

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF GRADED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES VIA LINKAGE

MARYAM JAHANGIRI, AZADEH NADALI, AND KHADIJEH SAYYARI*

ABSTRACT. Assume that $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ is a standard graded algebra over the local ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) , \mathfrak{a} is a homogeneous ideal of R , M is a finitely generated graded R -module and $R_+ := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$ denotes the irrelevant ideal of R . In this paper, we study the asymptotic behaviour of the set $\{\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a} \cap R_0, H_{R_+}^{\text{grade}(R_+, M)}(M)_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as $n \rightarrow -\infty$, in the case where \mathfrak{a} and R_+ are homogenously linked over M .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ be a positively graded commutative Noetherian ring, $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$ be the irrelevant ideal of R and M denotes a graded R -module. Also, assume that \mathfrak{a} is a homogeneous ideal of R . Then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the set of non-negative integers, the i -th local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} has a natural grading (our terminology on local cohomology comes from [3]). Also, in the case where M is finitely generated, it is well-known that the graded components of local cohomology modules $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$ of M with respect to the irrelevant ideal are trivial for sufficiently large values of n and they are finitely generated as R_0 -module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set of integers ([3, 16.1.5]). The asymptotic behaviour of the components $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$ when $n \rightarrow -\infty$ is more complicated and has been studied by many authors, too. See for example [1], [2], [4] and [8].

Assume that R is standard graded, i.e. R_0 is a commutative Noetherian ring and R is generated, as an R_0 -algebra, by finitely many elements of degree one, and M is finitely generated. In [4] the authors considered the problem of asymptotic behaviour of the set $\{\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^i(M)_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ when $n \rightarrow -\infty$, in the case where (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) is local and \mathfrak{a}_0 is a proper ideal of R_0 . They showed that this set is asymptotically stable in some special cases. In this paper, we consider this problem, via linkage.

Following [5], two homogeneous ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are said to be homogeneously linked (or h -linked) by I over M , denoted by $\mathfrak{a} \overset{h}{\sim}_{(I; M)} \mathfrak{b}$, if I is generated by a homogeneous M -regular sequence and $\mathfrak{a}M = IM :_M \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}M = IM :_M \mathfrak{a}$. This is a generalization of the classical concept of linkage of ideals introduced by Peskine and Szpiro [10].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A02, 13D45, 13C40, 13E05.

Key words and phrases. Graded local cohomology modules, Linkage of ideals, Relative Cohen-Macaulay modules.

*Corresponding author.

Assume that the homogeneous ideal \mathfrak{a} and R_+ are h -linked over M . We show that the set $\{\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a} \cap R_0, H_{R_+}^{\text{grade}(R_+, M)}(M)_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is asymptotically stable when $n \rightarrow -\infty$, and also we determine its stable value, in some cases, see Proposition 2.2. Also, in general case, we find a lower bound for this set. More precisely, let

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{R_+}(M) := \inf\{i \mid R_+ \not\subseteq \sqrt{0 : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)}\}$$

denotes the R_+ -finiteness dimension of M relative to \mathfrak{a} and $f_{R_+}(M) := f_{R_+}^{R_+}(M)$ be the finiteness dimension of M relative to R_+ . Then, we show that if $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{h}{\sim}_{(I; M)} R_+$ and $\text{grade}(R_+, M) = f_{R_+}(M)$ then $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a} \cap R_0, H_{R_+}^{\text{grade}(R_+, M)}(M)_n) \geq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - f_{R_+}(M)$ for all $n \ll 0$ (Theorem 2.4). As a corollary, we show that the graded components $H_{R_+}^{\text{grade}(R_+, M)}(M)_n$ are relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a} \cap R_0$ of degree $f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - f_{R_+}(M)$, in some certain cases (Corollary 2.5). Recall that a non-zero finitely generated R -module X is said to be relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to the ideal \mathfrak{c} of degree n if $H_{\mathfrak{c}}^i(X) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. At the end, we show that if (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) is local then the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}_0 R}^i(H_{R_+}^j(M))$ are Artinian, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, when R_+ is linked by an \mathfrak{m}_0 -primary ideal (Proposition 2.6).

Throughout the paper, $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ is a standard graded ring over the local base ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) , M is a finitely generated graded R -module with $M \neq \Gamma_{R_+}(M)$. Also, \mathfrak{a} denotes a proper homogeneous ideal of R and we set $\mathfrak{a}_0 := \mathfrak{a} \cap R_0$.

2. THE RESULTS

First, we recall the concept of homogeneously linked ideals from [5], as well as some basic definitions which will be used later in the paper.

Definitions and Remark 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{b} be a second homogeneous ideal of R .*

- (i) *Assume that $\mathfrak{a}M \neq M \neq \mathfrak{b}M$ and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal generated by a homogeneous M -regular sequence. Then we say that the ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are homogeneously linked (or h -linked) by I over M , denoted $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{h}{\sim}_{(I; M)} \mathfrak{b}$, if $\mathfrak{b}M = IM :_M \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}M = IM :_M \mathfrak{b}$. The ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are said to be geometrically h -linked by I over M if, in addition, $\mathfrak{a}M \cap \mathfrak{b}M = IM$. Also, we say that the ideal \mathfrak{a} is h -linked over M if there exist homogeneous ideals \mathfrak{b} and I of R such that $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{h}{\sim}_{(I; M)} \mathfrak{b}$. \mathfrak{a} is h - M -selflinked by I if $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{h}{\sim}_{(I; M)} \mathfrak{a}$.*
- (ii) *Following [3, 9.1.5], the \mathfrak{b} -finiteness dimension of M relative to \mathfrak{a} is defined to be*

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq \sqrt{0 : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)}\}.$$

In the case where $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$, in view of [3, 9.1.3], this invariant equals the finiteness dimension of M relative to \mathfrak{a} . That is

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \text{ is not finitely generated}\}.$$

In the next proposition, we want to study the stability of the set $\{\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, of integers where $n \rightarrow -\infty$. This problem has already considered in [4]. Note that, by [3, 6.2.7] and [5, 3.16(ii)], $\text{grade}(R_+, M) \leq f_{R_+}(M) \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \overset{h}{\sim}_{(I;M)} R_+$ and set $t := \text{grade}(R_+, M)$. If $f_{R_+}(M) \neq t$ or $f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) = t$, then $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)_n) = 0$ for all $n \ll 0$.*

Proof. By the homogeneous Mayer-Vietoris sequence and [6, 2.2], we have the following homogeneous exact sequence of R -modules

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_I^{i-1}(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^i(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \oplus H_{R_+}^i(M) \longrightarrow H_I^i(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

It yields

$$(2.2) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^i(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \oplus H_{R_+}^i(M) \quad \text{for all } i \gtrsim t + 1$$

and, by [3, 6.2.7], the exact sequence

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^t(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M) \oplus H_{R_+}^t(M) \longrightarrow H_I^t(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{t+1}(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M) \oplus H_{R_+}^{t+1}(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

These, result that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ and $H_{R_+}^i(M)$ are respectively R_+ -torsion and \mathfrak{a} -torsion for all $i \neq t$. So, if $f_{R_+}(M) \neq t$, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)) = H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)$. Therefore, by [3, 14.1.12] and [1, 4.8],

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}_0}(H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)_n) = H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)_n \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \ll 0.$$

This, in view of [3, 6.2.7], prove the claim.

Now, assume that $f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) = t$. By [9, 3.4],

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(H_{R_+}^t(M)) \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\Gamma_{R_+}(H_I^t(M))) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}(H_I^t(M)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^t(M).$$

So, using [4, 2.2] and [3, 14.1.12], $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}_0}(H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \neq 0$ for all $n \ll 0$. This implies that $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)_n) = 0$ for all $n \ll 0$, as desired. \square

Let $N = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n$ be a graded R -module. Then following [8], N is called finitely graded if $N_n = 0$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, we set

$$g_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) := \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N) \text{ is finitely graded for all } i < k\}.$$

Remark 2.3. *Note that, by [8, 2.3], if N is finitely generated, then $g_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{R_+}(N)$.*

In view of [5, 3.17], if $\mathfrak{a} \overset{h}{\sim}_{(I;M)} R_+$ and one of the conditions of proposition 2.2 holds, then $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}(M)}(M)_n) = f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - f_{R_+}(M)$ for all $n \ll 0$. In the next theorem and corollary, we consider a case where $\mathfrak{a} \overset{h}{\sim}_{(I;M)} R_+$ and none of the conditions of 2.2 establish.

We show that $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}^{R_+}(M)}(M)_n)$ is bounded below for all $n \ll 0$ and also, in a special case, it is asymptotically stable, as $n \rightarrow -\infty$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \underset{(I;M)}{\sim} R_+$ and assume that $\text{grade}(R_+, M) = f_{R_+}(M) < f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M)$. Then $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^{f_{R_+}^{R_+}(M)}(M)_n) \geq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - f_{R_+}(M)$ for all $n \ll 0$.*

Proof. Set $t := \text{grade}(R_+, M)$. Note that, in view of [5, 3.16(ii)], $f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) \geq t + 1$. Now, consider the following convergence of spectral sequences [11, 11.38],

$$E_2^{i,j} = H_{\mathfrak{a}_0 R}^i(H_{R_+}^j(M)) \xrightarrow{i} H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{i+j}(M).$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), $H_{R_+}^j(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -torsion for all $j \neq t$. As a result,

$$(2.4) \quad E_2^{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and all } j \neq t,$$

and the above convergence of spectral sequences yields

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}_0}(H_{R_+}^{t+i}(M)_n) = (E_2^{0,t+i})_n = \dots = (E_{i+1}^{0,t+i})_n \supseteq \ker(d_{i+1}^{0,t+i})_n = (E_{i+2}^{0,t+i})_n = (E_\infty^{0,t+i})_n,$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, if $1 \leq i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t$ then, by (2.2), (2.3) and 2.3, $H_{R_+}^{i+t}(M)$ is finitely graded and (2.5) implies that

$$(E_2^{0,t+i})_n = (E_\infty^{0,t+i})_n = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t \text{ and all } n \ll 0.$$

Also, using the concept of convergence of spectral sequences and 2.3, we have

$$0 = H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{i+t}(M)_n \cong (E_\infty^{i,t})_n = (E_2^{i,t})_n = H_{\mathfrak{a}_0}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M)_n),$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t$ and all $n \ll 0$. In addition, in view of (2.4) and the assumption on t ,

$$0 = H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^t(M)_n \cong (E_\infty^{0,t})_n = (E_2^{0,t})_n = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}_0}(H_{R_+}^t(M)_n), \quad \text{for all } n \ll 0.$$

Therefore, by [3, 6.2.7],

$$\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \geq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t \quad \text{for all } n \ll 0.$$

□

Let N be an R -module. The cohomological dimension of N with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined to be

$$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, N) := \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N) \neq 0\}.$$

A finitely generated R -module X is called relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to the ideal \mathfrak{b} of degree n if $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(X) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$.

In the next item, using the above theorem, we show that the graded components $H_{R_+}^{\text{grade}(R_+, M)}(M)_n$ are relative Cohen-Macaulay R_0 -modules with respect to \mathfrak{a}_0 , in some special cases.

Corollary 2.5. *Let the situations be as in theorem 2.4 and set $t := \text{grade}(R_+, M)$. Then in each of the following cases, $H_{R_+}^t(M)_n$ is a relative Cohen-Macaulay R_0 -module with respect to \mathfrak{a}_0 of degree $f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t$ for all $n \ll 0$, i.e. $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) = f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t$ for all $n \ll 0$.*

- (i) M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a} + R_+$.
- (ii) \mathfrak{a} is generated by homogeneous elements of degree 0.
- (iii) $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) \in \{t, t + 1\}$.

Proof. (i) By hypothesis and [5, 3.15(ii)], $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + R_+, M) = f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M)$. Since $H_I^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq t$, using a homogeneous Mayer-Vietoris sequence and [6, 2.2] we have the following homogeneous exact sequences of R -modules

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^t(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M) \oplus H_{R_+}^t(M) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow H_I^t(M) \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

where X is a submodule of $H_I^t(M)$ and Y is a submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{t+1}(M)$.

Now, by affecting $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ on exact sequences (2.6) and (2.7) and using [9, 3.4], the following isomorphisms have been obtained

$$(2.8) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(H_I^t(M)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+t}(M), \quad \text{for all } i > 1.$$

Also, using [6, 2.3], $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) \leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + R_+, M) = f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M)$. Therefore,

$$(2.9) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t.$$

On the other hand, by [3, 14.1.12] and the fact that $H_{R_+}^t(M)$ is R_+ -torsion, we have

$$(2.10) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M))_n \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}_0+R_+}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M))_n \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}_0R}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M))_n \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}_0}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M))_n$$

for all i and all n . Therefore, by (2.9),

$$\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, using 2.4, the result follows.

- (ii) Since M is finitely generated, by (2.8), [3, 14.1.12] and [7, Theorem 1],

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}_0}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M))_n \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}_0}^{i+t}(M)_n = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 1 \text{ and all } n \ll 0.$$

So, $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \ll 0$. Also, by 2.4, $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_0, H_{R_+}^t(M)_n) \geq f_{\mathfrak{a}+R_+}^{R_+}(M) - t \geq 1$, for all $n \ll 0$. This proves the claim.

- (iii) By hypothesis and (2.8), $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M)) = 0$ for all $i > 1$ and the statement holds as part (ii).

□

The cohomological finite length dimension of M is defined to be

$$g(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid l_{R_0}(H_{R_+}^i(M)_n) = \infty \text{ for infinitely many } n\},$$

where $l_{R_0}(H_{R_+}^i(M)_n)$ is the length of the R_0 -module $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$.

The following proposition considers Artinianness of some local cohomology modules with respect to linked ideals as well as the stability of a set of integers.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that \mathfrak{q}_0 is an \mathfrak{m}_0 -primary ideal of R_0 such that $\mathfrak{q}_0 R \stackrel{h}{\sim}_{(I;M)} R_+$ and set $t := \text{grade}(R_+, M)$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *The set $\{\dim_{R_0} M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of integers is asymptotically stable for $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and it equals t , i.e. $\dim_{R_0} M_n = t$ for all $n \gg 0$.*
- (ii) *$H_{R_+}^j(M)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}_0 R}^j(M)$ are Artinian R -modules for all $j \neq t$. Also, if $g(M) < \infty$, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}_0 R}^i(H_{R_+}^j(M))$ is Artinian for all i and all j and that $g(M) = t$.*

Proof. (i) Since M is finitely generated, by [7, Theorem 1], $R_1 M_n = M_{n+1}$ for all $n \gg 0$. It shows that $\dim_{R_0} M_n \geq \dim_{R_0} M_{n+1}$ for all $n \gg 0$. Thus, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\dim_{R_0} M_n = l \quad \text{for all } n \gg 0.$$

On the other hand, by [3, 14.1.12] and [5, 3.9],

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}_0 R}^i(M_n) \cong H_{\mathfrak{q}_0}^i(M_n) \cong H_{\mathfrak{q}_0 R}^i(M)_n = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq t \text{ and all } n \gg 0.$$

So, using [3, 6.1.4], $l = t$.

- (ii) The first statement holds by [3, 7.1.3], (2.2) and (2.3). (2.2) and (2.3), also, ensure that $H_{R_+}^j(M)$ is $\mathfrak{m}_0 R$ -torsion for all $j \neq t$, so $H_{\mathfrak{m}_0 R}^i(H_{R_+}^j(M))$ is Artinian for all i and all $j \neq t$.

For the case $j = t$, according to the definition of $g(M)$, $t \leq g(M)$. So, by [4, 2.4], $H_{\mathfrak{m}_0 R}^i(H_{R_+}^t(M))$ is Artinian for $i = 0, 1$ and also it does by [3, 1.2.3] and (2.8) for all $i > 1$.

Moreover, since $H_{R_+}^j(M)$ is Artinian for all $j \neq t$, by [7, Theorem 1], $H_{R_+}^j(M)_n$ is an Artinian R_0 -module for all $j \neq t$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, using [3, 16.1.5], $l_{R_0}(H_{R_+}^j(M)_n) < \infty$ for all $j \neq t$. As a result $g(M) = t$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brodmann. Asymptotic behaviour of cohomology: Tameness, supports and associated primes. In S. Ghorpade, H. Srinivasan, and J. Verma, editors, Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, volume 390, pages 31-61, 2005. Contemporary Mathematics.
- [2] M. Brodmann and M. Hellus. Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 172:165-182, 2002.
- [3] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp. Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications, volume 136 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge university press, 2012.

- [4] S. H. Hassanzadeh, M. Jahangiri, and H. Zakeri. Asymptotic behaviour and artinian property of graded local cohomology modules. *Communications in Algebra*, 37(11):4095-4102, 2009.
- [5] M. Jahangiri, A. Nadali, and Kh. Sayyari. Graded local cohomology modules with respect to the linked ideals. arxiv: 2102.09015.
- [6] M. Jahangiri and Kh. Sayyari. Cohomological dimension with respect to the linked ideals. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*. DOI: 10.1142/s0219498821501048.
- [7] D. Kirby. Artinian modules and hilbert polynomials. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 24(1):47-57, 1973.
- [8] T. Marley. Finitely graded local cohomology and the depths of graded algebras. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 123(12):3601-3607, 1995.
- [9] U. Nagel and P. Schenzel. Cohomological annihilators and castelnuovo-mumford regularity. *Commutative Algebra*, 159:307-328, 1994.
- [10] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro. Liaison des variétés algébriques. I. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 26(4):271-302, 1974.
- [11] J. J. Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Academic Press, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND COMPUTER, KHARAZMI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: jahangiri@khu.ac.ir, jahangiri.maryam@gmail.com

Email address: a.nadali1984@gmail.com

Email address: std_sayyari@khu.ac.ir, sayyarikh@gmail.com