

Universal Dephasing Mechanism of Many-Body Quantum Chaos

Yunxiang Liao and Victor Galitski

*Joint Quantum Institute and Condensed Matter Theory Center,
Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA.*

(Dated: March 25, 2022)

Ergodicity is a fundamental principle of statistical mechanics underlying the behavior of generic quantum many-body systems. However, how this universal many-body quantum chaotic regime emerges due to interactions remains largely a puzzle. This paper demonstrates using both heuristic arguments and a microscopic calculation that a dephasing mechanism, similar to Altshuler-Aronov-Khmelnitskii dephasing in the theory of localization, underlies this transition to chaos. We focus on the behavior of the spectral form factor (SFF) as a function of “time” t , which characterizes level correlations in the many-body spectrum. The SFF can be expressed as a sum over periodic classical orbits and its behavior hinges on the interference of trajectories related to each other by a time translation. In the absence of interactions, time-translation symmetry is present for each individual particle, which leads to a fast exponential growth of the SFF and correspondingly loss of correlations between many-body levels. Interactions lead to dephasing, which disrupts interference, and breaks the massive time-translation symmetry down to a global time-translation/energy conservation. This in turn gives rise to the hallmark linear-in- t ramp in the SFF reflecting Wigner-Dyson level repulsion. This general picture is supported by a microscopic analysis of an interacting many-body model. Specifically, we study the complex SYK₂ + SYK₂² model, which allows to tune between an integrable and chaotic regime. It is shown that the dephasing mass vanishes in the former case, which maps to the non-interacting complex SYK₂ model via a time reparameterization. In contrast, the chaotic regime gives rise to dephasing, which suppresses the exponential ramp of the non-interacting theory and induces correlations between many-body levels.

There is a widely held quantum chaos conjecture [1, 2], which states that the spectral statistics of quantum chaotic systems can be universally described by random matrix theory (RMT) [3–7]. This conjecture is supported by extensive experimental [8–14] and numerical studies [15–17], and has been used as one of the diagnostics of quantum chaos. However, despite numerous efforts, the theoretical understanding of the underlying general mechanism behind this conjecture is far from complete. There have been several studies, which use the semiclassical periodic orbit theory [18] to prove that the spectral form factor – a probe of two-level statistics – indeed follows the RMT prediction for single-particle quantum chaotic systems [19–24], including disordered metals [25, 26]. This approach has also been generalized to certain many-body systems with a well defined semiclassical limit [27–30]. In the context of the period-orbit theory, the Wigner-Dyson level statistics stems from constructive interference between periodic paths related by a time translation. This time-translation argument has also been employed in studies of Floquet quantum circuits [31–37], periodically kicked interacting spin and fermionic chains [2, 38, 39], and the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model [40]. An alternative method widely used in the investigation of spectral statistics of chaotic systems is a field theoretical technique known as the non-linear σ model, in supersymmetric [41–44], replica [45–47] and Keldysh [48] frameworks. This method allows the calculation of single-particle spectral correlations, but generalization to a microscopic analysis of many-body level statistics of interacting models [49–51] remains a chal-

lenge.

In this Letter, we show that the emergence of many-body quantum chaos from the non-interacting (single-particle chaotic) model can be generally understood in terms of dephasing of single-particle trajectories mediated by interactions. This dephasing mechanism has some parallels with the Altshuler-Aronov-Khmelnitskii dephasing [52] in the weak localization (WL) theory of disordered metals [53, 54], but with the characteristic energy level separation ($\sim t^{-1}$ in the SFF, $K(t)$) playing the role of the temperature T in the context of WL. Specifically, we show that just like in the theory of WL, the behavior of the SFF is governed by a collective diffuson-like mode, which acquires an infrared cutoff in the presence of interactions as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_0(\Delta\tau) \xrightarrow{\text{interactions}} e^{-F_\phi(\Delta\tau)} \mathcal{D}_0(\Delta\tau). \quad (1)$$

Here \mathcal{D}_0 represents a gapless collective mode in the non-interacting case, which in the Fourier space has the form $\mathcal{D}_0(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \propto (-i\omega + Dq^2)^{-1}$ in the case of a disordered metal (D is the diffusion coefficient) and $\mathcal{D}_0(\omega) \propto 1/\omega$ in the RMT case of “zero-dimensional diffuson.” $\Delta\tau$, ω , and q are the time, frequency, and momentum coordinates, respectively. The extra factor $e^{-F_\phi(\Delta\tau)}$ acquired by the interacting collective mode represents the exponential suppression in time stemming from the dephasing processes (the dephasing function $F_\phi(\Delta\tau \rightarrow \infty) = +\infty$). The specific form of the dephasing function F_ϕ depends on the dimension and other details [55, 56].

Below, we provide a brief qualitative review of the theory of WL and periodic-orbit theory of chaos and describe

heuristic arguments underlying our main result. The second part of the paper is devoted to a microscopic σ -model analysis of an interacting many-body model, where we demonstrate the appearance of a dephasing cutoff of the relevant collective modes in the ergodic regime and the absence thereof in the integrable limit.

In a weakly disordered metal, the probability for a particle to diffuse from one point to another in the semiclassical limit can be expressed as [53, 54]

$$\mathcal{P} = \left| \sum_p A_p e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_p} \right|^2 = \sum_{pq} A_p A_q^* e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_p - \frac{i}{\hbar} S_q}, \quad (2)$$

where the summation runs over all classical paths, labeled by p , connecting these two points. S_p and A_p represent, respectively, the action and amplitude of the path p . In Eq. 2, the diagonal terms ($p = q$) contribute to the classical probability and are associated with the Drude conductivity, while the off-diagonal terms ($p \neq q$) correspond to the quantum interference correction. The interference between a generic pair of paths vanishes after disorder averaging due to the strong sensitivity of the action S_p to the impurity potential. However, there exist pairs of coherent paths, such as the self-intersecting ones in Fig. 1(a), whose actions are identical in the absence of magnetic field, spin-orbit interactions, and particle-particle interactions. Their interference can no longer be neglected, and results in the WL correction to the conductivity. The WL correction to the conductivity is related to the probability of finding such self-intersecting paths [53, 54]

$$\delta\sigma_{\text{WL}} \propto - \int_{\tau_{\text{el}}}^{\infty} d\tau C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}; \tau) \propto - \int_{\tau_{\text{el}}}^{\infty} d\tau \frac{1}{(D\tau)^{d/2}}. \quad (3)$$

Here $C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \tau)$ represents the Cooperon (or the diffusion in the particle-particle channel), which is the Green function of the diffusion equation. Specifically, $C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}; \tau)$ measures the return probability of a diffusing particle that starts at and returns to the same point \mathbf{r} in time τ . τ_{el} indicates the elastic scattering time and d is the dimension of the system. At this level, WL represents a single-particle effect and formally diverges in $d \leq 2$.

In the presence of interactions, the coherence between the time-reversed paths is reduced through the emissions of particle-hole pairs, and is completely destroyed when the traverse time exceeds the dephasing time τ_ϕ . It has been emphasized in Ref. [54–56] that the dephasing is dominated by real inelastic collisions with energy transfer $\tau_\phi^{-1} \ll \omega \ll T$. Inelastic processes with energy transfer $\omega \gg T$ are not allowed since quasiparticles with energy $\varepsilon \sim T$ measured from the Fermi surface cannot lose energy $\omega \gg T$ due to the Pauli blocking. When energy transfer $\omega \ll \tau_\phi^{-1}$, the action difference between the time-reversed pair can be ignored and therefore their coherence is preserved. Dephasing processes result in the

appearance of a mass term in the Cooperon (τ_ϕ^{-1}), which cuts off the WL integral (Eq. 3) in the infrared limit.




FIG. 1. Qualitative picture of the dephasing mechanism for (a) weak localization and (b) periodic-orbit theory of chaos. Panel (a) depicts two self-intersecting paths p (black lines) and q (red dashed line) of a particle moving in a disordered metal. The two paths coincide everywhere except for the loop which is traversed in the opposite directions by them. The interference between such pair of paths leads to the WL correction to conductivity. In the presence of interactions, emissions of the electron-hole pairs (orange wavy line) result in the destruction of the phase coherence between the pair of paths and consequently a reduction in their quantum interference. Panel (b) shows two periodic paths $\{p\}$ and $\{q\}$ of a many-body system in a chaotic medium. The i -th plane represents the phase space of the i -th particle, and the black solid and red dashed lines in that plane describe the corresponding particle's trajectories for periodic paths p_i and q_i , respectively. Paths $\{p\}$ and $\{q\}$ are related by a “individual time translation” and differ only in the starting positions (denoted by black and red dots, respectively). In the non-interacting case, the interference between such pair of paths is essential to the exponential-in- t ramp in the SFF. In the presence of interactions, particle-particle collisions (orange wavy line) destroy the coherence unless the pair is related by a “global time translation”, leading to the suppression of the exponential ramp.

To understand the effect of dephasing on spectral statistics, we now proceed with a sketch of the main idea of the periodic orbit derivation of the RMT spectral form factor (SFF) in the context of single-particle quantum chaos, see Refs. [18, 57] for a more complete review. The SFF measures the correlation between two energy levels

and is defined as

$$K(t) = \left\langle \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} \text{Tr} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} \right\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where H is the Hamiltonian of the system and the angular bracket stands for the ensemble averaging. One can write the analytically continued partition function $\text{Tr} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} = \int d^d \mathbf{r} \langle \mathbf{r} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ as an integral of quantum propagation amplitude over the real space position \mathbf{r} . The propagation amplitude, in the semiclassical limit, can be approximated as a summation over all classical paths that start and end at the same point \mathbf{r} in time t . Integration over \mathbf{r} further restricts the summation to be over those paths whose initial and final momenta are also identical. As a result, only periodic paths that return to its starting point in the phase space in fixed time t need to be retained. Grouping together all periodic paths that follow the same orbit and differ only in the starting points, $\text{Tr} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t}$ can be represented by a sum over periodic orbits of period t [18]:

$$\text{Tr} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} = \sum_P A_P e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_P}, \quad (5)$$

with A_P and S_P being the amplitude and action of the orbit P , respectively. Here orbits that transverse the primitive orbit different numbers of times are considered as distinct, and the ones with multiple traversals will be ignored due to exponential proliferation of the primitive orbits [58]. We emphasize that each term in Eq. 5 represents the contribution from an infinite group of periodic paths that are related to each other by a time translation and share the same amplitude and action. As a result, the orbit's amplitude A_P contains a factor of t originating from the integration over all possible time shifts. Inserting Eq. 5 into the definition of the SFF (Eq. 4), one obtains

$$K(t) = \left\langle \sum_{P,Q} A_P A_Q^* e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_P - \frac{i}{\hbar} S_Q} \right\rangle. \quad (6)$$

In the absence of any symmetry, the off-diagonal terms ($P \neq Q$) in Eq. 6 vanishes upon ensemble averaging because of the highly oscillatory nature of the phases [19]. One can therefore keep only the diagonal terms ($P = Q$), whose total contribution can be obtained using the Hannay–Ozorio de Almeida sum rule [58] $K(t) = \langle \sum_P |A_P|^2 \rangle \simeq t/t_H$, valid for t larger than the ergodic time but smaller than the Heisenberg time t_H . This leads to the linear ramp of the SFF as predicted by RMT.

We emphasize that, unlike the diagonal term in Eq. 2 which is only responsible for the classical probability, the diagonal contribution to the SFF in Eq. 6 takes into account the quantum interference between periodic paths connected by a time translation. More specifically, for each periodic path p , one has to consider its interference with any path q that is related to the original one by

$\mathbf{x}_q(t') = \mathbf{x}_p(t' + \Delta)$ for arbitrary time shift $\Delta \in (0, t)$. Here $\mathbf{x}_p(t')$ denotes the phase-space coordinate at time t' for the periodic path p which obeys $\mathbf{x}_p(t) = \mathbf{x}_p(0)$. The factor of t in the SFF originates from the integration over all possible time shifts $\int_0^t d\Delta = t$. We can therefore see that the universal behavior of the spectral properties of quantum chaotic systems originates not from the specific details of the periodic orbits but from the quantum interference between periodic paths related by a time translation. For integrable systems, Eq. 6 can still be used to express the SFF except now the family of periodic paths contributing to each term in Eq. 5 stay on a high dimensional torus instead of a closed curve in the chaotic case. These periodic paths are not necessarily related by a time translation, and the above argument which relies on the integration over time shift is no longer applicable.

The semiclassical representation of the SFF Eq. 6 can also be generalized to many-body systems with well-defined semiclassical limit, and the summation in this case runs over periodic orbits (or tori for integrable cases) in the many-body phase space. Before moving to many-body quantum chaos, let us now consider a system of non-interacting particles whose single-particle dynamics is chaotic. In the following, for an illustrative purpose, we ignore exchange statistics (indistinguishability of particles) and use a higher dimensional vector $\mathbf{X}_{\{p\}}(t') = [\mathbf{x}_{p_1}^{(1)}(t'), \mathbf{x}_{p_2}^{(2)}(t'), \dots, \mathbf{x}_{p_{N_0}}^{(N_0)}(t')]$ to characterize the periodic path in the many-body phase space, where $\{p\}$ represents the group of path indices for all particles. Its element is the individual particle coordinate $\mathbf{x}_{p_i}^{(i)}(t')$ in its own phase space at time t' , with i being the particle index and N_0 the total number of particles. The family of periodic paths belonging to the same periodic torus can be generated by individually time translate each single-particle path: $\mathbf{x}_{q_i}^{(i)}(t') = \mathbf{x}_{p_i}^{(i)}(t' + \Delta^{(i)})$, where each time shift $\Delta^{(i)}$ can take different value within the regime $[0, t)$. The special translation where all time shifts $\Delta^{(i)}$ are identical will be called ‘‘global time translation’’. All these periodic paths connected by ‘‘individual time translation’’ share the same amplitude and action, and as a result, it is essential to take into account the quantum interference between all of them, see Fig. 1(b). Counting the total number of periodic paths within the same torus, one would estimate that the SFF becomes a polynomial in t at order N_0 instead. This is consistent with the previous study, which evaluates the SFF of a system of non-interacting fermions populating the single-particle energy levels of a $N \times N$ random matrix from a Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE), and finds a fast growing exponential-in- t ramp [59, 60]. As explained in the Supplemental Material [60], this exponential ramp is an approximation to a $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ order polynomial in the large N limit. Based on the periodic orbit discussion above, one can deduce that the contribution to the SFF is of the order of t^{N_0} (t^{N-N_0}) when the number of fermions

is $N_0 \leq \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ ($N_0 > \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$). Taking into account all possible configurations, we arrive at a polynomial of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} c_n t^n$, consistent with the analytical result [59, 60]. See also Refs. [61, 62] for a calculation of the SFF of a non-interacting Floquet model whose single-particle evolution over one period is governed by a Haar random unitary matrix.

Let us now introduce a generic type of interactions between the particles, which leads to a transition to many-body quantum chaos. In this case, interactions destroy the phase coherence between the periodic paths connected by “individual time translation” but not those related by “global time translation,” which simply implies conservation of total energy. The dephasing mechanism in this case is analogous to that in the theory of WL, and the coherence between the periodic paths connected by “individual time translation” is destroyed through the inelastic collisions between particles. However, the energy transfer ω of the dephasing processes are no longer required to be much smaller than T (as one considers now the statistical properties of entire spectrum and the notion of temperature does not appear in the theory). Since the number of coherent paths pairs is now significantly reduced due to the dephasing processes, the exponential ramp of the non-interacting model is suppressed to a linear one. As in the case of WL, the destruction of phase coherence is reflected by a mass acquired by the “inter-replica” diffuson – the correlator of a particle and a hole governed by the forward and backward time-evolution operator e^{-iHt} and e^{iHt} , respectively. The presence of the mass in the “inter-replica” diffuson suppresses its contribution to the SFF.

Focusing on the dephasing mechanism, we compare the level statistics of an ensemble of chaotic systems with that of integrable systems, both of which are described by the following complex SYK₂ + SYK₂² Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger h_{ij} \psi_j + \frac{U}{2} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger V_{ij} \psi_j \right)^2. \quad (7)$$

For the chaotic ensemble, h and V are $N \times N$ random Hermitian matrices drawn independently from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) with the same distribution function

$$P(M) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr} M^2\right), \quad M = h, V. \quad (8)$$

For the integrable case, $h = V$ for each system in the ensemble and is a $N \times N$ GUE matrix that also follows the distribution function Eq. 8. We consider weak enough interaction strength U and perform a perturbative calculation in U .

In a previous study [59], we investigated the SFF of the noninteracting model ($U = 0$) and found an exponential-in- t ramp. The eigenenergy E_0 of the noninteracting

model is simply related to the eigenenergy E of the interacting integrable model (Eq. 7 with $h = V$) by

$$E^{(n)} = E_0^{(n)} + \frac{U}{2} (E_0^{(n)})^2, \quad (9)$$

where the superscript n labels the energy level. In the case of $h = V$, if one transforms to the basis where the single-particle Hamiltonian h is diagonal, the many-body Hamiltonian then acquires the simple form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger \xi_i \psi_i + \frac{U}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger \xi_i \psi_i \right)^2, \quad (10)$$

with ξ_i being the i -th eigenvalue of the matrix h . One can see that the occupation number of each single-particle level is a conserved quantity, and the $h = V$ case is integrable. The two-body interactions of the current model is of the SYK₂² form and different from that of a previous work by the authors [63]. This explicit form is chosen so that the interactions can be Hubbard-Stratonovich decoupled via a scalar field (see details below), in an attempt to simplify the calculation. Moreover, it allows us to study in parallel the chaotic and integrable ensembles described by the same Hamiltonian Eq. 7.

We start from the following fermionic path integral representation of the SFF (Eq. 4) of the two ensembles described by Eq. 7:

$$K(t) = \left\langle \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{a=\pm} \int_0^{t^a} dt' [\bar{\psi}_i^a(t') i \partial_{t'} \psi_i^a(t') - \zeta_a \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') h_{ij} \psi_j^a(t') - \zeta_a \frac{U}{2} (\bar{\psi}_i^a(t') V_{ij} \psi_j^a(t'))^2] \right\} \right\rangle. \quad (11)$$

Here the fermionic field ψ_i^a carries a replica index $a = \pm$ (labels the forward/backward evolution) and a flavor index $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. It is subject to the antiperiodic boundary condition $\psi^a(t^a) = -\psi^a(0)$. $\zeta_a = \pm 1$ for $a = \pm$, and t^a is defined as $t^a = t \mp i\zeta_a 0^+$, where the infinitesimal imaginary increment $\mp i\zeta_a 0^+$ plays a key role selecting the appropriate saddle points via spontaneous breaking of unitarity [63]. To focus on the dephasing mechanism, the infinitesimal imaginary increment in t^a is ignored in the following. Through a standard derivation [60], we obtain a σ -model representation of the SFF:

$$\begin{aligned} K(t) &= \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]} \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp(-S[Q, \phi]), \\ S_0[\phi] &= - \sum_{a=\pm} \frac{i\zeta_a}{2U} \int_0^{t^a} dt' (\phi_{t'}^a)^2, \\ S[Q, \phi] &= \frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr}(QFQ) - N \text{Tr} \ln(i\sigma^3 \partial_t + iFQ). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here, σ^3 denotes the third Pauli matrix in the replica space, Z is the normalization constant [60]. The real




FIG. 2. Numerical results of the SFF $K(t)$ for the complex $\text{SYK}_2 + \text{SYK}_2$ model (Eq. 7) in the integrable $h = V$ case and chaotic $h \neq V$ case (inset), plotted in the log-log scale. In both the main panel and the inset, the noninteracting SFF (red curve) is plotted for comparison. We set $N = 10$ and $J = 1$, and average over 1000 samples.

bosonic field ϕ is introduced to decouple the interactions and is subject to the periodic boundary condition $\phi_t^a = \phi_0^a$, while the Hermitian matrix field Q decouples the ensemble-averaging generated interactions and satisfies $Q_{t_1+t, t_2}^{ab} = -Q_{t_1, t_2}^{ab}$. F takes different forms for the chaotic and integrable cases:

$$F_{t_2 t_1, t_1' t_2'}^{ba, a' b'} = \begin{cases} (1 + \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b) \mathbf{1}_{t_2 t_1, t_1' t_2'}^{ba, a' b'}, & h \neq V, \\ (1 + \phi_{t_1}^a) (1 + \phi_{t_2}^b) \mathbf{1}_{t_2 t_1, t_1' t_2'}^{ba, a' b'}, & h = V. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We emphasize that the seemingly small difference in F between the chaotic and integrable models is responsible for the strikingly contrasting behaviors of their SFFs.

In the integrable $h = V$ case, one can perform a time reparametrization:

$$Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \rightarrow Q_{\tau^a(t_1), \tau^b(t_2)}^{ab}, \quad \tau^a(t_1) \equiv \int_0^{t_1} dt' (1 + \phi_{t'}^a), \quad (14)$$

after which the action becomes that of the noninteracting theory $S[Q, \phi = 0]$ with shifted time $t \rightarrow t + \bar{\phi}^\pm$. Here $\bar{\phi}^\pm$ is defined as $\bar{\phi}^\pm \equiv \int_0^t dt' \phi_{t'}^\pm$. The SFF of the integrable model is now related to that of the noninteracting model H_0 ($U = 0$) by

$$K(t) = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]} \left\langle \text{Tr} e^{-iH_0(t+\bar{\phi}^+)} \text{Tr} e^{+iH_0(t+\bar{\phi}^-)} \right\rangle}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]}}, \quad (15)$$

and can be solved using the same approach employed in Ref. [59].

In Fig. 2, we show the numerical results of the SFFs in the integrable $h = V$ case for various interaction strengths U . For small interacting strength U , the SFF

behaves similarly as its noninteracting counterpart (red curve), and exhibits a slope, an exponential ramp as well as a plateau that starts at time $t_p \sim N$. At larger U , the exponential ramp disappears and the SFF exhibits a nonuniversal slope approaching the plateau at a later time. This late plateau time is due to the fact that the nonuniversal slope contains a significant contribution from the slowly decaying disconnected SFF. The SFF for the $h \neq V$ chaotic case is plotted in the inset of Fig. 2. It exhibits a ramp which grows much slower and reaches the plateau at a much later time. We note that, to see the linear in t dependence of the ramp, a computation of a larger system size is required, see for example Refs. [64, 65] for numerical works studying the level statistics of similar models.

We emphasize that, for both the chaotic and integrable ensembles, the action (Eq. 12) remains invariant under the aforementioned ‘‘global time translation’’:

$$\phi_{t_1}^a \rightarrow \phi_{t_1 + \Delta^a}^a, \quad Q_{t_1, t_2}^{ab} \rightarrow Q_{t_1 + \Delta^a, t_2 + \Delta^b}^{ab}, \quad (16)$$

where Δ^a can take different values for different replica index a . For the integrable case, the theory possesses a larger symmetry group. Under any $U(2)$ rotation applying to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{nn}^{++}/(t + \bar{\phi}^+) & \bar{Q}_{nm}^{+-}/\sqrt{(t + \bar{\phi}^+)(t + \bar{\phi}^-)} \\ \bar{Q}_{mn}^{-+}/\sqrt{(t + \bar{\phi}^+)(t + \bar{\phi}^-)} & \bar{Q}_{mm}^{--}/(t + \bar{\phi}^-) \end{bmatrix},$$

the reparametrized action is invariant for any pair of energy indices n, m satisfying $\frac{n+1/2}{t+\bar{\phi}^+} = -\frac{m+1/2}{t+\bar{\phi}^-}$.

We next perform a perturbative calculation for both the chaotic and integrable models. We consider first the integration over the matrix field Q , in the presence of arbitrary ϕ . In this case, the problem becomes similar to that of a quantum dot in the presence of a time-dependent perturbation [66–69]. Because of the large overall factor N in the action $S[Q, \phi]$, we approximate the integral with the contribution from saddle points Q_{sp} and the Gaussian fluctuations δQ around them. Taking variation of the action with respect to Q , we find the saddle point equation

$$Q_{sp} = J^2 (\sigma^3 \partial_t + F Q_{sp})^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

The explicit expression for its solution up to order ϕ^2 is provided in the Supplemental Material (Eqs. (S38) and (S39)) [60]. At ϕ^0 order, the solution is the noninteracting saddle point, which in the Matsubara frequency space is given by

$$(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{nn'}^{aa'} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{aa'} \frac{t}{2} \left(i \zeta_a \varepsilon_n + s_n^a \sqrt{4J^2 - (\varepsilon_n)^2} \right). \quad (18)$$

Here $\varepsilon_n = 2\pi(n + 1/2)/t$ is the fermionic Matsubara frequency. s_n^a can take values of $+1$ and -1 when $|\varepsilon_n| \leq 2J$, and for $|\varepsilon_n| > 2J$ is determined by the fact that $(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{nn}^{aa} \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $J \ll |\varepsilon_n|$ [48, 70].

There are various saddle points corresponding to different choices of $\{s_n^a\}$ [63]. Note that here we obtain an interacting saddle point Q_{sp} in the presence of arbitrary configuration of ϕ , in contrast to the non-interacting saddle (with $\phi = 0$) usually considered in the conventional Finkel'stein NL σ M calculation (e.g., Refs. [63, 71]).

The action for the Gaussian fluctuations around any saddle point Q_{sp} can be expressed as

$$\delta S[\delta\tilde{Q}, \phi] = \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\delta\tilde{Q} M \delta\tilde{Q} \right), \quad (19)$$

where $\delta\tilde{Q} = F\delta Q$ [72]. See Eqs. (S58) and (S59) in the Supplemental Material [60] for the explicit expressions for M for various saddle points. The inverse of the kernel M is related to the propagator of the Gaussian fluctuation:

$$\mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} \equiv N \left\langle \delta\tilde{Q}_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \delta\tilde{Q}_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'} \right\rangle = (M^{-1})_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}. \quad (20)$$

The corresponding contribution from the Gaussian fluctuations around Q_{sp} to the SFF is proportional to

$$\int \mathcal{D}\delta\tilde{Q} \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2} \int \delta\tilde{Q} M \delta\tilde{Q} \right) \propto \exp(\text{Tr} \ln \mathcal{D}). \quad (21)$$

In the following, we will focus on the inter-replica fluctuations (δQ^{ab} with $a \neq b$) around a special saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$ with $s_n^a = \pm \zeta_a$ (for $|\varepsilon_n| \leq 2J$), whose kernel M assumes a simple form [60]. The fluctuations around other saddle points can be examined in an analogous way (although not as technically straightforward). In the non-interacting theory, the inter-replica fluctuations around $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$ are massless, while the intra-replica fluctuations, which contribute only to the nonuniversal disconnected SFF, are massive. In the presence of interactions, for the integrable case, the inter-replica fluctuation propagator (0-dim diffuson) follows an equation equivalent to that of the non-interacting theory:

$$\left[\frac{\mp 1}{2J^3} (\partial_{t_1} - \partial_{t_2}) \right] \mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} = \mathbf{1}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}, \quad (22)$$

consistent with results from time-reparametrization [60]. By contrast, for the chaotic case, the diffuson equation becomes

$$\left[\frac{\mp 1}{2J^3} (\partial_{t_1} - \partial_{t_2}) + \frac{1}{2J^2} (\phi_{t_1}^a - \phi_{t_2}^b)^2 \right] \mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} = \mathbf{1}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}. \quad (23)$$

We emphasize the close resemblance of Eq. 23 to the Cooperon equations for the interaction-induced dephasing of WL in disordered systems [52, 54, 73–75] and perturbation-induced dephasing of dynamical localization in driven quantum dots [66, 67].

While the inter-replica fluctuation remains massless for the integrable case, it acquires a mass arising from the ϕ -dependent term in Eq. 23 for the chaotic case. For

simplicity, let us now consider the large t limit. The solution to Eq. 23 can now be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} &= \exp(-S_D[\phi]) (\mathcal{D}_0)_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}, \\ S_D[\phi] &= \mp \frac{J}{2} \int_{\tau'}^{\tau} dv (\phi^a(u+v/2) - \phi^b(u-v/2))^2, \quad (24) \\ (\mathcal{D}_0)_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} &= \mp s' J^3 \Theta(s'(\tau - \tau')) \delta(u - u') \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_{1,2} = u \pm \tau/2$ and $t'_{1,2} = u' \pm \tau'/2$. \mathcal{D}_0 represents the solution to the non-interacting diffuson equation (Eq. 22), and $s' = \mp 1$ is determined by the boundary condition that \mathcal{D} is non-divergent when $|\tau - \tau'| \rightarrow \infty$. We can therefore see that, in contrast to the integrable case, the Gaussian fluctuation propagator \mathcal{D} in the chaotic case acquires an exponential factor $\exp(-S_D[\phi])$, which decays with increasing $|\tau - \tau'|$ for almost all possible configurations of ϕ (except when $\phi_{t'}$ is time and replica index independent).

Substituting the Fourier transform of ϕ in $S_D[\phi]$ (Eq. 24), we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_D[\phi] &= \mp \frac{J}{2t^2} \sum_m (|\phi_m^a|^2 + |\phi_m^b|^2 - 2\phi_m^a \phi_m^b e^{i2\omega_m u}) (\tau - \tau') \\ &\mp \frac{J}{t^2} \sum_{m \neq m'} \frac{1}{i\omega_{m-m'}} (e^{i\omega_{m-m'} u} \phi_m^a \phi_{-m'}^a + e^{-i\omega_{m-m'} u} \phi_{-m}^b \phi_{m'}^b \\ &- e^{i\omega_{m+m'} u} 2\phi_m^a \phi_{m'}^b) \left(e^{i\omega_{m-m'} \tau/2} - e^{i\omega_{m-m'} \tau'/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

At large $\Delta\tau = |\tau - \tau'|$ and $|u|$, the dominant contribution to S_D comes from the non-oscillating term $S_D[\phi] \approx |\tau - \tau'|/\tau_\phi$, which therefore determines the dephasing function $F_\phi[\Delta\tau] \approx \Delta\tau/\tau_\phi$ from Eq. (1). The dephasing rate is as follows

$$\tau_\phi^{-1} = \frac{J}{2t^2} \left[\sum_{m \neq 0} (|\phi_m^+|^2 + |\phi_m^-|^2) + (\phi_0^+ - \phi_0^-)^2 \right]. \quad (26)$$

From Eq. 21, we can see that the diffuson's contribution to the SFF is suppressed due to the extra exponential decaying factor $e^{-S_D[\phi]}$ acquired by the interacting diffuson in the chaotic case. This decaying factor is a manifestation of the dephasing effect due to interactions. The suppression of the exponential ramp is a necessary prerequisite for the expected transition from Poisson to RMT statistics, indicating a significant role played by the dephasing in the emergence of many-body quantum chaos. The detailed calculation of the SFF for the chaotic model would require averaging $\det \mathcal{D}$ over the fluctuation of decoupling field ϕ , where $\det \mathcal{D}$ arises from integration over the Gaussian fluctuations (Eq. 21). This is out of the scope of current study and is left to future work.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences under

Award No. DE-SC0001911. Y. L. acknowledges a postdoctoral fellowship from the Simons Foundation “Ultra-Quantum Matter” Research Collaboration. This work was performed at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1607611 and Simons Foundation.

-
- [1] O. Bohigas, M.-J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit, Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level fluctuation laws, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1 (1984).
- [2] P. Kos, M. Ljubotina, and T. Prosen, Many-body quantum chaos: Analytic connection to random matrix theory, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 021062 (2018).
- [3] E. P. Wigner, Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions, *Ann. Math.* **62**, 548 (1955).
- [4] F. J. Dyson, Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I, *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 140 (1962).
- [5] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Elsevier, 2004).
- [6] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Random-matrix theories in quantum physics: Common concepts, *Phys. Rep.* **299**, 189 (1998).
- [7] V. K. B. Kota, *Embedded random matrix ensembles in quantum physics*, Vol. 3 (Springer, 2014).
- [8] M. Aßmann, J. Thewes, D. Fröhlich, and M. Bayer, Quantum chaos and breaking of all anti-unitary symmetries in Rydberg excitons, *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 741 (2016).
- [9] A. Frisch, M. Mark, K. Aikawa, F. Ferlaino, J. L. Bohn, C. Makrides, A. Petrov, and S. Kotochigova, Quantum chaos in ultracold collisions of gas-phase erbium atoms, *Nature* **507**, 475 (2014).
- [10] W. Zhou, Z. Chen, B. Zhang, C. H. Yu, W. Lu, and S. C. Shen, Magnetic field control of the quantum chaotic dynamics of hydrogen analogs in an anisotropic crystal field, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 024101 (2010).
- [11] L. Vina, M. Potemski, and W. Wang, Signatures of quantum chaos in the magneto-excitonic spectrum of quantum wells, *Physics-Uspekhi* **41**, 153 (1998).
- [12] G. E. Mitchell, A. Richter, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Random matrices and chaos in nuclear physics: Nuclear reactions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 2845 (2010).
- [13] H.-J. Stöckmann and J. Stein, “quantum” chaos in billiards studied by microwave absorption, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2215 (1990).
- [14] T. Zimmermann, H. Köppel, L. S. Cederbaum, G. Persch, and W. Demtröder, Confirmation of random-matrix fluctuations in molecular spectra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 3 (1988).
- [15] S. W. McDonald and A. N. Kaufman, Spectrum and eigenfunctions for a Hamiltonian with stochastic trajectories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1189 (1979).
- [16] G. Casati, F. Valz-Gris, and I. Guarneri, On the connection between quantization of nonintegrable systems and statistical theory of spectra, *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento* **28**, 279 (1980).
- [17] M. V. Berry, Quantizing a classically ergodic system: Sinai’s billiard and the KKR method, *Ann. Phys.* **131**, 163 (1981).
- [18] M. C. Gutzwiller, *Chaos in classical and quantum mechanics*, Vol. 1 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
- [19] M. V. Berry, Semiclassical theory of spectral rigidity, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **400**, 229 (1985).
- [20] N. Argaman, F.-M. Dittes, E. Doron, J. P. Keating, A. Y. Kitaev, M. Sieber, and U. Smilansky, Correlations in the actions of periodic orbits derived from quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 4326 (1993).
- [21] M. Sieber and K. Richter, Correlations between periodic orbits and their role in spectral statistics, *Physica Scripta* **T90**, 128 (2001).
- [22] M. Sieber, Leading off-diagonal approximation for the spectral form factor for uniformly hyperbolic systems, *J. Phys. A* **35**, L613 (2002).
- [23] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, F. Haake, and A. Altland, Semiclassical foundation of universality in quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 014103 (2004).
- [24] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, F. Haake, and A. Altland, Periodic-orbit theory of universality in quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 046207 (2005).
- [25] N. Argaman, Y. Imry, and U. Smilansky, Semiclassical analysis of spectral correlations in mesoscopic systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 4440 (1993).
- [26] R. A. Smith, I. V. Lerner, and B. L. Altshuler, Spectral statistics in disordered metals: A trajectories approach, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 10343 (1998).
- [27] R. Dubertrand and S. Müller, Spectral statistics of chaotic many-body systems, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 033009 (2016).
- [28] M. Akila, D. Waltner, B. Gutkin, P. Braun, and T. Guhr, Semiclassical identification of periodic orbits in a quantum many-body system, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 164101 (2017).
- [29] T. Engl, J. D. Urbina, and K. Richter, Periodic mean-field solutions and the spectra of discrete bosonic fields: Trace formula for Bose-Hubbard models, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 062907 (2015).
- [30] T. Engl, J. Dujardin, A. Argüelles, P. Schlagheck, K. Richter, and J. D. Urbina, Coherent backscattering in Fock space: A signature of quantum many-body interference in interacting bosonic systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 140403 (2014).
- [31] A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Solution of a minimal model for many-body quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 041019 (2018).
- [32] A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Spectral statistics in spatially extended chaotic quantum many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 060601 (2018).
- [33] A. J. Friedman, A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Spectral statistics and many-body quantum chaos with conserved charge, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 210603 (2019).
- [34] S. J. Garratt and J. T. Chalker, Local pairing of Feynman histories in many-body Floquet models, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021051 (2021).
- [35] S. J. Garratt and J. T. Chalker, Many-body delocalization as symmetry breaking, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 026802 (2021).
- [36] A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Spectral Lyapunov exponents in chaotic and localized many-body quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 023118 (2021).
- [37] B. Bertini, P. Kos, and T. Prosen, Random matrix spectral form factor of dual-unitary quantum circuits, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **387**, 597 (2021).
- [38] B. Bertini, P. Kos, and T. Prosen, Exact spectral form factor in a minimal model of many-body quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 264101 (2018).

- [39] D. Roy and T. Prosen, Random matrix spectral form factor in kicked interacting fermionic chains, *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 060202(R) (2020).
- [40] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, A semiclassical ramp in SYK and in gravity, arXiv:1806.06840 (2018).
- [41] K. Efetov, Supersymmetry and theory of disordered metals, *Adv. Phys.* **32**, 53 (1983).
- [42] A. V. Andreev, O. Agam, B. D. Simons, and B. L. Altshuler, Quantum chaos, irreversible classical dynamics, and random matrix theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3947 (1996).
- [43] A. V. Andreev and B. L. Altshuler, Spectral statistics beyond random matrix theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 902 (1995).
- [44] A. Andreev, B. Simons, and B. Altshuler, Energy level correlations in disordered metals: Beyond universality, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 4968 (1996).
- [45] A. Kamenev and M. Mézard, Wigner-Dyson statistics from the replica method, *J. Phys. A* **32**, 4373 (1999).
- [46] I. V. Yurkevich and I. V. Lerner, Nonperturbative results for level correlations from the replica nonlinear σ model, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 3955 (1999).
- [47] A. Kamenev and M. Mézard, Level correlations in disordered metals: the replica σ model, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 3944 (1999).
- [48] A. Altland and A. Kamenev, Wigner-Dyson statistics from the Keldysh σ -model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5615 (2000).
- [49] A. Altland and D. Bagrets, Quantum ergodicity in the SYK model, *Nucl. Phys. B* **930**, 45 (2018).
- [50] T. Micklitz, F. Monteiro, and A. Altland, Nonergodic extended states in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 125701 (2019).
- [51] F. Monteiro, T. Micklitz, M. Tezuka, and A. Altland, Minimal model of many-body localization, *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 013023 (2021).
- [52] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and D. E. Khmel'nitsky, Effects of electron-electron collisions with small energy transfers on quantum localisation, *J. Phys. C* **15**, 7367 (1982).
- [53] B.L. Altshuler and A.G. Aronov, in *Electron-Electron Interaction in Disordered Systems*, edited by A.L. Efros and M. Pollak (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985).
- [54] I. L. Aleiner, B. L. Altshuler, and M. E. Gershenson, Interaction effects and phase relaxation in disordered systems, *Waves Random Media* **9**, 201 (1999).
- [55] F. Marquardt, J. von Delft, R. A. Smith, and V. Ambegaokar, Decoherence in weak localization. I. pauli principle in influence functional, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 195331 (2007).
- [56] J. von Delft, F. Marquardt, R. A. Smith, and V. Ambegaokar, Decoherence in weak localization. II. Bethe-Salpeter calculation of the cooperon, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 195332 (2007).
- [57] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos* (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010).
- [58] J. H. Hannay and A. M. O. D. Almeida, Periodic orbits and a correlation function for the semiclassical density of states, *J. Phys. A* **17**, 3429 (1984).
- [59] Y. Liao, A. Vikram, and V. Galitski, Many-body level statistics of single-particle quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 250601 (2020).
- [60] See Supplemental Material for the detailed derivation of the spectral form factor of the chaotic and integrable ensembles as well as a brief discussion about the ramp in the non-interacting theory.
- [61] P. Diaconis and S. N. Evans, Linear functionals of eigenvalues of random matrices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**, 2615 (2001).
- [62] G. Köstenberger, Weingarten calculus, arXiv:2101.00921 (2021).
- [63] Y. Liao and V. Galitski, Emergence of many-body quantum chaos via spontaneous breaking of unitarity, arXiv:2104.05721 (2021).
- [64] P. H. C. Lau, C.-T. Ma, J. Murugan, and M. Tezuka, Correlated disorder in the SYK2 model, *J. Phys. A* **54**, 095401 (2021).
- [65] F. Sun, Y. Yi-Xiang, J. Ye, and W. M. Liu, Universal ratio in random matrix theory and chaotic-to-integrable transition in type-I and type-II hybrid Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 235133 (2021).
- [66] D. M. Basko, M. A. Skvortsov, and V. E. Kravtsov, Dynamic localization in quantum dots: Analytical theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 096801 (2003).
- [67] M. A. Skvortsov, Quantum correction to the Kubo formula in closed mesoscopic systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 041306(R) (2003).
- [68] M. G. Vavilov and I. L. Aleiner, Conductance fluctuations of open quantum dots under microwave radiation, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 085115 (2001).
- [69] M. G. Vavilov, V. Ambegaokar, and I. L. Aleiner, Charge pumping and photovoltaic effect in open quantum dots, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 195313 (2001).
- [70] M. Winer, S.-K. Jian, and B. Swingle, Exponential ramp in the quadratic Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 250602 (2020).
- [71] A. Finkelshtein, Influence of Coulomb interaction on the properties of disordered metals, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **84**, 168 (1983).
- [72] After the transformation $\tilde{Q} = FQ$, the saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} is related to the self-energy of the ensemble-averaged fermionic Green's function, while the propagator of Gaussian fluctuations $\mathcal{D} = M^{-1}$ is associated with the 0-dim diffuson defined by an infinite series of 'impurity' ladder diagrams (see Supplemental Material [60] for details).
- [73] Y. Liao and M. S. Foster, Dephasing catastrophe in $4 - \epsilon$ dimensions: A possible instability of the ergodic (many-body-delocalized) phase, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 236601 (2018).
- [74] S. M. Davis and M. S. Foster, Non-Markovian dephasing of disordered quasi-one-dimensional fermion systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 155101 (2020).
- [75] S. Chakravarty and A. Schmid, Weak localization: The quasiclassical theory of electrons in a random potential, *Phys. Rep.* **140**, 193 (1986).

Universal Dephasing Mechanism of Many-Body Quantum Chaos Supplemental Material

Yunxiang Liao¹ and Victor Galitski¹

¹*Joint Quantum Institute and Condensed Matter Theory Center,
Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA.*

(Dated: March 25, 2022)

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Derivation of the σ -model	2
III.	Time reparametrization approach for the integrable $h = V$ model	4
IV.	Saddle point	6
	A. Saddle points	6
	B. Saddle point action	8
V.	Quadratic fluctuation and diffuson	9
	A. Fluctuations around the saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$	11
	B. Fluctuations around a generic saddle point	13
VI.	Diagrammatic representation of saddle point and diffuson	14
VII.	Comparing time reparametrization and perturbative approaches for the integrable model	16
VIII.	$[N/2]$ order polynomial ramp in the non-interacting SFF	18
	References	19

I. INTRODUCTION

In this supplementary material, we provide a detailed analysis of the spectral form factor (SFF) of two different ensembles described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger h_{ij} \psi_j + \frac{U}{2} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger V_{ij} \psi_j \right)^2. \quad (\text{S1})$$

Specifically, we consider the following two cases:

1. Chaotic ensemble ($h \neq V$)

In this case, h and V are two statistically independent $N \times N$ random Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE) matrices with the same two-point correlation $\langle h_{ij} h_{j'i'} \rangle = \langle V_{ij} V_{j'i'} \rangle = J^2/N \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'}$. Note that the randomness of the interaction strength can be independently controlled by the parameter, U . Since h and V are independent, their correlator $\langle h_{ij} V_{j'i'} \rangle = \langle h_{ij} \rangle \langle V_{j'i'} \rangle = 0$. The SFF of this ensemble can be written as:

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{Z_h^2} \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}V e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr} h^2} e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr} V^2} \text{Tr} e^{-iHt} \text{Tr} e^{+iHt}, \quad (\text{S2})$$

where $Z_h = \int \mathcal{D}h e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr} h^2}$ is the normalization constant.

2. Integrable ensemble ($h = V$)

For the integrable case, h is drawn from the same GUE as in the previous case. However, h and V are no longer independent but are equivalent for each system in this ensemble. Therefore, in this case, we have

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{Z_h} \int \mathcal{D}h e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr } h^2} \left(\text{Tr } e^{-iHt} \text{Tr } e^{+iHt} \right) \Big|_{h=V}. \quad (\text{S3})$$

In the following, we will study the SFFs of these two different ensembles, and show that dephasing is the underlying mechanism that is responsible for the difference in the spectral statistics of chaotic and integrable systems.

II. DERIVATION OF THE σ -MODEL

The SFF of the ensembles described by Eq. S1 can be expressed as the following path integral:

$$K(t) = \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \left\langle \exp \left\{ i \sum_{a=\pm} \int_0^{t^a} dt' \left[\bar{\psi}_i^a(t') (i\partial_{t'} \delta_{ij} - \zeta_a h_{ij}) \psi_j^a(t') - \zeta_a \frac{U}{2} (\bar{\psi}_i^a(t') V_{ij} \psi_j^a(t'))^2 \right] \right\} \right\rangle. \quad (\text{S4})$$

Here, the Grassmann field ψ is subject to the anti-periodic boundary condition $\psi^a(t^a) = -\psi^a(0)$, and the integrations over ψ^+ and ψ^- give rise to $\text{Tr } e^{-iHt}$ and $\text{Tr } e^{+iHt}$, respectively. In addition to the replica index a , ψ_i^a also carries a flavor index $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. The angular bracket represents ensemble averaging, and $\zeta_a = \pm 1$ corresponds to the replica index $a = \pm$ (i.e., the forward/backward evolution).

We note that the upper limit of the time integration in Eq. S4 is defined as $t^a = t \mp i\zeta_a 0^+$ and the SFF described by this equation is in fact $K(t) = \left\langle e^{-iH(t \mp i0^+)} \text{Tr } e^{iH(t \pm i0^+)} \right\rangle$. It has been shown in the previous study [S1] that the infinitesimal imaginary increment in t^a is needed to select the appropriate saddle points via spontaneous breaking of unitarity. However, for the sake of simplicity, this infinitesimal imaginary increment will be ignored as our focus is on the dephasing mechanism.

Decoupling the interaction term by Hubbard-Stratonovich (H.S.) transformation, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} K(t) &= \frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int D\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]} \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \left\langle \exp \left\{ i \sum_{a=\pm} \int_0^t dt' \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') [i\partial_{t'} \delta_{ij} - \zeta_a h_{ij} - \zeta_a \phi^a(t') V_{ij}] \psi_j^a(t') \right\} \right\rangle, \\ S_0[\phi] &= - \sum_{a=\pm} \frac{i\zeta_a}{2U} \int_0^t dt' (\phi^a(t'))^2, \\ Z_\phi &= \int D\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S5})$$

Here the bosonic H.S. field ϕ satisfies the periodic boundary condition: $\phi^a(t) = \phi^a(0)$. Effectively, the SFF can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} K(t) &= \frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int D\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]} \left\langle \text{Tr} \exp \left[-i \int_0^t dt' H_\phi^+(t') \right] \text{Tr} \exp \left[i \int_0^t dt' H_\phi^-(t') \right] \right\rangle, \\ H_\phi^a(t') &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_i^\dagger (h_{ij} + \phi^a(t') V_{ij}) \psi_j, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S6})$$

and the problem becomes similar to that of a quantum dot with a time-dependent perturbation [S2–S5].

We now perform the ensemble averaging for the chaotic ($h \neq V$) and integrable ($h = V$) cases separately. For the

chaotic ($h \neq V$) case, performing the ensemble average over h and V in Eq. S5, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{a=\pm} \zeta_a \int_0^t dt \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') [h_{ij} + \phi^a(t') V_{ij}] \psi_j^a(t') \right\} \right\rangle \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}h \frac{e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr } h^2}}{Z_h} \exp \left[-i \sum_{a=\pm} \zeta_a \int_0^t dt \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') h_{ij} \psi_j^a(t') \right] \int \mathcal{D}V \frac{e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr } V^2}}{Z_h} \exp \left[-i \sum_{a=\pm} \zeta_a \int_0^t dt \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') \phi^a(t') V_{ij} \psi_j^a(t') \right] \\
&= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{a,b} \frac{J^2}{2N} \zeta_a \zeta_b \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 [1 + \phi^a(t_1) \phi^b(t_2)] \bar{\psi}_i^a(t_1) \psi_j^a(t_1) \bar{\psi}_j^b(t_2) \psi_i^b(t_2) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S7}$$

By contrast, for the integrable ($h = V$) case, the h -dependent term after ensemble average becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \exp \left\{ -i \sum_a \zeta_a \int_0^t dt' \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') (1 + \phi^a(t')) h_{ij} \psi_j^a(t') \right\} \right\rangle \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}h \frac{e^{-\frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr } V^2}}{Z} \exp \left[-i \sum_a \zeta_a \int_0^t dt' \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') (1 + \phi^a(t')) h_{ij} \psi_j^a(t') \right] \\
&= \exp \left(- \sum_{a,b} \frac{J^2}{2N} \zeta_a \zeta_b \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 [(1 + \phi^a(t_1)) (1 + \phi^b(t_2))] \bar{\psi}_i^a(t_1) \psi_j^a(t_1) \bar{\psi}_j^b(t_2) \psi_i^b(t_2) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{S8}$$

Inserting these expressions into Eq. S5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K(t) &= \int D\phi \frac{e^{-S_0[\phi]}}{Z_\phi} \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \exp \left\{ i \sum_a \int_0^t dt' \bar{\psi}_i^a(t') i \partial_{t'} \psi_i^a(t') \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{a,b} \frac{J^2}{2N} \zeta_a \zeta_b \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \bar{\psi}_i^a(t_1) \psi_j^a(t_1) \bar{\psi}_j^b(t_2) \psi_i^b(t_2) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{S9}$$

where

$$f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} = \begin{cases} 1 + \phi^a(t_1) \phi^b(t_2), & h \neq V, \\ [1 + \phi^a(t_1)] [1 + \phi^b(t_2)], & h = V. \end{cases} \tag{S10}$$

We would like to emphasize that the seemingly unremarkable difference in this factor between the chaotic and integrable model, eventually gives rise to drastically different SFF behaviors consistent with ergodic and non-ergodic regimes, respectively.

We now perform another H.S. transformation and decouple the ensemble-averaging generated quartic interaction. This is a standard procedure in deriving the disordered σ -model in both non-interacting and interacting cases (see, e.g., Ref. [S6]):

$$\begin{aligned}
K(t) &= \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_Q} \int D\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]} \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{ab} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} Q_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \right) \\
&\quad \times \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \exp \left\{ i \sum_a \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 \bar{\psi}_i^a(t_1) [\delta_{ab} \delta_{t_1 t_2} i \partial_{t_2} + i f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \zeta_b] \psi_i^b(t_2) \right\}, \\
Z_Q &= \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{ab} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} Q_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{S11}$$

Here Q is a Hermitian matrix satisfying

$$Q_{t_1+t, t_2}^{ab} = -Q_{t_1, t_2}^{ab}, \quad Q_{t_1, t_2+t}^{ab} = -Q_{t_1, t_2}^{ab}. \tag{S12}$$

After integrating out the fermionic field in the equation above, we find that the SFFs of the two ensembles can be expressed as the following σ -model:

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_Q} \int D\phi e^{-S_0[\phi]} \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp(-S[Q, \phi]),$$

$$S[Q, \phi] = \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b,a',b'} \int_{t_1,t_2,t'_1,t'_2} Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} F_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a' b'} Q_{t'_2 t'_1}^{b' a'} - N \text{Tr} \ln \left[i\zeta_a \delta_{ab} \delta_{t_1 t_2} \partial_{t_2} + i \sum_{a' b'} \int_{t'_1, t'_2} F_{t_1 t_2, t'_2 t'_1}^{ab, b' a'} Q_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a' b'} \right] + \text{const.}.$$
(S13)

Here we have employed the notation $\int_{t_1} = \int_0^t dt_1$, and $S_0[\phi]$ is defined in Eq. S5. The kernel F is defined as

$$F_{t_1 t_2, t'_2 t'_1}^{ab, b' a'} = \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \delta_{t_1 t'_1} \delta_{t_2 t'_2} f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab},$$
(S14)

where $f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab}$ is given by Eq. S10.

We can also rewrite the theory in the Fourier basis,

$$\phi_m^a = \int_0^t dt' \phi^a(t') e^{i\omega_m t'}, \quad Q_{nm}^{ab} = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} e^{i\varepsilon_n t_1 - i\varepsilon_m t_2}.$$
(S15)

Here the fermionic (bosonic) Matsubara frequency is defined as $\varepsilon_n = 2\pi(n + \frac{1}{2})/t$ ($\omega_m = 2\pi m/t$). In the Fourier representation, the actions assume the forms

$$S_0[\phi] = - \sum_{a=\pm} \frac{i\zeta_a}{2U} \frac{1}{t} \sum_m \phi_m^a \phi_{-m}^a,$$

$$S[Q, \phi] = \frac{N}{2J^2} \frac{1}{t^4} \sum_{aba'b'} \sum_{n,m,n',m'} Q_{nm}^{ab} F_{mn,n'm'}^{ba, a' b'} Q_{m'n'}^{b' a'} - N \text{Tr} \ln \left[\zeta_a \varepsilon_n t \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ab} + i \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{a', b'} \sum_{m, m'} F_{nn', m' m}^{ab, b' a'} Q_{mm'}^{a' b'} \right] + \text{const.},$$
(S16)

where

$$F_{mn,n'm'}^{ba, a' b'} = \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} f_{m-m', n'-n}^{ba}, \quad f_{mn}^{ba} = \begin{cases} t\delta_{n,0} t\delta_{m,0} + \phi_n^a \phi_m^b, & h \neq V, \\ (t\delta_{n,0} + \phi_n^a)(t\delta_{m,0} + \phi_m^b), & h = V. \end{cases}$$
(S17)

III. TIME REPARAMETRIZATION APPROACH FOR THE INTEGRABLE $h = V$ MODEL

For the integrable case, there is a way to map the calculation of the SFF to that of the non-interacting model with $U = 0$. Starting from Eq. S13, one can apply the time reparametrization:

$$Q_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \rightarrow \bar{Q}_{\tau^a(t_1), \tau^b(t_2)}^{ab}, \quad \tau^a(t_1) \equiv \int_0^{t_1} dt' [1 + \phi^a(t')],$$
(S18)

and rewrite the action as

$$S[\bar{Q}, \phi] = \frac{N}{2J^2} \int_0^{t+\phi_0^a} d\tau_1^a \int_0^{t+\phi_0^b} d\tau_2^b \bar{Q}_{\tau_1^a, \tau_2^b}^{ab} \bar{Q}_{\tau_2^b, \tau_1^a}^{ba} - N \text{Tr} \ln \left[i\zeta_a \delta_{ab} \delta_{\tau_1^a \tau_2^b} \partial_{\tau_2^b} + i \bar{Q}_{\tau_1^a \tau_2^b}^{ab} \right] + \text{const.}.$$
(S19)

Switching to the Fourier basis, the action after time reparametrization takes the form

$$S[\bar{Q}, \phi] = \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{ab} \frac{1}{(t + \phi_0^a)(t + \phi_0^b)} \sum_{n,m} \bar{Q}_{nm}^{ab} \bar{Q}_{mn}^{ba} - N \text{Tr} \ln [\zeta_a \bar{\varepsilon}_n^a (t + \phi_0^a) \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ab} + i \bar{Q}_{nn'}^{ab}] + \text{const.},$$
(S20)

where

$$\bar{Q}_{nm}^{ab} = \int_0^{t+\phi_0^a} d\tau_1^a \int_0^{t+\phi_0^b} d\tau_2^b \bar{Q}_{\tau_1^a \tau_2^b}^{ab} e^{i\bar{\varepsilon}_n^a \tau_1^a - i\bar{\varepsilon}_m^b \tau_2^b}, \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_n^a = \frac{2\pi}{t + \phi_0^a} (n + 1/2).$$
(S21)

One can see from Eq. S20 that, for any pair of integers n, m obeying $\bar{\varepsilon}_n^+ = -\bar{\varepsilon}_m^-$, the time-reparametrized action $S[\bar{Q}, \phi]$ is invariant under any $U(2)$ rotation applying to the the following rescaled \bar{Q} subblock:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{nn}^{++}/(t + \phi_0^+) & \bar{Q}_{nm}^{+-}/\sqrt{(t + \phi_0^+)(t + \phi_0^-)} \\ \bar{Q}_{mn}^{-+}/\sqrt{(t + \phi_0^+)(t + \phi_0^-)} & \bar{Q}_{mm}^{--}/(t + \phi_0^-) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow R \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{nn}^{++}/(t + \phi_0^+) & \bar{Q}_{nm}^{+-}/\sqrt{(t + \phi_0^+)(t + \phi_0^-)} \\ \bar{Q}_{mn}^{-+}/\sqrt{(t + \phi_0^+)(t + \phi_0^-)} & \bar{Q}_{mm}^{--}/(t + \phi_0^-) \end{bmatrix} R^{-1}. \quad (\text{S22})$$

Comparing the action after time reparametrization with the non-interacting action $S[Q, \phi = 0]$, we find that the SFF of the integrable model be expressed as

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 e^{-S'_0[\phi_0]}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 e^{-S'_0[\phi_0]} \langle \text{Tr exp}[-iH_0(t + \phi_0^+)] \text{Tr exp}[iH_0(t + \phi_0^-)] \rangle, \quad (\text{S23})$$

$$S'_0[\phi_0] = -\sum_a \frac{i\zeta_a}{2Ut} (\phi_0^a)^2,$$

where H_0 is the non-interacting Hamiltonian with $U = 0$, and $\phi_0^a \equiv \int_0^t dt_1 \phi^a(t_1)$. Note that ϕ_0^a is denoted by $\bar{\phi}^a$ in the main text. Eq. S23 can also be easily derived from the definition of SFF:

$$K(t) = \sum_{nm} \exp(-iE_n t + iE_m t) = \sum_{nm} \exp\left\{-i\left[E_n^{(0)} + \frac{U}{2}(E_n^{(0)})^2\right]t + i\left[E_m^{(0)} + \frac{U}{2}(E_m^{(0)})^2\right]t\right\} \quad (\text{S24})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 e^{-S'_0[\phi_0]}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 e^{-S'_0[\phi_0]} \sum_{nm} \exp\left(-iE_n^{(0)}(t + \phi_0^+) + iE_m^{(0)}(t + \phi_0^-)\right).$$

Here we have used the fact that E (the eigenenergy of H) is related to $E^{(0)}$ (the eigenenergy of H_0) by $E = E^{(0)} + \frac{U}{2}(E^{(0)})^2$. The problem therefore is reduced to that of the non-interacting model H_0 .

In Ref. [S7], it has been found that the noninteracting SFF is given by the following cumulant expansion:

$$K_0(t) = \exp\left\{\sum_n \frac{N^n}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{\prod_{k=1}^n d\varepsilon_k \left[\sum_{a_k} \ln(1 + e^{-i\zeta_{a_k} \varepsilon_k t})\right]\right\} R_n^{\text{con}}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)\right\}. \quad (\text{S25})$$

Through a similar derivation, one can show that the SFF of the integrable ensemble investigated in the current paper is given by

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 e^{-S'_0[\phi_0]}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_0 e^{-S'_0[\phi_0]} \times \exp\left\{\sum_n \frac{N^n}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{\prod_{k=1}^n d\varepsilon_k \left[\sum_{a_k} \ln(1 + e^{-i\zeta_{a_k} \varepsilon_k (t + \phi_0^{a_k})})\right]\right\} R_n^{\text{con}}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)\right\}. \quad (\text{S26})$$

Here R_n^{con} represents the connected part of the n -point level correlation function R_n for the GUE matrix h :

$$R_n(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \langle \nu(\varepsilon_1) \nu(\varepsilon_2) \dots \nu(\varepsilon_n) \rangle, \quad (\text{S27})$$

where $\nu(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_i)$ is the density of states. Inserting the explicit expression for R_n^{con} [S8] into the equation above, one can solve the SFF of the integrable model in a similar way as the noninteracting model (see Sec. VIII and Ref. [S7]). In particular, each term in the exponent in Eq. S26 can be deduced from that of the noninteracting theory by shifting the time variable $t \rightarrow t + \phi_0^{a_k}$. After summing over all contributions, the final result can be obtained by averaging over fluctuations of the bosonic field ϕ_0 governed by the action $S'_0[\phi_0]$ (Eq. S23).

In Fig. 2 in the main text, we plot the numerical result of the SFF of the integrable ensemble for $N = 10$ and $J = 1$. For weak interaction strength, the non-interacting ramp is only slightly modified and remains exponential. This is due to the diffuson-type collective modes that do not acquire a ‘‘dephasing mass,’’ in sharp contrast to the chaotic regime discussed below. We see that in both the non-interacting and our $h = V$ integrable case, the energy levels are uncorrelated when their energy separation is of the order of many-body level spacing. Note that for stronger interaction strength, the ramp disappears all together and the SFF shows a non-universal slope down to the plateau. In this case, the disconnected SFF gives rise to a non-negligible contribution to the slope even around $t \gtrsim N$, which is responsible for the late plateau time.

IV. SADDLE POINT

A. Saddle points

In the following, we assume a weak enough interaction strength U and perform a perturbative calculation for both the chaotic and integrable ensembles. The new result here is that we are able to obtain an interacting saddle point, in contrast to the non-interacting saddle points usually used in the σ -model approach. Our analytical results rely on a straightforward perturbation theory with respect to the H.S. field ϕ . We point out that interacting saddle points have been considered before by Kravtsov et al. [S2, S3] in the context of driven quantum dot, by Kamenev and Andreev [S9] to address Altshuler-Aronov corrections in disordered metals, and numerically by Saad et al [S10] for the SFF of the SYK₄ model.

Starting from Eq. S13, we first perform the integration over the matrix field Q

$$K_\phi \equiv \int \mathcal{D}Q \exp(-S[Q, \phi]), \quad (\text{S28})$$

by approximating it with the contributions from saddle point configurations Q_{sp} and the Gaussian fluctuations δQ around them, for any real bosonic field ϕ .

The saddle point equation for the matrix field Q can be obtained by setting the variation of the action $S[Q, \phi]$ with respect to Q to zero:

$$Q_{sp} = J^2 (\sigma^3 \partial_t + F Q_{sp})^{-1}. \quad (\text{S29})$$

Here σ^3 indicates the third Pauli matrix in the replica space. We solve this saddle point equation perturbatively up to order ϕ^2 . In the following, we use $Q_{sp}^{(n)}$ ($F^{(n)}$) to denote the n -th order term in the expansion of Q_{sp} (F) in powers of ϕ :

$$Q_{sp} = Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)} + Q_{sp}^{(2)} + O(\phi^3), \quad F = F^{(0)} + F^{(1)} + F^{(2)} + O(\phi^3). \quad (\text{S30})$$

Inserting this equation into Eq. S29, one obtains at each order in ϕ the following saddle point equations:

$$Q_{sp}^{(0)} = J^2 (\sigma^3 \partial_t + Q_{sp}^{(0)})^{-1}, \quad (\text{S31a})$$

$$Q_{sp}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{J^2} Q_{sp}^{(0)} (F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)}) Q_{sp}^{(0)}, \quad (\text{S31b})$$

$$Q_{sp}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{J^2} Q_{sp}^{(0)} (F^{(2)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(1)} + Q_{sp}^{(2)}) Q_{sp}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{J^4} Q_{sp}^{(0)} (F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)}) Q_{sp}^{(0)} (F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)}) Q_{sp}^{(0)}. \quad (\text{S31c})$$

At order ϕ^0 , the saddle point Eq. S31a reduces to that of the non-interacting theory. Assuming that the leading order saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(0)}$ is diagonal in the replica and Matsubara frequency spaces, we find

$$(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{nm}^{ab} = \delta_{nm} \delta_{ab} \lambda_n^a t, \quad (\text{S32})$$

$$\lambda_n^a = \frac{1}{2} (i\zeta_a \varepsilon_n + s_n^a \sqrt{4J^2 - (\varepsilon_n)^2}).$$

Here the sign factor s_n^a can take values of $+1$ and -1 when $|\varepsilon_n| < 2J$, and is determined by the fact that λ_n^a should approach zero in the limit $J \ll |\varepsilon_n|$ when $|\varepsilon_n| > 2J$.

Using this result of $Q_{sp}^{(0)}$ and the explicit expression for F (Eqs. S10 and S14), the solution to the ϕ^1 order saddle point equation (Eq. S31b) can therefore be easily calculated from

$$(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{nn'}^{aa'} = -\frac{1}{J^2} \lambda_n^a \left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_m (F^{(1)})_{nn',mm}^{aa,aa} \lambda_m^a \delta_{aa'} + (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{nn'}^{aa'} \right) \lambda_{n'}^{a'}, \quad (\text{S33})$$

where

$$(F^{(1)})_{nn',mm}^{aa,aa} = (f^{(1)})_{n-m, m-n'}^{aa} = \begin{cases} 0, & h \neq V, \\ t\delta_{mn'} \phi_{n-m}^a + t\delta_{mn} \phi_{m-n'}^a, & h = V. \end{cases} \quad (\text{S34})$$

In an analogous way, one can calculate $Q_{sp}^{(2)}$ by inserting the results of $Q_{sp}^{(0)}$ and $Q_{sp}^{(1)}$ into Eq.S31c which can be further simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= -\frac{1}{J^2} \lambda_n^a \left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_m (F^{(2)})_{nn',mm}^{aa,aa} \lambda_m^a \delta_{aa'} + \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{m,m'} (F^{(1)})_{nn',m'm}^{aa',a'a} (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{mm'}^{aa'} + (Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{nn'}^{aa'} \right) \lambda_{n'}^{a'} \\
&+ \frac{1}{J^4} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m,a''} \lambda_n^a \left(F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)} \right)_{nm}^{aa''} \lambda_m^{a''} \left(F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)} \right)_{mn'}^{a''a'} \lambda_{n'}^{a'} \\
&= -\frac{1}{J^2} \lambda_n^a \left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_m (F^{(2)})_{nn',mm}^{aa,aa} \lambda_m^a \delta_{aa'} + \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{m,m'} (F^{(1)})_{nn',m'm}^{aa',a'a} (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{mm'}^{aa'} + (Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{nn'}^{aa'} \right) \lambda_{n'}^{a'} \\
&+ \frac{1}{J^4} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m,a''} \lambda_n^a \frac{(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{nm}^{aa''}}{\lambda_n^a \lambda_m^{a''} / J^2} \lambda_m^{a''} \frac{(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{mn'}^{a''a'}}{\lambda_m^{a''} \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2} \lambda_{n'}^{a'},
\end{aligned} \tag{S35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(F^{(2)})_{nn',mm}^{aa,aa} &= (f^{(2)})_{n-m,m-n'}^{aa} = \phi_{n-m}^a \phi_{m-n'}^a, \\
(F^{(1)})_{nn',m'm}^{aa',a'a} &= (f^{(1)})_{n-m,m'-n'}^{aa'} = \begin{cases} 0, & h \neq V, \\ t \delta_{nm} \phi_{m'-n'}^{a'} + t \delta_{n'm'} \phi_{n-m}^a, & h = V. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{S36}$$

In the second equality of Eq. S35, we have made use of the fact that $Q_{sp}^{(1)}$ is the solution to saddle point Eq. S31b and satisfies

$$\left(F^{(1)} Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)} \right)_{nn'}^{aa'} = -\frac{J^2}{\lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'}} (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{nn'}^{aa'}. \tag{S37}$$

Solving Eq. S33 and subsequently Eq.S35, we find that, for the chaotic $h \neq V$ case, the saddle point solution takes the following form in terms of λ_n^a (Eq. S32):

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \delta_{nn'} \lambda_n^a t, \\
Q_{sp}^{(1)} &= 0, \\
(Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \frac{-\lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2}{1 + \lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2} \frac{1}{t} \sum_m \phi_{n-m}^a \phi_{m-n'}^a \lambda_m^a.
\end{aligned} \tag{S38}$$

On the other hand, for the integrable $h = V$ case, up to order ϕ^2 , the saddle point solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \delta_{nn'} \lambda_n^a t, \\
(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \frac{-\lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2}{1 + \lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2} \phi_{n-n'}^a (\lambda_n^a + \lambda_{n'}^{a'}), \\
(Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \frac{-\lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2}{1 + \lambda_n^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2} \frac{1}{t} \sum_m \phi_{n-m}^a \phi_{m-n'}^a \left\{ \lambda_m^a + \left[\frac{-\lambda_m^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2}{1 + \lambda_m^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2} (\lambda_m^a + \lambda_{n'}^{a'}) + \frac{-\lambda_m^a \lambda_n^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_m^a \lambda_n^a / J^2} (\lambda_m^a + \lambda_n^a) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{J^2} \lambda_m^a \frac{\lambda_n^a + \lambda_m^a}{1 + \lambda_n^a \lambda_m^a / J^2} \frac{\lambda_m^a + \lambda_{n'}^{a'}}{1 + \lambda_m^a \lambda_{n'}^{a'} / J^2} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S39}$$

Note that here the saddle point solutions are written in terms of λ_n^a defined in Eq. S32 and depend on the sign factor s_n^a , which can take both the values of +1 and -1 when $|\varepsilon_n| < 2J$. As a result, we find a series of different ϕ -dependent saddle points corresponding to different choices of $\{s_n^a\}$.

B. Saddle point action

Now, we will evaluate the action at various saddle points, by inserting Eqs. S38 and. S39 into the expression for the action $S[Q, \phi]$ in Eq. S13. To evaluate the $\text{Tr} \ln$ term, we apply a second order Taylor expansion:

$$S[Q_{sp}, \phi] = S[Q_{sp}, 0] + \sum_a \int_0^t dt_1 \frac{\delta S[Q_{sp}, \phi]}{\delta \phi_{t_1}^a} \Big|_{\phi=0} \phi_{t_1}^a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 \frac{\delta^2 S[Q_{sp}, \phi]}{\delta \phi_{t_1}^a \delta \phi_{t_2}^b} \Big|_{\phi=0} \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b + O(\phi^3). \quad (\text{S40})$$

Here $S[Q_{sp}, 0]$ is the saddle point action for the non-interacting theory, and has been evaluated in Ref. [S7]:

$$S[Q_{sp}, 0] = N \sum_{a,n} \left[\frac{1}{2J^2} (\lambda_n^a)^2 - \ln(\zeta_a \varepsilon_n + i\lambda_n^a) \right] = \frac{N}{J} \sum_{a,n} i\zeta_a s_n^a \varepsilon_n + O(\varepsilon_n^2) + \text{const.} \quad (\text{S41})$$

In the second equality, we have applied an expansion in terms of energy, and kept only the leading order terms.

The functional derivative $\delta S[Q_{sp}, \phi]/\delta \phi_{t_1}^a$ can be straightforwardly computed using the fact that matrix field Q is at its saddle point:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S[Q_{sp}, \phi]}{\delta \phi_{t_1}^a} &= N \sum_{a'b'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \int_0^t dt'_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2J^2} (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'} (Q_{sp})_{t'_2 t'_1}^{b'a'} - [(\sigma^3 \partial_t + F Q_{sp})^{-1}]_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'} (Q_{sp})_{t'_2 t'_1}^{b'a'} \right\} \frac{\partial f_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'}}{\partial \phi_{t_1}^a} \\ &= - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a'b'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \int_0^t dt'_2 (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'} (Q_{sp})_{t'_2 t'_1}^{b'a'} \frac{\partial f_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'}}{\partial \phi_{t_1}^a}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S42})$$

where we have utilized the saddle point Eq. S29. Substituting the explicit expression for f (Eq. S10) into Eq. S42, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S[Q_{sp}, \phi]}{\delta \phi_{t_1}^a} \Big|_{\phi=0} &= \begin{cases} -\frac{N}{J^2} \sum_b \int_0^t dt_2 (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \phi_{t_2}^b \Big|_{\phi=0}, & h \neq V, \\ -\frac{N}{J^2} \sum_b \int_0^t dt_2 (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} (1 + \phi_{t_2}^b) \Big|_{\phi=0}, & h = V, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & h \neq V, \\ -\frac{N}{J^2} \sum_b \int_0^t dt_2 (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba}, & h = V. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S43})$$

Starting from Eq. S42, and using the fact that $f_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} = f_{t_2 t_1}^{ba}$, one can obtain the second derivative of the action with respect to ϕ :

$$\frac{\delta^2 S[Q_{sp}, \phi]}{\delta \phi_{t_1}^a \delta \phi_{t_2}^b} = -\frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a'b'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \int_0^t dt'_2 (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'} (Q_{sp})_{t'_2 t'_1}^{b'a'} \frac{\partial^2 f_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'}}{\partial \phi_{t_1}^a \partial \phi_{t_2}^b} - \frac{N}{J^2} \sum_{a'b'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \int_0^t dt'_2 \frac{\partial (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} (Q_{sp})_{t'_2 t'_1}^{b'a'} \frac{\partial f_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a'b'}}{\partial \phi_{t_1}^a}. \quad (\text{S44})$$

Evaluating the equation above at $\phi = 0$, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\delta^2 S[Q_{sp}, \phi]}{\delta \phi_{t_1}^a \delta \phi_{t_2}^b} \Big|_{\phi=0} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\frac{N}{J^2} (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \Big|_{\phi=0} - \frac{N}{J^2} \sum_{a'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \left[\frac{\partial (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t'_1}^{aa'}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t_1}^{a'a} + (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t'_1}^{aa'} \frac{\partial (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t_1}^{a'a}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} \right] \phi_{t_1}^{a'} \Big|_{\phi=0}, & h \neq V, \\ -\frac{N}{J^2} (Q_{sp})_{t_1, t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp})_{t_2, t_1}^{ba} \Big|_{\phi=0} - \frac{N}{J^2} \sum_{a'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \left[\frac{\partial (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t'_1}^{aa'}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t_1}^{a'a} + (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t'_1}^{aa'} \frac{\partial (Q_{sp})_{t'_1 t_1}^{a'a}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} \right] (1 + \phi_{t_1}^{a'}) \Big|_{\phi=0}, & h = V, \\ -\frac{N}{J^2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba}, & h \neq V, \\ -\frac{N}{J^2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1, t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2, t_1}^{ba} - \frac{N}{J^2} \sum_{a'} \int_0^t dt'_1 \left[\frac{\partial (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_1 t'_1}^{aa'}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t'_1 t_1}^{a'a} + (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t'_1}^{aa'} \frac{\partial (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t'_1 t_1}^{a'a}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} \right] \Big|_{\phi=0}, & h = V. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S45})$$

Inserting these results for the functional derivatives of the action with respect to the H.S. field ϕ into the Taylor expansion Eq. S40, we obtain the saddle point action up to order ϕ^2 . In the chaotic $h \neq V$ case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S[Q_{sp}, \phi] &= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{t_1, t_2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b \\
&= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \frac{1}{t^4} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n'_1, n'_2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_1, n_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n'_2, n'_1}^{ba} \phi_{n'_1 - n_1}^a \phi_{n_2 - n'_2}^b \\
&= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{2J^2} \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_a \sum_{m, n} (\lambda_n^a \lambda_{n+m}^a) \phi_m^a \phi_{-m}^a.
\end{aligned} \tag{S46}$$

On the other hand, for the integrable $h = V$ case,

$$\begin{aligned}
S[Q_{sp}, \phi] &= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{J^2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{t_1, t_2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \phi_{t_2}^b - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{t_1, t_2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b \\
&\quad - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b,c} \int_{t_1, t_2, t_3} \left[\frac{\partial(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_1, t_3}^{ac}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_3, t_1}^{ca} + (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1, t_3}^{ac} \frac{\partial(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_3, t_1}^{ca}}{\partial \phi_{t_2}^b} \right] \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b \\
&= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{t_1, t_2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b \\
&\quad - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \int_{t_1, t_2} \left[2(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} + (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} + (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \right] \phi_{t_1}^a \\
&= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \frac{1}{t^4} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n'_1, n'_2} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_1, n_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n'_2, n'_1}^{ba} \phi_{n'_1 - n_1}^a \phi_{n_2 - n'_2}^b \\
&\quad - \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{a,b} \frac{1}{t^3} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n'_2} \left[2(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_1, n_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_2, n'_1}^{ba} + (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{n_1, n_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_2, n'_1}^{ba} + (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_1, n_2}^{ab} (Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{n_2, n'_1}^{ba} \right] \phi_{n'_1 - n_1}^a \\
&= S[Q_{sp}, 0] - \frac{N}{J^2} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{a,n} (\lambda_n^a)^2 \phi_0^a - \frac{N}{2J^2} \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{a,n,m} \left[\lambda_n^a \lambda_m^a + \frac{-\lambda_n^a \lambda_m^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_n^a \lambda_m^a / J^2} (\lambda_n^a + \lambda_m^a)^2 \right] \phi_{m-n}^a \phi_{n-m}^a.
\end{aligned} \tag{S47}$$

We have derived the expression for the saddle point action in terms of $\{\lambda_n^a\}$ which varies for different choices of $\{s_n^a\}$. One can see from the results above that the dominate saddle point which minimizes the action $\text{Re } S[Q_{sp}, \phi]$ depends on the configuration of the bosonic field ϕ (as well as the infinitesimal increment of t^a [S7]).

V. QUADRATIC FLUCTUATION AND DIFFUSION

Let us now consider the contribution from quadratic fluctuations around the saddle points. To proceed, we first make the transformation

$$Q \rightarrow \tilde{Q} = FQ. \tag{S48}$$

As will be elucidated in Sec. VI, $\tilde{Q}_{sp} \equiv FQ_{sp}$ is related to the self-energy of the ensemble averaged fermionic Green's function, while the fluctuation propagator $\langle \delta \tilde{Q} \delta \tilde{Q} \rangle \equiv \langle F \delta Q F \delta Q \rangle$ corresponds to the diffuson defined by series of 'impurity' ladder diagrams.

After the transformation, we expand in terms of the fluctuations $\delta \tilde{Q}$ around the saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} up to the quadratic order:

$$\begin{aligned}
S[\tilde{Q}_{sp} + \delta \tilde{Q}, \phi] &= \frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr}(\tilde{Q}_{sp} + \delta \tilde{Q}) F^{-1} (\tilde{Q}_{sp} + \delta \tilde{Q}) - N \text{Tr} \ln \left(i\sigma^3 \partial_t + i(\tilde{Q}_{sp} + \delta \tilde{Q}) \right) + \text{const.} \\
&= S[\tilde{Q}_{sp}, \phi] + \frac{N}{2J^2} \text{Tr} \delta \tilde{Q} F^{-1} \delta \tilde{Q} + \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \left(G \delta \tilde{Q} G \delta \tilde{Q} \right) \\
&= S[\tilde{Q}_{sp}, \phi] + \frac{N}{2} \sum_{a,b,a',b'} \int_{t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2} \delta \tilde{Q}_{t'_1 t'_2}^{a' b'} \left[\frac{1}{J^2} (F^{-1})_{t'_2 t'_1, t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} + G_{t_1 t'_1}^{a a'} G_{t'_2 t_2}^{b' b} \right] \delta \tilde{Q}_{t_2 t_1}^{ba}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S49}$$

G is defined as

$$G \equiv \left(\sigma^3 \partial_t + \tilde{Q}_{sp} \right)^{-1} = \left(\sigma^3 \partial_t + F Q_{sp} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{J^2} Q_{sp}, \quad (\text{S50})$$

where in the last equality we have employed the saddle point Eq. S29.

For simplicity, we define the kernel M as

$$M_{t_2 t_1', t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} = \frac{1}{J^2} (F^{-1})_{t_2 t_1', t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} + G_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa'} G_{t_2 t_2}^{b' b} = \frac{1}{J^2} (F^{-1})_{t_2 t_1', t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} + \frac{1}{J^4} (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp})_{t_2 t_2}^{b' b}, \quad (\text{S51})$$

and rewrite the action for quadratic fluctuations around \tilde{Q}_{sp} as

$$\delta S[\delta \tilde{Q}, \phi] = \frac{N}{2} \sum_{a, b, a', b'} \int_{t_1, t_2, t_1', t_2'} \delta \tilde{Q}_{t_1' t_2'}^{a' b'} M_{t_2 t_1', t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} \delta \tilde{Q}_{t_2 t_1}^{ba}. \quad (\text{S52})$$

The propagator for the Gaussian fluctuations $\delta \tilde{Q}$ is given by the inverse of the kernel

$$\mathcal{D}_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'} \equiv N \left\langle (\delta \tilde{Q})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} (\delta \tilde{Q})_{t_2' t_1'}^{b' a'} \right\rangle = (M^{-1})_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'}. \quad (\text{S53})$$

The contribution from the quadratic fluctuations around Q_{sp} to the SFF is proportional to

$$\int \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{Q} \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2} \sum_{a, b, a', b'} \int_{t_1, t_2, t_1', t_2'} \delta \tilde{Q}_{t_1' t_2'}^{a' b'} M_{t_2 t_1', t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} \delta \tilde{Q}_{t_2 t_1}^{ba} \right) \propto \exp(-\text{Tr} \ln M) = \exp(\text{Tr} \ln \mathcal{D}). \quad (\text{S54})$$

We emphasize that the kernel M depends on the explicit form of Q_{sp} and takes different forms for different saddle points.

Switching to the Fourier basis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S[\delta \tilde{Q}, \phi] &= \frac{N}{2} \sum_{a, b, a', b'} \frac{1}{t^4} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_1', n_2'} \delta \tilde{Q}_{n_1' n_2'}^{a' b'} M_{n_2' n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} \delta \tilde{Q}_{n_2 n_1}^{ba}, \\ M_{n_2' n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} &= \frac{1}{J^2} (F^{-1})_{n_2' n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} + \frac{1}{J^4} (Q_{sp})_{n_1 n_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp})_{n_2 n_2}^{b' b}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{n_1 n_2, n_2' n_1'}^{ab, b' a'} &\equiv N \left\langle (\delta \tilde{Q})_{n_1 n_2}^{ab} (\delta \tilde{Q})_{n_2' n_1'}^{b' a'} \right\rangle = t^4 (M^{-1})_{n_1 n_2, n_2' n_1'}^{ab, b' a'}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S55})$$

Note here M^{-1} in the time and energy representations are defined separately as the inverse of M in the corresponding basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a'' b''} \int_{t_1'' t_2''} (M^{-1})_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b'' a''} M_{t_1'' t_2'', t_2' t_1'}^{a'' b'', b' a'} &= \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \delta_{t_1 t_1'} \delta_{t_2 t_2'}, \\ \sum_{a'' b''} \sum_{n_1'' n_2''} (M^{-1})_{n_1 n_2, n_2' n_1'}^{ab, b'' a''} M_{n_1'' n_2'', n_2' n_1'}^{a'' b'', b' a'} &= \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2 n_2'}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S56})$$

In an expansion up to the second order in ϕ , the kernel M takes the following forms in the time and energy representation, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t_2 t_1', t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} &= \frac{1}{J^2} \left[1 - (f^{(1)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} - (f^{(2)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} + \left((f^{(1)})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \right)^2 \right] \delta_{t_1 t_1'} \delta_{t_2 t_2'} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{J^4} \left[(Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{t_2 t_2}^{b' b} + (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{t_2 t_2}^{b' b} + (Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{t_2 t_2}^{b' b} \right] + O(\phi^3), \\ M_{n_2' n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} &= \frac{1}{J^2} \left[t^2 \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2 n_2} - (f^{(1)})_{n_1 - n_1', n_2 - n_2}^{ab} - (f^{(2)})_{n_1 - n_1', n_2 - n_2}^{ab} + \left((f^{(1)})_{n_1 - n_1', n_2 - n_2}^{ab} \right)^2 \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{J^4} \left[(Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{n_1 n_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp}^{(0)} + Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{n_2 n_2}^{b' b} + (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_1 n_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{n_2 n_2}^{b' b} + (Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{n_1 n_1'}^{aa'} (Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{n_2 n_2}^{b' b} \right] + O(\phi^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S57})$$

Inserting the previously obtained perturbative expression for Q_{sp} (Eqs. S38 and S39), we find the expression for M in terms of λ_n^a (Eq. S32) up to quadratic order in ϕ . For the chaotic case $h \neq V$ case, one has

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 M_{n_2', n_1'; n_1, n_2}^{b', a'; a, b} &= t^2 (1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_2}^b / J^2) \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2' n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} - \phi_{n_1 - n_1'}^a \phi_{n_2' - n_2}^b \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{n_2}^b}{J} \frac{-\lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2} \sum_m \phi_{n_1 - m}^a \phi_{m - n_1'}^a \frac{\lambda_m^a}{J} \delta_{n_2' n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{n_1}^a}{J} \frac{-\lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2} \sum_m \phi_{n_2' - m}^b \phi_{m - n_2}^b \frac{\lambda_m^b}{J} \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} + O(\phi^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S58})$$

By contrast, for the integrable case $h = V$, M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 M_{n_2', n_1'; n_1, n_2}^{b', a'; a, b} &= t^2 (1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_2}^b / J^2) \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2' n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &- t \phi_{n_1 - n_1'}^a \delta_{n_2' n_2} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda_{n_2}^b}{J} \frac{-\lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_{n_1}^a + \lambda_{n_1'}^a)}{J} \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &- t \phi_{n_2' - n_2}^b \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda_{n_1}^a}{J} \frac{-\lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_{n_2}^b + \lambda_{n_2'}^b)}{J} \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &+ \phi_{n_1 - n_1'}^a \phi_{n_2' - n_2}^b \left[1 + \frac{-\lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_{n_1}^a + \lambda_{n_1'}^a)}{J} - \frac{\lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_{n_2}^b + \lambda_{n_2'}^b)}{J} \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &+ \sum_m \phi_{n_1 - m}^a \phi_{m - n_1'}^a \delta_{n_2' n_2} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_{n_2}^b}{J} \frac{-\lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2} \right. \\ &\times \left. \left\{ \frac{\lambda_m^a}{J} + \left[\frac{-\lambda_m^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_m^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_m^a + \lambda_{n_1'}^a)}{J} + \frac{-\lambda_m^a \lambda_{n_1}^a / J^2}{1 + \lambda_m^a \lambda_{n_1}^a / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_m^a + \lambda_{n_1}^a)}{J} \right] - \frac{\lambda_m^a}{J} \frac{(\lambda_{n_1}^a + \lambda_m^a) / J}{1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_m^a / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_m^a + \lambda_{n_1'}^a) / J}{1 + \lambda_m^a \lambda_{n_1'}^a / J^2} \right\} \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &+ \sum_m \phi_{n_2' - m}^b \phi_{m - n_2}^b \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_{n_1}^a}{J} \frac{-\lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2} \right. \\ &\times \left. \left\{ \frac{\lambda_m^b}{J} + \left[\frac{-\lambda_m^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2}{1 + \lambda_m^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_m^b + \lambda_{n_2'}^b)}{J} + \frac{-\lambda_m^b \lambda_{n_2}^b / J^2}{1 + \lambda_m^b \lambda_{n_2}^b / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_m^b + \lambda_{n_2}^b)}{J} \right] - \frac{\lambda_m^b}{J^2} \frac{(\lambda_{n_2}^b + \lambda_m^b) / J}{1 + \lambda_{n_2}^b \lambda_m^b / J^2} \frac{(\lambda_m^b + \lambda_{n_2'}^b) / J}{1 + \lambda_m^b \lambda_{n_2'}^b / J^2} \right\} \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} + O(\phi^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S59})$$

As explained earlier, the propagator for the Gaussian fluctuation \mathcal{D} is given by the inverse of the kernel M^{-1} (Eq. S55). In the non-interacting case (see also the detailed discussion in Refs. [S1, S7]), after setting $\phi = 0$ in Eq. S58 (or equivalently Eq. S59), one finds that the Gaussian fluctuation propagator acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{n_1 n_2, n_2' n_1'}^{ab, b' a'} &= t^4 (M^{-1})_{n_1 n_2, n_2' n_1'}^{ab, b' a'} = t^2 J^2 \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda_{n_1}^a \lambda_{n_2}^b / J^2)} \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2' n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ &= t^2 J^2 \frac{1}{[1 + s_{n_1}^a s_{n_2}^b + \frac{i}{2J} (s_{n_2}^b \zeta_a \varepsilon_{n_1} + s_{n_1}^a \zeta_b \varepsilon_{n_2})]} \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2' n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S60})$$

where in the last equality we have performed a low energy expansion of λ (Eq. S32). It is straightforward to see from the equation above that, in the non-interacting case, the Gaussian fluctuations encoded by $\delta Q_{n_1 n_2}^{ab}$ and $\delta Q_{n_2 n_1}^{ba}$ are massless as long as $s_{n_1}^a = -s_{n_2}^b$. By contrast, if $s_{n_1}^a = s_{n_2}^b$, the associated fluctuation modes are massive, and their contributions to the SFF are negligible. For the special saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$ defined by $s_n^a = \pm \zeta_a$ ($|\varepsilon_n| < 2J$), all inter-replica ($a \neq b$) fluctuations δQ^{ab} are massless. We note that all intra-replica ($a = b$) fluctuations contribute to the disconnected SFF defined as $K^{\text{dis}}(t) \equiv \langle \text{Tr} e^{-iHt} \rangle \langle \text{Tr} e^{iHt} \rangle$, and is therefore irrelevant to the connected SFF $K(t) - K^{\text{dis}}(t)$ responsible for the universal ramp.

A. Fluctuations around the saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$

We now focus first on the fluctuations δQ around the saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$ ($s_n^a = \pm \zeta_a$ when $|\varepsilon_n| < 2J$) for both the chaotic and integrable ensembles. In the $h \neq V$ chaotic case, after an expansion of Eq. S58 in powers of Matsubara

frequency, we find

$$M_{n'_2 n'_1, n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} = \frac{t^2}{J^2} \left[1 + \zeta_a \zeta_b \pm \frac{i}{2J} \zeta_a \zeta_b (\varepsilon_{n_1} + \varepsilon_{n_2}) \right] \delta_{n_1 n'_1} \delta_{n'_2 n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} - \frac{1}{J^2} \phi_{n_1 - n'_1}^a \phi_{n'_2 - n_2}^b \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ - \frac{1}{2J^2} \zeta_a \zeta_b \sum_m \phi_{n_1 - m}^a \phi_{m - n'_1}^a \delta_{n'_2 n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} - \frac{1}{2J^2} \zeta_a \zeta_b \sum_m \phi_{n'_2 - m}^b \phi_{m - n_2}^b \delta_{n_1 n'_1} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} + O(\phi^3, \varepsilon\phi, \varepsilon^2), \quad (\text{S61})$$

whose Fourier transform is

$$M_{t'_2 t'_1, t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} = \frac{1}{J^2} \left[1 + \zeta_a \zeta_b \pm \frac{i}{2J} \zeta_a \zeta_b (-i\partial_{t_1} + i\partial_{t_2}) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_a \zeta_b (\phi_{t_1}^a + \zeta_a \zeta_b \phi_{t_2}^b)^2 \right] \delta_{t_1 t'_1} \delta_{t'_2 t_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b}. \quad (\text{S62})$$

Similarly for the integrable $h = V$ case, one can see from Eq. S59 that the kernel M associated with $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$, to the lowest order in energy is given by

$$M_{n'_2 n'_1, n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} = \frac{t^2}{J^2} \left[1 + \zeta_a \zeta_b \pm \frac{i}{2J} \zeta_a \zeta_b (\varepsilon_{n_1} + \varepsilon_{n_2}) \right] \delta_{n_1 n'_1} \delta_{n'_2 n_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b} \\ + \frac{1 + \zeta_a \zeta_b}{J^2} \left[-t \phi_{n_1 - n'_1}^a \delta_{n_2 n'_2} - t \phi_{n'_2 - n_2}^b \delta_{n_1 n'_1} + \phi_{n_1 - n'_1}^a \phi_{n'_2 - n_2}^b + \sum_m \phi_{n_1 - m}^a \phi_{m - n'_1}^a \delta_{n_2 n'_2} + \sum_m \phi_{n'_2 - m}^b \phi_{m - n_2}^b \delta_{n_1 n'_1} \right] \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b}, \quad (\text{S63})$$

and its Fourier transform is

$$M_{t'_2 t'_1, t_1 t_2}^{b' a', ab} = \frac{1}{J^2} \left\{ 1 + \zeta_a \zeta_b \pm \frac{i}{2J} \zeta_a \zeta_b (-i\partial_{t_1} + i\partial_{t_2}) + (1 + \zeta_a \zeta_b) [-\phi_{t_1}^a - \phi_{t_2}^b + \phi_{t_1}^a \phi_{t_2}^b + (\phi_{t_1}^a)^2 + (\phi_{t_2}^b)^2] \right\} \delta_{t_1 t'_1} \delta_{t'_2 t_2} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{b'b}. \quad (\text{S64})$$

Using these results and Eq. S53, we find that the propagator for the inter-replica fluctuation ($\delta\tilde{Q}^{ab}$ with $a \neq b$), i.e., the 0-dim diffuson propagator $\mathcal{D}^{ab,ba}$, is the solution to the following equation,

$$\left[\pm \frac{i}{2J^3} (i\partial_{t_1} - i\partial_{t_2}) + \frac{1}{2J^2} (\phi_{t_1}^a - \phi_{t_2}^b)^2 \right] \mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} = \mathbf{1}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}, \quad h \neq V, \quad (\text{S65a})$$

$$\left[\pm \frac{i}{2J^3} (i\partial_{t_1} - i\partial_{t_2}) \right] \mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'} = \mathbf{1}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}, \quad h = V. \quad (\text{S65b})$$

Note that here we have ignored terms of the order $O(\varepsilon\phi)$ which contribute to the renormalization effect but is irrelevant to the dephasing.

In the integrable $h = V$ case, ϕ -dependent term in $M^{ba,ab}$ disappears when $a \neq b$, and the equation for inter-replica fluctuation propagator (Eq. S65b) is equivalent to that of the non-interacting theory. Therefore, the inter-replica fluctuations (diffusons) remain massless in the presence of interactions, indicating the absence of the dephasing effect.

For the chaotic $h \neq V$ case, the ϕ -dependent term in the diffuson equation (Eq. S65a) is responsible for the appearance of a mass term for the inter-replica fluctuation, which signals the interaction-induced dephasing effect. To see this effect, let us now consider the large t limit for simplicity. We employ a change of variables as in Refs. [S2, S11]:

$$u = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}, \quad \tau = t_1 - t_2, \quad u' = \frac{t'_1 + t'_2}{2}, \quad \tau' = t'_1 - t'_2, \quad (\text{S66}) \\ \mathcal{D}^{ba, a'b'}(\tau, \tau'; u, u') = \mathcal{D}_{t_2 t_1, t'_1 t'_2}^{ba, a'b'}.$$

After the transformation, the diffuson equation for the chaotic $h \neq V$ case (Eq. S65a) now takes the form

$$\frac{1}{J^3} \left\{ \mp \partial_\tau + \frac{J}{2} \left[\phi^a \left(u + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) - \phi^b \left(u - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right]^2 \right\} \mathcal{D}^{ba, a'b'}(\tau, \tau'; u, u') = \delta(u - u') \delta(\tau - \tau') \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'}. \quad (\text{S67})$$

Its solution can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{D}^{ba, a'b'}(\tau, \tau'; u, u') = \exp(-S_D[\phi]) \mathcal{D}_0^{ba, a'b'}(\tau, \tau'; u, u'), \quad (\text{S68}) \\ S_D[\phi] = \mp \frac{J}{2} \int_{\tau'}^\tau dv \left[\phi^a \left(u + \frac{v}{2} \right) - \phi^b \left(u - \frac{v}{2} \right) \right]^2.$$

Here \mathcal{D}_0 represents the solution to the non-interacting diffuson equation (Eq. S65b), and is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_0^{ba,a'b'}(\tau, \tau'; u, u') = \mp s' J^3 \Theta(s'(\tau - \tau')) \delta(u - u') \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'}. \quad (\text{S69})$$

We note that the diffuson Eq. S67 is satisfied when s' equals $+1$ and -1 , but only one of them ($s' = \mp 1$ corresponding to saddle point $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$) obeys the boundary condition that the diffuson propagator \mathcal{D} is non-divergent in the limit $|\tau - \tau'| \rightarrow \infty$. Imposing this boundary condition, we find the solution to the diffuson equation in the presence of an arbitrary bosonic field ϕ . Combining Eqs. S68 and S69, one can see that the exponential factor $\exp(-S_D[\phi])$ decays with increasing $|\tau - \tau'|$ for almost any configuration of the real bosonic field ϕ (except for the extreme case where $\phi^+ = \phi^-$ is time independent).

Using the Fourier representation for the H.S. field, $S_D[\phi]$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S_D[\phi] &= \mp \frac{J}{2} \int_{\tau'}^{\tau} dv \left[\frac{1}{t} \sum_m \left(\phi_m^a e^{i\omega_m(u+v/2)} - \phi_m^b e^{i\omega_m(u-v/2)} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \mp \frac{J}{2} \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{m \neq m'} \left(e^{i\omega_{m-m'}u} \phi_m^a \phi_{-m'}^a + e^{-i\omega_{m-m'}u} \phi_{-m}^b \phi_{m'}^b - e^{i\omega_{m+m'}u} 2\phi_m^a \phi_{m'}^b \right) \frac{e^{i\omega_{m-m'}\tau/2} - e^{i\omega_{m-m'}\tau'/2}}{i\omega_{m-m'}/2} \\ &\mp \frac{J}{2} \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_m \left(\phi_m^a \phi_{-m}^a + \phi_m^b \phi_{-m}^b - 2\phi_m^a \phi_m^b e^{i2\omega_m u} \right) (\tau - \tau'). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S70})$$

At large $|u|$ and $|\tau - \tau'|$, the contribution from the highly oscillating terms can be ignored, and $S_D[\phi]$ is given approximately by the nonoscillating term:

$$\begin{aligned} S_D[\phi] &\approx \mp \frac{(\tau - \tau')}{\tau_\phi}, \\ \tau_\phi^{-1} &= \frac{J}{2} \frac{1}{t^2} \left[\sum_{m \neq 0} (|\phi_m^a|^2 + |\phi_m^b|^2) + (\phi_0^a - \phi_0^b)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S71})$$

As a result, in this case, the diffuson propagator \mathcal{D} acquires a mass term τ_ϕ^{-1} and takes the form

$$\mathcal{D}^{ba,a'b'}(\tau, \tau'; u, u') \approx J^3 \exp(-|\tau - \tau'|/\tau_\phi) \Theta(\mp(\tau - \tau')) \delta(u - u') \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'}. \quad (\text{S72})$$

In summary, in the large t limit, the diffuson propagator $\mathcal{D}_{u-\tau/2, u+\tau/2, u'+\tau'/2, u'-\tau'/2}^{ba,ab}$, in the presence of interactions, acquires an exponential factor $e^{-S_D[\phi]}$ which decays with increasing $|\tau - \tau'|$ and can be approximated as $e^{-|\tau - \tau'|/\tau_\phi}$ at large $|\tau - \tau'|$ and $|u|$. The exponential factor $e^{-S_D[\phi]}$ is a manifestation of the dephasing effect which comes from interactions since ϕ is the interaction decoupling field. Moreover, from Eq. S54, we can see that the exponential factor $e^{-S_D[\phi]}$ results in the suppression of the connected SFF. The calculation of the contribution from the diffusons to the SFF averaged over the fluctuations of the H.S. decoupling field ϕ is left to future study.

B. Fluctuations around a generic saddle point

We now consider fluctuations around a generic saddle point, in particular those that are massless in the non-interacting theory, i.e. the 0-dim diffusons. As explained earlier, these fluctuations are encoded by $\delta Q_{n_1 n_2}^{ab}$ if $s_{n_1}^a = -s_{n_2}^b$ is satisfied. The relevant kernel elements $M_{n_2' n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab}$ associated with these modes are the ones obey the constraint: $s_{n_1}^a = s_{n_1'}^a = -s_{n_2}^b = -s_{n_2'}^b$. In this case, $M_{n_2' n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab}$ (Eqs. S58 and S59) can be simplified as the following after an

expansion in terms of energy:

$$\begin{aligned}
J^2 M_{n_2 n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} &= \left\{ t^2 \left[-\frac{i}{2J} s_{n_1}^a (\zeta_a \varepsilon_{n_1} - \zeta_b \varepsilon_{n_2}) \right] \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2 n_2} - \phi_{n_1 - n_1'}^a \phi_{n_2 - n_2}^b \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} s_{n_2}^b \sum_m \phi_{n_1 - m}^a \phi_{m - n_1'}^a s_m^a \delta_{n_2 n_2} - \frac{1}{2} s_{n_1}^a \sum_m \phi_{n_2 - m}^b \phi_{m - n_2}^b s_m^b \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \right\} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'}, \quad h \neq V, \\
J^2 M_{n_2 n_1', n_1 n_2}^{b' a', ab} &= \left\{ t^2 \left[-\frac{i}{2J} s_{n_1}^a (\zeta_a \varepsilon_{n_1} - \zeta_b \varepsilon_{n_2}) \right] \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2 n_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{s_{n_1}^a s_m^a < 0} \phi_{n_1 - m}^a \phi_{m - n_1'}^a \frac{2\varepsilon_m^2}{\omega_{n_1 - m} \omega_{m - n_1'}} \delta_{n_2 n_2} - \sum_{s_{n_2}^b s_m^b < 0} \phi_{n_2 - m}^b \phi_{m - n_2}^b \frac{2\varepsilon_m^2}{\omega_{n_2 - m} \omega_{m - n_2}} \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \right\} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'}, \quad h = V.
\end{aligned} \tag{S73}$$

Inserting these expressions above into Eq. S55, the fluctuations around an arbitrary saddle point can therefore be studied in an analogous manner as in the case of $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$. However, in the present paper, we focus on the fluctuations around $Q_{sp}^{(\pm)}$ due to the complicated structure of the kernel M for other saddle points.

VI. DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF SADDLE POINT AND DIFFUSION

In this section, through diagrammatic calculation, we show that the saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} and the Gaussian fluctuation propagator $\mathcal{D} \equiv \langle \delta \tilde{Q} \delta \tilde{Q} \rangle$ are related to the fermionic self-energy and the diffuson propagator defined by infinite series of impurity ladder diagrams, respectively.




FIG. S1. Diagrammatic representations of saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} and fluctuation propagator $\mathcal{D} = \langle \delta \tilde{Q} \delta \tilde{Q} \rangle$ for the $h \neq V$ chaotic ensemble. The bold black solid line in panel (a) represents the ensemble averaged fermionic Green's function, while the blue dashed and red wavy lines in panels (b) and (c) show the correlation functions of h and V matrix elements, respectively. The vertices coupling fermionic field ψ and random matrix h (V) are represented by the diagram in panel (d) [(e)]. The saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} is associated with the self-consistent self-energy Σ_{SCBA} defined diagrammatically in panel (f). The fluctuation propagator \mathcal{D} is related to the diffuson propagator \mathcal{D}_ψ (gray box) defined by the diagrammatic Bethe-Salpeter equation in panel (g).

For the $h \neq V$ chaotic case, saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} and the Gaussian fluctuation propagator \mathcal{D} are defined by the diagrams in Figs. S1(f) and (g), respectively. The relevant Feynman rules are presented in Fig. S1 panels (a)-(e). In particular, the black bold solid line in Fig. S1(a) represents the ensemble averaged fermionic Green's function:

$$(G_\psi)_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \equiv \langle \psi_i^a(t_1) \bar{\psi}_i^b(t_2) \rangle. \tag{S74}$$

The blue dashed and red wavy lines in Figs. S1(b) and (c) correspond to the correlations of matrix elements of h and V , respectively,

$$\langle h_{ij} h_{j'i'} \rangle = J^2 / N \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'}, \tag{S75a}$$

$$\langle V_{ij} V_{j'i'} \rangle = J^2 / N \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'}. \tag{S75b}$$

For the vertices depicted in Figs. S1(d) and (e) which couple ψ and h/V , their amplitudes are given by, respectively

$$(d) = i\bar{\psi}_i^a(t')\zeta_a h_{ij}\psi_j^a(t'), \quad (S76a)$$

$$(e) = i\bar{\psi}_i^a(t')\zeta_a \phi^a(t')V_{ij}\psi_j^a(t'). \quad (S76b)$$

The self-energy for the fermionic field ψ is given by a series of rainbow diagrams, and is defined self-consistently by the diagram in Fig. S1(f) where the black bold solid lines represent the self-energy renormalized fermionic Green's function G_ψ (Eq. S74). Self-energy diagrams with crossed 'impurity' (h or V) lines are of higher order in the large N expansion and can therefore be ignored. One can check that the self-consistent Born approximated (SCBA) self-energy depicted in Fig. S1(f) is given by the following equation:

$$(\Sigma_{\text{SCBA}})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} = - \sum_j \zeta_a \zeta_b [\langle h_{ij} h_{ji} \rangle + \phi^a(t_1)\phi^b(t_2) \langle V_{ij} V_{ji} \rangle] (G_\psi)_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} = -J^2 \zeta_a \zeta_b F_{t_1 t_2, t_2 t_1}^{ab, ba} (G_\psi)_{t_1 t_2}^{ab}, \quad (S77)$$

which can be simplified as

$$\Sigma_{\text{SCBA}} = -J^2 (F\sigma^3 G_\psi \sigma^3). \quad (S78)$$

Using the Dyson equation

$$G_\psi = (\partial_t - \Sigma_{\text{SCBA}})^{-1}, \quad (S79)$$

we obtain the self-consistent equation for the self-energy

$$\Sigma_{\text{SCBA}} = -J^2 F \sigma^3 (\partial_t - \Sigma_{\text{SCBA}})^{-1} \sigma^3. \quad (S80)$$

Comparing this equation with the saddle point equation for Q_{sp} (Eq. S29), we can see that

$$\Sigma_{\text{SCBA}} = -\sigma^3 F Q_{sp}, \quad G_\psi = (\partial_t + \sigma^3 F Q_{sp})^{-1} = G \sigma^3, \quad (S81)$$

where G is defined in Eq. S50. Similarly, one can show that the diffuson propagator \mathcal{D}_ψ defined by the diagrammatic Bethe-Salpeter equation in Fig. S1 is the solution to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_\psi)_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'} &= -\delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \delta_{t_1 t_1'} \delta_{t_2 t_2'} \zeta_a \zeta_b [\langle h_{ii'} h_{i'i} \rangle + \phi^a(t_1)\phi^b(t_2) \langle V_{ii'} V_{i'i} \rangle] \\ &\quad - \sum_{a'' b''} \int_{t_1', t_2'} \zeta_a \zeta_b (G_\psi)_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa''} (G_\psi)_{t_2' t_2}^{b'' b} (\mathcal{D}_\psi)_{t_1' t_2', t_2' t_1'}^{a'' b'', b' a'} \sum_j [\langle h_{ij} h_{ji} \rangle + \phi^a(t_1)\phi^b(t_2) \langle V_{ij} V_{ji} \rangle], \end{aligned} \quad (S82)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{a'' b''} \int_{t_1', t_2'} \left[\frac{1}{J^2} 1_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'} + F_{t_1 t_2, t_2 t_1}^{ab, ba} (G_\psi \sigma^3)_{t_1 t_1'}^{aa''} (G_\psi \sigma^3)_{t_2' t_2}^{b'' b} \right] \left(\zeta_{a''} (\mathcal{D}_\psi)_{t_1' t_2', t_2' t_1'}^{a'' b'', b' a'} \zeta_{b'} \right) = -\frac{1}{N} F_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'}. \quad (S83)$$

When this equation is compared with the ones for Gaussian fluctuation propagator \mathcal{D} (Eqs. S53 and S51), one can immediately see that

$$D_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'} = -N \left(\zeta_a (\mathcal{D}_\psi)_{t_1 t_2, t_2' t_1'}^{ab, b' a'} \zeta_{b'} \right). \quad (S84)$$

For the integrable $h = V$ case, one can prove that the equations for the SCBA self-energy and diffuson propagator take the same forms as in the chaotic $h \neq V$ case, just with different expressions for F . In this case, we employ the Feynman rules shown in Figs. S2 (a)-(c). The bold solid black lines in Fig. S2 (a) represent the ensemble averaged fermionic Green's function (Eq. S74), while the blue dashed line in Fig. S2(b) represents the correlation function of $h = V$ matrix elements (Eq. S75a). The vertex depicted in Fig. S2 (c) has the amplitude of

$$(e) = i\bar{\psi}_i^a(t')\zeta_a [1 + \phi^a(t')] h_{ij}\psi_j^a(t'). \quad (S85)$$

Note the difference between this equation and Eq. S76. In an analogous way as in the case of $h \neq V$, one can find that the SCBA self-energy defined in Fig. S2(d) is given by the following equation

$$(\Sigma_{\text{SCBA}})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} = - \sum_j \zeta_a \zeta_b [(1 + \phi^a(t_1)) (1 + \phi^b(t_2))] \langle h_{ij} h_{ji} \rangle (G_\psi)_{t_1 t_2}^{ab}, \quad (S86)$$




FIG. S2. The same as Fig. S1 but for the $h = V$ integrable case. In this case $h = V$ is represented by the blue dashed line.

which can also be expressed as Eq. S78 as in the chaotic case but with different expression for F (Eqs. S10 and S14). Similarly, the Bethe–Salpeter equation depicted diagrammatically in Fig. S2(e) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{t_1 t_2, t'_2 t'_1}^{ab, b' a'} &= -\delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \delta_{t_1 t'_1} \delta_{t_2 t'_2} \zeta_a \zeta_b [(1 + \phi^a(t_1)) (1 + \phi^b(t_2))] \langle h_{i i'} h_{i' i} \rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{a'' b''} \int_{t'_1, t'_2} \zeta_a \zeta_b (G_\psi)_{t_1 t'_1}^{a a''} (G_\psi)_{t'_2 t_2}^{b'' b} (\mathcal{D}_\psi)_{t'_1 t'_2, t'_2 t'_1}^{a'' b'', b' a'} \sum_j [(1 + \phi^a(t_1)) (1 + \phi^b(t_2))] \langle h_{ij} h_{ji} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S87})$$

which can also be simplified to Eq. S83. We have therefore proved that the saddle point \tilde{Q}_{sp} and the Gaussian fluctuation propagator \mathcal{D} are indeed related to the fermionic self-energy Σ_{SCBA} and diffuson propagator \mathcal{D}_ψ , for both the chaotic and integrable models.

VII. COMPARING TIME REPARAMETRIZATION AND PERTURBATIVE APPROACHES FOR THE INTEGRABLE MODEL

As mentioned earlier, the SFF of the integrable $h = V$ model can be calculated using the time-reparametrization approach. Therefore, one is able to compare the results from the perturbative approach with that from the time-reparametrization approach. In this section, we show that these two approaches yield consistent results.

In the reparametrized time representation, the action $S[\bar{Q}, \phi]$ reduces to that of the non-interacting theory with shifted time $t \rightarrow t + \phi_0^a$, and the corresponding saddle point is given by

$$(\bar{Q}_{sp})_{nm}^{ab} = \delta_{ab} \delta_{nm} \tau^a(t) \bar{\lambda}_n^a, \quad (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau_1^a \tau_2^b}^{ab} = \delta_{ab} \frac{1}{\tau^a(t)} \sum_n \bar{\lambda}_n^a \exp(-i \bar{\varepsilon}_n^a (\tau_1^a - \tau_2^a)). \quad (\text{S88})$$

Here $\tau^a(t')$ is defined in Eq. S18 and its value at t is simply $\tau^a(t) = t + \phi_0^a$. $\bar{\varepsilon}_n^a$ and $\bar{\lambda}_n^a$ are defined similarly as ε_n and λ_n^a , but with shifted time, $t \rightarrow t + \phi_0^a$,

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_n^a = \frac{2\pi}{t + \phi_0^a} (n + \frac{1}{2}), \quad \bar{\lambda}_n^a = \frac{1}{2} \left(i \zeta_a \bar{\varepsilon}_n^a + s_n^a \sqrt{4J^2 - (\bar{\varepsilon}_n^a)^2} \right), \quad (\text{S89})$$

where as before s_n^a can take both the values of $+1$ and -1 when $|\bar{\varepsilon}_n^a| < 2J$.

Transforming back to the original time representation, we have

$$(Q_{sp})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} = (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^a(t_1), \tau^b(t_2)}^{ab} = \delta_{ab} \frac{1}{\tau^a(t)} \sum_n \bar{\lambda}_n^a \exp \left(-i \bar{\varepsilon}_n^a \int_{t_2}^{t_1} dt' (1 + \phi^a(t')) \right), \quad (\text{S90})$$

which after Fourier transform becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_{sp})_{nn'}^{ab} &= \int_{t_1, t_2} (Q_{sp})_{t_1 t_2}^{ab} \exp(i\varepsilon_n t_1 - i\varepsilon_{n'} t_2) = \int_{t_1, t_2} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^a(t_1) \tau^b(t_2)} \exp(i\varepsilon_n t_1 - i\varepsilon_{n'} t_2) \\
&= \int_{t_1, t_2} \delta_{ab} \frac{1}{\tau^a(t)} \sum_k \bar{\lambda}_k^a \exp\left(-i\bar{\varepsilon}_k^a \int_{t_2}^{t_1} dt' (1 + \phi^a(t'))\right) \exp(i\varepsilon_n t_1 - i\varepsilon_{n'} t_2) \\
&= \int_{t_1, t_2} \delta_{ab} \frac{1}{\tau^a(t)} \sum_k \bar{\lambda}_k^a \exp\left[-i\bar{\varepsilon}_k^a \left(t_1 - t_2 + \sum_{m \neq 0} \phi_m^a \frac{e^{-i\omega_m t_1} - e^{-i\omega_m t_2}}{-i\omega_m t} + \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} (t_1 - t_2)\right)\right] \exp(i\varepsilon_n t_1 - i\varepsilon_{n'} t_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{S91}$$

Here in the last equality, we have employed the Fourier transformation $\phi_{t'}^a = \frac{1}{t} \sum_m \phi_m^a e^{-i\omega_m t'}$.

We then expand the equation above in terms of ϕ up to order $O(\phi^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_{sp})_{nn'}^{ab} &= \int_{t_1, t_2} \delta_{ab} \frac{1}{t} \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right) \sum_k \left[\lambda_k^a + \frac{d\lambda_k^a}{d\varepsilon_k} \varepsilon_k \left(-\frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \lambda_k^a}{d(\varepsilon_k)^2} (\varepsilon_k)^2 \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right] \\
&\quad \times \exp\left[-i\varepsilon_k \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right) (t_1 - t_2) \left(1 + \frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)\right] e^{i\varepsilon_n t_1 - i\varepsilon_{n'} t_2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \begin{aligned} &1 - i\varepsilon_k \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right) \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \phi_m^a \frac{e^{-i\omega_m t_1} - e^{-i\omega_m t_2}}{-i\omega_m t}\right) \\ &-\frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_k)^2 \left(1 - 2\frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + 3\left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right) \sum_{m, m' \neq 0} \phi_m^a \frac{e^{-i\omega_m t_1} - e^{-i\omega_m t_2}}{-i\omega_m t} \phi_{m'}^a \frac{e^{-i\omega_{m'} t_1} - e^{-i\omega_{m'} t_2}}{-i\omega_{m'} t} + O(\phi^3) \end{aligned} \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{S92}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\tau^a(t)} &= \frac{1}{t + \phi_0^a} \approx \frac{1}{t} \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right), \\
\bar{\varepsilon}_n^a &= \frac{2\pi(n + 1/2)}{t + \phi_0^a} = \varepsilon_n \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3)\right), \\
\bar{\lambda}_n^a &= \lambda_n^a + \frac{d\lambda_n^a}{d\varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n \left(-\frac{\phi_0^a}{t} + \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \lambda_n^a}{d(\varepsilon_n)^2} (\varepsilon_n)^2 \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 + O(\phi^3).
\end{aligned} \tag{S93}$$

Examining terms at each order in ϕ separately, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_{sp}^{(0)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{nn'} \delta_{aa'} \lambda_n^a t, \\
(Q_{sp}^{(1)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \phi_{n-n'}^a \frac{\lambda_{n'}^a \varepsilon_{n'} - \lambda_n^a \varepsilon_n}{\omega_{n-n'}} (1 - \delta_{nn'}) - \delta_{aa'} \phi_0^a \left(\lambda_n^a + \frac{d\lambda_n^a}{d\varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n\right) \delta_{nn'}, \\
(Q_{sp}^{(2)})_{nn'}^{aa'} &= \delta_{aa'} \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{m \neq n, n'} \frac{\phi_{n-m}^a \phi_{m-n'}^a}{\omega_{n-m} \omega_{m-n'}} [\lambda_n^a (\varepsilon_n)^2 + \lambda_{n'}^a (\varepsilon_{n'})^2 - 2\lambda_m^a (\varepsilon_m)^2] \\
&\quad - \delta_{aa'} \frac{\phi_0^a}{t} \frac{\phi_{n-n'}^a}{\omega_{n-n'}} \left[\varepsilon_{n'} \left(2\lambda_{n'}^a + \frac{d\lambda_{n'}^a}{d\varepsilon_{n'}} \varepsilon_{n'}\right) - \varepsilon_n \left(2\lambda_n^a + \frac{d\lambda_n^a}{d\varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n\right)\right] (1 - \delta_{nn'}) \\
&\quad + \delta_{aa'} t \left(\frac{\phi_0^a}{t}\right)^2 \left[\lambda_n^a + 2\frac{d\lambda_n^a}{d\varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \lambda_n^a}{d(\varepsilon_n)^2} (\varepsilon_n)^2\right] \delta_{nn'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S94}$$

Using the explicit expression for λ_n^a (Eq. S32), one can prove that obtained saddle point expression in Eq. S94 is equivalent to the one in Eq. S39.

Let us now consider the quadratic fluctuations around the saddle points. In the reparametrized time representation, a calculation analogous to the one in Sec. V leads to the action for quadratic fluctuation $\delta\bar{Q} = \bar{Q} - \bar{Q}_{sp}$

$$S[\bar{Q}, \phi] = S[\bar{Q}_{sp}, \phi] + \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{ab} \int_{\tau_1^a, \tau_2^b, \tau_1^{a'}, \tau_2^{b'}} \delta\bar{Q}_{\tau_1^a, \tau_2^b}^{a,b} \left[\delta(\tau_1^a - \tau_1^{a'}) \delta(\tau_2^b - \tau_2^{b'}) + \frac{1}{J^2} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau_1^a, \tau_1^{a'}}^{aa} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau_2^b, \tau_2^{b'}}^{bb} \right] \delta\bar{Q}_{\tau_2^b, \tau_1^a}^{b,a}. \quad (\text{S95})$$

Converting back to the original time representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S[\delta Q, \phi] &= \frac{N}{2J^2} \sum_{ab} \int_0^t dt_1 (1 + \phi_{t_1}^a) \int_0^t dt_2 (1 + \phi_{t_2}^b) \int_0^t dt_1' (1 + \phi_{t_1'}^a) \int_0^t dt_2' (1 + \phi_{t_2'}^b) \\ &\quad \times \delta Q_{t_1', t_2'}^{a,b} \left[\frac{\delta(t_1 - t_1') \delta(t_2 - t_2')}{1 + \phi_{t_1}^a} + \frac{1}{J^2} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^a(t_1), \tau^a(t_1')}^{aa} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^b(t_2), \tau^b(t_2')}^{bb} \right] \delta Q_{t_2, t_1}^{b,a} \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \sum_{ab} \int_{t_1, t_2, t_1', t_2'} \delta\tilde{Q}_{t_1', t_2'}^{a,b} M_{t_2', t_1'; t_1, t_2}^{b,a; a,b} \delta\tilde{Q}_{t_2, t_1}^{b,a}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S96})$$

where

$$M_{t_2', t_1'; t_1, t_2}^{b,a; a,b} = \frac{1}{J^2} \left[\frac{\delta(t_1 - t_1') \delta(t_2 - t_2')}{1 + \phi_{t_1}^a} + \frac{1}{J^2} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^a(t_1), \tau^a(t_1')}^{aa} (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^b(t_2), \tau^b(t_2')}^{bb} \right]. \quad (\text{S97})$$

Earlier in this section, we have showed that, in an expansion up to quadratic order in ϕ , the saddle point solution from $(Q_{sp})_{t_1, t_2}^{ab} = (\bar{Q}_{sp})_{\tau^a(t_1), \tau^b(t_2)}^{ab}$ is consistent with the previous perturbative result, for the integrable $h = V$ model. Therefore, one can immediately see that M given by Eq. S97 is equivalent to the perturbative result Eq. S59 up to order $O(\phi^2)$.

VIII. $[N/2]$ ORDER POLYNOMIAL RAMP IN THE NON-INTERACTING SFF

In Ref. [S7], we have obtained the explicit expression for the SFF of the non-interacting model in the large $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. In this section, we review that derivation and prove that the ramp in the non-interacting SFF is given by a polynomial in t at order $N/2$ and $(N-1)/2$ for even and odd N , respectively.

In the non-interacting theory, one can show that the SFF is given by [S7]

$$K(t) = 2^N \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^N [1 + \cos(\varepsilon_i t)] \right\rangle, \quad (\text{S98})$$

where ε_i represents the eigenvalue of the GUE matrix h , i.e., the single-particle energy level. In terms of the correlation functions of the single-particle energy level, $K(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$K(t) = 2^N \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n!} \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_n \right] = 2^N \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n!} \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_n \right], \quad (\text{S99})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_n &= \int d\varepsilon_1 d\varepsilon_2 \dots d\varepsilon_n \mathbf{R}_n(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\varepsilon_i t), \\ \bar{\mathfrak{t}}_n &= \int d\varepsilon_1 d\varepsilon_2 \dots d\varepsilon_n \mathbf{T}_n(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\varepsilon_i t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S100})$$

Here $\mathbf{R}_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is the n -point correlation function of the single-particle energy levels, which can be obtained by integrating the joint probability density function of all single-particle energy levels $P(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$ over $N-n$ arguments

$$\mathbf{R}_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \int d\varepsilon_{n+1} \dots d\varepsilon_N P(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N). \quad (\text{S101})$$

$T_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is the n -point cluster function of single-particle energy levels, i.e., the connected part of R_n . Note that R_n and T_n are defined differently from R_n (Eq. S27) and R_n^{con} which appear in Eqs. S25 and S26. In the second equality of Eq. S99, it has been used that the upper limit of the summation in the first equality is $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see Ref. [S7, S8]).

For the GUE matrix h , the explicit expression for the n -point single-particle level correlation function can be expressed as

$$R_n(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \det [K(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}, \quad (\text{S102})$$

where the matrix elements of K are given by, in the large N limit,

$$K(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \begin{cases} R_1(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{N}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - \varepsilon_i^2} \Theta(2 - |\varepsilon_i|), & i = j, \\ K(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) = \frac{N \sin [N(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)]}{\pi N(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)}, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (\text{S103})$$

Similarly, the n -point cluster function can be expressed as,

$$T_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}(n)} K(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) K(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) \dots K(\varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) K(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1), \quad (\text{S104})$$

where the summation runs over all cyclic permutations $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of the indices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

In the intermediate ramp regime, using Eqs. S102 and S103, and writing out the determinant explicitly, one can prove that \bar{r}_n (Eq. S100) is approximately a polynomial in t at order $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. More specifically, for even n , the leading order in t contribution to \bar{r}_n is from terms of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \left[\int d\varepsilon_{2i-1} d\varepsilon_{2i} K^2(\varepsilon_{2i-1}, \varepsilon_{2i}) \cos(\varepsilon_{2i-1}t) \cos(\varepsilon_{2i}t) \right] \propto t^{n/2}. \quad (\text{S105})$$

Here each double integral over the pair $\varepsilon_{2i-1}, \varepsilon_{2i}$ gives rise to a factor t , and their product is of the order of $t^{n/2}$. Note that we consider the time regime where the Fourier transform of $R_1(\varepsilon)$ can be ignored and the Fourier transform of $K^2(\varepsilon_{2i-1}, \varepsilon_{2i})$ is approximately linear in t . Similarly, for odd integer n , the leading contribution is from

$$\left[\int_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3} K(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) K(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) K(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1) \cos(\varepsilon_1 t) \cos(\varepsilon_2 t) \cos(\varepsilon_3 t) \right] \prod_{i=2}^{(n-1)/2} \left[\int_{\varepsilon_{2i}, \varepsilon_{2i+1}} K^2(\varepsilon_{2i}, \varepsilon_{2i+1}) \cos(\varepsilon_{2i} t) \cos(\varepsilon_{2i+1} t) \right] \propto t^{(n-1)/2}. \quad (\text{S106})$$

Now the integration over $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and ε_3 contributes a factor of t , and each of the remaining double integrations yields a factor of t as well, leading to a contribution of the order of $t^{(n-1)/2}$. Therefore, one can draw the conclusion that the ramp of the non-interacting SFF is given by a polynomial in t at order $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$, which is consistent with the periodic-orbit estimation, as explained in the main text. Note that, in Ref. [S7], the second equality in Eq. S99 is employed to compute the SFF. It is proved there, with the help of Eq. S104, that $\bar{\tau}_n$ is approximately linear in t in the intermediate ramp regime. As a result, we obtained an exponential in t function which is an approximation to the $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ order polynomial in the large N limit.

-
- [S1] Y. Liao and V. Galitski, Emergence of many-body quantum chaos via spontaneous breaking of unitarity, arXiv:2104.05721 (2021).
[S2] D. M. Basko, M. A. Skvortsov, and V. E. Kravtsov, Dynamic localization in quantum dots: Analytical theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 096801 (2003).
[S3] M. A. Skvortsov, Quantum correction to the Kubo formula in closed mesoscopic systems, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 041306(R) (2003).
[S4] M. G. Vavilov and I. L. Aleiner, Conductance fluctuations of open quantum dots under microwave radiation, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 085115 (2001).
[S5] M. G. Vavilov, V. Ambegaokar, and I. L. Aleiner, Charge pumping and photovoltaic effect in open quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 195313 (2001).

- [S6] A. Kamenev, *Field theory of non-equilibrium systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [S7] Y. Liao, A. Vikram, and V. Galitski, Many-body level statistics of single-particle quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 250601 (2020).
- [S8] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Elsevier, 2004).
- [S9] A. Kamenev and A. Andreev, Electron-electron interactions in disordered metals: Keldysh formalism, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 2218 (1999).
- [S10] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, A semiclassical ramp in SYK and in gravity, arXiv:1806.06840 (2018).
- [S11] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and D. E. Khmel'nitsky, Effects of electron-electron collisions with small energy transfers on quantum localisation, *J. Phys. C* **15**, 7367 (1982).