
ON THE NUMBER OF INEQUIVALENT MONOTONE BOOLEAN FUNCTIONS OF 8 VARIABLES

A PREPRINT

Bartłomiej Pawelski
 Institute of Informatics
 Faculty of Mathematics, Physics, and Informatics
 University of Gdańsk, 80-502 Gdańsk, Poland
 bartlomiej.pawelski@ug.edu.pl

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the author presents algorithms that allow determining the number of fixed points in permutations of a set of monotone Boolean functions. Then, using Burnside's lemma, the author determines the number of inequivalent monotone Boolean functions of 8 variables. The number obtained is 1,392,195,548,889,993,358.

Keywords Monotone Boolean functions · Inequivalent monotone Boolean functions · Dedekind numbers

1 Introduction

Let I^n be a set of all n input variables of a Boolean function. Monotone Boolean function (MBF) is any Boolean function that can be implemented using only conjunctions and disjunctions [3]. Let D_n be a set of all MBFs of n variables, and d_n a cardinality of this set; d_n is also known as n -th Dedekind number.

Let B^n be a power set of I^n . Each element in B^n represents one of 2^n possible inputs of Boolean function. I am going to use notation in form: $\emptyset, x_1, x_2, x_1x_2, x_3, \dots, x_1x_2x_3\dots x_n$ to describe elements in B^n .

I shall represent each Boolean function by a binary string of length 2^n . Each i -th bit of function in this representation is Boolean output where the argument is an element from B^n standing on the same position.

For example, consider the following truth table:

\emptyset	x_1	x_2	x_1x_2	x_3	x_1x_3	x_2x_3	$x_1x_2x_3$
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1

Table 1: Example of MBF of three variables that returns true iff x_3 is true

MBF from Table 1 can be written as integer 15 for more convenient computer processing. All 6 MBFs in D_2 written as integers are: 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 15.

Two Boolean functions are equivalent if the first function can be transformed into the second function by any permutation of input variables. Let R_n be a set of all inequivalent classes of D_n (represented by lexicographically smallest representative MBF) and r_n a cardinality of this set.

Any permutation of I^n regroups elements of B^n and D_n . There are $n!$ possible permutations of I^n , therefore there are at most $n!$ MBFs in one equivalence class.

In 1985, Chuchang and Shoben [1] came up with the idea to derive a r_n using the Burnside's Lemma. In the following year they calculated r_7 [2]. Their result was confirmed by Stephen and Yusun in 2012 [3]. In 2018, Assarpour [8] has given lower bound of r_8 - 1,392,123,939,633,987,512.

In 1990, Wiedemann has calculated d_8 [4]. He reduced the amount of work using properties of equivalent monotone Boolean functions. His result was confirmed in 2001 by Fidytek et al. [5].

In this paper I use Burnside's Lemma to derive $r_8 = 1,392,195,548,889,993.358$. I also describe the methods I developed for counting fixed points in D_n under given permutation of I^n .

n	d_n	r_n
0	2	2
1	3	3
2	6	5
3	20	10
4	168	30
5	7,581	210
6	7,828,354	16,353
7	2,414,682,040,998	490,013,148
8	56,130,437,228,687,557,907,788	1,392,195,548,889,993,358

Table 2: Known values of d_n and r_n

2 Idea of counting IMBFs using Burnside's Lemma

Chuchang and Shoben [1] used the following application of Burnside's Lemma to count IMBFs of n variables:

$$r_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \phi(\pi_i) \quad (1)$$

where:

- i = index of cycle type
- μ_i = number of permutations of certain cycle type i
- $\phi(\pi_i)$ = number of fixed points in D_n under given permutation of I^n

The formula for determine μ_i for each cycle type is as follows:

$$\mu_i = \frac{n!}{(l_1^{k_1} \times l_2^{k_2} \cdots \times l_r^{k_r})(k_1! \times k_2! \cdots \times k_r!)} \quad (2)$$

with a cycle type of k_1 cycles of length l_1 , k_2 cycles of length l_2 , ..., k_r cycles of length l_r [7]. Precomputed results can be found in A181897 OEIS sequence.

The most difficult subproblem is fast counting fixed points in D_n under a given permutation of I^n . The number of cycle types for the appropriate value of n is described by the A000041 OEIS sequence. For $n = 7$ there is 15 cycle types, and for $n = 8$ there is 22 cycle types.

Let π_i be the smallest representative cyclic permutation of I^n with cycle type i .

3 Algorithms counting fixed points in permutations

For counting fixed points in D_n after acting with specific permutation in the first place it is necessary to convert permutation of n input variables into a permutation of 2^n bits in B^n (hereinafter defined as $\pi_i B^n$). For example, one more time consider permutation of cycle type (123) and look how it regroups elements of B^3 :

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
(1)	⊙	x_1	x_2	$x_1 x_2$	x_3	$x_1 x_3$	$x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_2 x_3$
(123)	⊙	x_3	x_1	$x_1 x_3$	x_2	$x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_2$	$x_1 x_2 x_3$

Table 3: Regroup of elements in B^3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$

So $\pi = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$ should be transformed into $\pi(0)(124)(365)(7)B^3$. Each cycle designate points belonging to the same orbit. Points in each orbit must be set to the same value for the MBF to be fixed point of D_3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$.

In this case, each element in D_3 to be a fixed point of D_3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$ has to have:

- 1-st, 2-nd and 4-th bit set on the same value
- 3-rd, 5-th and 6-th bit set on the same value

Using this approach fixed points in D_3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$ can be simply found by iteration through all 20 elements in D_3 and checking which MBFs are satisfying the above conditions:

MBF written as integer	n -th bit of MBF							
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
23	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
127	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
255	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 4: List of five fixed points in D_3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$

3.1 Generating a set of all fixed points in D_n under permutation of cycle type of total length n

Instead of doing a lookup in D_n for functions that have bits set the same value in each orbit, it is possible to generate a set of fixed points directly. I shall use fact that D_n is equivalent to the set of all downsets of B^n - so each element in D_n is equivalent to some downset of B^n [9].

Two conditions must be met to generate MBF which is the fixed point in D_n under the given permutation:

- All points that are in one orbit should be set to the same value - 0 or 1.
- Value of points must respect the order of set inclusion.

For example, consider permutation $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$. After transforming it into permutation of B^4 , I get:
 $\pi = (0)(1 2)(3)(4 8)(5 10)(6 9)(7 11)(12)(13 14)(15)B^4$.

Now, let's transform this permutation into a poset of orbits, ordered by set inclusion. I shall represent orbits by their lexicographically smallest representative:





Figure 1: Poset of orbits of B_4 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$ ordered by set inclusion.

Now it is only necessary to generate all downsets of this poset. In this case, the number of all downsets is 28:



The structures thus obtained are equivalent to the set of fixed points in D_4 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$. One can unpack the downsets obtained in this way to the form of MBF of 2^n length, but there is no need for that, as long as it is only interesting how many fixed points there are.

I am using this algorithm only to generate a set of fixes of permutation with cycle type of total length n - for example, I use Algorithm 1 to create set of fixed points in D_4 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$, but to create a set of D_5 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$ (or higher) it is more convenient and cheaper computationally to use Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 1 Generate a set of fixed points in D_n under permutation of cycle type of total length n

Input: Cycle type i of total length n

Output: Set S of all fixed points in D_n

- 1: Transform $\pi_i I^n$ into $\pi_i B^n$
 - 2: Generate set Orb_i containing all orbits in $\pi_i B^n$
 - 3: Order Orb_i into poset P by set inclusion
 - 4: Initialize set S of downsets of P
 - 5: Add two downsets: $\{\}$ and $\{0\}$ to S
 - 6: **for all elements** $a \in P$ **do**
 - 7: **for all elements** $b \in S$ **do**
 - 8: **if** $(b \cup a)$ is downset of P **then**
 - 9: Add downset $(b \cup a)$ to S
 - 10: **end if**
 - 11: **end for**
 - 12: **end for**
-

3.2 Generating a set of all fixed points in D_{n+1} under permutation of cycle type of total length n

Each MBF in D_{n+1} consists of two concatenated functions (α, β) from the set D_n . Moreover, there must be a relation $\alpha \preceq \beta$, which means that for every i -th bit $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ [6].

If MBF under permutation of cycle type π_i of total length n has $n+1$ variables, then $n+1$ -th variable is fixed point of $\pi_i I^{n+1}$. This means that the first half (α) of B_{n+1} will be affected by the permutation π_i . The second half (β) of

B_{n+1} has to be in relation " \preceq " with the first half, which means that it will have set at least the same bits. Moreover, the first n variables are regrouped in the same way as in the first half and will therefore satisfy conditions of being a fixed point of $\pi_i D_n$.

The conclusion from this reasoning is as follows: each MBF from D_{n+1} being a fixed point in π_i of total length n consist of two MBFs $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha \preceq \beta)$ being a fixed points in $\pi_i D_n$.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
id	\emptyset	x_1	x_2	$x_1 x_2$	x_3	$x_1 x_3$	$x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_2 x_3$
(12)	\emptyset	x_2	x_1	$x_1 x_2$	x_3	$x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_3$	$x_1 x_2 x_3$

Table 5: Regroup of elements in B^3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)$

For example look on the Table 5, where it is visible that first half of B_3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)$ is the same as B_2 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)$. It also visible that x_3 is fixed point in B_3 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)$ - it is at the same places as in B_3 .

Having a set of MBFs being a set of fixed points of $\pi_i D_n$ it is only necessary to use well-known algorithms used for determining Dedekind numbers (for example [4], [5]), but instead of giving D_n on input, set of fixed points in $\pi_i D_n$ will be given.

For example, let's determine number of fixed points in D_5 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$. From previous subsection it is known that there are a 28 elements in the set of fixed points of D_4 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$.

I will use modified "Algorithm 1" from [5] (any algorithm from this paper will do the job, however, algorithms 2 and 3 don't give a set but its cardinality). For each pair of MBFs of length 2^n bits in this set, it must be checked if there is a relation " \preceq " between them. It is convenient to use bitwise OR for this task.

Algorithm 2 Generating a set of all fixed points in D_{n+1} under permutation of cycle type of total length n

Input: Cycle type i of total length n

Output: Set S of all fixed points in D_{n+1} written as integer

- 1: Use Algorithm 1 to generate a set S' of all fixed points in $\pi_i D_n$
 - 2: Convert all MBFs in S' to integers of length 2^n bits
 - 3: Initialize set S of integers of length 2^{n+1} bits
 - 4: **for all elements** $a \in S'$ **do**
 - 5: **for all elements** $b \in S'$ **do**
 - 6: **if** $(a \mid b) = b$ **then** ▷ "|" is bitwise "OR"
 - 7: Add integer $((a \ll 2^n) \mid b)$ to S ▷ "«" is logical shift
 - 8: **end if**
 - 9: **end for**
 - 10: **end for**
-

3.3 Special case: determining cardinality of set of fixed points of D_8 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)(x_5 x_6)(x_7 x_8)$

Determining number of fixed points in D_8 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)(x_5 x_6)(x_7 x_8)$ was too memory-intensive for Algorithm 1 considering the resources at hand. The size of the largest antichain (or simply: width) of poset of orbits of super set of $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)(x_5 x_6)(x_7 x_8)$ is 38, so the weak lower bound of number of fixed points in D_8 under $\pi = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)(x_5 x_6)(x_7 x_8) = 274877906944$. In practice, even the machine with 128GB RAM was insufficient - so there was a need to develop a better algorithm for this particular case.

The idea of a cheaper calculation of this number was based on noticing the following:

Look at Wiedemann's approach [4]. He noticed that each MBF from the set D_{n+2} can be splitted into 4 MBFs from D_n : $\alpha_w, \beta_w, \gamma_w, \delta_w$, and there are following dependencies:

- $\alpha_w \preceq \beta_w$
- $\alpha_w \preceq \gamma_w$
- $\beta_w \preceq \delta_w$
- $\gamma_w \preceq \delta_w$

Each fixed point in D_{n+2} under permutation of cycle type containing only 1-cycles and 2-cycles (let $\pi_{(i)(n+1\ n+2)}$ be definition of this permutation) can be splitted into 4 MBFs from D_n :

- α = being MBF from the set of fixed points in $\pi_i D_n$
- β = being MBF from the set D_n
- γ = being MBF from the set D_n
- δ = being MBF from the set of fixed points in $\pi_i D_n$

And there are the following dependencies:

- $\alpha \preceq \beta$
- $\alpha \preceq \gamma$
- $\beta \preceq \delta$
- $\gamma \preceq \delta$

And there are only d_n valid pairs of $\beta\gamma$, because for each bit of these MBFs β_i is equal to $\pi(12)\gamma_i$.

For example, cycle type of B^4 under $\pi = (x_1\ x_2)(x_3\ x_4)$ was $\pi(0)(1\ 2)(3)(4\ 8)(5\ 10)(6\ 9)(7\ 11)(12)(13\ 14)(15)B^4$. Let's break it down into three parts following the above approach:

- α as $(0)(1\ 2)(3)$; being MBF from the set of fixed points in $\pi = (x_1\ x_2)D_n$
- $\beta\gamma$ as $(4\ 8)(5\ 10)(6\ 9)(7\ 11)$ being pairs of MBFs from the set D_n such that for each bit $\beta_i = (\pi = (x_1\ x_2))\gamma_i$
- δ as $(12)(13\ 14)(15)$, being MBF from the set of fixed points in $\pi = (x_1\ x_2)D_n$

Algorithm 3 Determining a cardinality of set of all fixed points in D_{n+2} under $\pi_{(i)(n+1\ n+2)}$

Input: D_n and set of fixed points in $\pi_i D_n$ with MBFs written as integers

Output: k : cardinality of set of fixed points of D_{n+2} under $\pi_{(i)(n+1\ n+2)}$

```

1: Initialize  $k = 0$ ,
2: for all  $\beta \in D_n$  do
3:   Determine  $\gamma$  such that for each bit in  $(\beta, \gamma)$   $\beta_i = (\pi = (x_1\ x_2))\gamma_i$ 
4:   Initialize  $down = 0, up = 0$ 
5:   for all  $\alpha \in$  set of fixed points in  $\pi_i D_n$  do
6:     if  $(\alpha \preceq (\beta | \gamma))$  then ▷ "|" is bitwise "OR"
7:        $down += 1$ 
8:     end if
9:   end for
10:  for all  $\delta \in$  set of fixed points in  $\pi_i D_n$  do
11:    if  $((\beta \& \gamma) \preceq \delta)$  then ▷ "&" is bitwise "AND"
12:       $up += 1$ 
13:    end if
14:  end for
15:   $k += up \times down$ 
16: end for
    
```

4 Implementation and results

The algorithms have been implemented in Java and run on a computer with an Intel Core i7-9750H processor. Algorithms have been tested and compared with the results of Chuchang and Shoben [2] for r_7 where some misprints have been found, therefore I give a complete, correct table of detailed calculation results for r_7 . The total computation time of r_8 was approximately a few minutes (with d_8 precomputed).

i	π_i	μ_i	$\phi(\pi_i)$
1	(1)	1	2414682040998
2	(12)	21	2208001624
3	(123)	70	2068224
4	(1234)	210	60312
5	(12345)	504	1548
6	(123456)	840	766
7	(1234567)	720	101
8	(12)(34)	105	67922470
9	(12)(345)	420	59542
10	(12)(3456)	630	26878
11	(12)(34567)	504	264
12	(123)(456)	280	69264
13	(123)(4567)	420	294
14	(12)(34)(56)	105	12015832
15	(12)(34)(567)	210	10192

$$r_7 = \frac{1}{5040} \sum_{i=1}^{k=15} \mu_i \phi(\pi_i) = 490013148$$

Table 6: Detailed calculation results for r_7

i	π_i	μ_i	$\phi(\pi_i)$
1	(1)	1	56130437228687557907788
2	(12)	28	101627867809333596
3	(123)	112	262808891710
4	(1234)	420	424234996
5	(12345)	1344	531708
6	(123456)	3360	144320
7	(1234567)	5760	3858
8	(12345678)	5040	2364
9	(12)(34)	210	182755441509724
10	(12)(345)	1120	401622018
11	(12)(3456)	2520	93994196
12	(12)(34567)	4032	21216
13	(12)(345678)	3360	70096
14	(123)(456)	1120	535426780
15	(123)(4567)	3360	25168
16	(123)(45678)	2688	870
17	(1234)(5678)	1260	3211276
18	(12)(34)(56)	420	7377670895900
19	(12)(34)(567)	1680	16380370
20	(12)(34)(5678)	1260	37834164
21	(12)(345)(678)	1120	3607596
22	(12)(34)(56)(78)	105	2038188253420

$$r_8 = \frac{1}{40320} \sum_{i=1}^{k=22} \mu_i \phi(\pi_i) = 1392195548889993358$$

Table 7: Detailed calculation results for r_8

References

- [1] Liu Chuchang, Hu Shoben. A mechanical algorithm of equivalent classification for free distributive lattices. in *Chinese Journal of Computers*, 1985, Issue 02 (in Chinese)
- [2] Liu Chuchang, Hu Shoben. A note on computation of the numbers of equivalent classes for the free distributive lattices in *Journal of Wuhan University (Natural Science Edition)*, 1986, Issue 01, pages 13-17 (in Chinese)
- [3] Tamon Stephen, Timothy Yusun. Counting inequivalent monotone Boolean functions in *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, Volume 167, 20 April 2014, pages 15-24
- [4] Doug Wiedemann. A computation of the eighth Dedekind number in *Order* 8, 1991, pages 5-6
- [5] Robert Fidytek et al. Algorithms counting monotone Boolean functions in *Information Processing Letters*, 2001, issue 79, pages 203-209
- [6] Valentin Bakoev. One more way for counting monotone Boolean functions in *Thirteenth International Workshop on Algebraic and Combinatorial Coding Theory*, 2012, pages 47–52
- [7] Richard Earl. Groups and group actions https://courses.maths.ox.ac.uk/node/view_material/43836, accessed 01.05.2021
- [8] Ali Assarpour. List, Sample, and Count (2018). CUNY Academic Works. https://academicworks.cuny.edu/gc_etds/2869, accessed 01.05.2021
- [9] Frank a Campo. Relations between Powers of Dedekind Numbers and Exponential Sums Related to Them in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, Vol. 21 (2018)
- [10] Neil J.A. Sloane. The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences <https://oeis.org>