

# SOME APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS TO THE SPREAD OF INFLUENZA A VIRUS INFECTION

BOLDIZSÁR KALMÁR

ABSTRACT. We study differential equations describing the spread of influenza A virus infection based on a mathematical model. We look for surface trajectories of the dynamical system in hand and their asymptotic behaviour.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad \partial_t T(x, t) &= -\beta T(x, t)V(x, t) \\
 \partial_t E_1(x, t) &= \beta T(x, t)V(x, t) - \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_1(x, t) \\
 \partial_t E_i(x, t) &= \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_{i-1}(x, t) - \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_i(x, t) \\
 \partial_t I_1(x, t) &= \frac{n_E}{\tau_E} E_{n_E}(x, t) - \frac{n_I}{\tau_I} I_1(x, t) \\
 \partial_t I_j(x, t) &= \frac{n_I}{\tau_I} I_{j-1}(x, t) - \frac{n_I}{\tau_I} I_j(x, t) \\
 \partial_t V(x, t) &= p \sum_{j=1}^{n_I} I_j(x, t) - cV(x, t) + D_{PCF}a + v_a W(x, t) \\
 \partial_x V(x, t) &= W(x, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $i = 2, \dots, n_E$  and  $j = 2, \dots, n_I$ . We replace the value  $\partial_{xx}V(x, t)$  in the model [BQRY20] by the constant  $a$ , so in this paper we deal with only the case of constant  $\partial_{xx}V(x, t)$ . The constants  $D_{PCF}$  and  $v_a$  correspond to the diffusion rate and to the upward transport in the periciliary fluid. In the equations  $c$  denotes the viral clearance rate,  $p$  denotes the virion producing rate and  $T$  denotes the fraction of uninfected target cells. We denote by  $n_I$  and  $n_E$  the numbers of age classes of infectious and eclipse phases, see [BQRY20].

In the present paper, in the case of a system of equations with  $n_E = 0$ , we propose a heuristic which shows that around  $T(x, t) = \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$  the system changes its behaviour. We obtain that if  $\tau_I p \beta T > c$ , then the trajectories tend to have asymptotic behaviour while for  $\tau_I p \beta T < c$  they do not. Denote by  $c_E$  and  $c_I$  the values  $\frac{n_E}{\tau_E}$  and  $\frac{n_I}{\tau_I}$ .

To solve the equations, we are looking for the smooth functions

$$T, E_i, I_j, V, W: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40; Secondary 35Q92.

*Key words and phrases.* PDE, virus infection, dynamical system.

This means we are looking for a map

$$S: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} T(x, t) \\ E_1(x, t) \\ \vdots \\ E_{n_E}(x, t) \\ I_1(x, t) \\ \vdots \\ I_{n_I}(x, t) \\ V(x, t) \\ W(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad S'(x, t) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x T(x, t) & \partial_t T(x, t) \\ \partial_x E_1(x, t) & \partial_t E_1(x, t) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_x E_{n_E}(x, t) & \partial_t E_{n_E}(x, t) \\ \partial_x I_1(x, t) & \partial_t I_1(x, t) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_x I_{n_I}(x, t) & \partial_t I_{n_I}(x, t) \\ \partial_x V(x, t) & \partial_t V(x, t) \\ \partial_x W(x, t) & \partial_t W(x, t) \end{bmatrix}$$

such that at every  $(x_0, t_0)$  the graph of the linear map  $(x, t) \mapsto S'(x_0, t_0) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix}$  is the same as the graph of the linear map

$$\Phi_{T, E_1, \dots, E_{n_E}, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W}: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta TV \\ \gamma_0 & \beta TV - c_E E_1 \\ \gamma_1 & c_E E_1 - c_E E_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n_E-1} & c_E E_{n_E-1} - c_E E_{n_E} \\ \delta_0 & c_I I_1 - c_I I_1 \\ \delta_1 & c_I I_1 - c_I I_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{n_I-1} & c_I I_{n_I-1} - c_I I_{n_I} \\ W & p \sum_{j=1}^{n_I} I_j - cV + D_{PCF} a + v_a W \\ a & \psi \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$

for all the points

$$(T, E_1, \dots, E_{n_E}, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_E+n_I+3},$$

where

$$\alpha, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_E-1}, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{n_I-1}, \psi: (T, E_1, \dots, E_{n_E}, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are some given smooth functions.

If the linear maps

$$\Phi_{T, E_1, \dots, E_{n_E}, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W}$$

have rank two, then their graphs form a 2-distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_E+n_I+3}$  and we are looking for integral surfaces (graphs of the solutions  $S$ ) of this distribution.



It is equal to

$$(-c_E - \lambda)^{n_E} (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I} (-c - \lambda)(-\lambda) + (-1)^{n_E + n_I} \beta T c_E^{n_E} \det B,$$

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} c_I & -c_I - \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_I & -c_I - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & c_I & -c_I - \lambda & 0 \\ p & \cdots & \cdots & p & v_a \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\det B = (-\lambda) \det B_{n_I}, \quad B_k = \begin{bmatrix} c_I & -c_I - \lambda & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & c_I & -c_I - \lambda & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & c_I & -c_I - \lambda \\ p & \cdots & \cdots & p \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $3 \leq k \leq n_I$ . We have

$$\det B_k = c_I \det B_{k-1} + (-1)^{k+1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{k-1}$$

for  $k \geq 3$  and

$$\det B_2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} c_I & -c_I - \lambda \\ p & p \end{bmatrix} = c_I p - p(-c_I - \lambda).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \det B &= (-\lambda) (c_I \det B_{n_I-1} + (-1)^{n_I+1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-1}) = \\ &= (-\lambda) (c_I (c_I \det B_{n_I-2} + (-1)^{n_I} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-2}) + (-1)^{n_I+1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-1}) = \\ &= (-\lambda) (c_I^2 \det B_{n_I-2} + c_I (-1)^{n_I} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-2} + (-1)^{n_I+1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-1}) = \\ &= (-\lambda) (c_I^3 \det B_{n_I-3} + c_I^2 (-1)^{n_I-1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-3} + c_I (-1)^{n_I} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-2} + \\ &\quad (-1)^{n_I+1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-1}) = \cdots = \\ &= (-\lambda) (c_I^{n_I-2} \det B_2 + c_I^{n_I-3} (-1)^4 p (-c_I - \lambda)^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{n_I+1} p (-c_I - \lambda)^{n_I-1}) = \\ &= (-\lambda) (c_I^{n_I-1} p + c_I^{n_I-2} p (c_I + \lambda) + c_I^{n_I-3} p (c_I + \lambda)^2 + \cdots + p (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n_E + n_I} \det(A - \lambda I) &= (c_E + \lambda)^{n_E} (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (c + \lambda) \lambda + \\ &\quad \beta T c_E^{n_E} p (-\lambda) (c_I^{n_I-1} + c_I^{n_I-2} (c_I + \lambda) + c_I^{n_I-3} (c_I + \lambda)^2 + \cdots \\ &\quad + (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I-1}) = \\ &= (c_E + \lambda)^{n_E} (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (c + \lambda) \lambda + \beta T c_E^{n_E} p (-\lambda) \sum_{j=0}^{n_I-1} c_I^j (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I-1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $n_E = 0$ , then*

$$(-1)^{n_I} \det(A - \lambda I) = (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (c + \lambda) \lambda + \beta T p (c_I^{n_I} - (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I}).$$

## 3. RESULTS

Recall that  $c$  denotes the viral clearance rate,  $p$  denotes the virion producing rate and  $T$  denotes the fraction of uninfected target cells. We denote by  $n_I$  and  $n_E$  the numbers of age classes of infectious and eclipse phases and we have  $c_I = n_I/\tau_I$  and  $c_E = n_E/\tau_E$ . We want to determine the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$ .

**3.1. The case of  $n_E = 0$ .** If we suppose that  $n_E = 0$ , then by Corollary 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n_I} \det(A - \lambda I) &= (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (c + \lambda)\lambda + \beta T p (c_I^{n_I} - (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I}) = \\ &= (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} ((c + \lambda)\lambda - \beta T p) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I} = (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \beta T p) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I}, \end{aligned}$$

denote  $(c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \beta T p)$  by  $F(\lambda)$ . Of course  $F(0) < 0$  so 0 is between the roots

$$\frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p}}{2}$$

of  $\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \beta T p$ . This implies for example that

The derivative of  $(-1)^{n_I} \det(A - \lambda I)$  is the same as the derivative of  $F(\lambda)$ , which is

$$\begin{aligned} n_I (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I - 1} (c + \lambda)\lambda + (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} \lambda + (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} (c + \lambda) - \beta T p n_I (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I - 1} = \\ (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I - 1} (n_I (c + \lambda)\lambda + (c_I + \lambda)(c + 2\lambda) - \beta T p n_I) = \\ (c_I + \lambda)^{n_I - 1} ((n_I + 2)\lambda^2 + (c n_I + c + 2c_I)\lambda + c c_I - \beta T p n_I). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 \leq -c_I$$

is impossible since

$$0 < c_I \leq (c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 < 0$$

is impossible.

**3.1.1. The case of  $-c_I \leq (-c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$ .** Suppose that

$$-c_I \leq (-c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$$

so  $F(\lambda)$  has two or three different roots, more simply

$$c_I \geq (c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$$

$$2(c_I - c/2) \geq \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p},$$

which is equivalent to

$$4(c_I - c/2)^2 \geq c^2 + 4\beta T p$$

because  $2(c_I - c/2) \leq -\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p}$  is not possible, so

$$c_I^2 - c c_I \geq \beta T p,$$

and note that this also implies that

$$c_I > c.$$

If  $n_I$  is even, then

$$(c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} ((c + \lambda)\lambda - \beta T p) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I}$$

has a horizontal tangency at

$$\lambda_a = -c_I$$

and a local minimum at

$$\lambda_b = \frac{-c(n_I + 1) - 2c_I + \sqrt{(c(n_I + 1) + 2c_I)^2 - 4(n_I + 2)(cc_I - \beta T p n_I)}}{2(n_I + 2)}$$

because

$$\lim_{\pm\infty} F = \infty.$$

Since  $F(0) = -\beta T p c_I^{n_I}$ , we have  $F(\lambda_2) < 0$  so it also has at most one singular point between  $\lambda_a$  and  $\lambda_b$ . We have that

$$(-1)^{n_I} \det(A - \lambda I) = F(\lambda) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I}$$

is equal to 0 at  $\lambda = 0$ . These imply that if

$$cc_I = \beta T p n_I,$$

then the root of  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  is only  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , if

$$cc_I < \beta T p n_I,$$

then the roots are  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and some  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and if

$$cc_I > \beta T p n_I,$$

then the roots are  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and some  $-c_I < \lambda_1 < 0$ .

If  $n_I \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\lambda = -c_I$  is just an inflection point and then we have the analogous result about the roots but in every case there is another root  $\lambda_2 < -c_I$  as well.

3.1.2. *The case of  $(-c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 < -c_I < (-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$ .* In the case of

$$(-c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 < -c_I < (-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2$$

the function  $F(\lambda)$  has again three different roots, more simply

$$\begin{aligned} (c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 &> c_I > (c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p})/2 \\ \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p} &> 2c_I - c > -\sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p} \\ |2c_I - c| &< \sqrt{c^2 + 4\beta T p} \\ 4c_I^2 - 4cc_I &< 4\beta T p \\ c_I^2 - cc_I &< \beta T p. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n_I$  is even, then  $(c_I + \lambda)^{n_I} ((c + \lambda)\lambda - \beta T p) + \beta T p c_I^{n_I}$  has two local minima at

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-c(n_I + 1) - 2c_I \pm \sqrt{(c(n_I + 1) + 2c_I)^2 - 4(n_I + 2)(cc_I - \beta T p n_I)}}{2(n_I + 2)}$$

with  $F(\lambda_{1,2}) < 0$  and a local maximum at  $\lambda = -c_I$ , where  $\lambda_1 < -c_I < \lambda_2$ . So  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  has the roots  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 > 0$  if  $cc_I < \beta T p n_I$ ,  $\lambda_0 = 0$  if  $cc_I = \beta T p n_I$  and  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and some  $\lambda_1 < 0$  if  $cc_I > \beta T p n_I$  and at most two other negative roots in each cases. If  $n_I \geq 3$  is odd, then similarly to the case of  $2|n_I$  we have  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and a positive or negative root depending on the same conditions and one other negative root.

This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** If  $c > \tau_I p \beta T$ , then the system (1.1) is *definite*. If  $c < \tau_I p \beta T$ , then the system is *indefinite*.

If the system that we have studied is definite or indefinite, then 0 is an eigenvalue with multiplicity one. So we obtain the following.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $n_E = 0$ . If the system (1.1) is definite, then all the non-zero eigenvalues of the matrix of  $\varphi$  is negative. If the system is indefinite, then there is one positive eigenvalue and all the other non-zero eigenvalues are negative. In both cases 0 is an eigenvalue.*

*Proof.* The statement follows from the previous arguments. □

$c < \beta T p \tau_I$	0, +	0, +	(-, -), 0, +
$c = \beta T p \tau_I$	0	0	(-, -), 0
$c > \beta T p \tau_I$	-, 0	-, 0	(-, -), -, 0
	$c_I^2 - cc_I > \beta T p$	$c_I^2 - cc_I = \beta T p$	$c_I^2 - cc_I < \beta T p$

TABLE 1. The signs of the possible different eigenvalues of  $A$  in the case of  $2|n_I$ . The “-” signs in the brackets are optional and represent negative numbers which are smaller than  $-c_I$ . The other “-” signs represent negative numbers which are bigger than  $-c_I$ .

$c < \beta T p \tau_I$	-, 0, +	-, 0, +	-, 0, +
$c = \beta T p \tau_I$	-, 0	-, 0	-, 0
$c > \beta T p \tau_I$	-, -, 0	-, -, 0	-, -, 0
	$c_I^2 - cc_I > \beta T p$	$c_I^2 - cc_I = \beta T p$	$c_I^2 - cc_I < \beta T p$

TABLE 2. The signs of the possible different eigenvalues of  $A$  in the case of odd  $n_I$ . The “-” signs in the first and second rows represent negative numbers which are smaller than  $-c_I$  and in the third row the “-” signs represent a negative number which is smaller than  $-c_I$  and a negative number which is bigger than  $-c_I$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The algebraic multiplicity of all the real eigenvalues is one, except possibly for the negative eigenvalues in the brackets in the case of  $2|n_I$  and for the eigenvalue 0 in the case of  $c = \beta T p \tau_I$ .*

*Proof.* The statement follows from the previous arguments. □

**Proposition 3.4.** *The geometric multiplicity of every real eigenvalue is one.*

*Proof.* We have to solve the equation

$$(A - \lambda I)x = 0,$$

which is just

$$\begin{aligned} (-c_I - \lambda)I_1 + \beta TV &= 0, \\ c_I I_1 + (-c_I - \lambda)I_2 &= 0, \\ &\dots \\ c_I I_{n_I-1} + (-c_I - \lambda)I_{n_I} &= 0, \\ p \sum_{i=1}^{n_I} I_i + (-c - \lambda)V + v_a W &= 0, \\ -\lambda W &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $W = 0$  and since substituting the first  $n_I$  equations into

$$p \sum_{i=1}^{n_I} I_i + (-c - \lambda)V = 0$$

results  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ , which can be seen after a short computation, the solution of  $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$  is

$$W = 0, \quad V \in \mathbb{R}, \quad I_k = \frac{c_I^{k-1}}{(c_I + \lambda)^k} \beta TV,$$

which means that the geometric multiplicity is equal to 1 for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the solution is

$$V \in \mathbb{R}, \quad I_1 = \beta TV / c_I, \quad I_k = I_{k-1}, \quad p \sum_{i=1}^{n_I} I_i - cV + v_a W = 0$$

so the geometric multiplicity is equal to 1. □

**Corollary 3.5.** *The eigenvectors for  $\lambda \neq 0$  are*

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c_I + \lambda} \beta TV, \frac{c_I}{(c_I + \lambda)^2} \beta TV, \dots, \frac{c_I^{n_I-1}}{(c_I + \lambda)^{n_I}} \beta TV, V, 0 \right],$$

where  $V \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $\lambda = 0$  they are

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c_I} \beta TV, \frac{1}{c_I} \beta TV, \dots, \frac{1}{c_I} \beta TV, V, \frac{\beta T p \tau_I - c}{-v} V \right],$$

where  $V \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the following, suppose that  $n_E = 0$ . According to the previous arguments by parametrizing everything by  $T$  let us write and denote the space  $\mathbb{R}^{n_I+3}$  as

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_I+2} = \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^- \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^+ \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^0,$$

where the first  $\mathbb{V}$  summand stands for the variable  $T$ , the summand  $\mathbb{V}_T^-$  is the eigenspace for the negative eigenvalues, the summand  $\mathbb{V}_T^+$  is the eigenspace for the positive eigenvalues and the last  $\mathbb{V}_T^0$  summand stands for the eigenvalue 0. Then we get the vector field

$$\Phi_{T, I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_I+3}$$

by computing at first the vector field

$$\varphi(I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W) \in \mathbb{V}_T^- \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^+ \oplus \mathbb{V}_T^0$$

and then by taking the vector field

$$\varphi(I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W) + (0, \dots, 0, D_{PCFa}, 0) + (0, \dots, 0, \psi).$$

This last addition modifies  $\varphi(I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W)$  only in its last two coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_I+2}$ . After this we add  $-\beta TV$  in the first coordinate  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_I+2}$ .

The map  $\varphi$  has negative eigenvalues in the direction  $\mathbb{V}_T^-$ , an eigenvalue 0 in the direction of  $\mathbb{V}_T^0$  and a positive eigenvalue in the direction of  $\mathbb{V}_T^+$  depending on whether the state of the system is definite or indefinite (the presence of the positive eigenvalue changes at  $T = \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$ ). The last coordinate of the vector field  $\varphi(I_1, \dots, I_{n_I}, V, W)$  is equal to 0. By omitting  $\mathbb{V}_T^0$  it is easy to sketch this vector field depending on the value of  $T$ , see Figure 1.






FIGURE 1. The sketch of the vector fields for  $T < \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$ ,  $T = \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$  and  $T > \frac{c}{\tau_I p \beta}$ , respectively, from the left to the right hand side. The subspace  $\mathbb{V}_T^{0'}$  is a component of  $\mathbb{V}_T^0$ .

When we add  $(0, \dots, 0, D_{PCFa}, 0)$ , all the vector fields are modified by the constant  $D_{PCFa}$  in one coordinate direction. Then in the space  $\mathbb{V}^{n_I} \oplus \mathbb{V}^2 = \mathbb{R}^{n_I+2}$  we add  $(0, \dots, 0, \psi)$  to the previously constructed vector field. If the value of  $|\psi| = |\partial_{xt}V(x, t)|$  is small, then adding it does not modify the picture too much.

#### REFERENCES

- [BQRY20] C. A. A. Beauchemin, C. Quirouette, M. B. Reddy and N. P. Younis,  
A mathematical model describing the localization and spread of influenza A virus infection within the human respiratory tract, PLoS Comput Biol. 16(4): e1007705 (2020),  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007705>
- [BCDD20] A. S. Blunden, A. Cullinane, J. M. Daly, P. Digard, D. Elton, J. R. Gog, B. T. Grenfell, S. MacRae, J. W. McCauley, J. A. Mumford, M. Quinlivan, R. A. Saenz and J. L. N. Wood,  
Dynamics of Influenza Virus Infection and Pathology, J. Virol. 84(8) (2020), 3974–3983,  
<https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02078-09>
- [BBEG15] A. Boianelli, D. Bruder, T. Ebersen, C. A. Guzmán, E. A. Hernandez-Vargas, M. Meyer-Hermann, V. K. Nguyen, K. Schulze, N. Sharma, S. Stegemann-Koniszewski, F. R. Toapanta and E. Wilk,  
Modeling Influenza Virus Infection: A Roadmap for Influenza Research, Viruses 7(10) (2015), 5274–5304,  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/v7102875>
- [Cu18] D. R. Curtiss, Recent extensions of Descartes' rule of signs, Ann. of Math. **19** (1918), 251–278.  
*Email address:* boldizsar.kalmar@gmail.com