

# On the 2-adic valuation of $(a^b - c^d)$

Luca Onnis

August 2021

## Abstract

In this paper will be proved an inequality regarding  $v_2(a^b - c^d)$ . Using this formula it will be possible to have informations about the divisibility of 2 of this function without computing it. Then, will be studied the behavior of this function when it approaches infinity and there will be a lot of analogies with 2-adic integers.

## 1 Introduction

There are some fundamental properties about  $p$ -adic valuation function reported below. [1]

### 1.1 Definition 1

Let  $p$  be a prime number. If  $n$  is an integer not equal to 0,  $p$ -adic valuation of  $n$  is equal to:

$$v_p(n) := \max[k \in \mathbb{N} : p^k \mid n]$$

### 1.2 Lemma 1

Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $v_p$  the  $p$ -adic valuation function. Hence, we'll have that:

$$v_p(ab) = v_p(a) + v_p(b)$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a, b \neq 0$ ;

### 1.3 Lemma 2

Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $v_p$  the  $p$ -adic valuation function. Hence it satisfies the following inequality:

$$v_p(a + b) \geq \min[v_p(a); v_p(b)]$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a, b \neq 0$ , and  $v_p(a) \neq v_p(b)$

### 1.4 Lemma 3

Let  $x, y$  be odd integers and  $n$  and even integer. Hence:

$$v_2(x^n - y^n) = v_2(x - y) + v_2(x + y) + v_2(n) - 1$$

### 1.5 Lemma 4

Let  $x, y, n$  odd integers. Hence:

$$v_2(x^n - y^n) = v_2(x - y)$$

## 2 Theorem 1

For every  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a, c$  odd integers,  $d$  even and for every integer  $b$  we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) : \begin{cases} \geq \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1, & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ = \min[v_2(a - 1); \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)], & \text{if } b \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

if and only if  $\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) \geq \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1$

Consider the following case:

$$\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] = v_2(c + 1)$$

### 2.1 Lemma 5

If  $v_2(c + 1)$  is the maximum value between those two, it means that  $c + 1$  is surely divisible by 4, so  $v_2(c + 1) \geq 2$ , while  $v_2(c - 1) = 1$ . Consider the integers  $c - 1, c + 1$ . For hypothesis  $c$  is odd so we can write those integers as  $2c - 2, 2c - 1$  and  $2c$ . But  $4 \mid 2c$  so this implies that  $c = 2h$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $2c - 2 = 4h - 2$  which is not divisible by 4. In fact:

$$4h - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

But obviously

$$4h - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

Note that if we consider  $v_2(c - 1)$  as the maximum between those two,  $c - 1$  will be surely divisible by 4, while  $c + 1$  will be an even number not divisible by 4. Furthermore consider:

$$\max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] = v_2(a + 1)$$

and applying the same strategy we'll have that  $v_2(a + 1) \geq 2$ , while  $v_2(a - 1) = 1$ . So:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = v_2(a^b - 1 + 1 - c^d)$$

For lemma 2 we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - 1 + 1 - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a^b - 1); v_2(1 - c^d)]$$

but  $v_2(1 - c^d) = v_2(c^d - 1)$  So we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a^b - 1); v_2(c^d - 1)]$$

Because of lemma 3 we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a-1) + v_2(a+1) + v_2(b) - 1; v_2(c-1) + v_2(c+1) + v_2(d) - 1]$$

But  $v_2(a-1) = v_2(c-1) = 1$ , so the inequality becomes:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a+1) + v_2(b); v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)]$$

We can certainly conclude that  $v_2(a+1) + v_2(b) \geq v_2(a+1) + 1$  because for even  $b$   $v_2(b) \geq 1$

Consider the case where  $v_2(a+1) + v_2(b) \leq v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)$ ; so:

$$v_2(a+1) + 1 \leq v_2(a+1) + v_2(b) \leq v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)$$

So we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq v_2(a+1) + 1$$

for every even  $b, d$  and every odd  $a, c$  such that:

$$\max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d) \geq \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1$$

If  $v_2(b)$  is sufficiently large we will have that:

$$v_2(a+1) + v_2(b) \geq v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)$$

hence:

$$v_2(a+1) + 1 \leq v_2(c+1) + v_2(d) \leq v_2(a+1) + v_2(b)$$

so:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)$$

but  $v_2(a+1) + 1 \leq v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)$ , so surely:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq v_2(a+1) + 1$$

Is we consider  $v_2(a-1)$  or  $v_2(c-1)$  as the maximum the final result would have been:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq v_2(a-1) + 1$$

In order to generalize all four combinations below:

1)  $v_2(a+1)$  max and  $v_2(c+1)$  max

- 2)  $v_2(a - 1)$  max and  $v_2(c - 1)$  max
  - 3)  $v_2(a - 1)$  max and  $v_2(c + 1)$  max
  - 4)  $v_2(a + 1)$  max and  $v_2(c - 1)$  max
- we'll using the following formula:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1$$

For every odd  $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every even  $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if  $\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) \geq \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1$   
 Consider odd  $b$ , we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a^b - 1); v_2(c^d - 1)]$$

Because of lemma 4 we'll obtain that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a - 1); v_2(c + 1) + v_2(d)]$$

And generalizing it we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a - 1); \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)]$$

for every odd integers  $b$ . We want to prove that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \min[v_2(a - 1); \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)]$$

I define:

$$m = \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)$$

So we want to prove that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \min[v_2(a - 1); m]$$

For hypothesis we'll have that:

$$m \geq \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1$$

So  $\min[v_2(a - 1); m] = v_2(a - 1)$ . In fact if for absurdity  $m \leq v_2(a - 1)$  we will have that:

$$\begin{cases} m \geq \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1 \\ m \leq v_2(a - 1) \end{cases}$$

Namely:

$$\max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1 \leq m \leq v_2(a - 1)$$

which is impossible. So we must have that:

$$\begin{cases} m \geq \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1 \\ m \geq v_2(a-1) \\ m \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

In fact if  $c$  is odd and  $d$  is even we'll have that  $m \geq 2$ .  
So that  $m \geq v_2(a-1)$  is true,  $a$  must be in the form:

$$a = 2^{m-j} + 1 + 2^{m-j+1}k$$

with  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m-2$  We want to prove that for every odd  $b$ :

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = v_2(a-1)$$

So:

$$v_2\left(\left[2^{m-j} + 1 + 2^{m-j+1}k\right]^b - c^d\right) = v_2(a-1)$$

If this is true:

$$\begin{cases} \left[2^{m-j} + 1 + 2^{m-j+1}k\right]^b - c^d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j}} \\ \left[2^{m-j} + 1 + 2^{m-j+1}k\right]^b - c^d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j+1}} \end{cases}$$

For every odd  $b$  we'll have that:

$$\begin{cases} (1 - c^d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j}} \\ (2^{m-j} + 1 - c^d) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j+1}} \end{cases}$$

In order to prove that:

$$\left[2^{m-j} + 1 + 2^{m-j+1}k\right]^b - c^d \equiv (2^{m-j} + 1 - c^d) \pmod{2^{m-j+1}}$$

for odd  $b$ , consider Newton's binomial expansion of:

$$\left[2^{m-j} + 1 + 2^{m-j+1}k\right]^b = \left[2^{m-j}(2k+1) + 1\right]^b$$

$b$  is odd, so it is possible to write  $b$  as  $b = 2p+1$ . Let  $m-j = v$

## 2.2 Lemma 6

$$(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k$$

In our case we want to prove that:

$$\left[2^{m-j}(2k+1)+1\right]^b \equiv \left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right]^{2p} \left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right] \equiv (2^v+1) \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

Which is equal to say that:

$$\left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right]^{2p} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

because:

$$\left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right] \equiv 2^v+1 \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

Using Newton's formula we'll have that:

$$\left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right]^{2p} = \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{i} 1^{2p-i} \left[2^v(2k+1)\right]^i = \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{i} \left[2^{iv}(2k+1)^i\right]$$

So we want to prove that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{i} \left[2^{iv}(2k+1)^i\right] \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

Note that for  $i \geq 2$ , each term is always congruent to 0 mod  $2^{v+1}$  because  $2^{v+1} | 2^{vi}$  and  $v+1 > vi$

For  $i=1$  the term will be:

$$\binom{2p}{1} 2^v(2k+1) = 2p * 2^v(2k+1) = p(2k+1)(2^{v+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

For  $i=0$  obviously the term of the sum will be congruent to 1 mod  $(2^{v+1})$ . So overall we'll have that:

$$\left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right]^{2p} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

and so:

$$\left[2^{m-j}(2k+1)+1\right]^b \equiv \left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right]^{2p} \left[2^v(2k+1)+1\right] \equiv (2^v) \pmod{2^{v+1}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{v+1}}$$

for odd  $b$ , which is the thesis.

We know that  $v_2(1-c^d) = v_2(c^d-1)$  and so  $(c^d-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j}}$

Because  $v_2(c^d-1) = m$ , so  $2^m | (c^d-1)$

And certainly  $2^{m-j} | (c^d-1)$

But  $(c^d-1) = 2^m h$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and so:

$$\begin{cases} (1-c^d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j}} \\ (2^{m-j} + 2^m h) \equiv 2^{m-j} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m-j+1}} \end{cases}$$

If  $j=0$ ,  $m = v_2(a-1)$  and:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = m = v_2(a-1)$$

For odd  $b$ .

### 3 Theorem 2

For every  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  with odd integers  $a, c$ , even  $d$  and every integer  $b$  we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \begin{cases} \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d), & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ \min[v_2(a-1); \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d)], & \text{if } b \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

if and only if  $\max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d) < \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1$   
As before I define:

$$\max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] = v_2(c+1)$$

and I consider:

$$\max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] = v_2(a+1)$$

I define  $m = v_2(c+1) + v_2(d)$

For hypothesis  $v_2(a+1) + 1 > m$ , allora:

$$a = 2^{m+h} - 1 + 2^{m+h+1}k$$

with  $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$

We want to prove that for every even integer  $b$  we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = m$$

This implies that:

$$\begin{cases} a^b - c^d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m} \\ a^b - c^d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}} \end{cases}$$

But  $a = 2^{m+h} - 1 + 2^{m+h+1}k$ :

$$\left[2^{m+h} - 1 + 2^{m+h+1}k\right]^b - c^d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$$

$$\left[2^{m+h}(2k+1) - 1\right]^b - c^d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$$

And for even  $b$  we note that, as before:

$$\left[2^{m+h}(2k+1) - 1\right]^b - c^d \equiv 1 - c^d \equiv c^d - 1 \pmod{2^m}$$

But the following system of congruences must be true:

$$\begin{cases} c^d - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m} \\ c^d - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}} \end{cases}$$

So  $v_2(c^d - 1) = m$

But for lemma 3 we'll have that:

$$v_2(c - 1) + v_2(c + 1) + v_2(d) - 1 = m$$

But  $v_2(c - 1) = 1$  because of what we proved before. So:

$$v_2(c + 1) + v_2(d) = m$$

Which is the thesis.

So for even integers b we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = m = v_2(c + 1) + v_2(d)$$

For odd b we'll again have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a^b - 1); v_2(c^d - 1)]$$

For lemma 4 we'll have that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) \geq \min[v_2(a - 1); \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)]$$

for every integer b. But, it will be proved that:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \min[v_2(a - 1); \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)]$$

As the first case consider  $\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) \leq v_2(a - 1)$ . For hypothesis we'll have that:

$$\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) < \max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] + 1.$$

In this case  $v_2(a - 1) > v_2(a + 1)$ . In fact if it was the opposite we would have had that  $v_2(a - 1) = 1$  but because  $c$  is odd and  $d$  is even  $\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) \geq 2$ . But this will contradict that  $\max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) \leq v_2(a - 1)$ , we would have had that  $2 \leq 1$  which is impossible.

So  $\max[v_2(a + 1); v_2(a - 1)] = v_2(a - 1)$

I define:

$$m = \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d)$$

In our case  $v_2(a - 1) \geq m$ , So  $a$  is in the form:

$$a = 2^{m+l} + 1 + 2^{m+l}k$$

with  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$

As before, if:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \max[v_2(c + 1); v_2(c - 1)] + v_2(d) = m$$

so:

$$\begin{cases} a^b - c^d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m} \\ a^b - c^d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}} \end{cases}$$



which, as proved before, is true for  $a$  in the form  $a = 2^{m+l} + 1 + 2^{m+l+1}k$ .  
 As the second case consider  $\max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d) \geq v_2(a-1)$ . For hypothesis we'll have that:

$$\max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d) < \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1.$$

I define:

$$u = \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d)$$

$$\begin{cases} u \geq v_2(a-1) \\ u < \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1 \end{cases}$$

se  $\max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] = v_2(a+1)$ , allora  $v_2(a-1) = 1$  e quindi:

$$\begin{cases} u \geq 1 \\ u < v_2(a+1) + 1 \end{cases}$$

So:

$$\min[v_2(a-1); u] = v_2(a-1) = 1$$

if  $\max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] = v_2(a-1)$ , so  $v_2(a+1) = 1$  and:

$$\begin{cases} u \geq v_2(a-1) \\ u < v_2(a-1) + 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence:

$$v_2(a-1) \leq u < v_2(a-1) + 1$$

and so:

$$u = v_2(a-1) = \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d)$$

and at the end we'll have again that:

$$\min[v_2(a-1); u] = v_2(a-1)$$

So:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \min[v_2(a-1); \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d)]$$

For every odd integers  $b$ .

Overall we'll have that:

$$\text{if } \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d) < \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1$$

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \begin{cases} \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d), & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ \min[v_2(a-1); \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d)], & \text{if } b \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and if } \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d) \geq \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1$$

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) : \begin{cases} \geq \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)] + 1, & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ = \min[v_2(a-1); \max[v_2(c+1); v_2(c-1)] + v_2(d)], & \text{if } b \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

## 4 Examples and considerations

### 4.1 Example 1

$$v_2(255^n - 1023^2) \geq 9$$

for even integers  $n$ . Because:

$$\begin{aligned} \max[v_2(1023 + 1); v_2(1023 - 1)] + v_2(2) &\geq \max[v_2(255 + 1); v_2(255 - 1)] + 1 \\ 10 + 1 &\geq 8 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

And for odd integers  $n$ :

$$v_2(255^n - 1023^2) = 1$$

because

$$\min[v_2(255 - 1); \max[v_2(1023 + 1); v_2(1023 - 1)] + v_2(2)] = \min[1; 11] = 1$$




Figure 1: Graph of  $v_2(255^n - 1023^2)$  from  $n=1$  to  $n=50$

Note that the function is somehow periodic. For example:

$n$	$v_2(255^n - 1023^2)$
$1+2k$	1
$2+4k$	9
$4+8k$	10
$16k$	11
$24+32k$	12
$40+64k$	13

Table 1: values of  $v_2(255^n - 1023^2)$  by varying  $n$

In the fifth section will be explained this behavior, and it will be studied how  $v_2(a^b - c^d)$  could diverge to infinity.



### 4.3 Example 3

$$\begin{cases} v_2(1537^n - 13^{32}) = 7 & \text{for even } n \\ v_2(1537^n - 13^{32}) = 7 & \text{for odd } n \end{cases}$$

In fact we can apply the second theorem, because:

$$\begin{aligned} \max[v_2(13 + 1); v_2(13 - 1)] + v_2(32) &< \max[v_2(1537 + 1); v_2(1537 - 1)] + 1 \\ 7 &< 10 \end{aligned}$$




Figure 3: Graph of  $v_2(1537^n - 13^{32})$  from  $n=1$  to  $n=50$

## 5 Limits and curiosity

### 5.1 Lemma 7

It is known that:

$$v_p(0) = +\infty$$

From here we can conclude that:

$$\lim_{v_2(d) \rightarrow 0} v_2(a^b - c^d) = v_2(a^b - 1)$$

$$\begin{cases} v_2(a^b - 1) = v_2(a - 1) + v_2(a + 1) + v_2(b) - 1 & \text{for even } b \text{ and odd } a \\ v_2(a^b - 1) = v_2(a - 1) & \text{for odd } a, b \end{cases}$$

but  $v_2(d) \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $d \rightarrow 0$  but also when  $d$  can be divided infinitely many times by two.

Se  $d = 2^{d_1} k$ , allora  $v_2(2^{d_1} k) \rightarrow +\infty$  per  $d_1 \rightarrow +\infty$

In fact I conjecture that if:

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = \alpha$$

$$v_2(a^b - c^d) = v_2(b) + \max[v_2(a+1); v_2(a-1)]$$

for even p and  $\alpha \leq v_2(d) + 1$

For example, consider the following funtion:

$$y = v_2(15^n - 5^{2^m})$$

Because of what I said before  $m \rightarrow +\infty$   $v_2(15^n - 5^{2^m}) \rightarrow v_2(n) + 4$




Figure 4: Graph  $v_2(15^n - 5^{2^m})$  while  $m$  is varying from  $m=3$  to  $m=8$ , from  $n=1$  to  $n=50$

Compare the graph of  $v_2(15^n - 5^{2^8})$  with the graph of  $v_2(n) + 4$  reported below:




Figure 5: Graph of  $v_2(15^n - 5^{2^8})$  from  $n=1$  to  $n=50$




Figure 6: Graph of  $v_2(n) + 4$  from  $n=1$  to  $n=50$

Note how the behavior for even integers  $n$  seems to be the same for both functions. This relation is true if and only if  $m \rightarrow +\infty$

Now suppose we want make

$$v_2(a^b - c^d)$$

very large.

We know that  $v_2(0) = +\infty$ . And what if the answer is just to equate  $a^b - c^d = 0$ ? [3] I consider  $b$  as a variable.

$$a^b - c^d = 0$$

for  $b = d \frac{\log(c)}{\log(a)}$ . We can expand this value to 2-adic numbers.

In fact it is possible to expand 2-adic logarithm in an infinite series of powers

of base 2, more precise is the approximation, more  $v_2(a^b - c^d)$  grows fast. A 2-adic number is of the form:

$$a_0 + a_1 2 + a_2 2^2 + a_3 2^3 + \dots$$

[2] With  $0 \leq a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \leq 1$

## 5.2 Example 1

Consider the function:

$$v_2(15^n - 5^8)$$

Because of what I said before:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 8 \frac{\log_2(5)}{\log_2(15)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\neq}} v_2(15^n - 5^8) = +\infty$$

Using a software as pari/gp it is possible to compute the first terms of the 2-adic expansion of  $8 \frac{\log_2(5)}{\log_2(15)}$ . The first eight terms of this expansion are:

$$2 + 2^4 + 2^5 + 2^8 + 2^{10} + 2^{12} + 2^{16} + 2^{17} = 202034$$

It could be verified that:

$$v_2(15^{202034} - 5^8) = 26$$

## 6 Conclusions

This result may be easily used on a calculator, to facilitate the analysis of the divisibility by 2 of this function for large values. Furthermore 2-adic valuation and in general 2-adic analysis is related to binary system, and every work related to it could have a lot of applications in informatics. p-adic numbers system perform a fundamental role in number theory, so p-adic numbers are closely related to integers. For example the extension of the 2-adic logarithm, which for more terms of the approximation gives larger values of  $v_2(a^b - c^d)$

## References

- [1] Salvatore Damantino. Teoria dei numeri. In *Teoria dei numeri*, pages 116–125. scienza express, 2018.
- [2] Fernando Q Gouvêa. p-adic numbers. In *p-adic Numbers*, pages 43–85. Springer, 1997.
- [3] Luis A Medina, Victor H Moll, and Eric Rowland. Periodicity in the p-adic valuation of a polynomial. *Journal of Number Theory*, 180:139–153, 2017.