\theta_0) + \sin(\theta_1)]}$ . Our goal here is to select the best possible  $\Delta$  that decides the phase shifts at each antenna expressed in vector form as:  $[0 \ \Delta \ 2\Delta \ 3\Delta]^T$ .

Taking a closer look at the expression for signal strength at the receiver, we notice that when  $\Delta$  is chosen to be  $\pi[\sin(\theta_0) + \sin(\theta_1)]$ , the signal strength is maximized. So, if we can somehow find the value of  $\pi[\sin(\theta_0) + \sin(\theta_1)]$ (let us denote it as  $\alpha$  from now on), we can determine the optimal value of  $\Delta$ . We present a novel approach to find the best  $\Delta$  by measuring the signal strength upon setting the tag in 2 different phase settings. In the first setting, we choose  $\Delta = 0$  and record the received signal strength at the WiFi AP. Let us

denote the signal strength value in this case to be  $s_1$ . Similarly, repeat the process by choosing  $\Delta = \pi$  and obtain the received signal strength  $s_2$  at the WiFi AP. Now taking the ratio of the  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , we obtain eq 1 from which we can calculate the value  $|\alpha|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s_1}{s_2} &= \frac{|1 + e^{j\alpha} + e^{2j\alpha} + e^{3j\alpha}|}{|1 - e^{j\alpha} + e^{2j\alpha} - e^{3j\alpha}|} \\ &= \frac{|1 + e^{j\alpha}| |1 + e^{2j\alpha}|}{|1 - e^{j\alpha}| |1 + e^{2j\alpha}|} = \cot\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Since we can calculate only the absolute value of  $\alpha$ , we have an ambiguity while deciding the correct value of  $\alpha$ . To eliminate the ambiguity, we can obtain the received signal powers for both cases of  $\Delta = \alpha$  and  $\Delta = -\alpha$  and find which case results in good signal power to decide the optimal value of  $\Delta$ . Here we have described the procedure to estimate the best  $\Delta$  using received signal strength but in practice, we can get an estimate of the received signal strength from the Channel state information extracted at the receiver.


### 3.3 Protocol for AP and Tag interaction:

In the previous sub-section, we have seen how to decide the best phase setting on the tag. Here, we will see the protocol that makes it possible for the AP and the tag to interact with each other during this whole procedure. For the AP to interact with the tag, we utilize the Wake-up receiver [14, 15] that is built onto the backscatter tag to send instructions from the AP to the backscatter tag which we refer to as the Downlink to the tag.


The tag is designed to operate in two different modes. In the first mode(scanning mode), the tag goes through a series of phase settings while the AP collects the CSI for each of those phase settings and in the second mode(backscattering mode), the tag modulates the incident backscatter packets with the tag data. First, the Tx AP sends a special kind of pattern unique to the tag in order to put the tag in scanning mode. Once the tag is in scanning mode, the Tx AP sends information on the downlink to the tag to indicate the phase setting the tag should be programmed to. Then the Rx AP collects CSI by transmitting a WiFi 802.11g packet for the specific phase setting. This process continues for all the phase settings described in section 3.2. Once the best phase setting is determined by the Rx AP, the Tx AP again sends information to the tag on its downlink about this best phase setting. Then the tag is frozen in the best phase setting and the the Tx AP uses 802.11b packets as the excitation signals which are used by the backscatter tag for modulation. We can safely assume that all the APs in the mesh network are connected to each other over Ethernet and they can coordinate with each other by exchanging information between them.

## 4 Evaluation

In this section, we will present preliminary results demonstrating the working of the BeamScatter's beam-steering tag and the advantages offered by it compared to the traditional



**Figure 4:** Beam patterns of the measured backscatter signal for different phase settings ( $\Delta$  denotes the phase difference between the consecutive antennas) when the excitation signal is incident normal to the tag. The measured beam patterns are compared to the theoretical beam patterns. The measured beam-steering angles match very closely to that of the theoretical value.



**Figure 5:** Comparison of feasible Transmitter to Tag and Tag to Receiver separations for SyncScatter tag [6], Retro-reflective tag [10] and the proposed BeamScatter tag.

single antenna backscatter tags. We will also show the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm in determining the best phase configuration on the tag.

#### 4.1 Beam pattern Measurement:


Here we present a micro-benchmark to demonstrate beam-steering ability by measuring the beam-pattern of the backscattered signals. For this measurement, we place a WiFi transmitter and the BeamScatter tag such that the signal from the transmitter is incident normally on the tag. Then we move the receiver around the tag in a circle by maintaining constant distance between the Tag and the WiFi receiver and record the received power at every point on the circle. We repeat this method for different tag configurations (different  $\Delta$ - phase shift between consecutive antennas) and plot the received signal power as a function of the receiver rotation angle to obtain the measured beam-patterns. Finally, we compare the measured beam-patterns with the theoretical beam-pattern as shown in Fig 4. The measured beam-patterns closely resemble that of the patterns dictated by the theory in all the cases.

#### 4.2 Finding tag's Best configuration:

Here we present the simulation results of our proposed algorithm to find the best phase configuration on the tag that results in maximizing the backscattered signal power at the receiver. For this, we simulate by placing the WiFi transmitter AP 10m away from the tag and the receiver at arbitrary locations and obtain the wireless channel from the transmitter to the receiver via the tag. We also note the ground truth angular location of the transmitter and the receiver with reference to the normal of the backscatter tag and compute the phase shifts that are needed to be given at each antenna on the tag to achieve constructive combination of the backscattered signal at the receiver. Then using the simulated wireless channel, we estimate the parameter  $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d(\sin(\theta_1) + \sin(\theta_2))$  using the algorithm outlined in section 3 and compare the estimates with the ground truth. Fig 6a plots the CDF of the estimated value of alpha by placing the Rx WiFi AP along  $\theta = 0$  degree for different Rx to Tag separations (denoted by  $r$ ). Similarly, Fig 6b plots the CDF by placing the Rx WiFi AP along  $\theta = 60$  from the normal. We observe that the estimates of alpha are very reliable when the Rx is close to the tag. As the Rx separation from the tag increases, the CDF curve becomes broader indicating that the estimates are less reliable due to the decrease in SNR in the channel measurements. Even at larger Rx to tag separation of 30m, the algorithm works accurately in 80% of the cases.

#### 4.3 Range Improvement:

Here we show the improvement in the tag deployment range offered by the BeamScatter's beam-steering tag and compare it with the previous approaches in [14, 15]. The improvement is shown in terms of comparing the possible Tx to Tag and Tag to Rx separations for the BeamScatter's backscatter tag with other backscatter tag implementations. Fig 5 plots the feasible separations for the tag from the Tx and the Rx to establish a backscatter link. As can be seen in Fig 5, the previous works SyncScatter [6] has a maximum separation of



(a) Receiver AP at  $\theta = 0$  degrees (b) Receiver AP at  $\theta = 60$  degrees

**Figure 6:** Figure showing the CDF of the estimated parameter alpha values when receiver AP is placed at different distances from the tag for the case of normal incidence.

15 m from both the Tx and Rx WiFi APs and Retro-reflective MIMO [10] implementation has maximum separation of 23m from the co-located AP with Tx and Rx. On the other hand, BeamScatter tag can support upto 28 m separation from either of the APs thus supporting mesh networks with >50m AP to AP separation.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have discussed the design of a backscatter tag that can redirect the incident signals from one AP to another AP to motivate wide-range deployment of backscatter tags in a mesh network of WiFi Access points. We also presented a protocol of how the tag would interact with the AP using a wake-up receiver and outlined an algorithm to find the optimal phase shifts on the tag to increase the working range of Tag from the access points. Finally, we presented beam-pattern measurement results from the backscatter tag and simulation results for estimating the optimal phase shifts on the tag.

## References

- [1] P. Ang and G. V. Eleftheriades. A passive redirecting van Atta-type reflector. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 17(4):689–692, 2018.
- [2] Blink. Blink camera system. <https://blinkforhome.com/>.
- [3] A. devices. Adg 902 rf switch from analog devices for 2.4ghz band. [https://www.analog.com/media/en/technical-documentation/data-sheets/ADG901\\_902.pdf](https://www.analog.com/media/en/technical-documentation/data-sheets/ADG901_902.pdf).
- [4] A. devices. Continuous phase shifter: Hmc 247 from analog devices. <https://www.analog.com/media/en/technical-documentation/data-sheets/hmc247.pdf>.
- [5] A. devices. Effects of receiver non-linearity. <https://www.analog.com/en/technical-articles/understanding-ip2-and-ip3-issues-in-direct-conversion-receivers.html>.
- [6] M. Dunna, M. Meng, P.-H. Wang, C. Zhang, P. P. Mercier, and D. Bharadia. Syncscatter: Enabling wifi like synchronization and range for wifi backscatter communication. In *NSDI*, pages 923–937, 2021.
- [7] V. Iyer, V. Talla, B. Kellogg, S. Gollakota, and J. Smith. Inter-technology backscatter: Towards internet connectivity for implanted devices. In *SIGCOMM*, 2016.
- [8] B. Kellogg, V. Talla, S. Gollakota, and J. R. Smith. Passive wi-fi: Bringing low power to wi-fi transmissions. In *Proceedings of the 13th Usenix Conference on Networked Systems Design and Implementation*, NSDI'16, page 151–164, USA, 2016. USENIX Association.
- [9] R. J. Mailloux. *Phased Array Antenna Handbook*. Artech House, Inc., USA, 3rd edition, 2017.
- [10] M. Meng, M. Dunna, H. Yu, S. Kuo, H. P-Wang, D. Bharadia, and P. P. Mercier. 12.2 improving the range of wifi backscatter via a passive retro-reflective single-side-band-modulating mimo array and non-absorbing termination. In *2021 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC)*, volume 64, pages 202–204. IEEE, 2021.
- [11] RF-CAFE. Ieee 802.11a/b/g wlan spectral mask. <https://www.rfcafe.com/references/electrical/wlan-masks.htm>.
- [12] E. Sharp and M. Diab. Van Atta reflector array. *IRE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 8(4):436–438, 1960.
- [13] TaoGlas. Self-interference tutorial. <https://www.taoglas.com/wp-content/uploads/pdf/Self-Interference-ED-16-002.A.pdf>.
- [14] P.-H. P. Wang, C. Zhang, H. Yang, D. Bharadia, and P. P. Mercier. 20.1 a  $28\mu\text{w}$  iot tag that can communicate with commodity wifi transceivers via a single-side-band qpsk backscatter communication technique. In *2020 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference-(ISSCC)*, pages 312–314. IEEE, 2020.
- [15] P. Zhang, D. Bharadia, K. Joshi, and S. Katti. Hitchhike: Practical backscatter using commodity wifi. In *SenSys*, 2016.
- [16] P. Zhang, C. Josephson, D. Bharadia, and S. Katti. Freerider: Backscatter communication using commodity radios. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Emerging Networking EXperiments and Technologies*, CoNEXT '17, page 389–401, New York, NY, USA, 2017. Association for Computing Machinery.