

LINEAR RECURRENCES OF ORDER AT MOST TWO IN SMALL DIVISORS

A. ANAS CHENTOUF

ABSTRACT. Given a positive integer n , the small divisors of n are defined as the positive divisors that do not exceed \sqrt{n} . Ianucci previously classified all n for which the small divisors of n form an arithmetic progression. In this paper, we classify all n for which the small divisors of n form a linear recurrence of order at most two.

1. INTRODUCTION

As usual, we say that a non-zero integer m divides another integer n whenever the quotient $\frac{n}{m}$ is itself an integer. When this occurs, we use the conventional notation $m|n$. Moreover, a *nontrivial* divisor of a natural number n is a divisor other than 1 and n (if such a divisor exists).

Consider a positive integer n , and let \mathcal{S}_n be the set of *small* divisors of n , that is,

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{d : 1 \leq d \leq \sqrt{n} \wedge d|n\}. \quad (1)$$

Large divisors, associated with \mathcal{L}_n , are defined with the inequality reversed. For brevity, we let $s(n) = |\mathcal{S}_n|$. Moreover, for any tuple $(u, v, a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, there is an integral linear recurrence of order at most two, $(d_i)_{i=1}^\infty$, given by

$$d_i = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ v, & \text{if } i = 2; \\ ad_{i-1} + bd_{i-2}, & \text{if } i \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

This recurrence is usually abbreviated as $U(u, v, a, b)$. The choice of beginning the index at 1, rather than 0, is due to the context of working with divisors.

As usual, we let $\tau(n)$ denote the number of positive divisors of n , that is,

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1.$$

Since there is a “coupling” between small and large divisors of n , linking d and $\frac{n}{d}$, we easily relate $\tau(n)$ to $s(n)$ as follows:

$$\tau(n) = \begin{cases} 2s(n) - 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is a perfect square;} \\ 2s(n), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we classify all values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the *ordered* elements of \mathcal{S}_n form an integral linear recurrence $(d_i)_{i=1}^{s(n)}$. A number n that satisfies this property is called a *recurrent* number.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11B37.

Key words and phrases. divisor, linear recurrence.

Example 1. Notice that 60 is a recurrent number since the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{60} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ satisfy the recurrence $d_i = 2d_{i-1} - d_{i-2}$. On the other hand, a simple computation or computer search would show that the smallest non-recurrent number is 36, as $\mathcal{S}_{36} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ does not satisfy a linear recurrence of order at most two.

Since 1 is the smallest divisor of n , we have $d_1 = 1$. To be able to easily determine whether \mathcal{S}_n forms a linear recurrence, we impose the condition that $s(n) \geq 5$, although we revisit the cases $s(n) \leq 4$ at the end of the paper. Furthermore, since the smallest nontrivial divisor of any natural number is prime, we henceforth assign $d_2 = p$ throughout the paper. We also let $U(1, p, a, b)$ be the linear recurrence which produces the divisors of some recurrent number n . Notice that simply knowing p, a, b allows us to determine all element in \mathcal{S}_n whenever n is recurrent.

d_1	1	(4)
d_2	p	
d_3	$ap + b$	
d_4	$(a^2 + b)p + ab$	
d_5	$(a^3 + 2ab)p + b(a^2 + b)$	

TABLE 1. First 5 values of $(d_i)_{i=1}^{s(n)}$ in terms of a, b, p .




FIGURE 1. The above tree represents the possible “configurations” of the first four divisors of a natural number $n \geq 1$, where $p < q < r$ are distinct primes. For example, this says that the first divisor must be 1, the second divisor must be some prime p , and the third divisor can either be p^2 or a second prime q , etc... Our approach makes use of this “tree” approach in determining the divisors d_i .

The results obtained by Ianucci [1] appear as special cases in this generalized characterization. This is because any arithmetic progression satisfies the second-order linear recurrence $d_i = 2d_{i-1} - d_{i-2}$. Many recurrent numbers will have small divisors forming a geometric

sequence $d_i = ad_{i-1}$, or a *bifurcated* geometric sequence, $d_i = bd_{i-2}$. However, unlike with arithmetic progressions, we will see that for recurrent numbers n , the value of $s(n)$ may be arbitrarily large. This changes the nature of the approach adopted in this paper. We begin by reducing cases on a, b and working with the values of $\gcd(a, b)$, as well as using the aforementioned tree approach.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Proposition 2. *Let a, b, n be positive integers such that $a \leq b$. If $ab|n$, then $a \in \mathcal{S}_n$.*

Proof. Since $ab|n$, it follows that a is a divisor of n . Moreover, we get that $ab \leq n$. However, $a^2 \leq ab \leq n$, and hence $a \leq \sqrt{n}$. \square

Theorem 3. *Given a recurrent number n associated with $U(1, p, a, b)$, at least one of the following statements is true:*

- (1) $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.
- (2) All nontrivial elements of \mathcal{S}_n are divisible by p .
- (3) $a = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\gcd(a, b) \neq 1$, so some prime divides both a and b .

If $p | \gcd(a, b)$, then we see that all nontrivial elements in \mathcal{S}_n are divisible by p , so the second possibility holds. If $p \nmid \gcd(a, b)$, then we obtain that $\gcd(a, b) | ap + b = d_3$ so $\gcd(a, b)$ is some prime q , and $d_3 = q$. Similarly, $q | d_4$ and thus $aq + bp = d_4$ must be pq . Equating, we get $a(p^2 - q) = 0$, hence $a = 0$. \square

Proposition 4. *If all nontrivial elements of \mathcal{S}_n are divisible by some prime p , then $n = p^k$ or $n = p^k q$ for some prime $q > p^k$.*

Proof. We proceed by considering two cases.

Case I: If all nontrivial divisors of n are divisible by p , then $n = p^k$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Case II: Assume that n has a divisor $q > \sqrt{n}$ that is not divisible by p .

If q is composite, then one of its prime divisors must be less than or equal to $\sqrt{q} < \sqrt{n}$, and must hence be divisible by p . This contradicts our assumption, and q must thus be prime.

We now prove uniqueness. If there exists another prime r in the interval (\sqrt{n}, n) that divides n , then qr must divide n but $qr > \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n} = n$, another contradiction.

Hence, q is the sole divisor of n that is not divisible by p , and thus we have that $n = p^k q$. \square

Proposition 5. *In a recurrent number n , if \mathcal{S}_n satisfies a recurrence $U(1, p, 0, b)$ (i.e., $a = 0$), then exactly one of the following possibilities holds, where p, q, r are primes and $k \in \mathbb{N}$:*

- (1) $n = p^k$
- (2) $n = pq^k$ for $p < q$.
- (3) $n = pq^k r$ with $p < q < pq^k < r$.

Proof. If $a = 0$, then \mathcal{S}_n forms a bifurcated series with $d_i = bd_{i-2}$, but taking $i = 3$ requires that $d_3 = b$ must be either p^2 or q (for a prime $q > p$). The first case gives rise to $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^r\}$, while the second implies that $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, pq, q^2, \dots, pq^l\}$ forms a bifurcated

sequence. Identical to the proof of Lemma 4, there is at most one prime divisor greater than \sqrt{n} that divides n , and hence the possibilities follow directly. \square

3. THE CASE $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

We now turn our attention to the major case where $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. We also assume, henceforth, that n has at least two distinct small prime factors - otherwise we are simply in the second and third cases of Theorem 3. In particular, $ab \neq 0$ since if one of the numbers is zero, then the other cannot have absolute value 1, as the sequence of small divisors cannot negative numbers and cannot be constant.

Lemma 6. *For all small divisors d_i of a recurrent number, $\gcd(b, d_i) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $q \mid \gcd(b, d_i)$ for some prime q and some index i . Notice that this $i \neq 1$. Similarly, if $i = 2$, then $p \mid b$ and inductively, all small divisors are divisible by p - this contradicts the assumption of having two distinct small prime factors.

Otherwise, $i \geq 3$ and $d_i = ad_{i-1} + bd_{i-2}$, hence $q \mid ad_{i-1}$. The coprimality of a and b implies that $q \mid d_{i-1}$. We can inductively show that smaller divisors, including $d_1 = 1$, are divisible by q , which is absurd. Hence the coprimality follows. \square

Corollary 7. *For all i such that d_i, d_{i+1} are small divisors of a recurrent number, we have $\gcd(d_i, d_{i+1}) = 1$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction. The base case clearly holds. For the inductive step, observe that

$$\gcd(d_i, d_{i+1}) = \gcd(d_i, ad_i + bd_{i-1}) = \gcd(d_i, bd_{i-1}).$$

The inductive hypothesis implies that $\gcd(d_i, d_{i-1}) = 1$, while Lemma 6 implies that $\gcd(d_i, b) = 1$, and hence the coprimality follows. \square

As a direct consequence of this, we conclude that d_3 cannot be p^2 in a recurrent number, and hence d_3 must be prime. Henceforth, we set $d_3 = q$.

Lemma 8. *All configurations of the first four non-trivial divisors (d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5) except possibly (p, q, p^2, r) , (p, q, r, p^2) and (p, q, r, s) , where p, q, r, s are distinct primes, are impossible in a recurrent number.*

Proof. We have already determined d_2, d_3 . Now, d_4 can be p^2, q^2, pq , or r (where r is another prime). By Corollary 7, it cannot be pq or q^2 . Hence, we have either $d_4 = p^2$ or $d_4 = r$.

If $d_4 = p^2$, then we can similarly see that the possibilities for the next divisor d_5 are p^3, q^2, pq, r for a prime r . However, Corollary 7 once again implies that p^3, pq are impossible. Similarly speaking, if $d_5 = q^2$, then since $d_5 = ap^2 + bq$ we would get that $q \mid ap^2$, and so, $q \mid a$. Yet, since $q = ap + b, q \mid a$ would imply that $q \mid b$ and thus contradicts Lemma 7. Hence, d_5 ought to be another prime, r .

If $d_4 = r$, then we see that our possibilities for the next divisor d_5 are p^2, pq, s , for a new prime s . A reasoning similar to above shows that $q \nmid d_5$, so the only possibilities left are those of $d_5 = p^2$ and $d_5 = s$. \square

Lemma 9. *The only pair $(u, x) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ that satisfies*

$$-ux^5 + (u + 3)x^4 - \frac{2u + 3}{u}x^3 + \frac{3u + 1}{u^2}x^2 - \frac{2}{u^2}x + \frac{1}{u^2} \geq x^2 + 1$$

is $(1, 2)$.

Proof. Define

$$P(x) := -ux^5 + (u+3)x^4 - \frac{2u+3}{u}x^3 + \frac{3u+1}{u^2}x^2 - \frac{2}{u^2}x + \frac{1}{u^2} - (x^2+1).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} P'(x) &= -5ux^4 + 4(u+3)x^3 - \frac{3(2u+3)}{u}x^2 + \frac{2(3u+1)}{u^2}x - \frac{2}{u^2} - 2x \\ &= -5ux^4 + 4(u+3)x^3 - \frac{3(2u+3)}{u}x^2 + \frac{-2u^2+6u+2}{u^2}x - \frac{2}{u^2} \end{aligned}$$

For $u \geq 4, x \geq 2$ we have that

$$5ux^4 \geq 10ux^3 \geq 4(u+3)x^3,$$

and in addition, we have $-\frac{3(2u+3)}{u}x^2, \frac{-2u^2+6u+2}{u^2}x, -\frac{2}{u^2}$ are each negative. Hence, $P'(x)$ is negative and for $u \geq 4$, the function $P(x)$ is strictly decreasing in the interval $[2, \infty)$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $P(2) < 0$. Hence, it suffices to simply consider $u \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. One may easily check that the only possible value is $u = 1$. Another simple computation reveals that the inequality only holds for $x = 2$. \square

Theorem 10. *The only recurrent number with configuration $(d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5) = (p, q, p^2, r)$ is 60.*

Proof. In this case, we have that

$$p^2 = d_4 = aq + bp = a(ap + b) + bp. \quad (5)$$

Since $p|ab$, yet by Lemma 6, $p \nmid b$ and hence $p|a$. Hence, $a = kp$ for some integer k . We can rewrite (5) as

$$k^2p^2 + b(k+1) = p,$$

which is equivalent to

$$b = \frac{p(1 - k^2p)}{k+1}.$$

However, since we know that $p \nmid b$, we obtain that $p|k+1$. In other words, there exists an integer u such that $k+1 = up$. From here, we are able to express a, b in terms of u, p , and use that to obtain r in terms of u, p only. We end up obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} a &= up^2 - p, \\ b &= \frac{1-p}{u} - up^3 + 2p^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r = ap^2 + bq = -up^5 + (u+3)p^4 - \frac{2u+3}{u}p^3 + \frac{3u+1}{u^2}p^2 - \frac{2}{u^2}p + \frac{1}{u^2}.$$

Similarly, we note that

$$u = \frac{p-1}{p^2-q} > 0.$$

Since $r = d_5 \geq d_4 + 1 = p^2 + 1$, Lemma 9 gives that $p = 2$, and substituting reveals $a = 2, b = -1$, and hence the first five divisors would be 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. This reduces to the case of an arithmetic sequence with common difference 1, which, by Lemma 2 of [1], implies that

$s(n) = 5$ or $s(n) = 6$. Having 5 divisors would still require that $n \geq \text{lcm}[1, 2, 3, 4, 5] = 60$, but 6 is a small divisor that does not appear in this set, but this contradicts the recurrency of n . When $s(n) = 6$, we have that n is a multiple of 60. However, if $n \geq 180$ then $12 \leq \sqrt{n}$ does not appear in the list of small divisors, contradicting the recurrency. Clearly, $n = 120$ is not recurrent either as $8 \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Hence, the only solution is $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ for when $n = 60$, which is indeed recurrent. \square

Corollary 11. *For an initial configuration (p, q, r, p^2) in a recurrent number, we have that $p|d_j$ if and only if $j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. Firstly, the statement holds for $d_2 = p$. Since $p^2 = d_5 = (a^3 + 2ab)p + b(a^2 + b)$, Lemma 6 implies that $p|(a^2 + b)$. Hence,

$$d_{i+3} = ad_{i+2} + bd_{i+1} = a(ad_{i+1} + bd_i) + bd_{i+1} = (a^2 + b)d_{i+1} + abd_i.$$

Lemma 6 once again gives us that $p|d_{i+3}$ if and only if $p|d_i$, which is the inductive step. \square

Theorem 12. *The configuration of divisors (p, q, r, p^2) cannot occur in a recurrent number.*

Proof. Assume otherwise, that is, there exists a recurrent number n of this configuration. We prove, by induction, that $s(n) \geq 3i + 2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This would be absurd as $s(n)$ grows endlessly.

The base case is already assumed. Assume that $s(n) \geq 3i + 2$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By Corollary 11, $p \nmid d_{3i}d_{3i+1}$ and since $\text{gcd}(d_{3i}, d_{3i+1}) = 1$ by Corollary 7, we have that $p^2d_{3i}d_{3i+1}$ divides n . By Proposition 2, pd_{3i} is a small divisor. We consider two cases.

Case I: $pd_{3i} = d_{3i+2}$. Hence, we obtain that $d_{3i}|ad_{3i+1}$, and by Lemma 7, we obtain that $d_{3i}|a$. Observe that the sequence $(d_i \pmod{a})$ takes values congruent to $1, p, b, bp, b^2, \dots$, and thus, d_{3i} divides an element of the form $b^k p$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the assumption and Lemma 6 yield

$$\text{gcd}(p, d_{3i+1}) = 1 = \text{gcd}(b, d_{3i+1}),$$

which is a contradiction.

Case II: $pd_{3i} > d_{3i+2}$, hence, $pd_{3i} \geq d_{3i+5}$ by Corollary 11. Therefore, $s(n) \geq 3i + 5$, and the induction is complete. \square

Lemma 13. *In a recurrent number of configuration (p, q, r, s) , the small divisors d_i, d_{i+2} are coprime.*

Proof. Assume a prime t divides $\text{gcd}(d_i, d_{i+2})$. Thus, we have that $t|ad_{i+1}$. Clearly, $t \nmid d_{i+1}$ by Lemma 7, and therefore, $t|a$. As such, we have that the sequence $(d_i \pmod{t})$ takes values congruent to $1, p, b, bp, b^2, \dots$ and hence we have that $t|b^k p$ for some $k \geq 0$. Yet, Lemma 6 implies that $\text{gcd}(b, t) = 1$, hence $t|p$ and so $t = p$. However, this would imply that $t|r = (a^2 + b)p + ab$, which is a contradiction as $p < r$ are distinct primes. \square

Theorem 14. *The configuration of divisors (p, q, r, s) cannot occur in a recurrent number.*

Proof. Assume that it does occur in some recurrent number n . Since $pq < pr$ are both small by Proposition (2), there exist at least three small divisors that are multiples of p . Let $d_j = pv$ be the greatest small divisor that is divisible by p . In particular, $v > p$. By Lemma 13, $p \nmid d_{j-2}d_{j-1}$, and we conclude that $d_{j-2}d_{j-1}pv|n$, so Proposition 2 implies that $pd_{j-2} \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Moreover, $pd_{j-2} \neq d_j$ by Lemma 13, and so $pd_{j-2} > d_j$ is a small divisor greater than d_j , a contradiction. \square

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, imposing the condition $s(n) \geq 5$ returns certain infinite families of recurrent integers n with at most 3 distinct prime divisors, in addition to the sole ‘‘sporadic’’ case of 60. We now revisit the condition we imposed and relax it to find all recurrent numbers by relating $s(n)$ to $\tau(n)$ using Equation (3).

- (i) $s(n) = 1$. Hence, $\tau(n) \in \{1, 2\}$. That is, $n = 1$ or $n = p$ for some prime p , and in both cases, n is vacuously recurrent.
- (ii) $s(n) = 2$. Thus, $\tau(n) \in \{3, 4\}$, and we have the possibilities that $n = p^2, p^3$, or pq for primes $p < q$. In all cases, n is vacuously recurrent.
- (iii) $s(n) = 3$. This implies $\tau(n) \in \{5, 6\}$. and hence the possibilities are $n = p^4$, $n = p^5$, $n = p^2q$ or $n = pq^2$ for primes $p < q$. These are again vacuously recurrent.
- (iv) $s(n) = 4$. Consequently, $\tau(n) \in \{7, 8\}$.
 - (I) If $\tau(n) = 7$, we get that $n = p^6$ and $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, p^2, p^3\}$ indeed forms a linear recurrence of order at most two.
 - (II) If $\tau(n) = 8$, the possibilities are p^7, p^3q, pq^3 and pqr for primes $p < q < r$.
 - (i) $n = p^7$: Here, n is recurrent by the aforementioned geometric recurrences.
 - (ii) $n = p^3q$: Here, Lemma 7 implies that the only possibility is $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, p^2\}$. Setting up a linear system of equations to solve for a, b , we deduce that it is both necessary and sufficient for $\frac{q-p}{p^2-q}$ to be an integer for primes $p < q < p^2$. Based on numerical evidence, we conjecture that this occurs for infinitely many pairs of primes (p, q) , but we defer analyzing this conjecture to another time.
 - (iii) $n = pq^3$: Clearly, we have that $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, pq\}$, but this is not possible by Lemma 7.
 - (iv) $n = pqr$: If $pq < r$, then $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, pq\}$ and the same argument above holds. If $pq > r$, then $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, r\}$. Setting up a linear system of equations, we see that it is both necessary and sufficient for $\frac{pq-r}{p^2-q}$ to be an integer.

We summarize our findings in the following result.

Theorem 15. *All recurrent numbers n fall into one of the following categories.*

- (1) $n = p^k$: for some prime p and a natural number k , hence $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, \dots, p^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}\}$.
- (2) $n = p^kq$: for some primes p, q and a natural number k , such that $q > p^k$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, \dots, p^k\}$.
- (3) $n = pq^k$: for some primes $p < q$, and k an odd (resp., even) natural number with $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, pq, q^2, \dots, pq^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\}$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, pq, q^2, \dots, q^{\frac{k}{2}}\}$).
- (4) $n = pq^k r$: for some primes p, q, r and a natural number k such that $p < q$ and $r > pq^k$, hence, $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, pq, \dots, pq^k\}$.
- (5) $n = 60$: with $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.
- (6) $n = p^3q$ for some primes p, q such that $p < q < p^2$ and $p^2 - q \mid q - p$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, p^2\}$.
- (7) $n = pqr$ for some primes p, q, r such that $p < q < r$ and $p^2 - q \mid pq - r$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1, p, q, r\}$.

Notice that \mathcal{S}_n may contain an arbitrarily long subset of divisors that form a second-order linear recurrence, without all of \mathcal{S}_n forming such a recurrence. Consider numbers of the form

$pq^k r^2$ where $p < q < r$ are primes and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $pq^k < r$. If r is large enough, we see that the divisors $1, p, q, pq, q^2, \dots$ may form an arbitrarily long linear recurrence that is interrupted by r , but n is not itself recurrent.

Finally, we briefly study the analytic distribution of recurrent numbers. Define $f(x)$ to be the number of recurrent integers in the interval $[1, x]$. The fact that recurrent numbers have at most 3 distinct prime divisors implies that they have null density among the natural numbers. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\pi_k(x)$ be the number of integers in $[1, x]$ having exactly k distinct prime factors. Hardy and Ramanujan [2] showed that there exist constants A, B such that

$$\pi_k(x) < \frac{Ax(\log \log x + B)^{k-1}}{(k-1)! \log x}.$$

In fact, Landau [3] proved that that

$$\pi_k(x) \sim \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)! \log x}.$$

Since $f(x) \leq \pi_1(x) + \pi_2(x) + \pi_3(x)$, we obtain that there exists some constant C such that for all $x \geq 1$,

$$f(x) \leq C \left(\frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x} + \frac{x(\log \log x)^2}{2 \log x} \right).$$

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Professor Haynes Miller for his feedback and support, and Murilo Curato Zanarella for guidance in dealing with the analytic distributions. I would also like to thank Abdeljalil Hezouat for his comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. IANUCCI, *When the small divisors of a natural number are in arithmetic progression*, *Integers* **18** (2018) Article 77.
- [2] S. RAMANUJAN, *Collected Papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, American Mathematical Soc., 2000, pp. 262–275.
- [3] E. LANDAU. *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, B. G. Teubner, 1909.

(Concerned with OEIS sequence [A346447](#).)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA
Email address: `chentouf@mit.edu`