

---

## Hyperbolic Fourier series

Andrew Bakan · Håkan Hedenmalm ·  
 Alfonso Montes-Rodríguez ·  
 Danylo Radchenko · Maryna Viazovska

**Abstract.** In this article we explain the essence of the interrelation described in [PNAS **118**, 15 (2021)] on how to write explicit interpolation formula for solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation by using the recent Fourier pair interpolation formula of Viazovska and Radchenko from [Publ Math-Paris **129**, 1 (2019)]. By the words of Fourier pair interpolation, we mean the interpolation of a pair of functions of one variable, related to each other by the Fourier transform.

Hedenmalm and Montes-Rodríguez established in 2011 the weak-star completeness in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  of the sequence  $1, \exp(i\pi nx), \exp(i\pi n/x), n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , which is referred to as the *hyperbolic trigonometric system*. We construct explicitly the sequence in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  which is biorthogonal to this system and show that it is complete in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . The construction involves integrals with the kernel studied by Chibrikova in 1956, similar to what was used by Viazovska and Radchenko for the Fourier pair interpolation on the real line. We associate with each  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1}dx)$  its *hyperbolic Fourier series*

$$h_0(f) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( h_n(f) e^{i\pi nx} + m_n(f) e^{-i\pi n/x} \right)$$

and prove that it converges to  $f$  in the space of tempered distributions on the real line. The integral transform of  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$U_\varphi(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \exp(ixt + iy/t) dt, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

is continuous and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , vanishes at infinity,  $u = U_\varphi$  solves the Klein-Gordon equation  $u_{xy} + u = 0$ , and, by the theorem of Hedenmalm and Montes-Rodríguez, it is determined uniquely by its values at  $\{(\pi n, 0), (0, \pi n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Applied to the above mentioned biorthogonal system, this transform supplies interpolating functions  $\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  for the Klein-Gordon equation on the characteristics with  $\mathcal{R}_n(\pi m, 0) = \delta_{n,m}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_n(0, \pi m) = 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\delta_{n,m}$  is the Kronecker delta symbol. Under additional decay conditions on  $U_\varphi$ , these interpolating functions allow us to restore  $U_\varphi$  from its values at  $\{(\pi n, 0), (0, \pi n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . The restriction of any smooth solution of the Klein-Gordon equation to a bounded rectangle can be represented in the form of  $U_\varphi$ , but this matter will be pursued elsewhere.

**Keywords** Theta functions · Elliptic functions · Gauss hypergeometric function

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 81Q05 · 42C30 · 33C05 · 33E05

Andrew Bakan  
 Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine  
 E-mail: andrew@bakan.kiev.ua

Håkan Hedenmalm  
 KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden  
 E-mail: haakanh@math.kth.se

Alfonso Montes-Rodríguez  
 University of Sevilla, 4180 Sevilla, Spain  
 E-mail: amontes@us.es

Danylo Radchenko  
 ETH Zürich, Mathematics Department, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland  
 E-mail: danradchenko@gmail.com

Maryna Viazovska  
 École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland  
 E-mail: viazovska@gmail.com

## Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	3
1.1. Key proofs. . . . .	4
1.2. Outline of the paper. . . . .	5
1.3. Basic result. . . . .	6
1.4. Connection with the Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator. . . . .	7
1.5. Notation. . . . .	8
2. The Schwarz triangle function and its inverse . . . . .	8
2.1. The Gauss hypergeometric function. . . . .	9
2.2. The Schwarz triangle function. . . . .	10
2.3. The elliptic modular function. . . . .	12
2.4. Monotonicity properties of the modular function. . . . .	14
2.5. Imaginary part of the Schwarz triangle function. . . . .	15
3. Schwarz triangle polynomials . . . . .	16
3.1. Definition and connection with Faber polynomials. . . . .	17
3.2. The generating function. . . . .	18
3.3. Asymptotic behavior for the large index. . . . .	19
3.4. Symmetry property. . . . .	20
3.5. Characteristic behavior at the vertices. . . . .	21
4. The biorthogonal sequence . . . . .	23
4.1. Explicit expressions. . . . .	23
4.2. The generating function. . . . .	25
5. Partitions of the upper half-plane . . . . .	25
5.1. Even Gauss map in the upper half-plane. . . . .	26
5.2. The Schwarz partition of the upper half-plane. . . . .	28
5.3. Even rational partition of the upper half-plane. . . . .	30
6. Analytic continuation of the generating function . . . . .	34
6.1. Auxiliary lemmas. . . . .	35
6.2. Main result. . . . .	37
7. Evaluation of biorthogonal functions for large index . . . . .	39
7.1. Evaluation of the generating function. . . . .	39
7.2. Main inequalities. . . . .	42
8. Interpolation formula for the Klein-Gordon equation . . . . .	43
8.1. Hyperbolic Fourier series in the upper half-plane. . . . .	43
8.2. Conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series. . . . .	45
8.3. Density in Hardy classes. . . . .	46
8.4. Interpolating functions and interpolation formula. . . . .	46
9. Extension of Theorem A . . . . .	48
9.1. Proof of Theorem 9.1. . . . .	49
10. Proofs . . . . .	54
10.1. Proofs for Section 2 . . . . .	54
10.1.1. Proofs of Lemma 2.1 and (2.23)(b). . . . .	54
10.1.2. Proofs of (2.36) and (2.37). . . . .	54
10.1.3. Proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3. . . . .	56
10.1.4. Proofs of Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7. . . . .	57
10.2. Proofs for Section 3 . . . . .	59
10.2.1. Proofs of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4. . . . .	60
10.3. Proofs for Section 4 . . . . .	62
10.3.1. Proofs of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. . . . .	62
10.4. Proofs for Section 5 . . . . .	64
10.4.1. Proofs of (5.7) and (5.21). . . . .	64
10.4.2. Proofs of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4. . . . .	66
10.4.3. Proofs of Theorems 5.5 and 5.9. . . . .	69
10.4.4. Proof of Lemma 5.7. . . . .	69
10.5. Proofs for Section 7 . . . . .	70
10.5.1. Proof of Lemma 7.1. . . . .	71
10.6. Proofs for Section 8 . . . . .	71
10.6.1. Proof of Lemma 8.3. . . . .	71
10.6.2. Proof of Theorem 8.5. . . . .	73
References . . . . .	74
A. Supplementary notes . . . . .	77
A.1. Notes for Section 1 . . . . .	77
A.2. Notes for Section 2 . . . . .	80
A.3. Notes for Section 3 . . . . .	91
A.4. Notes for Section 4 . . . . .	96
A.5. Notes for Section 5 . . . . .	99
A.6. Notes for Section 6 . . . . .	110
A.7. Notes for Section 7 . . . . .	113
A.8. Notes for Section 8 . . . . .	121
A. References for Supplementary Notes . . . . .	122

## 1. Introduction

An important unsolved issue in mathematics is the problem of interpolating oscillatory processes. Uniqueness holds a special place in interpolation. For example, when gas fluctuates in a gas storage, it is necessary to select the position of the pressure gauges in order to fully know about the state of the gas at any point in the storage. Unfortunately, nothing but a few numerical methods, based on considering solutions with extreme value of entropy, are known (see, e.g., [29], [17]). There appear to have been no other approaches to this topic until 2011, when the second and the third authors [19] considered uniformly bounded solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation  $U_{xy} + U = 0$  in the plane of the form

$$U_\varphi(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (1.1)$$

On a compact subset, such solutions  $U_\varphi$  can approximate any continuous solution of this equation. They pioneered the study of the *discretized Goursat problem* which instead of prescribing the values of a solution on the two intersecting characteristics  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$  assumes that these values are known only along the discrete subset of the characteristics consisting of equidistant points  $\{(\pi n, 0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\{(0, \pi n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . It was established in [19] that the values  $U_\varphi(\pi n, 0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $U_\varphi(0, \pi n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , determine the function  $U_\varphi$  uniquely. They obtained the following result, which we develop further in Section 9.

**Theorem A** ([19, p. 1517, Theorem 3.1]). *Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi n x} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi n/x} \varphi(x) dx = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Then  $\varphi = 0$ .*

In the present paper we explicitly construct a system of functions  $H_0, H_n, M_n \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , which is biorthogonal to the *hyperbolic trigonometric system*  $1, \exp(i\pi n x), \exp(i\pi n/x) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi n x} H_p(x) dx &= \delta_{n,p}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m/x} H_p(x) dx = 0, \quad |H_q(x)| \leq \frac{\pi^6 |q|^2}{1+x^2}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi n x} M_q(x) dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m/x} M_q(x) dx = \delta_{m,q}, \quad |M_q(x)| \leq \frac{\pi^6 |q|^2}{1+x^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

$|H_0(x)| \leq 3/(1+x^2)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m, q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\delta_{n,m} = 1$ , if  $n = m$ , and  $\delta_{n,m} = 0$ , if  $n \neq m$ , for arbitrary  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We prove that for each  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1} dx)$  its *hyperbolic Fourier series*

$$h_0(f) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( h_n(f) e^{i\pi n x} + m_n(f) e^{-i\pi n/x} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

$$h_n(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) H_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad m_n(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) M_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},$$

converges to  $f$  in the space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  of tempered distributions on the real line. We also show in Remark 4.4 that in case  $f(x)$  or  $f(-1/x)$  is 2-periodic and integrable on the period, the series (1.3) coincides with its usual Fourier series of the argument  $x$  or  $-1/x$ , respectively. Using (1.10) below (see also Theorem 8.7), we explicitly restore  $U_\varphi$  in (1.1) from its values at the points  $\{(\pi n, 0), (0, \pi n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  under the condition (1.9). The proof of the assertion that any smooth solution of the Klein-Gordon equation on a bounded rectangle can be represented in the form (1.1) will be supplied elsewhere. Another aspect of the theory which will be developed elsewhere is the series expansion of  $U_\varphi$  which is obtained by insertion of the series (1.3) for the function  $\varphi$  in the integral (1.1).

**1.1. Key proofs.** Given the properties (1.2), it is easy to deduce from Theorem A that for every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1}dx)$  the series (1.3) converges in  $S'(\mathbb{R})$  and that the biorthogonal system  $\{H_0\} \cup \{H_n, M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$  is complete in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  as well. We indicate briefly the necessary arguments. The tempered test functions form the so-called Schwartz class  $S(\mathbb{R})$  on the real axis (see [40, p. 74]), so that for all positive integers  $n, k$  and arbitrary  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  integration by part gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{-i\pi n x} dx &= \frac{i}{\pi n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) de^{-i\pi n x} = \frac{1}{(i\pi n)^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{(k)}(x) e^{-i\pi n x} dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{\frac{i\pi n}{x}} dx &= \frac{i}{\pi n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \varphi(x) de^{\frac{i\pi n}{x}} = \frac{1}{(i\pi n)^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \left( x^2 \frac{d}{dx} + 2x \right)^k \varphi(x) \right) e^{\frac{i\pi n}{x}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

As the integrands here are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the coefficients

$$h_n^*(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{-i\pi n x} dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad m_n^*(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{\frac{i\pi n}{x}} dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.4)$$

satisfy, for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$h_n^*(\varphi) = O(n^{-k}), \quad m_n^*(\varphi) = O(n^{-k}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

Hence, for all  $\{a_0\} \cup \{a_n, b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \subset \mathbb{C}$  and any positive integer  $N$  we have

$$a_n, b_n = O(n^N), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow a_0 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( a_n e^{i\pi n x} + b_n e^{-i\pi n/x} \right) \in S'(\mathbb{R}), \quad (1.6)$$

as the series converges in the complete space  $S'(\mathbb{R})$  (see [40, p. 78]). In view of (1.2), we see that for each  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  the function

$$\rho_\varphi(x) := \varphi(x) - h_0^*(\varphi) H_0(x) - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( h_n^*(\varphi) H_n(x) + m_n^*(\varphi) M_n(x) \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi n x} \rho_\varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi n/x} \rho_\varphi(x) dx = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From Theorem A, it follows that  $\rho_\varphi = 0$  and consequently, for any  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\varphi(x) = h_0^*(\varphi) H_0(x) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( h_n^*(\varphi) H_n(x) + m_n^*(\varphi) M_n(x) \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.7)$$

where the series converges in the weighted uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C_2(\mathbb{R})}$ , in view of (1.2) and (1.5). Here,  $\|f\|_{C_2(\mathbb{R})} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1+x^2)|f(x)|$ ,  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $S(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  we deduce the completeness of the system  $\{H_0\} \cup \{H_n, M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , as claimed. As for the convergence of the series (1.3), we take an arbitrary  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1}dx)$  and for  $N \geq 1$  we write

$$\mathcal{F}_N[f](x) := h_0(f) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, |n| \leq N} \left( h_n(f) e^{i\pi n x} + m_n(f) e^{-i\pi n/x} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from (1.2) and (1.6) that, as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_N[f]$  converges in the space  $S'(\mathbb{R})$  to an element  $\mathcal{F}[f] \in S'(\mathbb{R})$ . For any test function  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_N[f](x) \varphi(x) dx &= h_0(f) h_0^*(\varphi) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, |n| \leq N} \left( h_n(f) h_n^*(\varphi) + m_n(f) m_n^*(\varphi) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \left[ h_0^*(\varphi) H_0(x) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, |n| \leq N} \left( h_n^*(\varphi) H_n(x) + m_n^*(\varphi) M_n(x) \right) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.7) combined with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem [30, p. 161], we obtain, by passing to the limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}).$$

This tells us that  $\mathcal{F}[f] = f$  holds in the space  $S'(\mathbb{R})$ . In conclusion, the hyperbolic Fourier series (1.3) converges to  $f$  in the space of tempered distributions on the real line.

We proceed with the sampling formula for the Klein-Gordon equation. Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be arbitrary and consider the solution  $U_\varphi$  of the Klein-Gordon equation given by (1.1). Then the coefficients of  $\varphi$  in (1.4) are called the *conjugate hyperbolic Fourier coefficients* and they equal the values of  $U_\varphi$  at the points  $\{(\pi n, 0), (0, \pi n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,

$$h_n^*(\varphi) = U_\varphi(-\pi n, 0), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad m_n^*(\varphi) = U_\varphi(0, \pi n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.8)$$

By the estimates (1.2) and manipulations similar to those employed in the proof of (1.7) we get that the *conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series* of  $\varphi$  in the right-hand side of the equality (1.7) converges to  $\varphi$  in the weighted uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C_2(\mathbb{R})}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  provided that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} n^2 (|U_\varphi(\pi n, 0)| + |U_\varphi(0, \pi n)|) < \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

If we multiply both sides of the equality (1.7) by  $\exp(ixt + iy/t)$  and integrate with respect to  $t$  over the real line, we restore  $U_\varphi$  from its values at the points  $\{(\pi n, 0), (0, \pi n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  (see Theorem 8.7), by using the identities (1.11) below,

$$\begin{aligned} U_\varphi(x, y) = & U_\varphi(0, 0) \mathcal{R}_0(x, y) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ U_\varphi(\pi n, 0) \mathcal{R}_n(x, y) + U_\varphi(0, -\pi n) \mathcal{R}_n(-y, -x) \right] + \\ & + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ U_\varphi(-\pi n, 0) \mathcal{R}_n(-x, -y) + U_\varphi(0, \pi n) \mathcal{R}_n(y, x) \right], \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Here,  $\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are the *interpolating functions for the Klein-Gordon equation* given by

$$\mathcal{R}_n(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} H_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \geq 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The biorthogonal system has the symmetry properties

$$H_{-n}(x) = H_n(-x), \quad n \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad M_n(x) = H_n(-1/x)/x^2, \quad n \geq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0},$$

which for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  lead to the identities,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} H_n(t) dt = \mathcal{R}_n(-x, -y), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} M_n(t) dt = \mathcal{R}_n(y, x), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} H_{-n}(t) dt = \mathcal{R}_n(x, y), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} M_{-n}(t) dt = \mathcal{R}_n(-y, -x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

**1.2. Outline of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.3 we formulate the main result stated in (1.2) in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function and in terms of the special polynomials which are studied in Section 3. In Section 2 we list a few facts about the elliptic modular function  $\lambda$  following an approach suggested by the first and the second authors in [5]. The polynomials introduced and investigated by the fourth and fifth author in [33] have given reasons for us in Section 3 to introduce and explore a sequence of simpler polynomials, from which the polynomials in [33] can be obtained by symmetrization. We devote Section 4 to various properties of the biorthogonal system. Two partitions of the upper half-plane are introduced and developed in Section 5. The results of Section 5 are needed in Section 6 to make a constructive description of the analytic extension of the generating function for the biorthogonal system. This extension is similar to what was proposed and implemented by the fourth and the fifth authors in [33]. The results of Sections 5 and 6 are presented in the language of the even-integer continued fractions as suggested by the first author. Some estimates of biorthogonal functions are derived in Section 7. Applications to the Klein-Gordon equation of the obtained results are made in Section 8. In Section 9, Theorem A is extended with the purpose to apply it for partial solution of the issues raised in [4]. Section 10 elaborates on the proofs of the main results. Further explanatory notes are supplied in Section A.

**1.3. Basic result.** Let  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$  and

$$F_{\Delta}(z) := F(1/2, 1/2; 1; z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{n!^2} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (1.12)$$

be the Gauss hypergeometric function which can be extended to a nonvanishing holomorphic function on the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$  (see [5, p. 597, (1.19)(b)]). In view of the Barnes expansion [8, p. 173](1908) (see also [41, p. 299], (2.8)),

$$\frac{\pi F_{\Delta}(1-z)}{F_{\Delta}(z)} = \left( \log \frac{16}{z} \right) - \frac{\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(2k-1)k} \right] z^n}{1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} z^n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0],$$

for each positive integer  $n$ , the function  $\exp(n\pi F_{\Delta}(1-z)/F_{\Delta}(z))$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Moreover, there exists an algebraic polynomial  $S_n^{\Delta}$  of degree  $n$  with real coefficients and leading coefficient equal to  $16^n$  such that  $S_n^{\Delta}(0) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{n\pi \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-z)}{F_{\Delta}(z)}} &= \frac{16^n}{z^n} \exp \left( -nz \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+3/2)^2}{(n+1)!^2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(2k-1)k} \right] z^n}{1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} z^n} \right) \\ &= S_n^{\Delta}(1/z) + \Delta_n^S(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \Delta_n^S \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where  $\text{Hol}(D)$  denotes the space of all holomorphic functions in a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ . In addition, all the Taylor coefficients of  $\Delta_n^S$  are real (see [34, p. 199], [13, p. 72]). Our main result follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The system  $\{H_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{M_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$  given by*

$$H_0(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|F_{\Delta}(1/2 + it)|^2 dt}{(t^2 + 1/4) \left( F_{\Delta}(1/2 - it)^2 + x^2 F_{\Delta}(1/2 + it)^2 \right)},$$

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^3 n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S_n^{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{1/2 + it} \right) dt}{(t^2 + 1/4) \left( F_{\Delta}(1/2 - it) - ix F_{\Delta}(1/2 + it) \right)^2},$$

$$H_{-n}(x) := H_n(-x), \quad n \geq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (1.14)$$

$$M_n(x) := H_n(-1/x)/x^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.15)$$

is biorthogonal to the sequence  $1, \exp(i\pi n x), \exp(i\pi n/x), n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and satisfies the equalities (1.2). Here, all the integrals are absolutely convergent for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{S_n^{\Delta}\}_{n \geq 1}$  are the algebraic polynomials defined in (1.13). This system is complete in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and enjoys the estimates

$$|H_0(x)| \leq \frac{3}{1+x^2}, \quad |H_n(x)| + |M_n(x)| \leq \frac{\pi^6 |n|^2}{1+x^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1} dx)$ . Then the series*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi n x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) H_{-n}(t) dt + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) M_{-n}(t) dt$$

represents a tempered distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  associated with the regular function  $f$ .

The relationship<sup>1</sup>

$$F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) = \frac{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) + iF_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)}{(1+i)\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.16)$$

allows us<sup>2</sup> to write the formulas (1.14) by using only the values of  $F_{\Delta}$  on the interval  $(0, 1)$ ,

$$H_0(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)}}{x^2 - \left( \frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)} \right)^2} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 1/4}, \quad \mathbf{y}(t) := \frac{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)}{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)},$$

$$H_n(x) = \frac{i}{\pi^{3n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{S_n^{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{1/2 + it} \right) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t^2 + 1/4}}}{\left( (1+x)F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) + i(1-x)F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) \right)^2}.$$

Since  $F_{\Delta}(0) = 1$ ,  $F_{\Delta}$  increases on  $[0, 1)$ ,  $\pi F_{\Delta}(1-x) - \ln(1/x) \in (0, 3\pi)$ ,  $x \in (0, 1/3)$  (see [5, p. 602, (2.4)], [6, p. 30, (A.6i)]),  $S_n^{\Delta}(0) = 0$ , we obviously get an absolute convergence of these integrals for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Here  $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  decreases from  $+\infty$  to 0.

**1.4. Connection with the Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator.** It can be easily seen that for arbitrary  $f \in L_1([-1, 1], dx)$  in the space  $L_1([-1, 1], dx)$  there exists the limit

$$\mathbf{T}_1[f](x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\substack{k=-n \\ k \neq 0}}^n \frac{f \left( \frac{1}{2k-x} \right)}{(2k-x)^2} \in L_1([-1, 1], dx), \quad (1.17)$$

and hence the mapping  $f \mapsto \mathbf{T}_1[f]$  defines the operator  $\mathbf{T}_1 : L_1([-1, 1], dx) \mapsto L_1([-1, 1], dx)$ , where  $dx := dm(x)$  and  $m$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on the real line. This operator is known as the *Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator* corresponding to the even Gauss map  $G_2 : (-1, 1] \rightarrow (-1, 1]$  defined by the formula  $G_2(x) = \{-1/x\}_2$  if  $x \neq 0$  and  $G_2(0) = 0$ . Here,  $\{x\}_2$  assigns to each real  $x$  the unique number in the interval  $(-1, 1]$  such that  $x - \{x\}_2$  is an even integer (see [24, p. 81], [23, p. 57]). The properties stated in Lemma 4.1(4) and Lemma 4.2(3,4) below tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} (I + \mathbf{T}_1)[H_n^+](x) &= e^{i\pi n x}, & (I - \mathbf{T}_1)[H_n^-](x) &= e^{i\pi n x}, & n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \\ (I + \mathbf{T}_1)[2H_0](x) &= 1, & x &\in [-1, 1], \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} H_n^{\pm}(-1/x) = \pm x^2 H_n^{\pm}(x), & H_0(-1/x) = H_0(x)x^2, \\ H_n^{\pm} := 2H_n \pm 2M_n, & n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

Hence another way to approach the functions  $H_0, H_n, M_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , is to solve the operator equations (1.18) and calculate the functions  $H_0, H_n^{\pm}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , on the interval  $(-1, 1)$ . The symmetry in (1.19) gives their values on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$  and hence extends them to the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**1.5. Notation.** We begin this section by listing the frequently used notations.

$\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}$	Complex plane, real line, all integers, positive integers, respectively.
$\mathbb{D}, \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \mathbb{H}$	$\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid  z  < 1\}$ , $\overline{\mathbb{D}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid  z  \leq 1\}$ and $\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$ .
$\mathbb{R}_{>a}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq a}$	$\mathbb{R}_{>a} := (a, +\infty)$ , $\mathbb{R}_{\geq a} := [a, +\infty)$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
$\mathbb{R}_{<a}, \mathbb{R}_{\leq a}$	$\mathbb{R}_{<a} := (-\infty, a)$ , $\mathbb{R}_{\leq a} := (-\infty, a]$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
$\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq q}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq q} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq q\}$ , $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
$\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}, \mathbb{Z}_{\leq q}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \bigsqcup_{k \geq 1} (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^k$ , $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq q} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \leq q\}$ , $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
$A_{\text{Re} > a}$	$A_{\text{Re} > a} := \{z \in A \mid \text{Re } z > a\}$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$A_{\text{Re} \geq a}$	$A_{\text{Re} \geq a} := \{z \in A \mid \text{Re } z \geq a\}$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$A_{\text{Re} < a}$	$A_{\text{Re} < a} := \{z \in A \mid \text{Re } z < a\}$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$A_{\text{Re} \leq a}$	$A_{\text{Re} \leq a} := \{z \in A \mid \text{Re } z \leq a\}$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$A_{ \text{Re}  < a}$	$A_{ \text{Re}  < a} := \{z \in A \mid  \text{Re } z  < a\}$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$A_{ \text{Re}  \leq a}$	$A_{ \text{Re}  \leq a} := \{z \in A \mid  \text{Re } z  \leq a\}$ , $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im} > 0}$	$\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im} > 0} := \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$ .
$\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im} > 0}$	$\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im} > 0} := \overline{\mathbb{D}} \cap \mathbb{H}$ .
$\text{sign}(x)$	$\text{sign}(x)$ is equal to $-1$ if $x < 0$ , $0$ if $x = 0$ and $1$ if $x > 0$ .
$\chi_A(x)$	$\chi_A(x)$ is equal to $1$ if $x \in A$ and $0$ if $x \notin A$ for $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
$\text{gcd}(a, b)$	$\text{gcd}(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the greatest common divisor of $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{gcd}(a, b) := \text{gcd}( a ,  b )$ , $\text{gcd}(0, b) :=  b $ for arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ .
$\text{int} A$	$\text{int} A := \{a \in A \mid \exists \varepsilon > 0 : a + \varepsilon \mathbb{D} \subset A\}$ , $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

For any  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z_1 \neq z_2$  the straight line segment from  $z_1$  to  $z_2$  is denoted by  $[z_1, z_2]$ . We extend interval notation on the reals to line segment notation, so that, e.g., for any two points  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we write  $(z_1, z_2) := [z_1, z_2] \setminus \{z_1\}$  and  $[z_1, z_2) := [z_1, z_2] \setminus \{z_2\}$ .

Following the definitions of [35, pp. 6, 40], we denote by  $\ln : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the real-valued logarithm defined on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , and let  $\text{Log}(z) = \ln |z| + i \text{Arg}(z)$  be the principal branch of the logarithm defined for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  with  $\text{Arg}(z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . Furthermore, for a simply connected domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , a point  $a \in D$ , and a function  $f \in \text{Hol}(D)$  which is zero-free in  $D$  with  $f(a) > 0$ , we write  $\log f(z)$  for the holomorphic function in  $D$  such that  $\exp(\log f(z)) = f(z)$ ,  $z \in D$ , and  $\log f(a) = \ln f(a)$  (see [13, p. 94]). Then  $\text{Re } \log f(z) = \ln |f(z)|$  and  $\arg f(z) := \text{Im } \log f(z)$  for each  $z \in D$ .

As for topology, we denote by  $\text{clos}(A)$  (or  $\overline{A}$ ),  $\text{int}(A)$ , and  $\partial A$  the closure, interior, and boundary of a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ , respectively.

Let  $C(\mathbb{R})$  denote the linear space of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a non-negative Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  the standard normed space of integrable with respect to  $\mu$  complex-valued Borel functions. For arbitrary non-negative Borel measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ , we write  $d\nu(x) = g(x)d\mu(x)$  if  $\nu(A) = \int_A g(x)d\mu(x)$  for arbitrary Borel subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If here  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure  $m$  on the real line and  $g(x) = 1/(1+x^2)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then instead of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  and  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \nu)$  we write  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  (or  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ) and  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1}dx)$ , respectively.

For  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , we denote by  $H_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  the class of all nontangential limits on  $\mathbb{R}$  of functions from the Hardy space (see [25, p. 112], [16, p. 57])

$$H_+^p(\mathbb{H}) := \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \|f\|_{H_+^p} := \sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+iy)|^p dx < +\infty \right\},$$

and  $H_-^p(\mathbb{R}) := \{f(-x) \mid f \in H_+^p(\mathbb{R})\}$ .

## 2. The Schwarz triangle function and its inverse

We denote  $\gamma(a, \infty) := a + i(0, +\infty)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\gamma(a, b) := \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |z - (a+b)/2| = |b-a|/2\}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq b. \quad (2.1)$$

Everywhere below we also use the notation  $\gamma(a, b)$  for the contour of integration which is extended from  $a$  to  $b$  along the open semicircle  $\gamma(a, b)$ .



## 2.1. The Gauss hypergeometric function. Euler's integral representation

$$F_{\Delta}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)(1-tz)}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1},$$

of the Gauss hypergeometric function (1.12) gives its analytic extension from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  satisfying

$$F_{\Delta}(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{< 1}, \quad (2.2)$$

and together with the Pfaff formula (see [2, p. 79])

$$F_{\Delta}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}} F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1},$$

it allows us to find out the boundary values of  $F_{\Delta}$  on the both sides of the cut along  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  (see [32, p. 491, 19.7.3; p. 490, 19.5.1]),

$$F_{\Delta}(x \pm i0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{x}} F_{\Delta}\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{> 1}.$$

Moreover, it also allows us to estimate  $F_{\Delta}$  for  $x+iy \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  as follows

$$|F_{\Delta}(x+iy)| \leq \frac{6 + \ln \frac{x^2}{x-1}}{\sqrt{x}} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{> 1}}(x) + \chi_{[0,1)}(x) \ln \frac{21}{1-x} + \frac{3 + \ln(1+|x|)}{\sqrt{1+|x|}} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{< 0}}(x)$$

(see [6, p. 35, (A.9e)]), and conclude that  $F_{\Delta}$  belongs to the Hardy space  $H_+^p(\mathbb{H})$  for arbitrary  $2 < p < \infty$ . Then the Schwarz integral formula (see [25, p. 128])

$$F_{\Delta}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} F_{\Delta}\left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{(t-z)\sqrt{t}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, \quad (2.3)$$

can be applied to restore  $F_{\Delta}$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  from the values of  $\text{Im } F_{\Delta}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  (see [5, p. 604, (2.12)]). The similar quadratic formula

$$F_{\Delta}(z)^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} F_{\Delta}\left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{(t-z)t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, \quad (2.4)$$

also applies, because  $F_{\Delta}^2$  is in the Hardy space  $H_+^p(\mathbb{H})$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ . For arbitrary  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  we use the relation

$$\text{Im} \frac{z(1-z)}{1-tz} = y \frac{tx^2 - 2x + 1}{(1-tx)^2 + t^2y^2}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

to derive from (2.4) that

$$\text{Im} (z(1-z)F_{\Delta}(z)^2) = \frac{2y}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-t)F_{\Delta}(t) \left( \frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1-t}} - x \right) (1-tx + \sqrt{1-t}) dt}{(1-tx)^2 + t^2y^2},$$

from which we conclude that

$$\text{sign } \text{Im} (z(1-z)F_{\Delta}(z)^2) = \text{sign } \text{Im} (z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} \leq 1/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.3) that  $F_{\Delta}$  has property

$$\text{Arg } F_{\Delta}(z) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \quad \text{Re } F_{\Delta}(z) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1},$$

which reinforces (2.2), and allows us to form the logarithm of it and to obtain the integral representation

$$\operatorname{Log} F_{\Delta}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Log} \left( \frac{1}{1-tz} \right)}{t(1-t) \left( F_{\Delta}(t)^2 + F_{\Delta}(1-t)^2 \right)} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, \quad (2.6)$$

(see [5, p. 595, (1.15)]), where

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t(1-t) \left( F_{\Delta}(t)^2 + F_{\Delta}(1-t)^2 \right)} = \frac{1}{2},$$

because

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-x)}{F_{\Delta}(x)} = -\frac{1}{\pi x(1-x)F_{\Delta}(x)^2} < 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (2.7)$$

(see [5, p. 606, (3.9)]) implies

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-x)}{F_{\Delta}(x)} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi x(1-x)} \frac{1}{F_{\Delta}(x)^2 + F_{\Delta}(1-x)^2}, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Here, in view of the Barnes expansion (see [8, p. 173], [41, p. 299]),

$$F_{\Delta}(1-z) = \frac{F_{\Delta}(z)}{\pi} \operatorname{Log} \frac{16}{z} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(2k-1)k} \right) z^n, \quad (2.8)$$

which is valid for  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0]$ , we have (see [5, p. 602, (2.5)])

$$F_{\Delta}(1-x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{16}{x} + O\left(x \ln \frac{1}{x}\right), \quad F_{\Delta}(x) = 1 + O(x), \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad x \in (0, 1). \quad (2.9)$$

**2.2. The Schwarz triangle function.** In 1873, Schwarz [36] established the following fact (see [14, p. 97]).


**Theorem B.** *The Schwarz triangle function*

$$\lambda_{\Delta}(z) := i \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-z)}{F_{\Delta}(z)}, \quad z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.10)$$

maps the set  $(0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  one-to-one onto the ideal hyperbolic quadrilateral

$$\mathcal{F}_{\square} := \{ z \in \mathbb{H} \mid -1 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1, \quad |2z-1| > 1, \quad |2z+1| > 1 \}, \quad (2.11)$$

which will be referred to as Schwarz quadrilateral (see Fig. 1).



**Figure 1.** The Schwarz quadrilateral  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}$ .

Theorem B, (1.12), (2.9), (2.7) and the partial case

$$\lambda_{\Delta}(x) = i \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-x)}{F_{\Delta}(x)} \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

of (2.10), imply

$$\lambda_{\Delta}((0, 1)) = i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \lambda_{\Delta}(1/2) = i, \quad (2.12)$$

and that the function  $\lambda_{\Delta}(t)/i$  on the interval  $(0, 1)$  decreases from  $+\infty$  to 0,

$$0 < \lambda_{\Delta}(t_2)/i < \lambda_{\Delta}(t_1)/i < +\infty, \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1. \quad (2.13)$$

To make other known properties of  $\lambda_{\Delta}$  obvious, it is necessary to use the integral representation (see [5, p. 608, (3.13)])

$$\operatorname{Log} \frac{\lambda_{\Delta}(z)}{i} = \operatorname{Log} \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-z)}{F_{\Delta}(z)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Log} \frac{1-tz}{1-t+tz}}{t(1-t) \left( F_{\Delta}(t)^2 + F_{\Delta}(1-t)^2 \right)} dt,$$

which is immediate from (2.6) for every  $z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ . This representation has the following convenient properties (see Section 10.1.1).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Denote  $\Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ . The integrands in*

$$\ln |\lambda_{\Delta}(z)| = \ln \frac{|F_{\Delta}(1-z)|}{|F_{\Delta}(z)|} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln \frac{|1-tz|}{|1-t+tz|}}{t(1-t) \left( F_{\Delta}(t)^2 + F_{\Delta}(1-t)^2 \right)} dt, \quad (2.14)$$

and in

$$\operatorname{Arg} \frac{\lambda_{\Delta}(z)}{i} = \operatorname{Arg} \frac{F_{\Delta}(1-z)}{F_{\Delta}(z)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Arg} \frac{1-tz}{1-t+tz}}{t(1-t) \left( F_{\Delta}(t)^2 + F_{\Delta}(1-t)^2 \right)} dt \quad (2.15)$$

are of constant sign for each  $z \in \Lambda$ . More precisely, if  $z \in \Lambda$  then

$$\frac{|1-tz|}{|1-t+tz|} \begin{cases} > 1, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z < 1/2, \\ = 1, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z = 1/2, \\ < 1, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z > 1/2, \end{cases} \quad \operatorname{Arg} \frac{1-tz}{1-t+tz} \begin{cases} \in (-\pi, 0), & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} z > 0, \\ = 0, & \text{if } z \in (0, 1), \\ \in (0, \pi), & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} z < 0, \end{cases}$$

for arbitrary  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

The properties established in Lemma 2.1 allow us to see from (2.15) that

$$\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{\Delta}(z)) = \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} z), \quad z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.16)$$

while (2.14) yields that  $|\lambda_{\Delta}(z)| \stackrel{\leq}{\geq} 1$  holds if and only of  $\operatorname{Re} z \stackrel{\leq}{\geq} 1/2$ , respectively, and according to Theorem B we obtain (see [6, p. 41, (A11.i)])

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \lambda_{\Delta}(\mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} > 1/2} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) &= \mathcal{F}_{\square} \cap \mathbb{D}, & \text{(c)} \quad \lambda_{\Delta}((1/2) + i\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{H} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}. \\ \text{(b)} \quad \lambda_{\Delta}(\mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} < 1/2} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}) &= \mathcal{F}_{\square} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}, & \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

**2.3. The elliptic modular function  $\lambda$ .** The function  $\lambda: \mathcal{F}_\square \rightarrow (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  which is the inverse to  $\lambda_\Delta$ , i.e.,

$$(a) \lambda_\Delta(\lambda(y)) = y, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad (b) \lambda(\lambda_\Delta(z)) = z, \quad z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.18)$$

is called the (*elliptic*) *modular function*  $\lambda$  (see [14, p. 99] and [32, p. 579]).

The modular function  $\lambda$  extends to a periodic nonvanishing holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{H}$  with period 2 and (see [5, p. 598, (1.29)])

$$\lambda(z) = \Theta_2(z)^4 / \Theta_3(z)^4 \neq 0, \quad \lambda(-1/z) = 1 - \lambda(z) = \Theta_4(z)^4 / \Theta_3(z)^4 \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (2.19)$$

where for  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{D}$  (see [5, pp. 612–614, (6.1), (6.7), (6.8)]),

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_3(z) &:= \theta_3(e^{i\pi z}), & \Theta_2(z) &:= 2e^{i\pi z/4} \theta_2(e^{i\pi z}), & \Theta_4(z) &:= \theta_4(e^{i\pi z}), \\ \theta_3(u) &:= 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} u^{n^2}, & \theta_2(u) &:= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^{n^2+n}, & \theta_4(u) &:= 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n u^{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Regarding these nonvanishing holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{H}$  and in  $\mathbb{D}$ , correspondingly (see [5, p. 598, (1.26)]), the main relationships between them can be written for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  as follows, by using the principal branch of the square root,

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \Theta_2(-1/z) &= (z/i)^{1/2} \Theta_4(z), & (b) \Theta_3(-1/z) &= (z/i)^{1/2} \Theta_3(z), \\ (c) \Theta_4(-1/z) &= (z/i)^{1/2} \Theta_2(z), & (d) \Theta_2(z+1) &= e^{i\pi/4} \Theta_2(z), \\ (e) \Theta_3(z+1) &= \Theta_4(z), & (g) \Theta_4(z+1) &= \Theta_3(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

(see [5, p. 614, (6.8)],  $\text{Re}(z/i) > 0$ ). In addition, they are called the *theta functions* and meet the Jacobi identity

$$\Theta_3(z)^4 = \Theta_2(z)^4 + \Theta_4(z)^4, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}; \quad \theta_3(u)^4 = 16u\theta_2(u)^4 + \theta_3(-u)^4, \quad u \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (2.21)$$

(see [5, p. 599, (1.29)]), which gives for  $u \in \mathbb{D}$  that (see [12, p. 157, (2.1)])

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_4(n) u^n &:= \theta_3(u)^4; \\ (b) \quad 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n r_4(n) u^n &= \theta_4(u)^4 = \theta_3(-u)^4; \\ (c) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_4(2n+1) u^{2n} &= 8\theta_2(u)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

It can be seen from (2.13), (2.19) and (2.29) that (see Section 10.1.1)

$$(a) \lambda(i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = (0, 1), \quad \lambda(i) = 1/2, \quad (b) e^{-\pi y} < \lambda(iy) < 16e^{-\pi y}, \quad 0 < y < \infty, \quad (2.23)$$

and that the function  $\lambda(it)$  on the interval  $(0, +\infty)$  strictly decreases from 1 to 0,

$$\lambda(iy_1) + \lambda(i/y_1) = 1, \quad 0 < \lambda(iy_2) < \lambda(iy_1) < 1, \quad 0 < y_1 < y_2 < +\infty. \quad (2.24)$$

In addition, (2.17) and (2.16) can be written as follows ( $\gamma(-1, 1) := \mathbb{H} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square \cap \mathbb{D}) &= \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} > 1/2} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}; & (b) \lambda(\gamma(-1, 1)) &= (1/2) + i\mathbb{R}; \\ (c) \lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) &= \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} < 1/2} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}; & (d) \text{sign}(\text{Im} \lambda(z)) &= \text{sign}(\text{Re} z), \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

The known relationships (see [5, p. 598, (1.25); p. 599, (1.32)])<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \Theta_2(\lambda_\Delta(z)) &= z^{1/4} F_\Delta(z)^{1/2}, & (b) \Theta_3(\lambda_\Delta(z)) &= F_\Delta(z)^{1/2}, \\ (c) \Theta_4(\lambda_\Delta(z)) &= (1-z)^{1/4} F_\Delta(z)^{1/2}, & z &\in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

for the principal branches of the quadratic and of the fourth roots together with (2.18) and (2.19), show that the Schwarz triangle function  $\lambda_\Delta$  is a key that links the theta functions  $\{\Theta_k\}_{k=2}^4$  with the Gauss hypergeometric function  $F_\Delta$ . This allows each property of  $F_\Delta$  to be formulated as a property of theta functions and vice versa.

For instance, by virtue of (2.4), we have  $\text{sign Im } F_\Delta(z)^2 = \text{sign Im } z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ , and as a consequence, if we take into account (2.16) and (2.26)(b), we get the result that

$$\text{sign}\left(\text{Im } \Theta_3(z)^4\right) = \text{sign}(\text{Re } z), \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad (2.27)$$

which was established by the first and the second authors in Corollary 1.2 of [5, p. 599]. Our second example is the property

$$\text{sign}(\text{Re } \lambda'(z)) = -\text{sign}(\text{Re } z), \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \text{clos}(\mathbb{D}), \quad (2.28)$$

which follows from (2.5) and the identity

$$\lambda'(z) = i\pi \lambda(z) (1 - \lambda(z)) \Theta_3(z)^4 = i\pi \frac{\Theta_2(z)^4 \Theta_4(z)^4}{\Theta_3(z)^4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \quad (2.29)$$

(see [5, p. 599; (1.30)]), by application to them (2.26), (2.17)(b) and (2.16), as with the help of (2.26), the identity (2.29) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\lambda'(\lambda_\Delta(z))}{i\pi} = \frac{\Theta_2(\lambda_\Delta(z))^4 \Theta_4(\lambda_\Delta(z))^4}{\Theta_3(\lambda_\Delta(z))^4} = z(1-z)F_\Delta(z)^2, \quad z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}),$$

and then, in a second step, using (2.5), (2.16) and (2.17)(b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{sign Re } \lambda'(\lambda_\Delta(z)) &= \text{sign Im } \frac{\lambda'(\lambda_\Delta(z))}{i\pi} = \text{sign Im}(z(1-z)F_\Delta(z)^2) = \\ &= \text{sign Im}(z) = \text{sign}(\text{Re } \lambda_\Delta(z)), \quad \lambda_\Delta(z) \in \lambda_\Delta(\mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} < 1/2} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}) = \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

As for conclusions going in the opposite direction, here we can mention that the combination of (2.26)(b), (2.18), (2.19) and the Landen transformation equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad 2\Theta_2(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2, & \text{(b)} \quad 2\Theta_3(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2, \\ \text{(c)} \quad \Theta_4(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z), & z &\in \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

(see [27, p. 18]<sup>4</sup>), gives<sup>5</sup> the quadratic transformation relation (3.1.10) with  $a=b=1$  of [2, p. 128] for the hypergeometric function  $F_\Delta$  and equation (1.16) as well. At the same time, each of the four nontrivial functional relationships for the modular function  $\lambda$  in the table of [12, p. 111] (except for the first and third, considered as trivial) can be written as the corresponding Kummer transformation rule for  $F_\Delta$  (see [14, p. 106]). For instance, in [6, p. 33] the Kummer identity (27) of [14, p. 106] was derived from (3.31). It also follows<sup>6</sup> from (2.30) that

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\lambda_1(z)}{4\sqrt{\lambda_2(z)}}, \quad \lambda\left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\lambda_1(z)}{4\sqrt{\lambda_2(z)}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(z) &:= \lambda(z)(1-\lambda(z)), & \lambda_1(z) &:= 1-2\lambda(z), & z &\in \mathbb{H}, \\ \lambda_2(-1/z) &= \lambda_2(z), & \lambda_1(-1/z) &= -\lambda_1(z), & z &\in \mathbb{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Observe that the principal branch of the square root can be used in (2.31) because  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square) = (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  and the two inclusions  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z(1-z) \in \mathbb{R}_{< 0}$  hold if and only if  $z \in \mathbb{R}_{< 0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{> 1}$ . A combination of (2.23)(b) and (2.31) gives that<sup>7</sup>

$$64 \left| \lambda\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right| = 16 / \sqrt{\lambda(it)\lambda(i/t)} \geq \exp \frac{\pi}{2} \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.33)$$

while the relations (2.30) and (2.20) imply<sup>8</sup> that

$$\left| \Theta_3\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right|^4 \leq 5 \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)\right), \quad t > 0. \quad (2.34)$$

The relation

$$i \pi \lambda'_\Delta(z) F_\Delta(z)^2 = \frac{1}{z(1-z)}, \quad z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.35)$$

(see [5, p.597, (1.20)]) allow us in Section 10.1.2 to show that the integral formulas of Theorem 1.1 for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  can be written as follows,

$$H_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \frac{\lambda_\Delta(y) \lambda'_\Delta(y) F_\Delta(y)^2}{x^2 - \lambda_\Delta(y)^2} dy, \quad (2.36)$$

$$H_n(x) = \frac{(-1)}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \frac{S_n^\Delta(1/y) \lambda'_\Delta(y) dy}{(x + \lambda_\Delta(y))^2}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.37)$$

In view of (2.26)(b), we can use (2.25) and Theorem B to change the variable  $z = \lambda_\Delta(y)$  in the integrals (2.36), (2.37) and for arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  obtain (see (3.15))

$$H_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{z \Theta_3(z)^4}{x^2 - z^2} dz, \quad H_n(x) = \frac{(-1)}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.38)$$

Here, the integrals are absolutely convergent for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  as follows from (3.15), (2.34), (2.33) and the parametrization  $\gamma(-1, 1) \ni z = (t+i)/(t-i)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

**2.4. Monotonicity properties of the modular function  $\lambda$ .** The equality (2.29) together with (2.27), the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \log(|\lambda(iy+x)| |1-\lambda(iy+x)|) &= \pi \operatorname{Im} (\Theta_3^4(iy+x) - 2\Theta_3^4(iy+x-1)), \\ \frac{d}{dx} \log|\lambda(iy+x)| &= -\pi \operatorname{Im} \Theta_3(iy+x-1)^4, \quad x+iy \in \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

and (2.28) allow us to obtain in Section 10.1.3 the following monotonicity properties of  $\lambda$  and the estimates of Corollary 2.3 below as well.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $a \geq 1/2$  and  $\lambda_2(z) := \lambda(z)(1-\lambda(z))$ . Then*

$$x \frac{d}{dx} |\lambda(x+iy)| > 0, \quad x+iy \in \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus (i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \quad (2.40)$$

$$x \frac{d}{dx} |\lambda_2(x+iy)| > 0, \quad x+iy \in \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus (i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \quad (2.41)$$

$$x \frac{d}{dx} \operatorname{Re} \lambda(x+iy) < 0, \quad x+iy \in \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus (\mathbb{D} \cup i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \quad (2.42)$$

$$\max_{\operatorname{Im} z \geq a} |\lambda(z)| = |\lambda(1+ia)| = \frac{\lambda(ia)}{1-\lambda(ia)}, \quad (2.43)$$

$$\max_{\operatorname{Im} z \geq a} |\lambda_2(z)| = |\lambda_2(1+ia)| = \frac{\lambda(ia)}{(1-\lambda(ia))^2}. \quad (2.44)$$

Since  $0 < \lambda(iy) < 1/2 = \lambda(i)$  holds for  $y > 1$ , the next properties can be derived from Theorem 2.2, (2.29) and  $\lambda(\gamma(-1, 1)) = (1/2) + i\mathbb{R}$  (see (2.23)–(2.25)).

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $y > 1$  and put*

$$\mathcal{E}_\square^0 := -1/\mathcal{E}_\square^\infty, \quad \mathcal{E}_\square^\infty := \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \mathbb{D}), \quad \mathcal{F}_\square \cap \mathbb{D} \subset \mathcal{E}_\square^0.$$

Then  $\lambda(iy) \in (0, 1/2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \lambda(x+iy) \in \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} \leq \lambda(iy)} \cap \bigcap \frac{\lambda(iy)}{1-\lambda(iy)} \operatorname{clos}(\mathbb{D}), \quad \text{(b)} \quad |\lambda'(x+iy)| \leq 9, \\ \text{(c)} \quad & 4|\lambda(z) - \lambda(x+iy)| \geq |\lambda(z)| |1-2\lambda(iy)|, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\square^0, \\ \text{(d)} \quad & \sqrt{2} |\lambda(z) - \lambda(1+it)| \geq |\lambda(z)| + |\lambda(1+it)|, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\square^0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

**2.5. Imaginary part of the Schwarz triangle function.** We note that  $\lambda_\Delta$  enjoys the functional relation

$$\lambda_\Delta(z)\lambda_\Delta(1-z) = -1, \quad z \in (0,1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.46)$$

(see [5, p. 597; (1.21)]) and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0,1\}$  there exist  $\lambda_\Delta(x \pm i0) := \lim_{z \in \mathbb{H}, z \rightarrow 0} \lambda_\Delta(x \pm z)$  (see [5, p. 604]). Moreover, there are relationships between the values of  $\lambda_\Delta$  on the two sides of the cut along  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ :

$$\lambda_\Delta(-x + i0) = 2 + \lambda_\Delta(-x - i0), \quad x > 0, \quad (2.47)$$

(see [5, p. 597; (1.22)]), and along the other cut  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$  as well,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_\Delta(1+x+i0)} = 2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_\Delta(1+x-i0)}, \quad x > 0, \quad (2.48)$$

(see [5, p. 597; (1.23)]). It was also explained in [5, p. 601; (4.1)] that the Pfaff formula (see [2, p. 79]) gives that

$$\lambda_\Delta(-x \pm i0) = \pm 1 + i\Delta(x), \quad x > 0, \quad (2.49)$$

where for  $x > 0$  we write (see [5, p. 599])

$$\Delta(x) := \frac{F_\Delta(1/(1+x))}{F_\Delta(x/(1+x))}, \quad \begin{cases} \Delta(0) = +\infty, \\ \Delta(+\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\Delta(x)}{dx} < 0, \\ \Delta(x)\Delta(1/x) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.50)$$

Then, by (2.46),

$$\lambda_\Delta(1+x \pm i0) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_\Delta(-x \mp i0)} = -\frac{1}{\mp 1 + i\Delta(x)} = \frac{\pm 1 + i\Delta(x)}{1 + \Delta(x)^2}, \quad (2.51)$$

i.e., we have

$$\lambda_\Delta(1+x+i0) = \frac{1+i\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}, \quad \lambda_\Delta(1+x-i0) = \frac{-1+i\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}, \quad x > 0. \quad (2.52)$$

Together with (2.49) this shows that the values of  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta$  on the two sides of the cuts at  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$  and at  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$  coincide. Hence, we extend the function  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta$ , initially defined on  $(0,1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ , to  $\mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{>1}$  declaring its values to be given by

$$\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1+x) := \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1+x+i0) = \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1+x-i0) = \frac{\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}, \quad (2.53)$$

$$\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(-x) := \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(-x+i0) = \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(-x-i0) = \Delta(x), \quad x > 0.$$

We find that the resulting function  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ . Taking the limit in the relation (2.18)(b) as  $z \in (0,1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ , we obtain from (2.49) and (2.51) that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda_\Delta(-x \pm i0)) = \lambda(\pm 1 + i\Delta(x)) = -x, \\ \lambda(\lambda_\Delta(1+x \pm i0)) = \lambda(1/(\pm 1 - i\Delta(x))) = 1+x, \end{cases} \quad x > 0, \quad (2.54)$$

where  $\Delta$  maps  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  onto itself in a one-to-one fashion, by (2.50). Together with Theorem B this means the following (see (2.1)).

**Lemma 2.4.** *The modular function  $\lambda$  maps each of the sets  $\gamma(1, \infty) = 1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $\gamma(-1, \infty) = -1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  one-to-one onto  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$  and each of the set*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(0,1) &= 1/(1 - i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = 1/2 + (1/2)(\mathbb{H} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}), \\ \gamma(-1,0) &= 1/(-1 - i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = -1/2 + (1/2)(\mathbb{H} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}) \end{aligned}$$

*one-to-one onto  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ . As a consequence,  $\lambda$  maps each of the sets*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup \gamma(1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(0,1), \quad \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup \gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(0,1), \\ \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup \gamma(1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(-1,0), \quad \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup \gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(-1,0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

*one-to-one onto  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ .*

By using the property  $\Delta(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $x > 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow 0$ , which comes from (2.50), we obtain from (2.53) that  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(-x) \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(1+x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x > 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow 0$ , which together with the properties

$$\lim_{z \in \Lambda, z \rightarrow 1} \lambda_\Delta(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \in \Lambda, z \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z) = +\infty, \quad \Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}),$$

(see [5, p. 609; Lemmas 4.1, 4.2]) means that the function  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta$ , which is continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , can be continuously extended to the point 1 as well, with value  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(1) = 0$ , while  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z) = +\infty$ . As a result, we get the following statement.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\Delta$  be defined as in (2.50). The harmonic function  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta$  on  $(0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  can be continuously extended to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  with values on  $\mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  given by (2.53) and  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(1) := 0$ . The extended function  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta$  is positive on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and satisfies*

$$(a) \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z) = +\infty, \quad (b) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(\lambda(y)) = \operatorname{Im} y, \quad y \in \mathbb{H} \cap \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square). \quad (2.56)$$

It follows from Theorem B, (2.54) and (3.32) that for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{>1}$  the equation  $\lambda(z) = a$  with  $z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  has exactly two solutions  $z = \lambda_\Delta(a \pm i0)$  with equal imaginary parts, by virtue of (2.53), while for every  $a \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  it has a unique solution given by  $z = \lambda_\Delta(a)$ . In fact, Lemma 5.7 below implies the following stronger property, which we obtain later on in Section 10.1.4.

**Theorem 2.6.** *For every  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  there exists a finite maximum*

$$\max \{ \operatorname{Im} z \mid \lambda(z) = a, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \}, \quad \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} := \{ z \in \mathbb{H} \mid \operatorname{Re} z \in [-1, 1] \},$$

attained at one or two points, which belong to  $\mathbb{H} \cap \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$ . There is one such point  $\lambda_\Delta(a)$  if  $a \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  and two points  $\lambda_\Delta(a \pm i0)$  if  $a \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, +\infty)$ . In particular,

$$z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square), \quad \lambda(y) = z \Rightarrow \operatorname{Im} y < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z), \quad (2.57)$$

where  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta$  is as in Lemma 2.5.

**Corollary 2.7.** *For all pairs  $(y, z)$  with  $y \in \mathbb{H} \cap \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$ , and  $\operatorname{Im} z > \operatorname{Im} y$ , we have  $\lambda(z) - \lambda(y) \neq 0$ .*

### 3. Schwarz triangle polynomials

We begin this section by recalling the following property of periodic functions.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_0 \in \operatorname{Hol}(ia + \mathbb{H})$  be periodic with period 2. Then*

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_1+2} f_0(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_{z_2}^{z_2+2} f_0(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z_1, z_2 \in ia + \mathbb{H}. \quad (3.1)$$

If  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $\varphi(\exp(i\pi z)) \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{H})$  then  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\})$ .

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** Suppose for the moment the assertion of Lemma 3.1 holds with  $a = 0$ . Then we would apply that statement to the new function  $g(z) := f_0(ia+z)$  and derive that Lemma 3.1 holds in fact for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence it suffices to obtain (3.1) in the case  $a = 0$  only. Then our assumptions are that the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1(z) := \varphi(\exp(i\pi z))$  are both holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$  and 2-periodic.

For  $z = \beta \exp(i\varphi) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0]$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $-\pi < \varphi < \pi$ , we have

$$\frac{\operatorname{Log} z}{i\pi} = \frac{\operatorname{Log}(\beta e^{i\varphi})}{i\pi} = \frac{i}{\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\varphi}{\pi} \in (-1, 1) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathbb{H}, \quad (3.2)$$



and since  $\text{Log} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0})$  we obtain that  $\Phi^\delta \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0])$  for every  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , where

$$\Phi^\delta(z) := f_\delta \left( \frac{\text{Log } z}{i\pi} \right), \quad \Phi^1(z) = \varphi(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0], \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Here, if we write  $\Phi_\pm^\delta(-x) := \lim_{z \in \pm\mathbb{H}, z \rightarrow -x} \Phi^\delta(z)$  for each  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , we find

$$\Phi_+^\delta(-x) = f_\delta \left( \frac{i}{\pi} \ln \frac{1}{x} + 1 \right) = f_\delta \left( \frac{i}{\pi} \ln \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) = \Phi_-^\delta(-x), \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

and consequently,  $\Phi^\delta$  extends continuously across  $(-1, 0)$ . From Morera's theorem (see [28, p. 96]) we conclude that  $\Phi^\delta \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\})$ . For  $\delta = 1$  this completes the proof of the second assertion of Lemma 3.1. As for  $\delta = 0$ , we obtain that  $\Phi^0$  admits the Laurent expansion (see [13, p. 107])  $\Phi^0(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ , which is absolutely convergent in  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . The change of variables  $z = e^{i\pi\zeta}$ ,  $\zeta = x + iy$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $y > 0$ , gives, by using (3.2), that  $\text{Log } \exp(i\pi\zeta) = i\pi\zeta$ , and, moreover, that

$$f_0(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{i\pi n \zeta}, \quad \text{Im } \zeta > 0, \quad \text{Re } \zeta \in (-1, 1).$$

Both  $f_0$  and the Fourier series on the right-hand side are continuous on  $\mathbb{H}$  and periodic with period 2. Hence they must be equal for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$  and, consequently,

$$\int_z^{z+2} f_0(\zeta) d\zeta = 2a_0,$$

for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . This proves the first assertion of Lemma 3.1 and completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**3.1. Definition and connection with Faber polynomials.** The notation (2.10) permits us for arbitrary positive integer  $n$  to write the decomposition (1.13) in the form

$$e^{-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(z)} = S_n^\Delta(1/z) + \Delta_n^S(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \quad S_n^\Delta(0) = 0, \quad \Delta_n^S \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}), \quad (3.3)$$

and we will call  $S_n^\Delta$  the  $n$ -th *Schwarz triangle polynomial*.

A special symmetrization of algebraic polynomials occurs when we consider the expression  $S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda(z)) \pm S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda(-1/z))$  to make the function  $S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda(z))$  in (2.38) invariant up to a multiplier  $\pm 1$  with respect to the argument reversal  $z \mapsto -1/z$ . In view of (2.19), we have  $\lambda(-1/z) = 1 - \lambda(z)$ , which suggests the following algebraic operations (see Section 10.2.1).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $P_n$  be an algebraic polynomial of degree  $n$  with real coefficients. Then there exist two algebraic polynomials  $\mathcal{R}^\pm[P_n]$  of degree  $n$  with real coefficients such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P_n \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) + P_n \left( \frac{1}{1-x} \right) &= \mathcal{R}^+[P_n] \left( \frac{1}{x(1-x)} \right), & \mathcal{R}^+[P_n](0) &= 2P_n(0), \\ P_n \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) - P_n \left( \frac{1}{1-x} \right) &= (1-2x) \mathcal{R}^-[P_n] \left( \frac{1}{x(1-x)} \right), & \mathcal{R}^-[P_n](0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $n \geq 1$ , the symmetrized functions

$$\mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z) := S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(z)} \right) \pm S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)} \right), \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\pm(-1/z) = \pm \mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (3.4)$$

are holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$  and 2-periodic. They were considered for the first time by the fourth and fifth authors [33] in the context of Fourier pair interpolation on the real line.

In accordance with (2.19), for  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{D}$  we introduce the notation

$$\lambda(z) = \frac{16e^{i\pi z}\theta_2(e^{i\pi z})^4}{\theta_3(e^{i\pi z})^4} = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{i\pi z}), \quad \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(u) := \frac{16u \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^{n^2+n}\right)^4}{\left(1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} u^{n^2}\right)^4}. \quad (3.5)$$

Then clearly, we have  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(0) = 0$ ,  $\lambda'_{\mathbb{D}}(0) = 16$ . As we substitute  $\lambda(z)$  for  $z$  in (3.3) and apply the left-hand side identity in (2.18), we find

$$\Delta_n^S(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{i\pi z})) = e^{-in\pi z} - S_n^{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(z)} \right), \quad z \in \lambda_{\Delta}(\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0]) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\square}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.6)$$

In view of (2.19),  $\lambda, 1/\lambda \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{H})$  and hence the right-hand side function in (3.6) is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ . In view of Lemma 3.1 applied to the left-hand side function in (3.6) we see that  $\Delta_n^S(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}) \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\})$ . But since  $\Delta_n^S, \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(0) = 0$ , the composition  $\Delta_n^S(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}})$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin, so that in fact the function  $\Delta_n^S \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$  of (3.3) meets

$$\Delta_n^S(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}) \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

and, in view of the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see [13, p.78]), the relationship (3.6) can be written as

$$S_n^{\Delta}(1/\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(u)) = u^{-n} + \Delta_n^S(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \Delta_n(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}) \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $S_n^{\Delta}(0) = 0$ . According to the definition of Issai Schur [39, p.34], if  $F_n$  is the  $n$ -th Faber polynomial of  $16/\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(1/u)$  then  $S_n^{\Delta}(x) = F_n(16x) - F_n(0)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

**3.2. The generating function.** The generating function of the Schwarz triangle polynomials is calculated in the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have*

$$i\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^{\Delta}(1/z) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{\lambda'(y)}{z - \lambda(y)}, \quad \text{Im } y > \text{Im } \lambda_{\Delta}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (3.7)$$

where the series converges absolutely and the function  $\text{Im } \lambda_{\Delta}$  is continuously extended to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  in accordance with Lemma 2.5.

**Proof of Lemma 3.3.** Let  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|z| > \beta$ . We calculate

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta\partial\mathbb{D}} \zeta^n \zeta^{-p-1} d\zeta = \delta_{n,p}, \quad n, p \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad \frac{1}{z - \zeta} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\zeta^n}{z^{n+1}}, \quad \zeta \in \beta\partial\mathbb{D},$$

and in view of (3.3), we have

$$S_n^{\Delta}(1/z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{e^{-n\pi i \lambda_{\Delta}(\zeta)} d\zeta}{z - \zeta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-n\pi i \lambda_{\Delta}(\beta e^{i\varphi})} d(\beta e^{i\varphi})}{z - \beta e^{i\varphi}}, \quad (3.8)$$

where the curve  $\lambda_{\Delta}(\beta e^{i\varphi})$ ,  $-\pi < \varphi < \pi$ , lies in  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}$  and connects two points  $\lambda_{\Delta}(-\beta - i0)$  and  $\lambda_{\Delta}(-\beta + i0)$  which according to (see (2.49) and (2.50))

$$\lambda_{\Delta}(-\beta + i0) = 2 + \lambda_{\Delta}(-\beta - i0) = 1 + i\Delta(\beta), \quad \Delta(\beta) > 1,$$

belong to the boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}$ . Making the change of variables  $\zeta = \lambda_{\Delta}(\beta e^{i\varphi})$  for  $-\pi < \varphi < \pi$  in (3.8) and using (2.18), we obtain  $\beta e^{i\varphi} = \lambda(\zeta)$  and

$$S_n^{\Delta}(1/z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+i\Delta(\beta)}^{1+i\Delta(\beta)} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{z - \lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad |z| > \beta, \quad \beta \in (0, 1), \quad (3.9)$$

where the contour of integration  $\{\lambda_\Delta(\beta^{i\varphi})\}_{-\pi < \varphi < \pi}$  can be replaced by the straight line segment  $[-1, 1] + i\Delta(\beta)$  connecting the two points  $\pm 1 + i\Delta(\beta)$ , as by (2.40),

$$\lambda\left(\{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq 1, \operatorname{Im} z \geq \Delta(\beta)\}\right) \subset \beta\mathbb{D}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

In view of (2.56), Corollary 2.7 tells us that the integrand in (3.9) is a holomorphic function of the variable  $\zeta$  when  $\operatorname{Im} \zeta > \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z)$ , and hence the periodicity of the integrand in (3.9) allows us to use Lemma 3.1 to shift the segment of integration  $[-1, 1] + i\Delta(\beta)$  to  $[-1, 1] + ia$  for any  $a > \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z)$ . This gives

$$S_n^\Delta(1/z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+ia}^{1+ia} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{z - \lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad a > \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.10)$$

We conclude that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^\Delta(1/z) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+ia}^{1+ia} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) d\zeta}{(e^{\pi i(\zeta - y)} - 1)(z - \lambda(\zeta))}, \quad (3.11)$$

converges absolutely if  $\operatorname{Im} y > a > \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z)$ . By the periodicity of the integrand in (3.11), we can apply Lemma 3.1 again to shift the interval of integration horizontally by  $\operatorname{Re} y$ . We then obtain from the Cauchy formula applied to the rectangle with vertices  $\pm 1 + ia + \operatorname{Re} y$ ,  $\pm 1 + iA + \operatorname{Re} y$  with  $A > \operatorname{Im} y$  that this integral equals

$$\frac{1}{i\pi} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{z - \lambda(y)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+iA+\operatorname{Re} y}^{1+iA+\operatorname{Re} y} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) d\zeta}{(e^{\pi i(\zeta - y)} - 1)(z - \lambda(\zeta))}, \quad A > \operatorname{Im} y.$$

The integral expression tends to zero as  $A \rightarrow +\infty$ , because it follows from the expressions (2.29) for  $\lambda'(\zeta)$  and (3.5) for  $\lambda(\zeta)$  that  $\lambda'(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lambda(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\operatorname{Im} \zeta \rightarrow +\infty$ . This proves that the identity (3.7) holds.  $\square$

**3.3. Asymptotic behavior for the large index.** The condition in (3.7) for the convergence of the series for the generating function of the Schwarz triangle polynomials is sharp. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 5.7 that for every  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \mid \lambda(y) = a\}$  is countable and has no limit points in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then (2.57) implies that for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  there exists  $\beta(z) \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} y < \beta(z) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  satisfying  $\lambda(y) = z$ . This allows us to apply the Cauchy formula in (3.10) for the rectangle with vertices  $\pm 1 + ia$ ,  $\pm 1 + i\beta(z) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z)$  and obtain

$$S_n^\Delta(1/z) = \sum_{\{y \in \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(y) = z\}} e^{-n\pi i y} + O\left(e^{n\pi\beta(z) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_\Delta(z)}\right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.12)$$

where<sup>10</sup> by Theorem 2.6, (2.49) and (2.52),

$$\sum_{\{y \in \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(y) = z\}} e^{-n\pi i y} = \begin{cases} \exp(-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(z)), & \text{if } z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}); \\ 2e^{\frac{n\pi\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} \cos \frac{n\pi}{1+\Delta(x)^2}, & \text{if } z = 1+x, \quad x > 0; \\ 2(-1)^n \exp(n\pi\Delta(x)), & \text{if } z = -x, \quad x > 0. \end{cases}$$

**3.4. Symmetry property.** The condition for the convergence of the series in (3.7) is at its weakest when  $z = 1$  as  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1) = 0$ . In the next lemma we explain this fact by showing in (3.14) that  $S_n^\Delta(1) = O(n^2)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and derive in (3.13)(a) an important symmetric property of the Schwarz triangle polynomials.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The following identities hold*

$$(a) \quad (-1)^n S_n^\Delta(z) = S_n^\Delta(1-z) - S_n^\Delta(1), \quad (b) \quad S_{2n}^\Delta(1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^\Delta(1) u^{n-1} = 16 \theta_2(u)^4, \quad u \in \mathbb{D}; \quad S_n^\Delta(1) = (1 - (-1)^n) r_4(n), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.14)$$

$$S_n^\Delta(z) := \sum_{k=1}^n s_{n,k}^\Delta z^k, \quad n \geq 1, \quad S_1^\Delta(z) = 16z, \quad S_2^\Delta(z) = 16^2 z(z-1), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$s_{n,n}^\Delta = 16^n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad s_{n,n-1}^\Delta = -8n16^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n,1}^\Delta u^{n-1} = 16 \theta_2(u)^4 \theta_3(-u)^4 \theta_3(u)^{-4}, \quad u \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.17)$$

We supply the proof of Lemma 3.4 in Section 10.2.1. By the symmetric property (3.13) and the change of variables  $z' = -1/z$  on the right-hand side integral of (2.38), for arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$  we obtain from (1.15), (2.19) and (10.4) that<sup>9</sup>

$$M_n(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(x+z)^2} = \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z+1)) - S_n^\Delta(1)}{(x+z)^2} dz. \quad (3.18)$$

Here, in view of (2.20)(d),(e) and (3.5), we have

$$\lambda(z+1) = -\frac{\Theta_2(z)^4}{\Theta_4(z)^4} = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(-e^{i\pi z}), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

where  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(0) = 0$ . Hence for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(-1/z)}\right) &= (-1)^n S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)}\right) \\ &= S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z+1)) - S_n^\Delta(1) = -S_n^\Delta(1) - 16s_{n,1}^\Delta e^{i\pi z} + \sum_{k \geq 2} b_{n,k} e^{i\pi k z}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where we have used the following notation for the Taylor series (see (3.15))

$$\sum_{k \geq 2} b_{n,k} u^k := 16s_{n,1}^\Delta u + \sum_{k=1}^n s_{n,k}^\Delta \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(-u)^k \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}), \quad u \in \mathbb{D}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.20)$$

Besides, by using (2.20)(b) and the change of variables  $z' = -1/z$  in the left-hand side integral of (2.38), we find<sup>11</sup>

$$H_0(x) = H_0(-x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad H_0(-1/x) = H_0(x)x^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.21)$$

**3.5. Characteristic behavior at the vertices of the Schwarz quadrilateral.** We note that there are only four distinct Möbius transformations (see [13, p. 47])

$$z, \quad -1/z, \quad (z-1)/(z+1), \quad (1+z)/(1-z),$$

which<sup>12</sup> map  $\mathcal{F}_\square$  onto itself and interchange the vertices according to

$$\begin{aligned} z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow 0 &\Leftrightarrow -1/z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, & -1/z \rightarrow \infty, \\ z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow 1 &\Leftrightarrow (1+z)/(1-z) \in \mathcal{F}_\square, & (1+z)/(1-z) \rightarrow \infty, \\ z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow -1 &\Leftrightarrow (z-1)/(z+1) \in \mathcal{F}_\square, & (z-1)/(z+1) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The  $n$ -th Schwarz triangle polynomial  $S_n^\Delta$  can be fully characterized by the behavior of the function  $S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda)$  from (3.6) at the vertices of the Schwarz quadrilateral. Note that (3.6) for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  can also be written as

$$S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(z)} \right) = S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda(-1/z)} \right) = e^{-in\pi z} - \Delta_n^S(0) - \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{d}_{n,k} e^{i\pi k z}, \quad (3.22)$$

where we use the Taylor series notation

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{d}_{n,k} u^k := \Delta_n^S(\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(u)) - \Delta_n^S(0) \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}), \quad u \in \mathbb{D}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By (2.22)(b) and the same line of argument<sup>13</sup> as in Section 3.2, we get

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n^S(0) u^n = \theta_3(-u)^4, \quad u \in \mathbb{D}; \quad \Delta_n^S(0) = (-1)^n r_4(n), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.23)$$

and, consequently,  $\Delta_n^S(0) = O(n^2)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from (2.19), from the identities

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(1-1/z)} = \lambda(z+1), \quad \frac{1}{1-\lambda(1-1/z)} = \lambda(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (3.24)$$

(see [12, p. 111]) and from (3.5) that

$$S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(1-1/z)} \right) = S_n^\Delta \left( \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(-e^{i\pi z}) \right), \quad S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda(1-1/z)} \right) = S_n^\Delta \left( \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{i\pi z}) \right),$$

and thus for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we obtain, by (3.20),

$$S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(1-1/z)} \right) = -16s_{n,1}^\Delta e^{i\pi z} + \sum_{k \geq 2} \mathbf{b}_{n,k} e^{i\pi k z}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda(1-1/z)} \right) = 16s_{n,1}^\Delta e^{i\pi z} + \sum_{k \geq 2} (-1)^k \mathbf{b}_{n,k} e^{i\pi k z}. \quad (3.26)$$

When  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  tends to one of the vertices  $\alpha \in \{1, -1, 0, \infty\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_\square$ , we assume that  $\text{Im } z \geq 1/2$  if  $\alpha = \infty$  and  $\text{Im } z < 1/2$  if  $\alpha \in \{1, -1, 0\}$ , which imply that  $2 \text{Im } z \geq |\text{Re } z|$  if  $\alpha = \infty$ , while  $\text{Im } z \geq |\text{Re } z - \alpha|$  if  $\alpha \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ . Hence it follows from (3.19), (3.22), (3.25) and (3.26) that for every  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $\mathcal{D}_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}_n \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2 \text{Im } z}\right), \quad |z \pm 1| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \\ \text{(b)} \quad |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) - \exp(-in\pi z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}_n, \quad \text{Im } z \geq 1/2, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \\ \text{(c)} \quad |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}_n, \quad |z| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}_n \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2 \text{Im } z}\right), \quad |z \pm 1| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \\ \text{(b)} \quad |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}_n, \quad \text{Im } z \geq 1/2, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \\ \text{(c)} \quad |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z) - \exp(in\pi/z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}_n, \quad |z| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where for every  $n \geq 1$  the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) &:= S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(z)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_{n,k}^\Delta}{\lambda(z)^k}; \\ \text{(b)} \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z) &= S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_{n,k}^\Delta}{(1-\lambda(z))^k}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

are holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$  and periodic with period 2. We observe that in this notation the formulas (2.38) and (3.18) can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{H}_n(x) = \frac{(-1)}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad \mathbf{M}_n(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z) dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.30)$$

where the behavior of the both integrands in  $\mathcal{F}_\square$  is completely described by (3.27) and (3.28). In particular, it follows from (3.27)(a) and (3.28)(a) that the both integrals converge absolutely for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, by writing (3.24) in the form (see [12, p. 111])

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(z)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(z+1)} = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (3.31)$$

we conclude from (3.13) and (3.29) that for any  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\mathbf{R}_{2n}^\Delta(z+1) = \mathbf{R}_{2n}^\Delta(z), \quad \mathbf{R}_{2n-1}^\Delta(z+1) = -\mathbf{R}_{2n-1}^\Delta(z) + 2r_4(2n-1).$$

The following Liouville-type property holds for the Schwarz quadrilateral  $\mathcal{F}_\square$ , where in the notation (2.11),  $\mathcal{F}_\square = -1/\mathcal{F}_\square$  and

$$\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_\square := \mathbb{H} \cap \partial \mathcal{F}_\square = \gamma(1, \infty) \cup \gamma(-1, \infty) \cup \gamma(0, 1) \cup \gamma(0, -1). \quad (3.32)$$

**Lemma A** ([5, p. 597]). *Suppose that  $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \cap C(\mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos } \mathcal{F}_\square)$  satisfies  $f(z) = f(z+2)$  and  $f(-1/z) = f(-1/(z+2))$  for each  $z \in -1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Suppose also that there exist nonnegative integers  $n_\infty, n_0$ , and  $n_1$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= o(\exp(\pi(n_\infty + 1)|z|)), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ |f(z)| &= o(\exp(\pi(n_0 + 1)|z|^{-1})), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ |f(z)| &= o(\exp(\pi(n_1 + 1)|z - \sigma|^{-1})), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists an algebraic polynomial  $P$  of degree  $\leq n_\infty + n_0 + n_1$  such that

$$f(z) = \frac{P(\lambda(z))}{\lambda(z)^{n_\infty} (1 - \lambda(z))^{n_0}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square.$$

The next lemma shows that the function  $\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta = S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda)$  is uniquely determined by much weaker asymptotic conditions at the vertices of the Schwarz quadrilateral than those of (3.27).

**Lemma 3.5.** *For a given positive integer  $n$  there exists a unique function  $\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta$  in  $C(\mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos } \mathcal{F}_\square) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  such that for any  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) &= \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z+2), \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z) = \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/(z+2)), & z \in -1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \\ \text{(b)} \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) &= O(1), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ \text{(c)} \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) &= o(1), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow \sigma, \\ \text{(d)} \quad \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) &= e^{-i\pi n z} + O(1), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Lemma 3.5 follows easily from Lemma A. Indeed, the conditions (3.33) are satisfied if (3.29)(a) holds, as follows from (3.27). As for the uniqueness, suppose that the function  $F_n$  has all the properties of (3.33) (with  $\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta$  replaced by  $F_n$ ). Then the difference  $F_n - S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda)$  meets the conditions of Lemma A with  $n_\infty = n_0 = n_1 = 0$ . By Lemma A, this difference is a constant function, which must equal zero, by property (3.33)(c). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5.

The symmetrized functions  $\mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z) := \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) \pm \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z)$  introduced in (3.4) are characterized in a similar manner.

**Lemma 3.6.** *For every positive integer  $n$ , there exist unique functions  $\mathbf{R}_n^+$  and  $\mathbf{R}_n^-$  in the set  $C(\mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos } \mathcal{F}_\square) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  such that*

- (a)  $\mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z) = \mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z+2)$ ,  $z \in -1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,
- (b)  $\mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z) = \pm \mathbf{R}_n^\pm(-1/z)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ ,
- (c)  $\mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z) = o(1)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ ,  $z \rightarrow 1$ ,
- (d)  $\mathbf{R}_n^\pm(z) = e^{-i\pi n z} + O(1)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ ,  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

On a side note, we observe that the functional properties (3.24) imply that for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{R}_n^+ \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) = S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z)) + S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z+1)), \quad \mathbf{R}_n^- \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) = S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z)) - S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z+1)).$$

## 4. The biorthogonal sequence

This section is devoted to the study of the functions  $\mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{M}_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , given in Theorem 1.1.

**4.1. Explicit expressions for the biorthogonal sequence.** It can easily be derived from (3.30), (4.5) and (2.33) that  $\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{M}_n \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{H}) \cap C(\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{R})$  with<sup>14</sup>

$$|\mathbf{H}_n(z)| + |\mathbf{M}_n(z)| \leq \frac{8e^{2\pi n}}{|z-1|^2 + |z+1|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

from which, in view of (1.14), we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{M}_n \in \mathbf{H}_+^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbf{H}_{-n}, \mathbf{M}_{-n} \in \mathbf{H}_-^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

The main results of this section are the following assertions, the proofs of which are deferred to Sections 10.3.1.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  the functions  $\mathbf{H}_n$  and  $\mathbf{M}_n$  have the following properties:*

- (1)  $\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{M}_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbf{H}_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ , if  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{M}_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbf{H}_-^1(\mathbb{R})$ , if  $n \leq -1$ ;
- (2)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \mathbf{H}_n^{(m)}(x), \mathbf{M}_n^{(m)}(x) = O(|x|^{-2-m})$ ,  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
- (3)  $\mathbf{M}_n(x) = \mathbf{H}_n(-1/x)/x^2$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (4)  $2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{H}_n(x+2k) = e^{i\pi n x}$ ,  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{M}_n(x+2k) = 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The function  $\mathbf{H}_0$  has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\mathbf{H}_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_0(x) = \mathbf{H}_0(-x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , (2)  $\mathbf{H}_0(x) = O(x^{-2})$ ,  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ ,
- (3)  $2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{H}_0(x+2k) = 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , (4)  $\mathbf{H}_0(-1/x) = \mathbf{H}_0(x)x^2$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

It can easily be obtained from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 that for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} H_n(x) dx = \delta_{m,n}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m/x} H_n(x) dx = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} M_n(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m/x} M_n(x) dx = \delta_{m,n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, Lemma 4.1(2,3) together with Lemma 4.2(2,3) give that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} H_n(x) dx &= \int_{-1}^1 e^{-i\pi m x} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(x + 2k) \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 e^{-i\pi m x} e^{i\pi n x} dx = \delta_{n,m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \end{aligned}$$

while (1.14), (1.15), Lemma 4.1(2),(4) and Lemma 4.2(1),(4) for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  entail

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} H_{-n}(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} H_n(-x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m x} H_n(x) dx = \delta_{-n,m}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m/x} H_0(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} H_0(x) dx = \delta_{0,m}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi m/x} H_n(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi m x} M_n(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 e^{-i\pi m x} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n(x + 2k) \right) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the verification of (4.2). By applying the change of the variables  $x = -1/x'$  in (4.2), the relations (4.3) are immediate, since the functions  $H_n, M_n$  are connected via the relation (1.15). Expressed differently, the following theorem holds, which contains part of the assertions made in Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The system consisting of the functions  $H_0, H_n, M_n \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , is biorthogonal to the hyperbolic trigonometric system  $1, \exp(i\pi n x), \exp(i\pi n/x) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ .*

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $f \in L^1([0, 2], dx)$  be 2-periodic on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then clearly  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1} dx)$  and, in view of Lemma 4.1(4) and Lemma 4.2(3),

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) H_{-n}(t) dt = \int_0^2 f(t) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{-n}(t + 2k) dt = (1/2) \int_0^2 f(t) e^{-i\pi n t} dt, \\ m_m(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) M_{-m}(t) dt = \int_0^2 f(t) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{-m}(t + 2k) dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the hyperbolic Fourier series (1.3) of the 2-periodic function  $f$  is the usual Fourier series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n(f) \exp(i\pi n x)$  of  $f$  on the interval  $[0, 2]$ . Next, assume that  $g(-1/x) = f(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ . Then, by (1.15) and the above identities for  $f$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_n(g) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) M_{-n}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) H_{-n}(t) dt = (1/2) \int_0^2 g(-1/t) e^{-i\pi n t} dt, \\ h_n(g) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) H_{-n}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) M_{-n}(t) dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \end{aligned}$$



while, as follows from Lemma 4.2(4) and the above equalities,

$$h_0(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)H_0(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)H_0(t)dt = (1/2) \int_0^2 g(-1/t)dt.$$

It follows that the hyperbolic Fourier series (1.3) of  $g$  equals the usual Fourier series  $h_0(g) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} m_n(g) \exp(-i\pi n/x)$  of  $g(-1/x)$  on the interval  $[0, 2]$  expressed in the variable  $-1/x$ .

**4.2. The generating function for the biorthogonal sequence.** In the notation of (3.29), it follows from (3.7) and (2.56) that

$$i\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n^{\Delta}(z) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(y)}, \quad \text{Im } y > 1 \geq \text{Im } z, \quad z \in \gamma(-1, 1), \quad (4.4)$$

where for arbitrary  $a \in (1, \text{Im } y)$ , in accordance with (3.10), we have

$$R_n^{\Delta}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+ia}^{1+ia} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad \text{Im } y > a > 1 \geq \text{Im } z, \quad z \in \gamma(-1, 1). \quad (4.5)$$

In view of Corollary 2.3, we have  $|\lambda'(\zeta)| \leq 9$  and  $4|\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)| \geq |\lambda(z)| |1 - 2\lambda(ia)|$  for all  $\zeta \in [-1 + ia, 1 + ia]$  and  $z \in \gamma(-1, 1)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n^{\Delta}(z) e^{i\pi n y} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{12 e^{\pi n(a - \text{Im } y)}}{|1 - 2\lambda(ia)| |\lambda(z)|} = \frac{12 (e^{\pi((\text{Im } y) - a)} - 1)^{-1}}{|1 - 2\lambda(ia)| |\lambda(z)|},$$

for every  $z \in \gamma(-1, 1)$  and  $\text{Im } y > a > 1$ . Together with (2.33), this inequality allows us to apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem (see [30, p. 161]) in order to derive from (4.4) and (3.30) that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} i\pi n H_n(x) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(y) - \lambda(z)} \frac{dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad \text{Im } y > 1, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} i\pi n M_n(x) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{1 - \lambda(y) - \lambda(z)} \frac{dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad \text{Im } y > 1, \quad (4.7)$$

where both series converge absolutely and uniformly over all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 5. Partitions of the upper half-plane

In the Poincaré half-plane model of hyperbolic space the (generalized) semi-circles  $\gamma(a, b)$ ,  $\gamma(a, \infty)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \neq b$ , (see (2.1)) are the hyperbolic straight lines connecting ideal points  $a$  and  $b$ , or  $a$  and  $\infty$ , respectively. Moreover, given an arbitrary collection of four (three) points  $-\infty < a < b < c < d < +\infty$  ( $-\infty < a < b < c < +\infty$ ) a bounded set  $A \subset \mathbb{H}$  is called an *ideal hyperbolic quadrilateral (triangle)*, with four (three) *vertices*  $a, b, c, d$  ( $a, b, c$ ) if  $\mathbb{H} \cap \partial A$  is equal to the union of the three (two) *lower arches*  $\gamma(a, b)$ ,  $\gamma(b, c)$ ,  $\gamma(c, d)$  ( $\gamma(a, b)$ ,  $\gamma(b, c)$ ) and the *roof* (upper arch)  $\gamma(a, d)$  ( $\gamma(a, c)$ ). We will omit the word "ideal" in the sequel, and note that clearly, there exists a unique bounded closed set satisfying this definition for any given collection of vertices lying on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the group of Möbius transformations (called modular)

$$\phi_M(z) := (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad ad - bc = 1,$$

on  $\mathbb{H}$  with the superposition as a group operation (see [12, p. 11]). The theta subgroup  $\Gamma_{\vartheta}$  of  $\Gamma$  is defined as a collection of all  $\phi_M \in \Gamma$  with  $M$ , satisfying either  $M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}$  or  $M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}$ , while the subgroup  $\Gamma(2)$  of  $\Gamma_{\vartheta}$  as all  $\phi_M \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}$  with  $M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** For  $(a, b) \in \{(1, \infty), (-1, 1)\}$ , we say that the set  $\{\phi(\gamma(a, b))\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta}$  (called orbit of  $\gamma(a, b)$  with respect to  $\Gamma_\vartheta$ ) generates a partition  $\sqcup_{k \geq 1} A_k = \mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  if  $\cup_{k \geq 1} \partial A_k = \cup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} \phi(\gamma(a, b))$  and  $\text{int} A_k \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this section, we consider two partitions of  $\mathbb{H}$  generated by  $\{\phi(\gamma(1, \infty))\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta}$  and  $\{\phi(\gamma(-1, 1))\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta}$ . Both partitions arise from the need to analyze integral operators with kernel of the form

$$G_\lambda(z, \zeta) := \lambda'(z)/(\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta))$$

and integration over  $\zeta \in \gamma(-1, 1)$ . An operator of this type (but with integration over  $z$ ) was first studied by Chibrikova in 1956 and later reproduced in Gakhov's monograph [15, p. 513, (52.3)] from 1966 when considering the Riemann boundary value problem in a fundamental domain with respect to a Fuchsian group of linear fractional transformations. The importance of studying such integral operators was highlighted in the recent work of the fourth and fifth authors [33], where the following crucial observation was made. Given, e.g., a bounded function  $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{H})$ , if we integrate  $f(\zeta)G_\lambda(z, \zeta)$  with respect to  $\zeta$  along the semicircle  $\gamma(-1, 1)$ , we obtain an analytic function in the domain  $\mathbb{H} \setminus (2\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{D})$  which can be analytically extended to all of  $\mathbb{H}$ , while preserving relevant growth control. The main goal of introducing the above mentioned partitions of the upper half-plane is to obtain explicit formulas for such an analytic extension, see Section 6 below. The results of Sections 5 and 6 are expressed in the language of the even-integer continued fractions which is the suggestion of the first author. This elaborates on the approach of the second and the third authors [19] based on the connection between even-integer continued fractions and the even Gauss map, see, e.g., [37] and [26].

**5.1. Even Gauss map in the upper half-plane.** For arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  let  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  be an even-integer continued fraction of the form (see [38] and (10.36))

$$\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) := \frac{1}{2n_N - \frac{1}{2n_{N-1} - \dots - \frac{1}{2n_2 - \frac{1}{2n_1 - z}}}}, \quad z \in [-1, 1] \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}). \quad (5.1)$$

The theta subgroup  $\Gamma_\vartheta$  is generated by the Möbius transformations  $z \mapsto z + 2$  and  $z \mapsto -1/z$  (see [12, p. 112]). If we write, for  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^k := (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \sqcup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^k, \quad \phi_{\mathbf{n}} := \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}, \quad \phi_0(z) := z, \quad (5.2)$$

we can represent the theta subgroup as<sup>17</sup>

$$\{\phi(z)\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} = \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z), -1/\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z), \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z), -1/\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z)\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Here, we see that

$$\begin{cases} -1/\phi_{n_1}(z) = -2n_1 + z, & \text{if } N = 1, \\ -1/\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = -2n_N + \phi_{n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(z), & \text{if } N \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

for every  $z \in [-1, 1] \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ . Hence, if  $A \subset \mathbb{H}$  is invariant under the inversion  $z \mapsto -1/z$ , i.e., if  $A = -1/A := \{-1/z \mid z \in A\}$ , then its orbit with respect to  $\Gamma_\vartheta$  can be written in the form

$$\{\phi(A)\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} = \{2n_0 + \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(A)\}_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}}, \quad A \subset \mathbb{H}, \quad A = -1/A. \quad (5.5)$$

This suggests the introduction of the following subset of  $\Gamma_\vartheta$ ,

$$\Gamma_\vartheta^{\parallel} := \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}}, \quad (a_\phi z + b_\phi)(c_\phi z + d_\phi)^{-1} := \phi(z), \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta^{\parallel}, \quad (5.6)$$

$a_\phi, b_\phi, c_\phi, d_\phi \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with the basic properties (see Sections 10.4.1 and 1.5)

$$|a_\phi| < |b_\phi| < |d_\phi|, \quad |a_\phi| < |c_\phi| < |d_\phi|, \quad \phi \left( \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\theta^{\parallel}. \quad (5.7)$$

Let  $\{x\}$  and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the usual fractional and integer parts of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , respectively, and put (see [5, pp. 599, 600])

$$\mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} := \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup (-1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \sqcup (1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \quad \mathcal{F}_\square^\infty := \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel}). \quad (5.8)$$

For arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we define its *even integer part*  $\lfloor x \rfloor_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  as the average of the endpoints of the interval that the point  $x$  belongs to in the following interval partition of the real axis,

$$\mathbb{R} = (-1, 1) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{m \geq 1} \left( (-2m - 1, -2m + 1] \sqcup [2m - 1, 2m + 1) \right), \quad (5.9)$$

and we define its *even fractional part*  $\{x\}_2^{\parallel} \in [-1, 1]$  to be  $\{x\}_2^{\parallel} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor_2$ . As such, they have the properties  $\{x\}_2^{\parallel} = (-1 + 2\{(1 + |x|)/2\}) \operatorname{sign}(x)$ ,  $\{-x\}_2^{\parallel} = -\{x\}_2^{\parallel}$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor_2 = 2 \lfloor (1 + |x|)/2 \rfloor \operatorname{sign}(x)$ ,  $\lfloor -x \rfloor_2 = -\lfloor x \rfloor_2$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\lfloor -2n + \operatorname{sign}(n) \rfloor_2 = -2n, \quad \{-2n + \operatorname{sign}(n)\}_2^{\parallel} = \operatorname{sign}(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.10)$$

**Definition 5.2.** We define the complex analogue  $\mathbb{G}_2 : \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$  of the even Gauss map  $G_2^{\parallel} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ ,  $G_2^{\parallel}(0) := 0$ ,  $G_2^{\parallel}(x) := \{-1/x\}_2^{\parallel}$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ , associated with the even fractional part  $\{x\}_2^{\parallel}$ , as follows

$$\mathbb{G}_2(z) := \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right) \right\}_2^{\parallel} + i \operatorname{Im} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}. \quad (5.11)$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$ , we have that

$$\mathbb{G}_2(z) = -\frac{1}{z} - \left\lfloor \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right) \right\rfloor_2 \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}, \quad \left\lfloor \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right) \right\rfloor_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}. \quad (5.12)$$

We note that

$$\mathbb{G}_2(z) = -1/z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \iff z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \cap (-1/\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}) = \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel}, \quad (5.13)$$

and observe that it follows from (5.12) and (5.9) that<sup>20</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \mathbb{G}_2(\gamma(\sigma, 0)) = \gamma(\sigma, \infty), \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{G}_2(\gamma(\sigma, \infty)) = \gamma(-\sigma, 0), \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \\ (c) \quad & \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))) = \gamma(\sigma_n, \infty), \quad \sigma_n := \operatorname{sign}(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Estimating from above the modulus of  $z$  lying on the union of semicircles  $\gamma(1, 0) \cup \gamma(-1, 0)$  we obtain from the identity  $\operatorname{Im}(-1/z) = (\operatorname{Im} z)/|z|^2$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , that

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z) \geq \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{(1/2) + \sqrt{(1/4) - (\operatorname{Im} z)^2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \subset \mathbb{H}_{\operatorname{Im} \leq 1/2}. \quad (5.15)$$

For arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  it follows from (5.4) and (5.7) that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}(-1/\phi_{n_1}(z)) \in -2n_1 + (-1, 1), & \text{if } N = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}, \\ \operatorname{Re}(-1/\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) \in -2n_N + (-1, 1), & \text{if } N \geq 2, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}, \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

and hence we see from (5.12) and (5.4) that<sup>21</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \mathbb{G}_2^N(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) = z, & \text{if } N \geq 1, & \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}; \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{G}_2^k(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) = \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z), & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq N-1, & \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

**5.2. The Schwarz partition of the upper half-plane.** We introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{F}_\square^\phi := \mathcal{F}_\square^n := \mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1} := \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square), \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\phi := \phi_{\mathbf{n}}$  is as in (5.1). We associate with an arbitrary  $N$ -tuple  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and, correspondingly, with each  $\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{n}} \in \Gamma_\square^{\parallel}$ , its "sign"

$$\sigma_\phi := \sigma_{\mathbf{n}} := \sigma_{n_N, \dots, n_1} := \sigma_{n_1} := \text{sign}(n_1) \in \{+1, -1\}, \quad \phi = \phi_{\mathbf{n}} \in \Gamma_\square^{\parallel}. \quad (5.18)$$

Depending on the sign of the transformation  $\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{n}} \in \Gamma_\square^{\parallel}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ , which we apply to the Schwarz quadrilateral  $\mathcal{F}_\square$ , we add to  $\mathcal{F}_\square$  one of the rays  $\pm 1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_\phi} := \mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} := \mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}} := \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup (\sigma_{n_1} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \quad (5.19)$$

and denote the resulting image as follows

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^\phi := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^n := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1} := \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}}) \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\square. \quad (5.20)$$

For each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  the open set  $\mathcal{F}_\square^n$  is a hyperbolic quadrilateral with four vertices  $\{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x) \mid x \in \{-1, 0, 1, \infty\}\} \subset [-1, 1]$  whose position is completely determined by the value of  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ , as follows from the relationships (see Section 10.4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1) < \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) < \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1), \quad \text{(b)} \quad \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\infty) < \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}), \\ \text{(c)} \quad & \text{sign}(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1)) = \text{sign}(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)) = \text{sign}(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1)), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Moreover, for arbitrary  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  the properties (5.21) and  $(\gamma_0(a, b) := \gamma(a, b))$

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(a, b) := \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(a, b)) = \gamma(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(a), \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(b)), \quad a \in [-1, 1], \quad b \in [-1, 1] \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (5.22)$$

$a \neq b$ , imply that  $\mathbb{H} \cap \partial\mathcal{F}_\square^n$  is a hyperbolic polygon which consists of the three lower arches  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 0)$ ,  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(0, 1)$ , which do not belong to  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^n$ , and of the roof  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)$ , which is in  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^n$  (see Figure 3). Obviously,  $\mathcal{F}_\square^n = \text{int}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^n)$  and  $\partial\mathcal{F}_\square^n = \partial\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^n$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  (see also (5.1), (5.20)).*

**(a)** *For arbitrary  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^k$ ,  $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{m}$ , we have  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^n \cap \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{\mathbf{m}} = \emptyset$ .*

**(b)** *The lower arches*

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(-1, 0)), \quad \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(0, 1)), \quad \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(-\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)),$$

*of  $\mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  are the roofs of the respective quadrilaterals*

$$\mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1, -1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1, 1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1 + \sigma_{n_1}}.$$

**(c)** *The roofs of  $\mathcal{F}_\square^1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\square^{-1}$  are  $\gamma(0, 1)$  and  $\gamma(-1, 0)$ , respectively.*

**(d)** *The roof of  $\mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  is the lower arch of*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1 - \sigma_{n_1}}, \quad \text{if } n_1 \neq \sigma_{n_1}, \quad N \geq 1, \\ & \mathcal{F}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_2}, \quad \text{if } n_1 = \sigma_{n_1}, \quad N \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

**(e)**  $2 \max \left\{ \text{Im} z \mid z \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \right\} \leq 1 / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^N (2|n_k| - 1) \right) \leq 1/N$  holds, where it is assumed that  $\sum_{k=2}^1 := 0$ .

The proof of Lemma 5.3 is supplied in Section 10.4.2. In the sequel, we agree to use the notation

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}} := \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1, m_M, \dots, m_1}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_M, \dots, m_1), \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}. \quad (5.23)$$

As we combine the properties (5.17)(b) and (5.14)(c) together with the definitions (5.20), (5.19) and (5.18), we find for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  that<sup>22</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \mathbb{G}_2^N \left( \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \right) &= \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{n_1}} = \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup (\sigma_{n_1} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \quad \text{if } N \geq 1; \\ \text{(b)} \quad \mathbb{G}_2^k \left( \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \right) &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1} = \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{n_1}} \right), \quad \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

It follows from Lemma 5.3(a) and (5.20) that the sets  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$ , are disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ , and their union equals all of  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^k$ . We have the partition*

$$\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\parallel}} \phi \left( \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{\phi}} \right), \quad (5.25)$$

where for  $\mathbf{n} = 0$  we use the notation  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^0 := \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel}$ .

The proof of Lemma 5.4 is supplied in Section 10.4.2. It is clear that (see (5.8))

$$\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\infty} = \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} \left( (\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{n_1}} - 2n) \sqcup (\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{n_1}} + 2n) \right). \quad (5.26)$$

By combining (5.25) and (5.26), we arrive at the partition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H} &= \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left( -2n_0 + \left( \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup (\operatorname{sign}(n_0) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \right) \right) \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup (\operatorname{sign}(n_1) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

which we refer to as the *Schwarz partition of  $\mathbb{H}$* . The following property is obtained in Section 10.4.3.

**Theorem 5.5.** *The set  $\{\phi(1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0})\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}}$  generates the Schwarz partition of  $\mathbb{H}$ .*

**Remark 5.6.** It is known that the fundamental domain (see [12, p.15])  $F_{\Gamma(2)}$  for the subgroup  $\Gamma(2) \subset \Gamma$ , (elements written below are linear fractional mappings)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(2) &= \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \{2n_0 + z\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{2N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \{2n_0 + \phi_{n_{2N}, n_{2N-1}, \dots, n_1}(z)\} \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{2N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \{2n_0 + \phi_{n_{2N-1}, n_{2N-2}, \dots, n_1}(-1/z)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

generated by the Möbius transformations  $z \mapsto z + 2$  and  $z \mapsto z/(1 - 2z)$  (see [12, p.111]), can be chosen by any of the four sets written in (2.55), for instance,  $F_{\Gamma(2)} = \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup \gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(1, 0)$ , where  $\operatorname{int} F_{\Gamma(2)} = \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  (cp. [12, p.115]). Then

$$\mathbb{H} = \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(F_{\Gamma(2)}) = \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \left( \phi(\mathcal{F}_{\square}) \sqcup \phi(\gamma(-1, \infty)) \sqcup \phi(\gamma(1, 0)) \right), \quad (5.29)$$

forms a partition of the upper half-plane corresponding to the subgroup  $\Gamma(2)$ . But it follows from (5.28),  $-1/\mathcal{F}_\square = \mathcal{F}_\square$  and (5.29) that

$$\bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(\mathcal{F}_\square) = \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \mathcal{F}_\square) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} (2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square)), \quad (5.30)$$

and therefore the partition (5.29) coincides with the Schwarz partition (5.27) on the set  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \{\phi(1+i\mathbb{R}_{>0})\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} = \sqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(\mathcal{F}_\square)$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(\gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(1, 0)) &= \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_\square) = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} \phi(\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_\square) \\ &= \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} \phi(1+i\mathbb{R}_{>0}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

as follows from  $\gamma(1, \infty) = 2 + \gamma(-1, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma(-1, 0) = \phi_{-1}(-1/\gamma(1, 0))$ , (3.32),  $-1/\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_\square = \partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_\square$ ,  $\{\phi(z)\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} = \{\phi(z), \phi(-1/z)\}_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)}$  (see [12, p. 115]) and  $\phi_{-1}(z) = z/(1-2z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in \Gamma(2)$ . But on the set

$$\bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} \phi(1+i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(\text{int} F_{\Gamma(2)}) \quad (5.32)$$

these two partitions are different. This difference is essential, since the sets that make up the Schwarz partition are much simpler than the sets of the partition (5.29). Actually, if the partition (5.29) adds to each open hyperbolic quadrilateral  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  its two sides  $\gamma_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0, 1)$  and  $\gamma_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(-1, \infty)$ , which can be two lower arches or a lower arch and a roof, depending on the sign of  $n_1$ , then the Schwarz partition adds to such a quadrilateral its own roof  $\gamma_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\text{sign}(n_1), \infty)$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  (see the note before Lemma 5.3). Hence, the Schwarz partition (5.27) is an easy-to-use modification of the known partition (5.29) associated with the subgroup  $\Gamma(2)$ . Moreover, the choice of the most convenient partition of the set (5.32) is a separate issue<sup>23</sup>, which is no longer related to the structure of  $F_{\Gamma(2)} \cap \partial F_{\Gamma(2)}$ , but depends on the mutual arrangement of the sets  $\{\phi(\text{int} F_{\Gamma(2)})\}_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)}$ .

**5.3. Even rational partition of the upper half-plane.** By virtue of Lemma 2 of [12, p. 112]<sup>18</sup> and (5.7), the relationships (5.3) and (5.6) can be expressed in the form (the elements of the sets below are linear fractional mappings)<sup>24</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\vartheta &= \left\{ \frac{1 \cdot z + 0}{0 \cdot z + 1}, \frac{0 \cdot z - 1}{1 \cdot z + 0} \right\} \\ &\bigsqcup \left\{ \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \frac{(-c)z + (-d)}{az + b}, \frac{bz + (-a)}{dz + (-c)}, \frac{(-d)z + c}{bz + (-a)} \mid \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \in \Gamma_\vartheta^{\parallel} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\vartheta^{\parallel} &= \left\{ \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \mid \begin{array}{l} |a| < |b| < d, |a| < |c| < d \\ ab \equiv cd \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ad - bc = 1, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2n_N - \frac{1}{2n_{N-1} - \dots - \frac{1}{2n_2 - \frac{1}{2n_1 - z}}}} \mid n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

where no repetitions of linear fractional maps occur in the listing of the sets on the right-hand sides of (5.34) and (5.33). That this is so follows from Lemma 5.3(a) and the fact that neither of the mappings  $z \mapsto z$  nor  $z \mapsto -1/z$  can be an element of the rightmost set of (5.33), where the listed four linear fractional maps are all different as the unique entry in the associated  $2 \times 2$  matrix with biggest absolute

value (denoted by  $d$ ) occupies four different positions. Consequently, it makes sense to define the "order" of each element  $\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta$  by

$$d(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \phi(z) = \phi_0(z) = z; \\ N, & \text{if } \phi(z) = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z); \\ N+1, & \text{if } \phi(z) = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z); \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \phi(z) = -1/z; \\ N-1, & \text{if } \phi(z) = -1/\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z); \\ N, & \text{if } \phi(z) = -1/\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z), \end{cases} \quad (5.35)$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the functional relations  $\lambda(z+2) = \lambda(z)$ ,  $\lambda(-1/z) = 1 - \lambda(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , give that (cf. (2.19), [12, p. 111])

$$\lambda(\phi(z)) = \begin{cases} \lambda(z), & \text{if } d(\phi) \in \{0\} \cup 2\mathbb{N}, \\ 1 - \lambda(z), & \text{if } d(\phi) \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1, \end{cases} \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (5.36)$$

Moreover, since the semicircle  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  is invariant under the inversion  $z \mapsto -1/z$ , we realize that

$$\lambda(\phi(\gamma(-1, 1))) = \lambda(\gamma(-1, 1)) = (1/2) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta, \quad (5.37)$$

and, in the notation  $\Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lambda(\phi(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_\phi})) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square \cup \gamma(\sigma_\phi, \infty)) = \mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup \Lambda, & \text{if } d(\phi) \in \{0\} \cup 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square \cup \gamma(-\sigma_\phi, 0)) = \mathbb{R}_{>1} \cup \Lambda, & \text{if } d(\phi) \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1, \end{cases} \quad (5.38)$$

holds for every  $\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta \cup \{\phi_0\}$  (where  $\phi_0(z) := z$ ). By Lemma 2.4, (5.38) means that  $\lambda$  is one-to-one on each set of the partition (5.25), with the exception of  $\mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel}$ , where  $\lambda(\gamma(1, \infty)) = \lambda(\gamma(-1, \infty)) = \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ . This has one useful consequence, the proof of which is supplied in Section 10.4.4.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let us write  $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2N_f} := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2k}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2N_f-1} := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2k-1}$ . Then for arbitrary  $y \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  and  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid \text{Re}|z| \leq 1 \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y)\} \quad (5.39) \\ &= \begin{cases} \{y\} \sqcup \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(y) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2N_f}\} \sqcup \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/y) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2N_f-1}\}, & \text{if } y \in \mathcal{F}_\square; \\ \{y\} \sqcup \{y - 2\sigma\} \sqcup \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(y - \sigma + \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2N_f}\}, & \text{if } y \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty); \\ \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} + \sigma - (1/y)) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{2N_f-1}\}, & \text{if } y \in \gamma(\sigma, 0), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where each set is countable and has no limit points in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Lemma 5.7 can be used to characterize the sets

$$S_{\leq}^{\parallel} := \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid \text{Re}|z| \leq 1 \mid \lambda(z) \in (1/2) + i\mathbb{R}\}, \quad S_{\leq}^{\infty} := \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid \lambda(z) \in (1/2) + i\mathbb{R}\}. \quad (5.40)$$

Indeed, the case  $y \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  in (5.39) applied to the semicircle  $\gamma(-1, 1) \subset \mathcal{F}_\square$ , gives

$$(a) \quad S_{\leq}^{\parallel} = \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta^{\parallel} \cup \{\phi_0\}} \phi(\gamma(-1, 1)), \quad (b) \quad S_{\leq}^{\infty} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n + S_{\leq}^{\parallel}), \quad (5.41)$$

and it follows from  $-1/\gamma(-1, 1) = \gamma(-1, 1)$  and (5.5) that

$$S_{\leq}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} \phi(\gamma(-1, 1)), \quad \phi(S_{\leq}^{\infty}) = S_{\leq}^{\infty}, \quad \phi(\mathbb{H} \setminus S_{\leq}^{\infty}) = \mathbb{H} \setminus S_{\leq}^{\infty}, \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta. \quad (5.42)$$

Regarding the two triangular parts into which the open semicircle  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  splits the Schwarz quadrilateral, we introduce the notation (see (5.19))

$$\mathcal{F}_\nabla := \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\nabla^{\parallel} := \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\Delta := \mathbb{D} \cap \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad \mathcal{F}_\nabla^{|\sigma} := \mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad (5.43)$$

where  $\sigma \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_\square = \mathcal{F}_\nabla \sqcup \gamma(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}_\Delta, \quad \mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma} = \mathcal{F}_\nabla^{|\sigma} \sqcup \gamma(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}_\Delta, \quad \sigma \in \{+1, -1\}, \quad (5.44)$$

and for each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  we can apply  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}$  to the equalities (5.44) with  $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}} &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}} := \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{|\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}|}), \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}} := \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}} &= \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}} := \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.45}$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$  are hyperbolic triangles, the set  $\{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x) \mid x \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\}$  forms the vertices of  $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$  is open, while  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1)$  is the roof of  $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$ . At the same time,  $\{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x) \mid x \in \{-1, 1, \infty\}\}$  are also the vertices of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$ ,  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1)$  and  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)$  are the lower arches of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$  not contained in  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$ , while the roof  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$  is contained in  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$ .

A combination of (5.25) and (5.41), (5.44), (5.45) gives

$$\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus S_{-}^{\parallel} = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\parallel} \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, N \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \right).$$

By regrouping the subsets involved, we find that

$$\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus S_{-}^{\parallel} = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\parallel} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}},\tag{5.46}$$

where we use the notation

$$(a) \mathcal{E}_{-}^0 := \mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_0}; \quad \mathcal{E}_{-}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} := \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_N, \dots, n_1, n_0},\tag{5.47}$$

$$(b) \mathcal{E}_{-}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{E}_{-}^0), \quad n_N, \dots, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the identities (5.47)(b) follow directly from (5.45) and (5.20). Moreover,

$$-1/\mathcal{E}_{-}^0 = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \left( (\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{|\cdot+1|} - 2n_0) \sqcup (\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{|\cdot-1|} + 2n_0) \right) = \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \overline{\mathbb{D}}),$$

which leads to  $(\phi_0(z) := z)$

$$\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}} = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\infty}), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\infty} := \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \overline{\mathbb{D}}).\tag{5.48}$$

In particular, the set  $\mathcal{E}_{-}^0$  is open and its boundary consists of the roof  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  and the infinitely many lower arches  $\gamma(1/(2n+1), 1/(2n-1))$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , which accumulate at the origin, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{E}_{-}^0) = \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{-}^0 = \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0} \setminus \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \phi_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}),\tag{5.49}$$

where  $\operatorname{co}(A)$  denotes the convex hull of a given subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . For every  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  the associated subset  $\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}}$  has the similar structure, as follows from the relationships (5.48). To be specific,  $\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}}$  is open and its boundary consists of the roof  $\gamma(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1), \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1))$  and the infinitely many lower arches

$$\gamma(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1/(2n+1)), \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1/(2n-1))), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0},$$

which accumulate at the point  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$ . The analogue of (5.49) reads (see (5.23))

$$\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}}) = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}), \quad \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}} = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}) \setminus \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}, n_0}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}).\tag{5.50}$$

It follows that (see (5.21), (5.22) and (2.1))

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}) = \operatorname{co}(\gamma(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1), \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1))), \quad -1 \leq \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1) < \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1) \leq 1,$$

$$\mathbb{H} \cap \partial \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}) = \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathbf{n}}$  is simply connected for each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}$  (see [13, p. 93]).


The rational number  $p/q$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , is called even if  $pq \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . It is known (see [26, p. 303])<sup>25</sup> that each nonzero even rational number on  $(-1, 1)$  can be uniquely represented in the form  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$  with some  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$ , and conversely. This suggests the introduction of the following notions.



**Definition 5.8.** Given  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \sqcup_{k \geq 1} (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^k$ , the open sets

$$2m + \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad 2m + \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}$$

are called the *even rational neighborhoods* of  $2m$ ,  $\infty$  and the *even rational number*  $2m + \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) \in (2m - 1, 2m + 1) \setminus \{2m\}$ , respectively.



**Figure 2.** Even rational neighborhoods  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0$  of  $\infty$  and of 0, respectively.

For each given  $\mathbf{n} \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$ , we add to the subset  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}$  its corresponding roof  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1)$ , and obtain the roofed subsets (see (5.22)),

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}} := \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}} = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0}) \setminus \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}, n_0}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0}), \quad (5.51)$$

which in view of (5.46) and (5.41) gives us the following partition

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}), \quad (5.52)$$

which we refer to as the *even rational partition* of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Here, we write  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty} = \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and in accordance with (5.41)(b) and (5.46), it follows from (5.52) that (for notation, cf. (5.40))

$$\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\sim}^{\infty} = \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}). \quad (5.53)$$

The following property is obtained in Section 10.4.3.

**Theorem 5.9.** The set  $\{\phi(\mathbb{H} \cap \partial \overline{\mathbb{D}})\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}}$  generates the *even rational partition* (5.52) of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

By intersecting the both sides of (5.52) with the closed unit disk  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , we get

$$\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^0 \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (5.54)$$

and for each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  we can apply  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}$  to both sides of (5.54), to obtain, in view of (5.23) and  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0}) = \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1) \sqcup \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0})$ ,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0}) = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}, \quad \mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.55)$$

This for arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  shows that (cf. [11, p. 44])

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im}>0}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N} \left( \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}} \right) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^M, M \geq N} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{m}},$$

so that in view of (5.35),

$$\bigsqcup_{d(\phi) \geq N, \phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel} \cup \{\phi_0\}} \phi(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{-}^0) = \bigsqcup_{d(\phi) = N, \phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel} \cup \{\phi_0\}} \phi(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im} > 0}), \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where for  $N = 0$  this splitting coincides with (5.54). In accordance with (5.35), (5.48) and (5.52), we can associate with each point  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  the corresponding *even rational height*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{E}}(z) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\infty} = \mathbb{H} \setminus \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \overline{\mathbb{D}}), \\ 1 + d(\phi), & \text{if } z \in \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \phi(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{-}^0)), \phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel} \cup \{\phi_0\}. \end{cases} \quad (5.56)$$

The results established in [11, p. 44] imply the asymptotics

$$\int_{-1}^1 \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{E}}(x + iy) dx = 2\pi^{-2} \log^2(1/y) + O(\log(1/y)), \quad 0 < y \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, we notice that the inclusion  $\mathcal{E}_{-}^0 \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1}$ , taken together with the properties (5.47)(b) and (5.17), shows that<sup>26</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{E}_{-}^0) = \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad \mathbb{G}_2(\gamma(-1, 1)) = \gamma(-1, 1); \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \mathbb{G}_2^N(\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathcal{E}_{-}^0, \quad \mathbb{G}_2^N(\gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(-1, 1)) = \gamma(-1, 1), \quad \text{if } N \geq 1; \\ \text{(c)} \quad & \mathbb{G}_2^k(\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\mathfrak{n}_k}, \quad \mathbb{G}_2^k(\gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}(-1, 1)) = \gamma_{\mathfrak{n}_k}(-1, 1), \quad \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq N-1, N \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.57)$$

Here,  $\mathfrak{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  for a given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we write  $\mathfrak{n}_k := (n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1)$  for  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . We observe that it follows from (2.25), Lemma 2.4 and (2.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \lambda(\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} < 1/2} \setminus \{0\}; \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{-}^0) = \lambda(-1/\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} > 1/2} \setminus \{1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.58)$$

## 6. Analytic continuation of the generating function

For arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathfrak{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  let  $d(\mathfrak{n}) := d(\phi_{\mathfrak{n}}) = N$  (see (5.35)),

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{n}}(z) := \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) := 1/\phi_{n_1, \dots, n_N}(1/z), \quad \psi_0(z) := z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (6.1)$$

and  $d(0) := 0$ . It can easily be shown from (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} 1/\phi_{n_1, \dots, n_N}(1/z) &= -1/\phi_{-n_1, \dots, -n_N}(-1/z), \quad (n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathfrak{N}_f}, \\ \psi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi_{\mathfrak{n}}(z)) &= \phi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi_{\mathfrak{n}}(z)) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}, \quad \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathfrak{N}_f} \cup \{0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

and the identities (5.47)(b) can be written as

$$\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{E}_{-}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}) = \mathcal{E}_{-}^0 = -1/\mathcal{E}_{-}^{\infty}, \quad n_N, \dots, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6.3)$$

The formulas (5.17) for arbitrary  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  take the form<sup>27</sup>

$$\mathbb{G}_2^N(y) = \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y), \quad N \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) = \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-2, \quad (6.4)$$

provided that  $y \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1})$  and  $z \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1})$ . In addition, according to (5.20), (5.45), (5.43) and (6.2), we have

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}}}, \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{|\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}}}, \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}, \quad \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathfrak{N}_f}.$$

Moreover, we observe that (5.36) gives

$$\lambda'(z) = (-1)^{d(\phi)} \lambda'(\phi(z)) \phi'(z), \quad \phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (6.5)$$

We let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed, and consider the following two functions of  $z$ ,

$$\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^{\delta} \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (6.6)$$

By (5.37), (5.40) and (2.33), the functions  $\Phi_{\infty}^0(x; z)$  and  $\Phi_{\infty}^1(x; z)$  are holomorphic at each point of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus S_{\infty}^{\infty}$ , and, in view of (4.6) and (4.7),<sup>28</sup>

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n H_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{\Phi_{\infty}^0(x; z)}{2\pi^2}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} n M_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{\Phi_{\infty}^1(x; z)}{2\pi^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} > 1}. \quad (6.7)$$

Furthermore, (5.42) and (6.5) imply that<sup>29</sup>

$$(-1)^{d(\phi)} \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) = \begin{cases} \phi'(z) \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; \phi(z)), & \text{if } d(\phi) \in \{0\} \cup 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \phi'(z) \Phi_{\infty}^{1-\delta}(x; \phi(z)), & \text{if } d(\phi) \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1, \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

holds for all  $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_{\infty}^{\infty}$ ,  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ . It follows from (5.41) that  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  is holomorphic 2-periodic function on the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\delta} = \mathbb{H} \setminus \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \mathbb{D})$ . The goal of this section is to prove that for every  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  can be analytically extended from this set to  $\mathbb{H}$ . To do this, it suffices to show that such an extension is possible from the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\delta}$  to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\delta}$ , because then the desired extension  $\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta}(x; z)$  on the remaining set  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0} (2n + \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im} > 0})$  can be constructed from the resulting extension  $\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z)$  by the formula

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^{\delta}(x; z + 2n) := \Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{Im} > 0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (6.9)$$

**6.1. Auxiliary lemmas.** In view of Lemma 2.4, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ ,  $\text{Im } z \neq 2$ , we introduce the function  $\Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z)$  as the integral (6.6), where  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  is replaced by the oriented contour  $\Pi(-1, 1)$ , which passes from  $-1$  to  $1$  along the polygonal contour  $(-1, -1 + 2i] \cup [-1 + 2i, 1 + 2i] \cup [1 + 2i, 1)$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  of  $z$  can be analytically extended from  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\delta} = \mathbb{H} \setminus \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \mathbb{D})$  to  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\delta} \sqcup \gamma(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0$  such that the resulting extension  $\Phi_0^{\delta}(x, z)$  satisfies*

$$\Phi_0^{\delta}(x, z) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\delta}; \\ \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - (x^{\delta} z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0. \end{cases} \quad (6.10)$$

*Proof.* By transforming the contour  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  of integration in (6.6) to  $\Pi(-1, 1)$  and using Lemma 2.4, we obtain from the residue theorem [13, p. 112] that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) &= \Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z), & z \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \sqcup \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} > 2}, \\ \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) &= \Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z) - (x^{\delta} z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}, & z \in \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \cap \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

This means that the function  $\Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z) - (x^{\delta} z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}$ , being holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ , coincides on the set  $\mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \subset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  with the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$ , which is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \supset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}$ . By the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see [13, p. 78]), we find that the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  can be analytically extended from  $\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\square} = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \cup (\mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\square})$ . Moreover, for  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \subset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} < 2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ , the resulting extension equals the expression  $H(z) := \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - (x^{\delta} z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}$  since  $\Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z) = \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  holds for all  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}$ , in view of (6.11). But by (5.47)(a) and (5.53), we see that  $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0 \subset \mathbb{H} \setminus S_{\infty}^{\infty}$ , and since  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0$  is simply connected it follows that the latter function  $H(z)$  is actually holomorphic on  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0$ . This proves (6.10) and completes the proof of Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and the sets  $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$  be defined as in (5.45). The function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  of  $z$  can be analytically extended from  $\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$  such that the resulting extension  $\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x, z)$  satisfies*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x, z) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}; \\ \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - \Delta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x; z), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}. \end{cases} \quad (6.12)$$

where the holomorphic at each  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  function  $\Delta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x; z)$  is defined as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x; z) := \begin{cases} \psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z)(x^{\delta}\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}, & N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ -\psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z)(x^{1-\delta}\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) - (-x)^{\delta})^{-2}, & N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1. \end{cases} \quad (6.13)$$

*Proof.* We put  $\delta_N := \delta$  if  $N$  is even, while  $\delta_N := 1 - \delta$  if  $N$  is odd. Let the function  $z \mapsto \Phi_0^{\delta_N}(x, z) \in \text{Hol}(\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty} \sqcup \gamma(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0)$  be given as in Lemma 6.1 with  $\delta = \delta_N$ . By (5.44), (5.45) and (6.2), we have  $\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_{\square} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty} \sqcup \gamma(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0$ , in view of (5.47)(a). It now follows that  $z \mapsto \Phi_0^{\delta_N}(x, \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z))$  is in  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}})$ . By (6.8), we obtain from (6.10) that, for  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$ ,

$$\Phi_0^{\delta_N}(x, \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z)) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z)) = \psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z)^{-1}\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z), & N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \Phi_{\infty}^{1-\delta}(x; \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z)) = -\psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z)^{-1}\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z), & N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$  then

$$\Phi_0^{\delta_N}(x, \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z)) = \begin{cases} \psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z)^{-1}\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - (x^{\delta}\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}, & N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ -\psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z)^{-1}\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - (x^{1-\delta}\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) - (-x)^{\delta})^{-2}, & N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1. \end{cases}$$

Hence the function  $\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x, z) := (-1)^N \psi'_{\mathbf{n}}(z) \Phi_0^{\delta_N}(x, \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z))$  is in  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}} \sqcup \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x, z) = \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$ , while

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x, z) = \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - (-1)^N (x^{\delta_N}\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) - (-x)^{1-\delta_N})^{-2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

This shows that as a function of  $z$ ,  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  can be analytically extended from  $\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}^{\mathbf{n}}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$ , and the formulas (6.12), (6.13) hold as well. Lemma 6.2 follows.  $\square$

The desired analytical extension  $\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z)$  of the function  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  from the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty}$  to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty}$  can be easily constructed by combining the results of Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2.

**Lemma 6.3** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  be given by (6.6). The function  $z \mapsto \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z)$  extends analytically from the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty}$  to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty}$  such that the resulting extension  $\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z)$  on the set*

$$(\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty}) \setminus S_{\infty}^{\infty} = \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty} \sqcup \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0 \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\mathbf{n}} \quad (6.14)$$

satisfies

$$\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z) = \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\infty}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z) = \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - \Delta_0^{\delta}(x; z), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^0, \quad (6.16)$$

$$\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z) = \Phi_{\infty}^{\delta}(x; z) - \Delta_0^{\delta}(x; z) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta_{n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_{N-k}}^{\delta}(x; z), \quad (6.17)$$

when  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  for arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ . Here, for every  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\delta}(x; z)$  is defined as in (6.13) and

$$\Delta_0^{\delta}(x; z) := (x^{\delta}z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \delta \in \{0, 1\}, z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (6.18)$$

*Proof.* As follows from  $\mathcal{E}_\infty = \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and (5.41), we have  $\mathcal{E}_\infty \cap S_\infty = \emptyset$ , and hence (6.14) is immediate from (5.46). Since  $\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  is holomorphic at each point of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty$  we conclude from (6.14) that  $\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$  for every  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}$ .

What remains to prove is that  $\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z)$  extends analytically across  $\gamma_n(-1, 1)$  for each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}$ . In the case when  $\mathbf{n} = 0$ , this fact follows from Lemma 6.1 because, in accordance with (6.10),  $\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_0^\delta(x, z)$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty \sqcup \mathcal{E}_0^0$ . If  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  then  $\gamma_n(-1, 1)$  is the roof of  $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^n$  and is the lower arch of  $\mathcal{F}_\nabla^n$ , by virtue of (5.45). According to (5.47)(a),  $\mathcal{F}_\Delta^n \subset \mathcal{E}_\infty^n$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\nabla^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\nabla^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\nabla}^{n_N, \dots, n_2}$ , if  $N \geq 2$ , while  $\mathcal{F}_\nabla^{n_1} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\nabla^{n_1} \subset \mathcal{E}_\infty^0$ , if  $N = 1$ . Hence, in this case, the required property follows from Lemma 6.2, because for each  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\nabla^n \sqcup \mathcal{F}_\Delta^n$ , the difference  $\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z) - \Phi_n^\delta(x, z)$  equals the function

$$- \Delta_0^\delta(x; z) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \Delta_{n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_{N-k}}^\delta(x; z), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{-1} := 0,$$

which is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ , in view of (6.13) and (6.18). Lemma 6.3 follows.  $\square$

**6.2. Main result.** By (5.51), we obtain from (5.54) and (5.55) that

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0} \setminus S_\infty^{\text{||}} = \mathcal{E}_\infty^0 \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}} \mathcal{E}_\infty^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad (6.19)$$

$$\phi_n(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}) \setminus S_\infty^{\text{||}} = \mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{m_M, \dots, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, M \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1, m_M, \dots, m_1}, \quad (6.20)$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ . Consequently, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , the formula for  $\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z)$  in Lemma 6.3 can be written in the form

$$\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z) - \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \Delta_n^\delta(x; z) \chi_{\phi_n(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus S_\infty^{\text{||}},$$

where, for every  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus S_\infty^{\text{||}}$ , the sum has only finitely many nonzero terms, the number of which equals (see (5.56), (6.19) and (6.20))<sup>30</sup>

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \chi_{\phi_n(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})}(z) = \mathbf{h}_\mathcal{E}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus S_\infty^{\text{||}}. \quad (6.21)$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , and put  $\delta_{2n} := \delta$ ,  $\delta_{2n+1} := 1 - \delta$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The equalities of sets in (6.3) and in (5.47)(b) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(\mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1}) &= \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(\phi_{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{E}_\infty^0))) \\ &= \phi_{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{E}_\infty^0) = \mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, in view of (6.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z) &= \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1}, \\ \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(\mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1}) &= \mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}, \quad \mathbb{G}_2^N(\mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1}) = \mathcal{E}_\infty^0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

By applying the identity

$$|\phi'(z)| = \frac{\text{Im} \phi(z)}{\text{Im} z}, \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

we see from (6.22) and (6.2) that

$$\left| \psi'_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z) \right| = \frac{\text{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z)}{\text{Im} z}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^{n_N, \dots, n_1}. \quad (6.23)$$

In view of (6.22), for every  $0 \leq k \leq N-1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  we can write the function  $\Delta_{n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_{N-k}}^\delta$  from (6.17) in the form (see (6.13))

$$\Delta_{n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_{N-k}}^\delta(x, z) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \psi'_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z)}{(x^{1-\delta_k} \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) - (-x)^{\delta_k})^2}, \quad (6.24)$$

where  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and the properties (6.22), (6.23) hold. On the other hand, in the formula (6.17) the values of the function  $\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  for  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  can be expressed with the help of (6.8) through its values on  $\mathcal{E}_-^0$ :

$$(-1)^N \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z) / \psi'_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = \Phi_\infty^{\delta_N}(x; \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) = \Phi_\infty^{\delta_N}(x; \mathbb{G}_2^N(z)), \quad (6.25)$$

because  $\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \in \Gamma_\vartheta$ , by virtue of (6.2), and  $\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = \mathbb{G}_2^N(z) \in \mathcal{E}_-^0$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$ . Moreover, the first equality in (6.11) actually holds for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0$ . Indeed, by (5.58)(b) we have  $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_-^0) = \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} > 1/2} \setminus \{1\}$ , while  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} \leq 1/2} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ , as follows from (2.25)(c). It follows that  $\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta) \neq 0$  holds for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \mathbb{D}$  and  $z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \mathbb{D}$  is simply connected and  $\gamma(-1, 1) \subset \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\Pi(-1, 1) \subset \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus \mathbb{D}$ , we can transform the contour  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  of integration in (6.6) to  $\Pi(-1, 1)$  for each  $z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0$  to get  $\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_\square^\delta(x; z)$  and hence

$$\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; \mathbb{G}_2^N(z)) = \Phi_\square^\delta(x; \mathbb{G}_2^N(z)), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (6.26)$$

A similar change of the contour  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  can be made in (6.16) and in (6.15) after application (6.8) to (6.15) with  $\phi(z) = -1/z$ . Combining this with (6.26), (6.25), (6.24), (6.22), (6.23) and Lemma 6.3 gives the main result of this section.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z) &:= I_{\gamma(-1, 1)}^\delta(x; z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\infty; \quad \Phi_\square^\delta(x; z) := I_{\Pi(-1, 1)}^\delta(x; z), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0, \\ I_\Gamma^\delta(x; z) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta))(x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the contour  $\Pi(-1, 1) = (-1, -1+2i] \cup [-1+2i, 1+2i] \cup [1+2i, 1)$  passes from  $-1$  to  $1$ ,  $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_-^0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} > 1/2}$ ,  $\lambda(\Pi(-1, 1)) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} < 1/2}$  and the convergence of both integrals is absolute, in view of (2.33) and (7.2).

**Theorem 6.4** *For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  the function  $\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  of the variable  $z$  can be analytically extended from the set  $\mathcal{E}_-^\infty = \mathbb{H} \setminus \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \mathbb{D})$  to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_-^\infty$  such that the resulting extension  $\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z)$  on the set (see (5.53))*

$$(\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_-^\infty) \setminus S_{||}^\infty = \mathcal{E}_-^\infty \sqcup \mathcal{E}_-^0 \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \\ N \in \mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \quad (6.27)$$

for  $\delta_{2n} := \delta$ ,  $\delta_{2n+1} := 1 - \delta$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_N, \dots, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  satisfies

$$\Phi_{||}^\delta(x; z) = \begin{cases} -z^{-2} \Phi_\square^{1-\delta}(x; -1/z), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_-^\infty, \\ -\frac{1}{(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} + \Phi_\square^\delta(x; z), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0, \\ -\frac{1}{(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^k \psi'_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z)}{(x^{1-\delta_k} \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) - (-x)^{\delta_k})^2} \\ + (-1)^N \psi'_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) \Phi_\square^{\delta_N}(x; \mathbb{G}_2^N(z)), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}, \end{cases} \quad (6.28)$$

where the sets in (6.27) and  $\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \in \Gamma_\vartheta$  in (6.28) are defined as in (5.42), (5.47), (5.50), and as in (6.1), correspondingly. Here, in (6.28) we have

$$\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z) = \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1,$$

$\mathbb{G}_2^N(\mathcal{E}_{n_N, \dots, n_1}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}) = \mathcal{E}_-^0$  and  $-1/\mathcal{E}_-^\infty = \mathcal{E}_-^0$  (see also (6.22) and (6.23)).

We observe that by the definition (5.12) of  $\mathbb{G}_2(z)$  and the identity  $\operatorname{Im}(-1/z) = (\operatorname{Im} z)/|z|^2$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have  $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z) = \operatorname{Im}(-1/z)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$  and thus

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z) = \operatorname{Im}(-1/z) \geq \operatorname{Im} z, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}; \quad \operatorname{Im}(-1/z) \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (6.29)$$

Since for every  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  we have  $\mathbb{G}_2^k(z) \in \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} z &\leq \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^k(z) \leq \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) = \operatorname{Im}(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^k(z)) \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \\ \operatorname{Im}(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^N(z)) &\leq 1/\operatorname{Im} z, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}, \quad n_N, \dots, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

## 7. Evaluation of biorthogonal functions for large index

The relations<sup>31</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_2(z)^4 &= (\sigma - z)^{-2} \Theta_4(1/(\sigma - z))^4, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}; \\ \operatorname{Im}(\sigma - z)^{-1} &\geq 1/2, \quad |z| > 1, \quad \sigma \operatorname{Re} z \in [0, 1], \quad \operatorname{Im} z \leq 1, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the Landen equations (2.30) imply that<sup>5</sup>

$$|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \operatorname{Im}^2 z \leq \theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 \leq 5, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty}; \quad \lambda(2z) = \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}} \right)^2, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}, \quad (7.1)$$

where the value of  $\theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})$  is taken from [9, p. 325] and the principal branch of the square root is used. From (3.31) and (2.23)(b) we thus obtain<sup>32</sup>

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda(2i)} = \frac{11 + 8\sqrt{2}}{21}, \quad |\lambda(\pm 1 + it)| \geq \frac{17 - 12\sqrt{2}}{16} e^{\pi/t}, \quad t \in (0, 2]. \quad (7.2)$$

**7.1. Evaluation of the generating function.** Using the identity  $\lambda'(z) = \pi i \Theta_4(z)^4 \lambda(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , written in (2.29), and the fact that all three values of the variable  $z$  used in the formula (6.28) for the function  $\Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z)$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0$ , this function can be estimated as

$$|\Phi_{\square}^{\delta}(x; z)| \leq |\Theta_4(z)|^4 I_{\delta}(z), \quad I_{\delta}(z) := \int_{\Pi(-1, 1)} \frac{(|\lambda(z)| / |\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)|) |d\zeta|}{2|x^{\delta}\zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta}|^2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0.$$

For arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we obviously have

$$|z + x| \geq \operatorname{Im} z, \quad |xz - 1| = |z| |x - (1/z)| \geq |z| \operatorname{Im}(-1/z) = \operatorname{Im} z / |z| \geq \operatorname{Im} z,$$

and hence

$$|x^{\delta} z - (-x)^{1-\delta}| \geq (\min\{1, |z|^{-1}\}) \operatorname{Im} z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.3)$$

Since the sets  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  in (6.28) are the subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$  and for any  $z$  from these sets we have  $\mathbb{G}_2^k(z) \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq N$  ( $N = 0$ , if  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0$ ), in view of (6.22), (5.54) and (5.51), we deduce from (7.3) that

$$\left| \frac{1}{(x^{\delta} \mathbb{G}_2^k(z) - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}^2 \mathbb{G}_2^k(z)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq d(\mathbf{n}), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f},$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_2^0(z) := z$ . Together with (6.23), this gives the following estimate for the sum in (6.28)

$$\left| \frac{1}{(x^{\delta} z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^k \psi'_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z)}{(x^{1-\delta_k} \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) - (-x)^{\delta_k})^2} \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^k(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z) \operatorname{Im}^2 \mathbb{G}_2^k(z)}.$$

As the roof  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is also the roof of  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  then, in accordance with Lemma 5.3(e), the property  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  implies that  $\operatorname{Im} z \leq 1/(2N)$ , i.e.,  $2N \leq 1/(\operatorname{Im} z)$  and, by (6.30), we obtain for the latter sum the estimate

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im} z) \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^k(z)} \leq \frac{N+1}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2} \leq \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^3}, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7.4)$$

As a result, we obtain the following estimates for the functions from (6.28):

$$|\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}(x; z)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\Theta_4(-1/z)|^4}{|z|^2} I_{\delta}(-1/z), & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ |\Theta_4(z)|^4 I_{\delta}(z) + \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2}, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0; \\ \frac{|\Theta_4(\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))|^4}{(\operatorname{Im} z) \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^N(z)} I_{\delta}(\mathbb{G}_2^N(z)) + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^k(z)}}{\operatorname{Im} z}, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbb{N}}. \end{cases} \quad (7.5)$$

Here,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and the equality (6.23) was used.

Since for arbitrary  $\zeta \in \Pi(-1, 1)$ , we have  $|\zeta| \leq \sqrt{5}$ , (7.3) gives that

$$\frac{I_{\delta}(z)}{(5/2)} \leq \int_{\Pi(-1, 1)} \frac{|\lambda(z)| |d\zeta|}{|\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)| (\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^2} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|\lambda(z)| dt}{4|\lambda(z) - \lambda(2i+t)|} + \int_0^2 \frac{2|\lambda(z)| dt}{t^2 |\lambda(z) - \lambda(1+it)|}.$$

If we denote by  $I_{\delta}^{-}(z)$  and  $I_{\delta}^{\dagger}(z)$  the first and the second integrals in the right-hand side of the above equality, correspondingly, we can apply (2.45)(c) and (2.45)(d) to get  $(2/5)I_{\delta}(z) \leq I_{\delta}^{-}(z) + I_{\delta}^{\dagger}(z)$  and

$$I_{\delta}^{-}(z) \leq \frac{2}{1 - 2\lambda(2i)}, \quad I_{\delta}^{\dagger}(z) \leq \int_0^2 \frac{2\sqrt{2} |\lambda(z)| dt}{t^2 (|\lambda(z)| + |\lambda(1+it)|)}, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0. \quad (7.6)$$

Let  $a := |\lambda(z)|$ . Then  $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} > 1/2}$  implies  $a > 1/2$  and using (7.2) we get<sup>33</sup>

$$\frac{I_{\delta}^{\dagger}(z)}{2a\sqrt{2}} \leq \int_0^2 \frac{dt}{t^2 \left( a + \frac{17 - 12\sqrt{2}}{16} e^{\pi/t} \right)} = \frac{1}{\pi a} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16 e^{-\pi/2} a}{17 - 12\sqrt{2}} \right),$$

from which

$$I_{\delta}^{\dagger}(z) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16 e^{-\pi/2}}{17 - 12\sqrt{2}} |\lambda(z)| \right), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0,$$

and hence, we deduce from (7.6) and (7.2) that

$$I_{\delta}(z) \leq \frac{55 + 40\sqrt{2}}{21} + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16 e^{-\pi/2}}{17 - 12\sqrt{2}} |\lambda(z)| \right), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0. \quad (7.7)$$

To obtain the final estimates of  $\Phi_{\parallel}^{\delta}$ , we need to deal with the variable  $z$  lying in  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}} = -1/\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0$  but not in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0$ . Hence, we transform (7.7), taking into account that due to 2-periodicity of  $\lambda$  we have  $1 - \lambda(z) = \lambda(-1/z) = \lambda(\mathbb{G}_2(z))$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_2(z) \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \setminus \mathbb{D}$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{N}}^0$ , in view of (5.57)(a). Namely,

$$I_{\delta}(z) \leq \frac{55 + 40\sqrt{2}}{21} + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16 e^{-\pi/2}}{17 - 12\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log (1 + |\lambda(-1/z)|),$$



which after numerical calculations can be written as<sup>34</sup>

$$I_\delta(z) \leq 16 + (9/4) \log \left( 1 + |\lambda(\mathbb{G}_2(z))| \right), \quad \mathbb{G}_2(z) \in \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathcal{E}_\sim^\infty, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^0. \quad (7.8)$$

But for arbitrary  $x + iy \in \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  by (2.40), (3.31) and (2.23)(b) we have

$$|\lambda(x + iy)| \leq |\lambda(1 + iy)| = \lambda(iy)/\lambda(i/y) \leq 16 e^{-\pi y + \pi/y},$$

from which

$$\log(1 + |\lambda(x + iy)|) \leq \log \left( 1 + 16 e^{-\pi y + \pi/y} \right) \leq \pi(1 + 1/y),$$

and hence<sup>34</sup>

$$I_\delta(z) \leq \frac{147\pi}{20} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z)} \right), \quad \mathbb{G}_2(z) \in \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathcal{E}_\sim^\infty, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^0. \quad (7.9)$$

By applying for the three expressions in (7.5) the obvious consequence  $\operatorname{Im} z = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(-1/z)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^\infty$ , of (5.11),  $(147/20)\theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 < 30$ , the left-hand side inequality of (7.1), (2.20)(a),(c) and (7.9), we obtain in Section 10.5.1 the following properties.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_\sim^\infty$  and the function  $\Phi_{\parallel}^\delta(x; z)$  be defined as in Theorem 6.4. Then*

$$|\Phi_{\parallel}^\delta(x; z)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{30\pi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} z} \right)}{\operatorname{Im}^2 z}, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^\infty; \\ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}^2 z} + \frac{30\pi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z)} \right)}{(\operatorname{Im} z) \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z)}, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^0; \\ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^k(z)}}{\operatorname{Im} z} + \frac{30\pi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z)} \right)}{(\operatorname{Im} z) \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z)}, & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^n; \end{cases} \quad (7.10)$$

$\mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{E}_\sim^0) = \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(\mathcal{E}_\sim^n) = \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , for arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ .

By (6.29),  $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z) \geq \operatorname{Im} z$  for every  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^0 \subset \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}$ . At the same time, for any  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  and  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^n$  we have  $\mathbb{G}_2^N(z) \in \mathcal{E}_\sim^0 \subset \mathbb{D}_{\operatorname{Im} > 0}$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^N(z) \geq \operatorname{Im} z$ , in view of (5.57)(b) and (6.30), correspondingly. Applying (6.29) once more, we get  $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z) \geq \operatorname{Im} z$ . Since  $\Phi_{\parallel}^\delta$  of the variable  $z$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$  we derive from (7.10) and (7.4) the next assertion.<sup>36</sup>

**Corollary 7.2.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_\sim^\infty$  and the function  $\Phi_{\parallel}^\delta(x; z)$  be defined as in Theorem 6.4. Then*

$$|\Phi_{\parallel}^\delta(x; z)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{20\pi^2}{\operatorname{Im}^3 z}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} z \leq 1, \\ \frac{20\pi^2}{\operatorname{Im}^2 z}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Im} z \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (7.11)$$

**7.2. Main inequalities.** It follows from (6.7) that for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$4\pi^2 n H_n(x) = \int_{-1+2i}^{1+2i} e^{-i\pi n z} \Phi_\infty^0(x; z) dz, \quad 4\pi^2 n M_n(x) = \int_{-1+2i}^{1+2i} e^{-i\pi n z} \Phi_\infty^1(x; z) dz.$$

By Theorem 6.4, for every  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  the function  $\Phi_\infty^\delta$  can be analytically extended to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \cup \mathcal{E}_\infty^\delta$  and in a second step, by (6.9), to 2-periodic holomorphic function  $\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; z)$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , which equals  $\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; z)$  on  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$ . As a consequence, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain from the above formulas that

$$4\pi^2 n H_n(x) = \int_{-1+i/n}^{1+i/n} e^{-i\pi n z} \Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^0(x; z) dz, \quad 4\pi^2 n M_n(x) = \int_{-1+i/n}^{1+i/n} e^{-i\pi n z} \Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^1(x; z) dz,$$

from which it follows that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |H_n(x)| \\ |M_n(x)| \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{e^\pi}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}} \left( x; \frac{i}{n} + t \right) \right| dt, \quad (7.12)$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\operatorname{Im}(t + i/n) = 1/n \leq 1$  we can apply (7.11) to estimate the integral in the right-hand side of (7.12) as follows

$$|H_n(x)|, |M_n(x)| \leq 20\pi^2 n^3 \frac{e^\pi}{2\pi^2 n} = 10e^\pi n^2 < \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{4}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here, we used<sup>37</sup> that  $40 \exp(\pi) < \pi^6$ . Using (1.14) and (1.15), we obtain<sup>38</sup>

$$|H_n(x)|, |M_n(x)| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{4}, \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{2(1+x^2)} \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}. \quad (7.13)$$

The corresponding estimates of  $H_0$  can be derived from the explicit integral formula written after (1.16):<sup>39</sup>

$$|H_0(x)| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{1+x^2} \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.14)$$

The first immediate consequence of the obtained estimates and (6.7) is the possibility of expressing the functions  $\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  in the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n H_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^0(x; z)}{2\pi^2}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} n M_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^1(x; z)}{2\pi^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (7.15)$$

because, by (7.13) and (7.14), both series on the left-hand sides of the equalities in (6.7) turn out to be holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ . It follows from (6.6), the identity  $\lambda'(z) = \pi i \Theta_4(z)^4 \lambda(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  (see (2.29)), and the asymptotics  $\lambda(it) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , that for all  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function

$$\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda(z)}{\lambda(\zeta)} \right) d\zeta, \quad (7.16)$$

of the variable  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^\delta$  is the unique primitive of  $\Phi_\infty^\delta$  which has limit 0 as  $z \in i\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ ,  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we derive from (6.7) that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} H_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{i\Psi_\infty^0(x; z)}{2\pi}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{i\Psi_\infty^1(x; z)}{2\pi}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{\operatorname{Im} > 1},$$

and since both series here for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  are holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ , in view of (7.13) and (7.14), we conclude that for any  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $\Psi_\infty^\delta$  has analytic extension from  $\mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}>1}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$  and the resulting extension  $\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta$  satisfies

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} H_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{i\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^0(x; z)}{2\pi}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} = \frac{i\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^1(x; z)}{2\pi}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (7.17)$$

It follows from (7.15) and (7.17) that

$$(\partial/\partial z)\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; z), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (7.18)$$

When we integrate by parts, (7.16) becomes (see (2.33))

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \log\left(1 - \frac{\lambda(z)}{\lambda(\zeta)}\right) d\frac{1}{x^\delta(x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda(z)\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))} \frac{d\zeta}{x^\delta(x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, in view of  $\lambda'(z) = i\pi\lambda(z)(1 - \lambda(z))\Theta_3(z)^4$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  (see (2.29)), we find

$$\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda(z)(1 - \lambda(\zeta))\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))x^\delta(x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (7.19)$$

In comparison with (7.16), the formula (7.19) determines the values of  $\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  for arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\infty$ , and, it can be easily calculated that<sup>40</sup>

$$(\partial/\partial z)\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}.$$

This relationship enables us to apply the reasons as in the proof of Lemma 6.1 to obtain for arbitrary  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  that<sup>41</sup>

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; z) = \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) + \frac{1}{x^\delta(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^0 \subset \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\infty. \quad (7.20)$$

## 8. Interpolation formula for the Klein-Gordon equation

**8.1. Hyperbolic Fourier series in  $\mathbb{H}$ .** In view of (6.9), (6.16) and (6.10), for arbitrary fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  we have  $\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_0^\delta(x; z)$  for any  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^\infty \sqcup \mathcal{E}_\infty^0$ , where  $-1/\mathcal{E}_\infty^\infty = \mathcal{E}_\infty^0$ . Then for each  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^\infty$  it follows from (6.8), (6.10), (6.15) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; -1/z) &= \Phi_0^\delta(x; -1/z) = \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; -1/z) - (x^\delta(-1/z) - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2} \\ &= -z^2\Phi_\infty^{1-\delta}(x; z) - z^2(x^\delta + z(-x)^{1-\delta})^{-2} = -z^2\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^{1-\delta}(x; z) - z^2(x^{1-\delta}z - (-x)^\delta)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and since the functions appearing on the two sides of this identity are holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$  we obtain, by the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see [13, p. 78, Theorem 3.7(c)]), that

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^{1-\delta}(x; z) + z^{-2}\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}^\delta(x; -1/z) = -(x^{1-\delta}z - (-x)^\delta)^{-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (8.1)$$

In the case of  $\delta = 1$ , we integrate (8.1) with respect to the variable  $z$  and obtain, by (7.18),

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^0(x; z) + \Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^1(x; -1/z) = (z + x)^{-1} + \eta(x),$$

where, for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , we use (7.19), (7.20) and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda(i/t) = 1$  to see that

$$\eta(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^1(x; i/t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{x(x\zeta - 1)} - \frac{1}{x} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{x(x\zeta - 1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{x} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\zeta \Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{x\zeta - 1} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{-\Theta_3(-1/\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{\zeta^2(x - 1/\zeta)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{\zeta + x}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the continuity of  $\eta$  at  $x = 0$ , we get that

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^0(x; z) + \Psi_{\mathbb{H}}^1\left(x; -\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{z+x} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{\zeta + x}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.2)$$

By substituting the identities (7.15) and (7.17) into (8.1) (with  $\delta = 1$ ) and in (8.2), respectively, we see that for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$  the following identities hold:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i(x-z)^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} i\pi n H_{-n}(x) e^{i\pi n z} + \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} i\pi n M_{-n}(x) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}}, \quad (8.3)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{t-\zeta} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{-n}(t) e^{i\pi n z} + \sum_{n \geq 1} M_{-n}(t) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}}. \quad (8.4)$$

By multiplying the latter equality by a function  $F \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$  we integrate it with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and apply well-known property of functions in  $H^1(\mathbb{H})$  (see [25, p. 116]), together with the estimate (2.34) and the identity (see (2.38))

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) H_0(t) dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{t-\zeta} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{t+\zeta} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{t-\zeta} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

to obtain that  $F$  enjoys the representation (see (1.3))

$$F(z) = h_0(F) + \sum_{n \geq 1} h_n(F) e^{i\pi n z} + \sum_{n \geq 1} m_n(F) \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi n}{z}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (8.5)$$

We now extend this representation to a larger class of functions. According to [16, p. 60, Corollary 3.4],  $\Phi(i(1-z)/(1+z)) \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\Phi(z)/(z+i)^2 \in H_+^1(\mathbb{H})$ . Since then  $F_\varepsilon(z) := \Phi(z)/(i+\varepsilon z)^2 \in H_+^1(\mathbb{H})$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can expand  $F_\varepsilon$  as in (8.5). We then apply the estimates (7.13), (7.14) together with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem [30, p. 161] as  $0 < \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to get the following representation for functions in a weighted Hardy class  $H_+^1(\mathbb{H})$  (cf. Theorem 3.1 in [11, p. 14]).

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $F(z)/(z+i)^2 \in H_+^1(\mathbb{H})$ . Then*

$$F(z) = h_0(F) + \sum_{n \geq 1} h_n(F) e^{i\pi n z} + \sum_{n \geq 1} m_n(F) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

where

$$h_n(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) H_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad m_n(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) M_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and  $|h_n(F)|, |m_n(F)| \leq (\pi^6/4) n^2 \|F_{\mathbb{D}}\|_{H_+^1}, n \geq 1, F_{\mathbb{D}}(z) := F(z)/(z+i)^2, z \in \mathbb{H}$ .

In view of Jordan's lemma, for any  $x, y > 0$  the function  $z \mapsto \exp(ixz - iy/z)$  is bounded and holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ixt - iy/t} dt}{t - z} &:= \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-A}^A \frac{e^{ixt - iy/t} dt}{t - z} \\ &= 2\pi i e^{ixz - iy/z} \chi_{\mathbb{H}}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad x > 0, \quad y \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

By multiplying (8.4) by this function and after integrating over  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain as above,

$$e^{ixz - iy/z} = \mathcal{R}_0(x, -y) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{R}_n(x, -y) e^{i\pi n z} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{R}_n(y, -x) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}},$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $x, y \geq 0$ , where

$$\mathcal{R}_n(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} H_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.7)$$

Here, the change of variable  $t' = -1/t$  and the symmetry property (1.15) entail that, for arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_n(y, -x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iyt - ix/t} H_{-n}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt - iy/t} M_{-n}(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (8.8)$$

while, as a consequence of (3.21), we have

$$\mathcal{R}_0(x, -y) = \mathcal{R}_0(y, -x) = \mathcal{R}_0(-x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.9)$$

**8.2. Conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series.** For arbitrary  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the series in the right-hand side of the equality (1.7) is called *conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series* of  $\varphi$ , where the coefficients are defined as in (1.5). Taking account the estimates (7.13), the result (1.7) can be improved as follows.

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the numbers  $\{\mathfrak{h}_n^*(\varphi)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{m}_n^*(\varphi)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}}$  be defined as in (1.4), and*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} n^2 (|\mathfrak{h}_n^*(\varphi)| + |\mathfrak{m}_n^*(\varphi)|) < \infty. \quad (8.10)$$

*Then  $\varphi$  can be expanded into the conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series (1.7), which converges absolutely and uniformly over all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

We now apply Theorem 8.2 to obtain the conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series expansion of the Poisson kernel<sup>42</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(t-x)^2 + y^2} &= H_0(t) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( e^{-\pi n(y+ix)} H_n(t) + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y+ix}} M_n(t) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( e^{-\pi n(y-ix)} H_{-n}(t) + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y-ix}} M_{-n}(t) \right), \quad t, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

This allows us to expand the harmonic extension to the upper half-plane of a given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+x^2)^{-1} dx)$  given by convolution with the Poisson kernel in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{(t-x)^2 + y^2} f(t) dt &= \mathfrak{h}_0(f) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( e^{-\pi n(y-ix)} \mathfrak{h}_n(f) + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y-ix}} \mathfrak{m}_n(f) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( e^{-\pi n(y+ix)} \mathfrak{h}_{-n}(f) + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y+ix}} \mathfrak{m}_{-n}(f) \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This formula can be understood as the regularization of the hyperbolic Fourier series (1.3) of  $f$  found by considering the harmonic extensions of the basis functions.

**8.3. Density in Hardy classes.** By manipulations similar to those employed in the proof of Corollary 3.3 in [16, p.59] we obtain in Section 10.6.1 the following property of the Hardy class  $H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 8.3.** *The linear subspace  $H_+^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap S(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ .*

In view of (1.7), every  $\varphi \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap S(\mathbb{R})$ , can be expanded in an absolutely convergent conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series, all whose coefficients with non-positive indexes are zero, as follows from (1.4) and the known properties of the functions from the class  $H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$  (see [16, p.88, 2(iv)]). It now follows from (4.1) and (1.14) that the following property holds.

**Theorem 8.4.** *The function system  $\{H_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1} \cup \{M_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$  is complete in  $H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ , while the functions  $\{H_{-n}(x)\}_{n \geq 1} \cup \{M_{-n}(x)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H_-^1(\mathbb{R})$  form a complete system in  $H_-^1(\mathbb{R})$ .*

**8.4. Interpolating functions and interpolation formula.** We apply Jordan's lemma and the residue theorem in the same way as for the proof of (8.6), and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ixt - iy/t} dt}{(t - z)^2} = -2\pi \left( x + \frac{y}{z^2} \right) e^{ixz - iy/z} \chi_{\mathbb{H}}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (8.12)$$

for all  $x > 0, y \geq 0$ . We proceed and apply this identity together with (8.6) to the formulas (2.38) written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \Theta_3(z)^4 \left( \frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{1}{t + z} \right) dz, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ H_{-n}(t) = H_n(-t) &= \frac{(-1)}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta(1/\lambda(z)) dz}{(t - z)^2}, \quad n \geq 1, t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

and obtain from (8.7), by using (2.34), (3.29)(a) and (3.27)(a), that the integral representations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n(x, -y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt - iy/t} H_{-n}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \left( x + \frac{y}{z^2} \right) e^{ixz - iy/z} S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(z)} \right) dz, \\ \mathcal{R}_0(x, -y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt - iy/t} H_0(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \Theta_3(z)^4 e^{ixz - iy/z} dz, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

hold for all  $x, y \geq 0$ , because  $\mathcal{R}_n \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , as we see from the estimates (7.13) and (7.14). In addition to the symmetry property (8.9), we observe that by substituting (8.13) into (8.7), while taking into account (8.12) (interchange in orders of integration is justified by (3.29)(a) and (3.27)(a)), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n(-x, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixt + iy/t} H_{-n}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt - iy/t} H_n(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} S_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(z)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ixt - iy/t} dt}{(t + z)^2} dz = 0, \quad x, y > 0, n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

and hence, since  $\mathcal{R}_n$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , we find that

$$\mathcal{R}_n(-x, y) = 0, \quad x, y \geq 0, n \geq 1. \quad (8.16)$$

This property also follows directly from  $H_n \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$  (see (4.1)) and the equality (8.15). In Section 10.6.2 we prove the following assertion.

**Theorem 8.5.** *Let  $\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be given by (8.7). Then  $\mathcal{R}_n \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and, in addition, for each  $n \geq 0$ , the restriction of the function  $\mathcal{R}_n$  to the quadrant  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$  extends to all of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as an entire function of two variables. At the same time, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \mathcal{R}_0(\pi m, 0) = \delta_{0m}, \quad \mathcal{R}_0(0, -\pi m) = \delta_{0m}, \quad m \geq 0, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \mathcal{R}_n(\pi m, 0) = \delta_{nm}, \quad \mathcal{R}_n(0, -\pi m) = 0, \quad m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

The function  $\mathcal{R}_0$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_0(x, -y) = \mathcal{R}_0(y, -x) = \mathcal{R}_0(-x, y), \quad |\mathcal{R}_0(x, y)| \leq 3\pi, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (8.18)$$

$$|\mathcal{R}_0(x, -y)| \leq 5K_0 \left( \sqrt{2\pi(x+y+1)} \right), \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad (8.19)$$

while for any  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\mathcal{R}_n(-x, y) = 0, \quad x, y \geq 0; \quad |\mathcal{R}_n(x, y)| \leq \pi^7 n^2 / 2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (8.20)$$

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(x, -y)| \leq 2\pi^3 e^{2\pi n} \frac{(x+y)}{\sqrt{x+y+1}} K_1 \left( 2\sqrt{\pi(x+y+1)} \right), \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad (8.21)$$

where  $K_0(x) = \int_1^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-1/2} \exp(-xt) dt$ ,  $K_1(x) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-x\sqrt{t^2 + 1}) dt$ ,  $x > 0$ , are the modified Hankel function satisfying  $K_j(x) x^{1/2} \exp(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\pi/2}$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  for each  $j \in \{0, 1\}$ .

For  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , let  $U_\varphi$  be defined as in (1.1), i.e.,

$$U_\varphi(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt + iy/t} \varphi(t) dt, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.22)$$

Then  $u = U_\varphi$  solves the Klein-Gordon equation  $u_{xy} + u = 0$  in the sense of distribution theory on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We recall that  $u$  is a *solution* of the Klein-Gordon equation on a given open subset  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  in the sense of distribution theory if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$  and the equality  $u_{xy} + u = 0$  holds in the sense of an equality for the linear functionals  $u_{xy}, u \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$  on test functions  $C_0^\infty(G)$ , the compactly supported  $C^\infty$ -smooth functions mapping  $G$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  (cf. [22, pp. 14, 34]). Given that our primary interest is in solutions of the form  $U_\varphi$ , which are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , it is more convenient to use alternative definition which is equivalent to the definition above for continuous solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation.

**Definition 8.6.** *Let  $G$  be an open convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We say that  $U$  is a continuous solution of the Klein-Gordon equation on  $G$  if  $U \in C(G)$  and*

$$U(b, d) - U(b, c) - U(a, d) + U(a, c) + \int_c^d \int_a^b U(t, s) dt ds = 0, \quad (8.23)$$

for all  $[a, b] \times [c, d] \subset G$ , where  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$  and  $-\infty < c < d < +\infty$ .

We readily verify that (8.23) holds for  $U = U_\varphi$  and  $G = \mathbb{R}^2$ , and, consequently,  $U_\varphi$  is a continuous solution of the Klein-Gordon equation on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Next, let us assume that  $\varphi$  meets the condition (8.10) of Theorem 8.2. In view of the identities (1.8), this is the same as requiring that (1.9) holds. Then the conjugate hyperbolic Fourier series (1.7) of  $\varphi$  can be substituted for  $\varphi$  into the integral in (8.22) to get the equality (1.10) by taking into account the identities (1.11). As a consequence, we obtain the following interpolation formula for the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation of the type (8.22).

**Theorem 8.7.** *Suppose  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and that the continuous solution  $U_\varphi$  of the Klein-Gordon equation on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is given by (8.22). If  $U_\varphi$  satisfies (1.9) then*

$$U_\varphi(x, y) = U_\varphi(0, 0) \mathcal{R}_0(x, y) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ U_\varphi(\pi n, 0) \mathcal{R}_n(x, y) + U_\varphi(0, -\pi n) \mathcal{R}_n(-y, -x) \right] \\ + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ U_\varphi(-\pi n, 0) \mathcal{R}_n(-x, -y) + U_\varphi(0, \pi n) \mathcal{R}_n(y, x) \right], \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where the sequence  $\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is given by (8.7), and the series converges absolutely and uniformly over all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 9. Extension of Theorem A

In this section, we derive the following extension of Theorem A. We make an effort to present a direct proof which does not rely on ergodic theory, and which also covers the instance of Theorem A (when  $N = M = 0$  in (9.1) below). This makes the approach direct, but we should mention that there are shortcuts available if we were to abandon this aim.

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $N, M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\delta_0 := 1$ , and  $\delta_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that*

$$(a) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \frac{e^{i\pi n t} - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k!} (i\pi n t)^k}{(it)^{N-1+\delta_N}} dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (9.1)$$

$$(b) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \left( e^{\frac{i\pi n}{t}} - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{i\pi n}{t} \right)^k \right) \left( \frac{t}{i} \right)^{M-1+\delta_M} dt = 0,$$

where  $\sum_{k=0}^{-1} := 0$ . Then  $\varphi = 0$ .

In [21], the second and the third authors sharpened Theorem A as follows:

$$\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi n x} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\pi n/x} \varphi(x) dx = 0, \quad n \geq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

The analogue of this assertion for the biorthogonal system  $H_0, H_n, M_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , can be formulated as the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) H_n(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) M_n(t) dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $\varphi \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof of Theorem 9.2.** We apply (8.3) written in the form

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i (x+z)^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} i\pi n H_n(x) e^{i\pi n z} + \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} i\pi n M_n(x) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

multiply it by the function  $\varphi(x)$  and integrate over  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , to obtain from the given assumptions that

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{(x+z)^2} = \frac{d}{dz} F(z), \quad F(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{x+z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$



It follows that the function  $F$ , which is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$ , must be constant. Since  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  automatically implies that  $F(it) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , we find that  $F(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . By the known characterizations of the space  $H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$  (see [16, p. 88, 2(ii)]), we obtain that  $\varphi \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Theorem 9.2 follows.  $\square$

**9.1. Proof of Theorem 9.1.** We observe that an application of the finite difference  $\Delta^r[f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{r-k} f(x+k)$  over  $n$  of order  $r = N$  and  $r = M$  to (9.1)(a) and to (9.1)(b), respectively, gives (see [31, p. 29])

$$(a) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) e^{i\pi n t} \frac{(e^{i\pi t} - 1)^N}{(it)^{N-1+\delta_N}} dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(b) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) e^{\frac{i\pi n}{t}} \left( e^{\frac{i\pi}{t}} - 1 \right)^M \left( \frac{t}{i} \right)^{M-1+\delta_M} dt = 0,$$

or, in the notation  $\varphi^J(t) := \varphi(-1/t)/t^2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ , where  $\varphi^J \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by a change-of-variables, the conditions may be written as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) e^{i\pi n t} \frac{(e^{i\pi t} - 1)^N}{t^{N-1+\delta_N}} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^J(t) e^{i\pi n t} \frac{(1 - e^{-i\pi t})^M}{t^{M-1+\delta_M}} dt = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From this we obtain that

$$(a) \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{N-1+\delta_N}} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \frac{\varphi(t+2k)}{(t+2k)^{N-1+\delta_N}} = 0,$$

$$(b) \frac{\varphi^J(t)}{t^{M-1+\delta_M}} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \frac{\varphi^J(t+2k)}{(t+2k)^{M-1+\delta_M}} = 0,$$
(9.2)

for almost all  $t \in [-1, 1]$  because the system  $\{\exp(i\pi n x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is complete in  $L^\infty([-1, 1])$ . Since the right-hand side series in (9.2) represent integrable functions on  $[-1, 1]$  we conclude that the left-hand side functions in (9.2), being obviously integrable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$ , are also integrable on  $[-1, 1]$ , and hence

$$t^{-p}\varphi(t), \quad t^{-q}\varphi^J(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad p := N-1+\delta_N \geq 0, \quad q := M-1+\delta_M \geq 0.$$

If for arbitrary  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  we introduce

$$F_\sigma(t) := t^{-p}\varphi(t) + \sigma t^{-q}\varphi^J(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, \quad F_\sigma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (9.3)$$

then

$$F_\sigma(-1/t) = (-1)^p t^{p+2} \varphi^J(t) + (-1)^q t^{q+2} \sigma \varphi(t),$$

from which

$$F_\sigma(-1/t)/t^{p+q+2} = (-1)^p t^{-q} \varphi^J(t) + (-1)^q t^{-p} \sigma \varphi(t) = \sigma (-1)^q F_{\sigma(-1)^{p+q}}(t),$$

and thus

$$F_\sigma(t) = \sigma (-1)^p F_{\sigma(-1)^{p+q}}(-1/t)/t^{p+q+2}, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}.$$

Then it follows from (9.2) that

$$-F_\sigma(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} F_\sigma(2k+t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} F_\sigma(-2k+t) = \sigma (-1)^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \frac{F_{\sigma(-1)^{p+q}}\left(\frac{1}{2k-t}\right)}{(2k-t)^{p+q+2}},$$

i.e.,

$$F_\sigma(t) = \sigma(-1)^{q+1} \mathbf{T}_{p+q+1} [F_{\sigma(-1)^{p+q}}](t), \quad t \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \quad (9.4)$$

where it can be easily seen that for arbitrary  $f \in L_1([-1, 1])$  in the space  $L_1([-1, 1])$  there exists the limit

$$\mathbf{T}_{p+q+1}[f](x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\substack{n = -N \\ n \neq 0}}^N \frac{f(1/(2n-x))}{(2n-x)^{p+q+2}} \in L_1([-1, 1]). \quad (9.5)$$

In view of  $|2k-x| \geq 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , the operator (9.5) for arbitrary Borel set  $A \subset (-1, 1)$  possesses the following essential property

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\mathbf{T}_{p+q+1}[f](x)| \, dx &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \int_A \frac{|f(1/(2n-x))|}{|2n-x|^{p+q+2}} \, dx \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \int_A \frac{|f(1/(2n-x))|}{(2n-x)^2} \, dx \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \int_{1/(2n-A)} |f(x)| \, dx = \int_{\sqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} 1/(2n-A)} |f(x)| \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

or, in the notation (5.1),

$$\int_A |\mathbf{T}_{p+q+1}[f](x)| \, dx \leq \int_{\omega_1(A)} |f(x)| \, dx, \quad A \subset (-1, 1), \quad \omega_1(A) := \sqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \phi_n(A), \quad (9.6)$$

because the sets  $1/(2n - (-1, 1))$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , are disjoint. Since  $\omega_1(A) \subset (-1, 1)$  we can successively apply (9.6) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\mathbf{T}_{p+q+1}^N[f](x)| \, dx &\leq \int_{\omega_N(A)} |f(x)| \, dx, \quad A \subset (-1, 1), \\ \omega_N(A) &:= \sqcup_{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \phi_{n_1, \dots, n_N}(A), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

where for the Lebesgue measure  $m$  on the real line and a Borel set  $A \subset (-1, 1)$  after the similar manipulations we obtain

$$m(\omega_N(A)) = \int_A \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) \, dx, \quad A \subset (-1, 1), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9.8)$$

Here, in the notations (10.28), (10.30) and (10.33), for any  $x \in [-1, 1]$  we have

$$\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \frac{1}{(p_N^n x + q_N^n)^2}, \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \geq 1, \quad (9.9)$$

because, in view of  $\phi'_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}}(\phi_{n_N}(x)) \phi'_{n_N}(x) = \phi'_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N}(x)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \phi'_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N}(x), \quad \phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N}(x) = \frac{z p_{N-1}^n + q_{N-1}^n}{z p_N^n + q_N^n}, \quad N \geq 1.$$

But according to (10.44),  $|p_N^n| < |q_N^n|$ , and hence, we obtain from

$$|p_N^n x + q_N^n| \geq |q_N^n| - |x| |p_N^n| \geq (1 - |x|) |q_N^n|$$

and (9.9) that

$$\mathbf{T}_2^N[1](x) \leq \frac{\mathbf{T}_2^N[1](0)}{(1 - |x|)^2}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and, consequently, (9.8) implies that

$$m(\omega_N([\alpha, \beta])) \leq \frac{2\mathbf{T}_2^N[1](0)}{\min\{(1+\alpha)^2, (1-\beta)^2\}}, \quad -1 < \alpha < \beta < 1, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9.10)$$

Iterating (9.4) gives

$$F_\sigma(t) = \mathbf{T}_{p+q+1}^{2N}[F_\sigma](t), \quad t \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

which together with (9.7) lead us to the conclusion that

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |F_\sigma(x)| \, dx \leq \int_{\omega_{2N}([\alpha, \beta])} |F_\sigma(x)| \, dx, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \quad -1 < \alpha < \beta < 1, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9.11)$$

But in view of (9.12) below and (9.10) we have  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m(\omega_{2N}([\alpha, \beta])) = 0$ , which by  $F_\sigma \in L^1([-1, 1])$  and (9.11) yields that  $F_\sigma(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in [-1, 1]$  and for any  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ . Definition (9.3) of the functions  $F_\sigma$  gives that  $\varphi(x) = 0$  and  $\varphi(-1/x) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Thus,  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and Theorem 9.1 follows.  $\square$

We supply the following auxiliary lemma which was referred to in the proof of Theorem 9.1.

**Lemma 9.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{T}_1$  be defined as in (1.17). Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_1^{2N}[1](0) = 0. \quad (9.12)$$

**Proof of Lemma 9.3.** We first obtain that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](0) = 0. \quad (9.13)$$

Assume that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](0) \geq \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{for all } N \geq 1.$$

Since  $\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x)$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, 1]$  (see [20, p. 1715, Proposition 3.7.2]) then

$$\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) \geq \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{for all } N \geq 1, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

For arbitrary  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $N \geq 1$  we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1]\left(\frac{1}{2n-x}\right)}{(2n-x)^2} + \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1]\left(\frac{1}{2n+x}\right)}{(2n+x)^2} \right] \\ &> \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1]\left(\frac{1}{2-x}\right)}{(2-x)^2} + \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1]\left(\frac{1}{2+x}\right)}{(2+x)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.14)$$

and, in view of the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2-x} \geq x \Leftrightarrow 1 \geq 2x - x^2 \Leftrightarrow (x-1)^2 \geq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and of nondecreasing property of  $\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we get

$$\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1]\left(\frac{1}{2-x}\right) \geq \mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

As a consequence, we derive from (9.14) that

$$\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) \geq \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x)}{(2-x)^2} + \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1]\left(\frac{1}{2+x}\right)}{(2+x)^2} \geq \frac{\mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x)}{(2-x)^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{9}, \quad N \geq 1.$$

Iterating this procedure for  $N \geq 4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} \mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{9} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} \mathbf{T}_1^{N-2}[1](x) \right] \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{(2-x)^4} \mathbf{T}_1^{N-2}[1](x) \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{(2-x)^4} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{9} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} \mathbf{T}_1^{N-3}[1](x) \right] \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^4} \right) + \frac{1}{(2-x)^6} \mathbf{T}_1^{N-3}[1](x) \geq \dots \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(2-x)^2} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^4} + \dots + \frac{1}{(2-x)^{2(m-1)}} \right) + \frac{1}{(2-x)^{2m}} \mathbf{T}_1^{N-m}[1](x) \\ &\geq \dots \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(2-x)^{2k}} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^{2N}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(2-x)^{2k}} + \frac{1}{(2-x)^{2N}}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) dx &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(2-x)^{2k}} + \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(2-x)^{2N}} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}}}{2k-1} \right) + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2^{2N-1}}}{2N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.15)$$

In view of (9.7), for any  $N \geq 1$  the set  $\omega_N((-1, 1))$  contains at least all irrational point of  $(-1, 1)$ . Therefore its Lebesgue measure is equal to 2. Then, by virtue of (9.8),

$$\int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) dx = m(\omega_N((-1, 1))) = 2, \quad N \geq 1,$$

and since  $\mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x)$  is even on  $[0, 1]$  (see [20, p. 1715, Proposition 3.7.2]) we derive from (9.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) dx = \int_0^1 \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2k-1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2k-1} \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{9} + \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2k-1} \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This contradiction proves (9.13).

Next, to establish (9.12), we appeal to the formula (9.9) with  $N \geq 2$ . In view of (10.35), for arbitrary  $x \in (-1, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{cases} y_k = 2n_k y_{k-1} - y_{k-2}, & 1 \leq k \leq N; & y_k := p_k^n x + q_k^n, & -1 \leq k \leq N; \\ a := y_{-1} = p_{-1}^n x + q_{-1}^n = x \in (-1, 1), & b := y_0 = p_0^n x + q_0^n = 1, \end{cases} \quad (9.16)$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ . These relationships mean that we can apply conclusion (10.40) to the finite collection of numbers  $\{y_k\}_{k=-1}^Q$  with  $Q = N$ , according to which,

$$1 = |p_0^n x + q_0^n| < |p_1^n x + q_1^n| < \dots < |p_N^n x + q_N^n|, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

In particular,

$$\frac{p_{N-2}^n x + q_{N-2}^n}{p_{N-1}^n x + q_{N-1}^n} \in (-1, 1), \quad x \in (-1, 1), \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \geq 2.$$

Then we derive from (9.9) and (9.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](x) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} (p_N^n x + q_N^n)^{-2} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} (p_{N-1}^n x + q_{N-1}^n)^{-2} \times \\ &\times \sum_{n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left( 2n_N - \frac{p_{N-2}^n x + q_{N-2}^n}{p_{N-1}^n x + q_{N-1}^n} \right)^{-2} \leq \mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x) \max_{y \in [-1, 1]} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \frac{1}{(2n + y)^2} \\ &= \mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x) \max_{y \in [-1, 1]} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{4 \sin^2(\pi y/2)} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1 \right) \mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](x), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . Hence,

$$0 < \mathbf{T}_1^N[1](0) \leq \left( \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1 \right) \mathbf{T}_1^{N-1}[1](0), \quad N \geq 2. \quad (9.17)$$

In view of (9.13), there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{N_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  such that  $\mathbf{T}_1^{N_k}[1](0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . By keeping each even  $N_k$  and replacing each odd  $N_k$  by  $N_k + 1$  we obtain a new sequence of even integers  $\{2n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  with the property that  $\mathbf{T}_1^{2n_k}[1](0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , as follows from (9.17). This obtains (9.12) and establishes the validity of Lemma 9.3.  $\square$

## 10. Proofs

### 10.1. Proofs for Section 2

**10.1.1. Proofs of Lemma 2.1 and (2.23)(b).** The statement of Lemma 2.1 is immediate from the relationship

$$|\operatorname{Arg}(1-tz) - \operatorname{Arg}(1-t+tz)| < \pi,$$

proved in [5, p. 608, (3.15)] and from the identities

$$|1-t+tz|^2 - |1-tz|^2 = t(2x-1)(2-t), \quad \operatorname{Im} \frac{1-tz}{1-t+tz} = -\frac{yt(2-t)}{(1-t+tx)^2 + t^2y^2},$$

where  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $z = x + iy \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ .

To prove (2.23)(b) we use (2.29), (2.19) and (2.21), to obtain, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} e^{\pi t} \lambda(it) &= e^{\pi t} (\pi \lambda(it) + i \lambda'(it)) = e^{\pi t} (\pi \lambda(it) + i \lambda'(it)) \\ &= e^{\pi t} (\pi \lambda(it) - \pi \lambda(it) (1 - \lambda(it)) \Theta_3(it)^4) = \pi \lambda(it) e^{\pi t} (1 - (1 - \lambda(it)) \Theta_3(it)^4) \\ &= \pi \lambda(it) e^{\pi t} (1 - \Theta_4(it)^4), \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{\pi t} \lambda(it) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{16 \theta_2(e^{-\pi t})^4}{\theta_3(e^{-\pi t})^4} = \frac{16 \pi \theta_2(e^{-\pi t})^4 (1 - \theta_4(e^{-\pi t})^4)}{\theta_3(e^{-\pi t})^4} > 0, \quad t > 0,$$

because

$$\theta_4(e^{-\pi t}) = 1 - 2 \sum_{k \geq 0} (e^{-\pi(2k+1)^2 t} - e^{-\pi(2k+2)^2 t}) < 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Inequalities (2.23)(b) is now immediate from  $\lim_{0 < t \rightarrow 0} e^{\pi t} \lambda(it) = 1$  and from

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\pi t} \lambda(it) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{16 \theta_2(e^{-\pi t})^4}{\theta_3(e^{-\pi t})^4} = 16.$$

**10.1.2. Proofs of (2.36) and (2.37).** For the integrand in the formula for  $H_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &:= \frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)}{(t^2 + 1/4) F_\Delta(1/2 + it) (x^2 F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2 + F_\Delta(1/2 - it)^2)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)}{F_\Delta(1/2 + it)}}{(1/2 + it)(1/2 - it) F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2 \left( x^2 + \frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)^2}{F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2} \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where by (2.10) and (2.35), written for  $z = 1/2 + it \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)}{F_\Delta(1/2 + it)} = \frac{\lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)}{i}, \quad (10.1)$$

$$\frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)^2}{F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2} = -\lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2, \quad (10.2)$$

$$\frac{1}{(1/2 + it)(1/2 - it) F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2} = i \pi \lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it), \quad (10.3)$$

we obtain

$$I_0 = \pi \frac{\lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)\lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it) F_\Delta(1/2 + it)}{x^2 - \lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)dt}{(t^2 + 1/4)F_\Delta(1/2 + it)(x^2 F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2 + F_\Delta(1/2 - it)^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)\lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it) F_\Delta(1/2 + it)dt}{x^2 - \lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)\lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it) F_\Delta(1/2 + it)d(1/2 + it)}{x^2 - \lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \frac{\lambda_\Delta(y)\lambda'_\Delta(y) F_\Delta(y)}{x^2 - \lambda_\Delta(y)^2} dy, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.36).

Similarly, by using (10.1) and (10.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(t^2 + 1/4)(x F_\Delta(1/2 + it) + i F_\Delta(1/2 - it))^2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{(1/2 + it)(1/2 - it)F_\Delta(1/2 + it)^2 \left(x + i \frac{F_\Delta(1/2 - it)}{F_\Delta(1/2 + it)}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{i\pi \lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it)}{(x + \lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(x) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi^3 n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S_n^\Delta \left(\frac{1}{1/2 + it}\right) dt}{(t^2 + 1/4)(x F_\Delta(1/2 + it) + i F_\Delta(1/2 - it))^2} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi^3 n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i\pi \lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it) S_n^\Delta \left(\frac{1}{1/2 + it}\right) dt}{(x + \lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it))^2} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda'_\Delta(1/2 + it) S_n^\Delta \left(\frac{1}{1/2 + it}\right) d(1/2 + it)}{(x + \lambda_\Delta(1/2 + it))^2} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \frac{S_n^\Delta(1/y) \lambda'_\Delta(y) dy}{(x + \lambda_\Delta(y))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.37).

### 10.1.3. Proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3.

We first prove (2.39). By using (2.29), for arbitrary  $x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} |\lambda(iy + x)|^2 &= \frac{d}{dx} \lambda(iy + x) \lambda(iy - x) = \lambda'(iy + x) \lambda(iy - x) \\ &\quad - \lambda(iy + x) \lambda'(iy - x) = i\pi |\lambda(iy + x)|^2 (1 - \lambda(iy + x)) \Theta_3(iy + x)^4 \\ &\quad - i\pi |\lambda(iy + x)|^2 (1 - \lambda(iy - x)) \Theta_3(iy - x)^4, \end{aligned}$$

where, in accordance with (2.19) and (2.20)(g),

$$(1 - \lambda(z)) \Theta_3(z)^4 = \Theta_4(z)^4 = \Theta_3(z - 1)^4, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

and therefore

$$2 \frac{d}{dx} \log |\lambda(iy + x)| = i\pi (\Theta_4(iy + x)^4 - \Theta_4(iy - x)^4) = -2\pi \operatorname{Im} \Theta_3(iy + x - 1)^4,$$

which proves the second equality in (2.39). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} |\lambda(iy + x)|^2 |1 - \lambda(iy + x)|^2 &= \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} \lambda(iy + x) (1 - \lambda(iy + x)) \lambda(iy - x) (1 - \lambda(iy - x)) = \\ &= i\pi |\lambda_2(iy + x)|^2 [(1 - 2\lambda(iy + x)) \Theta_3(iy + x)^4 - (1 - 2\lambda(iy - x)) \Theta_3(iy - x)^4], \end{aligned}$$

where, in accordance with (2.19) and (2.29),

$$(1 - 2\lambda(z)) \Theta_3^4(z) = \Theta_3^2(z) - 2\Theta_2^2(z) = \Theta_4^4(z) - \Theta_2^4(z) = 2\Theta_4^4(z) - \Theta_3^4(z)$$

for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , and hence, by (2.20)(g), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{d}{dx} \log |\lambda_2(iy + x)| &= -2\pi \operatorname{Im} (2\Theta_4^4(iy + x) - \Theta_3^4(iy + x)) \\ &= 2\pi \operatorname{Im} (\Theta_3^4(iy + x) - 2\Theta_3^4(iy + x - 1)), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the first equality in (2.39).

Prove now (2.40) and (2.41). For  $x \in (0, 1)$  it follows from  $x + iy \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  and (2.27) that  $\operatorname{Im} \Theta_3^4(iy + x) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \Theta_3^4(iy + x - 1) < 0$  because  $x - 1 \in (-1, 0)$ . These two inequalities together with (2.39) yield the validity of (2.40) and (2.41) for  $x > 0$ . If  $x \in (-1, 0)$  in (2.40) and in (2.41), we similarly get their validity by using inequalities  $\operatorname{Im} \Theta_3^4(iy + x) < 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \Theta_3^4(iy + x - 1) = \operatorname{Im} \Theta_3^4(iy + x + 1) > 0$  which follow from (2.27), in view of  $x + 1 \in (0, 1)$ . The proof of (2.40) and (2.41) is completed.

To prove (2.43) and (2.44), observe that by using the relationship

$$\lambda(z + 1) = \lambda(z - 1) = \frac{\lambda(z)}{\lambda(z) - 1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (10.4)$$

(see [12, p. 111]), we obtain from (2.40), (2.41) and (2.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |\lambda(iy + x)| &= |\lambda(iy \pm 1)| = \frac{\lambda(iy)}{1 - \lambda(iy)}, \\ \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |\lambda_2(iy + x)| &= |\lambda_2(iy \pm 1)| = \frac{\lambda(iy)}{(1 - \lambda(iy))^2}, \quad y \geq 1/2, \end{aligned} \quad (10.5)$$

where, by virtue of (2.24), the both functions of  $y$  in the right-hand sides of (10.5) strictly decrease on the interval  $(0, +\infty)$  from  $+\infty$  to 0. This proves (2.43) and (2.44).



The property (2.42) is immediate from (2.28). Furthermore, (2.45)(a) is a simple consequence of (2.42) and (2.43).

Prove now (2.45)(c). For arbitrary  $z \in \mathcal{E}_<^0$  and  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $y > 1$ , it follows from (2.45)(a) and (5.58)(b) that  $\lambda(x+iy) \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} \leq \lambda(iy)}$ ,  $\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} \geq 1/2}$  and

$$|\lambda(z) - \lambda(x+iy)| \geq \left| \frac{1}{2} - \lambda(iy) \right| \geq \left| \frac{1}{2} - \lambda(iy) \right| \frac{|\lambda(z)|}{2}, \quad (10.6)$$

provided that  $|\lambda(z)| \leq 2$ . But if  $|\lambda(z)| > 2$  then by (2.43) and  $\lambda(iy) \in (0, 1/2)$  we get  $|\lambda(x+iy)| \leq \lambda(iy)/(1 - \lambda(iy)) < 1$  and therefore

$$|\lambda(z) - \lambda(x+iy)| \geq |\lambda(z)| - |\lambda(x+iy)| \geq \frac{|\lambda(z)|}{2} \geq \frac{|\lambda(z)|}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} - \lambda(iy) \right|,$$

which together with (10.6) completes the proof of (2.45)(c). Next, by (2.29), (2.44) and [9, p. 325, (i)], for  $x \in [-1, 1]$  and  $y > 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda'(x+iy)| &= \pi |\lambda_2(x+iy)| \left| \theta_3 \left( e^{i\pi(x+iy)} \right) \right|^4 \leq \pi \frac{\lambda(iy)}{(1 - \lambda(iy))^2} |\theta_3(e^{-\pi y})|^4 \leq \\ &\leq 2\pi |\theta_3(e^{-\pi})|^4 = 2\pi \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(3/4)^4} < 8,7537585 < 9, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.45)(b). Finally, to prove (2.45)(d) observe that for  $1/2 + \eta := \lambda(z)$  we have  $u + iv := \eta \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} > 0}$ , in view of (5.58)(b), and for  $a := -\lambda(1+it)$  we have  $a > 0$ , by virtue of Lemma 2.4. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\lambda(z) - \lambda(1+it)|^2 &= 2|1/2 + u + a + iv|^2 = 2(1/2 + u + a)^2 + 2v^2, \\ (|\lambda(z)| + |\lambda(1+it)|)^2 &= (u + 1/2)^2 + v^2 + a^2 + 2a\sqrt{(u + 1/2)^2 + v^2} \\ &\leq (u + 1/2)^2 + v^2 + a^2 + (u + 1/2)^2 + v^2 + a^2 \\ &= 2(u + 1/2)^2 + 2v^2 + 2a^2 = 2(1/2 + u + a)^2 + 2v^2 \\ &\quad - 4a(u + 1/2) < 2|\lambda(z) - \lambda(1+it)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.45)(d) and completes the proof of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3.

#### 10.1.4. Proofs of Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7.

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  and  $z = a + ib$  with  $a \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $b > 0$  we have

$$\text{Im} \frac{1}{2n - z} = \frac{b}{(2n - a)^2 + b^2} \leq \frac{b}{1 + b^2} < b.$$

Besides that,

$$\text{Im} \frac{1}{2n + 1/z} = \frac{b}{(2na + 1)^2 + 4n^2 b^2} = \frac{b}{1 + 4n^2 \left( a^2 + \frac{a}{n} + b^2 \right)},$$

which is strictly less than  $b$  if  $a = 0$ , but if  $a \neq 0$  then  $\sigma_a := \text{sign}(a) \in \{1, -1\}$  and

$$a^2 + \frac{a}{n} + b^2 = |a| \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_a}{n} \right) + \left| z - \frac{\sigma_a}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \geq 0,$$

provided that  $z \in \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  and the equality here is strict if  $|n| \geq 2$  or  $n \cdot \text{Re } z > 0$ , or  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ . Thus, the following properties hold.

$$\begin{cases} z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1} \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Im} \frac{1}{2n - z} < \text{Im } z, \\ \frac{1}{2n - z} \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}, \end{cases} \quad (10.7)$$

$$\begin{cases} z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Im} \frac{1}{2n + (1/z)} \leq \text{Im} z, \\ \frac{1}{2n + (1/z)} \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}, \\ \text{Im} \frac{1}{2n + (1/z)} < \text{Im} z, \text{ if } \begin{cases} |n| \geq 2, \text{ or} \\ n \cdot \text{Re} z > 0, \\ \text{or } z \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (10.8)$$

According to the definition (5.1), for  $N \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  we have

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(y) = \phi_{n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(y) = \phi_{n_N}(\phi_{n_{N-1}}(\dots(\phi_{n_1}(y))\dots)), \quad y \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (10.9)$$

where

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{1}{2n - z}, \quad \phi_n(-1/z) = \frac{1}{2n + 1/z}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (10.10)$$

Applying successively (10.7) and (10.8) to (10.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \text{Im} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(y) < \text{Im} y, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \cup_{N \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad y \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \text{Im} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z) < \text{Im} z, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \cup_{N \geq 2} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square), \\ \text{(c)} \quad & \text{Im} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z) < \text{Im} z, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square), \\ \text{(d)} \quad & \text{Im} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z) < \text{Im} z, \quad \mathbf{n} = \text{sign}(\text{Re} z) \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square), \\ \text{(e)} \quad & \text{Im} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/\zeta) < \text{Im} \zeta, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \cup_{N \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \end{aligned} \quad (10.11)$$

where (10.11)(b) with  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1)$  follows from (10.11)(a) with  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_2)$ , applied to  $y = \phi_{n_1}(-1/z)$  which by (10.10) and (10.8) satisfies  $y \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}$  and  $\text{Im} y \leq \text{Im} z$ . Introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a) &:= \{z \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(z) = a\}, \\ \lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a) &:= \{z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1} \setminus \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(z) = a\}, \end{aligned} \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}. \quad (10.12)$$

In view of Lemma 2.4, Theorem B and (2.54),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a) &= \{\lambda_{\Delta}(a)\} \subset \mathcal{F}_\square, \\ \lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a) &= \{\lambda_{\Delta}(a + i0), \lambda_{\Delta}(a - i0)\} \subset \partial \mathcal{F}_\square, \end{aligned} \quad a \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (10.13)$$

where by (2.49), (2.51) and (2.47), (2.48),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\Delta}(a \pm i0) &\in (\pm 1) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \lambda_{\Delta}(a - i0) = \lambda_{\Delta}(a + i0) - 2, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}, \\ \lambda_{\Delta}(a \pm i0) &\in \gamma(0, \pm 1), \quad \lambda_{\Delta}(a - i0) = \frac{1}{-2 + 1/\lambda_{\Delta}(a + i0)}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.14)$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\text{Im} \lambda_{\Delta}(a) = \text{Im} \lambda_{\Delta}(a + i0) = \text{Im} \lambda_{\Delta}(a - i0), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{>1}. \quad (10.15)$$

It has been established in Lemma 5.7 that for each  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} = \lambda(\mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square))$  the set  $\lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a)$  is countable and cannot have the limit points in  $\mathbb{H}$ . That's why to prove the statement of Theorem 2.6 it suffices to show that the imaginary part of each number from  $\lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a)$  is strictly less than  $\text{Im} \lambda_{\Delta}(a)$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Im} z < \text{Im} \lambda_{\Delta}(a), \quad z \in \lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a), \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \quad (10.16)$$

which obviously coincides with the property (2.57).

Assume that the number  $a$  in Theorem 2.6 belongs to  $(0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ . In the notation  $y := \lambda_{\Delta}(a) \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ , it follows from (10.13) that  $\lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a) = \{y\}$  and therefore (5.39) yields

$$\lambda_{\square}^{(-1)}(a) = \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(y) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{2N}\} \cup \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/y) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{2N-1}\}, \quad (10.17)$$

which satisfies (10.16), in view of (10.11)(a) and (10.11)(e). This proves Theorem 2.6 for  $a \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $a$  in Theorem 2.6 belong to  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$  and  $y := \lambda_\Delta(a + i0) \in \gamma(1, \infty)$ . By virtue of (10.13) and (10.14),  $\lambda_\square^{(-1)}(a) = \{y, y - 2\}$  and we deduce from (5.39) that

$$\lambda_\square^{(-1)}(a) = \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(y - 1 + \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{2N}\}, \quad (10.18)$$

which satisfies (10.16), in view of (10.11)(a),  $\text{Im}(y - 1 + \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Im } y$  and  $y - 1 + \sigma_{\mathbf{n}} \in \sigma_{\mathbf{n}} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}$ . This proves Theorem 2.6 for  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ .

Let finally  $a$  in Theorem 2.6 belong to  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$  and  $y := \lambda_\Delta(a + i0) \in \gamma(1, 0)$ . Then  $-1/y \in \gamma(-1, \infty)$ ,

$$\sigma + 1 - (1/y) \in \sigma + i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square), \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}, \quad (10.19)$$

and (10.13), (10.14) and (10.15) imply that  $\lambda_\square^{(-1)}(a) = \{y, 1/(-2 + 1/y)\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{-2 + 1/y} &\in \gamma(-1, 0), & \text{Im} \frac{1}{-2 + 1/y} &= \text{Im } y, & (10.20) \\ y = \phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - 1/y) \Big|_{n_1=1}, & & \frac{1}{-2 + 1/y} &= \phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - 1/y) \Big|_{n_1=-1} \end{aligned}$$

(see (5.18)). In accordance with (5.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\square^{(-1)}(a) &= \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} + 1 - (1/y)) \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{2N+1}\} \cup \\ &\cup \{\phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y))\}_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.21)$$

because  $y, 1/(-2 + 1/y) \in \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$  and, in view of (10.10), (10.20), (10.19) and (10.7),

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y))\}_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \\ &= \{y\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{-2 + 1/y} \right\} \cup \{\phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y))\}_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}} \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.22)$$

Here  $\phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y)) = \phi_{\tilde{n}_1}(-1/y)$ ,  $\tilde{n}_1 = n_1 - (\sigma_{n_1} + 1)/2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1\}$  for every  $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , where  $\tilde{n}_1 = 1$  if and only if  $n_1 = 1 = \text{sign}(\text{Re } y)$ . Applying (10.11)(c) and (10.11)(d) to  $\phi_{\tilde{n}_1}(-1/y)$  with  $y \in \gamma(1, 0) \subset \mathbb{H} \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square)$ , we obtain

$$\text{Im } \phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y)) < \text{Im } y, \quad n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}, \quad y \in \gamma(1, 0). \quad (10.23)$$

At the same time, if  $N \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_{2N+1}, n_{2N}, \dots, n_2, n_1) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{2N+1}$  then (10.9) means that in (10.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} + 1 - (1/y)) &= \phi_{n_{2N+1}, n_{2N}, \dots, n_2, n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y)) \\ &= \phi_{n_{2N+1}, n_{2N}, \dots, n_2}(\phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y))), \end{aligned}$$

where, by (10.22),  $\phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y)) \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| \leq 1}$ . Hence, in accordance with (10.11)(a), (10.7) and (10.22), (10.20), (10.23),

$$\text{Im } \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} + 1 - (1/y)) < \text{Im } \phi_{n_1}(\sigma_{n_1} + 1 - (1/y)) \leq \text{Im } y.$$

This inequality together with (10.23) and (10.21) proves (10.16) for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ . Thus, Theorem 2.6 holds in this case and its proof is completed.

If we assume the contrary in Corollary 2.7 we obtain the contradiction with (2.57) and therefore Corollary 2.7 holds as well.

## 10.2. Proofs for Section 3

**10.2.1. Proofs of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4.** We first prove Lemma 3.2. Let  $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and  $P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k x^k$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.2. Then

$$P_n\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \pm P_n\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \left(\frac{1}{x^k} \pm \frac{1}{(1-x)^k}\right) = x^{-n}(1-x)^{-n} R_n^\pm(x),$$

$$R_n^\pm(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \left((1-x)^n x^{n-k} \pm x^n (1-x)^{n-k}\right),$$

where  $R_n^\pm(1-x) = \pm R_n^\pm(x)$ , and therefore, there exist the real numbers  $q_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , such that

$$R_n^+(1/2+x) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} q_{2k} x^{2k}, \quad R_n^-(1/2+x) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} q_{2k+1} x^{2k+1},$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then there exist the real numbers  $r_k^+$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^+(x) &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} q_{2k} (x-1/2)^{2k} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} 2^{-2k} q_{2k} (2x-1)^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} 2^{-2k} q_{2k} (4x^2 - 4x + 1)^k = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} 2^{-2k} q_{2k} (1 - 4x(1-x))^k \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} r_{n-k}^+ (x(1-x))^k, \end{aligned}$$

and  $r_k^-$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^-(x) &= (x-1/2) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} q_{2k+1} (x-1/2)^{2k} = 2^{-1}(2x-1) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} 2^{-2k} q_{2k+1} (2x-1)^{2k} \\ &= 2^{-1}(2x-1) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} 2^{-2k} q_{2k+1} (1-4x(1-x))^k = (1-2x) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} r_{n-k}^- (x(1-x))^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$P_n\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + P_n\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) = \mathcal{R}^+[P_n]\left(\frac{1}{x(1-x)}\right), \quad \mathcal{R}^+[P_n](x) := \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} r_k^+ x^k,$$

$$P_n\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - P_n\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) = (1-2x)\mathcal{R}^-[P_n]\left(\frac{1}{x(1-x)}\right), \quad \mathcal{R}^-[P_n](x) := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} r_k^- x^k,$$

where obviously,  $\mathcal{R}^-[P_n](0) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}^+[P_n](0) = r_0^+ = 2p_0 = 2P(0)$ . Lemma 3.2 is proved.

Next we prove Lemma 3.4. For arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$  we deduce from (1.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{n\pi \frac{F_\Delta(1-z)}{F_\Delta(z)}} &= \frac{16^n}{z^n} \exp\left(-nz \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+3/2)^2}{(n+1)!^2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(2k-1)k}\right] z^n}{1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} z^n}\right) \\ &= \frac{16^n}{z^n} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-nz)^k \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+3/2)^2}{(n+1)!^2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(2k-1)k}\right] z^n}{1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} z^n}\right)^k = \frac{16^n}{z^n} \\ &+ \frac{16^n}{z^n} (-nz) \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+3/2)^2}{(n+1)!^2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(2k-1)k}\right] z^n}{1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} z^n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{16^n}{z^n} \sum_{k \geq 2} (-nz)^k \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+3/2)^2}{(n+1)!^2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(2k-1)k} \right] z^n}{1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)^2}{(n!)^2} z^n} \right)^k \\
& = \frac{16^n}{z^n} \left( 1 - \frac{nz}{2} \right) + \frac{16^n}{z^n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta_{n,k} z^k = \frac{16^n}{z^n} - 8n \frac{16^{n-1}}{z^{n-1}} + 16^n \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_{n,k}}{z^{n-k}} \\
& + 16^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_{n,k} z^{k-n},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_{n,k}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , are the real numbers such that  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta_{n,k} z^k \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Hence, in the notation  $S_n^\Delta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{n,k}^\Delta z^k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , of (3.15), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^\Delta(z) &= 16z, \quad S_2^\Delta(z) = 16^2 z(z-1), \quad S_n^\Delta(z) = 16^n z^n - 8n 16^{n-1} z^{n-1} \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} s_{n,n-k}^\Delta z^{n-k}, \quad s_{n,n}^\Delta = 16^n, \quad s_{n,n-1}^\Delta = -8n 16^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 3,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.15) and (3.16).

According to Lemma 2.5,  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1) = 0$  and therefore (3.7) for  $z=1$  gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^\Delta(1) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{\lambda'(y)}{i\pi(1-\lambda(y))}, \quad \text{Im } y > \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1) = 0,$$

where, in view of (2.29), (2.19) and (2.21), we have

$$\frac{\lambda'(y)}{i\pi(1-\lambda(y))} = \frac{\Theta_2(y)^4 \Theta_4(y)^4 \Theta_3(y)^{-4}}{\Theta_4(y)^4 \Theta_3(y)^{-4}} = \Theta_2(y)^4 = 16e^{i\pi y} \theta_2(e^{i\pi y})^4.$$

Together with (2.22)(c) this proves (3.14) and (3.13)(b).

To prove (3.17), observe that  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1/z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \rightarrow 0$  (see [5, p. 609, (4.6)]), which yields, in view of Lemma 2.5, that  $\text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1/z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \rightarrow 0$ . So that for every  $a > 0$  one can find  $\delta_a > 0$  such that  $0 < \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(1/z) < a$  for all  $0 < |z| < \delta_a$  and (3.10) can be written as follows

$$\frac{S_n^\Delta(z)}{z} = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{n,k}^\Delta z^{k-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+ia}^{1+ia} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{1-z\lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad z \in (\delta_a \mathbb{D}) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (10.24)$$

By choosing a positive number  $\eta_a < \delta_a$  less than  $(1/2) \min_{\zeta \in [-1+ia, 1+ia]} 1/|\lambda(\zeta)|$  we deduce from (10.24) that

$$|S_n^\Delta(z)|/|z| \leq (2/\pi) (\max_{\zeta \in [-1+ia, 1+ia]} |\lambda'(\zeta)|) \exp(n\pi a), \quad z \in \eta_a \mathbb{D}.$$

Therefore for every  $\text{Im } y > a$  the series in (3.7), written in the form,

$$i\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n s_{n,k}^\Delta z^{k-1} \right) e^{i\pi n y} = \lambda'(y)/(1-z\lambda(y)), \quad z \in (\delta_a \mathbb{D}) \setminus \{0\},$$

converges uniformly over all  $z \in \eta_a \mathbb{D}$  and we can take the limit as  $z \rightarrow 0$  to get, in view of (2.29) and arbitrariness of  $a > 0$ , the following equivalent form of (3.17)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n,1}^\Delta e^{i\pi n y} = \lambda'(y)/(i\pi) = \Theta_2(y)^4 \Theta_4(y)^4 \Theta_3(y)^{-4}, \quad \text{Im } y > 0. \quad (10.25)$$

It follows from the functional equation

$$\lambda_\Delta(z) - \text{sign}(\text{Im } z) = \lambda_\Delta(z/(z-1)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

(see [6, p. 48, (A.14n)]) that

$$\exp(-i\pi n \lambda_\Delta(z/(z-1))) = (-1)^n \exp(-i\pi n \lambda_\Delta(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (10.26)$$

By using (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-i\pi n\lambda_\Delta(z)) &= S_n^\Delta(1/z) + \Delta_n^S(z), & z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \exp(-i\pi n\lambda_\Delta(z/(z-1))) &= S_n^\Delta(1-1/z) + \Delta_n^S(z/(z-1)), & z \in \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} < 1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$S_n^\Delta(1-1/z) = (-1)^n S_n^\Delta(1/z) + (-1)^n \Delta_n^S(z) - \Delta_n^S(z/(z-1)), \quad (10.27)$$

for every  $z \in (\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} < 1/2}$ . Since  $S_n^\Delta(0) = 0$  and  $z/(z-1) \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$  we have  $\Delta_n^S(z/(z-1)) \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D}/2)$  and therefore (10.27), (3.23) and (3.14) yield

$$S_n^\Delta(1-1/z) - (-1)^n S_n^\Delta(1/z) = ((-1)^n - 1) \Delta_n^S(0) = (1 - (-1)^n) r_4(n) = S_n^\Delta(1)$$

for every  $z \in (\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\})/2$ , which proves (3.13)(a) and completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

### 10.3. Proofs for Section 4

**10.3.1. Proofs of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.** We first prove Lemma 4.1. The properties Lemma 4.1(1),(2),(3) are immediate from (3.30), (3.27), (3.28) (4.1) and (1.15). To prove Lemma 4.1(4) for positive integer  $n$  we change the contour  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  of integration in (3.30) to the contour which passes from  $-1$  to  $-1+i$  along  $[-1, -1+i]$ , from  $-1+i$  to  $1+i$  along  $[-1+i, 1+i]$  and from  $1+i$  to  $1$  along  $[1+i, 1]$ . By using the periodicity of  $R_n^\Delta(z)$  and  $R_n^\Delta(-1/z)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -4\pi^2 n H_n(x) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{R_n^\Delta(i+t) dt}{(x+i+t)^2} + i \int_0^1 R_n^\Delta(-1+it) \left[ \frac{1}{(x-1+it)^2} - \frac{1}{(x+1+it)^2} \right] dt, \\ 4\pi^2 n M_n(x) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{R_n^\Delta\left(\frac{-1}{i+t}\right) dt}{(x+2i+t)^2} + i \int_0^1 R_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-it}\right) \left[ \frac{1}{(x-1+it)^2} - \frac{1}{(x+1+it)^2} \right] dt, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, for any positive integer  $N \geq 2$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -4\pi^2 n \sum_{k=-N}^N H_n(x+2k) &= \int_{-1}^1 R_n^\Delta(i+t) \left( \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{(x+2k+i+t)^2} \right) dt \\ &\quad + i \int_0^1 R_n^\Delta(-1+it) \left[ \frac{1}{(x-2N-1+it)^2} - \frac{1}{(x+2N+1+it)^2} \right] dt, \\ 4\pi^2 n \sum_{k=-N}^N M_n(x+2k) &= \int_{-1}^1 R_n^\Delta\left(-\frac{1}{i+t}\right) \left( \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{(x+2k+i+t)^2} \right) dt \\ &\quad + i \int_0^1 R_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-it}\right) \left[ \frac{1}{(x-2N-1+it)^2} - \frac{1}{(x+2N+1+it)^2} \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and using the identity (see [18, p. 44, 1.422.4])<sup>15</sup>

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2k+z)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi z}{2}} = -\pi^2 \sum_{m \geq 1} m e^{i\pi m z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

we derive from the first of the latter two equalities and (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} -4\pi^2 n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(x+2k) &= \frac{\pi^2}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{R_n^\Delta(i+t) dt}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi(x+i+t)}{2}} = -\pi^2 \times \\ &\times \sum_{m \geq 1} m e^{-\pi m} e^{i\pi m x} \int_{-1}^1 e^{i\pi m t} \left( e^{n\pi} e^{-in\pi t} + \Delta_n^S(0) + \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{n,k} e^{-\pi k} e^{i\pi k t} \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= -2\pi^2 n e^{i\pi n x},$$

and from the second one and (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi^2 n (-1)^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n(x+2k) &= \frac{(-1)^n \pi^2}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\mathcal{R}_n^\Delta \left( -\frac{1}{i+t} \right) dt}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi(x+i+t)}{2}} = -\pi^2 \times \\ &\times \sum_{m \geq 1} m e^{-\pi m} e^{i\pi m x} \int_{-1}^1 e^{i\pi m t} \left( -S_n^\Delta(1) - 16s_{n,1}^\Delta e^{-\pi} e^{i\pi t} + \sum_{k \geq 2} b_{n,k} e^{-\pi k} e^{i\pi k t} \right) dt \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1(4) for positive integer  $n$ . But according to (1.14), for any  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{-n}(x+2k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(-x-2k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(-x+2k) = \frac{e^{-i\pi n x}}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and, by (1.15),

$$M_{-n}(x) := H_{-n}(-1/x)/x^2 = H_n(1/x)/x^2 = M_n(-x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

from which  $M_{-n}(x) = M_n(-x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , in view of the continuity of  $M_n$ . Then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{-n}(x+2k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n(-x-2k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n(-x+2k) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This proves Lemma 4.1(4) and completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Next, we prove Lemma 4.2. The properties Lemma 4.2(1),(2),(4) are simple consequences of (3.21) and (2.38). To prove Lemma 4.2(3) we change the contour  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  of integration in (2.38) similar to that of in Section 10.3.1 and by the periodicity of  $\Theta_3$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i H_0(x) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(i+t) \Theta_3(i+t)^4}{x^2 - (i+t)^2} dt \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 \Theta_3(-1+it)^4 \left[ \frac{1}{x - (-1+it)} - \frac{1}{x + (-1+it)} - \frac{1}{x - (1+it)} + \frac{1}{x + (1+it)} \right] dt, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, for any positive integer  $N \geq 2$  this yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i \sum_{k=-N}^N H_0(x+2k) &= \int_{-1}^1 \Theta_3(i+t)^4 \left( \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{(i+t)}{(x+2k)^2 - (i+t)^2} \right) dt \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 \Theta_3(-1+it)^4 \left[ \frac{1}{x+2N+1-it} - \frac{1}{x-2N-1-it} \right] dt \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 \Theta_3(-1+it)^4 \left[ \frac{1}{x+2N+1+it} - \frac{1}{x-2N-1+it} \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and using the identity<sup>16</sup>

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z}{(2k+x)^2 - z^2} = -\frac{\pi}{4} \left( \cot \frac{\pi(z-x)}{2} + \cot \frac{\pi(z+x)}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\pi i}{2} + i\pi \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{i\pi m z} \cos \pi m x, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

we derive from the latter equality that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_0(x + 2k) &= -\frac{\pi}{4} \int_{-1}^1 \Theta_3(i+t)^4 \left( \cot \frac{\pi(i+t-x)}{2} + \cot \frac{\pi(i+t+x)}{2} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\pi n^2} e^{i\pi n^2 t} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\pi i}{2} + i\pi \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-\pi m} e^{i\pi m t} \cos \pi m x \right) dt = i\pi. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 4.2(3) and completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

#### 10.4. Proofs for Section 5

**10.4.1. Proofs of (5.7) and (5.21).** We first prove (5.7). In the notation

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(z) := \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad (10.28)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &= -I, \quad T^{-2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ST^{-2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n \end{pmatrix}, \\ \phi_{T^{-2n}}(z) &= z - 2n \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \phi_{ST^{-2n}}(z) = \frac{1}{2n - z} \in \mathbb{H}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.29)$$

Let  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel}$ . Then, by the definitions (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\phi(z) = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = \phi_{ST^{-2n_N} ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}}(z), \quad (10.30)$$

for some  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^k$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ .

To prove the right-hand side inclusion of (5.7), which by (5.6) can be written in the form

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}) \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}, \quad (10.31)$$

observe that  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} - 2n \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \geq 1}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , and therefore it follows from  $-1/\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \geq 1} = \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  that

$$\phi_{ST^{-2n}}(\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}) \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square} \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (10.32)$$

Successive applications of (10.32) to (10.30) prove (10.31) and the right-hand side inclusion of (5.7) as well.

For  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  from (10.30) introduce the matrices

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} p_{-1}^{\mathbf{n}} & q_{-1}^{\mathbf{n}} \\ p_0^{\mathbf{n}} & q_0^{\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} & q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} \\ p_k^{\mathbf{n}} & q_k^{\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N, \end{cases} \quad (10.33)$$

whose elements obviously satisfy

$$p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} q_k^{\mathbf{n}} - p_k^{\mathbf{n}} q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} = 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N, \quad (10.34)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} p_k^{\mathbf{n}} = 2n_k p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} - p_{k-2}^{\mathbf{n}}, & p_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad p_{-1}^{\mathbf{n}} = 1, \\ q_k^{\mathbf{n}} = 2n_k q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} - q_{k-2}^{\mathbf{n}}, & q_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 1, \quad q_{-1}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0, \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (10.35)$$



In the notation (5.6) and (10.30), we have (see [6, p. 63])

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) = \frac{z p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}} + q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{z p_N^{\mathbf{n}} + q_N^{\mathbf{n}}}, \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (10.36)$$

and ( $\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}$ )

$$|a_{\phi}| = |p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad |b_{\phi}| = |q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad |c_{\phi}| = |p_N^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad |d_{\phi}| = |q_N^{\mathbf{n}}|. \quad (10.37)$$

We prove that

$$(a) \quad |q_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad (b) \quad |q_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (10.38)$$

For arbitrary positive integer  $Q$ , real numbers  $a, b$  satisfying  $|a| < |b|$  and nonzero integers  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^Q \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , we define the following collection  $\{y_k\}_{k=-1}^Q$  of real numbers

$$y_k = 2m_k y_{k-1} - y_{k-2}, \quad y_0 = b, \quad y_{-1} = a, \quad 1 \leq k \leq Q, \quad |a| < |b|. \quad (10.39)$$

We state that

$$0 < |b| = |y_0| < |y_1| < \dots < |y_Q|. \quad (10.40)$$

We prove  $|y_{k-1}| < |y_k|$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq Q$ , by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  such an inequality holds because  $|y_1| = |2m_1 b - a| \geq 2 \cdot |m_1| \cdot |b| - |a| > 2 \cdot |m_1| \cdot |b| - |b| = (2 \cdot |m_1| - 1)|b| \geq |b|$ , and hence,  $|b| = |y_0| < |y_1|$ . This also completely proves (10.40) for  $Q = 1$ . If  $Q \geq 2$  we assume that  $|y_{k-2}| < |y_{k-1}|$  for all  $2 \leq k \leq P$  for some  $2 \leq P \leq Q$ . Then  $|y_P| = |2m_P y_{P-1} - y_{P-2}| \geq 2 \cdot |m_P| \cdot |y_{P-1}| - |y_{P-2}| > 2 \cdot |m_P| \cdot |y_{P-1}| - |y_{P-1}| = (2 \cdot |m_P| - 1)|y_{P-1}| \geq |y_{P-1}|$ , i.e.  $|y_{P-1}| < |y_P|$ . By induction, we conclude that (10.40) is true.

For  $k = 1$  (10.38) holds because  $p_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ ,  $q_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 1$ ,  $p_1^{\mathbf{n}} = -1$  and  $q_1^{\mathbf{n}} = 2n_1$ , in view of (10.33).

It remains to examine in (10.38) the case  $2 \leq k \leq N$ . By setting first  $Q := N - 1$ ,  $a := 0$ ,  $b := -1$ ,  $y_k := p_{k+1}^{\mathbf{n}}$ ,  $-1 \leq k \leq N - 1$ ,  $m_k := n_{k+1}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$ , in (10.39) and then  $Q := N$ ,  $a := 0$ ,  $b := 1$ ,  $y_k := q_k^{\mathbf{n}}$ ,  $-1 \leq k \leq N$ ,  $m_k := n_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , we deduce from (10.35) and (10.40) that

$$|q_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}| \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N; \quad |p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}| \geq 1, \quad 2 \leq k \leq N, \quad |p_1^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_0^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad (10.41)$$

where the last inequality follows from  $p_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$  and  $p_1^{\mathbf{n}} = -1$ .

For positive integer  $2 \leq r \leq N$  let  $\mathbf{n}(r) := (-n_1, -n_2, \dots, -n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^r$ . Introducing by the formulas (10.33) matrices corresponding to  $\mathbf{n}(r)$ , we get

$$A_k^{\mathbf{n}(r)} := \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} & q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} \\ p_k^{\mathbf{n}(r)} & q_k^{\mathbf{n}(r)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2n_{r-k+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2n_{r-k+2} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2n_r \end{pmatrix}$$

for every  $1 \leq k \leq r$ , where, in view of (10.35) and (10.41),

$$|p_k^{\mathbf{n}(r)}| > |p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)}|, \quad |q_k^{\mathbf{n}(r)}| > |q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)}|, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r. \quad (10.42)$$

Applying the transpose operation to  $A_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)}$ , we obtain

$$(-1)^r \begin{pmatrix} p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} & q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} \\ p_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)} & q_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2n_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2n_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2n_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} & q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} \\ p_r^{\mathbf{n}} & q_r^{\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} & p_r^{\mathbf{n}} \\ q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} & q_r^{\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$

from which,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^r p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} &= p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}}, & (-1)^r p_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)} &= q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}}, \\ (-1)^r q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} &= p_r^{\mathbf{n}}, & (-1)^r q_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)} &= q_r^{\mathbf{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.43)$$

and therefore, by arbitrariness of  $2 \leq r \leq N$ , we deduce from (10.42) that

$$|p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| < |q_k^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (10.44)$$

Together with (10.41) and (10.37) this proves the required inequalities  $|a_\phi| < |b_\phi| < |d_\phi|, |a_\phi| < |c_\phi| < |d_\phi|$  in the left-hand side of (5.7) (cp. [10, p. 4, Lemma 2]).

Besides that, the relationships (10.35) written for  $\mathbf{n}(r)$  and  $k = r$  give

$$\begin{aligned} q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} &= (-1)^r p_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)} = (-1)^r \left( -2n_1 p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} - p_{r-2}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} \right) = -2n_1 p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} - p_{r-2}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad |p_{r-2}^{\mathbf{n}(r)}| < |p_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \\ q_r^{\mathbf{n}} &= (-1)^r q_r^{\mathbf{n}(r)} = (-1)^r \left( -2n_1 q_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} - q_{r-2}^{\mathbf{n}(r)} \right) = -2n_1 p_r^{\mathbf{n}} - q_{r-2}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad |q_{r-2}^{\mathbf{n}(r)}| < |p_r^{\mathbf{n}}|, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequalities (10.42) have been used. Since  $r$  is arbitrary integer satisfying  $2 \leq r \leq N$ , we get

$$|q_k^{\mathbf{n}} + 2n_1 p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| \leq |p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| - 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (10.45)$$

Next, we prove (5.21). Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ . Then in the notation (10.33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a) } \operatorname{sign}(q_k^{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}) &= \sigma_k, \quad \text{(b) } \operatorname{sign}(p_k^{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(q_k^{\mathbf{n}}) = -\sigma_1, \\ \sigma_k &:= \operatorname{sign}(n_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (10.46)$$

Indeed, (10.41) and (10.35) yield that  $q_k^{\mathbf{n}}/q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} \in (2n_k - 1, 2n_k + 1)$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq N$  and hence, (10.46)(a) holds. To prove (10.46)(b) assume to the contrary that there exists  $1 \leq k \leq N$  such that  $\operatorname{sign}(p_k^{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(q_k^{\mathbf{n}}) = \sigma_1$ . Then  $|q_k^{\mathbf{n}} + 2n_1 p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| = |q_k^{\mathbf{n}}| + 2|n_1||p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| \geq 2|p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| + 1 > |p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| - 1$  which contradicts (10.45). This contradiction proves (10.46)(b). Observe, that (10.46) for  $N \geq 2$  yields

$$p_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad p_1^{\mathbf{n}} = -1, \quad \operatorname{sign}(p_k^{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}) = \sigma_k, \quad 2 \leq k \leq N. \quad (10.47)$$

Then we deduce from (10.36) and (10.46) that (in accordance with (5.18), we have  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} = \sigma_1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) &= \frac{q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}} - \sigma_1 p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{q_N^{\mathbf{n}} - \sigma_1 p_N^{\mathbf{n}}} = \sigma_N \frac{|q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}| + |p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|}{|q_N^{\mathbf{n}}| + |p_N^{\mathbf{n}}|}, \quad \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\infty) = \frac{p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{p_N^{\mathbf{n}}}, \\ \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) &= \frac{q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}} + \sigma_1 p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{q_N^{\mathbf{n}} + \sigma_1 p_N^{\mathbf{n}}} = \sigma_N \frac{|q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}| - |p_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|}{|q_N^{\mathbf{n}}| - |p_N^{\mathbf{n}}|}, \quad \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) = \frac{q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{q_N^{\mathbf{n}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.48)$$

It follows from (10.44) that  $q_N^{\mathbf{n}}(q_N^{\mathbf{n}} \pm p_N^{\mathbf{n}}) > 0$  and therefore we obtain the inequalities  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1) < \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) < \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1)$  of (5.21)(a), because (10.34) gives

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) - \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1) = 1/(q_N^{\mathbf{n}}(q_N^{\mathbf{n}} - p_N^{\mathbf{n}})), \quad \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(1) - \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) = 1/(q_N^{\mathbf{n}}(q_N^{\mathbf{n}} + p_N^{\mathbf{n}})). \quad (10.49)$$


At the same time, for  $N = 1$  we have  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) = \sigma_1 \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) > 0 = \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\infty)$ , as follows from (10.48) and (10.35). This proves (5.21)(b) for  $N = 1$ . But if  $N \geq 2$  then we deduce from (10.34) that the sign of the number  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) - \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\infty) = -1/(p_N^{\mathbf{n}}(q_N^{\mathbf{n}} - \sigma_1 p_N^{\mathbf{n}}))$  is equal to  $\operatorname{sign}(p_N^{\mathbf{n}} q_N^{\mathbf{n}}) = -\sigma_1$ , in view of (10.44) and (10.46)(b). This proves (5.21)(b) for  $N \geq 2$ . Since (5.21)(c) is immediate from (10.38)(a) and (10.48), the proof of (5.21) is completed. Hence, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ , the hyperbolic quadrilateral  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$  has the shape shown in Figure 3, provided that  $n_1 \geq 1$  (in view of (10.46)(b),  $n_1 \geq 1$  yields  $p_N^{\mathbf{n}} q_N^{\mathbf{n}} \leq -1$ ).

#### 10.4.2. Proofs of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4.

We first prove Lemma 5.3.

(a) Assume the contrary, i.e., there exist  $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \geq M$ ,  $\mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $\mathbf{m} := (m_N, \dots, m_1) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^M$ ,  $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{m}$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup (\sigma_{n_1} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{F}_{\square} \sqcup (\sigma_{m_1} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0})$  such that  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = \phi_{m_M, \dots, m_1}(y)$ , which by (10.30) can be written as follows (see (5.18))

$$\phi_{ST^{-2n_N} ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}}(z) = \phi_{ST^{-2m_M} ST^{-2m_{M-1}} \dots ST^{-2m_1}}(y). \quad (10.50)$$



**Figure 3.** Image  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}$  by  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) = \frac{zp_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}} + q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{zp_N^{\mathbf{n}} + q_N^{\mathbf{n}}}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Without loss of generality one can consider in (10.50) that  $n_N \neq m_M$ , because otherwise, we can apply  $\phi_{T^{2n_N S}}$  to the both parts of (10.50) to get the similar relationship for  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  of lower dimension and to get  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m}$ , if  $M = N$ , or

$$\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni \phi_{ST^{-2n_N - M} \dots ST^{-2n_1}}(z) = y \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{m_1}|}, \quad \text{if } N - M \geq 1, \quad (10.51)$$

where the left-hand side inclusion follows from (5.7). But (10.51) cannot hold since  $\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{|\sigma_{m_1}|} \cap (\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}) = \emptyset$ . So that we assume everywhere below that  $n_N \neq m_M$ .

Let  $N = M = 1$  in (10.50). Then  $z - y = 2n_1 - 2m_1$ , where  $n_1 \neq m_1$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Re} y \in [-1, 1]$  then  $n_1 - m_1$  can take only two values 1 or  $-1$ . If  $n_1 - m_1 = \sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  then there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $z = \sigma + iT$  and  $y = -\sigma + iT$ , which yields  $\sigma_{n_1} = \sigma$  and  $\sigma_{m_1} = -\sigma$ , by virtue of (5.18) and (5.19). But then  $|n_1 - m_1| \geq 2$  which contradicts  $|n_1 - m_1| = 1$ . Therefore  $N \geq 2$ ,  $M \geq 1$  and it follows from (10.50) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}}(z) &= \phi_{T^{-2(m_1 - n_N)}}(y), \quad \text{if } M = 1, \\ \phi_{ST^{-2(m_2 - n_N)} ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}}(z) &= \phi_{T^{-2m_1}}(y), \quad \text{if } M = 2, \\ \phi_{ST^{2m_2} \dots T^{2m_{M-1}} ST^{-2(m_M - n_N)} ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}}(z) &= \phi_{T^{-2m_1}}(y), \end{aligned}$$

if  $M \geq 3$ . But none of these equations can hold because their left-hand sides belong to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ , in view of (5.7), while their right-hand sides belong to  $\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \geq 1}$ . Lemma 5.3(a) is proved.

(d) Let  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  be such that  $N \geq 2$  if  $n_1 = \sigma_{n_1} = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$  (see (5.18)). As noted before Lemma 5.3,  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$  is the roof of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$ . But  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty))$  is also a part of the boundary of

$$\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_{\square} + 2\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2} \left( \frac{1}{2n_1 - \mathcal{F}_{\square} - 2\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \right)$$

$$\left| -1/\mathcal{F}_{\square} = \mathcal{F}_{\square} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2n_2 + 1/\mathcal{F}_{\square}} = \frac{1}{2n_2 - \mathcal{F}_{\square}} \right| = \begin{cases} \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 - \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathcal{F}_{\square}), & \text{if } n_1 \neq \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \\ \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\square}), & \text{if } n_1 = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \end{cases}$$

and since

$$\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2} \left( \frac{1}{2n_1 - \gamma(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty) - 2\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \right)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n_2 + 1/\gamma(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)} = \frac{1}{2n_2 - \gamma(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, 0)} \right| = \begin{cases} \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 - \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}}(\gamma(-\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \infty)), & \text{if } n_1 \neq \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \\ \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2}(\gamma(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, 0)), & \text{if } n_1 = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}, \end{cases}$$

$\sigma_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 - \sigma_n} = \text{sign}(n_1 - \sigma_n) = \text{sign}(n_1) = \sigma_n$  for  $n_1 \neq \sigma_n$ , we get that

$$\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty)) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 - \sigma_n}(-\sigma_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 - \sigma_n}, \infty), & \text{if } n_1 \neq \sigma_n, \\ \gamma_{n_N, \dots, n_2}(\sigma_n, 0), \quad \sigma_n \in \{1, -1\}, & \text{if } n_1 = \sigma_n. \end{cases}$$

According to what was stated before Lemma 5.3, this means that  $\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))$  is the lower arc of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1 - \sigma_{n_1}}$ , if  $n_1 \neq \sigma_n$ . At the same time,  $\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))$  for  $n_1 = \sigma_n$  is the lower arc of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_2}$ . Lemma 5.3(d) is proved. Lemma 5.3(b) and (c) follow by similar arguments.<sup>19</sup>

(e) As noted before Lemma 5.3,  $\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))$  is the roof of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^n$  and since  $\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty)) = \gamma(\phi_n(\sigma_n), \phi_n(\infty))$ , by virtue of (5.22), we get

$$2 \max \left\{ \text{Im} z \mid z \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \right\} = |\phi_n(\infty) - \phi_n(\sigma_n)|, \quad (10.52)$$

where in accordance with (10.48), (10.34), (10.46) and (10.38),

$$|\phi_n(\infty) - \phi_n(\sigma_n)| = \left| \frac{p_{N-1}^n}{p_N^n} - \frac{q_{N-1}^n + \sigma_1 p_{N-1}^n}{q_N^n + \sigma_1 p_N^n} \right| = \frac{1}{|p_N^n| (|q_N^n| - |p_N^n|)} \leq \frac{1}{|p_N^n|}. \quad (10.53)$$

We prove now

$$|p_k^n| \geq 1 + \sum_{m=2}^k (2|n_m| - 1) \geq k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N, \quad (10.54)$$

by induction on  $k$ , where  $\sum_{m=2}^0 := \sum_{m=2}^1 := 0$  and  $\{p_k^n\}_{k=-1}^N$  are defined as in (10.35). In view of (10.35),  $p_1^n = -1$ , and therefore (10.54) holds for  $k = 1$ . In addition, (10.54) is proved for  $N = 1$ . Assume that  $N \geq 2$ ,  $2 \leq r \leq N$  and (10.35) is true for  $k = r - 1$ . In view of (10.41), we have

$$0 = |p_0^n| < |p_1^n| < |p_2^n| < \dots < |p_r^n|.$$

Then  $|p_{r-2}^n| \leq |p_{r-1}^n| - 1$  and by (10.35) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |p_r^n| &= |2n_r p_{r-1}^n - p_{r-2}^n| \geq 2|n_r| |p_{r-1}^n| - |p_{r-2}^n| \geq 1 + (2|n_r| - 1) |p_{r-1}^n| \\ &\geq 1 + (2|n_r| - 1) \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} (2|n_m| - 1) \right) \geq 1 + \sum_{m=1}^r (2|n_m| - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the validity of (10.54) for  $k = r$ . By induction, we conclude that (10.54) is true for all  $0 \leq k \leq N$ . Combining (10.54) for  $k = N$ , (10.53) and (10.52) gives Lemma 5.3(e) and completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Next we prove Lemma 5.4. Since  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^n \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  for every  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  it suffices to prove that for any point in  $\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  there exists  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  such that  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^n$  contains this point.

Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $\mathbb{G}_2^k(z) \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . We denote by  $Q = Q(z)$  the number  $(1/2) + ((1/4) - (\text{Im } z)^2)^{1/2} > 1$ . Then by (5.15),  $Q^N \text{Im } z \leq 1/2$ , because  $\mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \cap \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im} > 1/2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel}$ . Therefore for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  there exists a minimal positive integer  $r(z)$  such that

$$r(z) := \min \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbb{G}_2^k(z) \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\text{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}, \quad (10.55)$$

where, in accordance with (5.12),

$$\mathbb{G}_2^k(z) = 2j_k(z) - \frac{1}{\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)} = \left\{ \text{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)} \right) \right\}_2^{\parallel} + i \text{Im} \left( -\frac{1}{\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)} \right),$$

$$j_k(z) := -\frac{1}{2} \left\lceil \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)} \right) \right\rceil_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r(z). \quad (10.56)$$

Since  $\phi_{j_k(z)}(\mathbb{G}_2^k(z)) = \mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq r(z)$  we deduce that

$$\phi_{j_1(z), \dots, j_{r(z)}(z)}(\mathbb{G}_2^{r(z)}(z)) = z, \quad \mathbb{G}_2^{r(z)}(z) \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\square}, \quad (10.57)$$

and if  $\mathbb{G}_2^{r(z)}(z) \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty)$  for some  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ , then (10.56) yields

$$\left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( -1/\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z) \right) \right\}_2^{\lceil} = \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\}.$$

In view of (5.10), this means that  $\operatorname{Re}(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)) \in -\sigma(2\mathbb{N} - 1)$  and therefore  $\lceil \operatorname{Re}(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^{k-1}(z)) \rceil_2 \in -2\sigma\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\operatorname{sign}(j_{r(z)}(z)) = \sigma$ , by virtue of (10.56). Hence, in addition to (10.57), we have

$$\mathbb{G}_2^{r(z)}(z) \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty), \quad \sigma \in \{1, -1\} \Rightarrow \sigma_r(z) := \operatorname{sign}(j_{r(z)}(z)) = \sigma. \quad (10.58)$$

Thus,

$$z \in \phi_{j_1(z), \dots, j_{r(z)}(z)} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\lceil \sigma_r(z)} \right) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{j_1(z), \dots, j_{r(z)}(z)}, \quad (10.59)$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 5.4.

#### 10.4.3. Proofs of Theorems 5.5 and 5.9.

We first prove Theorem 5.5. Let  $L := \{\phi(\gamma(1, \infty))\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}}$ . In accordance with (3.32),

$$\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_{\square} := \mathbb{H} \cap \partial \mathcal{F}_{\square} = \gamma(1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(-1, 0) \sqcup \gamma(0, 1), \quad (10.60)$$

and since  $-1/(\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_{\square}) = \partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  we deduce from (5.5) that the union  $S$  of all boundary points of the sets composing the Schwarz partition (5.27) of  $\mathbb{H}$ , satisfies

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( 2n + \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_{\square})\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}} \right) = \{\phi(\partial_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{F}_{\square})\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}} \supset L.$$

Inverse inclusion  $S \subset L$  is immediate from the invariance of  $L$  under any transform  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}$  and obvious properties  $\gamma(-1, \infty) = \gamma(1, \infty) - 2 \in L$ ,  $\gamma(-1, 0) = -1/\gamma(1, \infty) \in L$  and  $\gamma(1, 0) = -1/\gamma(-1, \infty) \in L$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Next, we prove Theorem 5.9. It follows from (5.49) and (5.50) that the union of all boundary points of the sets composing the even rational partition (5.53) of  $\mathbb{H}$  is equal to the union  $E$  of the roofs  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}(-1, 1)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\square}^{\mathbf{n}}$  over all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}$  and their shifts on any even integer. But by  $-1/\gamma(-1, 1) = \gamma(-1, 1)$  and (5.5) this yields that  $E$  coincides with the orbit  $\{\phi(\gamma(-1, 1))\}_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}}$  of  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  with respect to  $\Gamma_{\vartheta}$ . Theorem 5.9 is proved.

**10.4.4. Proof of Lemma 5.7.** According to the partition (5.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y)\} \\ &= \{z \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y)\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel}} \left\{ z \in \phi \left( \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\lceil \sigma_{\phi}} \right) \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.61)$$

Let  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  be arbitrarily prescribed and fixed number. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\{z \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y)\} = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } y \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}, \\ \{y, y - 2\sigma\}, & \text{if } y \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty), \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } y \in \gamma(\sigma, 0). \end{cases} \quad (10.62)$$

Fix now an arbitrary  $\phi \in \Gamma_y^{\parallel}$ , which, in the notation (5.18) and (5.20), is associated with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  such that  $\phi = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  and  $\sigma_\phi = \sigma_{n_1} = \text{sign}(n_1) \in \{1, -1\}$ , where  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  is the Möbius transformation defined as in (5.1). Since  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  is injective on  $\mathbb{H}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{z \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}|}) \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y)\} \\ = \{\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\eta) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}|}, \lambda(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\eta)) = \lambda(y)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.63)$$

where, in view of (5.36),

$$\lambda(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\eta)) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\eta), & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \lambda(-1/\eta), & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1, \end{cases} \quad \eta \in \mathcal{F}_\square \cup \gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty).$$

By (2.19), the equation  $\lambda(y) = \lambda(-1/\eta) = 1 - \lambda(\eta)$  is equivalent to  $\lambda(\eta) = \lambda(-1/y)$ , and therefore (10.63) yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y) &:= \{z \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}|}) \mid \lambda(z) = \lambda(y)\} \\ &= \left\{ \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\eta) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{F}_\square \cup \gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty), \begin{array}{l} \lambda(\eta) = \lambda(y), \quad \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \lambda(\eta) = \lambda(-1/y), \quad \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1, \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.64)$$

If  $y \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ , then  $-1/y \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  and, in view of Lemma 2.4, the solutions of the both equations in the right-hand side of the latter equality are unique. Hence,

$$E_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y), & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(-1/y), & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1, \end{cases} \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \quad (10.65)$$

If  $y \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty)$  then  $\lambda(y) \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ , while  $\lambda(-1/\eta) \in \mathbb{R}_{>1} \cup (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ , by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem B. So that there is no solutions of the equation in the right-hand side of (10.64) for odd  $N$ . But for even  $N$  such an equation has the unique solution  $\eta = y$ , if  $\sigma = \sigma_{n_1}$ , and  $\eta = y - 2\sigma$ , if  $\sigma = -\sigma_{n_1}$ . Or, what is the same  $\eta = y - \sigma + \sigma_{n_1}$ . Thus,

$$E_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y - \sigma + \sigma_{n_1}), & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1, \end{cases} \quad y \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty). \quad (10.66)$$

If  $y \in \gamma(\sigma, 0)$  then  $\lambda(y) \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ , while  $\lambda(\eta) \in \mathbb{R}_{<0} \cup (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ , by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem B. Therefore there is no solutions of the equation in the right-hand side of (10.64) for even  $N$ . But for odd  $N$  such an equation has the unique solution  $\eta = -1/y$ , if  $\sigma = -\sigma_{n_1}$ , and  $\eta = -1/y + 2\sigma$ , if  $\sigma = \sigma_{n_1}$ . I.e.,  $\eta = -1/y + \sigma + \sigma_{n_1}$ , and

$$E_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(-1/y + \sigma + \sigma_{n_1}), & \text{if } N \in 2\mathbb{N}-1, \end{cases} \quad y \in \gamma(\sigma, 0). \quad (10.67)$$

The relationships (10.61), (10.62) and (10.64)–(10.67) prove (5.39). It follows from Lemma 5.3(e) that each set in (5.39) is countable and has no limit points in  $\mathbb{H}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.7.

## 10.5. Proofs for Section 7

**10.5.1. Proof of Lemma 7.1.** If  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$  in (7.5), then (5.11) yields  $\text{Im } z = \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2(-1/z)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$ , and we deduce from (2.20)(c), (7.1) and (7.9) that

$$\frac{|\Theta_4(-1/z)|^4}{|z|^2} I_\delta(-1/z) \leq \frac{|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \text{Im}^2 z}{\text{Im}^2 z} \frac{147\pi}{20} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\text{Im } z}\right) \leq \frac{30\pi}{\text{Im}^2 z} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\text{Im } z}\right),$$

because by [9, p. 325],  $(147/20)\theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 = (147/20)(\pi/2)(3 + 2\sqrt{2})\Gamma(3/4)^{-4} < 30$ .<sup>35</sup> This proves the first inequality of (7.10). If in (7.5) we have  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^0$  then (2.20)(a) implies

$$|\Theta_4(z)|^4 = \frac{|\Theta_2(-1/z)|^4}{|z|^2} = \frac{|\Theta_2(-1/z)|^4 \text{Im}(-1/z)}{\text{Im } z} = \frac{|\Theta_2(\mathbb{G}_2(z))|^4 \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2(z)}{\text{Im } z},$$

and we derive from (7.1) and (7.9) that

$$|\Theta_4(z)|^4 I_\delta(z) \leq \frac{\theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 I_\delta(z)}{(\text{Im } z) \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2(z)} \leq \frac{30\pi(1 + 1/\text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2(z))}{(\text{Im } z) \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2(z)},$$

which proves the second inequality of (7.10). Finally, if  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^n$  in (7.5), then (2.20)(a) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^N(z) |\Theta_4(\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))|^4 &= \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^N(z) |\mathbb{G}_2^N(z)|^{-2} |\Theta_2(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))|^4 \\ &= \text{Im}(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^N(z)) |\Theta_2(-1/\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))|^4 = \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z) |\Theta_2(\mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z))|^4 \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude, by (7.1) and (7.9), that the third inequality of (7.10) holds because

$$\frac{|\Theta_4(\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))|^4 I_\delta(\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))}{(\text{Im } z)/\text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^N(z)} \leq \frac{\theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 I_\delta(\mathbb{G}_2^N(z))}{(\text{Im } z) \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z)} \leq \frac{30\pi(1 + 1/\text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z))}{(\text{Im } z) \text{Im } \mathbb{G}_2^{N+1}(z)}.$$

## 10.6. Proofs for Section 8

**10.6.1. Proof of Lemma 8.3.** For arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we introduce the function

$$\omega_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{\chi_{(0,\varepsilon)}(x)}{\kappa \cdot \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{x(\varepsilon-x)}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \int_0^\varepsilon \omega_\varepsilon(x) dx = 1,$$

where (see [7, p. 658, (1.17), (1.18)])  $\max_{t \in [0,1]} \exp(-t^{-1}(1-t)^{-1}) = 1/e^4$ ,

$$\kappa := \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{1}{t(1-t)}} dt = 2[K_1(2) - K_0(2)]/e^2 \in (0.3838/e^4, 0.38382/e^4).$$

For an arbitrary  $\varphi \in H_+^1(\mathbb{R})$  let

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega_\varepsilon(t-x) \varphi(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (10.68)$$

Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  the relationships

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) &= (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t-x) \varphi(t) dt, \quad |\varphi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x)| \leq \max_{x \in [0,\varepsilon]} |\omega_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(t)| dt, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x)| dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\omega_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t-x)| |\varphi(t)| dt dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\omega_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x)| dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(t)| dt, \end{aligned}$$

yield that

$$\varphi_\varepsilon^{(n)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (10.69)$$

Since by [16, p. 88, 2(iv)] we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \exp(ixt) dt = 0$  for every  $x \geq 0$  then the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iyx} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iyx} \varphi(x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iyt} \omega_{\varepsilon}(t) dt = 0, \quad y > 0,$$

implies

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{H}_{+}^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (10.70)$$

by virtue of (10.69) and [16, p. 88, 2(iv)]. For arbitrary  $\delta > 0$  we introduce the Fourier transform of  $\omega_{\delta}$  as follows

$$h_{\delta}(x) := \int_0^{\delta} e^{itx} \omega_{\delta}(t) dt \in \mathbf{H}_{+}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad |h_{\delta}(z)| \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{R}, \quad (10.71)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_{+}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of all nontangential limits on  $\mathbb{R}$  of the uniformly bounded and holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  functions. Integration by parts gives

$$h_{\delta}^{(n)}(x) = \int_0^{\delta} e^{itx} (it)^n \omega_{\delta}(t) dt = \frac{i^m}{x^m} \int_0^{\delta} e^{itx} ((it)^n \omega_{\delta}(t))^{(m)} dt, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

which proves that  $h_{\delta} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and we conclude, by taking into account (10.69) and (10.70), that

$$h_{\delta} \cdot \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{H}_{+}^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varepsilon, \delta > 0. \quad (10.72)$$

It follows from the inequality  $|e^z - 1| < 7|z|/4$ ,  $|z| < 1$  (see [1, p. 70, 4.2.38]) that for arbitrary  $\rho > 0$  the following inequality holds

$$|h_{\delta}(x) - 1| \leq \int_0^{\delta} |e^{itx} - 1| \omega_{\delta}(t) dt \leq \frac{7\delta}{4\rho} \int_0^{\delta} \omega_{\delta}(t) dt = \frac{7\delta}{4\rho}, \quad \delta \leq \rho, \quad |x| \leq \frac{1}{\rho}. \quad (10.73)$$

We introduce the notation

$$\begin{cases} L(a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq |x| \leq b\} = [-b, -a] \cup [a, b], \\ G(a, b) := \mathbb{R} \setminus L(a, b) = (-a, a) \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x| > b\}, \end{cases} \quad 0 < a < b < +\infty.$$

Now, for arbitrary  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $\delta = \varepsilon^2 < \rho = \varepsilon$  it follows from (10.71) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - h_{\delta}(x) \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx \leq \int_{L(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi(x) - h_{\delta}(x) \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx + \int_{G(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi(x)| dx + \int_{G(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)| |\varphi(t+x)| \chi_{G(\rho, 1/\rho)}(x) \chi_{[0, \varepsilon]}(t) dt dx = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)| |\varphi(x)| \chi_{G(\rho, 1/\rho)}(x-t) \chi_{[0, \varepsilon]}(t) dx dt \leq \int_{G(\rho+\varepsilon, (1/\rho)-\varepsilon)} |\varphi(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

and, in view of (10.73),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{L(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi(x) - h_{\delta}(x) \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx &= \int_{L(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) + (1 - h_{\delta}(x)) \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{L(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)| dx + \frac{7\delta}{4\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$



while

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{L(\rho, 1/\rho)} |\varphi(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\varepsilon \omega_\varepsilon(t) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(t+x)) dt \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\varepsilon \omega_\varepsilon(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(t+x)| dx dt \leq \max_{t \in [0, \varepsilon]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(t+x)| dx . \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - h_{\varepsilon^2}(x) \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{G(2\varepsilon, 1/(2\varepsilon))} |\varphi(x)| dx + \frac{7\varepsilon}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x)| dx + \max_{t \in [0, \varepsilon]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(t+x)| dx , \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  because  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and the translation is continuous in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (see [16, p. 16]). This together with (10.72) completes the proof of Lemma 8.3.

**10.6.2. Proof of Theorem 8.5.** In accordance with (8.14), for each  $n \geq 1$  the functions  $\mathcal{R}_0$  and  $\mathcal{R}_n$  on the quadrant  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$  coincide, correspondingly, with the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \Theta_3(z)^4 e^{ixz + iy/z} dz &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_0^\pi \Theta_3(e^{i\varphi})^4 e^{ixe^{i\varphi} + iye^{-i\varphi} + i\varphi} d\varphi , \\ \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \left(x - \frac{y}{z^2}\right) e^{ixz + iy/z} S_n^\Delta \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\right) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi in} \int_0^\pi (x - ye^{-2i\varphi}) e^{ixe^{i\varphi} + iye^{-i\varphi} + i\varphi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_{n,k}^\Delta}{\lambda(e^{i\varphi})^k}\right) d\varphi , \end{aligned}$$

which both are entire functions of two variables  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$  because (2.34) and (2.33) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda(e^{i\varphi})|} \leq 64 \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\sin \varphi}\right), \quad |\Theta_3(e^{i\varphi})|^4 \leq \frac{10}{\sin \varphi} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2 \sin \varphi}\right), \quad (10.74)$$

for all  $0 < \varphi < \pi$ . This proves the first assertion of Theorem 8.5.

By setting  $y = 0$  in (8.7) and in (8.8), we obtain, in view of (1.14), (1.15) and (8.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n(x, 0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt} H_{-n}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixt} H_n(t) dt, \quad n \geq 0; \quad \mathcal{R}_0(0, -x) = \mathcal{R}_0(x, 0); \\ \mathcal{R}_n(0, -x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixt} M_{-n}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixt} M_n(t) dt, \quad n \geq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

from which and (1.2) we derive (8.17).

The properties (8.18) and (8.20) are immediate of (8.9), (7.14), (8.16) and (7.13), respectively.

To prove (8.19) and (8.21) observe that the following estimates have been derived after (4.5) for  $a = 2$ ,

$$\left| S_n^\Delta \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\right) \right| = |\mathcal{R}_n^\Delta(z)| \leq \frac{9e^{2\pi n}}{\pi |\lambda(z)|} \frac{11 + 8\sqrt{2}}{21} \leq \frac{10e^{2\pi n}}{\pi |\lambda(z)|}, \quad z \in \gamma(-1, 1), \quad (10.75)$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and the value of  $1 - 2\lambda(2i)$  has been used from (7.2). Then, by using the estimates (2.33), (2.34), for the parametrization  $\gamma(-1, 1) \ni z = (t+i)/(t-i)$ ,  $t > 0$ , we derive from (8.14) and (10.75) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{R}_n^\Delta \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) \right| &\leq \frac{640e^{2\pi n}}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right)}, \quad \left| \Theta_3 \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) \right|^4 \leq 5 \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right)}, \\ \mathcal{R}_n(x, -y) &= \frac{1}{i\pi n} \int_0^\infty \left( x + y \frac{(t-i)^2}{(t+i)^2} \right) \mathcal{R}_n^\Delta \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) e^{ix \frac{t+i}{t-i} - iy \frac{t-i}{t+i}} \frac{dt}{(t-i)^2}, \\ \mathcal{R}_0(x, -y) &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^\infty \Theta_3 \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right)^4 e^{ix \frac{t+i}{t-i} - iy \frac{t-i}{t+i}} \frac{dt}{(t-i)^2}, \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad t > 0, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}_n(x, -y)| &\leq \frac{640e^{2\pi n}}{\pi^2 n} (x+y) \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{2t(x+y)}{t^2+1}} \frac{dt}{t^2+1}, \\ |\mathcal{R}_0(x, -y)| &\leq 5 \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{2t(x+y)}{t^2+1}} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (10.76)$$

where for arbitrary  $a, b > 0$  we have<sup>43</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{b}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{2at}{t^2+1}} \frac{dt}{t^2+1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{b}{a+1}} K_1 \left( 2\sqrt{b(a+1)} \right), \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{b}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{2at}{t^2+1}} \frac{dt}{t} &= K_0 \left( 2\sqrt{b(a+1)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10.77)$$

Taking into account that  $320\sqrt{\pi}/(\pi^2 n) < 2\pi^3$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we derive from (10.76) and (10.77) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}_n(x, -y)| &\leq 2\pi^3 e^{2\pi n} \frac{(x+y)}{\sqrt{x+y+1}} K_1 \left( 2\sqrt{\pi(x+y+1)} \right), \\ |\mathcal{R}_0(x, -y)| &\leq 5K_0 \left( \sqrt{2\pi(x+y+1)} \right), \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (10.78)$$

which proves (8.19), (8.21) and completes the proof of Theorem 8.5.

## References

1. Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Math. Series, **55**, 1964.
2. Andrews, G., Askey, R. and Roy, R., *Special functions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **71**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
3. Axler, S., Bourdon, P. and Ramey, W., *Harmonic function theory*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **137**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
4. Bakan, A., Hedenmalm, H., Montes-Rodríguez, A., Radchenko, D. and Viazovska, M., *Fourier uniqueness in even dimensions*. PNAS **118**, (2021), no. 15.
5. Bakan, A., Hedenmalm, H., *Exponential integral representations of theta functions*. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **20** (2020), 591–621.
6. Bakan, A., Hedenmalm, H., *Exponential integral representations of theta functions*, arXiv: 1912.10568v8 (2021)

7. Bakan, A. and Prestin, J., *Smoothing of weights in the Bernstein approximation problem*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), 653–667.
8. Barnes, E. W., *A New Development of the Theory of the Hypergeometric Functions*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **6** (1908), 141–177.
9. Berndt, B. C., *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part V*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
10. Boca, F.P. and Vandehey, J., *On certain statistical properties of continued fractions with even and with odd partial quotients*. Acta Arith. **156** (2012), no. 3, 201–221.
11. Bondarenko, A., Radchenko, D. and Seip K., *Fourier interpolation with zeros of zeta and L-functions*, arXiv: 2005.02996v2 (2020)
12. Chandrasekharan, K., *Elliptic functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **281**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
13. Conway, J. B., *Functions of one complex variable*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **11**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
14. Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F. and Tricomi F., *Higher transcendental functions, Vol. I.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1985.
15. Gakhov, F. D., *Boundary value problems*. Translation edited by I. N. Sneddon Pergamon Press, Oxford-New York-Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-London, 1966.
16. Garnett, J., *Bounded analytic functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1981.
17. Gera, B., *The problem of recovering the temperature and moisture fields in a porous body from incomplete initial data*. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **86** (1997), no. 2, 2578–2684.
18. Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M., *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Seventh edition. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007.
19. Hedenmalm, H. and Montes-Rodriguez, A., *Heisenberg uniqueness pairs and the Klein-Gordon equation*. Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 3, 1507–1527.
20. Hedenmalm, H. and Montes-Rodriguez, A., *The Klein-Gordon equation, the Hilbert transform and dynamics of Gauss-type maps*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **22** (2015), 1703–1757.
21. Hedenmalm, H. and Montes-Rodriguez, A., *The Klein-Gordon equation, the Hilbert transform, and Gauss-type maps:  $H^\infty$  approximation*. J. Anal. Math., in press.
22. Hörmander, L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **256**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
23. Iosifescu, M. and Kraaikamp, C., *Metrical theory of continued fractions*. Mathematics and its Applications, **547**. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
24. Kesseböhmer, M., Munday, S. and Stratmann, B., *Infinite ergodic theory of numbers*. De Gruyter Graduate. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016.
25. Koosis, P., *Introduction to  $H_p$  Spaces*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
26. Kraaikamp, C. and Lopes, A., *The theta group and the continued fraction expansion with even partial quotients*, Geom. Dedicata **59** (1996), no. 3, 293–333.
27. Lawden, D. F., *Elliptic Functions and Applications*, Applied Math. Sci. **80**, 1980.
28. Lawrentjew M. and Schabat B.: *Methoden der komplexen Funktionentheorie*. Mathematik für Naturwissenschaft und Technik, Band 13 VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1967.
29. Lions, J-L., *Sur les sentinelles des systèmes distribués. Conditions frontières, termes sources, coefficients incomplètement connus (On the sentinels of distributed systems. Situations where boundary conditions, or source terms, or coefficients are incompletely known)*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. **I 307** (1988), no. 17, 865-870.
30. Natanson, I. P., *Theory of functions of a real variable*, vol. I. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1964.
31. Milne-Thomson, L. M., *The calculus of finite differences*. Macmillan and Co. Ltd. London, 1960.
32. *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*. Edited by Frank, W., Olver, D., Lozier, R. and Charles, W. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
33. Radchenko, D. and Viazovska, M., *Fourier interpolation on the real line*. Publications mathématiques de l’IHES, **129** (2019), no. 1, 51–81.
34. Rudin, W., *Real and complex analysis*. 2nd ed.. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1974.

35. Sarason, D., *Complex function theory*. Second edition. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
36. Schwarz, H.A., *Über diejenigen Fälle, in welchen die Gaussische hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **75** (1873), 292—335.
37. Schweiger, F., *Continued fractions with odd and even partial quotients*. Arbeitsberichte Math. Institut Universität Salzburg, **4** (1982), 59–70.
38. Short, I. and Walker, M., *Even-integer continued fractions and the Farey tree*. Symmetries in graphs, maps, and polytopes. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **159** (2016), 287—300.
39. Schur, I., *On Faber polynomials*. Amer. J. Math. **67** (1945), 33—41.
40. Vladimirov, V. S., *Methods of the theory of generalized functions*. Taylor & Francis, London, 2002.
41. Whittaker, E. and Watson, G., *A course of modern analysis*. Cambridge, 1950.

**Remark to the References.** The results of this preprint are based on several assertions which may be found in [1], [2], [5], [6], [7, p. 665], [9], [13], [14], [16], [18], [20, p. 1715], [25], [28], [30], [32], [34], [40] and [41]. The other cited books and articles are listed for completeness.

For example, the monograph [27] is cited on the page 13 in connection with the Landen transformation equations (2.30). However, these equations have self-contained proofs on the pages 81–87. Similarly, in connection with the reference to [26, p. 303] on the page 33 we supply a self-contained proof of [26, p. 303, Proposition 2] on the pages 106–109. Finally, the references to Lemma 2 of [12, p. 112] on the pages 26 and 30 are complemented by a self-contained proof of this lemma on the pages 99–102.

Moreover, in [20, p. 1715] we use only Proposition 3.7.2 which establishes the elementary property of the operator  $\mathbf{T}_1$  that it preserves the properties of functions on  $(-1, 1)$  to be even and convex. Also, we need [7, p. 665] to write explicitly the value of the integral of the simplest test function. At last, when using a property of the theta functions needed in the preprint, we refer to [5] and [6] because all the basic properties find simple proofs there with the help of elementary integrals, Liouville’s theorem, Morera’s theorem, Riemann’s theorem about removable singularities, and elementary properties of the Schwarz triangle function  $\lambda_\Delta$ . All the other facts used in the preprint without proofs are from the fundamentals of real and complex analysis found in, e.g., [1], [2], [9], [13], [14], [16], [18], [25], [28], [30], [32], [34], [40] and [41].

## A. Supplementary notes

### A.1. Notes for Section 1

1  $\uparrow$  We prove (1.16). It follows from (2.31), written in the form

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2\lambda(z))}{4\sqrt{\lambda(z)(1-\lambda(z))}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square,$$

and (2.18), that

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1+\lambda_\Delta(z)}{1-\lambda_\Delta(z)}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2\lambda(\lambda_\Delta(z)))}{4\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda_\Delta(z))(1-\lambda(\lambda_\Delta(z)))}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}}, \quad z \in \Lambda,$$

where  $\Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ , and then, by using

$$\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}}(\mathcal{F}_\square) = \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad \phi_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}}(z) := \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (\text{A.12a})$$

we can apply to the latter equality the function  $\lambda_\Delta$  to get

$$\mathcal{F}_\square \ni \frac{1+\lambda_\Delta(z)}{1-\lambda_\Delta(z)} = \lambda_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\right), \quad z \in \Lambda. \quad (\text{A.1a})$$

Applying to (A.1a) the function  $\Theta_3^2$  and using the squared equality (2.26)(b), for any  $z \in \Lambda$  we obtain

$$F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\right) = \Theta_3\left(\lambda_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\right)\right)^2 = \Theta_3\left(\frac{1+\lambda_\Delta(z)}{1-\lambda_\Delta(z)}\right)^2$$

where, by virtue of (2.20) and (2.30)(c),

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_3\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 &= \Theta_3\left(\frac{2}{1-z} - 1\right)^2 = \Theta_4\left(\frac{2}{1-z}\right)^2 = \Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right), \\ \Theta_3\left(-\frac{1}{z-1}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{z-1}{i}}\Theta_3(z-1) = \sqrt{\frac{z-1}{i}}\Theta_4(z), \\ \Theta_4\left(-\frac{1}{z-1}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{z-1}{i}}\Theta_2(z-1) = e^{-i\pi/4}\sqrt{\frac{z-1}{i}}\Theta_2(z), \\ \Theta_3\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 &= e^{-3i\pi/4}(z-1)\Theta_4(z)\Theta_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\right) \\ = e^{-3i\pi/4}(\lambda_\Delta(z) - 1)\Theta_4(\lambda_\Delta(z))\Theta_2(\lambda_\Delta(z)), \quad z \in \Lambda. \quad (\text{A.1b}) \end{aligned}$$

But according to (2.10), (2.26)(c) and (2.26)(a),

$$\lambda_\Delta(z) = \frac{iF_\Delta(1-z)}{F_\Delta(z)}, \quad \Theta_4(\lambda_\Delta(z)) = (1-z)^{1/4}F_\Delta(z)^{1/2}, \quad \Theta_2(\lambda_\Delta(z)) = z^{1/4}F_\Delta(z)^{1/2},$$

and, substituting these expressions in (A.1b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\right) &= e^{-3i\pi/4}\left(\frac{iF_\Delta(1-z)}{F_\Delta(z)} - 1\right)\sqrt[4]{z(1-z)}F_\Delta(z) \\ &= e^{-3i\pi/4}(iF_\Delta(1-z) - F_\Delta(z))\sqrt[4]{z(1-z)} = e^{-i\pi/4}(F_\Delta(1-z) + iF_\Delta(z))\sqrt[4]{z(1-z)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i(1-2z)}{4\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \right) = e^{-i\pi/4} (F_{\Delta}(1-z) + iF_{\Delta}(z)) \sqrt[4]{z(1-z)}, \quad z \in \Lambda. \quad (\text{A.1c})$$

Since  $(0, 1) \subset \Lambda$ , we can set  $z = \tau \in (0, 1)$  in (A.1c) and consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{(1-2\tau)}{4\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} \Rightarrow \\ 16t^2 &= \frac{(1-2\tau)^2}{\tau(1-\tau)} = \frac{1-4\tau+4\tau^2}{\tau(1-\tau)} = \frac{1}{\tau(1-\tau)} - 4 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\tau(1-\tau)} = 4(4t^2+1) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} = 2\sqrt{4t^2+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$t = \frac{(1-2\tau)}{4\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} \Rightarrow t = \frac{(1-2\tau)}{2} \sqrt{4t^2+1} \Rightarrow \tau = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{(1-2\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} &= \frac{-2\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)} - (1-2\tau) \frac{1-2\tau}{2\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}}{\tau(1-\tau)} = \\ &= -\frac{4\tau(1-\tau) + (1-2\tau)^2}{2\tau(1-\tau)\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} = -\frac{4\tau - 4\tau^2 + 1 - 4\tau + 4\tau^2}{2\tau(1-\tau)\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\tau(1-\tau)\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} < 0, \quad \tau \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{0 < \tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1-2\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{1 > \tau \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-2\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} = -\infty,$$

we can replace  $z$  in (A.1c) by

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \Rightarrow 1-z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}, \\ z(1-z) &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{t^2}{4t^2+1} = \frac{1}{4(4t^2+1)}, \quad \sqrt[4]{z(1-z)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1}} \end{aligned}$$

and to get

$$F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) = e^{-i\pi/4} \frac{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) + iF_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1}}$$

where

$$e^{i\pi/4} \sqrt{2} = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2} = 1+i,$$

and hence,

$$F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) = \frac{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) + iF_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)}{(1+i)\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{A.1d})$$

which proves (1.16).

2 ↑ We prove the new expressions for the integrals of  $H_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F_\Delta(1/2+it)F_\Delta(1/2-it)dt}{(t^2+1/4)(F_\Delta(1/2-it)^2+x^2F_\Delta(1/2+it)^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{F_\Delta(1/2-it)}{F_\Delta(1/2+it)}dt}{(t^2+1/4)\left(\frac{F_\Delta(1/2-it)^2}{F_\Delta(1/2+it)^2}+x^2\right)}, \\ H_n(x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^3n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1/2+it}\right)dt}{(t^2+1/4)(F_\Delta(1/2-it)-ixF_\Delta(1/2+it))^2}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

But (A.1d) written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)(1+i)\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1} &= F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right), \\ F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-it\right)(1+i)\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1} &= F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-it\right)}{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)} &= \frac{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)} = \\ &= i \frac{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)-iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [F_\Delta(1/2-it)-ixF_\Delta(1/2+it)](1+i)\sqrt[4]{4t^2+1} &= F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right) \\ &+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)-ix\left(F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)\right) \\ &= (1+x)F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+i(1-x)F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., for any  $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Delta(1/2-it)-ixF_\Delta(1/2+it) &= \\ &= \frac{(1+x)F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+i(1-x)F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{(1+i)\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{t^2+1/4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions in the above integral for  $H_0$  we get

$$H_0(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)-iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)} \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4}}{x^2 - \left(\frac{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)-iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{F_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)+iF_\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}\right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)}}{x^2 - \left(\frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)}\right)^2 t^2 + 1/4} dt, \quad \mathbf{y}(t) := \frac{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)}{F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right)},$$

and doing the same for the above integral for  $H_n$  we obtain, taking account of  $((1+i)\sqrt{2})^2 = 4i$ ,

$$H_n(x) = \frac{i}{\pi^3 n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{S_n^{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{1/2 + it} \right) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t^2 + 1/4}}}{\left( (1+x)F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) + i(1-x)F_{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}} \right) \right)^2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

## A.2. Notes for Section 2

**3**  $\uparrow$  We prove (2.26). It follows actually from [5, p. 598, (1.25); p. 599, (1.32)] that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \Theta_2(\lambda_{\Delta}(z))^4 &= zF_{\Delta}(z)^2, & \text{(b)} \quad \Theta_3(\lambda_{\Delta}(z))^2 &= F_{\Delta}(z), \\ \text{(c)} \quad \Theta_4(\lambda_{\Delta}(z))^4 &= (1-z)F_{\Delta}(z)^2, & z &\in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

Since (see [5, p. 598, (1.26)])

$$\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)\Theta_2(z) \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

for the principal branches of the quadratic and of the fourth roots it makes possible to consider three functions

$$\omega_2(z) := \frac{z^{1/4}F_{\Delta}(z)^{1/2}}{\Theta_2(\lambda_{\Delta}(z))}, \quad \omega_4(z) := \frac{(1-z)^{1/4}F_{\Delta}(z)^{1/2}}{\Theta_4(\lambda_{\Delta}(z))}, \quad \omega_3(z) := \frac{F_{\Delta}(z)^{1/2}}{\Theta_3(\lambda_{\Delta}(z))},$$

which are holomorphic in  $\Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  and in accordance with (A.3a),

$$\omega_2(z), \omega_4(z) \in \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{\pi ik}{2}\right) \right\}_{k=0}^3, \quad \omega_3(z) \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad z \in \Lambda. \quad (\text{A.3c})$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \bigsqcup_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \Lambda_k^m, \quad m \in \{2, 4\}, \quad \Lambda = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq k \leq 1} \Lambda_k^3, \\ \Lambda_k^m &:= \left\{ z \in \Lambda \mid \omega_m(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi ik}{2}\right) \right\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 3, \quad m \in \{2, 4\}, \\ \Lambda_k^3 &:= \left\{ z \in \Lambda \mid \omega_3(z) = (-1)^k \right\}, \quad k \in \{0, 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

Here each of the set  $\Lambda_k^m$  is relatively closed in  $\Lambda$ , i.e.,  $K \cap \Lambda_k^m$  is closed for every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Lambda$ , because  $\omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4 \in \text{Hol}(\Lambda)$ . In view of the Baire Category Theorem [43, p. 159], for each  $m \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  there exists a number  $q_m$  such that  $q_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $q_m \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , if  $m \in \{2, 4\}$ , and the set  $\Lambda_{q_m}^m$  contains some neighborhood of at least one interior point of  $\Lambda$ . Applying the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see [13, p. 78]) we obtain  $\Lambda_{q_m}^m = \Lambda$  for all  $m \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  and therefore

$$\omega_m(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i q_m}{2}\right), \quad m \in \{2, 4\}, \quad \omega_3(z) = (-1)^{q_3}, \quad z \in \Lambda. \quad (\text{A.3d})$$

By setting here  $z \in (0, 1)$  we deduce that  $q_m = 0$  for each  $m \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ , because, in view of (2.12),  $\lambda_{\Delta}((0, 1)) = i\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Theta_m(i\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $F_{\Delta}((0, 1)) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $z, 1-z \in (0, 1)$  for arbitrary  $z \in (0, 1)$ . Therefore all equalities (2.26) hold and the proof of (2.26) is completed.



4  $\uparrow$  We prove (2.30). In view of the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see [13, p. 78]), it is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1(z) &:= \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2z)^2} = 1, \quad \Psi_2(z) := \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2z)^2} = 1, \\ \Psi_3(z) &:= \frac{\Theta_4(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} = 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square,\end{aligned}\tag{A.4a}$$

because  $\Psi_j \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ , as follows from (A.3b).

We apply the approach suggested in [6, p. 24]. According to this approach, it is necessary to introduce the following three functions

$$\Phi_j(z) := \Psi_j(\lambda_\Delta(z)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \quad z \in \Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}),\tag{A.4b}$$

which are holomorphic in  $\Lambda$  and  $\lambda_\Delta(\Lambda) = \mathcal{F}_\square$ . And then to study the values of  $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^3$  on the two sides of the cuts along  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$  and along  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ , and their behavior near the points  $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ .

We first look on their values on the two sides of the cut along  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ . Since by [5, p. 19, (6.8)] we have

$$\Theta_4(z+1) = \Theta_3(z), \quad \Theta_3(z+1) = \Theta_4(z), \quad \Theta_2(z+1) = e^{i\pi/4}\Theta_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

which yield (see [5, p. 56, (A.18a)])

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_4(z+2) &= \Theta_4(z), \quad \Theta_3(z+2) = \Theta_3(z), \quad \Theta_2(z+2) = i\Theta_2(z), \\ \Theta_4(z+4) &= \Theta_4(z), \quad \Theta_3(z+4) = \Theta_3(z), \quad \Theta_2(z+4) = -\Theta_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1(2+z) &= \frac{\Theta_3(2+z)^2 - \Theta_4(2+z)^2}{2\Theta_2(4+2z)^2} = \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2z)^2} = \Phi_1(z), \\ \Phi_2(2+z) &= \frac{\Theta_3(2+z)^2 + \Theta_4(2+z)^2}{2\Theta_3(4+2z)^2} = \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2z)^2} = \Phi_2(z), \\ \Phi_3(2+z) &= \frac{\Theta_4(4+2z)^2}{\Theta_3(2+z)\Theta_4(2+z)} = \frac{\Theta_4(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} = \Phi_3(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},\end{aligned}$$

and we derive from (2.47),

$$\lambda_\Delta(-x+i0) = 2 + \lambda_\Delta(-x-i0), \quad x > 0,$$

that for every  $x > 0$  we have

$$\Phi_1(-x+i0) = \Phi_1(-x-i0), \quad \Phi_2(-x+i0) = \Phi_2(-x-i0),\tag{A.4c}$$

$$\Phi_3(-x+i0) = \Phi_3(-x-i0).\tag{A.4d}$$

Applying to these relationships the Morera theorem (see [28, p. 96]) we obtain that

$$\Phi_j \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus (\{0\} \cup [1, +\infty))), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3,\tag{A.4e}$$

and therefore 0 could be a point of an isolated singularity for all functions  $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^3$  (see [13, p. 103]). We prove that actually 0 is a point of a removable singularity for these functions. To prove this, we apply the Riemann theorem about removable singularities (see [13, p. 103]), according to which 0 is a point of a removable singularity of  $\Phi_j$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ , if and only if  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z\Phi_j(z) = 0$ . For any  $1 \leq j \leq 3$  the latter equality obviously follows from more strong property,  $\sup_{2z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}} |\Phi_j(z)| = \sup_{2z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0]} |\Phi_j(z)| < +\infty$ , and this in turn is equivalent

to  $\overline{\lim}_{z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0], z \rightarrow 0} |\Phi_j(z)| < +\infty$ , because  $\Phi_j \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\})$  and therefore  $\Phi_j$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . According to [5, p. 609, (4.2), (4.5), (4.6)],

$$(0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) \ni z \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\square \ni \lambda_\Delta(z) \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \text{Im } \lambda_\Delta(z) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2 &= \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{i\pi n^2 z} - 1 - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n e^{i\pi n^2 z} \right) \times \\ &\times \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{i\pi n^2 z} + 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n e^{i\pi n^2 z} \right) \\ &= \left( 4e^{i\pi z} + 4 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{i\pi(2n+1)^2 z} \right) \left( 2 + 4 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{4\pi i n^2 z} \right) = 8e^{i\pi z} + O(e^{4\pi i z}), \\ \Theta_3(z) &= 1 + O(e^{i\pi z}), \quad \Theta_4(z) = 1 + O(e^{i\pi z}), \quad \Theta_2(z) = 2e^{i\pi z/4} + O(e^{5\pi i z/4}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain the existence of the following limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0] \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Phi_1(z) &= \lim_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0] \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2 - \Theta_4(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2}{2\Theta_2(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2z)^2} = \lim_{\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{8e^{i\pi z} + O(e^{4\pi i z})}{2(2e^{i\pi z/2} + O(e^{5\pi i z/2}))^2} = 1, \\ \lim_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0] \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Phi_2(z) &= \lim_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0] \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2 + \Theta_4(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2}{2\Theta_3(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2z)^2} = \lim_{\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))^2 + (1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))^2}{2(1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))^2} = 1, \\ \lim_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0] \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Phi_3(z) &= \lim_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (-1, 0] \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_4(2\lambda_\Delta(z))^2}{\Theta_3(\lambda_\Delta(z))\Theta_4(\lambda_\Delta(z))} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_4(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} = \lim_{\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1 + O(e^{2\pi i z}))^2}{(1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))(1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Phi_j \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)), \quad \Phi_j(0) = 1, \quad , \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \quad (\text{A.4f})$$

We now look on the values of  $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^3$  on the two sides of the cut along  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ . For arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ , by using the identities,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_3(z) &= i \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)}{(2z-1)^{1/2}}, & \Theta_4(z) &= \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)}{(2z-1)^{1/2}}, & \Theta_2(z) &= i \frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)}{(2z-1)^{1/2}}, \\ \Theta_3(z)^2 &= -\frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2z-1}, & \Theta_4(z)^2 &= \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2z-1}, & \Theta_2(z)^2 &= \frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{1-2z}, \\ \Theta_3(z)^2 &= i \frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)^2}{z-\sigma}, & \Theta_4(z)^2 &= i \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)^2}{z-\sigma}, & \Theta_2(z)^2 &= \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)^2}{\sigma-z}, \end{aligned}$$

(see [6, p.57, (A.18b)(b), (A.18b)(c); p.58, (A.18e)(c)]) we obtain, for every  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1(z) &= \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2z)^2} = \frac{-\frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{(2z-1)} - \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{(2z-1)}}{-2\frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2z-1}} \\
&= \frac{-\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2 - \Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{-2\Theta_4\left(1-1+\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2} = \frac{-\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2 - \Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{-2\Theta_3\left(-1+\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2} \\
&= \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2 + \Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2\Theta_3\left(\frac{2z}{1-2z}\right)^2} = \Psi_2\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right); \\
\Psi_2(z) &= \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2z)^2} = \frac{-\frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{(2z-1)} + \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{(2z-1)}}{2i\frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2z-1}} \\
&= \frac{-\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2 + \Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2i\Theta_2\left(1-1+\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2} = \frac{-\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2 + \Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2i \cdot i\Theta_2\left(-1+\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2} \\
&= \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2 - \Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2\Theta_2\left(\frac{2z}{1-2z}\right)^2} = \Psi_1\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right); \\
\Psi_3(z) &= \frac{\Theta_4(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} = \frac{i\frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{2z-1}}{i\frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)}{(2z-1)^{1/2}} \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{(2z-1)^{1/2}}} = \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)} \\
&= \frac{\Theta_3\left(1-1+\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)} = \frac{\Theta_4\left(-1+\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)^2}{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)} \\
&= \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{2z}{1-2z}\right)^2}{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)\Theta_4\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right)} = \Psi_3\left(\frac{z}{1-2z}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and derive from (2.48),

$$\lambda_{\Delta}(1+x-i0) = \frac{\lambda_{\Delta}(1+x+i0)}{1-2\lambda_{\Delta}(1+x+i0)}, \quad 2\lambda_{\Delta}(1+x-i0) = \frac{2\lambda_{\Delta}(1+x+i0)}{1-2\lambda_{\Delta}(1+x+i0)}, \quad x > 0,$$

that

$$(1) \quad \Phi_1(1+x-i0) = \Phi_2(1+x+i0), \quad \Phi_2(1+x-i0) = \Phi_1(1+x+i0), \quad x > 0, \quad (\text{A.4g})$$

$$(2) \quad \Phi_3(1+x-i0) = \Phi_3(1+x+i0), \quad x > 0. \quad (\text{A.4h})$$

Applying the Morera theorem (see [28, p. 96]) to these relationships we obtain

$$\Phi_3 \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}), \quad \Phi_0 \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (\text{A.4i})$$

where the function

$$\Phi_0(z) := \begin{cases} \Phi_1(1+z^2), & z \in \mathbb{H}, \\ \Phi_2(1+z^2), & z \in -\mathbb{H}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.4j})$$

is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  as follows from (A.4f), theorem about analyticity of the composition of two holomorphic functions (see [13, p. 34]), and the fact that  $1+z^2$  maps conformally  $\pm\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$ . The latter property is the consequence of the fact that the function  $\pm i\sqrt{1-\zeta}$  maps conformally  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$  onto  $\pm\mathbb{H}$  (see [13, p. 46]). Therefore the inverse mapping  $1+z^2$  maps  $\pm\mathbb{H}$  one-to-one onto  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$ . Then  $1+z^2 = 1+(x+iy)^2 = 1+x^2-y^2+2ixy$ ,  $z := x+iy$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and (A.4j), for arbitrary  $x > 0$  imply

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_0(x+i0) = \Phi_1(1+x^2+i0), & \Phi_0(-x+i0) = \Phi_1(1+x^2-i0), \\ \Phi_0(x-i0) = \Phi_2(1+x^2-i0), & \Phi_0(-x-i0) = \Phi_2(1+x^2+i0), \end{cases}$$

which by (A.4g) means that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(x+i0) &= \Phi_1(1+x^2+i0) = \Phi_2(1+x^2-i0) = \Phi_0(x-i0), & x > 0, \\ \Phi_0(-x+i0) &= \Phi_1(1+x^2-i0) = \Phi_2(1+x^2+i0) = \Phi_0(-x-i0), & x > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the Morera theorem can be applied to  $\Phi_0 \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  to get  $\Phi_0 \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ . The right-hand side inclusion of (A.4i) is completely proved.

We prove now that 1 and 0 are the points of a removable singularity for  $\Phi_3$  and  $\Phi_0$ , respectively. According to [5, p. 609, (4.2), (4.4)],

$$(0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) \ni z \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni \lambda_{\Delta}(z) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \text{Im}(-1/\lambda_{\Delta}(z)) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (\text{A.4k})$$

because in view of  $-1/\mathcal{F}_{\square} = \mathcal{F}_{\square} \subset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Re} < 1}$ , it follows from  $\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni \lambda_{\Delta}(z) \rightarrow 0$  that  $-1/\lambda_{\Delta}(z) \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}$  and  $|1/\lambda_{\Delta}(z)| \rightarrow +\infty$ , which means that  $\text{Im}(-1/\lambda_{\Delta}(z)) \geq |1/\lambda_{\Delta}(z)| - 1 \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then the asymptotic equalities (see (2.20)(a),(b),(c)),

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_3(z) &= \frac{\Theta_3(-1/z)}{(z/i)^{1/2}}, \quad \Theta_2(z) = \frac{\Theta_4(-1/z)}{(z/i)^{1/2}}, \quad \Theta_4(z) = \frac{\Theta_2(-1/z)}{(z/i)^{1/2}}, \\ \Theta_3(-1/z) &= 1 + O\left(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}\right), \quad \Theta_4(-1/z) = 1 + O\left(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}\right), \\ \Theta_2(-1/z) &= 2e^{i\pi(-1/z)/4} \left(1 + O\left(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

as  $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}$ ,  $z \rightarrow 0$  (and hence,  $\text{Im}(-1/z) \rightarrow +\infty$ ) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Psi_1(z) &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2z)^2} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\Theta_3(-1/z)^2}{(z/i)} - \frac{\Theta_2(-1/z)^2}{(z/i)}}{2\frac{\Theta_4(-1/(2z))^2}{(2z/i)}} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(-1/z)^2 - \Theta_2(-1/z)^2}{\Theta_4(-1/(2z))^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{\operatorname{Im}(-1/z) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}))^2 - 4e^{i\pi(-1/z)/2} (1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}))^2}{(1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/(2z))}))^2} = 1, \\
\mathcal{F}_{\square} \lim_{\ni z \rightarrow 0} \Psi_2(z) &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2z)^2} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\Theta_3(-1/z)^2}{(z/i)} + \frac{\Theta_2(-1/z)^2}{(z/i)}}{2 \frac{\Theta_3(-1/(2z))^2}{(2z/i)}} \\
&= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(-1/z)^2 + \Theta_2(-1/z)^2}{\Theta_3(-1/(2z))^2} \\
&= \lim_{\operatorname{Im}(-1/z) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}))^2 + 4e^{i\pi(-1/z)/2} (1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}))^2}{(1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/(2z))}))^2} = 1, \\
\mathcal{F}_{\square} \lim_{\ni z \rightarrow 0} \Psi_3(z) &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_4(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\Theta_2(-1/(2z))^2}{(2z/i)}}{\frac{\Theta_3(-1/z)}{(z/i)^{1/2}} \frac{\Theta_2(-1/z)}{(z/i)^{1/2}}} \\
&= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_2(-1/(2z))^2}{2\Theta_3(-1/z)\Theta_2(-1/z)} \\
&= \lim_{\operatorname{Im}(-1/z) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{4e^{i\pi(-1/2z)/2} (1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/(2z))}))^2}{2(1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/z)})) 2e^{i\pi(-1/z)/4} (1 + O(e^{i\pi(-1/z)}))} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (A.4k), we have, for  $\Lambda := (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{\Lambda \ni z \rightarrow 1} \Phi_j(z) = \lim_{\Lambda \ni z \rightarrow 1} \Psi_j(\lambda_{\Delta}(z)) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Psi_j(z) = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3. \quad (\text{A.4l})$$

In view of the continuity of  $\Phi_0$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , as follows from (A.4i), the limit of  $\Phi_0$  as  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \ni z \rightarrow 0$  exists if and only if there exists the limit of  $\Phi_0$  as  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \ni z \rightarrow 0$ , and we derive from (A.4l),  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} = (-\mathbb{H}) \sqcup \mathbb{H}$  and  $(1+z^2) : \pm\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Lambda$ , the existence of the following limit

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Phi_0(z) &= \lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \ni z \rightarrow 0} \Phi_0(z) \\
&= \lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \ni z \rightarrow 0} [\Phi_1(1+z^2)\chi_{\mathbb{H}}(z) + \Phi_2(1+z^2)\chi_{-\mathbb{H}}(z)] = \lim_{\Lambda \ni z \rightarrow 1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_1(z) \\ \Phi_2(z) \end{array} \right\} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (A.4l) for  $j = 3$  and (A.4i) this relationship yields that 1 and 0 are the points of a removable singularity for  $\Phi_3$  and  $\Phi_0$ , respectively, and so  $\Phi_3$  and  $\Phi_0$  are entire functions, i.e.,

$$\Phi_3, \Phi_0 \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \Phi_0(0) = \Phi_3(1) = 1. \quad (\text{A.4m})$$

We prove now that the modulus of  $\Phi_3$  and  $\Phi_0$  are uniformly bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$  by establishing the existence of the finite limits of  $\Phi_3(z)$  and  $\Phi_0(z)$  as  $\mathbb{C} \ni z \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\Lambda \ni z \rightarrow \infty$  approaching from one of the half-planes  $\sigma := \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} z) \in \{1, -1\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni \lambda_{\Delta}(z) \rightarrow \sigma$  (see [5, p. 609, (4.2), (4.3)]) and, in view of (2.16),  $\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{\Delta}(z)) = \sigma$ . The latter equality yields  $\mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni \lambda_{\Delta}(z) - \sigma \rightarrow 0$  (see [6, p. 24, item 2]) and by manipulations similar to those employed in the proof of (A.4k), for arbitrary  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  we get

$$\sigma\mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\square} \ni \lambda_{\Delta}(z) - \sigma \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(-1/(\lambda_{\Delta}(z) - \sigma)) \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (\text{A.4n})$$

By using the relationships (see [6, p. 58, (A.18e), (A.18d)],

$$\Theta_3(z)^2 = i \frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)^2}{z-\sigma}, \quad \Theta_4(z)^2 = i \frac{\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)^2}{z-\sigma}, \quad \Theta_2(z)^2 = \frac{\Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)^2}{\sigma-z},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_3(z)^2 &= i \frac{\Theta_3\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^2}{z}, & \Theta_4(z)^2 &= i \frac{\Theta_2\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^2}{z}, & \Theta_2(z)^2 &= i \frac{\Theta_4\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^2}{z}, \\ \Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z) &= i \frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)}{z-\sigma}, & z &\in \mathbb{H}, & \sigma &\in \{1, -1\},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain, for arbitrary  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ , the existence of the following limits

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\sigma \mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_1(z) &= \lim_{\sigma \mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_1(\lambda_\Delta(z)) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \Psi_1(z) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2z)^2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_2(2(z-\sigma) + 2\sigma)^2} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{-2\Theta_2(2(z-\sigma))^2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{i \frac{\Theta_2\left(-\frac{1}{z-\sigma}\right)^2}{z-\sigma} - i \frac{\Theta_3\left(-\frac{1}{z-\sigma}\right)^2}{z-\sigma}}{\Theta_4\left(-\frac{1}{2(z-\sigma)}\right)^2 - 2i \frac{1}{2(z-\sigma)}} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_2(z)^2}{\Theta_4(z/2)^2} \\ &= \lim_{\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))^2 - (2e^{i\pi z/4} + O(e^{5\pi iz/4}))^2}{(1 + O(e^{i\pi z/2}))^2} = 1;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\sigma \mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_2(z) &= \lim_{\sigma \mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_2(\lambda_\Delta(z)) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \Psi_2(z) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2z)^2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2(z-\sigma) + 2\sigma)^2} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2}{2\Theta_3(2(z-\sigma))^2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{i \frac{\Theta_2\left(-\frac{1}{z-\sigma}\right)^2}{z-\sigma} + i \frac{\Theta_3\left(-\frac{1}{z-\sigma}\right)^2}{z-\sigma}}{\Theta_3\left(-\frac{1}{2(z-\sigma)}\right)^2 + 2i \frac{1}{2(z-\sigma)}} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_2(z)^2 + \Theta_3(z)^2}{\Theta_3(z/2)^2} \\ &= \lim_{\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(2e^{i\pi z/4} + O(e^{5\pi iz/4}))^2 + (1 + O(e^{i\pi z}))^2}{(1 + O(e^{i\pi z/2}))^2} = 1;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\sigma \mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_3(z) &= \lim_{\sigma \mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_3(\lambda_\Delta(z)) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \Psi_3(z) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_4(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_4(2(z-\sigma) + 2\sigma)^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Theta_4(2(z-\sigma))^2}{\Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z)} \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z - \sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{i \frac{\Theta_2\left(-\frac{1}{2(z-\sigma)}\right)^2}{2(z-\sigma)}}{\Theta_2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right)\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-z}\right) + i \frac{1}{z-\sigma}} = \lim_{\mathcal{F}_\square \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_2(z/2)^2}{2\Theta_2(z)\Theta_3(z)} \\ &= \lim_{\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(2e^{i\pi z/8} + O(e^{5\pi iz/8}))^2}{2(2e^{i\pi z/4} + O(e^{5\pi iz/4}))(1 + O(e^{i\pi z/2}))} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for arbitrary  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$  there exist the limits

$$\lim_{\sigma\mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_j(z) = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3. \quad (\text{A.4o})$$

Since by (A.4m) we have  $\Phi_3 \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C})$  then it follows from (A.4o) with  $j = 3$  that  $\Phi_3(z) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\mathbb{H} \ni z \rightarrow \infty$  and we obtain that the entire function  $\Phi_3$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$  while  $\Phi_3(1) = 1$ , also according to (A.4m). The Liouville theorem [13, p. 77] yields  $\Phi_3(z) = 1$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and, in particular,  $\Phi_3(z) = 1$  for every  $z \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\lambda_\Delta(\Lambda) = \mathcal{F}_\square$  and (A.4b) imply the validity of (A.4a) for  $\Psi_3$  and completes the proof of (2.30)(c).

Since  $1 + z^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $z \in (i\mathbb{R}) \cup \mathbb{R}$  and, in accordance with (A.4m), we have  $\Phi_0 \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C})$ , then the limit of  $\Phi_0(z)$  as  $\mathbb{C} \ni z \rightarrow \infty$  exists if and only if there exists the limit of  $\Phi_0(z)$  as  $\mathbb{C} \setminus ((i\mathbb{R}) \cup \mathbb{R}) \ni z \rightarrow \infty$ . But in the latter case  $1 + z^2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of the definition (A.4j) of  $\Phi_0$  and the properties (A.4o), we conclude that  $\Phi_0(z) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\mathbb{C} \ni z \rightarrow \infty$  and therefore the entire function  $\Phi_0$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$  while  $\Phi_0(1) = 1$ , according to (A.4m). The Liouville theorem [13, p. 77] gives  $\Phi_0(z) = 1$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , which by (A.4j) yield that  $\Phi_1(1 + z^2) = 1$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\Phi_2(1 + z^2) = 1$  for every  $z \in -\mathbb{H}$ . This implies that  $\Phi_1(z) = \Phi_2(z) = 1$  for every  $z \in \Lambda$  because  $1 + z^2$  maps conformally  $\pm\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\Lambda := \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$ . Then  $\lambda_\Delta(\Lambda) = \mathcal{F}_\square$  and (A.4b) yield the validity of (A.4a) for  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  which completes the proof of (2.30)(a) and (2.30)(b). The Landen transformation equations (2.30) have been completely proved.

**5**  $\uparrow \uparrow$  Let  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$ . Since  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square) = 1 - \lambda(\mathcal{F}_\square) = (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$  it is possible to use the principal branch of the square root for  $\lambda(z)$  and  $1 - \lambda(z)$  as well. Then by (2.19) and (2.21) we get

$$\sqrt{\lambda(z)} = \frac{\Theta_2(z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)^2}, \quad \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)} = \frac{\Theta_4(z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)^2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \quad (\text{A.5a})$$

Then, by virtue of (2.30)(b), we get

$$2\Theta_3(2z)^2 = \Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2 = \Theta_3(z)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Theta_4(z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)^2}\right) = \Theta_3(z)^2 \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}\right),$$

from which

$$\Theta_3(z)^2 = \frac{2\Theta_3(2z)^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \quad (\text{A.5b})$$

Substituting here (2.26)(b) written in the form

$$\Theta_3(z)^2 = F_\Delta(\lambda(z)), \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad (\text{A.5c})$$

we obtain

$$F_\Delta(\lambda(z)) = \frac{2F_\Delta(\lambda(2z))}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \quad (\text{A.5d})$$

But if  $2z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  and  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  then it follows from (2.30)(a), (2.30)(b) and (A.5a) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\lambda(2z)} &= \frac{\Theta_2(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(2z)^2} = \frac{\Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2}{\Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2} = \frac{\frac{\Theta_3(z)^2}{\Theta_4(z)^2} - 1}{\frac{\Theta_3(z)^2}{\Theta_4(z)^2} + 1} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}} - 1}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}} + 1} \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and after squaring this identity the constraint  $2z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  can be dropped,

$$\lambda(2z) = \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}} \right)^2, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad (\text{A.5e})$$

and we deduce from (A.5d),

$$F_\Delta(\lambda(z)) = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}} F_\Delta \left( \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda(z)}} \right)^2 \right), \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square. \quad (\text{A.5f})$$

Or, what is the same,

$$F_\Delta(z) = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - z}} F_\Delta \left( \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - z}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - z}} \right)^2 \right), \quad z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}), \quad (\text{A.5g})$$

which coincides with the quadratic transformation (3.1.10) with  $a = b = 1$  of [2, p. 128] for the hypergeometric function  $F_\Delta$ .

**6**  $\uparrow$  The Landen relationships (2.30)(a)–(c),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Theta_2(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)^2 - \Theta_4(z)^2, \\ 2\Theta_3(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)^2 + \Theta_4(z)^2, \\ \Theta_4(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z), \end{aligned} \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (\text{A.6a})$$

can obviously be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_3(z)^2 &= \Theta_3(2z)^2 + \Theta_2(2z)^2, \\ \Theta_4(z)^2 &= \Theta_3(2z)^2 - \Theta_2(2z)^2, \\ \Theta_4(2z)^2 &= \Theta_3(z)\Theta_4(z), \end{aligned} \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (\text{A.6b})$$

from which, by (2.21), we get

$$\Theta_2(z)^4 = (\Theta_3(2z)^2 + \Theta_2(2z)^2)^2 - (\Theta_3(2z)^2 - \Theta_2(2z)^2)^2 = 4\Theta_3(2z)^2\Theta_2(2z)^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\Theta_2(z)^4 = 4\Theta_3(2z)^2\Theta_2(2z)^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.6c})$$

Therefore

$$\lambda(z) = \frac{\Theta_2(z)^4}{\Theta_3(z)^2} = \frac{4\Theta_3(2z)^2\Theta_2(2z)^2}{\Theta_3(2z)^4 + \Theta_2(2z)^4 + 2\Theta_3(2z)^2\Theta_2(2z)^2}$$

and then, in view of (2.20) and (2.21),

$$\Theta_2(z-1)^2 = i\Theta_2(z)^2, \quad \Theta_3(z-1)^2 = \Theta_4(z)^2, \quad \frac{\lambda(z)}{1 - \lambda(z)} = \frac{\Theta_2(z)^4}{\Theta_4(z)^4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right) &= \frac{\Theta_2\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right)^4}{\Theta_3\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right)^4} = \frac{4\Theta_3(z-1)^2\Theta_2(z-1)^2}{\Theta_3(z-1)^4 + \Theta_2(z-1)^4 + 2\Theta_3(z-1)^2\Theta_2(z-1)^2} = \\ &= \frac{4i\Theta_4(z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2}{\Theta_4(z)^4 - \Theta_2(z)^4 + 2i\Theta_4(z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2}, \end{aligned}$$



from which, taking account of (2.19), for arbitrary  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) &= \lambda\left(\frac{2}{1-z} - 1\right) = \frac{\lambda\left(\frac{2}{1-z}\right)}{\lambda\left(\frac{2}{1-z}\right) - 1} = \frac{\lambda\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right) - 1}{\lambda\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right)} = \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right)} = 1 - \frac{\Theta_4(z)^4 - \Theta_2(z)^4 + 2i\Theta_4(z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2}{4i\Theta_4(z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4i} \left( \frac{\Theta_4(z)^2}{\Theta_2(z)^2} - \frac{\Theta_2(z)^2}{\Theta_4(z)^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4i} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(z)}{1-\lambda(z)}} - \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda(z)}{\lambda(z)}} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\lambda(z) - 1}{4i\sqrt{(1-\lambda(z))\lambda(z)}} = \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1 - 2\lambda(z)}{4\sqrt{(1-\lambda(z))\lambda(z)}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., in the notation (2.32),

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\lambda_1(z)}{4\sqrt{\lambda_2(z)}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad (\text{A.6d})$$

which proves the validity of the left-hand side equality in (2.31). The right-hand side equality in (2.31) is obtained from (A.6d) by replacing  $z$  by  $-1/z$  and by using (2.19).

**7**  $\uparrow$  The right-hand side equality (2.31) written for  $z = it$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z-1}{z+1} &= \frac{it-1}{it+1} = \frac{-t-i}{-t+i} = \frac{t+i}{t-i}, \\ \lambda\left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\lambda_1(z)}{4\sqrt{\lambda_2(z)}} \Rightarrow \\ \lambda\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\lambda_1(it)}{4\sqrt{\lambda_2(it)}}, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda(it) \in (0, 1)$  for all  $t > 0$ , makes it possible to write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(1-2\lambda(it))^2}{16\lambda(it)(1-\lambda(it))} \\ &= \frac{4\lambda(it)(1-\lambda(it)) + (1-2\lambda(it))^2}{16\lambda(it)(1-\lambda(it))} \\ &= \frac{4\lambda(it) - 4\lambda(it)^2 + 1 - 4\lambda(it) + 4\lambda(it)^2}{16\lambda(it)(1-\lambda(it))} = \frac{1}{16\lambda(it)(1-\lambda(it))}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., by (2.19),

$$\left| \lambda\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{16\lambda(it)(1-\lambda(it))} = \frac{1}{16\lambda(it)\lambda(i/t)}, \quad t > 0, \quad (\text{A.7a})$$

that proves equality in (2.33).

By using (2.23)(b) written in the forms,

$$0 < \lambda(it) < 16e^{-\pi t}, \quad 0 < \lambda(i/t) < 16e^{-\pi/t}, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

we derive from (A.7a) that

$$16 \left| \lambda\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right|^2 \geq \frac{1}{16 \cdot e^{-\pi t} \cdot 16e^{-\pi/t}} = \frac{1}{16^2} \exp \pi \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right),$$

from which we obtain the inequality in (2.33),

$$64 \left| \lambda\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right| \geq \exp \frac{\pi}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right), \quad t > 0. \quad (\text{A.7b})$$

8 ↑ The Landen relationships (2.30)(c) together with (2.20)(g) and (A.18e) of [6, p. 58] for any  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  give

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^2 &= i(1-z)\Theta_4(z)^2, \quad \Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^2 = (1-z)\Theta_2(z)^2, \\ \Theta_3\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^4 &= \Theta_3\left(\frac{2}{1-z}-1\right)^4 = \Theta_4\left(\frac{2}{1-z}\right)^4 = \Theta_3\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^2 \Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^2 \\ &= i(1-z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2\Theta_4(z)^2,\end{aligned}$$

which by (2.20)(a), (c),

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_2(-1/z)\Theta_4(-1/z) &= (z/i)\Theta_2(z)\Theta_4(z) \Rightarrow \\ \Theta_2(-1/z)^2\Theta_4(-1/z)^2 &= -z^2\Theta_2(z)^2\Theta_4(z)^2,\end{aligned}$$

lead to

$$i(1-z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2\Theta_4(z)^2 = -i(1-1/z)^2\Theta_2(-1/z)^2\Theta_4(-1/z)^2,$$

and therefore

$$\Theta_3\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^4 = \begin{cases} i(1-z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2\Theta_4(z)^2, \\ -i(1-1/z)^2\Theta_2(-1/z)^2\Theta_4(-1/z)^2, \end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.8a})$$

Making here the change of variables  $z = -1/z'$ , we obtain

$$\Theta_3\left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right)^4 = \begin{cases} -i(1+z)^2\Theta_2(z)^2\Theta_4(z)^2, \\ i(1+1/z)^2\Theta_2(-1/z)^2\Theta_4(-1/z)^2, \end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.8b})$$

When  $z = it$ ,  $t > 0$ ,

$$\frac{z-1}{z+1} = \frac{it-1}{it+1} = \frac{t+i}{t-i},$$

and (A.8b) yields

$$\Theta_3\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right)^4 = \begin{cases} 4i(t-i)^2e^{-\pi t/2}\theta_2(e^{-\pi t})^2\theta_4(e^{-\pi t})^2, \\ 4i\frac{(t-i)^2}{t^2}e^{-\pi/(2t)}\theta_2(e^{-\pi/t})^2\theta_4(e^{-\pi/t})^2, \end{cases} \quad t > 0.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi(t) := \left| \Theta_3\left(\frac{t+i}{t-i}\right) \right|^4 = \begin{cases} 4(1+t^2)e^{-\pi t/2}\theta_2(e^{-\pi t})^2\theta_4(e^{-\pi t})^2, & \text{if } t \geq 1, \\ 4\frac{1+t^2}{t^2}e^{-\pi/(2t)}\theta_2(e^{-\pi/t})^2\theta_4(e^{-\pi/t})^2, & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\theta_4(u) := 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n u^{n^2} = 1 - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (u^{n^2} - u^{(n+1)^2}) < 1, \quad u \in (0, 1),$$

while, by [9, p. 325, (xii)],

$$\theta_2(u) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u^{n^2+n} \leq \theta_2(e^{-\pi}), \quad 0 < u < e^{-\pi},$$

$$\psi(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{n(n+1)/2} = \theta_2(\sqrt{q}), \quad \theta_2(e^{-\pi}) = \psi(e^{-2\pi}) = \frac{\pi^{1/4}e^{\pi/4}}{2^{5/4}\Gamma(3/4)},$$

and therefore

$$\Phi(t) = \left| \Theta_3 \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) \right|^4 \leq \begin{cases} 4(1+t^2)e^{-\pi t/2} \frac{\pi^{1/2} e^{\pi/2}}{2^{5/2} \Gamma(3/4)^2}, & \text{if } t \geq 1, \\ 4 \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} e^{-\pi/(2t)} \frac{\pi^{1/2} e^{\pi/2}}{2^{5/2} \Gamma(3/4)^2}, & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(1/t) &= \left| \Theta_3 \left( \frac{1/t+i}{1/t-i} \right) \right|^4 = \left| \Theta_3 \left( \frac{1+it}{1-it} \right) \right|^4 = \left| \Theta_3 \left( \frac{i-t}{i+t} \right) \right|^4 \\ &= \left| \theta_3 \left( e^{i\pi \frac{i-t}{i+t}} \right) \right|^4 = \left| \theta_3 \left( e^{-i\pi \frac{-i-t}{-i+t}} \right) \right|^4 = \left| \theta_3 \left( e^{i\pi \frac{t+i}{t-i}} \right) \right|^4 \\ &= \left| \Theta_3 \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) \right|^4 = \Phi(t), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\Phi(t) = \sqrt{\Phi(t)\Phi(1/t)} \leq \frac{\pi^{1/2} e^{\pi/2}}{2^{1/2} \Gamma(3/4)^2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right)}, \quad t > 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) &= 1,2254167024\dots, & \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 &= 1,5016460\dots, \\ \sqrt{2} &= 1,41421356\dots, & \sqrt{\pi} &= 1,772453850\dots, & e^{\pi/2} &= 4,810477380\dots, \\ \sqrt{\pi} e^{\pi/2} &= 8,526349152518913\dots, & \sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 &= 2,1236481390831\dots, \\ \frac{\pi^{1/2} e^{\pi/2}}{2^{1/2} \Gamma(3/4)^2} &= 4,0149537937108354094530647660142\dots < 5, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.34).

### A.3. Notes for Section 3

9 ↑ We have, in view of (2.38),

$$\begin{aligned} -4\pi^2 n \mathcal{M}_n(x) &= -4\pi^2 n \mathcal{H}_n(-1/x)/x^2 = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(zx-1)^2} = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(x-1/z)^2} \frac{dz}{z^2} \\ \left| z = -\frac{1}{z'}, dz = \frac{dz'}{(z')^2} \right| &= - \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(-1/z)}\right) dz}{(x+z)^2} = - \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(x+z)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\mathcal{M}_n(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(x+z)^2},$$

and therefore by (3.13) and (10.4),

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n 4\pi^2 n M_n(x) &= \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)}\right) dz}{(x+z)^2} = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(1 - \frac{1}{1-\lambda(z)}\right) - S_n^\Delta(1)}{(x+z)^2} dz \\ &= \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta\left(\frac{\lambda(z)}{\lambda(z)-1}\right) - S_n^\Delta(1)}{(x+z)^2} dz = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z+1)) - S_n^\Delta(1)}{(x+z)^2} dz \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$M_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi^2 n} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{S_n^\Delta(\lambda(z+1)) - S_n^\Delta(1)}{(x+z)^2} dz .$$

**10**  $\uparrow$  According with Theorem 2.6, (2.49) and (2.52),

$$\begin{aligned} &\{y \in \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(y) = z\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \lambda_\Delta(z), & \text{if } z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}); \\ \{\lambda_\Delta(z+i0), \lambda_\Delta(z-i0)\}, & \text{if } z = 1+x, \quad x > 0, \\ \{\lambda_\Delta(z+i0), \lambda_\Delta(z-i0)\}, & \text{if } z = -x, \quad x > 0, \end{cases} \\ &\begin{cases} = \lambda_\Delta(z), & \text{if } z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}); \\ \stackrel{(2.52)}{=} \left\{ \frac{-1+i\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}, \frac{1+i\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2} \right\}, & \text{if } z = 1+x, \quad x > 0, \\ \stackrel{(2.49)}{=} \{-1+i\Delta(x), 1+i\Delta(x)\}, & \text{if } z = -x, \quad x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.10a}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\{y \in \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(y) = z\}} e^{-n\pi iy}$$

is equal to

$$e^{-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(z)},$$

if  $z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-n\pi i \frac{-1+i\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} + e^{-n\pi i \frac{1+i\Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} &= e^{\frac{n\pi \Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} \left( e^{\frac{n\pi i}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} + e^{-\frac{n\pi i}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} \right) \\ &= 2e^{\frac{n\pi \Delta(x)}{1+\Delta(x)^2}} \cos \frac{n\pi}{1+\Delta(x)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $z = 1+x$ ,  $x > 0$ , and

$$e^{-n\pi i(-1+i\Delta(x))} + e^{-n\pi i(1+i\Delta(x))} = 2(-1)^n e^{n\pi \Delta(x)},$$

if  $z = -x$ ,  $x > 0$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{\{y \in \text{clos}(\mathcal{F}_\square) \mid \lambda(y)=z\}} e^{-n\pi iy} = \begin{cases} \exp(-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(z)), & \text{if } z \in (0, 1) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}); \\ 2e^{\frac{n\pi \Delta(x)}{1 + \Delta(x)^2}} \cos \frac{n\pi}{1 + \Delta(x)^2}, & \text{if } z = 1 + x, \quad x > 0, \\ 2(-1)^n \exp(n\pi \Delta(x)), & \text{if } z = -x, \quad x > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Delta(x) := \frac{F_\Delta(1/(1+x))}{F_\Delta(x/(1+x))}, \quad \begin{cases} \Delta(0) = +\infty, & \frac{d\Delta(x)}{dx} < 0, \\ \Delta(+\infty) = 0, & \Delta(x)\Delta(1/x) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.50)$$

what has been asserted after (3.12).

**11**  $\uparrow$  It follows from (2.38)

$$2\pi i \text{H}_0(1/x)/x^2 = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{z \Theta_3(z)^4}{1 - z^2 x^2} dz = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{z \Theta_3(z)^4}{z^{-2} - x^2} \frac{dz}{z^2} = - \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{(-1/z) \Theta_3(-1/z)^4}{z^2 - x^2} dz,$$

where by (2.20)(b),

$$\Theta_3(-1/z)^4 = -z^2 \Theta_3(z)^4,$$

and therefore

$$2\pi i \text{H}_0(-1/x)/x^2 = - \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{(-1/z) (-z^2 \Theta_3(z)^4)}{z^2 - x^2} dz = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{z \Theta_3(z)^4}{x^2 - z^2} dz = 2\pi i \text{H}_0(x).$$

Thus,

$$\text{H}_0(x) = \text{H}_0(-x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{H}_0(-1/x) = \text{H}_0(x)x^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

**12**  $\uparrow$  We prove the next assertion.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $ad - bc \neq 0$  and  $\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}$  be the Möbius transformation [13, p. 47, Definition 3.5] such that*

$$\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(\mathcal{F}_\square) = \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad \phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(z) := \frac{az + b}{cz + d}. \quad (\text{A.12a})$$

Then

$$\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(z) \in \left\{ z, -\frac{1}{z}, \frac{z-1}{z+1}, -\frac{z+1}{z-1} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.12b})$$

*Proof.* The boundary of the image of  $\mathcal{F}_\square$  under the Möbius transformation

$$\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

according to the known property of the Möbius transformations to map circles onto circles [13, p. 49, Theorem 3.14] and to the known open mapping theorem [13, p. 99, Theorem 7.5] of nonconstant analytic functions to map open set to open set, consists of four circles and therefore four vertices of  $\mathcal{F}_\square$  are transformed into vertices, i.e.,

$$\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(\{\infty, 0, 1, -1\}) = \{\infty, 0, 1, -1\}.$$

Since  $-1/\mathcal{F}_\square = \mathcal{F}_\square$  then if  $\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(\mathcal{F}_\square) = \mathcal{F}_\square$  then

$$-1/\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(z), \quad -1/\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(-1/z), \quad -1/\phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(-1/z), \quad (\text{A.12c})$$

also map  $\mathcal{F}_\square$  onto itself. Therefore it suffices to consider two cases

$$1) f(\infty) = \infty, \quad 2) f(\infty) = 1, \quad f(z) := \phi_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

In the first case we get  $c = 0$  and therefore one may assume that  $f(z) = az + b$ . Then  $f(0) = b \in \{0, -1, 1\}$ , i.e. it should be

$$\{f(1), f(-1)\} = \{0, -1, 1\} \setminus \{b\}, \quad f(z) = az + b, \quad b \in \{0, -1, 1\}.$$

we get three cases  $f(z) = az$ ,  $f(z) = az - 1$ ,  $f(z) = az + 1$ , where correspondingly

$$\begin{aligned} b = 0 &\Rightarrow \{f(1), f(-1)\} = \{a, -a\} = \{1, -1\} \Rightarrow a \in \{1, -1\}, \\ b = -1 &\Rightarrow \{f(1), f(-1)\} = \{a - 1, -a - 1\} = \{0, 1\} \Rightarrow \{a, -a\} = \{1, 2\} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{impossible}, \\ b = 1 &\Rightarrow \{f(1), f(-1)\} = \{a + 1, -a + 1\} = \{0, -1\} \Rightarrow \{a, -a\} = \{-1, -2\} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{impossible}, \end{aligned}$$

and since  $f(z) = -z$  is not acceptable because  $-\mathcal{F}_\square \neq \mathcal{F}_\square$ , we obtain  $f(z) = z$ .

For the second case we have  $a = c$ , i.e.

$$f(z) = \frac{z + b}{z + d},$$

and it follows from  $\infty \in f(\{1, -1, 0\})$  that

$$d \in \{1, -1, 0\}.$$

and therefore it should be

$$f(\{0, -1, 1\} \setminus \{-d\}) = \{-1, 0\}, \quad f(z) = \frac{z + b}{z + d}, \quad d \in \{1, -1, 0\}.$$

We get three cases

$$\begin{aligned} d = 0 &\Rightarrow f(\{-1, 1\}) = \{1 + b, 1 - b\} = \{-1, 0\} \Rightarrow \{b, -b\} = \{-2, -1\} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{impossible}, \\ d = 1 &\Rightarrow f(\{1, 0\}) = \left\{ \frac{1 + b}{1 + 1}, \frac{b}{1} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1 + b}{2}, b \right\} = \{-1, 0\} \\ &\Rightarrow \{1 + b, 2b\} = \{-2, 0\} \Rightarrow b = -1, \\ d = -1 &\Rightarrow f(\{-1, 0\}) = \left\{ \frac{-1 + b}{-1 - 1}, \frac{b}{-1} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1 - b}{2}, -b \right\} = \{-1, 0\} \\ &\Rightarrow b = 1 \Rightarrow f(z) = \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} = 1 + \frac{2}{z - 1} \Rightarrow f(\mathbb{H}) \subset -\mathbb{H} \Rightarrow \text{impossible}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f(z) = (z - 1)/(z + 1)$  and taking account of (A.12c) we complete the proof.

**13** ↑ To calculate  $\Delta_n^S(0)$  in (3.3), written as

$$e^{-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(z)} = S_n^\Delta(1/z) + \Delta_n^S(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\},$$

we use the formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta\partial\mathbb{D}} \zeta^{-1} \zeta^p d\zeta = \delta_{0,p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \beta \in (0,1),$$

and similarly to (3.9), by applying Lemma 3.1 to the periodic integrands, obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n^S(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{e^{-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(\zeta)} d\zeta}{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-n\pi i \lambda_\Delta(\beta e^{i\varphi})} d(\beta e^{i\varphi})}{\beta e^{i\varphi}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+i\Delta(\beta)}^{1+i\Delta(\beta)} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{\lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+ia}^{1+ia} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{\lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta(\beta) > 1$ , while  $a$  is arbitrary positive real number. Then for any  $\text{Im } y > a$  we can apply Lemma 3.1 once more for arbitrary  $A > \text{Im } y$  to get similarly to the transform of (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n^S(0) e^{i\pi n y} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+ia}^{1+ia} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) d\zeta}{(e^{\pi i(\zeta-y)} - 1) \lambda(\zeta)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{i\pi} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(y)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+iA+\text{Re } y}^{1+iA+\text{Re } y} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) d\zeta}{(e^{\pi i(\zeta-y)} - 1) \lambda(\zeta)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which by letting  $A \rightarrow +\infty$  it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i\pi} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(y)} &= \frac{1}{i\pi} \frac{i\pi \lambda(y) (1 - \lambda(y)) \Theta_3(y)^4}{\lambda(y)} = (1 - \lambda(y)) \Theta_3(y)^4 = \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\Theta_2(y)^4}{\Theta_3(y)^4}\right) \Theta_3(y)^4 = \Theta_4(y)^4, \quad y \in \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Theta_4(y) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $0 < \text{Im } y \rightarrow +\infty$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+iA+\text{Re } y}^{1+iA+\text{Re } y} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) d\zeta}{(e^{\pi i(\zeta-y)} - 1) \lambda(\zeta)} &= - \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1+iA+\text{Re } y}^{1+iA+\text{Re } y} \frac{\Theta_4(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{1 - e^{\pi i(\zeta-y)}} = -1, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n^S(0) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{1}{i\pi} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(y)} - 1 = \Theta_4(y)^4 - 1,$$

i.e.,

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n^S(0) u^n = \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n u^{n^2}\right)^4 = \theta_3(-u)^4, \quad u \in \mathbb{D},$$

which together with (2.22)(b) proves (3.23).

#### A.4. Notes for Section 4

14  $\uparrow$  In accordance with (4.5) for  $a = 2$  we have

$$\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1+2i}^{1+2i} \frac{\lambda'(\zeta) e^{-n\pi i \zeta}}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad z \in \gamma(-1, 1), \quad (\text{A.14a})$$

where  $|\lambda'(\zeta)| \leq 9$  and  $4|\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)| \geq |\lambda(z)| |1 - 2\lambda(2i)|$  for all  $\zeta \in [-1+2i, 1+2i]$  and  $z \in \gamma(-1, 1)$ , in view of Corollary 2.3. Applying (7.2), we get

$$|\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z)| \leq \frac{9e^{2\pi n}}{\pi|\lambda(z)|} \frac{11 + 8\sqrt{2}}{21} \leq \frac{10e^{2\pi n}}{\pi|\lambda(z)|}, \quad z \in \gamma(-1, 1). \quad (\text{A.14b})$$

For the parametrization  $\gamma(-1, 1) \ni z = (t+i)/(t-i)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , taking into account

$$-\frac{1}{z} = -\frac{t-i}{t+i} = \frac{i-t}{i+t} = \frac{1+it}{1-it} = \frac{1/t+i}{1/t-i},$$

and (2.33),

$$64 \left| \lambda \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) \right| \geq \exp \frac{\pi}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right), \quad t > 0 \Rightarrow \quad (\text{2.33})$$

$$64 \left| \lambda \left( -1 / \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) \right) \right| = 64 \left| \lambda \left( \frac{1/t+i}{1/t-i} \right) \right| \geq \exp \frac{\pi}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right), \quad t > 0,$$

we obtain

$$|\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(z)|, |\mathbf{R}_n^\Delta(-1/z)| \leq \frac{640e^{2\pi n}}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right)}, \quad z = \frac{t+i}{t-i}, \quad t > 0. \quad (\text{A.14c})$$

Then we deduce from (3.30) and

$$z = \frac{t+i}{t-i} = 1 + \frac{2i}{t-i}, \quad dz = \frac{2idt}{(t-i)^2},$$

that for  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$

$$-4\pi^2 n \mathbf{H}_n(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{2i \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) dt}{(t-i)^2 \left( z + \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right)^2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{2i \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta \left( \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right) dt}{(z(t-i) + t+i)^2}, \quad (\text{A.14d})$$

$$4\pi^2 n \mathbf{M}_n(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{2i \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1/t+i}{1/t-i} \right) dt}{(t-i)^2 \left( z + \frac{t+i}{t-i} \right)^2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{2i \mathbf{R}_n^\Delta \left( \frac{1/t+i}{1/t-i} \right) dt}{(z(t-i) + t+i)^2}, \quad (\text{A.14e})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z(t-i) + t+i &= (x+iy)(t-i) + t+i = xt - ix + iyt + y + t+i \\ &= xt + y + t + i(yt - x + 1) = t(x+1) + y + i(ty - (x-1)), \\ |z(t-i) + t+i|^2 &= (t(x+1) + y)^2 + (ty - (x-1))^2 \\ &= 2ty((x+1) - (x-1)) + (1+t^2)y^2 + t^2(x+1)^2 + (x-1)^2 \\ &= (1+t^2)y^2 + t^2(x+1)^2 + (x-1)^2 + 4ty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|z(t-i) + t+i|^2 = (1+t^2)y^2 + t^2(x+1)^2 + (x-1)^2 + 4ty$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\geq t^2(y^2 + (x+1)^2) + y^2 + (x-1)^2 = |z-1|^2 + t^2|z+1|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{|z-1|^2 + |z+1|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{t^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$n |H_n(z)|, n |M_n(z)| \leq \frac{320\pi^{-3}e^{2\pi n}}{|z-1|^2 + |z+1|^2} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)} dt, \quad (\text{A.14f})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)} dt = 2 \int_1^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)} dt \quad \square \\ &= \left| 2t' = t + \frac{1}{t}, \quad 2dt' = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt, \quad \frac{1 + \frac{1}{t^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{t^2}} = \frac{t + \frac{1}{t}}{t - \frac{1}{t}} \right| \\ &\left| t^2 - 2tt' + 1 = 0, \quad t = t' + \sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}, \quad \frac{1}{t} = t' - \sqrt{(t')^2 - 1} \right| \\ &\square = 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{1 + \frac{1}{t^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{t^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt = 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{t + \frac{1}{t}}{t - \frac{1}{t}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt \\ &= 4 \int_1^\infty \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 - 1}} e^{-\pi t} dt = 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-1}} e^{-\pi\sqrt{t}} dt = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\pi\sqrt{t+1}} dt \\ &= 4 \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\sqrt{t^2+1}} dt \leq 4 \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi t} dt = \frac{4}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\sqrt{t^2+1}} dt = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_n^{n+1} e^{-\pi\sqrt{t^2+1}} dt \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-\pi\sqrt{n^2+1}} = \\ &= e^{-\pi} + \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\pi n} = \frac{1}{e^\pi} + \frac{1}{e^\pi + 1} = \\ &= 0,04321391 + 0,04142383216636282681 = 0,08463775043 \end{aligned}$$

But actually [40, p. 82, (19)], [1, p. 376, 9.6.27]

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(z) &= \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2-1}} e^{-zt} dt \Rightarrow \int_1^\infty \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2-1}} e^{-zt} dt = -K_0'(z) \Rightarrow \\ &\int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\sqrt{t^2+1}} dt = \int_1^\infty \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2-1}} e^{-\pi t} dt = -K_0'(\pi) = K_1(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} n |H_n(z)|, n |M_n(z)| &\leq \frac{320}{\pi^3} \frac{e^{2\pi n}}{|z-1|^2 + |z+1|^2} 4K_1(\pi), \\ \frac{1280K_1(\pi)}{\pi^3} &< \frac{1280}{\pi^3} \left( \frac{1}{e^\pi} + \frac{1}{e^\pi + 1} \right) < 4, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$n |H_n(z)|, n |M_n(z)| \leq \frac{4e^{2\pi n}}{|z-1|^2 + |z+1|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{A.14g})$$

**15**  $\uparrow$  Using [18, p. 44, 1.422.4], we obtain the validity of the left-hand side equality in

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2k+z)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi z}{2}} = -\pi^2 \sum_{m \geq 1} m e^{i\pi m z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

while the validity of the right-hand side equality here follows from the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \frac{\pi z}{2} &= \left( \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi z}{2}} - e^{-\frac{i\pi z}{2}}}{2i} \right)^2 = -\frac{e^{i\pi z}}{4} (1 - e^{-i\pi z})^2, \\ \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi z}{2}} &= -\frac{4e^{-i\pi z}}{(1 - e^{-i\pi z})^2}, \\ \frac{1}{1-u} &= \sum_{m \geq 0} u^m, \quad \frac{1}{(1-u)^2} = \sum_{m \geq 1} m u^{m-1}, \quad u \in \mathbb{D}, \\ \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi z}{2}} &= -\frac{4e^{i\pi z}}{(1 - e^{i\pi z})^2} = -4e^{i\pi z} \sum_{m \geq 1} m e^{i\pi(m-1)z} = -4 \sum_{m \geq 1} m e^{i\pi m z}. \end{aligned}$$

**16**  $\uparrow$  For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  it follows from [18, p. 44, 1.421.3] that

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi z}{2} = \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{z+2k} + \frac{1}{z-2k} \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} \frac{1}{2k+z},$$

and if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2z}{(2k+x)^2 - z^2} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{2k+x-z} - \frac{1}{2k+x+z} \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{-N \leq k \leq N} \left( \frac{1}{2k+x-z} - \frac{1}{2k+x+z} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi(x-z)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi(x+z)}{2} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi(z-x)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi(x+z)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \cot \frac{\pi z}{2} &= i \frac{e^{i\pi z/2} + e^{-i\pi z/2}}{e^{i\pi z/2} - e^{-i\pi z/2}} = i \frac{e^{i\pi z} + 1}{e^{i\pi z} - 1} = -i \frac{1 + e^{i\pi z}}{1 - e^{i\pi z}} = -i - 2i \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{i\pi m z}, \\ \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z}{(2k+x)^2 - z^2} &= -\cot \frac{\pi(z-x)}{2} - \cot \frac{\pi(z+x)}{2} \\ &= 2i + 2i \sum_{m \geq 1} (e^{i\pi m z} e^{-i\pi m x} + e^{i\pi m z} e^{i\pi m x}) = 2i + 4i \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{i\pi m z} \cos \pi m x, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z}{(2k+x)^2 - z^2} &= -\frac{\pi}{4} \left( \cot \frac{\pi(z-x)}{2} + \cot \frac{\pi(z+x)}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi i}{2} + i\pi \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{i\pi m z} \cos \pi m x, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

### A.5. Notes for Section 5

**17**  $\uparrow$  Let  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}$ . Then by Lemma 2 of [12, p. 112]<sup>18</sup> the transform  $\phi$  can be represented as a superposition of a finite number of the degrees of the transformations  $z + 2$  and  $-1/z$  (uniqueness of such representation has not been proved by Chandrasekharan in [12]). In the notation of (10.28) and in view of (10.29), this means that either  $\phi(z) \in \{z, -1/z\} = \{\phi_0(z), \phi_0(-1/z)\} = \{\phi_0(z), -1/\phi_0(z), \phi_0(-1/z), -1/\phi_0(-1/z)\}$  or there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  such that (see [6, p. 63])

$$\phi(z) = \phi_S \alpha_{ST^{-2n_1} \dots ST^{-2n_{N-1}} ST^{-2n_N} S} \beta(z) = \phi_S \alpha \left( \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \left( \phi_S \beta(z) \right) \right),$$

where (10.30) is used for  $\mathbf{n} := (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^k$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . In view of (5.6) and (5.2),

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}} := \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel},$$

and by setting in the above equalities to  $(\alpha, \beta)$  each of four possible values  $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$  we obtain that

$$\phi(z) \in \{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z), -1/\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z), \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z), -1/\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(-1/z)\},$$

which completes the proof of (5.3).

**18**  $\uparrow \uparrow$  Lemma 2 of [12, p. 112] can be sharpened as follows.

**Theorem A.1.** *For arbitrary  $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{I, -I, S, -S\}$  there exists a unique collection of numbers  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  such that*

$$A = \sigma \cdot S^{\alpha} \left( ST^{-2n_1} \dots ST^{-2n_{N-1}} ST^{-2n_N} \right) S^{\beta}, \quad (\text{A.18a})$$

where

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \right\},$$

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}), \quad T^{\pm 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}), \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}),$$

$S^2 = -I$ ,  $I := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  denotes the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with integer coefficients  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $ad - bc = 1$ .

The fact that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  is invariant under multiplication of matrices follows from the possibility of a termwise multiplying the congruences (see [39, p. 107, Theorem 5.2(b)]) and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , while belonging to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  of each its inverse matrix is a consequence of the general formula

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{A.18b})$$

As well as  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the set  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  is also invariant with respect to the transpose operation

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) \ni M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M^* = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{A.18c})$$

Everywhere below we use the notation  $\{\pm I, \pm S\} := \{I, -I, S, -S\}$ .

Before proving Theorem A.1, we first establish several special properties of matrices from the set  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$ . Each column and each row of any matrix from the set  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  contains two numbers of different parity and therefore they cannot be equal to each other. In fact, a much stronger property of these matrices takes place.

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{I, -I, S, -S\}$ . Then the value of  $\min\{|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|\}$  is attained on only one element.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $\min\{|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|\}$  is attained on more than one element. Since the pairs  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, c)$ ,  $(c, d)$  and  $(b, d)$  consist of numbers with different parity then the only one of two following cases can take place

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & |a| = |d| = \min\{|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|\} < \min\{|b|, |c|\}, \\ (2) \quad & |b| = |c| = \min\{|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|\} < \min\{|a|, |d|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18d})$$

If (A.18d)(1) holds then

$$|a| = |d| = \min\{|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|\} \leq \min\{|b|, |c|\} - 1, \quad (\text{A.18e})$$

from which  $|ad| \leq (\min\{|b|, |c|\} - 1)^2 \leq (\min\{|b|, |c|\})^2 - 2\min\{|b|, |c|\} + 1 \leq |bc| + 1 - 2\min\{|b|, |c|\}$  and therefore  $2\min\{|b|, |c|\} - 1 \leq |bc| - |ad| \leq |bc - ad| = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\min\{|b|, |c|\} \leq 1. \quad (\text{A.18f})$$

Substituting this inequality in (A.18e) we get  $a = d = 0$ , while (A.18f) and  $ad - bc = 1$  mean that  $bc = -1$  and  $|b| = |c| = 1$ . These conditions are satisfied only when  $A = \pm S$  that contradicts  $A \notin \{\pm I, \pm S\}$ . Thus, (A.18d) cannot be valid.

If (A.18d)(2) holds then the matrix  $AS = \begin{pmatrix} -b & a \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies the condition (A.18d)(1) and using the above reasoning we conclude that either  $AS = S$  or  $AS = -S$ , i.e., either  $A = I$  or  $A = -I$ . This also contradicts  $A \notin \{\pm I, \pm S\}$  and finishes the proof of Lemma A.2.  $\square$

We say that  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  is *modulo isotonic* if

$$|a| < |b| < |d| \quad \text{and} \quad |a| < |c| < |d|. \quad (\text{A.18g})$$

Lemma A.2 can be essentially sharpened as follows.

**Lemma A.3.** *Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{\pm I, \pm S\}$ . Then among four matrices*

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad AS = \begin{pmatrix} -b & a \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad SA = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -a & -b \end{pmatrix}, \quad SAS = \begin{pmatrix} -d & c \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.18h})$$

*each of which belongs to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{\pm I, \pm S\}$ , there exists exactly one modulo isotonic matrix.*

*Proof.* Four matrices  $\{\pm I, \pm S\}$  form the group with the matrix multiplication as a group operation, and therefore one of the matrices from (A.18h) can belong to  $\{\pm I, \pm S\}$  if and only if  $\{\pm I, \pm S\}$  contains the matrix  $A$ . It readily follows from Lemma A.2 and (A.18h) that there exists a unique ordered pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of numbers  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$  such that the matrix  $S^\alpha AS^\beta$  at the intersection of its first row and first column contains exactly that element of  $A$  which has the smallest absolute value. By designating the elements of the transformed matrix  $S^\alpha AS^\beta$  by the same letters, we have

$$B := S^\alpha AS^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad |a| \leq |b| - 1, \quad |a| \leq |c| - 1, \quad |a| \leq |d| - 1. \quad (\text{A.18i})$$

To prove that  $B$  is modulo isotonic, it is sufficient to show that  $|b| < |d|$  and  $|c| < |d|$ .

Assume first that

$$|d| \leq |b|. \quad (\text{A.18j})$$

By virtue of the different parity of  $|b|$  and  $|d|$ , we have  $|d| \leq |b| - 1$  and therefore  $|ad| \leq |a||b| - |a| \leq (|c| - 1)|b| - |a| = |b||c| - |b| - |a|$ , i.e.,  $|a| + |b| \leq |b||c| - |ad|$

and  $1 = |bc - ad| \geq |b||c| - |a||d| \geq |a| + |b| \geq 2|a| + 1$ , which yields  $a = 0$ . Then  $ad - bc = 1$  implies  $bc = -1$  and therefore  $|c| = |b| = 1$ . Our assumption  $|d| \leq |b| - 1 = 0$  gives  $d = 0$ . Finally, we obtain  $a = d = 0$  and  $|c| = |b| = 1$ . This holds if and only if  $B = \sigma \cdot S$  for some  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ . By solving the equation  $S^\alpha A S^\beta = \sigma \cdot S$  we get  $A = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} \sigma \cdot S^{\alpha+\beta+1} \in \{I, -I, S, -S\}$  which contradicts  $A \notin \{\pm I, \pm S\}$ . This contradiction proves  $|b| < |d|$ .

If we assume that  $|d| \leq |c|$  then the conjugate matrix  $B^* = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$  also belongs to  $\text{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  and satisfies the conditions (A.18i) and (A.18j). By application of the above reasoning we conclude that  $B^* = \sigma \cdot S$  for some  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ . Then  $B = (-\sigma) \cdot S$  and similarly to the above  $A = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta+1} \sigma \cdot S^{\alpha+\beta+1} \in \{I, -I, S, -S\}$ , which contradicts  $A \notin \{\pm I, \pm S\}$ . This contradiction proves  $|c| < |d|$  and that  $B$  is modulo isotonic. But if one of the matrices in (A.18h) is modulo isotonic then no other one in (A.18h) can have this property because all other matrices contain the unique element of  $A$  with the smallest absolute value at the wrong place. Lemma A.3 is proved.  $\square$

The next assertion is immediate from Lemma A.3.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  satisfy  $|a| \leq |b| \leq |d|$  and  $|a| \leq |c| \leq |d|$ . Then  $|a| < |b| < |d|$  and  $|a| < |c| < |d|$ , i.e.,  $A$  is modulo isotonic.*

The following result shows that any modulo isotonic matrix from the set  $\text{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  is uniquely determined by its second column.

**Lemma A.4.** *Let two matrices  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$  belong to  $\text{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  and be modulo isotonic. Suppose that  $b_1 = b_2$  and  $d_1 = d_2$ . Then  $A_1 = A_2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that two different modulo isotonic matrices in  $\text{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  satisfy

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ c_1 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b \\ c_2 & d \end{pmatrix}; \quad (1) \quad (a_1 - a_2)^2 + (c_1 - c_2)^2 > 0, \quad (\text{A.18k})$$

In view of (A.18g),  $|d| > |b| > 0$  and it follows from  $a_1 d - b c_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 d - b c_2 = 1$  that

$$(1) \quad (a_1 - a_2)d = (c_1 - c_2)b; \quad (2) \quad \gcd(d, b) = 1; \quad (3) \quad d, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (\text{A.18l})$$

By virtue of (A.18l)(1) and (A.18l)(3), the property (A.18k)(1) yields that

$$a_1 - a_2 \neq 0, \quad c_1 - c_2 \neq 0. \quad (\text{A.18m})$$

But (A.18l)(1) and (A.18l)(2) implies that  $d$  divides  $(c_1 - c_2)b$  and since  $\gcd(d, b) = 1$  we get that  $d$  divides  $(c_1 - c_2)$ . Hence, taking account of (A.18m) and (A.18l)(3), there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  such that  $c_1 - c_2 = md$ . Substituting this in (A.18l)(1) we obtain  $(a_1 - a_2)d = mdb$ , where we can divide by nonzero number  $d$  and derive from (A.18g) that

$$(1) \quad a_1 = a_2 + mb, \quad |a_1|, |a_2| \leq |b| - 1; \quad (2) \quad c_1 = c_2 + md, \quad |c_1|, |c_2| \leq |d| - 1; \\ (3) \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (\text{A.18n})$$

It follows from (A.18n)(1) that  $|mb| \leq 2|b| - 2$  and since by (A.18l)(3)  $b$  is nonzero we conclude that the condition (A.18n)(3) can be replaced by

$$m \in \{1, -1\}. \quad (\text{A.18o})$$

According to the definition  $\text{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have only two possibilities

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b \\ c_2 & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ c_1 & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \quad \text{or} \\ (2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b \\ c_2 & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ c_1 & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}. \quad (\text{A.18p})$$

Assume that (A.18p)(1) holds. But (A.18n)(2) and (A.18o) yield  $c_1 \equiv c_2 + md \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  which contradicts (A.18p)(1).

Suppose now that (A.18p)(2) holds. Then (A.18n)(1) and (A.18o) yield  $a_1 \equiv a_2 + mb \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  which contradicts (A.18p)(2).

These contradictions together with (A.18k) complete the proof of Lemma A.4.  $\square$

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  be modulo isotonic (see (A.18g)). Then there exists a unique collection of numbers  $\gamma \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  such that*

$$(-1)^\gamma B = ST^{-2n_N} ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}. \quad (\text{A.18q})$$

*Proof.* By (A.18g) and the definition of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $b$  and  $d$  are nonzero integers of different parity,  $b/d \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  and it follows from  $ad - bc = 1$  that  $\gcd(b, d) = 1$ . By applying Lemma A.6 to the rational number  $b/d$  we obtain the existence of the unique  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$  such that

$$\frac{b}{d} = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0) := \frac{1}{2n_N - \frac{1}{2n_{N-1} - \dots - \frac{1}{2n_2 - \frac{1}{2n_1}}}}, \quad (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{zp_{N-1}^n + q_{N-1}^n}{zp_N^n + q_N^n} = \frac{1}{2n_N - \frac{1}{2n_{N-1} - \dots - \frac{1}{2n_2 - \frac{1}{2n_1 - z}}}}, \quad z \in [-1, 1] \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}),$$

in view of (10.36) and (5.1). Therefore there exists a unique  $\gamma \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $b = (-1)^\gamma q_{N-1}^n$  and  $d = (-1)^\gamma q_N^n$ . By virtue of (10.33), (10.38) and (10.44), two modulo isotonic matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{N-1}^n & q_{N-1}^n \\ p_N^n & q_N^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2n_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $(-1)^\gamma B$  belong to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z})$  and have the same second column. In accordance with Lemma A.4 and (10.29), this means that (A.18q) holds and that Lemma A.5 is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem A.1.** Let  $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\vartheta, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{\pm I, \pm S\}$ . According to Lemma A.3, there exists a unique ordered pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of numbers  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$  such that the matrix  $B := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := S^\alpha A S^\beta$  is modulo isotonic. Then, obviously,

$$A = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} S^\alpha B S^\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (\text{A.18r})$$

By using (A.18q) and (A.18r), we obtain

$$A = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} S^\alpha (ST^{-2n_N} ST^{-2n_{N-1}} \dots ST^{-2n_1}) S^\beta.$$

This proves (A.18a) for  $\{1, 0\} \ni \sigma \equiv \alpha + \beta + \gamma \pmod{2}$  and the uniqueness of such representation as well. Theorem A.1 is proved.  $\square$

**19  $\uparrow$**  We prove Lemma 5.3(b). The lower arc  $\phi_n(\gamma(-\sigma_{n_1}, \infty))$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  is a part of the boundary of

$$\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square - 2\sigma_{n_1}) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2} \left( \frac{1}{2n_1 - \mathcal{F}_\square + 2\sigma_{n_1}} \right) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 + \sigma_{n_1}}(\mathcal{F}_\square),$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(-\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) &= \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2} \left( \frac{1}{2n_1 - \gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty) + 2\sigma_{n_1}} \right) \\ &= \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 + \sigma_{n_1}}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) = \gamma_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 + \sigma_{n_1}}(\sigma_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1 + \sigma_{n_1}}, \infty).\end{aligned}$$

As stated before Lemma 5.3, this means that  $\phi_n(-\sigma_{n_1} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0})$  is the roof of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1 + \sigma_{n_1}}$ .

Let  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ . Then the lower arc  $\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma, 0))$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$  is a part of the boundary of

$$\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \left( -\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{\square} - 2\sigma} \right) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2} \left( \frac{1}{2n_1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma - \mathcal{F}_{\square}}} \right) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1, \sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{\square}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma, 0)) &= \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \left( -\frac{1}{\gamma(-\sigma, \infty)} \right) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1} \left( -\frac{1}{\gamma(\sigma, \infty) - 2\sigma} \right) \\ &= \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2} \left( \frac{1}{2n_1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma - \gamma(\sigma, \infty)}} \right) = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, n_1, \sigma}(\gamma(\sigma, \infty)).\end{aligned}$$

According to what was stated before Lemma 5.3, this means that  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma, 0))$  is the roof of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{n_N, \dots, n_1, \sigma}$  for every  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ . Lemma 5.3(b) is proved. Lemma 5.3(c) follows from the obvious fact that  $\gamma(0, 1)$  and  $\gamma(-1, 0)$ , correspondingly, are the roofs of

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^1 = \frac{1}{2 - \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{1+1}} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{1+1} - 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\square}^{-1} = \frac{1}{-2 - \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{1-1}} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{\square}^{1-1} + 2}.$$

**20**  $\uparrow$  We prove (5.14). (a) We have  $z \in \gamma(\sigma, 0) = -1/\gamma(-\sigma, \infty)$  if and only if there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$z = -\frac{1}{-\sigma + iT} \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{z} = -\sigma + iT.$$

By using (5.10), according to which

$$\left] \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right) \right]_2 = \lceil -\sigma \rceil_2 = -2\sigma,$$

we obtain by (5.12),

$$\mathbb{G}_2(z) = -\frac{1}{z} - \left] \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right) \right]_2 = -\sigma + iT + 2\sigma = \sigma + iT \in \gamma(\sigma, \infty).$$

Since  $T > 0$  is arbitrary, (5.14)(a) is proved.

(b) Since  $\gamma(\sigma, \infty) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel}$ , (5.14)(b) is immediate from (5.13) and evident relationship  $-1/\gamma(\sigma, \infty) = \gamma(-\sigma, 0)$ .

(c) In view of (5.4),

$$-\frac{1}{\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))} = -2n + \gamma(\sigma_n, \infty) = -2n + \sigma_n + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

By (5.11) and (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{G}_2(\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))) &= \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))} \right) \right\}_2^{\parallel} + i \operatorname{Im} \left( -\frac{1}{\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))} \right) \\ &= \{-2n + \sigma_n\}_2^{\parallel} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \sigma_n + i\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \gamma(\sigma_n, \infty),\end{aligned}$$

which proves (5.14)(c) and completes the proof of (5.14).

**21**  $\uparrow$  We prove (5.17). It follows from

$$\begin{cases} -1/\phi_{n_1}(z) = -2n_1 + z, & \text{if } N = 1, \\ -1/\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = -2n_N + \phi_{n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(z), & \text{if } N \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

and from

$$\phi(\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}) \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad \phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta^{\parallel}, \quad (5.7)$$

that (5.16) holds because

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(-1/\phi_{n_1}(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}(-2n_1 + z) \in -2n_1 + (-1, 1), \text{ if } N = 1, \ z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}, \\ \operatorname{Re}(-1/\phi_{n_{N-k+1}, \dots, n_1}(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}(-2n_{N-k+1} + \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z)) \\ &\in -2n_{N-k+1} + (-1, 1), \text{ if } N \geq 2, \ z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1} \Rightarrow \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z) \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_1}(z)) &= -\frac{1}{\phi_{n_1}(z)} - \left[ \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{\phi_{n_1}(z)} \right) \right]_2 \\ &= -2n_1 + z - \left[ -2n_1 + \operatorname{Re} z \right]_2 = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_{N-k+1}, \dots, n_1}(z)) &= -\frac{1}{\phi_{n_{N-k+1}, \dots, n_1}(z)} - \left[ \operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{\phi_{n_{N-k+1}, \dots, n_1}(z)} \right) \right]_2 \\ &= -2n_{N-k+1} + \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z) - \left[ -2n_{N-k+1} + \operatorname{Re} \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z) \right]_2 \\ &= \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z), \quad \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z) \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}, \end{aligned}$$

what was to be proved in (5.17).

**22**  $\uparrow$  For  $1 \leq k \leq N-1$  in (5.24)(b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2^k(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{n_N, \dots, n_1}) &= \mathbb{G}_2^k(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}})) \\ &= \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}}) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of

$$\mathbb{G}_2^k(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) = \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(z), \text{ if } 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}. \quad (5.17)(b)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\square^{n_1}) &= \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}})) = \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_1}(\mathcal{F}_\square)) \sqcup \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty))) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_\square \sqcup \gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty) = \mathcal{F}_\square^{|\sigma_{n_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue (5.17)(a) since  $\mathcal{F}_\square \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}$ , and by virtue of (5.14)(c).

**23**  $\uparrow$  It follows from (5.27) and Theorem 5.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta} \phi(1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) &= \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left( -2n_0 + (\operatorname{sign}(n_0) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \right) \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, \ n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\operatorname{sign}(n_1) + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \right), \quad (\text{A.23a}) \end{aligned}$$

while (5.31) and (5.30) for  $A = \gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(1, 0)$  give



$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_\emptyset} \phi(1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) &= \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left( -2n_0 + (\gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(1, 0)) \right) \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(-1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(1, 0)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23b})$$

We prove directly that the right-hand side set in (A.23a) equals to the right-hand side set in (A.23b). Everywhere below we use the notation

$$\sigma_n := \text{sign}(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0},$$

and the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad &\gamma(0, 1) = \phi_1(\gamma(1, \infty)), \\ (2) \quad &\phi_n(\gamma(-1, \infty)) = \begin{cases} \phi_{n+1}(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty)), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}; \\ \phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty)), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.23c}) \\ (3) \quad &2n + \gamma(-1, \infty) = \begin{cases} -2(-n) + \gamma(\sigma_{-n}, \infty), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}; \\ -2(1-n) + \gamma(\sigma_{1-n}, \infty), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which hold because

$$\phi_1(\gamma(1, \infty)) = \frac{1}{2 - (1 + i\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{1 - i\mathbb{R}} = \gamma(0, 1),$$

and

$$\phi_n(\gamma(-1, \infty)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2n - (-1 + i\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{2n + 2 - 1 - i\mathbb{R}} \\ = \frac{1}{2(n+1) - (\sigma_n + i\mathbb{R})} = \phi_{n+1}(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty)), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}; \\ \frac{1}{2n - (-1 + i\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{2n - (\sigma_n + i\mathbb{R})} \\ = \phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty)), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}. \end{cases}$$

Applying first identity (A.23c)(1) and then identities (A.23c)(2),(3) to the set on the right-hand side of the equality (A.23b), we obtain that it equals to

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2n_0 + \gamma(-1, \infty)) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(-1, \infty)) \right) \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_1(\gamma(1, \infty)) \right) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, 1}(\gamma(1, \infty)) \right) \\ &= \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (-2(-n_0) + \gamma(\sigma_{-n_0}, \infty)) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} (-2(1-n_0) + \gamma(\sigma_{1-n_0}, \infty)) \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \left( 2n_0 + \phi_1(\gamma(1, \infty)) \right) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right) \right] \\ &\sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_2, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left[ \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2, 1}(\gamma(1, \infty)) \right) \right. \\
& \left. \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right) \right] \\
& = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left( -2n + \gamma(\sigma_n, \infty) \right) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right) \\
& \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right) \\
& = \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left( -2n_0 + (\sigma_{n_0} + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \right) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \\ N \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left( 2n_0 + \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_1}, \infty)) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter set coincides with the right-hand set in (A.23a). The proof of the equality between the right-hand sets in (A.23a) and in (A.23b) is finished. So that these two sets represent two different partitions of the set

$$\bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}} \phi(1 + i\mathbb{R}_{>0}) = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} \phi(\mathbb{H} \cap \partial F_{\Gamma(2)}).$$

**24**  $\uparrow$  We prove (5.34). The set in the right-hand side of (5.34) equals to  $\Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel}$ , in view of the definition (5.6). The relationship (5.3) yields that  $\Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel} \subset \Gamma_{\vartheta}$  and since

$$\Gamma_{\vartheta} = \left\{ \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \mid \begin{array}{l} ab \equiv cd \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ad - bc = 1, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (\text{A.24a})$$

we deduce from (5.7) that

$$\Gamma_{\vartheta}^{\parallel} \subset \left\{ \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \mid \begin{array}{l} |a| < |b| < d, |a| < |c| < d \\ ab \equiv cd \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ad - bc = 1, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.24b})$$

The inverse inclusion in (A.24b) follows from (A.24a), Lemma A.5, (10.30) and (5.6). The equalities (5.34) are proved.

**25**  $\uparrow$  We show that this fact can be easily deduced from the properties of the even integer part  $\lfloor x \rfloor_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  and of the even fractional part  $\{x\}_2^{\parallel} \in [-1, 1]$  of the real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, our further reasoning does not depend on how these two functions are defined on the odd integers.

We first prove that  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$  for arbitrary  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  is an even rational number lying in  $(-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ . Observe that

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(z) = \frac{zp_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}} + q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{zp_N^{\mathbf{n}} + q_N^{\mathbf{n}}}, \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (10.36)$$

and

$$(\text{a}) \quad |q_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad (\text{b}) \quad |q_k^{\mathbf{n}}| > |q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}| > |p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}}|, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (10.38)$$

yield that

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}} \in \text{Hol}([-1, 1] \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})), \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A.25a})$$

So that, by setting  $z = 0$  in (5.4) and (10.36), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -1/\phi_{n_1}(0) = -2n_1, & \text{if } N = 1, \\ -1/\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0) = -2n_N + \phi_{n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(0), & \text{if } N \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.25b})$$

and, in view of (10.38),

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) = \frac{q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}}{q_N^{\mathbf{n}}} \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}, \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A.25c})$$

It follows from (A.25c) that  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$  is a rational number lying in  $(-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ . Furthermore,

$$p_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} q_k^{\mathbf{n}} - p_k^{\mathbf{n}} q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} = 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N, \quad (\text{10.34})$$

for  $k = N$  implies that

$$\gcd(q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}}, q_N^{\mathbf{n}}) = 1, \quad (\text{A.25d})$$

while (10.35) written in the form

$$q_k^{\mathbf{n}} = 2n_k q_{k-1}^{\mathbf{n}} - q_{k-2}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad q_0^{\mathbf{n}} = 1, \quad q_{-1}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N, \quad (\text{10.35})$$

means that

$$q_{2n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad q_{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad 0 \leq n < (N+1)/2,$$

and therefore  $q_{N-1}^{\mathbf{n}} q_N^{\mathbf{n}} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . This property together with (A.25d) proves that  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$  is an even rational number lying in  $(-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  for arbitrary  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ .

By applying the even integer and the even fractional parts to (A.25b), we obtain, taking into account of (A.25c),

$$\begin{cases} G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil}(\phi_{n_1}(0)) = 0, & \lceil 1/\phi_{n_1}(0) \rceil_2 = 2n_1, \quad \text{if } N = 1, \\ G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil}(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0)) = \phi_{n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(0), & \lceil 1/\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0) \rceil_2 = 2n_N, \quad \text{if } N \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we can easily calculate the coefficients of the continued fraction

$$\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0) := \frac{1}{2n_N - \frac{1}{2n_{N-1} - \dots - \frac{1}{2n_2 - \frac{1}{2n_1}}}}, \quad (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

by the formulas,

$$2n_{N-k} = \lceil 1/(G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil})^k(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0)) \rceil_2, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad (\text{A.25e})$$

and also,

$$\begin{cases} (G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil})^k(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0)) = \phi_{n_{N-k}, \dots, n_1}(0), & 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \\ (G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil})^N(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0)) = 0, & (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.25f})$$

It follows from (A.25e) that

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0) = \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(0), \quad \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N \iff \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m}. \quad (\text{A.25g})$$

We prove now that the following analogue of (A.25f) for an arbitrary even rational number  $p/q \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , holds

$$\frac{p}{q} \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is even} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil} \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) = \frac{r}{p} \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is even,} & \text{if } |p| \geq 2; \\ G_2^{\lceil \cdot \rceil} \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) = 0, & \text{if } |p| = 1; \end{cases}$$

$$(a) \gcd(r, p) = \gcd(p, q) = 1; (b) r \equiv q \pmod{2}, (c) 1 \leq |r| \leq |p| - 1, \quad (\text{A.25h})$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ . Since for  $|p| = 1$  the integer  $q$  is even, then  $p/q = \phi_{n_1}(0)$  with  $n_1 := (q/2) \text{sign}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  and  $G_2^{\uparrow}(\phi_{n_1}(0)) = 0$ , as follows from (A.25f) for  $N = 1$ . It remains to prove (A.25h) for  $|p| \geq 2$ . Since  $q$  and  $p$  are coprime then  $q$  belongs to the set

$$(-|p|, |p|) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} (2m|p| - |p|, 2m|p| + |p|) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{(2m+1) \cdot p\},$$

and does not lie on the interval  $(-|p|, |p|)$  because  $|q| > |p|$ . Thus, there exists a unique  $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  such that

$$q \in (2m_1|p| - |p|, 2m_1|p| + |p|) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{2m_1|p|\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{k = -|p| + 1 \\ k \neq 0}}^{|p| - 1} \{2m_1|p| - k\},$$

and since  $q \neq 2m_1|p|$ , there also exists a unique  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  satisfying

$$q = 2m_1|p| - k_1, \quad -|p| + 1 \leq k_1 \leq |p| - 1, \quad k_1 \neq 0.$$

By setting  $n := m_1 \cdot \text{sign}(p)$  and  $r := k_1$  we obtain the existence of the integers  $n$  and  $r$  satisfying

$$q = 2np - r, \quad n, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad 1 \leq |r| \leq |p| - 1. \quad (\text{A.25i})$$

Here, the property (A.25h)(b) is immediate, while (A.25h)(a) follows from [41, p. 5, Lemma 1.5], which states that

$$a, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad b, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad a = db - c \implies \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(c, b). \quad (\text{A.25j})$$

Finally,  $-q/p = -2n + r/p$  and  $r/p \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  yield  $G_2^{\uparrow}(p/q) = r/p$  and completes the proof of (A.25h).

We prove that an arbitrary even rational number  $p/q$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , lying on the set  $(-1, 1)$ , can be represented as  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0)$  with  $(n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , by employing induction on  $|p|$ . It has already been written above that for  $|p| = 1$  the integer  $q$  is even, and therefore  $p/q = \phi_{n_1}(0)$  with  $n_1 := (q/2) \text{sign}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ . Assume that  $P \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and this statement is proved for all nonzero even rational numbers from  $(-1, 1)$  the modulus of whose nominators is less than or equal  $P - 1$ . Let  $p/q \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  and  $|p| = P$ . Invoking the induction hypothesis on the even rational number  $r/p$  satisfying (A.25i), we obtain the existence of  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  such that  $r/p = \phi_{n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(0)$ . But then (A.25h) and (A.25i) yield

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{1}{\frac{q}{p}} = \frac{1}{2n - \frac{r}{p}} = \frac{1}{2n - \phi_{n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(0)} = \phi_{n_N, n_{N-1}, \dots, n_1}(0), \quad n_N := n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}.$$

The induction is complete. In view of (A.25e) and (A.25f), we have proved the following assertion.

**Lemma A.6.** *Each nonzero even rational number  $p/q$  in  $(-1, 1)$  with nonzero coprime integers  $p$  and  $q$  can be uniquely represented in the form  $\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(0)$  with  $(n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying*

$$2n_{N-k} = \left\lceil \frac{1}{(G_2^{\uparrow})^k(p/q)} \right\rceil_2, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad (G_2^{\uparrow})^N(p/q) = 0. \quad (\text{A.25k})$$

Conversely,  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$  for arbitrary  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} := \bigsqcup_{k \geq 1} (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^k$  is an even rational number lying in  $(-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ . For different  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}$  the numbers  $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(0)$  and  $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(0)$  are different.

**26**  $\uparrow$  We prove (5.57)(a). Since  $\gamma(-1, 1) \subset \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel}$  we can apply (5.13) to get  $\mathbb{G}_2(\gamma(-1, 1)) = -1/\gamma(-1, 1) = \gamma(-1, 1)$ . To prove the left-hand side equality

$$\mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{E}_\square^0) = \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \gamma(-1, 1), \quad (5.57(a))$$

of (5.57)(a), we use (5.47)(a),

$$\mathcal{E}_\square^0 := \mathcal{F}_\Delta \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_0} \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{E}_\square^0) := \mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{F}_\Delta) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \mathbb{G}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_0}),$$

where by (5.43)  $\mathcal{F}_\Delta = \mathbb{D} \cap \mathcal{F}_\square \subset \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel}$  we apply (5.13) to get

$$\mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{F}_\Delta) = -1/\mathcal{F}_\Delta,$$

while for every  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  we can apply to (see (5.45))

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_0} = \phi_{n_0}(\mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \sqcup \gamma(\sigma_{n_0}, \infty)) = \phi_{n_0}(\mathcal{F}_{\nabla}) \sqcup \phi_{n_0}(\gamma(\sigma_{n_0}, \infty)), \quad \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \subset \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1},$$

the equality (5.17)(a)

$$\mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_0}(z)) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}, \quad (5.17(a))$$

and the equality (5.14)(c)

$$\mathbb{G}_2(\phi_n(\gamma(\sigma_n, \infty))) = \gamma(\sigma_n, \infty), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad (5.14(c))$$

to obtain

$$\mathbb{G}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\nabla}^{n_0}) = \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \sqcup \gamma(\sigma_{n_0}, \infty), \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{E}_\square^0) &= \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \sqcup (-1/\mathcal{F}_\Delta) \sqcup \gamma(1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(-1, \infty) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\nabla} \sqcup \gamma(1, \infty) \sqcup \gamma(-1, \infty) = \mathcal{F}_\square^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (5.57)(a).

**27**  $\uparrow$  We prove (6.4). Since  $z \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1})$  then there exists  $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}$  such that

$$z = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z_0) \stackrel{(5.7)}{\in} \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\square, \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| \leq 1}, \quad (A.27a)$$

and by (6.2),

$$z_0 = \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z) = \frac{1}{\phi_{n_1, \dots, n_N}(1/z)} = \begin{cases} 2n_1 - \phi_{n_2, \dots, n_N}(1/z), & \text{if } N \geq 2; \\ 2n_1 - \frac{1}{z}, & \text{if } N = 1. \end{cases} \quad (A.27b)$$

If  $N \geq 2$  the application of (5.17)(b) to (A.27a) gives

$$\mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) = \mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z_0)) = \phi_{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}(z_0), \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq N-2,$$

where, by virtue of (A.27b) and (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}(z_0) &= \phi_{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}(\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) \\ &= \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}} \left( \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}} \left( \phi_{n_{N-k-1}, \dots, n_1}(\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) \right) \right) \\ &= \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}} \left( \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(z)) \right) = \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{G}_2^{k+1}(z) = \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_{N-k}}(z), \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq N-2, \quad (\text{A.27c})$$

which proves the right-hand side equalities in (6.4).

Then for  $y = \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y_0)$ ,  $y_0 \in \mathbb{H}_{|\operatorname{Re}| < 1}$  and  $N \geq 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2^N(y) &= \mathbb{G}_2(\mathbb{G}_2^{N-1}(y)) = \mathbb{G}_2(\mathbb{G}_2^{N-1}(\phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y_0))) = \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_1}(y_0)) \\ &= \mathbb{G}_2\left(\frac{1}{2n_1 - y_0}\right) = y_0 - 2n_1 - \lfloor \operatorname{Re}(y_0 - 2n_1) \rfloor_2 = y_0 = \psi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(y), \end{aligned}$$

while, if  $N = 1$ , then similarly  $\mathbb{G}_2^N(y) = \mathbb{G}_2(\phi_{n_1}(y_0)) = y_0 = \psi_{n_1}(y)$ . This proves the left-hand side equalities in (6.4) and finishes the proof of (6.4).

### A.6. Notes for Section 6

**28**  $\uparrow$  We prove (6.7). In view of

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n H_n(x) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3 i} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(y) - \lambda(z)} \frac{dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} y > 1, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n M_n(x) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3 i} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{1 - \lambda(y) - \lambda(z)} \frac{dz}{(x+z)^2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} y > 1, \quad (4.7)$$

and (6.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty^0(x; y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y) dz}{(\lambda(y) - \lambda(z))(z+x)^2}, \\ \Phi_\infty^1(x; y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y) dz}{(\lambda(y) - \lambda(z))(xz-1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n H_n(x) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{\Phi_\infty^0(x; y)}{2\pi^2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} y > 1, \quad (\text{A.28a})$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{1 - \lambda(y) - \lambda(z)} \frac{dz}{(x+z)^2} &= \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(-1/z) - \lambda(y)} \frac{dz}{(x+z)^2} \\ &= \left| z = -\frac{1}{z'}, \quad dz = \frac{dz'}{(z')^2}, \quad -\frac{1}{\gamma(-1,1)} = \gamma(1, -1) \right| \\ &= - \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(y)} \frac{dz}{z^2(x-1/z)^2} = \int_{\gamma(-1,1)} \frac{\lambda'(y)}{\lambda(y) - \lambda(z)} \frac{dz}{(xz-1)^2} = 2\pi i \Phi_\infty^1(x; y), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n M_n(x) e^{i\pi n y} = \frac{\Phi_\infty^1(x; y)}{2\pi^2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} y > 1. \quad (\text{A.28b})$$

The equalities (A.28a) and (A.28b) finishes the proof of (6.7).

**29**  $\uparrow$  We prove (6.8). We introduce the *parity indicator*  $\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_n = 0$  if the integer  $n$  is even and  $\mathfrak{p}_n = 1$  if  $n$  is odd. Let  $\phi \in \Gamma_\vartheta$  and  $\psi \in \Gamma_\vartheta$  be inverse to  $\phi$ , i.e.,  $\phi(\psi(z)) = \psi(\phi(z)) = z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Denote  $\alpha := \mathfrak{p}_{d(\phi)} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then by (5.36) and (6.5),

$$\lambda(\phi(z)) = \alpha + (-1)^\alpha \lambda(z), \quad \lambda'(z) = (-1)^\alpha \lambda'(\phi(z)) \phi'(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; \phi(z)) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(\phi(z)) d\zeta}{(\lambda(\phi(z)) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^\alpha}{2\pi i \phi'(z)} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\alpha + (-1)^\alpha \lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$(-1)^\alpha \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; \phi(z)) \phi'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\alpha + (-1)^\alpha \lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2}$$

where the right-hand side is  $\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  if  $\alpha = 0$  and (6.8) is proved for that case. But if  $\alpha = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} -\Phi_\infty^\delta(x; \phi(z)) \phi'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(1 - \lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\lambda(-1/\zeta) - \lambda(z)) (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} \\ &= |-1/\zeta : \gamma(1, -1) \mapsto \gamma(-1, 1), d(-1/\zeta) = 1/\zeta^2| \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z)) \zeta^2 \left(-\frac{x^\delta}{\zeta} - (-x)^{1-\delta}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^\delta + (-x)^{1-\delta} \zeta)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(1, -1)} \frac{\lambda'(z) d\zeta}{(\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)) (x^{1-\delta} \zeta - (-x)^\delta)^2} = \Phi_\infty^{1-\delta}(x; z), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$(x^\delta + (-x)^{1-\delta} \zeta)^2 = \begin{cases} (1 - x\zeta)^2 = (x\zeta - 1)^2, & \text{if } \delta = 0, \\ (x + \zeta)^2, & \text{if } \delta = 1, \end{cases} = (x^{1-\delta} \zeta - (-x)^\delta)^2.$$

This finished the proof of (6.8).

**30**  $\uparrow$  We prove (6.21). If  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^\infty = \mathbb{H} \setminus \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (2m + \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  then  $z \notin S_\infty^\infty$  and

$$z \notin \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore, in this case,

$$\chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})}(z) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\},$$

while  $h_{\mathcal{E}}(z) = 0$ , as follows from (5.56). So that (6.21) is proved for  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty}$ .

Let

$$z \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0} \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel} = \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0 \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f}} \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

In view of (5.56), written for  $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0} \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel}$ ,  $z \notin \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\infty}$  in the form,

$$h_{\mathcal{E}}(z) = 1 + d(\phi_{\mathbf{n}}), \quad \text{if } z \in \phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0) = \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}, \quad (5.56)$$

we have  $h_{\mathcal{E}}(z) = 1$ , if  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0$ . Together with  $(\phi_0(z) = z)$ ,

$$\chi_{\phi_0}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = 1, \quad \chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f},$$

this yields the validity of (6.21) for  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0$ ,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = h_{\mathcal{E}}(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^0.$$

At the same time,  $h_{\mathcal{E}}(z) = 1 + N$ , if  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} = (n_N, \dots, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^N$ . But for such  $z$ , in view of (6.20),

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}) \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel} = \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{k_K, \dots, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, K \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1, k_K, \dots, k_1}, \quad (6.20)$$

we have  $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0} \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel} = \phi_0(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}) \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel}$ , and it follows from

$$\chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) \neq 0, \quad M \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_M, \dots, m_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^M \Leftrightarrow \quad (\text{A.30a})$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1} \subset \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}) \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel} = \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{m_M, \dots, m_1} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{k_K, \dots, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, K \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{m_M, \dots, m_1, k_K, \dots, k_1}$$

that

$$z \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_1}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}), \quad z \in \phi_{n_N, \dots, n_2}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}), \quad \dots, \\ z \in \phi_{n_N}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}), \quad z \in \phi_0(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0}),$$

while

$$\chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \setminus \{(n_N), (n_N, n_{N-1}), \dots, (n_N, \dots, n_1)\},$$

which yields the validity of (6.21) for  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}$ ,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = h_{\mathcal{E}}(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}^{n_N, \dots, n_1}.$$

This completes the proof of (6.21). Besides, we have proved that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = h_{\mathcal{E}}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{Re} \leq 1} \setminus S_{\sim}^{\parallel}, \quad (\text{A.30b})$$

and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^{\mathbb{N}_f} \cup \{0\}} \chi_{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{Im}>0})(z) = h_{\mathcal{E}}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{Re} \leq 1}. \quad (\text{A.30c})$$



### A.7. Notes for Section 7

**31** ↑ We prove the left-hand side inequality of (7.1).

Since  $x + iy \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^- \Rightarrow -x + iy \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^-$ , and  $\Theta_2(-x + iy) = \overline{\Theta_2(x + iy)}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y > 0$ , it is enough to consider the case  $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$  for which it is stated that

$$|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \operatorname{Im}^2 z \leq \theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 \leq 5, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^- \cap \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} \geq 0}. \quad (\text{A.31a})$$

We first assume that  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^- \cap \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} \geq 0}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} z \leq 1$ , and prove that

$$\operatorname{Im}(1 - z)^{-1} \geq 1/2, \quad |z| > 1, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \in [0, 1], \quad \operatorname{Im} z \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.31b})$$

For any such that there exists  $a \in (0, \pi/2)$  such that  $z = \cos a + iy$ ,  $\sin a \leq y \leq 1$ . Then it is necessary to obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{1 - z} = \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{|1 - z|^2} = \frac{y}{(1 - \cos a)^2 + y^2}, \quad \sin a \leq y \leq 1,$$

i.e.,

$$(1 - \cos a)^2 + y^2 \leq 2y, \quad \sin a \leq y \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow (1 - \cos a)^2 \leq y(2 - y), \quad \sin a \leq y \leq 1.$$

But on the interval  $[0, 1]$  the function  $y(2 - y)$  increases from 0 to 1. Therefore the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \cos a)^2 &\leq (2 - \sin a) \sin a \Leftrightarrow 1 + \cos^2 a - 2 \cos a \leq 2 \sin a - \sin^2 a \\ 1 - \cos a &\leq \sin a \Leftrightarrow \cos a + \sin a \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow \sin\left(a + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \geq \sin \frac{\pi}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

which is true because  $a \in (0, \pi/2)$ . Thus, (A.31b) is proved.

Then for such  $z$  we deduce from the following consequence of (2.20),

$$\Theta_2(z)^4 = (1 - z)^{-2} \Theta_4(1/(1 - z))^4, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

and (A.31b) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta_2(z)|^4 \operatorname{Im}^2 z &\leq |1 - z|^2 |\Theta_2(z)|^4 = \left| \Theta_4\left(\frac{1}{1 - z}\right) \right|^4 \\ &= \left| 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n u^{n^2} \right|_{u = e^{\frac{i\pi}{1 - z}}}^4 \leq \theta_3\left(e^{-\pi/2}\right)^4, \end{aligned}$$

where by [9, p. 325],

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_3\left(e^{-\pi/2}\right)^4 &= \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(3/4)^4} \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^2}{2} = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(3/4)^4} \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2}, \\ 2,914213562373095 &< \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2} < 2,9142135623730951, \\ 1,393203929652002 &< \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(3/4)^4} < 1,393203929652003 \Rightarrow \\ 4 &< 4,06009 < \theta_3\left(e^{-\pi/2}\right)^4 < 4,060094 < 5. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.31c})$$

It remains to prove (A.31a) for  $z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^- \cap \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} \geq 0}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} z > 1$ , where due to 2-periodicity of  $\Theta_2$  it is possible to consider that  $\operatorname{Re} z \in [-1, 1]$ . Then

$$|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \leq 16e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im} z} |\theta_2(e^{i\pi z})|^4 \leq 16e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im} z} \theta_2(e^{-\pi})^4,$$

and therefore

$$|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \operatorname{Im}^2 z \leq 16 (\operatorname{Im}^2 z) e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im} z} \theta_2(e^{-\pi})^4,$$

where by [9, p. 325],

$$\theta_2(e^{-\pi})^4 = \frac{e^\pi \pi}{32 \Gamma(3/4)^4},$$

and the function  $f(y) = y^2 e^{-\pi y}$  has the derivative, satisfying

$$f'(y) = y^2 e^{-\pi y} = 2y e^{-\pi y} - \pi y^2 e^{-\pi y} = y e^{-\pi y} (2 - \pi y) < 0, \quad y > 2/\pi,$$

and therefore  $f(y) \leq f(1) = e^{-\pi}$ ,  $y \geq 1$ . Thus,

$$|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \operatorname{Im}^2 z \leq 16 (\operatorname{Im}^2 z) e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im} z} \theta_2(e^{-\pi})^4 \leq 16 e^{-\pi} \frac{e^\pi \pi}{32 \Gamma(3/4)^4} = \frac{\pi}{2 \Gamma(3/4)^4},$$

where

$$\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(3/4)^4} < 1, 3932039296520022532040318760206,$$

and hence,

$$|\Theta_2(z)|^4 \operatorname{Im}^2 z < 0, 69660196482600112660201593801 < \theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4,$$

by (A.31c). This completes the proof of (A.31a) and of the left-hand side inequality of (7.1) as well.

**32**  $\uparrow$  In view of (2.23)(a) and (A.5e),  $\lambda(i) = 1/2$ ,

$$\lambda(2i) = \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \right)^2 = \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{3 + 2\sqrt{2}} = 17 - 12\sqrt{2} = (0.029437251, 0.029437252),$$

$$1 - 2\lambda(2i) = 1 - 2 \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{3 + 2\sqrt{2}} = \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2} - 6 + 4\sqrt{2}}{3 + 2\sqrt{2}} = \frac{6\sqrt{2} - 3}{3 + 2\sqrt{2}} = 3 \frac{2\sqrt{2} - 1}{3 + 2\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda(2i)} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2} - 1} = \frac{(2\sqrt{2} + 1)(3 + 2\sqrt{2})}{21} = \frac{6\sqrt{2} + 8 + 3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{21}$$

$$= \frac{11 + 8\sqrt{2}}{21} \in \frac{(22.31370, 22.31371)}{21} \in (1, 062557547570, 1, 062557547571),$$

$$\frac{1}{5(1 - 2\lambda(2i))} = \frac{11 + 8\sqrt{2}}{105} \in (0.21251150, 0.21251151).$$

At the same time, by virtue of (3.31) and (2.23)(b),

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(1+it)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(it)} = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda(1+it)} = \frac{\lambda(it) - 1}{\lambda(it)} \Rightarrow$$

$$|\lambda(1+it)| = \frac{\lambda(it)}{1 - \lambda(it)} = \frac{\lambda(it)}{\lambda(i(1/t))} \geq \frac{\lambda(2i)}{16} e^{\pi/t} = \frac{17 - 12\sqrt{2}}{16} e^{\pi/t}, \quad t \in (0, 2].$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{16}{17 - 12\sqrt{2}} &= 16 \cdot \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{3 - 2\sqrt{2}} = 16 \cdot (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^2 = 16(17 + 12\sqrt{2}) \\ &= 272 + 192\sqrt{2} \in (543.52900, 543.52901) \leq 555. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda$  is 2-periodic, we obtain

$$|\lambda(\pm 1 + it)| \geq \frac{17 - 12\sqrt{2}}{16} e^{\pi/t} \geq \frac{e^{\pi/t}}{555}, \quad t \in (0, 2], \quad (\text{A.32a})$$

$$\frac{1}{5(1 - 2\lambda(2i))} = \frac{11 + 8\sqrt{2}}{105} \leq 0.21251151. \quad (\text{A.32b})$$

**33**  $\uparrow$  The expression for the integral follows from the following transforms

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^2 \frac{dt}{t^2 \left( a + \frac{17-12\sqrt{2}}{16} e^{\pi/t} \right)} &= \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a + \frac{17-12\sqrt{2}}{16} e^{\pi t}} = \left| t' = e^{\pi t}, t = \frac{\log t'}{\pi} \right| \\
&= \frac{16}{\pi(17-12\sqrt{2})} \int_{e^{\pi/2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t(t+b)} = \left| b := \frac{16a}{17-12\sqrt{2}} \right| \\
&= \frac{16}{\pi b(17-12\sqrt{2})} \int_{e^{\pi/2}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t+b} \right) dt \\
&= \frac{16}{\pi b(17-12\sqrt{2})} \log \frac{t}{t+b} \Big|_{t=e^{\pi/2}}^{t=\infty} \\
&= \frac{16}{\pi b(17-12\sqrt{2})} \log \left( 1 + b e^{-\pi/2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi a} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16e^{-\pi/2}}{17-12\sqrt{2}} a \right).
\end{aligned}$$

**34**  $\uparrow$  We use the following results of the numerical calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{55+40\sqrt{2}}{21} &< 5,313, \\
1 + \frac{16e^{-\pi/2}}{17-12\sqrt{2}} &< 113,9884, \\
\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi} &< 2,251 < \frac{9}{4}, \\
\log \left( 1 + \frac{16e^{-\pi/2}}{17-12\sqrt{2}} \right) &< 4,7361, \\
\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16e^{-\pi/2}}{17-12\sqrt{2}} \right) &< 10,6609536465591, \\
\frac{55+40\sqrt{2}}{21} + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{16e^{-\pi/2}}{17-12\sqrt{2}} \right) &< 15,974
\end{aligned}$$

which yield that

$$I_{\delta}(z) \leq 16 + (9/4) \log(1 + |\lambda(-1/z)|), \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0.$$

To prove (7.9), observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(1 + |\lambda(x+iy)|) &\leq \log \left( 1 + 16 e^{-\pi y + \pi/y} \right) \\
\frac{\pi}{y} + \log \left( e^{-\pi/y} + 16 e^{-\pi y} \right) &\leq \frac{\pi}{y} + \log 17 \leq \pi(1 + 1/y),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore it follows from (7.8)

$$I_{\delta}(z) \leq 16 + (9/4) \log \left( 1 + |\lambda(\mathbb{G}_2(z))| \right), \quad \mathbb{G}_2(z) \in \mathcal{F}_{\square}^{\parallel} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathcal{E}_-^{\infty}, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_-^0, \quad (7.8)$$

that

$$I_{\delta}(z) \leq 16 + \frac{9\pi}{4} + \frac{9\pi}{4 \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z)} \leq \frac{147\pi}{20} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{G}_2(z)} \right),$$

i.e., (7.9) holds, because

$$16 + \frac{9\pi}{4} < 23,068583471 < 23,09 < \frac{147\pi}{20}.$$

**35** ↑ In view of [9, p. 325], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{9\pi}{4} &< 7,068583471, \\ 16 + \frac{9\pi}{4} &< 23,0685834705771, \\ \frac{16}{\pi} + \frac{9}{4} &< 7,342958178941 < \frac{147}{20} = 7,35, \\ \theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 &= \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^4} \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})^2}{2} < 4,0600937869433563, \\ \frac{147}{20} \cdot \theta_3(e^{-\pi/2})^4 &< \frac{147}{20} \cdot 4,0600937869433563 < 29,8416893341 < 30. \end{aligned}$$

**36** ↑ Here we use the inequality  $1 + 60\pi < 20\pi^2$  which holds because

$$\begin{aligned} 60\pi &< 188,49555921538759430775860299677, \\ 1 + 60\pi &< 189,49555921538759430775860299677, \\ 20\pi^2 &= 197,39208802178717237668981999752... > 190 > 1 + 60\pi. \end{aligned}$$

**37** ↑ Actually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^\pi &< 23,140692632779269005729086367949, \\ 40e^\pi &< 925,6277053111707602291634547178, \\ \pi^6 &= 961,38919357530443703021944365242... > 961 > 40e^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

**38** ↑ We prove (7.13). In view of (1.14),

$$|\mathbf{H}_n(x)|, |\mathbf{M}_n(x)| \leq \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{4}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}.$$

By using (1.15), we get

$$|\mathbf{H}_n(x)|, |\mathbf{M}_n(x)| \leq \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{4x^2} \leq \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{2(1+x^2)}, \quad |x| \geq 1,$$

while

$$|\mathbf{H}_n(x)|, |\mathbf{M}_n(x)| \leq \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{4} \leq \frac{\pi^6 n^2}{2(1+x^2)}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

This proves (7.13).

**39** ↑ We prove (7.14). The explicit integral formula for  $\mathbf{H}_0$  written after (1.16),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_0(x) &= \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)} \frac{dt}{x^2 - \left(\frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)}\right)^2 t^2 + 1/4} \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x - \frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)}} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 1/4} - \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x + \frac{1-i\mathbf{y}(t)}{1+i\mathbf{y}(t)}} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 1/4} \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$|\mathbf{H}_0(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \frac{i\mathbf{y}(t)-1}{i\mathbf{y}(t)+1}} \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+\mathbf{y}(t)^2}{\mathbf{y}(t)} \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4},$$

where  $\mathbf{y}(-t) = 1/\mathbf{y}(t)$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+\mathbf{y}(t)^2}{\mathbf{y}(t)} \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{y}(t) + \mathbf{y}(-t)) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} (\mathbf{y}(t) + \mathbf{y}(-t)) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} \\ &= 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \mathbf{y}(t) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}(t)} \right) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using  $1 \leq F_{\Delta}(x) \leq 2$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$  (see [6, p. 35, (A.9c)]), which actually gives  $F_{\Delta}(x) \geq 2$ ,  $1/2 \leq x < 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{H}_0(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \mathbf{y}(t) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}(t)} \right) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)} + \frac{F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)}{F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right)} \right) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left( 1 + F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right) \right) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right) \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $F_{\Delta}(x) \leq 3 + \log(1-x)^{-1}$ ,  $0 \leq x < 1$  (see [6, p. 35, (A.9d)]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}\right) - 3 &\leq \log \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{4t^2+1}}} = \log \frac{2\sqrt{4t^2+1}}{\sqrt{4t^2+1} - 2t} \\ &= \log 2 + \log \sqrt{4t^2+1} + \log(\sqrt{4t^2+1} + 2t) \leq \log 2 + \log \sqrt{4t^2+1} \\ &\quad + \log(\sqrt{4t^2+1} + \sqrt{4t^2+1}) = 2 \log 2 + 2 \log \sqrt{4t^2+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore from the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{H}_0(x)| &\leq \frac{4+2\log 2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2+1/4} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\log \sqrt{4t^2+1}}{t^2+1/4} dt \\ &= \frac{4+2\log 2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2+1} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\log \sqrt{t^2+1}}{t^2+1} dt = \frac{2+\log 2}{\pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\log(t^2+1)}{t^2+1} dt = \frac{2+\log 2}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1+t)}{(t+1)\sqrt{t}} dt \quad \square \\ &\quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\log(1+t)}{(t+1)\sqrt{t}} dt = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\log t}{t\sqrt{(t-1)}} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{\log(1/t)}{\sqrt{(1-t)t}} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [42, \text{p. 490, 2.6.5.4}] = -B(1/2, 1/2) [\psi(1/2) - \psi(1)] \\
&= B(1/2, 1/2) (\psi(1) - \psi(1/2)) = \pi ((-\gamma) - (-\gamma - \log 4)) = \pi \log 4, \\
\boxed{\equiv} \quad &\frac{2 + \log 2}{\pi} + \frac{\log 4}{2\pi} = \frac{2 + \log 2}{\pi} + \frac{\log 2}{\pi} = 2 \frac{1 + \log 2}{\pi} < 1,077891.
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$|H_0(x)| \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By using (3.21), we get

$$|H_0(x)| \leq \frac{3}{2x^2} \leq \frac{3}{1+x^2}, \quad |x| \geq 1,$$

while

$$|H_0(x)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{3}{1+x^2}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

This proves

$$|H_0(x)| \leq \frac{3}{1+x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and completes the proof of (7.14).

**40**  $\uparrow$  Actually, let  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\infty$ . Since

$$\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{x^\delta (x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})} = -\frac{1}{(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2}, \quad (\text{A.40a})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dz} \frac{\lambda(z)\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))} &= \frac{\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)} \frac{d}{dz} \left( -1 + \frac{\lambda(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z)} \right) \\
&= \frac{\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)} \frac{\lambda(\zeta)\lambda'(z)}{(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))^2} = \lambda'(z) \frac{\lambda'(\zeta)}{(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))^2},
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce from (7.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dz} \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) &= \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda(z)\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))} \frac{d\zeta}{x^\delta (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda'(z)\lambda'(\zeta)}{(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))^2} \frac{d\zeta}{x^\delta (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{1}{x^\delta (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})} d \frac{\lambda'(z)}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)} = |\lambda(\pm 1) = \infty| \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda'(z)}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)} d \frac{1}{x^\delta (x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})} \\
&\stackrel{(\text{A.40a})}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda'(z)}{\lambda(z) - \lambda(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{(x^\delta \zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})^2} \stackrel{(6.6)}{=} \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dz} \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) = \Phi_\infty^\delta(x; z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (\text{A.40b})$$

**41**  $\uparrow$  We prove (7.20). Let  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\circ$  and

$$\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda(z)(1 - \lambda(\zeta))\Theta_3(\zeta)^4 d\zeta}{(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))x^\delta(x^\delta\zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}, \quad (7.19)$$

or, by the identity  $\lambda'(z) = i\pi\lambda(z)(1 - \lambda(z))\Theta_3(z)^4$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  (see (2.29)), it can be written as follows

$$\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda(z)\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))} \frac{d\zeta}{x^\delta(x^\delta\zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (\text{A.41a})$$

For  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\square \setminus [-1+2i, 1+2i]$  introduce

$$\Psi_\square^\delta(x; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi(-1, 1)} \frac{\lambda(z)\lambda'(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta)(\lambda(\zeta) - \lambda(z))} \frac{d\zeta}{x^\delta(x^\delta\zeta - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad \delta \in \{0, 1\}, \quad (\text{A.41b})$$

where the contour  $\Pi(-1, 1) = (-1, -1+2i] \cup [-1+2i, 1+2i] \cup [1+2i, 1)$  passes from  $-1$  to  $1$ .

By transforming the contour  $\gamma(-1, 1)$  of integration in (A.41a) to  $\Pi(-1, 1)$  and using Lemma 2.4, we obtain from the residue theorem [13, p. 112] that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) &= \Psi_\square^\delta(x; z), & z \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta \sqcup \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}>2}, \\ \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) &= \Psi_\square^\delta(x; z) + \frac{1}{x^\delta(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, & z \in \mathcal{F}_\nabla \cap \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}<2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.41c})$$

This means that the function  $\Psi_\square^\delta(x; z) + x^{-\delta}(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-1}$ , being holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}<2} \cap \mathcal{F}_\square$ , coincides on the set  $\mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}<2} \cap \mathcal{F}_\nabla \subset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}<2} \cap \mathcal{F}_\square$  with the function  $\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$ , which is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{F}_\nabla \supset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}<2} \cap \mathcal{F}_\nabla$ .

By the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions (see [13, p. 78]), we find that for  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta \subset \mathbb{H}_{\text{Im}<2} \cap \mathcal{F}_\square$ , the analytic extension  $\Psi_\mathbb{H}^\delta(x; z)$  of the function  $\Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  from  $\mathcal{F}_\nabla$  to  $\mathbb{H}$  (see (7.17)) equals the expression  $H(z) := \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) + x^{-\delta}(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})^{-1}$  since  $\Psi_\square^\delta(x; z) = \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z)$  holds for all  $z \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta$ , in view of (A.41c).

But by (5.47)(a) and (5.53), we see that  $\mathcal{F}_\Delta \subset \mathcal{E}_\infty^0 \subset \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\circ$ , and since  $\mathcal{E}_\infty^0$  is simply connected it follows that the latter function  $H(z)$  is actually holomorphic on  $\mathcal{E}_\infty^0$ .

So that the equality

$$\Psi_\mathbb{H}^\delta(x; z) = \Psi_\infty^\delta(x; z) + \frac{1}{x^\delta(x^\delta z - (-x)^{1-\delta})}, \quad z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^0 \subset \mathbb{H} \setminus S_\infty^\circ, \quad (7.20)$$

is proved for arbitrary  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**42**  $\uparrow$  We prove (8.11). For  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\bar{z} := x - iy$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  the Poisson kernel

$$2\pi i P_z(t) := \frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{1}{t-\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{t-x-iy} - \frac{1}{t-x+iy} = \frac{2iy}{(t-x)^2 + y^2},$$

possesses the following property

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi i P_z(-1/t)}{t^2} &= \frac{1}{t^2} \left( \frac{1}{(-1/t) - z} - \frac{1}{(-1/t) - \bar{z}} \right) = \frac{1}{t(-1-zt)} - \frac{1}{t(-1-t\bar{z})} \\ &= \frac{t(-1-t\bar{z}) - t(-1-zt)}{t^2(-1-zt)(-1-t\bar{z})} = \frac{-t - t^2\bar{z} + t + t^2z}{t^2(-1-zt)(-1-t\bar{z})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{z - \bar{z}}{|z|^2 \left( \frac{-1}{z} - t \right) \left( \frac{-1}{\bar{z}} - t \right)} = \frac{\frac{z - \bar{z}}{z\bar{z}}}{\left( t - \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right) \right) \left( t - \left( \frac{-1}{\bar{z}} \right) \right)} \\
&= \frac{\left( \frac{-1}{z} \right) - \left( \frac{-1}{\bar{z}} \right)}{\left( t - \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right) \right) \left( t - \left( \frac{-1}{\bar{z}} \right) \right)} = \frac{1}{t - \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right)} - \frac{1}{t - \left( \frac{-1}{\bar{z}} \right)} \\
&= 2\pi i P_{-1/z}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{P_z(-1/t)}{t^2} = P_{-1/z}(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (\text{A.42a})$$

Since for every  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  the Poisson kernel  $P_z(t)$  of the variable  $t$  belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , by (1.4) and Jordan's lemma (8.6), for every  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi i h_{-n}^*(P_z) &:= 2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) e^{i\pi n t} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi n t} \left( \frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{1}{t-\bar{z}} \right) dt \\
&= \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-A}^A \frac{e^{i\pi n t} dt}{t-z} - \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-A}^A \frac{e^{i\pi n t} dt}{t-\bar{z}} = 2\pi i e^{i\pi n z},
\end{aligned}$$

from which

$$h_{-n}^*(P_z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) e^{i\pi n t} dt = e^{i\pi n z} = e^{i\pi n(x+iy)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.42b})$$

Applying complex conjugation, taking into account that  $P_z(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$h_n^*(P_z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) e^{-i\pi n t} dt = e^{-i\pi n \bar{z}} = e^{-i\pi n(x-iy)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (\text{A.42c})$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
h_0^*(P_z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y dt}{(t-x)^2 + y^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \frac{t-x}{y} \Big|_{t=-\infty}^{t=+\infty} \\
&= 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.42d})$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{-n}^*(P_z) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{t}} dt = |t' = -1/t| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{P_z(-1/t)}{t^2} e^{i\pi n t} dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{-1/z}(t) e^{i\pi n t} dt = h_{-n}^*(P_{-1/z}) = e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}} = e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{x+iy}},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$m_{-n}^*(P_z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{t}} dt = e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{z}} = e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{x+iy}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.42e})$$

Applying complex conjugation, as above, we get

$$m_n^*(P_z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_z(t) e^{\frac{i\pi n}{t}} dt = e^{\frac{i\pi n}{\bar{z}}} = e^{\frac{i\pi n}{x-iy}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad z = x+iy \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (\text{A.42f})$$



Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_n^*(P_z) &= e^{-i\pi n(x-iy)} = e^{-\pi n(y+ix)}, & \mathfrak{m}_n^*(P_z) &= e^{\frac{i\pi n}{x-iy}} = e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y+ix}}, \\ \mathfrak{h}_{-n}^*(P_z) &= e^{i\pi n(x+iy)} = e^{-\pi n(y-ix)}, & \mathfrak{m}_{-n}^*(P_z) &= e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{x+iy}} = e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y-ix}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.42g})$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , which together with (A.42c) and Theorem 8.2 give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(t-x)^2 + y^2} &= P_z(t) = \mathfrak{h}_0^*(P_z)H_0(t) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( \mathfrak{h}_n^*(P_z)H_n(t) + \mathfrak{m}_n^*(P_z)M_n(t) \right) \\ &= H_0(t) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( e^{-\pi n(y+ix)}H_n(t) + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y+ix}}M_n(t) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( e^{-\pi n(y-ix)}H_{-n}(t) + e^{-\frac{\pi n}{y-ix}}M_{-n}(t) \right), \quad t, x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (8.11).

### A.8. Notes for Section 8

43  $\uparrow$  For arbitrary  $a, b > 0$  we calculate the integrals (10.77). Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) - \frac{2at}{t^2+1}} \frac{dt}{t^2+1} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-b\frac{t+\frac{1}{t}}{2} - \frac{a}{\frac{t+\frac{1}{t}}{2}}} \frac{dt}{t^2+1} \quad \square \\ 2t' &= t + \frac{1}{t}, \quad 2dt' = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt = \left(t - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{2dt'}{t - \frac{1}{t}}, \\ t^2 - 2tt' + 1 &= 0, \quad t = t' + \sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}, \quad \frac{1}{t} = t' - \sqrt{(t')^2 - 1} \\ t - \frac{1}{t} &= 2\sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}, \quad \frac{dt}{t^2+1} = \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{t}} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2t'} \frac{2dt'}{2\sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}} \\ \square &= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty e^{-bt - \frac{a}{t}} \frac{dt}{t\sqrt{t^2-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) - \frac{2at}{t^2+1}} \frac{dt}{t} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-b\frac{t+\frac{1}{t}}{2} - \frac{a}{\frac{t+\frac{1}{t}}{2}}} \frac{dt}{t} \quad \square \\ 2t' &= t + \frac{1}{t}, \quad 2dt' = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt = \left(t - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{2dt'}{t - \frac{1}{t}}, \\ t^2 - 2tt' + 1 &= 0, \quad t = t' + \sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}, \quad \frac{1}{t} = t' - \sqrt{(t')^2 - 1} \\ t - \frac{1}{t} &= 2\sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}, \quad \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{2dt'}{2\sqrt{(t')^2 - 1}} \\ \square &= \int_1^\infty e^{-bt - \frac{a}{t}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t^2-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where (see [40, p. 82, (19)], [1, p. 376, 9.6.27]) for  $x, y > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(x) &= \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-xt} dt}{\sqrt{t^2 - 1}} \Rightarrow K_0\left(2\sqrt{x(y+1)}\right) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-xt - \frac{y}{t}} dt}{\sqrt{t^2 - 1}} \Rightarrow \\ &= - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-xt - \frac{y}{t}} dt}{t\sqrt{t^2 - 1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} K_0\left(2\sqrt{x(y+1)}\right) = -\sqrt{\frac{x}{y+1}} K_1\left(2\sqrt{x(y+1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right) - \frac{2at}{t^2 + 1}} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{b}{a+1}} K_1\left(2\sqrt{b(a+1)}\right), \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right) - \frac{2at}{t^2 + 1}} \frac{dt}{t} &= K_0\left(2\sqrt{b(a+1)}\right), \quad a, b > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (10.77).

## References

39. T. M. Apostol, Introduction to analytic number theory. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. 338 pp.
40. Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F. and Tricomi F., *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. II.* . McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1985.
41. G. A. Jones, J. M. Jones, Elementary number theory. Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1998. 301 pp
42. Prudnikov, A., Brychkov, Yu. and Marichev, O., *Integrals and series, Vol. 1. Elementary functions.* Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1986.
43. Royden, H. L., *Real analysis.* Third edition. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988.