More WiFi for Everyone: Increasing Spectral Efficiency in WiFi6 Networks using OBSS/PD Mechanism

Ali Karakoç, Mehmet Şükrü Kuran, Member IEEE, and H. Birkan Yilmaz, Member, IEEE

Abstract

This study aims to enhance spatial reuse by using the new features of IEEE 802.11ax WLANs. Since the wireless medium is a shared medium and there may be multiple basic service sets (BSS) in the same vicinity, BSSs may overlap, and interference occurs. In this situation, BSSs cannot transmit simultaneously due to the exposed node problem. The IEEE 802.11ax standard has a couple of mechanisms to resolve these spectral efficiency problems. One of the most effective mechanisms that address these problems is the overlapping BSS preamble detection (OBSS/PD) mechanism. OBSS/PD mechanism uses the color mechanism to distinguish OBSS signals. By using a signal threshold, the mechanism can ignore some of the signals, which cause interference. In this paper, we propose a rate-adaptive dynamic OBSS/PD threshold algorithm that tracks the changes in transmission rate and dynamically adjusts the threshold step by step considering the changes.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS paper focuses on the WiFi standard IEEE 802.11ax and its features related to spatial reuse. Among the various features being proposed in WiFi6, one of them is the OBSS-PD mechanism. In dense environments, if there are overlapping BSSs using the same channels, the traffic belonging to these BSSs must share the channel and contend for access. Before performing the transmission, each node should check whether the medium is in an idle state or not.

In some cases, two nodes belonging to different BSSs cannot transmit simultaneously even if their transmissions will not cause collisions. At its core, the spatial reuse features of 802.11ax allow the STAs and APs to adjust their ranges dynamically to maximize the spectral efficiency. OBSS/PD mechanism is an extension to the legacy CSMA/CA mechanism. This paper proposes a rate-adaptive inter-BSS carrier elimination-based OBSS/PD threshold (RACEBOT) algorithm. Mainly, the RACEBOT algorithm is a received signal strength indicator (RSSI) based carrier sensing threshold algorithm, and it is designed to be used with typical rate selection algorithms. Since changing the OBSS/PD threshold also affects the transmission power, this operation is also related to rate selection algorithms. If the transmission power is not enough to transmit for a corresponding MCS level, the transmission fails, hence the RACEBOT algorithm also considers the transmission power for the rate selection algorithm looks at the count of each OBSS RSSI level, and it ignores the RSSI levels, which are below the count threshold. Therefore, OBSS/PD threshold can be chosen at lower levels, and due to the higher transmission power, higher MCS levels may be selected.

II. IEEE 802.11AX PROTOCOL

In 2013, one of the subgroups of 802.11 group, named high-efficiency WLAN study group (HEW SG), began to study on a next-generation high-efficiency WLAN standard. Finally, in 2014, task group ax (TGax) was formed, and first draft of the 802.11ax standard has been published [1]. 802.11ax protocol is the successor of 802.11ac, and the main goal is increasing the spatial efficiency and throughput per area in dense networks [2].

Starting with PHY layer changes and additions, WiFi6 offers a multitude of changes regarding the PHY layer. Although the primary goal of the IEEE 802.11ax protocol is spectral efficiency in dense environments, which contains overlapping BSSs, there are also two new MCS levels added to the standard. Accordingly, the data rate increases up to the gigabit level via 1024-QAM with a single antenna.

Initially, 802.11ax is planned to work only with classical WiFi channels in 2.4 Ghz and 5 GHz frequency bands, but with the extension called WiFi-6E, the new 6 GHz frequency band has been added recently [3]. In addition to the frequency bands, 802.11ax has three guard interval options; 0.8 µs, 1.6 µs and 3.2 µs. Finally, IEEE 802.11ax supports Multi-User - Multiple Input Multiple Output (MU-MIMO) in both uplink (UL) and downlink (DL) directions, unlike 802.11ac, which has MU-MIMO only in DL direction.

In addition to these PHY layer improvements, WiFi6 also has a pretty high number of new MAC layer mechanisms. Foremost of these mechanisms is the adoption of OFDMA. The primary rationale behind adding OFDMA support to WiFi is to allow simultaneous transmission in the DL and UL direction to reduce delay and increase overall efficiency. In OFDMA, multiple nodes can transmit simultaneously, reducing the interference by dividing the available resource units.

Another critical MAC layer addition of WiFi6 is the improved support for spatial reuse by BSS coloring that was introduced in 802.11ac and 802.11ah protocols before. According to the color mechanism of the 802.11ax protocol, each BSS has its color defined in the management frame with 6 bits of information. Since the BSS color header is 6 bits long, the protocol can assign 63 available colors to each BSS and its nodes in a distributed manner. The color information is carried by HE-SIG-A frame that is contained by the HE-PPDU structure of 802.11ax. If there are overlapping BSSs, the color information of the transmitted frames can increase spectral efficiency by increasing the hearing threshold and ignoring the incoming packets from the Inter-BSS nodes. This threshold mechanism is called OBSS/PD.

A. OBSS/PD Mechanism

IEEE 802.11ax amendment focuses on spectral efficiency, and one of the critical features of the standard is the OBSS/PD mechanism. In dense environments, if there are overlapping BSSs that are using the same channels, the traffic belonging to these BSSs must share the channel and contend for access. Before performing the transmission, each STA should check whether the medium is in an idle state or not. In some cases, two STAs belonging to different BSSs cannot transmit simultaneously even if their transmissions will not cause transmission collisions. Hence, reducing the spectral efficiency.

In Figure 1, such a problematic scenario is illustrated when there are two different BSSs in close vicinity. The dotted circles represent the range in which a WiFi signal can be heard, red dotted circle for STA-1, and blue dotted circle for STA-2. Outside of these ranges, a WiFi transmission can not be heard. In this example, the active UL transmission between STA-1 and AP-1 causes interference to STA-2, and STA-2 cannot start communication with AP-2. Such concurrent transmissions will not have affected the reception process, so we are reducing the spectral efficiency. It is possible to overcome this problem if we had the option of adjusting each STA's ranges that affect which frames are received/heard. To continue this example, if the ranges are to be adjusted as the dashed bold circles, the STA's cannot receive the intended signal from each other, and both STA's can transmit data simultaneously.



Fig. 1. An example of spectral efficiency problem.

At its core, the spatial reuse features of 802.11ax allow the STAs and APs to adjust their ranges via transmit power and OBSS/PD thresholds dynamically to maximize spectral efficiency. OBSS/PD mechanism is an extension to the legacy CSMA/CA mechanism.

The value of the OBSS/PD threshold level is directly related to Tx-Power. In [4], it is shown that when the multiplication of transmission power and carrier sensing threshold is a constant value, the wireless channel utilization/efficiency increases. In Figure 2, this relationship is illustrated for OBSS/PD threshold mechanism. If the Inter-BSS-based collision signal level is low, the OBSS/PD level should be set to lower levels, and at the same time, Tx-Power should be set to higher levels. On the other hand, if the medium has so many Inter-BSS collisions and the signal levels are high, in this case, the OBSS/PD should

TABLE I	
OBSS/PD ranges in dBm at different	CHANNEL BANDWIDTHS

	$20\mathrm{MHz}$	40 MHz	80 MHz	$160\mathrm{MHz}$
$OBSS/PD_{Min}$	-82.0	-79.0	-76.0	-73.0
$OBSS/PD_{Max}$	-62.0	-59.0	-56.0	-53.0
$TxPow_{Ref}$	21.0	18.0	15.0	12.0

be set to higher levels to ignore these signals, and transmission power should be reduced, i.e., the communications should be carried over in close vicinity with a lower transmit power and ignoring interference signals.



Fig. 2. OBSS/PD-Transmision power relationship.

From the relationship of OBSS/PD threshold and transmission power, the transmission power is calculated via the given OBSS/PD level according to the Equation 1. Since there is a linear relationship between OBSS/PD threshold and transmission power, as the transmission power increases, OBSS/PD should be decreased the same amount of value or vice versa. For each channel bandwidth (i.e., 20 MHz, 40 MHz, 80 MHz, and 160 MHz), there are upper and lower bounds for OBSS/PD threshold and transmission power levels. In Table I, the minimum and maximum limits of OBSS/PD threshold and transmission power (TxPow) are given for the corresponding channel bandwidths. These limits determine the region that an algorithm can adjust the OBSS/PD threshold and TxPow accordingly.

$$OBSS/PD = OBSS/PD_{Min} + TxPow_{Ref} - TxPow$$
(1)

where $TxPow_{Ref}$ is the maximum transmission power and $OBSS/PD_{Min}$ is the minimum OBSS/PD threshold that is allowed to be set.

In Figure 3, there are two BSSs, and each of them has one AP. Each station is associated with an AP and performs an uplink transmission. The default CCA Carrier Sensing thresholds are set to $-82 \,dBm$, and both transmissions interfere with each other. This issue creates a significant amount of performance degradation in the overall system. In this problematic case, since the interfering signals are originated from Inter-BSS stations, OBSS/PD mechanism can be used to eliminate this interference. Suppose a node increases both OBSS/PD thresholds to $-72 \,dBm$ and $-74 \,dBm$, respectively. In that case, it will ignore the incoming Inter-BSS signal under this level, and it can initiate transmission with their corresponding APs. According to the conditions and system parameters, while keeping the communication link alive, OBSS/PD level can be increased, and the same amount of transmission power can be decreased to reduce the interference. That means the incoming RSSIs from the Inter-BSS stations will be reduced at the receiver's physical layer, and the incoming signals will not collide because they are under the OBSS/PD threshold.

III. RACEBOT ALGORITHM

In this section, our proposed algorithm, RACEBOT, will be explained. RACEBOT algorithm is an OBSS/PD thresholdbased algorithm, which dynamically adjusts OBSS/PD threshold and the transmit power in the run-time. The main goal of the RACEBOT algorithm is to find an effective OBSS/PD threshold and consequently transmit power to increase aggregated throughput while reducing Inter-BSS interference and reducing the possibility of hidden STAs.

Adjusting the OBSS/PD threshold considering RSSI can be implemented in various ways. As discussed earlier, some of these algorithms are based on beacon RSSI, and some of the other algorithms use both beacon RSSI and RSSI of OBSS STAs. RACEBOT algorithm also uses both RSSI types for two reasons: using beacon RSSI to keep the link with the associated AP alive and using OBSS RSSI to avoid OBSS interference. RACEBOT algorithm records each RSSI with their OBSS frame



Fig. 3. A simple scenario for OBSS/PD mechanism.

count (OFC) in a specific period. These OFC values are used to adjust OBSS/PD thresholds in the following stages of the algorithm.

To select the appropriate OBSS/PD threshold, RACEBOT algorithm sets an OFC threshold named OFC_{Thr} and determines the maximum RSSI, whose count is greater than the OFC_{Thr} value.

RACEBOT algorithm consists of two parts: finding a goal OBSS/PD threshold and adjusting the threshold by increasing the OBSS/PD value step by step with considering the effects of the change in transmission power. Figure 1 shows the working mechanism of the RACEBOT algorithm. First of all, M, γ , a, T_U , OFC_{Thr} , $RSSI^{OBSS}$, \overline{RSSI}^{BSS} , $OBSS/PD^G$, \overline{MCS} and \overline{OFC} parameters are initialized. T_U is a periodic time interval for the algorithm to update some of the run-time parameters. If the timer T is greater than T_U , T is reset and goal OBSS/PD ($OBSS/PD^G$) value of each node is initialized with -101 dBm. The $OBSS/PD^G$ parameter is the aimed OBSS/PD threshold that the RACEBOT algorithm tries to reach in run-time. For each RSSI, EWMA of OFC values are defined by \overline{OFC} parameter as in the Equation 2.

$$\overline{OFC}(T) = \alpha \times OFC(T) + (1 - \alpha) \times \overline{OFC}(T - 1)$$
⁽²⁾

To calculate the $OBSS/PD^G$ parameter, first the OFC values for each RSSI are compared to OFC_{Thr} parameter, which is a predefined positive real number from \mathbb{R}^+ . OFC_{Thr} value helps to prune the received RSSI values with considering the rareness by OFC values. \overline{RSSI}^{BSS} is the EWMA of the beacon RSSI of associated AP. RACEBOT also uses M value, which is a positive real number from \mathbb{R}^+ to be used as a margin. For a single node, the algorithm looks whether OFC value of corresponding RSSI is greater than OFC_{Thr} and $RSSI^{OBSS}$ is bigger than $OBSS/PD^G$ or not. If so, the M value is added to $RSSI^{OBSS}$ and subtracted from \overline{RSSI}^{BSS} then minimum of them is assigned to the $OBSS/PD^G$ parameter. It is repeated for all the nodes in the system. Then $RSSI^{OBSS}$ and \overline{OFC} are reset to collect new ones. RACEBOT algorithm follows the changes of MCS level.

As discussed earlier, each MCS level requires a minimum RSSI and maximum PER at the receiver side. Therefore, increasing the OBSS/PD threshold reduces the transmission power, and it may prevent using higher MCS levels. To overcome this issue, RACEBOT algorithm collects EWMA of MCS levels and compares the current average MCS level ($\overline{MCS}(T)$) with the average MCS level of the previous step time ($\overline{MCS}(T-1)$). In this step, there is also a constant γ , which is a real number between 0 and 1. The γ parameter is multiplied by the $\overline{MCS}(T-1)$ to compare how much change happened to \overline{MCS} . For example, if γ is 0.7, it is checked that whether the \overline{MCS} value decreases or not by more than 30%.

Algorithm 1 shows the adjusting mechanism of the RACEBOT algorithm for the OBSS/PD threshold. If \overline{MCS} value decreases for a given criterion, OBSS/PD threshold is reduced. In order to reduce OBSS/PD level, an average of the current OBSS/PD threshold or \overline{RSSI}^{BSS} are assigned as a new OBSS/PD threshold. If this value is above the $OBSS/PD_{min}$ level, then $OBSS/PD^G$ is reduced to the average of the new OBSS/PD threshold and $OBSS/PD^G$. If \overline{MCS} does not decrease for

Algorithm 1 RACEBOT algorithm.

1: Initialize $M, \gamma, \alpha, T_U, OFC_{Thr}, RSSI^{OBSS}, \overline{RSSI}^{BSS}, OBSS/PD^G, \overline{MCS}, \overline{OFC}$ 2: while RUN do if $T > T_U$ then 3: Set T = 0, $OBSS/PD_i^G = -101dBm$ where i = 1, 2, ..., n4: for Each $Node_i$ do 5. for Each $RSSI_{i,j}^{OBSS}$, j = 1, 2....m do 6: if $\overline{OFC}_{i,j}(T) > OFC_{Thr}$ and $RSSI_{i,j}^{OBSS} > OBSS/PD_i^G$ then 7: $OBSS/PD_i^G = \min(RSSI_{i,j}^{OBSS} + M, \overline{RSSI}_i^{BSS} - M)$ 8: end if 9: end for 10: end for 11: Reset $RSSI^{OBSS}, \overline{OFC}$ 12: 13: end if 14: Collect \overline{RSSI}^{BSS} and $RSSI^{OBSS}$ 15: if $\overline{MCS}(T-1) \times \gamma > \overline{MCS}(T)$ then 16: $OBSS/PD = max(\frac{(OBSS/PD + \overline{RSSI}^{BSS} - M)}{2}, OBSS/PD_{min})$ $OBSS/PD^{G} = \frac{(OBSS/PD + OBSS/PD^{G})}{2}$ 17: 18: else if $\overline{MCS}(T-1) \times \gamma \ll \frac{2}{MCS}(T)$ then $OBSS/PD = min(\frac{(OBSS/PD+OBSS/PD^G)}{2}, OBSS/PD_{max})$ 19: 20: end if 21: 22: end while

a given criterion, OBSS/PD threshold is increased and the average of the OBSS/PD threshold. $OBSS/PD^G$ value is assigned as OBSS/PD threshold, if it is less than $OBSS/PD_{max}$. In Algorithm 1, the $OBSS/PD_{min}$ and $OBSS/PD_{max}$ boundary values are used in the comparisons at lines 18 and 20 to prevent any inconsistencies in the signal power in case of the existence of a signal that is out of the OBSS/PD boundary.

The main contributions of the RACEBOT algorithm can be summarized as follows:

- RACEBOT algorithm is adaptive to selected data rates by rate selection algorithms, and it creates a chance for the upper MCS levels that rate algorithms can use. Therefore transmission can be performed via higher throughput.
- (ii) The algorithm decreases the number of exposed nodes by adjusting an effective OBSS/PD threshold.
- (iii) RACEBOT algorithm does not decrease the transmission power sharply. Therefore, reducing the number of exposed nodes keeps the number of hidden nodes at acceptable levels.
- (iv) The algorithm can work with other rate selection algorithms.
- (v) The Algorithm works at both sparse and high-density networks without having a problem.

IV. SYSTEM PARAMETERS AND PERFORMANCE METRICS

In the simulations, the parameters used in the RACEBOT algorithm are as given in Table II. As discussed earlier, OBSS/PD mechanism is proposed in the IEEE 802.11ax amendment. Since the RACEBOT algorithm is based on OBSS/PD mechanism, 802.11ax protocol has been used in the simulations. Among the three operational frequencies in 802.11ax, 2.4 GHz, 5 GHz, and 6 GHz, we choose the 5 GHz frequency band. As the modulation schema, OFDM is used with 20 MHz channel bandwidth. As a guard interval (GI), 0.8 µs short GI is used. As for spatial streams, all devices are set to have a single spatial stream (i.e., a 1x1 MIMO scheme).

The direction of the traffic is set to be the UL direction. A constant bit rate (CBR) traffic combined with a Poisson traffic model is used as the traffic generator. Since delay is not a concern of this study, 300 Mbps constant data rate and 1024 bytes payload size are used to saturate the traffic buffer. Since the RACEBOT algorithm is designed to be used with rate selection algorithms, in the scenario, a modified version of Minstrel-HT for 802.11ax HE-rates and Thompson Rate Algorithm that are inside ns3-dev are used as rate selection algorithms. In the next chapter, one of the TGax outdoor scenarios is used. Also, based on this scenario, additional random custom scenarios are generated and used. As OBSS/PD threshold boundaries, In 20 MHz bandwidth, default $OBSS/PD_{min}$ and $OBSS/PD_{max}$ values -82 dBm and -62 dBm are used.

The default preamble detection and RxSensitivity thresholds that NS-3 uses are adjusted to -82 dBm, and the energy detection threshold CCA/ED is adjusted to -62 dBm. The initial TxPower of both AP and STA's are set to 21 dBm, but at the run-time, each TxPower of nodes may change according to variations in the OBSS/PD thresholds due to our algorithm.

Parameter	Value	
Protocol Standard	802.11ax	
Frequency	5 GHz	
Modulation Schema	OFDM	
Bandwidth	20 MHz	
Guard Interval	Short (0.8 µs)	
Traffic Type	UDP CBR Poisson	
Traffic Direction	Uplink	
Rate	300 Mbps	
Payload Size	1024 Bytes	
MCS selection	(Minstrel, Thompson)	
Scenario	Original Box5 and Custom Box5	
Number of Antennas	1	
$OBSS/PD_{min}, OBSS/PD_{max}$	-82 dBm, -62 dBbm	
Preamble Detection Threshold	-82 dBm	
RxSensitivity	-82 dBm	
RTS/CTS	Disabled	
CCA/ED	-62 dBm	
TxPower AP/STA	21 dBm / 21 dBm	
Simulation Time	50 s	
Loss Model	Friis Loss Model	
OFC	10	
γ	0.7	

TABLE II System parameters.

As the loss model, we used Friis free space propagation model. This model works for the far-field region. Because of this, the scenarios are designed to operate according to this condition. Finally, all the simulations run for 50 seconds simulation time.

In the simulations, our performance metric is aggregated throughput, which is defined as the overall throughput of the system. Throughput of a single node in Mbits is calculated as

$$Throughput_i = \frac{rBytes_i \times 8}{1000 \times 1000 \times T_{Step}} \quad where \quad i = 0, 1, 2...,$$
(3)

where $rBytes_i$ is the total received data in bytes for each T_{Step} and T_{Step} is the step time. Then, the aggregated throughput of all nodes in the system for the given time step is evaluated as

$$Throughput_s^{Agg} = \sum_{i=1}^n Throughput_i,$$
(4)

where n is the number of nodes in the system. Finally, the aggregated total data that is transferred in the total simulation time is calculated as

$$TM_{Tot} = T_{Step} \times \sum_{s=0}^{N_{Step}} Throughput_s^{Agg}$$
(5)

where N_{Step} is defined as the total number of steps in the whole simulation.

V. SIMULATION TOPOLOGY

In this section, the topology that is used in the simulations will be discussed. In [5], there are multiple scenarios developed by the collaboration of different product vendors inside TGax to evaluate and calibrate the performance of the systems.

In Figure 4, you can see one of the sophisticated outdoor scenarios in the TGax test suite named "Box5" is shown [5]. This topology consists of three BSSs (i.e., 3 APs) and 30 STA. While BSS-A has 20 associated STAs, BSS-B and BSS-C have 5 STAs each. In this study, the simulations are performed based on this topology.

For the "TGax Box5" scenario, a single topology inside the TGax documentation is used [5]. Since this topology is used for performance comparisons and calibration, the corresponding result of the simulations may give some inferences.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

We considered different scenarios to evaluate the performance of the RACEBOT algorithm. Real-life applications in wireless networks take advantage of rate selection algorithms to get maximum performance from the system. In the scenarios of the thesis study, a modified version of the Minstrel-HT algorithm for HE-rates and Thompson rate selection algorithm with decay = 0.1 are used as reference algorithms [6] [7]. Since each rate algorithm has a different performance, the simulations are evaluated for each rate selection algorithm separately.



Fig. 4. TGax Box5 outdoor topology.

As reference algorithms, we used the DSC algorithm, RTOT algorithm, and a scenario (named NO-OBSSPD) that does not use any carrier sensing threshold mechanism to compare the RACEBOT algorithm with the other carrier sensing threshold algorithms in the scenarios [8] [9].

A. Box5 Scenario

TGax defined 'Box5 Scenario' as an outdoor scenario, as mentioned at the beginning of Section V. 'Box5 Scenario' consists of three BSSs as BSS-A contains 1 AP and 15 STAs, each of BSS-B and BSS-C has 1 AP and 5 STAs (Figure 4).

Figure 5 illustrates the simulation results of RACEBOT, RTOT, DSC, and NO-OBSSPD with Minstrel rate selection algorithm. Here, the DSC algorithm gives a reduced total throughput compared to the other algorithms. As for our RACEBOT algorithm, it starts with slightly worse performance than RTOT and NO-OBSSPD algorithms, but after 42 s, the slope of the curve increases, and its results converge to that of RTOT and NO-OBSSPD.



Fig. 5. Scenario Box5 - Minstrel.

When we switch to the Thompson rate selection algorithm in the same scenario, the results change considerably, as seen in Figure 6. Again, the DSC algorithm has shown worse performance than the other algorithms, and again, the RTOT algorithm and NO-OBSSPD case have similar performance. However, our proposed algorithm, RACEBOT, has shown a slightly better result than the other three algorithms, and the discrepancy keeps increasing as time passes. It indicates that the DSC algorithm has some limitations in both rate selection algorithms. It even shows worse performance than the case that does not use any carrier sensing threshold algorithm.



Fig. 6. Scenario Box5 - Thompson.

VII. CONTRIBUTIONS OF RACEBOT ALGORITHM

In this section, the contributions of the RACEBOT algorithm and the potential future directions that can be improved based on these results are discussed.

RACEBOT algorithm uses the advantage of controlling transmission power and having information of conflicts to increase the overall performance. Since the transmission power is directly related to the data rate, the RACEBOT algorithm does not decrease the transmission power sharply. It creates a chance for the rate selection algorithm to use higher rates.

RACEBOT algorithm uses OFC and OFC_{Thr} parameters for pruning exceptional RSSI values. These parameters are used to neglect RSSIs, which are rare and can degrade the performance. In that way, the RACEBOT algorithm can use higher transmission powers and higher data rates.

Sharp changes in transmission power may degrade the performance of rate selection algorithms. Therefore, the RACEBOT algorithm does not increase the OBSS/PD level sharply; it increases the OBSS/PD level step by step by considering the changes of MCS levels. RACEBOT algorithm keeps track of MCS deterioration while changing the OBSS/PD level.

Our algorithm decreases the number of exposed nodes by lowering the OBSS/PD threshold. Since the RACEBOT algorithm varies the OBSS/PD level step by step, it can keep the hidden nodes lower than the algorithms changing the OBSS/PD level sharply.

VIII. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In a coordinated infrastructure, as a future direction, this mechanism can be modified and coordinated by a central location. The power, location, and collision information of each node can be used to determine an optimal OBSS/PD threshold. We plan to establish a system that can be coordinated centrally to operate OBSS/PD mechanism

Besides this, we plan to develop a new rate selection algorithm that can use this OBSS/PD mechanism internally. In that way, nodes will use the OBSS/PD mechanism with another rate selection algorithm or inside the new rate selection algorithm by choice.

We plan to optimize the parameters of the RACEBOT algorithm for different situations, and we will try to design an autoadjustment algorithm for self-adaptation. Moreover, we will design a new algorithm with adaptive step size for varying the OBSS/PD level while moving towards the goal-OBSS/PD.

REFERENCES

^[1] Task Group-ax, "High efficiency (he) wireless lan task group." https://www.ieee802.org/11/Reports/tgax_update.htm, 2014.

^[2] IEEE 802.11ac Task Group, "leee standard for information technology- telecommunications and information exchange between systemslocal and metropolitan area networks- specific requirements-part 11: Wireless lan medium access control (mac) and physical layer (phy) specifications-amendment 4: Enhancements for very high throughput for operation in bands below 6 ghz.," *IEEE Std 802.11ac-2013 (Amendment to IEEE Std 802.11-2012, as amended by IEEE Std 802.11ae-2012, IEEE Std 802.11aa-2012, and IEEE Std 802.11ad-2012)*, pp. 1–425, 2013.

^[3] IEEE 802.11ax Task Group, "leee standard for information technology-telecommunications and information exchange between systems local and metropolitan area networks-specific requirements part 11: Wireless lan medium access control (mac) and physical layer (phy) specifications amendment 1: Enhancements for high-efficiency wlan," *IEEE Std 802.11ax-2021 (Amendment to IEEE Std 802.11-2020)*, pp. 1–767, 2021.

^[4] J. A. Fuemmeler, N. H. Vaidya, and V. V. Veeravalli, "Selecting transmit powers and carrier sense thresholds in csma protocols for wireless ad hoc networks," in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Wireless Internet (WICON)*, (New York, NY, USA), p. 15–es, Association for Computing Machinery, 2006.

- [5] S. Merlin, "Tgax simulation scenarios." https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0980-16-00ax-simulation-scenarios.docx, 2015. IEEE 802.11ax , IEEE 802.11-14/0980r1.
- [6] F. Fietkau, "Minstrel-ht rate algorithm." Accessed in July 2021.
 [7] H. Gupta, A. Eryilmaz, and R. Srikant, "Low-complexity, low-regret link rate selection in rapidly-varying wireless channels," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications (INFOCOM)*, pp. 540–548, 2018.
- [8] G. Smith, "Dynamic sensitivity control-v2." https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/13/11-13-1012-04-0wng-dynamic-sensitivity-control.pptx, 2013. IEEE 802.11-13/1012r4.
- [9] T. Ropitault, "Evaluation of rtot algorithm: A first implementation of obss pd-based sr method for ieee 802.11ax," in Proceedings of the IEEE Annual Consumer Communications Networking Conference (CCNC), pp. 1-7, 2018.