

# Perturbing the spectrum of operator $T_n^d(A)$

Nikola Sarajlija\*

May 26, 2022

## Abstract

Let  $T_n^d(A)$  denote a partial upper triangular operator matrix whose diagonal entries are given and the others unknown. In this article we have aim to find characterizations of (left,right) invertibility of  $T_n^d(A)$  in terms of diagonal entries solely, and hence we provide statements which generalize and correct results of Zhang S., Wu Z. (2012). We pose our results without invoking separability condition, thus improving results of Zhang S., Wu Z. (2012), and we give appropriate n-dimensional analogues without assuming separability as well. We recover many perturbation results of Djordjević D. S. (2002), and obtain some results of Du H. K., Pan J. (1994) and Han J. K., Lee H. Y., Lee W. Y. (2000) in the case of the Hilbert space setting.

*Keywords and phrases:* left invertible, right invertible, perturbation,  $n \times n$ , upper triangular

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:* 47A08, 47A05, 47A10, 47A55

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Spectral properties of upper triangular block operators are thoroughly studied by numerous authors (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [9], [11], [12], [14], [15]). The former are often encountered while investigating spectral properties of operators acting on a sum of Banach or Hilbert spaces. However, specialists have usually examined the case of  $2 \times 2$  block operators, while the case of general  $n \times n$  block operators has been neglected. This article deals with the study of this latter case. Furthermore, the usual framework in studying  $2 \times 2$  block operators has been the separable Hilbert space setting, while our results hold without assuming separability.

---

\*nikola.sarajlija@dmi.uns.ac.rs, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Novi Sad, 21000, Serbia

We consider partial upper triangular operator matrices of arbitrary dimension  $n \geq 2$ . By "partial" we understand a matrix whose some of the entries are unknown, while the others are given. We investigate some completion problems related to (left, right) invertibility of such matrices, thus obtaining some perturbation results as consequences. Invertibility of  $2 \times 2$  block matrices of general form has been discussed in [1] by Chen and Hai, and M. Kolundžija extended their result to case of Banach spaces [11]. Invertibility of  $2 \times 2$  block operators of upper triangular form has been discussed in [12], [17], and we extend results from [17] to arbitrary  $n \times n$  upper triangular operators. Similar investigations related to Fredholmness and Weylness of these block operators have been studied in [14]. Notice that there are various results related to different types of spectra ([8], [13], [15], [16]) of block operators, but there are only a few results treating the usual (left, right) spectrum.

Article is organized as follows. In the rest of this section we give notation and some basics on invertibility theory with a few auxiliary results. Afterwards, Section 2 deals with characterizing (left, right) invertibility of upper triangular operator matrices in the setting of arbitrary Banach spaces.

Let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_n$  be complex Banach spaces. Notation  $\mathcal{B}(X_i, X_j)$  stands for the collection of all linear and bounded operators from  $X_i$  to  $X_j$ , where we put  $\mathcal{B}(X) := \mathcal{B}(X, X)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X_i, X_j)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  denote the kernel and the range of  $T$ , respectively. It is well known that  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  is closed.

Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then  $T$  is left (right) invertible if  $\tilde{T}T = I$  ( $T\tilde{T} = I$ ) for some  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .  $T$  is invertible if  $T$  is both left and right invertible. Families of left (right) invertible operators on  $X$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{G}_l(X)$  ( $\mathcal{G}_r(X)$ ). Hence,  $\mathcal{G}(X) = \mathcal{G}_l(X) \cap \mathcal{G}_r(X)$  is the family of invertible operators on  $X$ .

Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$  be given. Partial upper triangular operator matrix of dimension  $n$  is denoted by

$$T_n^d(A) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & A_{12} & A_{13} & \dots & A_{1,n-1} & A_{1n} \\ 0 & D_2 & A_{23} & \dots & A_{2,n-1} & A_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & D_3 & \dots & A_{3,n-1} & A_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_{n-1} & A_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $A := (A_{12}, A_{13}, \dots, A_{ij}, \dots, A_{n-1,n})$  is an operator tuple consisting of unknown variables  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  stands for the collection of all described tuples  $A = (A_{ij})$ . This notation originated in [15], and the present author used it in [14]. We emphasize that entries of  $T_n^d(A)$  are linear and bounded operators acting on appropriate Banach spaces. It is possible to replace the setting of Banach spaces with some abstract generalizations [10], but we do not pursue this point any further.

In the sequel, we try to find an answer to the following question.

**Question 1.** Can we find a characterization for (left, right) invertibility of  $T_n^d(A)$  in terms of (left, right) invertibility of its diagonal entries  $D_i$ ?

If it is possible, than we immediately have an answer to

**Question 2.** What can we say about  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_*(T_n^d(A))$ , when  $\sigma_*$  runs through set  $\{\sigma_l, \sigma_r, \sigma\}$ ?

We shall present all of our results using some notions from Fredholm theory. Namely, we will put into use notions of nullity and deficiency of operator. Precise definition follows [18]. Let operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then its nullity and deficiency are the following quantities, respectively:  $\alpha(T) = \dim \mathcal{N}(T)$  and  $\beta(T) = \dim X/\mathcal{R}(T)$ . These quantities are nonnegative integers or  $+\infty$ . The following lemma enlightens the reason for using such terminology in this article.

**Lemma 1.1** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . The following equivalences hold:*

*$T$  is left invertible  $\Leftrightarrow \alpha(T) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is closed and complemented;*

*$T$  is right invertible  $\Leftrightarrow \beta(T) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  is complemented.*

If  $X$  is a Hilbert space, then every closed subspace of  $X$  is complemented. In that case Lemma 1.1 becomes simpler.

Corresponding spectra of an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  are defined as follows: the left spectrum is  $\sigma_l(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \mathcal{G}_l(X)\}$ , the right spectrum is  $\sigma_r(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \mathcal{G}_r(X)\}$ , while the spectrum of  $T$  is the following set:  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \mathcal{G}(X)\}$ . All of these spectra are compact nonempty subsets of the complex plane. We write  $\rho_l(T), \rho_r(T), \rho(T)$  for their complements, respectively.

**Lemma 1.2** *Let  $T_n^d(A) \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)$ . Then:*

*(i)  $\sigma_l(D_1) \subseteq \sigma_l(T_n^d(A))$ ;*

*(ii)  $\sigma_r(D_n) \subseteq \sigma_r(T_n^d(A))$ .*

**Remark 1.3** *If  $Y$  is a complemented subspace of  $X$ , in the sequel we use an abuse of notion  $X/Y$  to denote its complement.*

One important class of operators whose properties we aim to exploit is the class of inner regular operators.  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is inner regular if  $T = T\tilde{T}T$  for some  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . For brevity, such  $T$  will be called regular. By [5, Corollary 1.1.5],  $T$  is regular if and only if  $\mathcal{N}(T), \mathcal{R}(T)$  are closed and complemented subspaces. It is obvious that operators in each of the classes  $\mathcal{G}_l(X), \mathcal{G}_r(X), \mathcal{G}(X)$  are regular.

We will use the following notion that is due to D. S. Djordjević [2].

**Definition 1.4** We say that Banach space  $X$  can be embedded in a Banach space  $Y$  if there is a left invertible operator from  $X$  to  $Y$ . In that case we write  $X \preceq Y$ . Embedding  $X \preceq Y$  is essential if  $Y/\mathcal{R}(T)$  is infinite dimensional linear space for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . In that case we write  $X \prec Y$ .

**Remark 1.5** It is obvious that  $X \preceq Y$  if and only if there exists a right invertible operator from  $Y$  to  $X$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hilbert spaces, then  $X \preceq Y \Leftrightarrow \dim X \leq \dim Y$ , and  $X \prec Y \Leftrightarrow \dim X < \dim Y$  and  $\dim Y = \infty$ . Here,  $\dim$  stands for the orthogonal dimension.

## 2 Invertible completions of $T_n^d(A)$

We start with a result which deals with left invertibility of  $T_n^d(A)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ , ...,  $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ . Assume that  $D_s$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq n-1$ , are regular operators. Consider the following statements:

- (i) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$ ;
- (b)  $D_n$  is regular and  $\mathcal{N}(D_i) \preceq X_{i-1}/\mathcal{R}(D_{i-1})$  for every  $2 \leq i \leq n$ ;
- (ii) There exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $T_n^d(A) \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n)$ ;
- (iii) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$ ;
- (b)  $\bigoplus_{s=1}^{i-1} X_s/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_s)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_i)$  does not hold for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

In this case it holds  $\alpha(D_1) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(D_s)$  is closed for all  $1 \leq s \leq n$  and  $\mathcal{N}(D_i) \preceq X_{i-1}/\mathcal{R}(D_{i-1})$  for every  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . By Lemma 1.1 we need to find  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $\alpha(T_n^d(A)) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T_n^d(A))$  is closed and complemented. We choose  $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  so that  $A_{ij} = 0$  if  $j - i \neq 1$ , that is we place all nonzero operators of tuple  $A$  on the superdiagonal. It remains to define  $A_{ij}$  for  $j = i + 1$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ . First notice that  $A_{i,i+1} : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ . Since all of diagonal entries have closed range, we know that  $X_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \oplus X_{i+1}/\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$ ,  $X_i = X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_i)$ , and we have  $\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \preceq X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$ . It follows that there is a left invertible operator  $J_i : \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \rightarrow X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$ . We put  $A_{i,i+1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \\ X_{i+1}/\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \\ \mathcal{R}(D_i) \end{bmatrix}$ , and we implement this procedure for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{R}(A_{i,i+1})$  is complemented to  $\mathcal{R}(D_i)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Now we have chosen our  $A$ , we show that  $\mathcal{N}(T_n^d(A)) \cong \mathcal{N}(D_1)$ , implying  $\alpha(T_n^d(A)) = \alpha(D_1) = 0$ . Let us put  $T_n^d(A)x = 0$ , where  $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_n \in X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ . The previous equality is then equivalent to the following system of equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_1x_1 + A_{12}x_2 \\ D_2x_2 + A_{23}x_3 \\ \vdots \\ D_{n-1}x_{n-1} + A_{n-1,n}x_n \\ D_nx_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Last equation gives  $x_n \in \mathcal{N}(D_n)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(D_s)$  is complemented to  $\mathcal{R}(A_{s,s+1})$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ , we have  $D_sx_s = A_{s,s+1}x_{s+1} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ . That is,  $x_i \in \mathcal{N}(D_i)$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $J_sx_{s+1} = 0$  for every  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ . Due to left invertibility of  $J_s$  we get  $x_s = 0$  for  $2 \leq s \leq n$ , which proves the claim. Therefore,  $\alpha(T_n^d(A)) = \alpha(D_1) = 0$ .

Next, we show that  $\mathcal{R}(T_n^d(A))$  is closed and complemented. Left invertibility of  $J_i$ 's implies the existence of closed subspaces  $U_i$  of  $X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$  such that  $X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) = \mathcal{R}(J_i) \oplus U_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  (Lemma 1.1). It means that  $X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n = \mathcal{R}(D_1) \oplus \mathcal{R}(J_1) \oplus U_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{R}(J_2) \oplus U_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(J_{n-1}) \oplus U_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_n) \oplus X_n/\mathcal{R}(D_n)$ . It is not hard to see that  $\mathcal{R}(T_n^d(A)) = \mathcal{R}(D_1) \oplus \mathcal{R}(J_1) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{R}(J_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(J_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_n)$ . Comparing these equalities, we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}(T_n^d(A))$  is closed and complemented.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

Assume that  $T_n^d(A)$  is left invertible and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are Hilbert spaces. Then  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$  (Lemma 1.2). Assume that (iii)(b) fails. Then there exists some  $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha(D_j) > \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} \beta(D_s)$ .

We use a method similar to that in [14]. We know that for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , operator matrix  $T_n^d(A)$  as an operator from  $X_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_2)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_3)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_3) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_n)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_n)$  into  $\mathcal{R}(D_1) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_1)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_2)^\perp \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_{n-1})^\perp \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_n) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_n)^\perp$  admits the following block representation

$$T_n^d(A) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1^{(1)} & A_{12}^{(1)} & A_{12}^{(2)} & A_{13}^{(1)} & A_{13}^{(2)} & \dots & A_{1n}^{(1)} & A_{1n}^{(2)} \\ 0 & A_{12}^{(3)} & A_{12}^{(4)} & A_{13}^{(3)} & A_{13}^{(4)} & \dots & A_{1n}^{(3)} & A_{1n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & D_2^{(1)} & 0 & A_{23}^{(1)} & A_{23}^{(2)} & \dots & A_{2n}^{(1)} & A_{2n}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{23}^{(3)} & A_{23}^{(4)} & \dots & A_{2n}^{(3)} & A_{2n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_3^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & A_{3n}^{(1)} & A_{3n}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{3n}^{(3)} & A_{3n}^{(4)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n-1,n}^{(1)} & A_{n-1,n}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n-1,n}^{(3)} & A_{n-1,n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_n^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Notice that  $D_s^{(1)}$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$  are invertible. Therefore, there exist invertible operator matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that

$$UT_n^d(A)V = \begin{bmatrix} D_1^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{12}^{(4)} & 0 & A_{13}^{(4)} & \dots & 0 & A_{1n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & D_2^{(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{23}^{(4)} & \dots & 0 & A_{2n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_3^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & A_{3n}^{(4)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & A_{n-1,n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_n^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

Note that  $A_{ij}^{(4)}$  in (2.2) are not the original ones from (2.1) in general, but we still use them for convenience. Now, it is obvious that if (2.2) is left invertible, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{12}^{(4)} & A_{13}^{(4)} & A_{14}^{(4)} & \dots & A_{1n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & A_{23}^{(4)} & A_{24}^{(4)} & \dots & A_{2n}^{(4)} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{34}^{(4)} & \dots & A_{3n}^{(4)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n-1,n}^{(4)} \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{N}(D_2) \\ \mathcal{N}(D_3) \\ \mathcal{N}(D_4) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{N}(D_n) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}(D_1)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(D_2)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(D_3)^\perp \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{R}(D_{n-1})^\perp \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

is left invertible. Since  $\alpha(D_j) > \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} \beta(D_s)$  it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1j}^{(4)} \\ A_{2j}^{(4)} \\ A_{3j}^{(4)} \\ \vdots \\ A_{j-1,j}^{(4)} \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{N}(D_j) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}(D_1)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(D_2)^\perp \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{R}(D_{j-1})^\perp \end{bmatrix}$$

is not one-one, and hence operator defined in (2.3) is not left invertible for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Contradiction. This proves the desired.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2** Notice the validity of Theorem 2.1 without assuming separability of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

**Corollary 2.3** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ . Assume that  $D_s - \lambda$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq n-1$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  are regular operators. Then

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_l(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \sigma_l(D_1) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=2}^n \Delta_k' \right) \cup \Delta'',$$

where

$$\Delta'_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{N}(D_k - \lambda) \preceq X_{k-1}/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_{k-1} - \lambda)} \text{ does not hold} \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$\Delta'' = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : D_n - \lambda \text{ is not regular} \right\}.$$

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\sigma_l(D_1) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=2}^n \Delta_k \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_l(T_n^d(A)),$$

where

$$\Delta_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bigoplus_{s=1}^{k-1} X_s/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_k - \lambda) \text{ holds} \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n.$$

**Remark 2.4** Obviously,  $\Delta_k \subseteq \Delta'_k$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n$  holds.

If  $n = 2$ , we recover a result from [2].

**Theorem 2.5** ([2, Theorem 5.2]) Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1), D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Consider the following statements:

- (i) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$ ;
- (b)  $D_2$  is regular;
- (c)  $\mathcal{N}(D_2) \preceq X_1/\mathcal{R}(D_1)$ ;
- (ii) There exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$  such that  $T_2^d(A) \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ ;
- (iii) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$ ;
- (b)  $X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_2)$  does not hold.

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

If  $X_1, X_2$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

**Corollary 2.6** ([2, Corollary 5.3]) Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1), D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_2} \sigma_l(T_2^d(A)) \subseteq \sigma_l(D_1) \cup \Delta'_2 \cup \Delta'',$$

where

$$\Delta'_2 := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \preceq X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \text{ does not hold} \right\},$$

$$\Delta'' = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : D_2 - \lambda \text{ is not regular} \right\}.$$

If  $X_1, X_2$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\sigma_l(D_1) \cup \Delta_2 \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_2} \sigma_l(T_2^d(A)),$$

where

$$\Delta_2 := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : X_1 / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \text{ holds} \right\}.$$

**Remark 2.7** One might conjecture that left invertible  $T_2^d(A)$  must have  $D_2$  with closed range. However, this is not the case. See [15, Lemma 2] and [9, Example 3].

Notice that Theorem 2.5 is a correct version of [17, Theorem 2.1]. There are several remarks concerning Theorem 2.1 in [17]. First of all, in the notation of [17], condition (i)(b) of Theorem 2.5 is omitted in [17, Theorem 2.1], which is an oversight. Without that condition direction (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in [17, Theorem 2.1] need not hold. Namely, the choice of  $Q$  in the proof of part (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) implies  $\mathcal{R}(M_Q) = X \oplus \mathcal{R}(B)$ , and for  $\mathcal{R}(M_Q)$  to be closed (Lemma 1.1) we must assume that  $\mathcal{R}(B)$  is closed. Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{R}(B)$  is closed, notice that condition ( $\beta(A) = \infty$  or ( $B \in \Phi_+(\mathcal{K})$  and  $\alpha(B) \leq \beta(A)$ )) in [17, Theorem 2.1] is equivalent to a simple condition  $\alpha(B) \leq \beta(A)$ , which is condition (i)(c) in Theorem 2.5 interpreted in the setting of Hilbert spaces. Similar reasoning holds for [17, Theorem 2.2].

Now, we provide results dealing with right invertibility of  $T_n^d(A)$ .

**Theorem 2.8** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ , ...,  $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ . Assume that  $D_s$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq n-1$  are regular operators. Consider the following statements:

- (i) (a)  $D_n \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_n)$ ;
- (b)  $D_1$  is regular and  $X_i / \mathcal{R}(D_i) \preceq \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ;
- (ii) There exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $T_n^d(A) \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n)$ ;
- (iii) (a)  $D_n \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_n)$ ;
- (b)  $\bigoplus_{s=i+1}^n \mathcal{N}(D_s) \prec X_i / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_i)}$  does not hold for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

In this case it holds  $\beta(D_n) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(D_s)$  is closed for all  $1 \leq s \leq n$  and  $X_i / \mathcal{R}(D_i) \preceq \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . By Lemma 1.1, we need to find  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $\beta(T_n^d(A)) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{N}(T_n^d(A))$  is closed and complemented. We choose  $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  so that  $A_{ij} = 0$  if  $j - i \neq 1$ , that is we place all nonzero operators of tuple  $A$  on the superdiagonal. It remains to define  $A_{ij}$  for  $j = i + 1$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ .



First notice that  $A_{i,i+1} : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ . Since all of diagonal entries have closed range, we know that  $X_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \oplus X_{i+1}/\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$ ,  $X_i = X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_i)$ , and we have  $X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \preceq \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$ . It follows that there is a right invertible operator  $J_i : \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \rightarrow X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$ . We put  $A_{i,i+1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \\ X_{i+1}/\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \\ \mathcal{R}(D_i) \end{bmatrix}$ , and we implement this procedure for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{R}(A_{i,i+1}) = X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Therefore, it is immediate that  $\mathcal{R}(T_n^d(A)) = \mathcal{R}(D_1) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A_{12}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A_{23}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A_{n-1,n}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_n)$  is equal to  $X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ , that is  $T_n^d(A)$  is surjective.

Now we show that  $T_n^d(A)$  has complemented kernel. First, by Lemma 1.1, there exist closed subspaces  $V_{i+1}$  of  $\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) = \mathcal{N}(J_i) \oplus V_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . It means that  $X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n = \mathcal{N}(D_1) \oplus X_1/\mathcal{N}(D_1) \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{N}(J_1) \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{N}(D_n) \oplus \mathcal{N}(J_{n-1}) \oplus V_n$ . Second, direct computation shows that  $\mathcal{N}(T_n^d(A)) \cong \mathcal{N}(D_1) \oplus \mathcal{N}(J_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{N}(J_{n-1})$ . Comparing these equalities, we conclude that  $\mathcal{N}(T_n^d(A))$  is closed and complemented.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

This implication follows directly from part (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) of Theorem 2.1 by employing dual relations  $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{R}(T^*)^\perp$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(T^*) = \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9** *Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ , ...,  $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ . Assume that  $D_s - \lambda$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq n-1$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the complements condition. Then*

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_r(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \sigma_r(D_n) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \Delta'_k \right) \cup \Delta'',$$

where

$$\Delta'_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : X_k/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_k - \lambda)} \preceq \mathcal{N}(D_{k+1} - \lambda) \text{ does not hold} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

$$\Delta'' := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : D_1 - \lambda \text{ is not regular} \right\}.$$

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\sigma_r(D_n) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta_k \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_r(T_n^d(A)),$$

where

$$\Delta_k = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bigoplus_{s=k+1}^n \mathcal{N}(D_s - \lambda) \prec X_k/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_k - \lambda)} \text{ holds} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

**Remark 2.10** Obviously,  $\Delta_k \subseteq \Delta'_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  holds.

If  $n = 2$ , we recover more results from [2].

**Theorem 2.11** ([2, Theorem 5.4]) Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1), D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Consider the following statements:

- (i) (a)  $D_2 \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_2)$ ;
- (b)  $D_1$  is regular;
- (c)  $X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1)} \preceq \mathcal{N}(D_2)$ ;

(ii) There exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$  such that  $T_2^d(A) \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ ;

- (iii) (a)  $D_2 \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_2)$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{N}(D_2) \prec X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1)}$  does not hold.

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

If  $X_1, X_2$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

**Corollary 2.12** ([2, Corollary 5.5]) Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1), D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_2} \sigma_r(T_2^d(A)) \subseteq \sigma_r(D_2) \cup \Delta'_1 \cup \Delta'',$$

where

$$\Delta'_1 := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \preceq \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \text{ does not hold} \right\},$$

$$\Delta'' := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : D_1 - \lambda \text{ is not regular} \right\}.$$

If  $X_1, X_2$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\sigma_r(D_2) \cup \Delta_1 \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_2} \sigma_r(T_2^d(A)),$$

where

$$\Delta_1 := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \prec X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \text{ holds} \right\}.$$

We finish our investigations with results regarding invertibility of  $T_n^d(A)$ .

**Theorem 2.13** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1), D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ . Assume that all  $D_s, 2 \leq s \leq n - 1$ , are inner regular operators. Consider the following statements:

- (i) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$  and  $D_n \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_n)$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \cong X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ;

(ii) There exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $T_n^d(A) \in \mathcal{G}(X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)$ ;

- (iii) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$  and  $D_n \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_n)$ ;  
 (b)  $\bigoplus_{s=1}^{i-1} X_s/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_s)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_i)$  does not hold for  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\bigoplus_{s=i+1}^n \mathcal{N}(D_s) \prec X_i/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_i)}$  does not hold for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

**Proof.** (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

Let  $T_n^d(A)$  be invertible for some  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Then  $T_n^d(A)$  is both left and right invertible, and so Theorems 2.1 and 2.8 yield the desired.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

We find  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $\alpha(T_n^d(A)) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T_n^d(A)) = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$ . We choose  $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  so that  $A_{ij} = 0$  if  $j - i \neq 1$ , that is we place all nonzero operators of tuple  $A$  on the superdiagonal. It remains to define  $A_{ij}$  for  $j = i + 1$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ . First notice that  $A_{i,i+1} : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ . Since all of diagonal entries have closed ranges, we know that  $X_{i+1} = \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \oplus X_{i+1}/\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1})$ ,  $X_i = X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{R}(D_i)$ , and we have  $\alpha(D_{i+1}) = \beta(D_i)$ . It follows that there is an invertible  $J_i : \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \rightarrow X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$ . We put  $A_{i,i+1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \\ X_{i+1}/\mathcal{N}(D_{i+1}) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i) \\ \mathcal{R}(D_i) \end{bmatrix}$ , and we implement this procedure for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{R}(A_{i,i+1}) = X_i/\mathcal{R}(D_i)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Thus, we prove that  $T_n^d(A)$  is surjective in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Next, we are able to show that  $\mathcal{N}(T_n^d(A)) \cong \mathcal{N}(D_1)$ , implying  $\alpha(T_n^d(A)) = \alpha(D_1) = 0$ . This is proved in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ , ...,  $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$ . Assume that all  $D_s - \lambda$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq n-1$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  are regular operators. Then

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \sigma_l(D_1) \cup \sigma_r(D_n) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta'_k \right),$$

where

$$\Delta'_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{N}(D_{k+1} - \lambda) \cong X_k/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_k - \lambda)} \text{ does not hold} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\sigma_l(D_1) \cup \sigma_r(D_n) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \Delta_k \right) \cup \Delta_n \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma(T_n^d(A)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bigoplus_{s=1}^{k-1} X_s / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_k - \lambda) \text{ holds} \right\} \cup \\ &\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bigoplus_{s=k+1}^n \mathcal{N}(D_s - \lambda) \prec X_k / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_k - \lambda)} \text{ holds} \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ \Delta_n &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bigoplus_{s=1}^{n-1} X_s / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_n - \lambda) \text{ holds} \right\} \cup \\ &\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bigoplus_{s=2}^n \mathcal{N}(D_s - \lambda) \prec X_1 / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.15** Obviously,  $\left( \bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \Delta_k \right) \cup \Delta_n \subseteq \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta'_k \right)$  holds.

If we put  $n = 2$  we get:

**Theorem 2.16** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Consider the following statements:

- (i) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$  and  $D_2 \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_2)$ ;  
 (b)  $\mathcal{N}(D_2) \cong X_1 / \mathcal{R}(D_1)$ ;
- (ii) There exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$  such that  $T_2^d(A) \in \mathcal{G}(X_1 \oplus X_2)$ ;
- (iii) (a)  $D_1 \in \mathcal{G}_l(X_1)$  and  $D_2 \in \mathcal{G}_r(X_2)$ ;  
 (b)  $X_1 / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_2)$  does not hold and  $\mathcal{N}(D_2) \prec X_1 / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1)}$  does not hold.

Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

If  $X_1, X_2$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

**Corollary 2.17** Let  $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$ ,  $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_2} \sigma(T_2^d(A)) \subseteq \sigma_l(D_1) \cup \sigma_r(D_2) \cup \Delta',$$

where

$$\Delta' := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \cong X_1 / \overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \text{ does not hold} \right\}.$$

If  $X_1, X_2$  are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\sigma_l(D_1) \cup \sigma_r(D_2) \cup \Delta \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_2} \sigma(T_2^d(A)),$$

where

$$\Delta := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \prec \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \text{ holds} \right\} \cup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{N}(D_2 - \lambda) \prec X_1/\overline{\mathcal{R}(D_1 - \lambda)} \text{ holds} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.16 interpreted in the setting of Hilbert spaces is a special case of [7, Theorem 2]. Notice that Han et al. ([7]) have proved the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) of Theorem 2.16 in arbitrary Banach spaces. Corollary 2.17 recovers a result of Du and Pan ([6, Theorem 2]). Notice, however, that in [6] separability was used, while our statement is separability-free.

### Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia under Grant No. 451-03-68/2022-14/200125

I wish to express my gratitude to professor Dragan S. Djordjević for introduction to this topic and for useful comments that greatly improved the form of this paper.

### Data availability statement

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

## References

- [1] Chen A., Hai G. *Perturbations of the right and left spectra for operator matrices*. J. Operator Theory **67** (2012), no. 1, 207–214 MR2881539
- [2] Djordjević D. S., *Perturbations of spectra of operator matrices*, J. Oper. Theory. **48**(3), 467-486 (2002) MR1962466
- [3] Djordjević, D. S., Kolundžija M. Z. *Generalized invertibility of operator matrices*. Ark. Mat. **50** (2012), no. 2, 259–267 MR2961321
- [4] Djordjević D. S., Kolundžija M. Z. *Right and left Fredholm operator matrices*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50** (2013), no. 3, 1021–1027 MR3066245
- [5] Djordjević D. S., Rakočević V., *Lectures on generalized inverses*, University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Niš, 2008 MR2472376
- [6] Du H. K., Pan J., *Perturbation of spectrums of 2×2 operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), no. 3, 761–766 MR1185266
- [7] Han J. K., Lee H. Y., Lee W. Y., *Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 1, 119–123 MR1618686

- [8] Huang J., Wu X., Chen A., The point spectrum, residual spectrum and continuous spectrum of upper-triangular operator matrices with given diagonal entries. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **13** (2016), no. 5, 3091–3100.
- [9] Hwang I. S., Lee W. Y. *The boundedness below of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices*. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **39** (2001), no. 3, 267–276 MR1818061
- [10] Ivković Stefan, *On upper triangular operator  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $C^*$ -algebras*. *Filomat* **34** (2020), no. 3, 691–706 MR4205445
- [11] Kolundžija M., *Right invertibility of operator matrices*. *Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput.* **2** (2010), no. 1, 1–5 MR2769047
- [12] Li Y., Sun X. H., Du H. K., *The intersection of left (right) spectra of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices*. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **418** (2006), no. 1, 112–121 MR2257582
- [13] Li G., Hai G., Chen A. *Generalized Weyl spectrum of upper triangular operator matrices*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **12** (2015), no. 3, 1059–1067.
- [14] Sarajlija N. *Fredholmness and Weylness of block operator matrices*, submitted to *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12425>
- [15] Wu X., Huang J., *Essential spectrum of upper triangular operator matrices*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2020), no. 3, 780–798 MR4123844
- [16] Zguitti H. *A note on Drazin invertibility for upper triangular block operators*. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **10** (2013), no. 3, 1497–1507.
- [17] Zhang S., Wu Z., *Characterizations of perturbations of spectra of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **392** (2012), no. 2, 103–110 MR2917290
- [18] Živković Zlatanović S. Č., Rakočević V., Djordjević D. S., *Fredholm theory*, to appear