





On the Upward Book Thickness Problem: Combinatorial and Complexity Results

Sujoy Bhore¹, Giordano Da Lozzo²,
Fabrizio Montecchiani³, and Martin Nöllenburg⁴

¹ Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India
sujoy.bhore@gmail.com

² Roma Tre University, Rome, Italy
giordano.dalozzo@uniroma3.it

³ Department of Engineering, University of Perugia, Italy
fabrizio.montecchiani@unipg.it

⁴ Algorithms and Complexity Group, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria
noellenburg@ac.tuwien.ac.at

Abstract. A long-standing conjecture by Heath, Pemmaraju, and Trenk states that the upward book thickness of outerplanar DAGs is bounded above by a constant. In this paper, we show that the conjecture holds for subfamilies of upward outerplanar graphs, namely those whose underlying graph is an internally-triangulated outerpath or a cactus, and those whose biconnected components are *st*-outerplanar graphs. On the complexity side, it is known that deciding whether a graph has upward book thickness k is NP-hard for any fixed $k \geq 3$. We show that the problem, for any $k \geq 5$, remains NP-hard for graphs whose domination number is $O(k)$, but it is FPT in the vertex cover number.

1 Introduction

A *k*-page book embedding (or *k*-stack layout) of an n -vertex graph $G = (V, E)$ is a pair $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ consisting of a bijection $\pi: V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$, defining a total order on V , and a page assignment $\sigma: E \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$, partitioning E into k subsets $E_i = \{e \in E \mid \sigma(e) = i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) called *pages* (or *stacks*) such that no two edges $uv, wx \in E$ mapped to the same page $\sigma(uv) = \sigma(wx)$ cross in the following sense. Assume, w.l.o.g., $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$ and $\pi(w) < \pi(x)$ as well as $\pi(u) < \pi(w)$. Then uv and wx *cross* if $\pi(u) < \pi(w) < \pi(v) < \pi(x)$, i.e., their endpoints interleave. The *book thickness* (or *stack number*) of G is the smallest k for which G admits a k -page book embedding. Book embeddings and book thickness of graphs are well-studied topics in graph drawing and graph theory [11, 17, 24, 29]. For instance, it is NP-complete to decide for $k \geq 2$ if the book thickness of a graph is at most k [7, 11] and it is known that planar graphs have book thickness at most 4 [30]; this bound has recently been shown tight [6]. More in general, the book thickness of graphs of genus g is $O(\sqrt{g})$ [25] and constant upper bounds are known for some families of non-planar graphs [4, 5, 18].

Upward book embeddings (UBEs) are a natural extension of book embeddings to directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) with the additional requirement that the vertex order π respects the directions of all edges, i.e., $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$ for each $uv \in E$ (and hence G must be acyclic). Thus the ordering induced by π is a topological ordering of V . Book embeddings with different constraints on the vertex ordering have also been studied in [2, 3, 20]. Analogously to book embeddings, the *upward book thickness* (UBT) of a DAG G is defined as the smallest k for which G admits a k -page UBE. The notion of upward book embeddings is similar to upward planar drawings [14, 16], i.e., crossing-free drawings, where additionally each directed edge uv must be a y -monotone curve from u to v . Upward book embeddings have been introduced by Heath et al. [22, 23]. They showed that graphs with UBT 1 can be recognized in linear time, whereas Binucci et al. [9] proved that deciding the UBT of a graph is generally NP-complete, even for fixed values of $k \geq 3$. On the positive side, deciding if a graph admits a 2-page UBE can be solved in polynomial time for st -graphs of bounded treewidth [9].

Constant upper bounds on the UBT are known for some graph classes: directed trees have UBT 1 [23], unicyclic DAGs, series-parallel DAGs, and N -free upward planar DAGs have UBT 2 [1, 15, 23, 26]. Frati et al. [19] studied UBEs of upward planar triangulations and gave several conditions under which they have constant UBT. Interestingly, upward planarity is a necessary condition to obtain constant UBT, as there is a family of planar, but non-upward planar, DAGs that require $\Omega(n)$ pages in any UBE [21]. Back in 1999, Heath et al. [23] conjectured that the UBT of outerplanar graphs is bounded by a constant, regardless of their upward planarity. Another long-standing open problem [28] is whether upward planar DAGs have constant UBT; in this respect, examples with a lower bound of 5 pages are known [27] and there is no known upper bound better than $O(n)$.

Contributions. In this paper, we contribute to the research on the upward book thickness problem from two different directions. We first report some notable progress towards the conjecture of Heath et al. [23]. We consider subfamilies of upward outerplanar graphs (see Section 2 for definitions), namely those whose underlying graph is an internally-triangulated outerpath or a cactus, and those whose biconnected components are st -outerplanar graphs, and provide constant upper bounds on their UBT (Section 3). Our proofs are constructive and give rise to polynomial-time book embedding algorithms. We then investigate the complexity of the problem (Section 4) and show that for any $k \geq 5$ it remains NP-complete for graphs whose domination number is in $O(k)$. On the positive side, we prove that the upward book thickness problem is fixed-parameter tractable in the vertex cover number. These two results narrow the gap between tractable and intractable parameterizations of the problem. Proofs of statements marked with a (\star) have been sketched or omitted and are in the appendix.

2 Preliminaries

We assume familiarity with basic concepts in graph drawing (see also [13]).

BC-tree. The *BC-tree* of a connected graph G is the incidence graph between the (maximal) biconnected components of G , called *blocks*, and the cut-vertices of G . A block is *trivial* if it consists of a single edge, otherwise it is *non-trivial*.

Outerplanarity. An *outerplanar graph* is a graph that admits an *outerplanar drawing*, i.e., a planar drawing in which all vertices are on the outer face, which defines an *outerplanar embedding*. Unless otherwise specified, we will assume our graphs to have planar or outerplanar embeddings. An outerplanar graph G is *internally triangulated* if it is biconnected and all its inner faces are cycles of length 3. An edge of G is *outer* if it belongs to the outer face of G , and it is *inner* otherwise. A *cactus* is a connected outerplanar graph in which any two simple cycles have at most one vertex in common. Therefore, the blocks of a cactus graph are either single edges (and hence trivial) or cycles. The *weak dual* \overline{G} of a planar graph G is the graph having a node for each inner face of G , and an edge between two nodes if and only if the two corresponding faces share an edge. For an outerplanar graph G , its weak dual \overline{G} is a tree. If \overline{G} is a path, then G is an *outerpath*. A *fan* is an internally-triangulated outerpath whose inner edges all share an end-vertex.

Directed graphs. A *directed graph* $G = (V, E)$, or *digraph*, is a graph whose edges have an orientation. We assume each edge $e = uv$ of G to be oriented from u to v , and hence denote u and v as the *tail* and *head* of e , respectively. A vertex u of G is a *source* (resp. a *sink*) if it is the tail (resp. the head) of all its incident edges. If u is neither a source nor a sink of G , then it is *internal*. A *DAG* is a digraph that contains no directed cycle. An *st-DAG* is a DAG with a single source s and a single sink t ; if needed, we may use different letters to denote s and t . A digraph is *upward (outer)planar*, if it has a (outer)planar drawing such that each edge is a y -monotone curve. Such a drawing (if any) defines an upward (outer)planar embedding. An upward planar digraph G (with an upward planar embedding) is always a DAG and it is *bimodal*, that is, the sets of incoming and outgoing edges at each vertex v of G are contiguous around v (see also [13]). The *underlying graph* of a digraph is the graph obtained by disregarding the edge orientations. An *st-outerplanar graph* (resp. *st-outerpath*) is an *st-DAG* whose underlying graph is outerplanar graph (resp. an outerpath). An *st-fan* is an *st-DAG* whose underlying graph is a fan and whose inner edges have s as an end-vertex.

Lemma 1 (\star). *Let G be an upward outerplanar graph and let c be a cut-vertex of G . Then there are at most two blocks of G for which c is internal.*

Basic operations. Let π and π' be two orderings over vertex sets V and $V' \subseteq V$, respectively. Then π *extends* π' if for any two vertices $u, v \in V'$ with $\pi'(u) < \pi'(v)$, it holds $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$. We may denote an ordering π as a list $\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|V|} \rangle$

and use the *concatenation operator* \circ to define an ordering from other lists, e.g., we may obtain the ordering $\pi = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle$ as $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$, where $\pi_1 = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ and $\pi_2 = \langle v_3, v_4 \rangle$. Also, let π be an ordering over V and let $u \in V$. We denote by π_{u^-} and π_{u^+} the two orderings such that $\pi = \pi_{u^-} \circ \langle u \rangle \circ \pi_{u^+}$. Consider two orderings π over V and π' over V' with $V \cap V' = \{u, v\}$, and such that: (i) u and v are consecutive in π , and (ii) u and v are the first and the last vertex of π' , respectively. The ordering π^* over $V \cup V'$ obtained by *merging* π and π' is $\pi^* = \pi_{u^-} \circ \pi' \circ \pi_{v^+}$. Note that π^* extends both π and π' .

3 Book thickness of outerplanar graphs

In this section, we study the UBT of three families of upward outerplanar graphs. We begin with internally-triangulated upward outerpaths (Section 3.1), which are biconnected and may have multiple sources and sinks. We then continue with families of outerplanar graphs that are not biconnected but whose biconnected components have a simple structure, namely outerplanar graphs whose biconnected components are *st*-DAGs (Section 3.2), and cactus graphs (Section 3.3).

3.1 Internally-triangulated upward outerpaths

In this subsection, we assume our graphs to be internally triangulated. We will exploit the following definition and lemmas for our constructions.

Definition 1. *Let G be an *st*-outerpath and uv be an outer edge different from st . An UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ of G is *uv-consecutive* if the following properties hold: (i) u and v are consecutive in π , (ii) the edges incident to s lie on one page, and (iii) the edges incident to t lie on at most two pages.*

An *st*-outerplanar graph is *one-sided* if the edge st is an outer edge.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a one-sided *st*-outerplanar graph. Then, G admits a 1-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ that is *uv-consecutive* for each outer edge $uv \neq st$.*

Proof. Consider the path of the outer face of G that encompasses all vertices of G and does not contain the edge st . Let $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ be the 1-page UBE in which π is the ordering defined by such path. One easily verifies that no two edges cross and that the endpoints u, v of each outer edge $uv \neq st$ are consecutive in π .

Lemma 3. *Let G be an *st*-fan and let $uv \neq st$ be an outer edge of G . Then, G admits a 2-page *uv-consecutive* UBE.*

Proof. Let $P_\ell = \{s, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, t\}$ and $P_r = \{s, b_1, \dots, b_r, t\}$ be the left and right *st*-paths of the outer face of G , respectively. Then the edge uv belongs to either P_ℓ or P_r . We show how to construct an UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ of G that satisfies the requirements of the lemma, when uv belongs to P_ℓ (see Fig. 1); the construction when uv belongs to P_r is symmetric (it suffices to flip the embedding along st).




Fig. 1. Cases for the proof of [Lemma 3](#). The edge uv is dashed. In all figures, the drawings are upward, hence the edge orientations are implied.

Since $uv \neq st$, we have either (a) $u = s$ and $v \neq t$, or (b) $v = t$ and $u \neq s$, or (c) $\{u, v\} \cap \{s, t\} = \emptyset$. In case (a), refer to [Fig. 1\(a\)](#). We set $\pi = \langle s, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, b_1, \dots, b_r, t \rangle$ (that is, we place P_ℓ before P_r), $\sigma(e) = 1$ for each edge $e \neq a_\ell t$, and $\sigma(a_\ell t) = 2$. In case (b), refer to [Fig. 1\(b\)](#). We set $\pi = \langle s, b_1, \dots, b_r, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, t \rangle$ (that is, we place P_ℓ after P_r), $\sigma(e) = 1$ for each edge $e \neq b_r t$, and $\sigma(b_r t) = 2$. In case (c), we can set π and σ as in any of case (a) and (b). In all three cases, all outer edges (including uv) are consecutive, except for one edge e incident to t ; also, all edges (including those incident to s) are assigned to the same page, except for e , which is assigned to a second page.

The next definition allows us to split an st -outerpath into two simpler graphs. The *extreme faces* of an st -outerpath G are the two faces that correspond to the vertices of \overline{G} having degree one.

Definition 2. An st -outerpath G is *primary* if and only if the path forming \overline{G} has one extreme face incident to s .

Let G' be an st -outerpath and refer to [Fig. 2\(a\)](#). Consider the subgraph F_s of G' induced by s and its neighbors, note that this is an sw -fan. Assuming $F_s \neq G'$, and since G' is an outerpath, one or two edges on the outer face of F_s are separation pairs for G' . In the former case, it follows that G' is primary, since $\overline{G'}$ is a path having one face of F_s as its extreme face. In the latter case, since G' has a single source s and a single sink t , (at least) one of the two separation pairs splits G' into a one-sided uv -outerpath H_1 (for some vertices u, v of F_s) and into a primary st -outerpath G . We will call H_1 , the *appendage at uv* of G' .

Let G be an st -outerpath (not necessarily primary). Consider a subgraph F of G that is an xy -fan (for some vertices x, y of G). Let $\langle f_1, \dots, f_h \rangle$ be the ordered




Fig. 2. (a) Decomposing G' into an appendage H_1 (light blue) and a primary st -outerpath G (light gray). (b) An st -fan decomposition of G with differently colored fans, and fat edges e_i . (c) A UBE of G for the proof of Lemma 6.

list of faces forming the path \overline{G} . Note that F is the subgraph of G formed by a subset of faces that are consecutive in the path $\langle f_1, \dots, f_h \rangle$. Let f_i be the face of F with the highest index. We say that F is *incrementally maximal* if $i = h$ or $F \cup f_{i+1}$ is not an xy -fan. We state another key definition; refer to Fig. 2(b).

Definition 3. An st -fan decomposition of an st -outerpath G is a sequence of $s_i t_i$ -fans $F_i \subseteq G$, with $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that: (i) F_i is incrementally maximal; (ii) For any $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, F_i and F_j do not share any edge if $j > i + 1$, while F_i and F_{i+1} share a single edge, which we denote by e_i ; (iii) $s_1 = s$; (iv) the tail of e_i is s_{i+1} ; (v) edge $e_i \neq s_i t_i$; and (vi) $\bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i = G$.

We next show that primary st -outerpaths always have st -fan decompositions.

Lemma 4 (\star). Every primary st -outerpath G admits an st -fan decomposition.

Proof (Sketch). By Definition 2, one extreme face of \overline{G} is incident to s ; we denote such face by f_1 , and the other extreme face of \overline{G} by f_h . We construct the st -fan decomposition as follows. We initialize $F_1 = f_1$ and we parse the faces of G in the order defined by \overline{G} from f_1 to f_h . Let F_i be the current $s_i t_i$ -fan and let f_j be the last visited face (for some $1 < j < h$). If $F_i \cup f_{j+1}$ is an $s_i t_i$ -fan, we set $F_i = F_i \cup f_{j+1}$, otherwise we finalize F_i and initialize $F_{i+1} = f_j$.

Lemma 5 (\star). Let G be a primary st -outerpath and let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be an st -fan decomposition of G . Any two fans F_i and F_{i+1} are such that if F_{i+1} is not one-sided, then $e_i = s_{i+1} t_i$.

Lemma 6. Let G be a primary st -outerpath and let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be an st -fan decomposition of G . Also, let $e \neq s_k t_k$ be an outer edge of F_k . Then, G admits a 4-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$.

Proof. We construct a 4-page e -consecutive UBE of G by induction on k ; see also Fig. 2(c), which shows a UBE of the primary st -outerpath in Fig. 2(b).

Suppose $k = 1$. Then G consists of the single s_1t_1 -fan and a 2-page e -consecutive UBE of G exists by Lemma 3.

Suppose now $k > 1$. Let G_i be the subgraph of G induced by $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_i$, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Recall that e_i is the edge shared by F_i and F_{i+1} and that the tail of e_i coincides with s_{i+1} . Let $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ be a 4-page e_{k-1} -consecutive UBE of G_{k-1} , which exists by induction since $e_{k-1} \neq s_{k-1}t_{k-1}$ by condition (v) of Definition 3, and distinguish whether F_k is one-sided or not.

If F_k is one-sided, it admits a 1-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ by Lemma 2. In particular, $e \neq e_{k-1}$, since e_{k-1} is not an outer edge of G_k . Also, $e_{k-1} = s_k t_k$, and hence the two vertices shared by π and π' are s_k, t_k , which are consecutive in π and are the first and the last vertex of π' . Then we define a 4-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi^*, \sigma^* \rangle$ of G_k as follows. The ordering π^* is obtained by merging π' and π . Since $e_{k-1} = s_k t_k$ is uncrossed (over all pages of σ), for every edge e of F_k , we set $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma(e_{k-1})$, while for every other edge e we set $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma(e)$.

If F_k is not one-sided, by Lemma 3, F_k admits a 2-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$. Then we obtain a 4-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi^*, \sigma^* \rangle$ of G_k as follows. By Lemma 5, it holds $e_{k-1} = s_k t_{k-1}$, and thus s_k and t_{k-1} are the second-to-last and the last vertex in π , respectively; also, s_k is the first vertex in π' . We set $\pi^* = \pi_{t_k} \circ \pi'$. Since $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ is a e_{k-1} -consecutive UBE of G_{k-1} , by Definition 1 we know that the edges incident to t_{k-1} can use up to two different pages. On the other hand, these are the only edges that can be crossed by an edge of F_k assigned to one of these two pages. Therefore, in Lemma 3, we can assume σ' uses the two pages not used by the edges incident to t_{k-1} , and set $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma'(e)$ for every edge e of F_k and $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma(e)$ for every other edge.

Next we show how to reinsert the appendage H_1 (Lemma 8). To this aim, we first provide a more general tool (Lemma 7) that will be useful also in Section 3.2.

Lemma 7 (\star). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a primary st -outerpath with a 4-page e -consecutive UBE of G obtained by using Lemma 6, for some outer edge e of G . For $i = 1, \dots, h$, let $H_i = (V_i, E_i)$ be a one-sided $u_i v_i$ -outerplanar graph such that $E \cap E_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$. Then $G' = G \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_h$ admits a 4-page e -consecutive UBE, as long as $e \neq e_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, h$).*

Lemma 8 (\star). *Let $G' = G \cup H_1$ be an st -outerpath, such that G is a primary st -outerpath and H_1 is the appendage at w of G' . Let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be an st -fan decomposition of G . Let e be an outer edge of G' that belongs to either F_k or H_1 , or to F_1 if $H_1 = \emptyset$. Also, if $e \in F_k$, then $e \neq s_k t_k$, otherwise $e \neq s_1 t_1$. Then, G' admits an e -consecutive 4-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$.*

We now define a decomposition of an upward outerpath G ; refer to Fig. 3. Let $P \subset G$ be an st -outerpath, then P is the subgraph of G formed by a subset of consecutive faces of $\bar{G} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_h \rangle$. Let f_j and $f_{j'}$ be the faces of P with the smallest and highest index, respectively. Let F^i be the incrementally maximal




Fig. 3. An st -outerpath decomposition of an upward outerpath; edges e_i are fat (e_1

$s^i t^i$ -fan of P_i (assuming $\langle f_j, \dots, f_{j'} \rangle$ to be the ordered list of faces of $\overline{P_i}$). We say that P is *incrementally maximal* if $i = h$ or if $P \cup f_{i+1}$ is not an st -outerpath or if $P \cup f_{i+1}$ is still an st -outerpath but the edge $s^i t^i$ of F^i is an outer edge of P .

Definition 4. An st -outerpath decomposition of an upward outerpath G is a sequence of $s_i t_i$ -outerpaths $P_i \subseteq G$, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that: (i) P_i is incrementally maximal; (ii) For any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, P_i and P_j share a single edge if $j = i + 1$, which we denote by e_i , while they do not share any edge otherwise; and (iii) $\bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i = G$.

Lemma 9 (\star). Every upward outerpath admits an st -outerpath decomposition.

An st -outerpath that is not a single st -fan is called *proper* in the following. Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m be an st -outerpath decomposition of an upward outerpath G , two proper outerpaths P_i and P_j are *consecutive*, if there is no proper outerpath P_a , such that $i < a < j$. We will use the following technical lemmas.

Lemma 10 (\star). Two consecutive proper outerpaths P_i and P_j share either a single vertex v or the edge e_i . In the former case, it holds $j > i + 1$, in the latter case it holds $j = i + 1$.

Lemma 11 (\star). Let P_i and P_j be two consecutive proper outerpaths that share a single vertex v . Then each P_a , with $i < a < j$, is an $s_a t_a$ -fan such that $v = s_a$ if v is the tail of e_i , and $v = t_a$ otherwise.

Lemma 12 (\star). Let v be a vertex shared by a set \mathcal{P} of $n_{\mathcal{P}}$ outerpaths. Then at most two outerpaths of \mathcal{P} are such that v is internal for them, and \mathcal{P} contains at most four proper outerpaths.




Fig. 4. Illustration for the proof of Theorem 2.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 1 (★). *Every internally-triangulated upward outerpath G admits a 16-page UBE.*

Proof (Sketch). Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m be an st -outerpath decomposition of G (Lemma 9). Based on Lemma 10, a *bundle* is a maximal set of outerpaths that either share an edge or a single vertex. Let G_b be the graph induced by the first b bundles of G (going from P_1 to P_m). We prove the statement by induction on the number l of bundles of G . In particular, we can prove that G_l admits a $e_{g(l)}$ -consecutive 16-page UBE $\langle \pi^l \sigma^l \rangle$, where $g(l)$ is the greatest index such that $P_{g(l)}$ belongs to G_l , and such that each single $s_i t_i$ -outerpath uses at most 4 pages. In the inductive case, we distinguish whether the considered bundle contains only two outerpaths that share an edge, or at least three outerpaths that share a vertex. Here, we exploit the crucial properties of Lemmas 8, 11 and 12, which allow us to limit the interaction between different outerpaths in terms of pages.

3.2 Upward outerplanar graphs

We now deal with upward outerplanar graphs that may be non-triangulated and may have multiple sources and sinks, but whose blocks are st -DAGs. We begin with the following lemma, which generalizes Lemma 8 in terms of UBT.

Lemma 13 (★). *Every biconnected st -outerplanar graph G admits a 4-page UBE.*

Proof (Sketch). By exploiting a technique in [14], we can assume that G is internally triangulated. Let $\langle f_1, \dots, f_h \rangle$ be a path in \overline{G} whose primal graph $P \subset G$ is a primary st -outerpath. Each outer edge uv of P is shared by P and by a one-sided uv -outerpath. Then a 4-page UBE of G exists by Lemma 7.

We are now ready to show the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 2 (★). *Every upward outerplanar graph G whose biconnected components are st -outerplanar graphs admits an 8-page UBE.*




Fig. 5. (a) A cactus G and (b) a 2-page UBE of the red non-trivial block of G .

Proof (Sketch). We prove a stronger statement. Let T be a BC-tree of G rooted at an arbitrary block ρ , then G admits an 8-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ that has the *page-separation* property: For any block β of T , the edges of β are assigned to at most 4 different pages. We proceed by induction on the number h of cut-vertices in G . If $h = 0$, then G consists of a single block and the statement follows by [Lemma 13](#). Otherwise, let c be a cut-vertex whose children are all leaves. Let ν_1, \dots, ν_m be the $m > 1$ blocks representing the children of c in T , and let μ be the parent block of c . Also, let G' be the maximal subgraph of G that contains μ but does not contain any vertex of ν_1, \dots, ν_m except c , that is, $G = G' \cup \nu_1 \cup \dots \cup \nu_m$. By induction, G' admits an 8-page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ for which the page-separation property holds, as it contains at most $h - 1$ cut-vertices. On the other hand, each ν_i admits a 4-page UBE $\langle \pi^i, \sigma^i \rangle$ by [Lemma 13](#). By [Lemma 1](#), at most two blocks in $\{\mu\} \cup \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\}$ are such that c is internal.

Let us assume that there are exactly two such blocks and one of these two blocks is μ , as otherwise the proof is just simpler. Also, let ν_a , for some $1 \leq a \leq m$ be the other block for which c is internal. Up to a renaming, we can assume that ν_1, \dots, ν_{a-1} are *st*-outerplanar graphs with sink c , while ν_{a+1}, \dots, ν_m are *st*-outerplanar graphs with source c . Refer to [Fig. 4](#). Crucially, we set:

$$\pi = \pi_{c^-} \cup \pi_{c^-}^a \cup \pi_{c^-}^1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_{c^-}^{a-1} \cup \{c\} \cup \pi_{c^+}^{a+1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{c^+}^m \cup \pi_{c^+}^a \cup \pi_{c^+}'.$$

The page assignment is based on the fact that e and e' , such that $e \in \nu_i$ and $e' \in G'$, cross each other only if $i = a$ and in such a case e' is incident to c .

3.3 Upward cactus graphs

The first lemma allows us to consider cactus graphs with no trivial blocks.

Lemma 14 (\star). *A cactus G' can always be augmented to a cactus G with no trivial blocks and such that the embedding of G' is maintained.*

It is well known that any DAG whose underlying graph is a cycle admits a 2-page UBE [23, Lemma 2.2]. We can show a slightly stronger result, which will prove useful afterward; see Fig. 5(b).

Lemma 15 (\star). *Let G be a DAG whose underlying graph is a cycle and let s be a source (resp. let t be a sink) of G . Then, G admits a 2-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ where s is the first vertex (resp. t is the last vertex) in π .*

Using the proof strategy of Theorem 2, we can exploit Lemma 15 to show:

Theorem 3 (\star). *Every upward outerplanar cactus G admits a 6-page UBE.*

Proof (Sketch). By Lemma 14, we can assume that all the blocks of G are non-trivial, i.e., correspond to cycles. Also, let T be the BC-tree of G rooted at any block. The theorem can be proved by induction on the number of blocks in T . In fact, we prove the following slightly stronger statement: G admits a 6-page UBE in which the edges of each block lie on at most two pages. The proof crucially relies on Lemma 1 and follows the lines of Theorem 2.

4 Complexity Results

Recall that the upward book thickness problem is NP-hard for any fixed $k \geq 3$ [9]. This implies that the problem is para-NP-hard, and thus it belongs neither to the FPT class nor to the XP class, when parameterized by its natural parameter (unless P=NP). In this section, we investigate the parameterized complexity of the problem with respect to the domination number and the vertex cover number, showing a lower and an upper bound, respectively.

4.1 Hardness Result for Graphs of Bounded Domination Number

A *domination set* for a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $D \subseteq V$ such that every vertex in $V \setminus D$ has *at least one* neighbor in D . The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the number of vertices in a smallest dominating set for G . Given a DAG G such that $\text{UBT}(G) \leq k$, one may consider the trivial reduction obtained by considering the DAG G' obtained from G by introducing a new super-source (connected to all the vertices), which has domination number 1 and for which it clearly holds that $\text{UBT}(G') \leq k + 1$. However, the other direction of this reduction is not obvious, and indeed for this to work we show a more elaborated construction.

Theorem 4 (\star). *Let G be an n -vertex DAG and let k be a positive integer. It is possible to construct in $O(n)$ time an st -DAG G' with $\gamma(G') \in O(k)$ such that $\text{UBT}(G) \leq k$ if and only if $\text{UBT}(G') = k + 2$.*

Proof (Sketch). The proof is based on the construction in Fig. 6. We obtain graph G' by suitably combining G with an auxiliary graph H whose vertices have the same order in any UBE of H , and $\text{UBT}(H) = k + 2$. The key property of G' is that the vertices of G are incident to vertices c and f of H , and that edges incident to each of these vertices must lie in the same page in any UBE of G' .




Fig. 6. The graph G' in the reduction of [Theorem 4](#). The edges of the auxiliary graph H are solid. The black edges lie in k pages.

Since testing for the existence of a k -page UBE is NP-hard when $k \geq 3$ [9], [Theorem 4](#) implies that the problem remains NP-hard even for inputs whose domination number is linearly bounded by k . We formalize this in the following.

Theorem 5. *For any fixed $k \geq 5$, deciding whether an st -DAG G is such that $\text{UBT}(G) \leq k$ is NP-hard even if G has domination number at most $O(k)$.*

[Theorem 5](#) immediately implies that the upward book thickness problem parameterized by the domination number is para-NP-hard. On the positive side, we next show that the problem parameterized by the vertex cover number admits a kernel and hence lies in the FPT class.

4.2 FPT Algorithm Parameterized by the Vertex Cover Number

We prove that the upward book thickness problem parameterized by the vertex cover number admits a (super-polynomial) kernel. We build on ideas in [8]. A *vertex cover* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $C \subseteq V$ such that each edge in E has at least one incident vertex in C (a vertex cover is in fact a dominating set). The *vertex cover number* of G , denoted by τ , is the size of a minimum vertex cover of G . Deciding whether an n -vertex graph G admits vertex cover of size τ , and if so computing one, can be done in $O(2^\tau + \tau \cdot n)$ time [10]. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex DAG with vertex cover number τ . Let $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\tau\}$ be a vertex cover of G such that $|C| = \tau$. The next lemma matches an analogous result in [8].

Lemma 16 (\star). *G admits a τ -page UBE that can be computed in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time.*

For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $k \geq \tau$, then G admits a k -page UBE by [Lemma 16](#). Thus we assume $k < \tau$. Two vertices $u, v \in V \setminus C$ are of the same *type* U if they have the same set of neighbors $U \subseteq C$ and, for every $w \in U$, the edges connecting w to u and w to v have the same orientation. We proceed with the following reduction rule. For each type U , let V_U denote the set of vertices of type U .

R.1: *If there exists a type U such that $|V_U| \geq 2 \cdot k^\tau + 2$, then pick an arbitrary vertex $u \in V_U$ and set $G := G - u$.*

Since there are 2^τ different neighborhoods of size at most τ , and for each of them there are at most 2^τ possible orientations, the type relation yields at most 2^{2^τ} distinct types. Therefore assigning a type to each vertex and applying **R.1** exhaustively can be done in $2^{O(\tau)} + \tau \cdot n$ time. We can prove that the rule is safe.

Lemma 17 (\star). *The reduction rule **R.1** is safe.*

Proof (Sketch). Let $u \in V_U$, such that $|V_U| \geq 2 \cdot k^\tau + 2$. Suppose that $G - u$ admits a k -page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$. Two vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V_U \setminus \{u\}$ are *page equivalent*, if for each vertex $w \in U$, the edges u_1w and u_2w are both assigned to the same page according to σ . By definition of type, each vertex in V_U has degree exactly $|U|$, hence this relation partitions the vertices of V_U into at most $k^{|U|} \leq k^\tau$ sets. Since $|V_U \setminus \{u\}| \geq 2 \cdot k^\tau + 1$, at least three vertices of this set are page equivalent. One can prove that these three vertices are incident to only one vertex in C . Then we can extend π by introducing u right next to any of these three vertices, say u_1 , and assign each edge uw incident to u to the same page as u_1w .

Theorem 6 (\star). *The upward book thickness problem parameterized by the vertex cover number τ admits a kernel of size $k^{O(\tau)}$.*

Corollary 1 (\star). *Let G be an n -vertex graph with vertex cover number τ . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can decide whether $\text{UBT}(G) \leq k$ in $O(\tau^{\tau^{O(\tau)}} + \tau \cdot n)$ time. Also, within the same time complexity, we can compute a k -page UBE of G , if it exists.*

5 Open Problems

The next questions naturally arise from our research: (i) Is the UBT of upward outerplanar graphs bounded by a constant? (ii) Are there other parameters that are larger than the domination number (and possibly smaller than the vertex cover number) for which the problem is in FPT? (iii) Does the upward book thickness problem parameterized by vertex cover number admit a polynomial kernel?

References

1. Alzohairi, M., Rival, I.: Series-parallel planar ordered sets have pagenumber two. In: North, S.C. (ed.) Graph Drawing (GD'96). LNCS, vol. 1190, pp. 11–24. Springer (1996). https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-62495-3_34
2. Angelini, P., Da Lozzo, G., Di Battista, G., Frati, F., Patrignani, M.: 2-level quasi-planarity or how caterpillars climb (SPQR-)trees. In: Marx, D. (ed.) ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, (SODA'21). pp. 2779–2798. SIAM (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611976465.165>

3. Angelini, P., Da Lozzo, G., Neuwirth, D.: Advancements on SEFE and partitioned book embedding problems. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **575**, 71–89 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2014.11.016>
4. Bekos, M.A., Bruckdorfer, T., Kaufmann, M., Raftopoulou, C.N.: The book thickness of 1-planar graphs is constant. *Algorithmica* **79**(2), 444–465 (2017)
5. Bekos, M.A., Da Lozzo, G., Griesbach, S., Gronemann, M., Montecchiani, F., Raftopoulou, C.N.: Book embeddings of nonplanar graphs with small faces in few pages. In: Cabello, S., Chen, D.Z. (eds.) *Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG'20)*. LIPIcs, vol. 164, pp. 16:1–16:17. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2020). <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.SoCG.2020.16>
6. Bekos, M.A., Kaufmann, M., Klute, F., Pupyrev, S., Raftopoulou, C.N., Ueckerdt, T.: Four pages are indeed necessary for planar graphs. *J. Comput. Geom.* **11**(1), 332–353 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.20382/jocg.v11i1a12>
7. Bernhart, F., Kainen, P.C.: The book thickness of a graph. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B* **27**(3), 320–331 (1979). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956\(79\)90021-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956(79)90021-2)
8. Bhore, S., Ganian, R., Montecchiani, F., Nöllenburg, M.: Parameterized algorithms for book embedding problems. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.* **24**(4), 603–620 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.7155/jgaa.00526>
9. Binucci, C., Da Lozzo, G., Di Giacomo, E., Didimo, W., Mchedlidze, T., Patrignani, M.: Upward book embeddings of st-graphs. In: Barequet, G., Wang, Y. (eds.) *SoCG 2019*. LIPIcs, vol. 129, pp. 13:1–13:22. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2019). <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.SoCG.2019.13>
10. Chen, J., Kanj, I.A., Xia, G.: Improved upper bounds for vertex cover. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **411**(40-42), 3736–3756 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.06.026>
11. Chung, F., Leighton, F., Rosenberg, A.: Embedding graphs in books: A layout problem with applications to VLSI design. *SIAM J. Alg. Discr. Meth.* **8**(1), 33–58 (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1137/0608002>
12. Cormen, T.H., Leiserson, C.E., Rivest, R.L., Stein, C.: *Introduction to Algorithms*. MIT Press (2009)
13. Di Battista, G., Eades, P., Tamassia, R., Tollis, I.G.: *Graph Drawing: Algorithms for the Visualization of Graphs*. Prentice-Hall (1999)
14. Di Battista, G., Tamassia, R.: Algorithms for plane representations of acyclic digraphs. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **61**, 175–198 (1988). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(88\)90123-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(88)90123-5)
15. Di Giacomo, E., Didimo, W., Liotta, G., Wismath, S.K.: Book embeddability of series-parallel digraphs. *Algorithmica* **45**(4), 531–547 (2006)
16. Didimo, W.: Upward graph drawing. In: Kao, M.Y. (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Algorithms*, pp. 1–5. Springer (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-27848-8_653-1
17. Dujmović, V., Wood, D.R.: On linear layouts of graphs. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **6**(2), 339–358 (2004)
18. Dujmović, V., Wood, D.R.: Graph treewidth and geometric thickness parameters. *Discret. Comput. Geom.* **37**(4), 641–670 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00454-007-1318-7>
19. Frati, F., Fulek, R., Ruiz-Vargas, A.J.: On the page number of upward planar directed acyclic graphs. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications* **17**(3), 221–244 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.7155/jgaa.00292>
20. Fulek, R., Tóth, C.D.: Atomic embeddability, clustered planarity, and thickenability. In: Chawla, S. (ed.) *ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'20)*. pp. 2876–2895. SIAM (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611975994.175>

21. Heath, L.S., Pemmaraju, S.V.: Stack and queue layouts of posets. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **10**(4), 599–625 (1997)
22. Heath, L.S., Pemmaraju, S.V.: Stack and queue layouts of directed acyclic graphs: Part II. *SIAM J. Computing* **28**(5), 1588–1626 (1999)
23. Heath, L.S., Pemmaraju, S.V., Trenk, A.N.: Stack and queue layouts of directed acyclic graphs: Part I. *SIAM J. Computing* **28**(4), 1510–1539 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539795280287>
24. Kainen, P.C.: Some recent results in topological graph theory. In: Bari, R.A., Harary, F. (eds.) *Graphs and Combinatorics*. pp. 76–108. Springer (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0066436>
25. Malitz, S.M.: Genus g graphs have pagenumber $o(\sqrt{g})$. *J. Algorithms* **17**(1), 85–109 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jagm.1994.1028>
26. Mchedlidze, T., Symvonis, A.: Crossing-free acyclic Hamiltonian path completion for planar st-digraphs. In: *Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC'09)*. LNCS, vol. 5878, pp. 882–891. Springer (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-10631-6_89
27. Merker, L.: Ordered covering numbers. Masters thesis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (2020)
28. Nowakowski, R., Parker, A.: Ordered sets, pagenumbers and planarity. *Order* **6**(3), 209–218 (1989)
29. Ollmann, L.T.: On the book thicknesses of various graphs. In: *Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*. *Congressus Numerantium*, vol. 8, p. 459 (1973)
30. Yannakakis, M.: Embedding planar graphs in four pages. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* **38**(1), 36–67 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0000\(89\)90032-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0000(89)90032-9)

A Missing Proofs of Section 2

Lemma 1 (\star). *Let G be an upward outerplanar graph and let c be a cut-vertex of G . Then there are at most two blocks of G for which c is internal.*

Proof. Observe that if c is internal for a block β of G , then β must be non-trivial. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exist three non-trivial blocks β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 of G incident to c for which c is internal. Let \mathcal{E} be the upward outerplanar embedding of G . Since each β_i is non-trivial, it contains a cycle of edges C_i , for which c is internal. Let e_i and h_i be the edges of C_i for which c is the head and the tail, respectively. Assume, w.l.o.g., that e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 appear in this left-to-right order in \mathcal{E} . Then, by the upward planarity of \mathcal{E} , it holds that the edges h_1 , h_2 , and h_3 appear in this left-to-right order in \mathcal{E} . Thus, by planarity, C_2 must enclose in its interior all the vertices of either C_1 or C_3 (except for c), contradicting the fact that \mathcal{E} is outerplanar.

B Missing Proofs of Section 3

B.1 Missing Proofs of Section 3.1

Lemma 4 (\star). *Every primary st -outerpath G admits an st -fan decomposition.*

Proof. By Definition 2, one extreme face of \overline{G} is incident to s ; we denote such face by f_1 , and the other extreme face of \overline{G} by f_h . We construct the st -fan decomposition as follows. We initialize $F_1 = f_1$ and we parse the faces of G in the order defined by \overline{G} from f_1 to f_h . Let F_i be the current $s_i t_i$ -fan and let f_j be the last visited face (for some $1 < j < h$). If $F_i \cup f_{j+1}$ is an $s_i t_i$ -fan, we set $F_i = F_i \cup f_{j+1}$, otherwise we finalize F_i and set $F_{i+1} = f_j$.

We now prove that the computed set of fans, denoted by F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k , is indeed an st -fan decomposition of G . If $k = 1$, one easily verifies that all conditions of Definition 3 are satisfied. Suppose now that $k > 1$. To prove condition (i), observe that for a fan F_i to be not incrementally maximal, F_{i+1} (if $i < k$) must contain a face f such that $F_i \cup f$ is an $s_i t_i$ -fan. However, F_{i+1} cannot contain f by construction, since we iteratively expanded F_i towards f_h until it was no further possible. Condition (ii) is satisfied because we parse the faces of G one by one and never assign the same face to two fans. Condition (iii) is satisfied because the first face f_1 considered is incident to s . Condition (iv) is satisfied because F_i and F_{i+1} share edge e_i and if the tail of e_i were not s_{i+1} , then G would contain another source distinct from s . Concerning condition (v), let f be the face of F_{i+1} incident to e_i . If $e_i = s_i t_i$, by (iv) it holds $s_i = s_{i+1}$, and therefore $F_i \cup f$ would still be an $s_i t_i$ -fan, which is not possible by construction. Condition (vi) is satisfied unless there exists some face f that, in \overline{G} , comes before f_1 and hence is not parsed by our construction. However this is not possible because f_1 and f_h are the two extreme faces of \overline{G} .

Lemma 5 (\star). *Let G be a primary st -outerpath and let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be an st -fan decomposition of G . Any two fans F_i and F_{i+1} are such that if F_{i+1} is not one-sided, then $e_i = s_{i+1} t_i$.*

Proof. Let G_j be the subgraph of G induced by F_1, F_2, \dots, F_j , with $j = 1, \dots, k$. Since G is an st -outerpath and F_1 contains s by [Definition 3](#), vertex t_{i+1} is the sink of G_{i+1} . Also, since F_{i+1} is not one-sided, it holds $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$. Hence, G_{i+1} contains a directed path from t_i to t_{i+1} . It follows that $e_i = s_{i+1}t_i$, otherwise s_{i+1} would not belong to G_i and G would contain two sources.

Lemma 7 (\star). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a primary st -outerpath with a 4-page e -consecutive UBE of G obtained by using [Lemma 6](#), for some outer edge e of G . For $i = 1, \dots, h$, let $H_i = (V_i, E_i)$ be a one-sided $u_i v_i$ -outerplanar graph such that $E \cap E_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$. Then $G' = G \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_h$ admits a 4-page e -consecutive UBE, as long as $e \neq e_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, h$).*

Proof. Let $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ be the 4-page e -consecutive UBE of G obtained by [Lemma 6](#). In particular, let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be the st -fan decomposition of G in input to [Lemma 6](#). We first show how to compute the desired UBE for $G \cup H_1$.

Graph H_1 admits a 1-page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ by [Lemma 2](#). Also, the edge $u_1 v_1$ belongs to some fan F_j and, since $u_1 v_1$ is shared with H_1 , it must be $u_1 v_1 \neq e_j$ (where e_j is the edge shared by F_j and F_{j+1}). If u_1 and v_1 are consecutive in π , then a 4-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi^*, \sigma^* \rangle$ of $G \cup H_1$ can be obtained by merging π and π' and by setting $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma(u_1 v_1)$ for every edge e of H_1 , and $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma(e)$ for every other edge. If u_1 and v_1 are not consecutive in π , let $P_\ell = \{s_j, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, t_j\}$ and $P_r = \{s_j, b_1, \dots, b_r, t_j\}$ be the $s_j t_j$ -paths formed by the outer edges that lie to the left and to the right of $s_j t_j$ in F_j , respectively. Recall that F_j has been embedded by using [Lemma 3](#) and hence the only outer edges of F_j that are not consecutive are either $s_j b_1$ and $a_\ell t_j$ or $s_j a_1$ and $b_r t_j$. For ease of description, suppose the non-consecutive edges are $s_j b_1$ and $a_\ell t_j$, as otherwise the argument is symmetric. Note that it cannot be $u_1 = s_j$, hence we have $u_1 v_1 = a_\ell t_j$. Then in the proof of [Lemma 3](#), edge $u_1 v_1$ is the only edge assigned to the second page of the UBE. Also, by [Lemma 5](#), a_ℓ and b_1 are consecutive in π , because F_{j+1} (if it exists) is either one-sided or $e_j = b_r t_j$. By exploiting these properties, we construct a 4-page UBE $\langle \pi^*, \sigma^* \rangle$ of $G \cup H_1$ as follows. We set $\pi^* = \pi_{u_1^-} \circ \pi'_{v_1^-} \circ \pi_{u_1^+}$. Note that, in this way, if an edge e of H_1 is crossed by an edge e' of G , then e is incident to t_j , and consequently e' also crosses $u_1 v_1$. Then we set $\sigma'(e) = \sigma(e)$ for each edge e of G , and $\sigma'(e) = \sigma(u_1 v_1)$ for the remaining edges of H_1 . This immediately implies that if an edge e of H_1 is crossed by an edge e' of G , then the two edges are on different pages, otherwise e' and $u_1 v_1$ would also cross and belong to the same page, which is not possible.

Since it holds $u_i v_i \neq u_j v_j$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq h$, by repeating the above procedure for each H_i , we obtain a 4-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi^*, \sigma^* \rangle$ of G' . In particular, if two edges e and e' cross such that e and e' both belong to G , then they also cross in π because π^* extends π , and hence $\sigma^*(e) \neq \sigma^*(e')$ because $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma(e)$ and $\sigma^*(e') = \sigma(e')$. If e and e' both belong to the same H_i , they do not cross because π^* extends π' . If e belongs to G and e' to some H_i , then e also crosses $u_i v_i$ by construction of π^* , and again $\sigma^*(e) \neq \sigma^*(e')$ because $\sigma^*(e) \neq \sigma^*(u_i v_i)$ while $\sigma^*(e') = \sigma^*(u_i v_i)$. If e and e' belong to H_i and H_j respectively, for some $i \neq j$, then again by construction of π^* we have that

$u_i v_i$ also crosses $u_j v_j$ and $\sigma^*(e) \neq \sigma^*(e')$ because $\sigma^*(e) = \sigma^*(u_i v_i)$, $\sigma^*(e') = \sigma^*(u_j v_j)$, and $\sigma^*(u_i v_i) \neq \sigma^*(u_j v_j)$.

Lemma 8 (\star). *Let $G' = G \cup H_1$ be an st -outerpath, such that G is a primary st -outerpath and H_1 is the appendage at uv of G' . Let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be an st -fan decomposition of G . Let e be an outer edge of G' that belongs to either F_k or H_1 , or to F_1 if $H_1 = \emptyset$. Also, if $e \in F_k$, then $e \neq s_k t_k$, otherwise $e \neq s_1 t_1$. Then, G' admits an e -consecutive 4-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$.*

Proof. If e is an outer edge of F_k , since the appendage H_1 of G' is a one-sided uv -outerpath that only shares the edge uv with G , the lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7. If e is an outer edge of F_1 or H_1 , we first reverse the orientation of the edges of G' and obtain a ts -outerpath G'' . In a ts -fan decomposition of the primary ts -outerpath of G'' , which we denote by $F''_1, F''_2, \dots, F''_k$, the edge e now belongs to F''_k (because it belongs to H_1 or to F_1 if $H_1 = \emptyset$). Thus again we can compute a 4-page e -consecutive UBE $\langle \pi'', \sigma'' \rangle$. By reversing the ordering π'' , we obtain a 4-page e -consecutive UBE of G' .

Lemma 9 (\star). *Every upward outerpath admits an st -outerpath decomposition.*

Proof. Let G be an internally-triangulated upward outerpath. First, consider the weak dual $\overline{G} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_h \rangle$ of G and the outerpaths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m constructed as follows. We initialize $P_1 = f_1$ and we parse the faces of G in the order defined by \overline{G} from f_1 to f_h . Let P_i be the current $s_i t_i$ -outerpath and let f_j be the last visited face (for some $1 < j < h$). We set $P_i = P_i \cup f_j$ if $P_i \cup f_j$ is still a single-source single-sink outerpath, otherwise we set $P_{i+1} = f_j$ and proceed. Clearly, at the end of this process, we have obtained a sequence of $s_i t_i$ -outerpaths that satisfies Properties (ii) and (iii) of Definition 4. Concerning Property (i), while any of the obtained outerpaths cannot be expanded with the first fan of the next outerpath, it may not hold that $e_i \neq s_i t_i$. Thus, we next show how to (re)assign some fans to a different outerpath in P_1, \dots, P_m so to also satisfy Property (i) of Definition 4.

For $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, consider a partition of each P_i into incrementally maximal st -fans, obtained by visiting the faces of P_i from the face f_j that contains e_{i-1} to the face $f_{j'}$ that contains e_i . Recall that we denoted by F^i the last incrementally maximal $s^i t^i$ -fan of P_i and hence e_i belongs to F^i ; furthermore, let us denote by Q^i the incrementally maximal fan, if any, preceding F^i in the above partition. Suppose that Property (i) is not satisfied by P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m , and let j be the minimum index such that $e_j = s^j t^j$. Consider the two faces of G incident to e_j . Observe that one of these faces, which we denote by f' , belongs to F^j (of P_j), while the other face, which we denote by f'' , belongs to the first incrementally maximal fan of P_{j+1} . First, observe that $P_j \neq F_j$, since $F_j \cup f''$ is a single-source single-sink outerpath (and therefore the edge $s^j t^j$ would not be the shared edge of two distinct outerpaths in P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m). Therefore, P_j also contains Q^j . The edge e shared by Q^j and F^j is not $s^j t^j$, as if this were the case, by extending Q^j with the face of F^j incident to e we would obtain a larger single-source single-sink fan, which contradicts the fact that Q^j is incrementally maximal.




Fig. 7. The decomposition in incrementally maximal outerpaths of Fig. 3 before the update operation described in the proof of Lemma 9.

We update the decomposition P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m as follows. We remove from P_j all the vertices and edges of F^j , except for e and its end-vertices. We then set $P_{j+1} = F^j \cup P_{j+1}$, that is, we move the fan F^j from P_j to P_{j+1} (note that F^j may merge with the first incrementally maximal fan of P_{j+1}). See, for instance, the blue-orange pair and the pink-cyan pair of outerpaths in Fig. 7, and how they are updated in Fig. 3. Observe that $e' \neq s^j t^j$ is now the unique edge shared by P_j and P_{j+1} . Therefore, $s_j t_j \neq e_j$ is now satisfied by the pair P_j, P_{j+1} . Repeating the above update, as long as there exists a pair of single-source single-sink outerpaths in P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m sharing an edge and violating Property (i), eventually yields the desired st -outerpath decomposition.

Lemma 10 (\star). *Two consecutive proper outerpaths P_i and P_j share either a single vertex v or the edge e_i . In the former case, it holds $j > i + 1$, in the latter case it holds $j = i + 1$.*

Proof. By Definition 4, P_i and P_j share at most one edge. We first prove that P_i and P_j cannot be vertex-disjoint. Namely, if $j = i + 1$, then P_i and P_j share an edge by Property (ii) of Definition 4. If $j > i + 1$, let P_{i+1}, \dots, P_{j-1} be the non-proper outerpaths between P_i and P_j . Since each of these non-proper outerpaths are single-source single-sink fans, it must be that they either have different sources or different sinks, and hence they all share the same sink or the same source, respectively, and this common vertex is also common to P_i and P_j .

Lemma 11 (\star). *Let P_i and P_j be two consecutive proper outerpaths that share a single vertex v . Then each P_a , with $i < a < j$, is an $s_a t_a$ -fan such that $v = s_a$ if v is the tail of e_i , and $v = t_a$ otherwise.*

Proof. We know that each P_a , with $i < a < j$, is an $s_a t_a$ -fan because P_i and P_j are consecutive. As already observed in the proof of Lemma 10, since each P_a is

an $s_a t_a$ -fan, it must be that all of them either have different sources or different sinks, and hence vertex v is either a common sink or a common source for all of them. Consequently, if v is the tail of e_i , then v is the source s_a of each P_a , otherwise v is the sink t_a of each P_a .

Lemma 12 (\star). *Let v be a vertex shared by a set \mathcal{P} of $n_{\mathcal{P}}$ outerpaths. Then at most two outerpaths of \mathcal{P} are such that v is internal for them, and \mathcal{P} contains at most four proper outerpaths.*

Proof. Since all outerpaths of \mathcal{P} share vertex v , the fact that \mathcal{P} contains at most two outerpaths for which v is internal immediately follows by the fact that G has an upward outerplanar embedding. Namely, by bimodality, at most two faces incident to v are such that v is neither the source nor the sink of that face [13]. These two faces belong to two different outerpaths P and P' in \mathcal{P} , and if there existed a third one P'' with the property of v being internal, then at least one vertex of P or P' would not belong to the outer face of G .

To prove the latter part of the statement, observe that, by Lemma 10, it must be that the outerpaths in \mathcal{P} form a contiguous subset P_i, \dots, P_j , with $j-i = n_{\mathcal{P}}$, in the st -outerpath decomposition P_1, \dots, P_m . Since each outerpath in \mathcal{P} shares v , in order for \mathcal{G} to be a path, it must be that each P_a is a fan, except for possibly P_i and P_j , although not necessarily a fan in which the vertex shared by the inner edges is its source vertex. However, we proved above that at most two of these fans are such that v is internal. Hence, the only elements of \mathcal{P} that can be proper are P_i, P_j and the two for which v is internal.

Theorem 1 (\star). *Every internally-triangulated upward outerpath G admits a 16-page UBE.*

Proof. Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m be an st -outerpath decomposition of G , which exists by Lemma 9. Based on Lemma 10, a *bundle* is a maximal set of outerpaths that either share an edge or a single vertex. Let G_b be the graph induced by the first b bundles of G (from P_1 to P_m). We prove by induction on the number l of bundles of G that G_l admits a $e_{g(l)}$ -consecutive 16-page UBE $\langle \pi^l \sigma^l \rangle$, where $g(l)$ is the largest index such that $P_{g(l)}$ belongs to G_l , and such that each single $s_i t_i$ -outerpath uses at most 4 pages.

Before giving the inductive proof, we observe that each $s_i t_i$ -outerpath P_i , with $i = 1, \dots, m$, admits an e_i -consecutive 4-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$, which can be obtained by using Lemma 8. In particular, let F_1, \dots, F_k be the st -fan decomposition given in input to Lemma 8, and denote by s^j, t^j the source and sink of F_j , respectively. We have three possible cases: (a) $F^i = F_k$, or (b) $F^i = F_1$ (and hence P_i is primary), or (c) F^i belongs to the appendage H_1 of P_i . In all the three cases, the preconditions of Lemma 8 applies. Namely, in case (a) $s_i t_i = s^k t^k$ and $e \neq s_i t_i$ because P_i is incrementally maximal; in case (b) $s_i t_i = s^1 t^1$ and again $e \neq s_i t_i$ because P_i is incrementally maximal; in case (c) it must be that $s_i t_i$ belongs to H_1 (otherwise H_1 would not contain F^i), and hence clearly $s_i t_i \neq s^1 t^1$.

In the base case $l = 0$, then $m = 1$, and an e_1 -consecutive 4-page UBE $\langle \pi^0 \sigma^0 \rangle$ of P_1 can be obtained by using Lemma 8 as observed before.




Fig. 8. Illustration for CASE 1 in the proof of [Theorem 1](#).




Fig. 9. Illustration for CASE 2 in the proof of [Theorem 1](#). The top figure shows the cyclic order of the outerpaths in B around v . The bottom figure shows the relative ordering of the vertices of the different outerpaths. We remark that the colors used here are unrelated with those of [Fig. 3](#).

If $l > 0$, let $B = \{P_{g'(l)}, \dots, P_{g(l)}\}$ be the l -th bundle of G_l . Let G_{l-1} be the graph obtained by removing from G_l the outerpaths in B , except for $P_{g'(l)}$ (which belongs to the bundle before B as well, if any). Note that G_{l-1} contains $l - 1$ bundles. By induction, let $\langle \pi_{l-1}, \sigma_{l-1} \rangle$ be an $e_{g(l-1)}$ -consecutive 16-page UBE of G_{l-1} . We distinguish whether B contains only two outerpaths that share an edge, or it contains at least three outerpaths that share a vertex.

CASE 1. B contains only two outerpaths $P_{g(l)-1}$ and $P_{g(l)}$ that share an edge $e_{g(l)-1} = uv$. Let $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ be a $e_{g(l)}$ -consecutive 4-page UBE of $P_{g(l)}$, obtained by applying [Lemma 8](#), as already observed. Referring to [Fig. 8](#), we set $\pi^l = \pi_{u^-}^{l-1} \circ \pi \circ \pi_{v^+}^{l-1}$. Clearly, π^l extends both π^{l-1} and π . One easily verifies that if e and e' are such that $e \in G_{l-1}$ and $e' \in P_{g(l)}$, then e is incident to either u or v . Consequently, e belongs to $P_{g(l)-1}$. Namely, if e belonged to another outerpath $P \neq P_{g(l)-1}$, then $P, P_{g(l)-1}, P_{g(l)}$ would all share a vertex and hence would belong to the same bundle B by the maximality of B . Hence we can set $\sigma^l(e) = \sigma^{l-1}(e)$ for each edge $e \in G_{l-1}$, while, for the edges of $P_{g(l)}$, we can use any set of 4 pages in σ^{l-1} not used by the edges of $P_{g(l)-1}$.

CASE 2. B contains at least three outerpaths that share a vertex. For ease of notation, let us denote $P_i = P_{g'(l)}$ and $P_j = P_{g(l)}$, and denote by v the shared vertex. By Lemma 12, we know that in P_i, \dots, P_j there are at most four proper outerpaths, and, by Lemma 11, that between any two consecutive proper outerpaths there are non-proper outerpaths for which v is either a common source or a common sink. We assume that all these four proper outerpaths exist, as the proof is simpler otherwise. For ease of notation, we denote by IN and OUT the first and the last proper outerpaths (in the order from P_1 to P_m). Note that G_{l-1} contains IN. Also, we denote by EAST and WEST the proper outerpaths such that EAST comes before WEST. Moreover, we denote by IN-EAST fans, EAST-WEST fans, and WEST-OUT fans, the non-proper outerpaths between the IN and EAST, EAST and WEST, WEST and OUT, respectively. For each of them, we compute a UBE by Lemma 8 as in CASE 1, and we merge the obtained UBEs one after the other as in CASE 1. A schematic illustration of the obtained ordering is illustrated in Fig. 9. One can verify that the edges of two fans do not cross unless they belong to the same set (IN-EAST, EAST-WEST, OUT-WEST) and share an edge. Thus, for all non-proper outerpaths we will use two alternating sets of 2 pages each (rather than 4, as these are simple fans), different from the 4 pages used by IN. The edges of G_{l-1} can cross only with the edges of the neighboring IN-EAST fan or with the edges of EAST. Since the IN-EAST fans use different pages, we only need to argue about the edges of EAST. Such edges only cross edges of G_{l-1} that are incident to v , hence, as in CASE 1, such edges belong to IN by the maximality of B . Thus, we can use a set of 4 pages for the edges of EAST different from the one used by IN. The edges of WEST only cross one neighboring EAST-WEST fan and one neighboring OUT-WEST fan, as well as the edges of EAST. Hence, we can use the same 4 pages used by IN. Similarly, the OUT edges only cross the edges of one neighboring OUT-WEST fan, the edges of EAST, and the edges of WEST. Thus we can use the last set of 4 pages different from those used by IN (which are the same used by WEST), by EAST, and by the fans. Overall, the computed UBE still uses at most 16 pages.

B.2 Missing Proofs of Section 3.2

Lemma 13 (\star). *Every biconnected st -outerplanar graph G admits a 4-page UBE.*

Proof. Di Battista and Tamassia [14] proved that every upward planar graph G can be augmented, by only introducing edges, to an upward planar triangulation. In fact, their technique can also be applied to augment an upward outerplanar graph to an internally-triangulated upward outerplanar graph. Thus, we can assume that G is internally triangulated.

Refer to Fig. 10. Let f_1 be any inner face of G that contains vertex s , and let f_h be any inner face of G that contains vertex t . Let $\Pi = \langle f_1, \dots, f_h \rangle$ be a path in \bar{G} . By construction, the primal graph P of Π is a primary st -outerpath. Each edge uv on the outer face of P either belongs to the outer face of G or uv is an inner edge of G and therefore $\{u, v\}$ is a separation pair of G . We denote




Fig. 10. Illustration for the proof of [Lemma 13](#). An st -outerplanar graph G ; an st -outerpath P of G is highlighted with a striped orange background, while the three corresponding subgraphs are light purple, green, and blue.

by H_{uv} the maximal connected component of G that contains uv and no further vertex of P (such component is unique by outerplanarity). Since G has a single source and a single sink, H_{uv} is an outerplanar graph with a single source u and a single sink v . Moreover, since edge uv is on the outer face of H_{uv} , it follows that H_{uv} is a one-sided uv -outerplanar graph. Then a 4-page UBE of G exists by [Lemma 7](#).

Theorem 2 (★). *Every upward outerplanar graph G whose biconnected components are st -outerplanar graphs admits an 8-page UBE.*

Proof. We prove a stronger statement. Let T be a BC-tree of G rooted at an arbitrary block ρ , then G admits an 8-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ that has the *page-separation* property: For any block β of T , the edges of β are assigned to at most 4 different pages. We proceed by induction on the number h of cut-vertices in G .

If $h = 0$, then G consists of a single block which is an st -outerplanar graph and the statement follows by [Lemma 13](#).

Otherwise, let c be a cut-vertex whose children are all leaves. Let ν_1, \dots, ν_m be the $m > 1$ blocks representing the children of c in T , and let μ be the parent block of c . Also, let G' be the maximal subgraph of G that contains μ but does not contain any vertex of ν_1, \dots, ν_m except c , that is, $G = G' \cup \nu_1 \cup \dots \cup \nu_m$. By induction, G' admits an 8-page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ for which the page-separation property holds, as it contains at most $h - 1$ cut-vertices. On the other hand, each ν_i admits a 4-page UBE $\langle \pi^i, \sigma^i \rangle$ by [Lemma 13](#). By [Lemma 1](#), at most two blocks in $\{\mu\} \cup \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\}$ are such that c is internal.

Let us assume that there are exactly two such blocks and one these two blocks is μ , as otherwise the proof is just simpler. Also, let ν_a , for some $1 \leq a \leq m$ be the other block for which c is internal. Up to a renaming, we can assume that ν_1, \dots, ν_{a-1} are st -outerplanar graphs with sink c , while ν_{a+1}, \dots, ν_m are

st -outerplanar graphs with source c . Refer to Fig. 4. We set:

$$\pi = \pi'_{c^-} \cup \pi_{c^-}^a \cup \pi_{c^-}^1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_{c^-}^{a-1} \cup \{c\} \cup \pi_{c^+}^{a+1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{c^+}^m \cup \pi_{c^+}^a \cup \pi'_{c^+}.$$

Observe that π extends each π^i , as well as π' . Then one easily verifies that no two edges e and e' such that $e \in \nu_i$ and $e' \in \nu_j$, for any $i \neq j$, cross each other in π . Similarly, two edges e and e' such that $e \in \nu_i$ and $e' \in G'$, cross each other only if $i = a$ and in such a case e' is incident to c . Concerning the page assignment, we let $\sigma(e) = \sigma'(e)$ for each edge e of G' . Also, we let $\sigma(e) = \sigma^i(e)$ for each edge e of ν_i , except the edges of ν^a , assuming that the set of pages of σ^i is the same (up to a renaming) as the one used in σ' for the edges of μ (whose size is 4 by the page-separation property). For the edges of ν_a , we set $\sigma(e) = \sigma^a(e)$, assuming that the set of pages of σ^a is the same (up to a renaming) as the one in σ' *not* used for the edges of μ (whose size is again four). As we already observed, if two edges e and e' such that $e \in \nu_a$ and $e' \in G'$ cross each other, then e' is incident to c and hence belongs to μ . Then our assignment guarantees $\sigma(e) \neq \sigma(e')$. Also, the computed 8-page UBE respects the page-separation property.

B.3 Missing Proofs of Section 3.3

Lemma 14 (\star). *A cactus G' can always be augmented to a cactus G with no trivial blocks and such that the embedding of G' is maintained.*

Proof. If the blocks of G' are cycles, then there is nothing to be done. Otherwise, G' contains a trivial block β corresponding to the directed edge uv . We show how to extend G' to a cactus G'' , whose number of trivial blocks is one less than the number of trivial blocks of G' . For simplicity, we construct G'' , by showing how to extend an upward outerplanar drawing Γ' of G' to an upward outerplanar drawing Γ'' of G'' . First, we initialize $\Gamma'' = \Gamma'$. Second, we place in Γ'' a new vertex w above u and below v and arbitrarily close to the drawing of the edge uv . Finally, we draw two new edges uw and wv as y -monotone curves so that wv , uw and wv form a face of the drawing. Clearly, Γ'' is an upward outerplanar drawing of G'' , G'' is a cactus, and G'' contain one less trivial block of G' . By repeating the process until no trivial blocks exist, we eventually obtain G .

Lemma 15 (\star). *Let G be a DAG whose underlying graph is a cycle and let s be a source (resp. let t be a sink) of G . Then, G admits a 2-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ where s is the first vertex (resp. t is the last vertex) in π .*

Proof. Let sw be any of the two edges incident to s . Let G' be the path $G - sw$. Since G' is a tree, it admits a 1-page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ in which s is the first vertex of π' ; see Fig. 5(b). We obtain a 2-page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ of G , by setting $\pi = \pi'$, $\sigma(e) = \sigma'(e) = 1$ for each edge $e \neq sw$, and $\sigma(sw) = 2$. A symmetric argument can be used to prove the statement with respect to the vertex t .

Theorem 3 (\star). *Every upward outerplanar cactus G admits a 6-page UBE.*

Proof. By Lemma 14, we can assume that all the blocks of G are non-trivial, i.e., correspond to cycles. Also, let T be the BC-tree of G rooted at any block ρ . We prove the theorem by induction on the number $\beta(T)$ of blocks in T . In fact, we prove the following slightly stronger statement: G admits a 6-page UBE in which the edges of each block lie on at most two pages.

If $\beta(T) = 1$, then G consists of a single directed cycle, and the statement follows from [23, Lemma 2.2].

If $\beta(T) > 1$, then T contains at least one cut-vertex c whose children blocks ν_1, \dots, ν_k are all leaves of T . Let μ be the parent block of c . Consider the subgraph of G' induced by all the edges of the blocks of G different from ν_1, \dots, ν_k . Note that, by induction, G' admits a 6-page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ in which the edges of μ lie on two distinct pages, say pages 1 and 2.

We show how to extend $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ to a 6-page book embedding $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ of G in which the edges of each block ν_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$, lie on two distinct pages, namely, either pages 1 and 2, or pages 3 and 4, or pages 5 and 6.

Recall that, by Lemma 1, there exist at most two blocks among ν_1, \dots, ν_k for which c is internal. After a possible renaming of the indexes, we may assume that (i) ν_1 and ν_2 are the blocks, if any, for which c is internal; let $0 \leq r \leq 2$ be the number of such children; (ii) ν_{r+1}, \dots, ν_h with $h \leq k$ are the blocks, if any, for which c is a sink; and (iii) ν_{h+1}, \dots, ν_k are the blocks, if any, for which c is a source.




Fig. 11. Construction of $\langle \pi'', \sigma'' \rangle$ from $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$; edges incident to c lie on two pages.

Consider the graph G'' induced by the edges of G' and of the blocks ν_i with $r+1 \leq i \leq k$. First, we show how to extend $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ to a 6-page UBE $\langle \pi'', \sigma'' \rangle$ of G'' in which the edges of the blocks ν_i with $r+1 \leq i \leq k$ lie on pages 1 and 2. Refer to Fig. 11. Let $\pi' = \pi'_{c-} \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi'_{c+}$, where either π'_{c-} or π'_{c+} may be empty (recall that each block of G is a cycle, and thus it contains at least three vertices). For each $i = r+1, \dots, h$ (resp. $i = h+1, \dots, k$), construct a 2-page UBE $\langle \pi^i, \sigma^i \rangle$ of ν_i on pages 1 and 2 in which c is the last vertex (resp. the first vertex) of π , by Lemma 15. We obtain π'' as follows. We set $\pi'' = \pi'_{c-} \circ \pi_{c-}^{r+1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{c-}^h \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi_{c+}^{h+1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{c+}^k \circ \pi'_{c+}$. Further, we obtain σ'' by setting $\sigma''(e) = \sigma'(e)$, for any edge of G' , and by setting $\sigma''(e) = \sigma_i(e)$, for any edge of ν_i with $r+1 \leq i \leq k$. By construction, no two edges belonging to distinct blocks among ν_{r+1}, \dots, ν_k intersect. Therefore, since the edges these

blocks lie on the same two pages the edges of the block μ lie on, namely, pages 1 and 2, we have that $\langle \pi'', \sigma'' \rangle$ is a 6-page UBE with the desired properties.

If $r = 0$, by setting $\pi = \pi''$ and $\sigma = \sigma''$, we obtain the desired 6-page book embedding of G . Next, we show how to obtain $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ from $\langle \pi'', \sigma'' \rangle$, when $r > 0$. We distinguish two cases, based on whether (CASE A) c is a source or a sink of μ , or (CASE B) c is internal for μ . Let $\pi'' = \pi''_{c^-} \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi''_{c^+}$. Also, for $i \leq r$, we construct a 2-page UBE $\langle \pi_i, \sigma_i \rangle$ of ν_i on pages $2i + 1$ and $2i + 2$, by means of Lemma 15, and let $\pi^i = \pi_{c^-}^i \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi_{c^+}^i$.

CASE A Suppose that c is a source of μ ; the case in which c is a sink of μ being analogous. Then, $1 \leq r \leq 2$. We assume $r = 2$, the case $r = 1$ being simpler. Note that, the vertex c may be internal for $\mu \cup \nu_3 \cup \dots \cup \nu_k$, as illustrated by the gray shaded region depicting this subgraph in Fig. 12. We set $\pi = \pi''_{c^-} \circ \pi_{c^-}^2 \circ \pi_{c^-}^1 \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi_{c^+}^1 \circ \pi_{c^+}^2 \circ \pi''_{c^+}$. Further, we obtain σ by setting $\sigma(e) = \sigma''(e)$, for any edge of G'' , and by setting $\sigma^i(e) = \sigma_i(e)$, for any edge of ν_i with $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By construction, the edges of ν_1 (resp. of ν_2) may only intersect the edges of ν_2 (resp. ν_1) and the edges of $\mu \cup \nu_3 \cup \dots \cup \nu_k$. Therefore, since the edges of $\mu \cup \nu_3 \cup \dots \cup \nu_k$ lie on pages 1 and 2, the edges of ν_1 lie on pages 3 and 4, and the edges of ν_2 lie on pages 5 and 6, we have that $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ is a 6-page UBE with the desired properties.




Fig. 12. Construction of $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ from $\langle \pi'', \sigma'' \rangle$ focused on μ and its children ($r = 2$).

CASE B Suppose now that c is internal for μ . Then, $r = 1$. First, we construct a 2-page UBE $\langle \pi_1, \sigma_1 \rangle$ of ν_1 on pages 3 and 4, by means of Lemma 15, and let $\pi_1 = \pi_{c^-}^1 \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi_{c^+}^1$. Then, we set $\pi = \pi''_{c^-} \circ \pi_{c^-}^1 \circ \langle c \rangle \circ \pi_{c^+}^1 \circ \pi''_{c^+}$. Further, we obtain σ by setting $\sigma(e) = \sigma''(e)$, for any edge of G'' , and by setting $\sigma(e) = \sigma_1(e)$, for any edge of ν_1 . By construction, the edges of ν_1 may only intersect the edges of $\mu \cup \nu_2 \cup \dots \cup \nu_k$. Therefore, since the edges of $\mu \cup \nu_2 \cup \dots \cup \nu_k$ lie on pages 1 and 2 and the edges of ν_1 lie on pages 3 and 4 lie on distinct pairs of pages, we have that $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ is a 6-page UBE with the desired properties.

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

C Missing Proofs of Section 4

C.1 Missing Proofs of Section 4.1

Theorem 4 (\star). *Let G be an n -vertex DAG and let k be a positive integer. It is possible to construct in $O(n)$ time an st -DAG G' with $\gamma(G') \in O(k)$ such that $\text{UBT}(G) \leq k$ if and only if $\text{UBT}(G') = k + 2$.*

Proof. First, we construct an auxiliary graph H as follows; refer to Fig. 6. We initialize H as the union of two directed paths $p_1 = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, a, b, c, d, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)$ and $p_2 = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k, e, f, g, h, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$. Further, we add to H the sets of edges $E_1 := \{u_i v_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and $E_2 := \{w_i z_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then, we add the edges $ae, bw_1, dh, v_k w_1$, and $v_k g$. Clearly, H is an st -DAG with source u_1 and sink z_k . Moreover, since all the vertices of H lie in a directed path from u_1 to z_k their order π_H is the same in any UBE of H . Note that, in π_H , the edges in $E_1 \cup \{ae, dh\}$ pairwise cross, and the same holds for the edges in $E_2 \cup \{ae, dh\}$. This implies that $\text{UBT}(H) \geq k + 2$. In fact, it is immediate to see that $\text{UBT}(H) = k + 2$. We have the following properties for H .

Property 1. In any $(k + 2)$ -page UBE $\langle \pi_H, \sigma_H \rangle$ of H it holds that $\sigma_H(ae) = \sigma_H(bw_1)$ and $\sigma_H(dh) = \sigma_H(v_k g)$.

We obtain G' as follows. First, we initialize G' to the union of G and H . Then, we add to G' the edges cv and vf , for any vertex v of G . Clearly, G' is an st -DAG with source u_1 and sink z_k , and can be computed in $O(n)$ time.

First, we show that $\gamma(G') \in O(k)$. Note that p_1 and p_2 each contain $2k + 4$ vertices. Therefore, it is possible to dominate each such path by selecting $k + 2$ of its vertices. Further, we can dominate each vertex of G by selecting either the vertex c or the vertex f .

Next, we show that G admits a k -page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ if and only if G' admits a $(k + 2)$ -page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$. Suppose first that G admits a k -page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$. We obtain a $(k + 2)$ -page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ as follows. Let π_H^1 and π_H^2 be the order of the vertices of p_1 and of p_2 in π_H , respectively. We set $\pi' = \pi_H^1 \circ \pi \circ \pi_H^2$. Further, we assign the edges of G to the same (up to k) pages in σ' as in σ ; then, we set (i) $\sigma'(u_i v_i) = \sigma'(w_i z_i) = i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, (ii) $\sigma'(ae) = \sigma'(bw_1) = k + 1$ and $\sigma'(dh) = \sigma'(v_k g) = k + 2$, and (iii) $\sigma'(cv) = k + 1$ and $\sigma'(vf) = k + 2$ for any vertex v of G . This concludes the construction of $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$. To see that $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$ is indeed a $(k + 2)$ -page UBE of G' observe, in particular, that σ' fulfils [Property 1](#) and that the only edges of $E(G') \setminus E(G)$ that cross the edges of G are the edges cv and vf , where v is a vertex of G , and that such edges are assigned to the pages $k + 1$ and $k + 2$, respectively, which are not used by the edges of G .

Suppose now that G' admits a $(k + 2)$ -page UBE $\langle \pi', \sigma' \rangle$. We obtain a k -page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ as follows. First, we show the following.

Property 2. In π' , all the vertices of G lie after v_k and before w_1 .

Proof. Observe that, all the vertices of G must trivially lie between c and f , since edges cv and vf exist in G' for any vertex v of G . Suppose, for a contradiction,

that a vertex v of G lies after w_1 . Then, the edge cv would cross (at least) the following $k+2$ edges: all the edges of E_1 , the edge dh , and the edge bw_1 . However, the mentioned edges pairwise cross, which yields a contradiction. The fact that no vertex of G lies before v_k can be proved analogously.

By [Properties 1](#) and [2](#), we have that for each vertex v of G , it holds that $\sigma'(cv) = \sigma'(ae)$ (which, w.l.o.g., we let be $k+1$) and $\sigma'(vf) = \sigma'(dh)$ (which, w.l.o.g., we let be $k+2$). Therefore, σ' may place in pages $k+1$ and $k+2$ only edges connecting two consecutive vertices of G . Thus, by redefining $\sigma'(e) = 1$ for any such an edge, we obtain a $(k+2)$ -page UBE of G' in which the edges of G are assigned to k pages. Removing the vertices of H and their incident edges yields the desired k -page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ of G .

C.2 Missing Proofs of [Section 4.2](#)

Lemma 16 (\star). *G admits a τ -page UBE that can be computed in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time.*

Proof. Let π be any topological ordering of G , that is, any ordering such that for every edge uv of G , $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$. It is well-known that any DAG with n vertices and m edges admits at least one topological ordering, which can be computed in $O(n+m)$ time (see, e.g., [\[12\]](#)). Since G has $O(\tau \cdot n)$ edges, π can be computed in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time. Now consider the following page assignment σ , which again can be computed in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time. Let $U = V \setminus C$; for each $1 \leq i \leq \tau$, we set $\sigma(e) = i$ for all edges $e = (u, c_i)$ with $u \in U \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}\}$. By construction, for each $1 \leq i \leq \tau$, all edges in page i are incident to c_i , and thus no two of them cross each other. Moreover, by definition of topological ordering, for every edge uv of G , it holds $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$. Therefore, the pair $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$ is a τ -page UBE of G and has been computed in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time.

Lemma 17 (\star). *The reduction rule [R.1](#) is safe.*

Proof. Since removing a vertex u from a k -page UBE yields a h -page UBE of $G - u$ with $h \leq k$, one direction follows.

For the other direction, let $u \in V_U$, such that $|V_U| \geq 2 \cdot k^\tau + 2$. Suppose that $G - u$ admits a k -page UBE $\langle \pi, \sigma \rangle$. Two vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V_U \setminus \{u\}$ are *page equivalent*, if for each vertex $w \in U$, the edges u_1w and u_2w are both assigned to the same page according to σ . By definition of type, each vertex in V_U has degree exactly $|U|$, hence this relation partitions the vertices of V_U into at most $k^{|U|} \leq k^\tau$ sets. Since $|V_U \setminus \{u\}| \geq 2 \cdot k^\tau + 1$, at least three vertices of this set, which we denote by u_1, u_2 , and u_3 , are page equivalent. Consider now the graph induced by the edges of these three vertices that are assigned to a particular page. Since u_1, u_2, u_3 are all incident to the same set of $c \geq 1$ vertices in C , such a graph is $K_{c,3}$. However, since $K_{2,3}$ is not outerplanar (and hence has no 1-page UBE), we have that $c = 1$. Then we can extend π by introducing u right next (or equivalently right before) to u_1 and assign each edge uw (or wu) incident to u to the same page as u_1w (wu_1). Consider any edge uw (or symmetrically wu) introduced as described above. Such edge follows the curve of u_1w and hence,

since u_1w is uncrossed in its page, uw does not cross any other edge of the same page. Moreover, since u and u_1 are of the same type, the edges uw and u_1w are oriented consistently (either both outgoing from or incoming to w). Therefore, we obtained a k -page UBE of G , which implies that **R.1** is safe.

Theorem 6 (\star). *The upward book thickness problem parameterized by the vertex cover number τ admits a kernel of size $k^{O(\tau)}$.*

Proof. Let G^* be the graph obtained by applying **R.1** exhaustively. We have already seen that there are at most $2^{2\tau}$ distinct types. After the application of **R.1**, each type U is such that $|V_U| \leq 2 \cdot k^\tau + 1$ vertices, and therefore G^* contains at most $(2 \cdot k^\tau + 1) \cdot 2^{2\tau} + \tau \leq k^{O(\tau)}$ vertices and at most $\tau \cdot k^{O(\tau)}$ edges.

Corollary 1 (\star). *Let G be an n -vertex graph with vertex cover number τ . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can decide whether $\text{UBT}(G) \leq k$ in $O(\tau^{\tau^{O(\tau)}} + \tau \cdot n)$ time. Also, within the same time complexity, we can compute a k -page UBE of G , if it exists.*

Proof. If $k \geq \tau$, by [Lemma 16](#), we can immediately return a positive answer and compute a k -page UBE in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time. So assume $k < \tau$. By [\[10\]](#), we compute a vertex cover C of G of size τ in $O(2^\tau + \tau \cdot n)$ time. We have seen that computing the kernel G^* of G can be done in $O(2^{O(\tau)} + \tau \cdot n)$ time. Since we have $2^{2\tau}$ types, and each of the $2 \cdot k^\tau + 2$ elements of the same type are equivalent in the book embedding (the position of two elements of the same type can be exchanged in a linear order without affecting the page assignment), the number of linear orders is $(2^{2\tau})^{O(k^\tau)} = 2^{O(\tau^{\tau+1})}$. Since G^* contains $\tau \cdot k^{O(\tau)} = \tau^{O(\tau)}$ edges, the number of page assignments is then $k^{\tau^{O(\tau)}}$. Overall, the book thickness of G^* can be determined in $2^{O(\tau^{\tau+1})} \cdot k^{\tau^{O(\tau)}} = k^{\tau^{O(\tau)}} = \tau^{\tau^{O(\tau)}}$ time. If G^* admits a k -page UBE, in $O(\tau \cdot n)$ time, we can reinsert the vertices in $G \setminus G^*$ as in the proof of [Lemma 17](#), thus obtaining a k -page UBE of G in overall $O(\tau^{\tau^{O(\tau)}} + \tau \cdot n)$ time.