

Intermediate dimension of images of sequences under fractional Brownian motion

Kenneth J. Falconer

Mathematical Institute, University of St Andrews,
St Andrews, Fife KY16 9SS, UK

E-mail: kjf@st-andrews.ac.uk

Abstract

We show that the almost sure θ -intermediate dimension of the image of the set $F_p = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2^p}, \frac{1}{3^p}, \dots\}$ under index- h fractional Brownian motion is $\frac{\theta}{ph+\theta}$, a value that is smaller than that given by directly applying the Hölder bound for fractional Brownian motion. In particular this establishes the box-counting dimension of these images.

1 Introduction

Intermediate dimensions were introduced in [10] to interpolate between the Hausdorff dimension and box-counting dimensions of sets where these differ, see the recent surveys [9, 11] for surveys on intermediate dimensions and dimension interpolation. The lower and upper intermediate dimensions, $\underline{\dim}_\theta E$ and $\overline{\dim}_\theta E$ of a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ depend on a parameter $\theta \in [0, 1]$, with $\underline{\dim}_0 E = \overline{\dim}_0 E = \dim_{\text{H}} E$ and $\underline{\dim}_1 E = \underline{\dim}_{\text{B}} E$ and $\overline{\dim}_1 E = \overline{\dim}_{\text{B}} E$, where \dim_{H} , $\underline{\dim}_{\text{B}}$ and $\overline{\dim}_{\text{B}}$ denote Hausdorff, and lower and upper box-counting dimensions, respectively. Various properties of intermediate dimensions are established in [1, 10] with the intermediate dimensions reflecting the range of diameters of sets needed to get coverings that are efficient for estimating dimensions. In particular $\underline{\dim}_\theta E$ and $\overline{\dim}_\theta E$ are monotonically increasing in $\theta \in [0, 1]$, are continuous except perhaps at $\theta = 0$, and are invariant under bi-Lipschitz mappings. Intermediate dimensions have been calculated for many sets which have differing Hausdorff and box-counting dimensions, including for sets of the form F_p given in (1.5) below in [10], as well as for attractors of infinitely generated conformal iterated function systems [2], spirals [6], countable families of concentric spheres [16] and topologists' sine curves [16], with non-trivial bounds obtained for self-affine carpets [10, 13].

Specifically, for $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, the *lower intermediate dimension* of E may be defined as

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta E = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \text{for all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and all } 0 < r_0 < 1, \text{ there exists } 0 < r \leq r_0 \right. \quad (1.1)$$

$$\left. \text{and a cover } \{U_i\} \text{ of } E \text{ such that } r^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq r \text{ and } \sum |U_i|^s \leq \epsilon \right\}$$

and the corresponding *upper intermediate dimension* by

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta E = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \text{for all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } 0 < r_0 < 1 \text{ such that for all } 0 < r \leq r_0, \right. \quad (1.2)$$

$$\left. \text{there is a cover } \{U_i\} \text{ of } E \text{ such that } r^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq r \text{ and } \sum |U_i|^s \leq \epsilon \right\},$$

where $|U|$ denotes the diameter of a set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. When $\theta = 0$ (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to Hausdorff dimension, since there are no lower bounds on the diameters of covering sets. When $\theta = 1$ all covering sets are forced to have the same diameter and we recover the lower and upper box-counting dimensions.

It is convenient to work with equivalent definitions of these intermediate dimensions in terms of the exponential behaviour of sums over covers. For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded and non-empty, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $r > 0$ and $s \in [0, n]$, define

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_i |U_i|^s : \{U_i\}_i \text{ is a cover of } E \text{ such that } r \leq |U_i| \leq r^\theta \text{ for all } i \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

It is immediate that

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta E = \text{the unique } s \in [0, n] \text{ such that } \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log S_{r,\theta}^s(E)}{-\log r} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta E = \text{the unique } s \in [0, n] \text{ such that } \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log S_{r,\theta}^s(E)}{-\log r} = 0.$$

For $p > 0$ let

$$F_p = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{1^p}, \frac{1}{2^p}, \dots, \frac{1}{3^p}, \dots \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

It is shown in [10] that, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\dim_\theta F_p = \frac{\theta}{p + \theta}.$$

Index- h fractional Brownian motion ($0 < h < 1$) is the stochastic process $B_h : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, almost surely, B_h is continuous with $B_h(0) = 0$, and the increments $B_h(x) - B_h(y)$ are stationary and Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $|x - y|^{2h}$, see, for example, [7, 8, 12, 14, 15]. There is a considerable literature on the dimensions of images of sets under stochastic processes, see [12] for Hausdorff dimensions, and [17] where the packing dimensions of images are expressed in terms of dimension profiles.

Here we investigate the almost sure intermediate dimensions of $B_h(F_p)$, the image of F_p under index- h fractional Brownian motion. By a simple estimate on the intermediate dimensions of Hölder images of sets, or see [1, Section 4], since B_h has almost sure Hölder exponent $h - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F_p) \leq \frac{1}{h} \dim_\theta F_p = \frac{\theta}{h(p + \theta)};$$

however the actual value is smaller than this.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $B_h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be index- h fractional Brownian motion. Then almost surely, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F_p) = \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta}, \quad (1.6)$$

and in particular

$$\dim_B B_h(F_p) = \frac{1}{ph + 1}.$$

We obtain the upper bound for $\dim_\theta B_h(F_p)$ by, for each r , covering the part of $B_h(F_p)$ near 0 by abutting intervals of lengths r^θ and the remaining points individually by intervals of length r . The lower bound uses a potential theoretic method, estimating an energy of the image under B_h of the measure given by equal point masses on the points of F_p between $1/(2M - 1)^p$ and $1/M^p$.

‘Intermediate dimension profiles’ were introduced in [5] to develop a general theory of intermediate dimensions including their behaviour under projections and this was developed for random images in [4]. By [4, Theorem 3.4]

$$\dim_\theta B_h(E) = \frac{1}{h} \dim_\theta^h E$$

where $\dim_\theta^s E$ is the θ -dimension profile of a general compact $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, but this does not give an explicit value of $\dim_\theta B_h(F_p)$. Whilst this might be found by an awkward calculation of dimension profiles, our proof here of (1.6) is self-contained.

2 Proofs

We recall from [5] the energy kernels $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$ on \mathbb{R}^m defined for $0 < r < 1, \theta \in [0, 1]$ and $0 < s \leq m$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < r \\ \left(\frac{r}{|x|}\right)^s & r \leq |x| < r^\theta \\ 0 & r^\theta \leq |x| \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

(here we only need the case of $m = 1$).

The proof of the lower bound for (1.6) uses the following three lemmas. The first is a slight variant of [5, Lemma 4.3] that relates the covering sums to energies with respect to the kernel $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$. We write $\mathcal{M}(F)$ for the set of Borel probability measures supported by F .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be compact, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $0 < r < 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq m$ and let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(F)$. Then*

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(F) \geq r^s \left[\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x - y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right]^{-1} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$ is lower semicontinuous, by standard potential theory there is an equilibrium measure μ_0 for which $\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x - x) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$ attains its minimum, say,

$$\iint \phi_{r,\theta}^{s,n}(x - y) d\mu_0(x) d\mu_0(y) = \gamma.$$

Moreover,

$$\int \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x-y) d\mu_0(y) \geq \gamma$$

for all $x \in F$, with equality if $x \in F_0$ for a set $F_0 \subseteq F$ with $\mu_0(F_0) = 1$.

If $r \leq \delta < r^\theta$ and $x \in F_0$ then using (2.1),

$$\gamma = \int \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x-y) d\mu(y) \geq \int \left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)^s 1_{B(0,\delta)}(x-y) d\mu(y) \geq \left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)^s \mu(B(x,\delta)). \quad (2.3)$$

Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be a finite cover of F by sets of diameters $r \leq |U_i| < r^\theta$ and write $\mathcal{I} = \{i : U_i \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset\}$, so for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we may choose $x_i \in U_i \cap F_0$ such that $U_i \subseteq B(x_i, |U_i|)$. Then

$$1 = \mu(F_0) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(U_i) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(B(x_i, |U_i|)) \leq r^{-s} \gamma \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |U_i|^s$$

by (2.3), so

$$\sum_i |U_i|^s \geq r^s \gamma^{-1};$$

taking the infimum over all such covers gives (2.2). (Note that considering covers with $r \leq |U_i| < r^\theta$ makes no difference in the definition (1.3).) \square

We next bound the expectation of $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$ evaluated on increments of fractional Brownian motion in terms of another kernel:

$$\psi_{r,\theta}^s(x) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{r^{\theta(1-s)+s}}{|x|^h} \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $B_h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be index- h fractional Brownian motion. Then there is a constant c depending only on s such that for $0 < r < 1$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and $0 < s < 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y))) \leq c \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x-y).$$

Proof. Since $B_h(x) - B_h(y)$ has Gaussian density with mean 0 and variance $|x-y|^{2h}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y))) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(t) \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}}\right) dt.$$

This is bounded above by 1 since $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(t) \leq 1$ and the Gaussian has integral 1. With $c_1 = 2/\sqrt{2\pi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y))) &= \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(t) \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \left[\int_0^r \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}}\right) dt + \int_r^{r^\theta} \frac{r^s}{t^s} \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}}\right) dt \right] \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \left[\int_0^r dt + \int_r^{r^\theta} \frac{r^s}{t^s} dt \right] \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \left[r + \frac{1}{1-s} r^{s+\theta(1-s)} \right] \\ &\leq c_2 \frac{r^{s+\theta(1-s)}}{|x-y|^h} \end{aligned}$$

since $r < 1$ and $s + \theta(1-s) \leq 1$. \square

The next lemma estimates the energy of a measure on F_p under the kernel $\psi_{r,\theta}^s$.

Lemma 2.3. *Given $\theta, s \in (0, 1]$ and $h \in (0, 1)$ there is a number $c > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < 1$ there is a measure $\mu_r \in \mathcal{M}(F_p)$ such that*

$$E(\mu_r) := \iint \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x-y) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) \leq c r^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)}.$$

Proof. Given r we will find $M \equiv M(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the measure μ_r formed by placing a point mass of $1/M$ on each of the M points of

$$F_p^M = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2M-1)^p}, \frac{1}{(2M-2)^p}, \dots, \frac{1}{M^p} \right\} \subseteq F_p$$

satisfies the conclusion. Note that if $M \leq k \leq 2M-1$, then

$$\frac{p}{(2M)^{p+1}} \leq \frac{p}{(k+1)^{p+1}} < \left| \frac{1}{k^p} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^p} \right| < \frac{p}{k^{p+1}} \leq \frac{p}{M^{p+1}},$$

by a mean value theorem estimate. In particular, if g is the minimum gap length between any pair of points of F_p^M , then $g \geq p/(2M)^{p+1}$ so any interval of length $R \geq g$ intersects at most $aM^{p+1}R$ points of F_p^M , where $a = 2^{p+2}/p$. We estimate the energy

$$E(\mu_r) = \iint \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x-y) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{M \leq i, j \leq 2M-1} \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x_i - x_j)$$

where for convenience we write $x_i = 1/i^p$. Let m be the greatest integer such that $2^m g \leq M^{-p}$. Using (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 E(\mu_r) &= \sum_{x_i=x_j} \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{g \leq |x_i-x_j| \leq 1/M^p} \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x_i - x_j) \\ &= M + \sum_{g \leq |x_i-x_j| \leq 1/M^p} \frac{r^{\theta(1-s)+s}}{|x_i - x_j|^h} \\ &\leq M + \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{2^k g \leq |x_i-x_j| \leq 2^{k+1}g} \frac{r^{\theta(1-s)+s}}{|x_i - x_j|^h} \\ &\leq M + r^{\theta(1-s)+s} \sum_{k=0}^m (2^k g)^{-h} M a M^{p+1} 2^{k+1} g \\ &\leq M + c_1 M^{p+2} r^{\theta(1-s)+s} g^{1-h} 2^{m(1-h)} \\ &\leq M + c_1 M^{p+2} r^{\theta(1-s)+s} g^{1-h} (M^{-p} g^{-1})^{(1-h)} \\ &= M + c_1 M^{2+ph} r^{\theta(1-s)+s} \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is a constant depending only on h and we have taken the dominant term of the geometric sum. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mu_r) &\leq M^{-1} + c_1 M^{ph} r^{\theta(1-s)+s} \\ &= (2 + c_1 2^{ph}) r^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)} \end{aligned}$$

on setting $M = \lceil r^{-(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)} \rceil \leq 2r^{-(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)}$ as $r < 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The conclusion is clear when $\theta = 0$, as $B_h(F_p)$ is countable so has Hausdorff dimension 0, so assume $\theta \in (0, 1]$.

Upper bound: Let $0 < \epsilon < h$. Index- h fractional Brownian motion satisfies

$$|B_h(x)| \leq Kt^{h-\epsilon} \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1)$$

almost surely for some $K < \infty$ see, for example, [3].

Let $0 < r < 1$ and M be an integer and take a cover of $B_h(F_p)$ by intervals $\{U_i\}$ with $r \leq |U_i| \leq r^\theta$ by covering each point $B_h(1/k^p)$ ($1 \leq k \leq M$) by an interval of length r and covering $B_h([0, 1/M^p]) \subseteq [-KM^{-p(h-\epsilon)}, KM^{-p(h-\epsilon)}]$ by abutting intervals of length r^θ . Then

$$\sum_i |U_i|^s \leq Mr^s + \left[\frac{2KM^{-p(h-\epsilon)}}{r^\theta} + 1 \right] (r^\theta)^s = Mr^s + 2Kr^{\theta(s-1)}M^{-p(h-\epsilon)} + r^{s\theta}.$$

Setting $M = \lceil r^{(\theta(s-1)-s)/(1+p(h-\epsilon))} \rceil \geq 2$ gives

$$\sum_i |U_i|^s \leq 2(1+K)r^{(s(p(h-\epsilon)+\theta)-\theta)/(1+p(h-\epsilon))} + r^{s\theta} \rightarrow 0$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$ provided that $s > \theta/(p(h-\epsilon) + \theta)$. Taking ϵ arbitrarily small we conclude that

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta B_h(F_p) \leq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta} \quad (2.5)$$

almost surely, by the definition (1.2) of $\overline{\dim}_\theta$.

Lower bound: From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and using Fubini's theorem, there is a number c independent of r such that for all $0 < r < 1$ there is a measure μ_r on F_p such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y)) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) \right) \leq c r^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)}.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, setting $r = 2^{-k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and summing,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k[(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)-\epsilon]} \iint \tilde{\phi}_{2^{-k},\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y)) d\mu_{2^{-k}}(x) d\mu_{2^{-k}}(y) \right) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\epsilon} < \infty.$$

Hence, almost surely there exists a random $K < \infty$ such that

$$\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y)) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) \leq Kr^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)-\epsilon}$$

for $r = 2^{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus for all $0 < r < 1$ with a modified K , noting that the two sides change only by a bounded ratio on replacing r by 2^{-k} for the least k such that $2^{-k} \leq r$. Writing $\tilde{\mu}_r$ for the image measure of μ_r under B_h , so $\tilde{\mu}_r$ is supported by $B_h(F_p)$ (in the notation of Lemma 2.3 $\tilde{\mu}_r$ consists of a mass of $1/M$ on each point $B_r(x_i)$), this becomes

$$\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(u - v) d\tilde{\mu}_r(u) d\tilde{\mu}_r(v) \leq Kr^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)-\epsilon}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1, almost surely there is a $K < \infty$ such that for all $0 < r < 1$,

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(F_p)) \geq K^{-1} r^{s - (\theta(1-s) + s)/(1+ph) + \epsilon}$$

so

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log S_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(F_p))}{-\log r} \geq -s + \frac{\theta(1-s) + s}{(1+ph)} - \epsilon = \frac{\theta(1-s) - sph}{(1+ph)} - \epsilon$$

and this remains true almost surely on setting $\epsilon = 0$. Hence $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \log S_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(F_p)) / -\log r \geq 0$ if $\theta(1-s) + sph = 0$, that is if $s = \theta/(ph + \theta)$, so by (1.4)

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta B_h(F_p) \geq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta}. \quad (2.6)$$

Combined with (2.5) this gives (1.6) almost surely for each $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Thus, almost surely, (1.6) holds for all rational $\theta \in [0, 1]$ simultaneously, and so, since box dimensions are continuous for $\theta \in (0, 1]$, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$ simultaneously. \square

Finally we remark that a similar approach can be used to find or estimate the intermediate and box-counting dimensions of fractional Brownian images of sets defined by other sequences tending to 0. For example, let $f : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a decreasing function and let $F = \{0, f(1), f(2), \dots\}$. Then if $f(x) = O(x^{-p})$ the upper bound argument gives

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta B_h(F) \leq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta} \quad (2.7)$$

almost surely. If we also assume that f is differentiable with non-increasing absolute derivative $|f'|$ then F has ‘decreasing gaps’, that is $f(k) - f(k+1)$ is non-increasing, and if

$$\frac{f(x)^{1-h}}{|f'(2x)|} = O(x^{1+ph})$$

a similar energy argument gives that almost surely, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta B_h(F) \geq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta}. \quad (2.8)$$

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Amlan Banaji, Stuart Burrell, Jonathan Fraser and Istvan Kolossváry for many discussions related to intermediate dimensions.

References

- [1] A. Banaji, Generalised intermediate dimensions, arxiv: 2011.08613
- [2] A. Banaji and J.M. Fraser, Intermediate dimensions of infinitely generated attractors, arxiv: 2104.15133

- [3] D. Baraka and T. Mountford, A law of the iterated logarithm for fractional Brownian motions, *Séminaire de Probabilités XLI*, (Eds C. Donati-Martin, M. Émery, A. Rouault, C. Stricker), *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1934**(2015), 161-179.
- [4] S. Burrell, Dimensions of fractional Brownian images, arxiv: 2002.03659
- [5] S. Burrell, K.J. Falconer and J.M. Fraser, Projection theorems for intermediate dimensions, *J. Fractal Geom.* **8**(2021), 95–116.
- [6] S. Burrell, K.J. Falconer and J.M. Fraser, The fractal structure of elliptical polynomial spirals, arxiv: 2008.08539
- [7] P. Embrechts and M. Maejima, *Selfsimilar Processes*, Princeton University Press, 2002.
- [8] K.J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, John Wiley, 3rd. ed., 2014.
- [9] K.J. Falconer, Intermediate dimensions – a survey, *Thermodynamic Formalism* (eds. Mark Pollicott and Sandro Vaienti), *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **2290**(2021), arxiv: 2011.04363
- [10] K.J. Falconer, J.M Fraser and T. Kempton, Intermediate dimensions, *Math. Zeit.* **296**(2020), 813–830.
- [11] J.M. Fraser, Interpolating between dimensions, in *Fractal Geometry and Stochastics VI*, (Eds: U. Freiberg, B. Hambly, M. Hinz, S. Winter), Birkhäuser, *Progress in Probability*, **76**(2021) 3–24.
- [12] J.P. Kahane, *Some Random Series of Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [13] I. Kolossváry, On the intermediate dimensions of Bedford-McMullen carpets, arxiv: 2006.14366
- [14] B.B. Mandelbrot and J.W. Van Ness, Fractional Brownian motion, fractional noises and applications, *SIAM Review* **10**(1968), 422-437.
- [15] G. Shevchenko, Fractional Brownian motion in a nutshell, in *Analysis of Fractional Stochastic Processes*, 1560002, *International Journal of Modern Physics Conference Series* **36**, World Scientific, 2015.
- [16] J.T. Tan, On the intermediate dimensions of concentric spheres and related sets, arxiv: 2008.10564
- [17] Y. Xiao, Packing dimension of the image of fractional Brownian motion *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **33**(1997), 379–387.