

ON SQUARES OF DEHN TWISTS ABOUT NON-SEPARATING CURVES OF A NON-ORIENTABLE CLOSED SURFACE

NAO IMOTO AND RYOMA KOBAYASHI (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

ABSTRACT. The level 2 mapping class group of an orientable closed surface can be generated by squares of Dehn twists about non-separating curves (see [5]). On the other hand, the level 2 mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_2(N)$ of a non-orientable closed surface N can not be generated by only Dehn twists, and so it can not be generated by squares of Dehn twists about non-separating curves. In this paper, we prove that the Dehn twist subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_2(N)$ can not be generated by squares of Dehn twists about non-separating curves either. As an application, we give a finite generating set for the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_2(N)$ generated by Dehn twists about separating curves and squares of Dehn twists about non-separating curves. Moreover, we examine about actions on non-separating simple closed curves of N by $\mathcal{M}_2(N)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We first explain about the case of orientable surfaces. For $g \geq 0$, let Σ_g denote an orientable closed surface of genus g , that is, Σ_g is a connected sum of g tori. The *mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ of Σ_g is the group which consists of isotopy classes of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of Σ_g . The *level 2 mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}_2(\Sigma_g)$ of Σ_g is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ which acts on $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ trivially. Let $\mathcal{T}^2(\Sigma_g)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ generated by squares of *Dehn twists* about non-separating curves. It is known that $\mathcal{T}^2(\Sigma_g) = \mathcal{M}_2(\Sigma_g)$ (see [5]). Let $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_g)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ which acts on $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ trivially, called the *Torelli group* of Σ_g . It is clear that $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_g) \subset \mathcal{M}_2(\Sigma_g)$, and hence we have that $\mathcal{T}^2(\Sigma_g)\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_g) = \mathcal{M}_2(\Sigma_g)$.

In this paper, we would like to consider the similar problem for the case of non-orientable surfaces, as follows. For $g \geq 1$, let N_g denote a non-orientable closed surface of genus g , that is, N_g is a connected sum of Σ_h and $g - 2h$ real projective planes for $0 \leq h \leq \frac{g-1}{2}$. In this paper, we describe N_g as a surface obtained by attaching $g - 2h$ Möbius bands to a surface obtained by removing disjoint $g - 2h$ disks from Σ_h , as shown in Figure 1. We call these attached Möbius bands *crosscaps*. The *mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ of N_g is the group which consists of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms of N_g . The *level 2 mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ of N_g is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ which acts on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ trivially. Let $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ generated by squares of Dehn twists about non-separating

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M07, Secondary 20F05.

Key words and phrases. level 2 mapping class group.

The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K14542 and JP22K13920. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

curves. Note that $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g) \subset \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ can be normally generated by one *crosscap slide* (see [13]), and a crosscap slide can not be described as any product of Dehn twists (see [10]). Hence $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ can not be generated by only Dehn twists, and so $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g) \neq \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. We consider the subgroup $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g)$ of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ generated by all Dehn twists, called the *twist subgroup* of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. Note that a generating set for $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g)$ which does not consist of squares of Dehn twists about non-separating curves is known (see [9]). In this paper, we prove that $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g) \neq \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ for $g \geq 4$ either.




FIGURE 1. A model of a non-orientable closed surface N_g .

More precisely, we prove the following, where $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ which acts on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$ trivially, called the *Torelli group* of N_g .

Theorem 1.1. *For $g \geq 3$, the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ by $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}}$ if g is odd and to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}+1}$ if g is even.*

It is known that $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g)$ is an index 2 subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ (see [10, 9]). Since $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g) \supset \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$, we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *For $g \geq 3$, the quotient of $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g)$ by $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}-1}$ if g is odd and to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}}$ if g is even.*

By this corollary, we can conclude that $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g) \neq \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ for $g \geq 4$. We remark that $\mathcal{T}_2(N_3) = \mathcal{T}^2(N_3)$ since $\mathcal{I}(N_3)$ is trivial.

Here is an outline of the paper. In Section 2, we present the prior results necessary to prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we examine about actions on non-separating simple closed curves of N_g by $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1, using results of Sections 2 and 3. In Section 5, we give a finite generating set for $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$, as an application of Theorem 1.1. In Appendix A, we consider the case where g is odd of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

Through this paper, the product gf of mapping classes f and g means that we apply f first and then g , and we do not distinguish a simple closed curve from its isotopy class. In addition, for simple closed curves α and β of N_g , we denote $\alpha = \beta$ if α and β are isotopic.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. On Dehn twist and crosscap slide.

First, we define a *Dehn twist* and a *crosscap slide* which are elements of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. For a simple closed curve α of N_g , its regular neighborhood is either an annulus or a Möbius band. We call α a *two sided* or a *one sided* simple closed curve respectively. For a two sided simple closed curve α , the *Dehn twist* t_α about α is the isotopy class of the map acting as shown in Figure 2 (a). The direction of the twist is indicated by an arrow written beside α as shown in Figure 2 (a). For a one sided simple

closed curve μ of N_g and an oriented two sided simple closed curve α of N_g such that $N_g \setminus \alpha$ is non-orientable when $g \geq 3$ and that μ and α intersect transversely at only one point, the *crosscap slide* $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ about μ and α is the isotopy class of the map described by pushing the crosscap which is a regular neighborhood of μ once along α , as shown in Figure 2 (b).




FIGURE 2. Descriptions of a Dehn twist and a crosscap slide.

Next, we explain about some relations on Dehn twists and crosscap slides. For details, for instance see [12]. For a simple closed curve α which bounds a disk or a crosscap, we have that

$$t_\alpha = 1.$$

For any Dehn twist t_α , crosscap slide $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$, we have that

$$ft_\alpha f^{-1} = t_{f(\alpha)}, \quad fY_{\mu,\alpha}f^{-1} = Y_{f(\mu),f(\alpha)},$$

where, the directions of the twist of $t_{f(\alpha)}$ and the pushing of $Y_{f(\mu),f(\alpha)}$ are induced from f and the directions of the twist of t_α and the pushing of the $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$. Let μ_1 , μ_2 and α be simple closed curves as shown in Figure 3 (a). Then we have that

$$Y_{\mu_2,\alpha}^{-1}Y_{\mu_1,\alpha} = Y_{\mu_2,\alpha}Y_{\mu_1,\alpha}^{-1} = t_\alpha^2.$$

For two crosscap slides $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ and $Y_{\mu,\beta}$ such that $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}$ is simple, where $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ are oriented loops of N_{g-1} obtained by collapsing a regular neighborhood of μ and $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}$ is a composition loop of $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ based at the collapsing point, let $\delta_1(\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ and $\delta_2(\mu,\alpha,\beta)$ be simple closed curves determined by μ , α and β as shown in Figure 3 (b). Then we have that

$$Y_{\mu,\beta}Y_{\mu,\alpha} = t_{\delta_1(\mu,\alpha,\beta)}t_{\delta_2(\mu,\alpha,\beta)}.$$

In addition, for any crosscap slide $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$, we have that

$$Y_{\mu,\alpha}^2 = t_{\delta(\mu,\alpha)}, \quad Y_{\mu,\alpha} = Y_{\mu,\alpha^{-1}}^{-1},$$

where $\delta(\mu,\alpha)$ is a simple closed curve determined by μ and α as shown in Figure 2 (b).

2.2. On $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ and $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$.

For $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq g$, let $\alpha_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}$ be a simple closed curve of N_g as shown in Figure 4. For $g \geq 4$, Szepietowski [13] gave a finite generating set for $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$, and then Hirose-Sato [4] gave a minimal generating set for $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ as follows.

Theorem 2.1 ([4]). *For $g \geq 4$, $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ is minimally generated by*

- $Y_{\alpha_i,\alpha_{i,j}}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$,
- $Y_{\alpha_j,\alpha_{i,j}}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g-1$,
- $t_{\alpha_{1,j,k,l}}^2$ for $1 < j < k < l \leq g$.






FIGURE 3. Relations on crosscap slides.

FIGURE 4. Simple closed curves $\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ and $\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq g$.

For $g \leq 3$, $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is trivial. For $g \geq 4$, a normal generating set for $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is known as follows.

Theorem 2.2 ([3, 8]). *Let α , β_1 , β_2 and γ be simple closed curves as shown in Figure 5. For $g \geq 5$, $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is normally generated by t_α and $t_{\beta_1} t_{\beta_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. $\mathcal{I}(N_4)$ is normally generated by t_α , $t_{\beta_1} t_{\beta_2}^{-1}$ and t_γ in $\mathcal{M}(N_4)$.*

FIGURE 5. Simple closed curves α , β_1 , β_2 and γ .

For $n \geq 1$, let $\Gamma_2(n)$ denote the kernel of the natural homomorphism $GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. A finite presentation for $\Gamma_2(n)$ was given by Fullarton [1] and the second author [7] independently, and then Hirose and the second author [3] gave another presentation as follows. Put $n = g - 1$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq g - 1$ with $i \neq j$, let $Y_{i,j}$ be the matrix whose (i, j) entry is 2, (i, i) entry is -1 , the other diagonal entries are 1 and the other entries are 0, and $Y_{i,g}$ the matrix whose (i, i) entry is -1 , the other diagonal entries are 1 and the other entries are 0. $\Gamma_2(g - 1)$ has the following presentation.

Proposition 2.3 ([3]). *For $g \geq 2$, $\Gamma_2(g - 1)$ admits a presentation with generators $Y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq g$ with $i \neq j$. The defining relators are*

- (1) $Y_{i,j}^2$,
- (2) $(Y_{i,j}Y_{k,j})^2, (Y_{i,j}Y_{k,l})^2$,
- (3) $(Y_{i,j}Y_{i,k}Y_{j,k})^2, (Y_{i,j}Y_{i,k}Y_{i,l})^2$,
- (4) $(Y_{j,i}Y_{i,j}Y_{k,j}Y_{j,k}Y_{i,k}Y_{k,i})^2$,

where indices i, j, k and l are distinct.

We regard $\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ as an element of $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$. Note that $\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} = \alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \dots + \alpha_{i_k}$. Then, as a \mathbb{Z} -module, we have presentations

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}) / \langle \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g} \rangle &= \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_g \mid \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g} = 0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{g-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that any automorphism of $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$ preserves the homology class of $\alpha_{1,2,\dots,g}$ (see [11, 3]). Hence $f \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ induces the automorphism f_* of $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}) / \langle \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g} \rangle$. More precisely, for $f \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$, when $f(\alpha_j) = \sum_{i=1}^g c_{ij} \alpha_i$, we define $f_*(\alpha_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (c_{ij} - c_{gj}) \alpha_i$. We can regard an automorphism of $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}) / \langle \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g} \rangle$ as a matrix in $GL(g-1, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have that $(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}})_* = Y_{i,j}$, $(Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i,j}})_* = Y_{j,i}$ and $(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,g}})_* = Y_{i,g}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g-1$. It is known that the quotient $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g) / \mathcal{I}(N_g)$ of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ by $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_2(g-1)$ for $g \geq 3$ (see [11, 3]).

3. ACTION ON NON-SEPARATING SIMPLE CLOSED CURVES OF N_g BY $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$

For a simple closed curve α of N_g , we denote by $[\alpha]$ its $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficient first homology class. In this section, we prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. *For any non-separating simple closed curves α and β of N_g , $[\alpha] = [\beta] \in H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ such that $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$.*

Proof. We note that $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g$. Let $O_2(g)$ denote the orthogonal group on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of rank g . The natural action on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ by $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ induces the epimorphism $\mathcal{M}(N_g) \rightarrow O_2(g)$ (see [2]). For $f \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$, we denote by $f_* \in O_2(g)$ the matrix corresponding to f . It is known that $O_2(g)$ is generated by $(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}})_*$. Since α_i and $\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ intersect transversally at only one point if $i \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, and do not intersect if $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, we have that

$$(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}})_*([\alpha_i]) = \begin{cases} [\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}] + [\alpha_i] & (i \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}), \\ [\alpha_i] & (i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}). \end{cases}$$

We note that the kernel of $\mathcal{M}(N_g) \rightarrow O_2(g)$ is $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$.

Let α and β be non-separating simple closed curves of N_g . If there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ such that $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$, since $\varphi_* = 1$, we have that $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ clearly. Hence we show the converse. Suppose that $[\alpha] = [\beta]$. There is $h \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ such that $h(\beta)$ is isotopic to either α_1 , $\alpha_{1,2}$ or $\alpha_{1,2,\dots,g}$. We construct $f \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ satisfying $f(h(\alpha)) = h(\beta)$.

First, suppose that $h(\beta) = \alpha_1$. Note that $[h(\alpha)] = [h(\beta)] = [\alpha_1]$. For $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ satisfying $f_1(h(\alpha)) = h(\beta)$, we see that $(f_1)_*([\alpha_1]) = (f_1)_*([h(\alpha)]) = [f_1(h(\alpha))] = [h(\beta)] = [\alpha_1]$. On the other hand, for $2 \leq i \leq g$, since the mod 2 intersection number of $(f_1)_*([\alpha_1])$ and $(f_1)_*([\alpha_i])$ is 0, we can describe

$$(f_1)_*([\alpha_i]) = \sum_{j=2}^g c_{ji} [\alpha_j], \text{ where } c_{ji} = 0 \text{ or } 1. \text{ Note that } (f_1)_* \text{ can be described}$$

as a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & c_{g2} & \cdots & c_{gg} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then there is $f_2 \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ such that

- $(f_2)_\star = (f_1)_\star^{-1}$,
- f_2 is a product of $t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}$'s for some even indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k with $i_1 \geq 2$.

Let $f = f_2 f_1$. We note that $f_\star = (f_2)_\star (f_1)_\star = 1$, that is, f is in $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. Since $t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$ if $i_1 \geq 2$, we have that

$$f(h(\alpha)) = f_2(h(\beta)) = f_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 = h(\beta).$$

Next, suppose that $h(\beta) = \alpha_{1,2}$. Note that $[h(\alpha)] = [h(\beta)] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2]$. For $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ satisfying $f_1(h(\alpha)) = h(\beta)$, we see that $(f_1)_\star([\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2]) = (f_1)_\star([h(\alpha)]) = [f_1(h(\alpha))] = [h(\beta)] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2]$. Put $(f_1)_\star([\alpha_i]) = \sum_{j=1}^g c_{ji} [\alpha_j]$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$, where $c_{ji} = 0$ or 1 . By the definition of $O_2(g)$, we have that there are an odd number of c_{j1} 's (resp. c_{j2} 's) for which $c_{j1} = 1$ (resp. $c_{j2} = 1$), and that there are an even number of j 's for which $c_{j1} = c_{j2} = 1$. In addition, we see that $(f_1)_\star([\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2]) = \sum_{j=1}^g (c_{j1} + c_{j2}) [\alpha_j] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2]$, and hence $c_{11} \neq c_{12}$, $c_{21} \neq c_{22}$ and $c_{j1} = c_{j2}$ for $j \geq 3$. Therefore, we have that $\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We can rewrite $(f_1)_\star([\alpha_1]) = [\alpha_i] + \sum_{s=1}^l [\alpha_{j_s}]$ for some even indices $3 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_l \leq g$, where $i = 1$ or 2 . Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_\star (f_1)_\star([\alpha_1]) &= (t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_\star([\alpha_i]) + \sum_{s=1}^l (t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_\star([\alpha_{j_s}]) \\ &= [\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}] + [\alpha_i] + \sum_{s=1}^l ([\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}] + [\alpha_{j_s}]) \\ &= (l+1)[\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}] + \left([\alpha_i] + \sum_{s=1}^l [\alpha_{j_s}] \right) \\ &= (l+2)[\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}] - [\alpha_j] \\ &= [\alpha_j], \end{aligned}$$

where $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. Similarly $(t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_*(f_1)_*([\alpha_2]) = [\alpha_i]$. Note that $(t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_*(f_1)_*$ can be described as a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c'_{33} & \cdots & c'_{3g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & c'_{g3} & \cdots & c'_{gg} \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c'_{33} & \cdots & c'_{3g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & c'_{g3} & \cdots & c'_{gg} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then there is $f_2 \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ such that

- $(f_2)_* = \{(t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_*(f_1)_*\}^{-1}$,
- f_2 is a product of $t_{\alpha_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}}$'s (and $t_{\alpha_{1,2}}$) for some even indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k with $i_1 \geq 3$.

Let $f = f_2 t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}} f_1$. We note that $f_* = (f_2)_* \{(t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}})_*(f_1)_*\} = 1$, that is, f is in $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. Since $t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}}(\alpha_{1,2}) = \alpha_{1,2}$, $t_{\alpha_{1,2}}(\alpha_{1,2}) = \alpha_{1,2}$ and $t_{\alpha_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}}(\alpha_{1,2}) = \alpha_{1,2}$ if $i_1 \geq 3$, we have that

$$f(h(\alpha)) = f_2 t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}}(h(\beta)) = f_2 t_{\alpha_{1,2,j_1,\dots,j_l}}(\alpha_{1,2}) = f_2(\alpha_{1,2}) = \alpha_{1,2} = h(\beta).$$

Finally, suppose that $h(\beta) = \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g}$. Note that $[h(\alpha)] = [h(\beta)] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2] + \cdots + [\alpha_g]$. For $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ satisfying $f_1(h(\alpha)) = h(\beta)$, there is $f_2 \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$ such that

- $(f_2)_* = (f_1)_*^{-1}$,
- f_2 is a product of $t_{\alpha_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}}$'s for some even indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k .

Let $f = f_2 f_1$. We note that $f_* = (f_2)_*(f_1)_* = 1$, that is, f is in $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. Since $t_{\alpha_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}}(\alpha_{1,2,\dots,g}) = \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g}$, we have that

$$f(h(\alpha)) = f_2(h(\beta)) = f_2(\alpha_{1,2,\dots,g}) = \alpha_{1,2,\dots,g} = h(\beta).$$

Therefore $\varphi = h^{-1} f h$ is in $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ and satisfies $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$ if $[\alpha] = [\beta]$. Thus the proof is completed. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. First we prepare some things.

For $1 \leq i \leq g-1$, let $Y_{g,i} = (Y_{\alpha_g, \alpha_{i,g}})_* \in \Gamma_2(g-1)$, and we can check that

$$Y_{g,i} = (Y_{1,i} Y_{1,g})(Y_{2,i} Y_{2,g}) \cdots (Y_{i-1,i} Y_{i-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{i+1,i} Y_{i+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,i} Y_{g-1,g}) Y_{i,g}.$$

Hence, from Proposition 2.3 we have the following.

Corollary 4.1. *For $g \geq 3$, $\Gamma_2(g-1)$ admits a presentation with generators $Y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ with $i \neq j$. The defining relators are (1)-(4) of Proposition 2.3 and*

$$(5) \quad (Y_{1,i} Y_{1,g})(Y_{2,i} Y_{2,g}) \cdots (Y_{i-1,i} Y_{i-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{i+1,i} Y_{i+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,i} Y_{g-1,g}) Y_{i,g} Y_{g,i}^{-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq g-1.$$

Lemma 4.2. *For $g \geq 3$, in $\Gamma_2(g-1)$, the relation $Y_{i,j} Y_{g,j} = Y_{g,j} Y_{i,j}$ holds for $1 \leq i \leq g-1$.*

Proof. By relators of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$, we have relations

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i,j}(Y_{k,j} Y_{k,g}) &= (Y_{k,j} Y_{k,g}) Y_{i,j}, \\ Y_{i,j}(Y_{i,j} Y_{i,g}) &= (Y_{i,j} Y_{i,g})(Y_{i,g} Y_{i,j} Y_{i,g}), \\ (Y_{i,g} Y_{i,j} Y_{i,g})(Y_{k,j} Y_{k,g}) &= (Y_{k,j} Y_{k,g})(Y_{i,g} Y_{i,j} Y_{i,g}) \end{aligned}$$

for $k \neq i$. Hence we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{i,j}Y_{g,j} &= Y_{i,j}(Y_{1,j}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{j-1,j}Y_{j-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{j+1,j}Y_{j+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,j}Y_{g-1,g})Y_{j,g} \\
&= (Y_{1,j}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{j-1,j}Y_{j-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{j+1,j}Y_{j+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,j}Y_{g-1,g})(Y_{i,g}Y_{i,j}\overline{Y_{i,g}})Y_{j,g} \\
&= (Y_{1,j}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{j-1,j}Y_{j-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{j+1,j}Y_{j+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,j}Y_{g-1,g})\overline{Y_{i,g}Y_{i,j}Y_{j,g}Y_{i,g}} \\
&= (Y_{1,j}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{j-1,j}Y_{j-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{j+1,j}Y_{j+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,j}Y_{g-1,g})Y_{j,g}Y_{i,j}\overline{Y_{i,g}Y_{i,g}} \\
&= (Y_{1,j}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{j-1,j}Y_{j-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{j+1,j}Y_{j+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{g-1,j}Y_{g-1,g})Y_{j,g}Y_{i,j} \\
&= Y_{g,j}Y_{i,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claim. \square

Let N be the normal subgroup of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$ normally generated by $Y_{j,i}^{-1}Y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$.

Lemma 4.3. *For $g \geq 3$, the quotient $\Gamma_2(g-1)/N$ of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$ by N admits a presentation with generators $Y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$. The defining relators are*

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{(1)} & Y_{i,j}^2, \\
\overline{(2)} & (Y_{i,j}Y_{k,j})^2, (Y_{i,j}Y_{i,l})^2, (Y_{i,j}Y_{j,l})^2, (Y_{i,j}Y_{k,l})^2, \\
\overline{(5)} & (Y_{1,i}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{i-1,i}Y_{i-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{i,i+1}Y_{i+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{i,g-1}Y_{g-1,g}) \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq \\
& g-1, \text{ and } Y_{1,g}Y_{2,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g} \text{ if } g \text{ is odd.}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since we have that $Y_{j,i} = Y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$ in $\Gamma_2(g-1)/N$, by the Tietze transformation, $\Gamma_2(g-1)/N$ is generated by $\overline{Y_{i,j}}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$.

By the relator (1) of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$, we have the relator $\overline{(1)}$. By the relator $(Y_{i,j}Y_{k,j})^2$ of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$, we have relators

- $(Y_{i,j}Y_{k,j})^2$ if $1 \leq i, k < j \leq g$,
- $(Y_{j,i}Y_{j,k})^2$ if $1 \leq j < i, k \leq g-1$,
- $(Y_{i,j}Y_{j,k})^2$ if $1 \leq i < j < k \leq g-1$.

In addition, by Lemma 4.2, we have relators

- $(Y_{j,i}Y_{j,g})^2$ if $i > j$,
- $(Y_{i,j}Y_{j,g})^2$ if $i < j$.

Moreover, by the relator $(Y_{i,j}Y_{k,l})^2$ of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$, we have the relator $(Y_{i,j}Y_{k,l})^2$. Hence we have the relator $\overline{(2)}$. By the relators $\overline{(1)}$ and $\overline{(2)}$, the relators (3) and (4) are trivial relators. By the relator (5) of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$, we have the relator

$$(Y_{1,i}Y_{1,g}) \cdots (Y_{i-1,i}Y_{i-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{i,i+1}Y_{i+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{i,g-1}Y_{g-1,g}),$$

and hence by the relators $\overline{(1)}$ and $\overline{(2)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{1,i} &= Y_{1,g}(Y_{2,i}Y_{2,g}) \cdots (Y_{i-1,i}Y_{i-1,g}) \cdot (Y_{i,i+1}Y_{i+1,g}) \cdots (Y_{i,g-1}Y_{g-1,g}) \\
&= (Y_{1,g} \cdots Y_{i-1,g}Y_{i+1,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g})(Y_{2,i} \cdots Y_{i-1,i}Y_{i,i+1} \cdots Y_{i,g-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq g-1$. By the relators $\overline{(1)}$ and $\overline{(2)}$, the relator (5) of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$ for $i=1$ can be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Y_{1,2}Y_{2,g}) \cdots (Y_{1,g-1}Y_{g-1,g}) &= (Y_{1,2} \cdots Y_{1,g-1})(Y_{2,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g}) \\
 &= (Y_{1,g} \cdot Y_{3,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g})(Y_{2,3} \cdots Y_{2,g-1}) \\
 &\quad (Y_{1,g}Y_{2,g} \cdot Y_{4,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g})(Y_{2,3} \cdot Y_{3,4} \cdots Y_{3,g-1}) \\
 &\quad (Y_{1,g}Y_{2,g}Y_{3,g} \cdot Y_{5,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g})(Y_{2,4}Y_{3,4} \cdot Y_{4,5} \cdots Y_{4,g-1}) \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\quad (Y_{1,g} \cdots Y_{g-3,g} \cdot Y_{g-1,g})(Y_{2,g-2} \cdots Y_{g-3,g-2} \cdot Y_{g-2,g-1}) \\
 &\quad (Y_{1,g} \cdots Y_{g-2,g})(Y_{2,g-1} \cdots Y_{g-2,g-1}) \\
 &\quad (Y_{2,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g}) \\
 &= (Y_{1,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g})^{g-2} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq g-1} Y_{i,j}^2 \\
 &= \begin{cases} Y_{1,g} \cdots Y_{g-1,g} & \text{if } g \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } g \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the relator $\overline{(5)}$.

Thus we obtain the claim. \square

By the relators $\overline{(1)}$ and $\overline{(2)}$, it follows that $\Gamma_2(g-1)/N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module. By the relator $\overline{(5)}$, generators $Y_{1,i}$ are not needed, for $2 \leq i \leq g$ if g is odd and for $2 \leq i \leq g-1$ if g is even. Therefore we have the following.

Corollary 4.4. *For $g \geq 3$, the quotient $\Gamma_2(g-1)/N$ of $\Gamma_2(g-1)$ by N is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}}$ if g is odd and to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}+1}$ if g is even.*

Lemma 4.5. *In $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$, $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ is normally generated by $t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^2$ for even indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq g$.*

Proof. For any non-separating simple closed curve α of N_g , there are indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq g$ such that $[\alpha] = [\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}] \in H_2(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and then there is $f \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ such that $f(\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}) = \alpha$, by Theorem 3.1. Hence for any $t_\alpha^2 \in \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$, there is $f \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ such that $t_\alpha^2 = t_{f(\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k})}^2 = f t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^2 f^{-1}$. Thus we obtain the claim. \square


Lemma 4.6. *Let d_1, d_2 and d_3 be simple closed curves on a surface S as shown in Figure 6. Then $t_{d_1}t_{d_2}$ and t_{d_3} are in $\mathcal{T}^2(S)$.*

Proof. Let c_i be a simple closed curve of S as shown in Figure 6, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. It is known that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet \quad t_{d_1}t_{d_2} &= (t_{c_1}t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_k})^{k+1}, \\
 \bullet \quad t_{d_3} &= (t_{c_1}t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_k})^{2(k+1)},
 \end{aligned}$$

called the k -chain relation. When $k=1$, it is clear that $t_{d_1}t_{d_2}$ is in $\mathcal{T}^2(S)$. When $k \geq 2$, by the commutativity relation $t_{c_i}t_{c_j} = t_{c_j}t_{c_i}$ with $|i-j| \geq 2$ and the braid relation $t_{c_i}t_{c_{i+1}}t_{c_i} = t_{c_{i+1}}t_{c_i}t_{c_{i+1}}$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (t_{c_1}t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_k})^{k+1} &= (t_{c_1}t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{k-1}})^k t_{c_k} t_{c_{k-1}} \cdots t_{c_2} t_{c_1}^2 t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{k-1}} t_{c_k} \\
 &= (t_{c_1}t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{k-1}})^k \\
 &\quad t_{c_k}^2 (t_{c_k}^{-1} t_{c_{k-1}}^2 t_{c_k}) \cdots (t_{c_k}^{-1} t_{c_{k-1}}^{-1} \cdots t_{c_2}^{-1} t_{c_1}^2 t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_{k-1}} t_{c_k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 6. Simple closed curves $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k, d_1, d_2$ and d_3 .

By induction on k , we have that $(t_{c_1} t_{c_2} \cdots t_{c_k})^{k+1}$ is in $\mathcal{T}^2(S)$, and so are $t_{d_1} t_{d_2}$ and t_{d_3} . Thus we obtain the claim. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Corollary 4.4, it suffices to show that the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ by $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_2(g-1)/N$. Let $\phi : \mathcal{M}_2(N_g) \rightarrow \Gamma_2(g-1)$ be the homomorphism defined in Subsection 2.2, that is, $\phi(f) = f_*$. Since $\ker \phi$ is $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$, we show that $\phi(\mathcal{T}^2(N_g))$ is N .

For $1 \leq i < j \leq g$, we have that $(Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1})_*(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}})_* = Y_{j,i}^{-1} Y_{i,j} \in N$. In addition, since the equality $t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2 = Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}$ holds, we have that $\phi(t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2) = Y_{j,i}^{-1} Y_{i,j}$. Therefore $\phi(\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)) \supset N$.


In order to prove $\phi(\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)) \subset N$, it suffices to show that $\phi(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^2)$ is in N , by Lemma 4.5. Let $\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ be a simple closed curve as shown in Figure 4. Since $t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^{-1} t_{\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}$ is in $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ and hence its image by ϕ is 1, we have that

$$\phi(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^2) = \phi(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^2 \cdot t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}^{-1} t_{\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}) = \phi(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}} t_{\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}).$$

By the proof of Lemma 4.6, $t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}} t_{\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}}$ is a product of $T_{s,t}$ for $1 \leq s < t \leq k$, where

$$T_{s,t} = (t_{\alpha_{i_s+1, i_s+2}} t_{\alpha_{i_s+2, i_s+3}} \cdots t_{\alpha_{i_t-1, i_t}})^{-1} t_{\alpha_{i_s, i_s+1}} (t_{\alpha_{i_s+1, i_s+2}} t_{\alpha_{i_s+2, i_s+3}} \cdots t_{\alpha_{i_t-1, i_t}}).$$

Note that $T_{s,t}$ is the square of the Dehn twist about a simple closed curve as shown in Figure 7. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.5, there is $f \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ such that $T_{s,t} = f t_{\alpha_{i_s, i_t}}^2 f^{-1}$. Since $\phi(t_{\alpha_{i_s, i_t}}^2) = Y_{i_t, i_s}^{-1} Y_{i_s, i_t}$, it follows that $\phi(t_{\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}} t_{\alpha'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}})$ is in N . Therefore $\phi(\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)) \subset N$.

FIGURE 7. The loop $(t_{\alpha_{i_s+1, i_s+2}} t_{\alpha_{i_s+2, i_s+3}} \cdots t_{\alpha_{i_t-1, i_t}})^{-1} (\alpha_{i_s, i_s+1})$.

Thus we complete the proof. \square

5. A FINITE GENERATING SET FOR $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$

By Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$. In addition, by Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ is finitely generated. Hence $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is also finitely generated. In this section, we give a finite generating set for $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ by the Reidemeister-Schreier method.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq g$, let $\beta_{i,j}$ be a simple closed curve as shown in Figure 8. For (i, j) with $1 \leq i < j \leq g$, we consider the lexicographic order $<$, that is, $(i, j) < (k, l)$ if and only if $i < k$, or $i = k$ and $j < l$. Let \mathcal{Y} be the set consisting of

$$Y_{\alpha_{i_1, \alpha_{i_1, j_1}}} Y_{\alpha_{i_2, \alpha_{i_2, j_2}}} \cdots Y_{\alpha_{i_m, \alpha_{i_m, j_m}}}$$

for $2 \leq i_s < j_s \leq g$ if g is odd and $(i_s, j_s) = (1, g)$ or $2 \leq i_s < j_s \leq g$ if g is even, where $s \neq t \iff (i_s, j_s) \neq (i_t, j_t)$, and \mathcal{Y}_{LO} the subset of \mathcal{Y} consisting of

$$Y_{\alpha_{i_1, \alpha_{i_1, j_1}}} Y_{\alpha_{i_2, \alpha_{i_2, j_2}}} \cdots Y_{\alpha_{i_m, \alpha_{i_m, j_m}}} \in \mathcal{Y},$$

where $s < t \iff (i_s, j_s) < (i_t, j_t)$.




FIGURE 8. A simple closed curve $\beta_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$.

The main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 5.1. $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is generated by

- (1) $ft_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2 f^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$ and $f \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$,
- (2) $ft_{\beta_{i,j}} f^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$ and $f \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$,
- (3) $ft_{\alpha_{1,j,k,l}}^2 f^{-1}$ for $1 < j < k < l \leq g$ and $f \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$.

In order to prove this theorem, we first show the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is generated by (1)-(3) of Theorem 5.1 and

- (4) $f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$, $1 \leq k < l \leq g$ and $f \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$.

In addition, in order to prove this lemma, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. The following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n] &= [a, b_1] (b_1 [a, b_2] b_1^{-1}) (b_1 b_2 [a, b_3] b_2^{-1} b_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{n-1} [a, b_n] b_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots b_2^{-1} b_1^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& [a, b_1] (b_1[a, b_2]b_1^{-1}) \\
&= (ab_1a^{-1}b_1^{-1}) (b_1ab_2a^{-1}b_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}) \\
&= ab_1b_2a^{-1}b_2^{-1}b_1^{-1} \\
&= [a, b_1b_2], \\
& [a, b_1b_2 \cdots b_{n-1}] (b_1b_2 \cdots b_{n-1}[a, b_n]b_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots b_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}) \\
&= (ab_1b_2 \cdots b_{n-1}a^{-1}b_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots b_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}) (b_1b_2 \cdots b_{n-1}ab_na^{-1}b_n^{-1}b_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots b_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}) \\
&= ab_1b_2 \cdots b_na^{-1}b_n^{-1} \cdots b_2^{-1}b_1^{-1} \\
&= [a, b_1b_2 \cdots b_n].
\end{aligned}$$

By induction on n , we obtain the claim. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.2. By Theorem 1.1, we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(N_g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}+\epsilon} \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\epsilon = 0$ if g is odd and $\epsilon = 1$ if g is even. In order to give a generating set for $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$, we use the Reidemeister-Schreier method (for details, for instance see [6]).

By Lemma 4.3, it follows that $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}+\epsilon}$ is equal to \mathcal{Y}_{LO} as a set. We note that \mathcal{Y}_{LO} is a Schreier transversal for $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ in $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$, that is, for any $Y_{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_1, j_1}} Y_{\alpha_{i_2}, \alpha_{i_2, j_2}} \cdots Y_{\alpha_{i_m}, \alpha_{i_m, j_m}} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$ with $m \geq 1$, $Y_{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_1, j_1}} Y_{\alpha_{i_2}, \alpha_{i_2, j_2}} \cdots Y_{\alpha_{i_{m-1}}, \alpha_{i_{m-1}, j_{m-1}}}$ is in \mathcal{Y}_{LO} . For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$, let \bar{x} be the element of $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}+\epsilon}$ which is sent from x by the natural projection. Then $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is generated by

$$G = \left\{ fx^{\pm 1} \overline{fx^{\pm 1}}^{-1} \mid x \in X, f \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}, fx^{\pm 1} \neq \overline{fx^{\pm 1}} \right\},$$

where X is the generating set for $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ in Theorem 2.1. For any $fx^{\pm 1} \overline{fx^{\pm 1}}^{-1} \in G$, we check that $fx^{\pm 1} \overline{fx^{\pm 1}}^{-1}$ is a product of elements in (1)-(4) of Lemma 5.2 and their inverses. Note that $Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i, j}}^{-1} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i, j}} = Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i, j}} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i, j}}^{-1} = t_{\alpha_{i, j}}^2$ and $Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i, j}}^2 = Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i, j}}^2 = t_{\beta_{i, j}}$.

Let $x = Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i, j}}$. If f includes x , there are $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$ such that $f = f_1 x f_2$. Then we see

$$\begin{aligned}
fx \overline{fx}^{-1} &= f_1 x f_2 x \overline{f_1 x f_2 x}^{-1} \\
&= f_1 x f_2 x (f_1 f_2)^{-1} \\
&= f_1 [x, f_2] f_1^{-1} \cdot f_1 f_2 x^2 f_2^{-1} f_1^{-1}, \\
fx^{-1} \overline{fx^{-1}}^{-1} &= f_1 x f_2 x^{-1} \overline{f_1 x f_2 x^{-1}}^{-1} \\
&= f_1 x f_2 x^{-1} (f_1 f_2)^{-1} \\
&= f_1 [x, f_2] f_1^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If f does not include x , there are $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$ such that $f = f_1 f_2$ and $f_1 x f_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$. Then we see

$$\begin{aligned} f x \overline{f x}^{-1} &= f_1 f_2 x \overline{f_1 f_2 x}^{-1} \\ &= f_1 f_2 x (f_1 x f_2)^{-1} \\ &= f_1 [x, f_2]^{-1} f_1^{-1}, \\ f x^{-1} \overline{f x^{-1}}^{-1} &= f_1 f_2 x^{-1} \overline{f_1 f_2 x^{-1}}^{-1} \\ &= f_1 f_2 x^{-1} (f_1 x f_2)^{-1} \\ &= f x^{-2} f^{-1} \cdot f_1 [x, f_2]^{-1} f_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3, we have that $f x^{\pm 1} \overline{f x^{\pm 1}}^{-1}$ is a product of elements in (1)-(4) of Lemma 5.2 and their inverses. In addition, let $x = Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i,j}}$ and $x' = Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}$. Then we see

$$\begin{aligned} f x \overline{f x}^{-1} &= f x \overline{f x'}^{-1} = f x (x')^{-1} f^{-1} \cdot f x' \overline{f x'}^{-1}, \\ f x^{-1} \overline{f x^{-1}}^{-1} &= f x^{-1} \overline{f x}^{-1} = f x^{-2} f^{-1} \cdot f x \overline{f x}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let $x = t_{\alpha_{1,j,k,l}}^2$. Then we see

$$f x^{\pm 1} \overline{f x^{\pm 1}}^{-1} = f x^{\pm 1} f^{-1}.$$

Therefore, for any $f x^{\pm 1} \overline{f x^{\pm 1}}^{-1} \in G$, we have that $f x^{\pm 1} \overline{f x^{\pm 1}}^{-1}$ is a product of elements in (1)-(4) of Lemma 5.2 and their inverses.

Thus we finish the proof. \square

Remark 5.4. Put $f = Y_{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_1, j_1}} Y_{\alpha_{i_2}, \alpha_{i_2, j_2}} \cdots Y_{\alpha_{i_m}, \alpha_{i_m, j_m}} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{LO}}$. We notice that the generator (4) in Lemma 5.2 can be reduced a form satisfying $(i_m, j_m) < (i, j) < (k, l)$, since in the above proof $f_1 [x, f_2]^{-1} f_1^{-1}$ is a product of these reduced generators.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. It is clear that the generators (1), (2) and (3) in Lemma 5.2 are equal to the generators (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 5.1 respectively. We show that the reduced generator (4) in Remark 5.4 is obtained from the generators (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1.

For any reduced generator $f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1}$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1} &= f(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2 Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}^{-2} (Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-2} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}^{-1}) f^{-1} \\ &= f(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2 f^{-1} \cdot f t_{\beta_{k,l}}^{-1} f^{-1} \\ &\quad f(Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}} t_{\beta_{i,j}}^{-1} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}^{-1}) f^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f and $f Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}$ are in \mathcal{Y}_{LO} , $f t_{\beta_{k,l}} f^{-1}$ and $f(Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}} t_{\beta_{i,j}} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}^{-1}) f^{-1}$ are both generators (2) in Theorem 5.1. Hence we check that either $f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1}$ or $f(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2 f^{-1}$ is obtained from the generators (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1.

Let $i = k$. Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}} Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2 &= \left(t_{\alpha_{j,l}} t_{Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1}(\alpha_{j,l})}^{-1} \right)^2 \\ &= t_{\alpha_{j,l}}^2 (Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} t_{\alpha_{j,l}}^{-2} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}) \\ &= t_{\alpha_{j,l}}^2 Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-2} (Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}} t_{\alpha_{j,l}}^{-2} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1}) Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^2 \\ &= t_{\alpha_{j,l}}^2 t_{\beta_{i,j}}^{-1} (Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}} t_{\alpha_{j,l}}^{-2} Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1}) t_{\beta_{i,j}} \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 9 (a)). Since f and $fY_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}$ are in \mathcal{Y}_{LO} , $f(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2f^{-1}$ is obtained from the generators (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1. Let $j = l$. Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}] &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}^{-1} \\ &= Y_{Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}(\alpha_k), Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}(\alpha_{k,j})}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}^{-1} \\ &= Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{i,k}}^2Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}^{-1} \\ &= Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}t_{\beta_{i,k}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 9 (b)). Since $fY_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,j}}$ is in \mathcal{Y}_{LO} , $f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1}$ is obtained from the generators (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1. Let $j = k$. Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2 &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} \\ &\quad Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1}(Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}})^2 \\ &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} \\ &\quad t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2 \left(t_{Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}(\alpha_{i,l})}^{-1} t_{Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}(\alpha_{i,l})} \right)^2 \\ &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} \\ &\quad t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2(Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-2}t_{\alpha_{i,l}}^2Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^2 \cdot Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1}t_{\alpha_{i,l}}^{-2}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}) \\ &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} \\ &\quad t_{\alpha_{i,j}}^2t_{\beta_{j,l}}^{-1}t_{\alpha_{i,l}}^2t_{\beta_{j,l}} \cdot Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}t_{\beta_{j,l}}^{-1}t_{\alpha_{i,l}}^{-2}t_{\beta_{j,l}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 9 (c)). Since f , $fY_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}$ and $fY_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_j, \alpha_{j,l}}$ are in \mathcal{Y}_{LO} , $f(Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}})^2f^{-1}$ is obtained from the generators (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1. Let $i < k < j < l$. Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}] &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}^{-1} \\ &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}(\alpha_i), Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}(\alpha_{i,j})}^{-1} \\ &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,k}}^2Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,k}}^2Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} \\ &\quad Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,k}}^{-2}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,k}}^{-2}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}} \\ &= Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}(t_{\beta_{i,l}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}t_{\beta_{i,k}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}^{-1}t_{\beta_{i,l}}t_{\beta_{i,k}})Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}^{-1} \\ &\quad t_{\beta_{i,k}}^{-1}t_{\beta_{i,l}}^{-1} \cdot Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}t_{\beta_{i,k}}^{-1}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}} \cdot t_{\beta_{i,l}} \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 9 (d)). Since f , $fY_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}$, $fY_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}$ and $fY_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,l}}$ are in \mathcal{Y}_{LO} , $f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1}$ is obtained from the generators (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1. For the other cases, we have that $f[Y_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i,j}}, Y_{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k,l}}]f^{-1} = 1$.

Thus we complete the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. THE CASE WHERE g IS ODD

In this appendix, we prove the following.

Theorem A.1. $\mathcal{I}(N_g) \subset \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ if $g \geq 3$ is odd.

Proof. Recall Subsection 2.2, especially Theorem 2.2. Since $\mathcal{I}(N_3)$ is trivial, it is clear that $\mathcal{I}(N_3) \subset \mathcal{T}^2(N_3)$. Hence suppose that $g \geq 5$. By Lemma 4.6, it follows that $t_{\beta_1}t_{\beta_2}^{-1} (= t_{\beta_1}t_{\beta_2} \cdot t_{\beta_2}^{-2})$ is in $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$. Note that $N_g \setminus \alpha$ is a joint union of N_2




FIGURE 9.

with a boundary and N_{g-2} with a boundary. Let δ be a simple closed curve such that $N_g \setminus (\alpha \cup \delta)$ is a joint union of a Möbius band, N_2 with a boundary and $\Sigma_{\frac{g-3}{2}}$ with two boundaries, as shown in Figure 10. By Lemma 4.6, it follows that $t_\alpha t_\delta$ is in $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$. Since $t_\delta = 1$, we have that t_α is in $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{I}(N_g) \subset \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$. Thus we finish the proof. \square

By Theorems 1.1 and A.1, we have the following.

Corollary A.2. *For odd $g \geq 3$, the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_2(N_g)$ by $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}}$, and the quotient of $\mathcal{T}_2(N_g)$ by $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g-1}{2}-1}$.*

Remark A.3. Any Dehn twist about a separating simple closed curve is in $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ for any genus g , and so in $\mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ if g is odd.




FIGURE 10. Simple closed curves α and δ . The figure on the left side is a connected sum of g real projective planes. The figure on the right side is a connected sum of $\Sigma_{\frac{g-3}{2}}$ and 3 real projective planes.

Remark A.4. We do not know whether or not $\mathcal{I}(N_g) \subset \mathcal{T}^2(N_g)$ if g is even.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fullarton, Neil J. *A generating set for the palindromic Torelli group*. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **15** (2015), no. 6, 3535–3567.
- [2] Gadgil, Siddhartha; Pancholi, Dishant *Homeomorphisms and the homology of non-orientable surfaces*. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **115** (2005), no. 3, 251–257.
- [3] Hirose, Susumu; Kobayashi, Ryoma *A normal generating set for the Torelli group of a non-orientable closed surface*. *Fund. Math.* **238** (2017), no. 1, 29–51.
- [4] Hirose, Susumu; Sato, Masatoshi *A minimal generating set of the level 2 mapping class group of a non-orientable surface*. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **157** (2014), no. 2, 345–355.
- [5] Humphries, Stephen P. *Normal closures of powers of Dehn twists in mapping class groups*. *Glasgow Math. J.* **34** (1992), 313–317.
- [6] Johnson, D. L. *Presentations of groups*. Second edition. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **15**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [7] Kobayashi, Ryoma *A finite presentation of the level 2 principal congruence subgroup of $GL(n; \mathbb{Z})$* . *Kodai Math. J.* **38** (2015), no. 3, 534–559.
- [8] Kobayashi, Ryoma *A normal generating set for the Torelli group of a compact non-orientable surface*. *Geom. Dedicata* **195** (2018), 365–377.
- [9] Kobayashi, Ryoma; Omori, Genki *A finite generating set for the level 2 twist subgroup of the mapping class group of a closed non-orientable surface*. *Osaka J. Math.* **54** (2017), no. 3, 457–474.
- [10] Lickorish, W. B. R. *On the homeomorphisms of a non-orientable surface*. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **61** (1965), 61–64.
- [11] McCarthy, John D; Pinkall, Ulrich *Representing homology automorphisms of nonorientable surfaces*. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.124.3220>
- [12] Szepletowski, Błażej *Crosscap slides and the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*. *Geom. Dedicata* **160** (2012), 169–183.
- [13] Szepletowski, Błażej *A finite generating set for the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*. *Kodai Math. J.* **36** (2013), no. 1, 1–14.

(R. Kobayashi) DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION,
 NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ISHIKAWA COLLEGE,
 TSUBATA, ISHIKAWA, 929-0392, JAPAN
Email address: kobayashi_ryoma@ishikawa-nct.ac.jp