

EXPLICIT EQUATIONS OF 800 CONICS ON A BARTH-BAUER QUARTIC

BARTOSZ NASKRĘCKI

ABSTRACT. We present equations of 800 conics on a Mukai's smooth quartic which is an explicit model of a K3 surface recently considered by Alex Degtyarev in [4, 5]. The number 800 is a current record for the number of irreducible conics on a smooth quartic in ordinary projective space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper Alex Degtyarev [4] has proved the existence of a smooth quartic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ which contains 800 irreducible conics. In the sequel [5] Degtyarev proved that up to projective linear change of coordinates there is exactly one smooth quartic in \mathbb{P}^3 which contains 16 pairwise disjoint conics (it is called a *Barth-Bauer* quartic). The number 800 is the maximum of the total number of conics on a Barth-Bauer quartic. It is expected that 800 is the maximum number of conics on *any* smooth quartic in \mathbb{P}^3 , [5]. A surface described by Degtyarev has Néron-Severi group of discriminant -160 and transcendental lattice $\langle 4 \rangle \oplus \langle 40 \rangle$. Xavier Roulleau has pointed to a concrete model of such a surface which was studied previously by Bonnafé and Sarti and constructed by Mukai. The same surface was also studied by Brandhorst and Hashimoto [1]. Its equation in the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3}^3$ is

$$X : \sum_{i=0}^3 z_i^4 - 6 \sum_{i < j} z_i^2 z_j^2 = 0.$$

This quartic is smooth over \mathbb{C} and admits an action of a group $P_{G_{Mu}}$ which is an extension of the Mathieu group M_{20} by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and is a projectivization of a complex reflection group G_{29} in Shephard- Todd classification [6]. Group G_{29} is generated by four matrices s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 ($i = \sqrt{-1}$)

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & i & i \\ 1 & 1 & -i & -i \\ -i & i & 1 & -1 \\ -i & i & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [2] Bonnafé and Sarti established the equations of 320 conics lying on that surface, lying on two orbits upon action of the automorphism group $P_{G_{Mu}}$.

The goal of this note is to find the remaining equations of irreducible conics on the surface X and to explain the heuristic argument behind this discovery. We also present a set of quartics which constitute a basis of the Néron-Severi group and a collection of 16 pairwise disjoint conics.

Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of irreducible conics on X . Let C_1, C_2 be two conics found in [2].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J28, 14N25.

Key words and phrases. smooth quartics, conics on quartics, K3 surfaces.

$$C_1 : z_0 + z_1 + z_2 = 0, \quad z_1^2 + z_1 z_2 + z_2^2 + \frac{3 + \sqrt{10}}{2} z_3^2 = 0,$$

$$C_2 : z_0 + z_1 + z_2 = 0, \quad z_1^2 + z_1 z_2 + z_2^2 + \frac{3 - \sqrt{10}}{2} z_3^2 = 0.$$

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i = \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$ and let $A = \frac{(\sqrt{5}+1)i}{2}$. Let C_3 be a conic

$$C_3 : z_2 + Az_3 = 0, \quad z_0^2 + 2\sqrt{2}z_1z_0 + z_1^2 + \frac{3(\sqrt{5}+1)}{2}z_3^2 = 0$$

Proposition 1.1. The set $\{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$ generates the set \mathcal{C} of 800 irreducible conics on X under the action of the group $P_{G_{Mu}}$. The corresponding orbits have length 160, 160 and 480.

Proof. A conic C_1 is stabilized by the group $\text{Stab}_{P_{G_{Mu}}}(C_1) \subset P_{G_{Mu}}$ which is generated by $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \mapsto (z_2 : z_0 : z_1 : -z_3)$ and $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \mapsto (z_1 : z_0 : z_2 : -z_3)$. Group $\text{Stab}_{P_{G_{Mu}}}(C_1)$ is of order 12. Hence by the orbit-stabilizer theorem the length of the orbit Ω_1 of C_1 under the action of $P_{G_{Mu}}$ equals $1920/12 = 160$.

By a direct inspection we find that C_2 does not belong to the orbit of C_1 . A stabilizer group $\text{Stab}_{P_{G_{Mu}}}(C_2)$ of C_2 equals $\text{Stab}_{P_{G_{Mu}}}(C_1)$. Thus the orbit Ω_2 of C_2 has length 160.

Finally, the curve C_3 is stabilized by the subgroup $\text{Stab}_{P_{G_{Mu}}}(C_3)$ of order 4 and generated by $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \mapsto (iz_0 : iz_1 : -iz_2 : -iz_3)$, $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \mapsto (z_1 : z_0 : z_2 : z_3)$. So the orbit Ω_3 of C_3 has length 480, proving that its disjoint from Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Hence the union of three orbits described above has 800 elements. \square

2. A HEURISTIC BEHIND PROPOSITION 1.1

One can notice by direct inspection that all conics in the orbits Ω_1 and Ω_2 have the cutting hyperplane equation which has three or four non-zero coefficients. It is then natural to check whether there are any conics on X which are generated by the hyperplanes with less non-zero coefficients. Without loss of generality (due to symmetry of the coordinates) we have essentially one cutting hyperplane with one non-zero coefficient $H : z_3 = 0$. It is easy to check that the curve $H \cap X$ is a smooth quartic curve, hence by the Plücker formula it has geometric genus 3.

What remains to be checked is the reducibility of the quartics generated by the cuts $H_\alpha \cap X$ where the hyperplane has the form $H_\alpha : z_2 + \alpha z_3 = 0$.

To numerically confirm our expectation that some conics in the complement of $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ belonged to the set $H_\alpha \cap X$ for suitable choices of complex numbers α we have studied this problem for the reduction of X to the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^2} for certain primes p (for example $p = 41$ is the least split prime in K). It turned out that for several primes there was a pair of conics generated by the plane $z_2 + \alpha z_3 = 0$ for a suitable choice of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Lead by thus hunch we considered the following setup.

Let $C_\alpha : \sum_{i=0}^3 z_i^4 - 6 \sum_{i<j} z_i^2 z_j^2 = 0, z_2 + \alpha z_3 = 0$ be a family of curves parametrized by $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.1. Over the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(t)$ the curve C_t is geometrically irreducible, smooth and of genus 3.

Proof. Elimination of the variable z_2 leads to a planar model in \mathbb{P}^2 over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ in variables z_0, z_1, z_3 . It is a routine calculation to verify that the curve C_t over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ is a smooth quartic (hence of genus 3). \square

Remark 2.2. Hence, due to Proposition 2.1 there is a finite subset of number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ (necessarily algebraic) for which the curve C_α are not smooth. As will turn out in the sequel there is 12 such singular quartics, out of which only 4 are geometrically irreducible. That will produce 16 new conics which were not previously found in [2].

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be a flat morphism whose fibre $\pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ above α is a curve C_α . Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ be a finite scheme whose closed points correspond to fibres for which the curve is not smooth.

Proposition 2.3. The scheme \mathcal{S} equals $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x]/(g(x))$ where the polynomial $g(x)$ is

$$g(x) = \underbrace{(x^2 - 2x - 1)(x^2 + 2x - 1)}_{g_1(x)} \underbrace{(x^4 + 3x^2 + 1)(5x^4 + 6x^2 + 5)}_{g_2(x)}.$$

Proof. In the equation C_α we eliminate variable z_2 by substitution from the second equation. The resulting quartic polynomial

$$F(z_0, z_1, z_3) = z_0^4 + z_1^4 + z_3^4 + \alpha^4 z_3^4 - 6(\alpha^2 z_3^4 + (\alpha^2 + 1)(z_0^2 + z_1^2)z_3^2 + z_0^2 z_1^2)$$

defines a quartic curve $F(z_0, z_1, z_3) = 0$ in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{z_0, z_1, z_3}^2$. We compute $F_0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_0}$, $F_1 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1}$ and $F_3 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_3}$ and consider an ideal $I = \langle F_0, F_1, F_3, F \rangle$ in $R = \mathbb{Z}[z_0, z_1, z_3, \alpha]$. It defines a scheme $\text{Spec } R/I$ whose reduced subscheme is a union of the following irreducible components

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{1,1} : z_0 = z_1 = \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}_{1,2} : z_0 = z_1 = \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}_{2,1} : z_0 = z_1^2 - 3\alpha^2 z_3^2 - 3z_3^2 = \alpha^4 + 3\alpha^2 + 1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}_{2,2} : z_1 = z_0^2 - 3\alpha^2 z_3^2 - 3z_3^2 = \alpha^4 + 3\alpha^2 + 1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}_{2,3} : z_0 + z_1 = z_1^2 + 3/2\alpha^2 z_3^2 + 3/2z_3^2 = \alpha^4 + 6/5\alpha^2 + 1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}_{2,4} : z_0 - z_1 = z_1^2 + 3/2\alpha^2 z_3^2 + 3/2z_3^2 = \alpha^4 + 6/5\alpha^2 + 1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{H} : z_0 = z_1 = z_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

All but the last component \mathcal{H} correspond to a choice of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and a projective point $(z_0 : z_1 : z_3)$ which is singular on the curve C_α . \square

Proposition 2.4. Assume the notation from Proposition 2.1. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a root of $g_1(x)$, then C_α is a singular model of a geometrically irreducible curve of geometric genus 2.

If α is such that $g_2(\alpha) = 0$, then C_α is a union of two irreducible conics which are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$.

The set of 8 pairs of conics C_α such that $g_2(\alpha) = 0$ is parametrized by $\alpha \in \{\pm \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} \pm 1), \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(1 \pm 2i)\}$.

Proof. **$\mathcal{G}_{1,j}$ components:** If α is a root of $g_1(x)$, then we have a unique nodal singularity at $(0 : 0 : 1)$. Hence, it follows from the Plücker formula that the geometric genus of C_α is $(4 - 1)(4 - 2)/2 - 1 = 2$. It follows from Bezout theorem that the curve C_α is geometrically irreducible.

$\mathcal{G}_{2,j}$ components: When α is a root of $g_2(x)$ we find four nodal singularities on C_α . Suppose that the curve would be geometrically irreducible. Then Plücker formula would imply that the geometric genus of C_α equals -1 , a contradiction. Hence, C_α is geometrically reducible. We form a product of quadratic polynomials in z_0, z_1, z_3 with unknown coefficients to reveal the factorization in each case. A simple Gröbner basis calculations leads to the conclusion that C_α is a union of two irreducible conics defined over K . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Alex Degtyarev for the inspiration that gave the impetus for this work. Without his papers I would never have tried to solve this problem. Thanks goes also to Xavier Roulleau who pointed us to a projective model of a quartic which satisfied the right conditions. I would like to thank Cédric Bonnafé and Alessandra Sarti for finding the first 320 conics on X .

This has lowered the amount of my effort by 40%. I would like to also thank Dino Festi for discussions about this project.

APPENDIX A: CODE

This appendix contains a complete Magma code which allows an interested user to reproduce the data described in Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 2.3.

Magma code which reproduces the data from Proposition 1.1

```

Q<sq2,sq5>:=NumberField([Polynomial([-2,0,1]),Polynomial([-5,0,1])]);
R<T>:=PolynomialRing(Q);
pol:=T^2 + 1/2*(sq5 + 3);
Qext<Am>:=ext<Q|pol>;
i:=Sqrt(Qext!(-1));
assert Am eq i/2*(-1-sq5);
A:=-Am;
s1:=Matrix(4,4,[1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,-1]);
s2:=1/2*Matrix(4,4,[1,1,i,i,1,1,-i,-i,-i,i,1,-1,-i,i,-1,1]);
s3:=Matrix(4,4,[0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1]);
s4:=Matrix(4,4,[1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1]);
Gmu:=MatrixGroup<4,Qext|[s1,s2,s3,s4]>;
assert Order(Gmu) eq 7680;

P<z0,z1,z2,z3>:=ProjectiveSpace(Qext,3);
f:=z0^4+z1^4+z2^4+z3^4-6*(z0^2*z1^2+z0^2*z2^2
+z0^2*z3^2+z1^2*z2^2+z1^2*z3^2+z2^2*z3^2);
Xmu:=Surface(P,[f]);
v:=Matrix(4,1,[z0,z1,z2,z3]);
function LA(M,tup)
return Eltseq(ChangeRing(M,CoordinateRing(P))*tup);
end function;
Omegaf:=func<C|{Curve(Scheme(P,[Evaluate(x,LA(e1,v))]:
x in DefiningPolynomials(C) )): e1 in Gmu}>;
Omegaelf:=func<C,g|Curve(Scheme(P,[Evaluate(x,LA(g,v))]:
x in DefiningPolynomials(C) )>>;

//known conics
CC:=IrreducibleComponents(Xmu meet Scheme(P,z0+z1+z2));
Cp:=Curve(CC[1]);
Cm:=Curve(CC[2]);
Omegap:={Curve(Scheme(P,[Evaluate(x,LA(e1,v))]:
x in DefiningPolynomials(Cp) )): e1 in Gmu};
Omegam:={Curve(Scheme(P,[Evaluate(x,LA(e1,v))]:
x in DefiningPolynomials(Cm) )): e1 in Gmu};
assert #Omegap eq 160;
assert #Omegam eq 160;
assert #(Omegam meet Omegap) eq 0;

//new conics
CC2:=Xmu meet Scheme(P,[z2+A*z3]);
IrrCC2:=IrreducibleComponents(CC2);

```

```

CC21:=Curve(IrrCC2[1]);
CC22:=Curve(IrrCC2[2]);
oo1:=Omegaf(CC21);
oo2:=Omegaf(CC22);
assert #oo1 eq 480;
assert oo1 eq oo2;

```

```
Conics800:=Omegap join Omegam join oo1;
```

Magma code which reproduces the data from Proposition 2.3

```

R<z0,z1,z3,A>:=AffineSpace(Rationals(),4);
eq1:=[4*z0*(z0^2 - 3*z1^2 - 3*z3^2 - 3*A^2*z3^2),
-4*z1*(3*z0^2 - z1^2 + 3*z3^2 + 3*A^2*z3^2),
-4*z3*(3*z0^2 + 3*A^2*z0^2 + 3*z1^2 + 3*A^2*z1^2 - z3^2 + 6*A^2*z3^2 - A^4*z3^2),
z0^4 - 6*z0^2*z1^2 + z1^4 - 6*z0^2*z3^2 - 6*A^2*z0^2*z3^2 - 6*z1^2*z3^2 -
6*A^2*z1^2*z3^2 + z3^4 - 6*A^2*z3^4 + A^4*z3^4];
S:=Scheme(R,eq1);
redS:=ReducedSubscheme(S);
irrS:=IrreducibleComponents(redS);
print(irrS);

Qext<alpha>:=NumberField(Polynomial([1,0,0,0,7,0,0,0,1]));
irrSK:=[IrreducibleComponents(ChangeRing(e1,Qext)): e1 in irrS];
print(irrSK);

```

APPENDIX B: GENERATORS OF THE NÉRON-SEVERI GROUP

We list here the equations of 20 conics on the surface X which span the Néron-Severi group and their Gram matrix. In Figure 1 we present the Gram matrix of a basis of 20 conics spanning the Néron-Severi group. Each row in the Figure 3 consists of two coefficient vectors, representing two equations of a given conic $C : \sum_{i \leq j} a_{i,j} z_i z_j = 0, \sum_{i=0}^3 b_i z_i = 0$. The entries are vectors defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i = \sqrt{-1}, \sigma_2 = \sqrt{2}, \sigma_5 = \sqrt{5})$ ($\sigma_{10} = \sigma_2 \sigma_5, \sigma_{-2} = i\sigma_2, \sigma_{-5} = i\sigma_5, \sigma_{-10} = i\sigma_{10}$). The rows of Figure 3 correspond to vertices in the adjacency graph on Figure 2. Every edge is the intersection of multiplicity one between curves represented by vertices.

APPENDIX C: KUMMER LATTICE

We find a Kummer configuration \mathcal{K} of 16 disjoint conics on X . The conics which form our Kummer sublattice are stable under the action of a subgroup H of order 128. The group H is spanned by the matrices $g_1 = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, -1)$, $g_2 = \text{diag}(i, i, i, i)$,

$$g_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_4 = \frac{i+1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -i & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -i & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $g_1^2 = g_2^2 = g_4^2 = g_3^4 = I$. A subgroup of H which fixes all elements of the set \mathcal{K} is cyclic of order 4 and generated by g_2 . The notation in Figure 4 follows that in Appendix B.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Brandhorst, K. Hashimoto, *Extensions of maximal symplectic actions on K3 surfaces*, arXiv: 1910.05952v3, 2020.

- [2] C. Bonnafé. A. Sarti, *K3 surfaces with maximal finite automorphism groups containing M_{20}* , arXiv:1910.05955v2, 2020.
- [3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [4] A. Degtyarev, *800 conics in a smooth quartic surface*, arXiv:2102.08163v2, 2021.
- [5] A. Degtyarev, *Conics in Kummer quartics*, arXiv:2108.11181v1, 2021.
- [6] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, Canadian J. Math. **6** (1954), 274–304.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 1. Gram matrix of a particular choice of a basis conics in the Néron-Severi group of X .




FIGURE 2. Adjacency graph corresponding to the Gram matrix of a particular choice of a basis conics in the Néron-Severi group of X .

No	$a_{0,0}$	$a_{0,1}$	$a_{0,2}$	$a_{0,3}$	$a_{1,1}$	$a_{1,2}$	$a_{1,3}$	$a_{2,2}$	$a_{2,3}$	$a_{3,3}$	b_0	b_1	b_2	b_3
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	$\frac{i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-2}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_2}{6} + \frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-2}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_2}{6} + \frac{1}{6}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{5} - \frac{2\sigma_{-5}}{5}$	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	$\frac{i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-2}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_2}{6} + \frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-2}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_2}{6} + \frac{1}{6}$	1	$\frac{2\sigma_{-5}}{5} - \frac{\sigma_5}{5}$	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{2}$	0	1	1	-1	0	1
4	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	$\frac{\sigma_{10}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	0	1	1	1	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	1	0	$2i$	$\frac{\sigma_{10}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	0	$\frac{3\sigma_{10}}{2} + \frac{7}{2}$	1	-1	0	$-2i$
6	0	0	0	0	1	0	$-2i$	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{2}$	0	$\frac{7}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_{10}}{2}$	1	1	0	$-2i$
7	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	$\frac{\sigma_{10}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	1	-1	-1	0
8	0	0	0	0	1	$2i$	0	$\frac{7}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_{10}}{2}$	0	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{2}$	1	-1	$-2i$	0
9	0	0	0	0	1	$2i$	0	$\frac{3\sigma_{10}}{2} + \frac{7}{2}$	0	$\frac{\sigma_{10}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	1	1	$2i$	0
10	0	0	0	0	1	$-2i - \sigma_{-5} + \frac{\sigma_2}{2}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{2} - \sigma_5 - 2$	$\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{2} + \sigma_{-2} - \sigma_5 - 2$	$5i + 2\sigma_{-5} + 3\sigma_2 + \frac{3\sigma_{10}}{2}$	$-\sigma_{-10} - 2\sigma_{-2} + \sigma_5 + 2$	1	$-i$	$-\sigma_5 - 2$	$2i + \sigma_{-5}$
11	0	0	0	0	1	$-2i + \sigma_{-5} - \frac{\sigma_2}{2}$	$-\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{2} + \sigma_5 - 2$	$\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{2} - \sigma_{-2} + \sigma_5 - 2$	$5i - 2\sigma_{-5} - 3\sigma_2 + \frac{3\sigma_{10}}{2}$	$-\sigma_{-10} + 2\sigma_{-2} - \sigma_5 + 2$	1	$-i$	$\sigma_5 - 2$	$2i - \sigma_{-5}$
12	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-2}}{2} - \frac{2\sigma_2}{3} + 1$	$-\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6}$	$i - \frac{5\sigma_{-2}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{2\sigma_5}{5} - \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{5}$	$\frac{2\sigma_5}{5} - \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{5}$	1
13	0	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	$-\frac{i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	$\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	-1	1	$i + 2$	1	$i - 2$
14	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{5i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	$\frac{2i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	-1	$\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	1	$2 - i$	$i + 2$	1
15	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{5i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	$-\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	-1	$\frac{2i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6} - \frac{i}{3}$	1	$i + 2$	$i - 2$	1
16	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6} - \frac{5i}{3}$	$\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} - \frac{1}{3}$	-1	$\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	1	$-i - 2$	$i - 2$	1
17	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} - \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6} - \frac{5i}{3}$	$-\frac{i}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{6}$	$\frac{2i}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	-1	1	$i + 2$	-1	$2 - i$
18	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{i}{3} + \frac{2\sigma_2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-2}}{2} + 1$	$-\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_{-10}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{6}$	$i + \frac{5\sigma_{-2}}{6} + \frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_{-5}}{5} - \frac{2\sigma_5}{5}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-5}}{5} - \frac{2\sigma_5}{5}$	1
19	1	$-2\sigma_2$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{3\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	0	0	1	$-\frac{i}{2} - \frac{\sigma_{-5}}{2}$
20	1	$2\sigma_2$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_5}{2}$	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma_{-5}}{2} - \frac{i}{2}$

FIGURE 3. Equations of 20 conics which span the Néron-Severi group of X .

	$a_{0,0}$	$a_{0,1}$	$a_{0,2}$	$a_{0,3}$	$a_{1,1}$	$a_{1,2}$	$a_{1,3}$	$a_{2,2}$	$a_{2,3}$	$a_{3,3}$	b_0	b_1	b_2	b_3
	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{6} - \frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{s_2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_{10}}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{6} - \frac{1}{6}$	1	$\frac{\sigma-5}{2} - \frac{i}{2}$	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{6} - \frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{s_2}{3} + \frac{\sigma_{10}}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{6} - \frac{1}{6}$	1	$\frac{i}{2} - \frac{\sigma-5}{2}$	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	$-2s_2$	0	1	0	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_5}{2}$	1	0	0	$\frac{\sigma-5}{2} - \frac{i}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$2s_2$	0	1	0	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_5}{2}$	1	0	0	$\frac{i}{2} - \frac{\sigma-5}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 + \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - 1$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}2\sigma-2 - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	-1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + 1$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}2\sigma-2 - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + 1$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$\frac{2\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	-1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 + \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - 1$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$\frac{2\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + 1$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$\frac{2\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	-1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 + \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - 1$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$\frac{2\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$
∞	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 + \frac{\sigma_5}{3} - 1$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}2\sigma-2 - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$-\frac{\sigma_5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	-1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$
	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \frac{\sigma-2}{3} + \frac{2\sigma_5}{3} - \frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \sigma-2 - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + 1$	$\frac{\sigma-10}{3} - \frac{\sigma-2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{\sigma-10}{3} + \sigma-2 - \frac{2\sigma_5}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}2\sigma-2 - \frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$
	1	0	0	$-2s_2$	0	0	0	$\frac{3\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	1	$-\frac{i}{2} - \frac{\sigma-5}{2}$	0
	1	0	0	$2s_2$	0	0	0	$\frac{3\sigma_5}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	1	$\frac{i}{2} + \frac{\sigma-5}{2}$	0
	1	$-2s_2$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_5}{2}$	0	0	1	$\frac{i}{2} - \frac{\sigma-5}{2}$
	1	$2s_2$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3\sigma_5}{2}$	0	0	1	$\frac{\sigma-5}{2} - \frac{i}{2}$

FIGURE 4. Equations of 16 conics which form a Kummer configuration on X .

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY IN POZNAŃ, UL.
UNIERSYTETU POZNAŃSKIEGO 4, 61-614, POZNAŃ, POLAND

Email address: bartnas@amu.edu.pl