BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM AND TWIST COEFFICIENTS OF PERIODIC ORBITS OF BILLIARDS

XIN JIN AND PENGFEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the Birkhoff Normal Form around elliptic periodic points for a variety of dynamical billiards. We give an explicit construction of the Birkhoff transformation and obtain explicit formulas for the first two twist coefficients in terms of the geometric parameters of the billiard table. As an application, we obtain characterizations of the nonlinear stability and local analytic integrability of the billiards around the elliptic periodic points.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open neighborhood of the origin $P = (0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a symplectic embedding (area-preserving and orientation-preserving) with f(P) = P. Let λ and λ^{-1} be the eigenvalues of the tangent matrix $D_P f$. Then the fixed point P is said to be hyperbolic if $|\lambda| \neq 1$, parabolic if $\lambda = \pm 1$, and elliptic if $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. An elliptic fixed point P is said to be non-resonant if $\lambda^n \neq 1$ for any $n \geq 3$. If P is non-resonant, then for any $N \geq 1$, there exist an open neighborhood $U_N \subset U$ of P and a symplectic transformation

$$h_N: U_N \to \mathbb{R}^2, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x + p_2(x, y) + \dots + p_{2N+1}(x, y) \\ y + q_2(x, y) + \dots + q_{2N+1}(x, y) \end{bmatrix} + O(r^{2N+2}),$$
 (1.1)

where p_n and q_n are polynomials of degree n for each $2 \le n \le 2N + 1$, such that

$$h_N^{-1} \circ f \circ h_N \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Theta(r^2) & -\sin \Theta(r^2) \\ \sin \Theta(r^2) & \cos \Theta(r^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + O(r^{2N+2}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, $\Theta(r^2) = \theta + \tau_1 r^2 + \tau_2 r^4 + \cdots + \tau_N r^{2N}$, and θ is the argument of the eigenvalue λ . The function $\Theta(r^2)$ measures the amount of rotations of points around the fixed point P. The symplectic transformation (1.1) is called Birkhoff transformation, the resulting form (1.2) is called Birkhoff Normal Form, and the coefficient τ_k in the function $\Theta(r^2)$ is called the *k*-th twist coefficient of f at P for each $k \geq 1$. In fact, the above Birkhoff Normalization works as long as the elliptic fixed point P is not (2N + 2)-resonant for some $N \geq 1$. That is, $\lambda^n \neq 1$ for each $3 \leq n \leq 2N + 2$. The same results hold for elliptic periodic orbits. See [25] for more details. Normal form also exists for hyperbolic periodic points, see [21] for more details.

The existence of Birkhoff Normal Form plays an important role in the study of nonlinear stability and local integrability of elliptic fixed points. Recall that a fixed point P of a map $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be nonlinearly stable if there is a nesting sequence of f-invariant neighborhoods U_n of $P, n \ge 1$ whose boundaries $S_n = \partial U_n$ are invariant circles, such that

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37G05 37C83 37E40.

Key words and phrases. billiards, Birkhoff normal form, twist coefficients, nonlinear stability.

X. J. is supported in part by the NSF Grant DMS-1854232.

 $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_n = \{P\}$. It is said to be locally smoothly/analytically integrable if there is an open neighborhood V of P that is foliated smoothly/analytically into invariant circles around P. An elliptic fixed point being nonresonant alone does not guarantee it is nonlinearly stable. In fact, Anosov and Katok [1] constructed an ergodic symplectic diffeomorphism f of the closed unit disk \overline{D} with a nonresonant elliptic fixed point at the origin $P \in \overline{D}$. On the other hand, Moser's Twist Mapping Theorem [23, Theorem 2.13] states that if the elliptic fixed point P is not (2N+2)-resonant, then the fixed point P is nonlinearly stable as long as one of its first N twist coefficients is nonzero¹.

There have been many results about the twist coefficients (especially the first twist coefficient τ_1) at elliptic periodic points. Meyer [18] studied the generic bifurcation of periodic points using the property that the first twist coefficient is nonzero for generic irrational elliptic periodic points. Meyer [19] studied the generic stability properties of periodic points using the property that at least one of the first two twist coefficients is nonzero for a generic family of irrational elliptic periodic points. Moeckel [20] studied the behavior of the first twist coefficient $\tau_1(\phi_a, P)$ of an elliptic fixed point P for a generic one-parameter family (ϕ_a) of area-preserving diffeomorphisms and characterized the orders of the poles of $\tau_1(\phi_a, P)$ at resonances. See also [28] for an application to a Hill's equation with singular term. The twisting properties also have applications in dynamical billiards. Dias Carneiro, Kamphorst and Pinto de Carvalho [13, 7] considered the periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ of period 2 of dynamical billiards and obtained a formula for $\tau_1(F^2, P)$, where F is the (locally defined) billiard map. Moreover, they proved the existence of an elliptic island by a small normal perturbation of the billiard table along an elliptic periodic orbit of period 2. The same result for elliptic periodic orbits of higher periods is proved by Bunimovich and Grigo [3]. An explicit formula of $\tau_1(F^2, P)$ in terms of the geometric terms of the billiard table is given in [14].

In this paper we study the Birkhoff transformation and the first two twist coefficients of certain periodic orbits of (locally defined) billiards. Let $a(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_{2n}t^{2n}$ and $b(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_{2n}t^{2n}$, $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, be two even functions, $\gamma_0(t) = (a(t), t)$ and $\gamma_1(t) = (L - b(t), -t)$ be two parametrized curves on the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , see Fig. 1. Denote by Q(L, a, b), the domain bounded by the two curves γ_j , j = 0, 1, with their endpoints connected by two horizontal segments. We will consider the dynamical billiards on the domain Q(L, a, b). Let M be the phase space of the billiards, and $F : M \to M$ be the billiard map that sends a light ray on the billiard table Q(L, a, b) to the reflected ray upon hitting the boundary of the table. See [5] for more details about dynamical billiards. On the table Q(L, a, b), there exists a periodic orbit of period 2 bouncing back and forth between the two points $\gamma_0(0)$ and $\gamma_1(0)$. Denote this orbit by $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$, where P is the point with impact point at $\gamma_0(0)$.

Remark 1.1. The assumption of the two functions a(t) and b(t) being even simplifies our computations for the two twist coefficients. Without this assumption, the twist coefficient τ_1 will depend on both $R'_j(0)$ and $R''_j(0)$, j = 0, 1, (see [14] for example), and the twist coefficient τ_2 will depend on $R_j^{(k)}(0)$ for all $0 \le k \le 4$, j = 0, 1. To have a taste of the twist coefficient τ_2 in the general case, see [6], in which the authors obtained $\mathcal{P}_3(F)$, a quantity needed to compute τ_2 , that occupies three and a half pages of [6].

¹It is noteworthy that an elliptic fixed point is nonlinearly stable if it satisfies stronger arithmetic conditions such as the Bruno condition and the Diophantine condition, see [24, 8] for more details.



FIGURE 1. $\gamma_0(t) = (t^2 + 0.5t^4, t)$ and $\gamma_1(t) = (4 - t^2 + 0.3t^4, -t), t \in (-1, 1).$

Let s = s(t) be the arc-length parameter of the curve γ_j with s(0) = 0, $R_j(s)$ be the radius of curvature of the curve γ_j at $\gamma_j(s)$, and $R_j^{(k)}(s)$ be the k-th derivative of $R_j(s)$ with respect to $s, j \ge 0$. The quantity L represents the length of the trajectory between two consecutive impacts of the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 . If we enlarge the table Q = Q(L, a, b) by k times and denote the scaled table by kQ, then the corresponding quantities L and R_j , j = 0, 1, are enlarged by k times. In some sense, this scaling just adds a pair of glasses for us to observe the dynamics on the same billiard table. In particular, one can assume that L = 1 without losing much generality. We will keep using L and determine how the twist coefficients change with respect to the scaling from Q to kQ. For convenience we introduce a short notation related to scaling the billiard table:

Definition 1.2. A quantity $\tau(Q)$ of the billiard table Q is said to be homogeneous with respect to the scaling if there exists a constant $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tau(kQ) = k^d \tau(Q)$. Denote it by $\deg(\tau) = d$, which is called the scaling degree of the quantity $\tau(Q)$.

It is easy to see that $\deg(L) = 1$ and $\deg(R_j^{(k)}(0)) = 1 - k$ for any $k \ge 0, j = 0, 1$. As we will see later, the twist coefficient τ_j is homogeneous with degree $\deg(\tau_j) = -j, j = 1, 2$.

1.1. Symmetric billiards. We start with a special case with $R_0(s) = R_1(s) =: R(s)$. In this case it is clear that $\tau_k(F^2, P) = 2\tau_k(F, P)$ for all $k \ge 1$, see §2 for more details. We use the short notation that $R^{(k)} = R^{(k)}(0)$ for each $k \ge 0$. In particular, the tangent matrix of the one-step map F at the periodic point P is given by

$$D_P F = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{L}{R} - 1 & L \\ \frac{L}{R^2} - \frac{2}{R} & \frac{L}{R} - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.3)

It follows that the matrix $D_P F$ is hyperbolic if $\frac{L}{R} > 2$, is parabolic if $\frac{L}{R} = 2$, and is elliptic if $0 < \frac{L}{R} < 2$.

In the following we will assume $0 < \frac{L}{R} < 2$ and say that the point P is elliptic. Note that the periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ is in fact parabolic when $\frac{L}{R} = 1$. The eigenvalues of the tangent matrix $D_P F$ at the periodic point P are λ and $\bar{\lambda}$, where $\lambda = \frac{L}{R} - 1 - i\sqrt{L(\frac{2}{R} - \frac{L}{R^2})}$. We will need the following nonresonance assumptions:

(A1) $\lambda^4 \neq 1$, or equally, $\frac{L}{R} \in (0,2) \setminus \{1\}$; (A2) $\lambda^6 \neq 1$, or equally, $\frac{L}{R} \in (0,2) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$.

The assumption (A1) is needed for obtaining the normal form containing the first twist coefficient τ_1 and both (A1) and (A2) are needed for obtaining the normal form containing the first two twist coefficients τ_1 and τ_2 .

Theorem 1.3. Assuming (A1), the first twist coefficient $\tau_1(F, P)$ of the one-step billiard map F at P is given by

$$\tau_1(F,P) = \frac{1}{8R} - \frac{L}{8(2R-L)}R''.$$
(1.4)

Assuming (A1) and (A2), the second twist coefficient $\tau_2(F, P)$ of the one-step billiard map F at P is given by

$$\tau_{2}(F,P) = \frac{1}{64} \cdot \left(\frac{3(7R^{2} - 8RL + 2L^{2})}{4R^{2}(R-L)\sqrt{(2R-L)L}} - \frac{\sqrt{L}(27R^{2} - 40RL + 10L^{2})}{6R(R-L)(2R-L)^{3/2}}R'' + \frac{L^{3/2}(31R^{2} - 36RL + 6L^{2})}{12(R-L)(2R-L)^{5/2}}(R'')^{2} - \frac{L^{3/2}R}{3(2R-L)^{3/2}}R^{(4)}\right).$$
(1.5)

Remark 1.4. Consider the dynamical billiards inside the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{b^2} + y^2 = 1$, where 0 < b < 1. It is a classical result that the elliptic billiard is completely integrable: the whole phase space is foliated into invariant curves. See [5, §1.4]. Moreover, the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 along the minor axis (x-axis) of the ellipse is elliptic and is surrounded by F^2 -invariant circles, on which the restriction of F^2 acts as circle diffeomorphisms. So we can define the rotation number of F^2 on each of these invariant circles. An exact formula of the rotation number was obtained by Kołodziej [17], see also §4.1. Tabanov [27] obtained formulas of the rotation numbers using the action-angle coordinates of elliptic billiards. On the other hand, one can get an approximation of the rotation number for the invariant circles around the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 using the twist coefficients τ_1 and τ_2 . In §4.1 we show that this approximation is as accurate as it can be: it matches Kołodziej's formula up to the 4th-order.

1.2. **Applications.** In this subsection we give some applications of the Birkhoff Normal Form for the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 on a variety of symmetric billiards. We first introduce a few quantities that are needed below and postpone the general discussion to §3. Let z and w be the complex coordinates that span the eigenspaces of the eigenvalues λ and $\overline{\lambda}$ of the tangent matrix $D_P F$, respectively, and c_{jk} , $j \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, be the coefficients of the Taylor series of the billiard map F at (z, w) = (0, 0), see §3.1. Let d_{jk} , j + k = 3, be the coefficients of the first-step Birkhoff transformation in the complex coordinate (z, w), see §3.2. For example, $d_{03} = \frac{c_{03}}{1-\overline{\lambda}^4}$. Therefore, in the resonance case $\lambda^4 = 1$, $c_{03} \neq 0$ is an obstruction for the existence of Birkhoff transformation for F at the elliptic point P, see Remark 3.1.

Example 1.5. Let 0 < b < 1. Consider the billiards inside the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{b^2} + y^2 = 1$ and the periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ along the minor axis (x-axis) of the ellipse. Then $L = 2b, R = \frac{1}{b}$, and P is an elliptic point for the billiard map with an eigenvalue $\lambda = 2b^2 - 1 - i2b\sqrt{1-b^2}$. The nonresonance assumption (A1) holds for $b \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. Since $R'' = \frac{3(b^2-1)}{b}$, we get $\tau_1(F, P) = \frac{b}{2}$ from (1.4). It follows from Moser's Twist Mapping Theorem that the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable. This is indeed consistent with the fact that the elliptic billiard is integrable.

Remark 1.6. Consider the resonance case $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ of the elliptic billiards that is excluded from Example 1.5. Note that the coefficient $c_{03}(b)$ is given by

$$c_{03}(b) = -\frac{\overline{\lambda}}{6}b(1-2b^2)(3-4b^2)(2b\sqrt{1-b^2}+i(1-2b^2)).$$
(1.6)

In particular, $c_{03}(b)$ vanishes at $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. It follows that there is no obstruction for the existence of the first-step Birkhoff transformation. One can simply set $d_{03}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) = 0$. This does work, just the corresponding Birkhoff transformation does not depend continuously on the parameter b at $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. A canonical approach is to let the factor $(1-2b^2)$ of $c_{03}(b)$ cancel the factor $(1-2b^2)^{-1}$ in $\frac{1}{1-\overline{\lambda}^4}$ when defining d_{03} . In this way we obtain:

$$d_{03}(b) = \frac{(-3+4b^2)(1-8b^2+8b^4-i4b(1-b^2)^{1/2}(1-2b^2))^2}{48(1-b^2)^{1/2}},$$
(1.7)

which is well defined and continuous for all $b \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, Moser's Twist Mapping Theorem is applicable for the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 for all elliptic billiards.

Example 1.7. Consider the lemon billiards introduced in [9]. Recall that a lemon Q(L) is defined as the domain bounded by two circular arcs γ_j , j = 0, 1 of radius R = 1, where L measures the distance between $\gamma_0(0)$ and $\gamma_1(0)$, see Fig. 2. Let $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ be the periodic orbit bouncing back and forth between $\gamma_0(0)$ and $\gamma_1(0)$. Then the point P is elliptic for the billiard map F when $L \in (0, 2)$, for which the eigenvalue is $\lambda = L - 1 - i\sqrt{L(2-L)}$. It satisfies the nonresonant assumption (A1) when $L \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$. Applying (1.4), we get $\tau_1(F, P) = \frac{1}{8}$, and the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable whenever $L \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$.

Remark 1.8. Consider the resonance case L = 1 of the lemon billiards Q(L) that is excluded from Example 1.7. Note that $c_{03}(1) = -\frac{i}{8} \neq 0$, which is an obstruction for the existence of the first-step Birkhoff transformation for the lemon billiards Q(1). Note that the point $\gamma_j(0)$ is the center of the other circular arc γ_{1-j} , j = 0, 1, and all the orbits hitting $\gamma_j(0)$ on γ_{1-j} are periodic points of period 4, see Fig. 2. Therefore, there is no twist at all around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 . Numerical results in [4] suggest that the billiard map on Q(1) is in fact ergodic. In particular, the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is not nonlinearly stable.



FIGURE 2. The lemon table Q(1) with two families of periodic points of period 4. Left: periodic points on the table; right: periodic points on the phase space.

Note that the above discussion is closely related to the local analytic integrability of the billiard map F around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 . Recall that

Proposition 1.9. Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an analytic symplectic embedding and $P \in U$ be an elliptic fixed point of f. Then f is locally analytically integrable around P if and only if f admits an analytic Birkhoff Normal Form via an analytic Birkhoff transformation around the point P.

See [10, 11, 15, 29] for general results about local analytic integrability about Hamiltonian systems. Generally speaking, the Birkhoff Normal Form is divergent, see [16] for more details.

Let us go back to the lemon billiards with L = 1. Since $\lambda^4 = 1$ and $c_{03} \neq 0$, the first-step Birkhoff transformation does not exist. In other words, the billiard map F does not admit any analytic normalization around the point P. It follows from Proposition 1.9 that the lemon billiard Q(1) is not locally analytically integrable around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 .

Example 1.10. Consider the general case that $\gamma_0(t) = (a(t), t)$ and $\gamma_1(t) = (1 - a(t), -t)$, where $a(t) = a_2t^2 + a_4t^4 + a_6t^6 + \cdots$, $-\epsilon < t < \epsilon$. The curvature R(s) satisfies $R = \frac{1}{2a_2}$ and $R'' = 6a_2 - \frac{6a_4}{a_2^2}$. The point P is elliptic when $a_2 \in (0, 1)$, for which the eigenvalue is $\lambda = 2a - 1 - i\sqrt{a - a^2}$. It satisfies the assumption (A1) when $a_2 \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. It follows from (1.4) that $\tau_1(F, P) = 0$ if and only if $R'' = 2 - \frac{1}{R}$, or equally,

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{3}a_2^2(4a_2 - 1). \tag{1.8}$$

Therefore, for each $a_2 \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 of the billiard system is nonlinearly stable as long as $a_4 \neq \frac{1}{3}a_2^2(4a_2-1)$.

Remark 1.11. Consider the resonance case that $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then R = L = 1 and the coefficient c_{03} is given by

$$c_{03} = -\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{24}(3 + R''). \tag{1.9}$$

There are two subcases:

- (1) R'' = -3, or equally, $a_4 = a_2^2(a_2 + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4}$: there exists a first-step Birkhoff transformation (although not unique, see the discussion in Remark 1.6). It follows that the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable since $\tau_1(F, P) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- (2) $R'' \neq -3$, or equally, $a_4 \neq \frac{1}{4}$: then $c_{03} \neq 0$, which is an obstruction for the existence of the first-step Birkhoff transformation for the symmetric billiards. By Proposition 1.9, the billiard map is not locally analytically integrable around the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 .

Example 1.10 (continued). We have studied the case when $\tau_1 \neq 0$. Now we deal with the remaining case that $\tau_1 = 0$, or equally, $R'' = 2 - \frac{1}{R}$. Suppose $a_2 \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\}$. Substituting $R'' = 2 - \frac{1}{R}$ into the formula (1.5), we get

$$\tau_2 = \frac{(2R-1)^{1/2}(5R-1)}{192(R-1)R^2} - \frac{R}{192(2R-1)^{3/2}}R^{(4)}.$$
 (1.10)

It follows that $\tau_2 = 0$ if and only if $R^{(4)} = \frac{(2R-1)^2(5R-1)}{(R-1)R^3}$.

Note that the radius of curvature R(s) of the curve γ_0 satisfies $R^{(4)} = -\frac{12(2a_2^6 + 4a_2^3a_4 - 36a_4^2 + 15a_2a_6)}{a_2^3}$. The assumption $\tau_1 = 0$ implies that $a_4 = \frac{1}{3}a_2^2(4a_2 - 1)$. Therefore, $\tau_2 = 0$ if and only if

$$a_6 = \frac{2a_2^3(-1 + 46a_2 - 168a_2^2 + 168a_2^3)}{45(2a_2 - 1)}.$$
(1.11)

Collecting terms, we have

Corollary 1.12. Let $a_2 \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\}$, $a(t) = a_2t^2 + a_4t^4 + a_6t^6 + \cdots$, and \mathcal{O}_2 be the periodic orbit of period 2 of the billiard map on the domain Q(1, a, a). Then the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable if either $a_4 \neq \frac{1}{3}a_2^2(4a_2 - 1)$ or $a_6 \neq \frac{2a_2^3(-1+46a_2-168a_2^2+168a_2^3)}{45(2a_2-1)}$.

Proof. It suffices to note that either $\tau_1 \neq 0$ or $\tau_2 \neq 0$. Then the result follows from Moser's Twist Mapping Theorem.

1.3. Asymmetric billiards. Consider the general case that the function a(t) for $\gamma_0(t) =$ (a(t), t) and the function b(t) for $\gamma_1(t) = (L - b(t), -t)$ are different. Recall that we have set $R_k^{(j)} = R_k^{(j)}(0), k = 0, 1$, for each $j \ge 0$. We will need the following nonresonance assumptions for the iterate F^2 along the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 :

- (B1) $\lambda^4 \neq 1$, or equally, $(\frac{L}{R_0} 1)(\frac{L}{R_1} 1) \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\};$ (B2) $\lambda^6 \neq 1$, or equally, $(\frac{L}{R_0} 1)(\frac{L}{R_1} 1) \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}.$

Theorem 1.13. Assuming (B1), the first twist coefficient of the billiard map F along the orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ is given by

$$\tau_1(F^2, P) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{R_0 + R_1}{R_0 R_1} - \frac{L}{R_0 + R_1 - L} \left(\frac{R_1 - L}{R_0 - L} R_0'' + \frac{R_0 - L}{R_1 - L} R_1'' \right) \right).$$
(1.12)

Let k = 0 if $L < \min\{R_0, R_1\}$ and k = 1 if $\max\{R_0, R_1\} < L < R_0 + R_1$. Assuming (B1) and (B2), the second twist coefficient of the billiard map F along the orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ is given by

$$\tau_{2} = \frac{(-1)^{k}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \Big(\frac{3N(L, R_{0}, R_{1})}{512R_{0}^{2}R_{1}^{2}\Gamma} + \frac{P(L, R_{0}, R_{1})(R_{0}'')^{2} + P(L, R_{1}, R_{0})(R_{1}'')^{2}}{1536(R_{0} - L)^{2}(R_{1} - L)^{2}(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)^{2}\Gamma} \\ + \frac{Q(L, R_{0}, R_{1})R_{0}''R_{1}''}{768(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)^{2}\Gamma} - \frac{S(L, R_{0}, R_{1})R_{1}R_{0}'' + S(L, R_{1}, R_{0})R_{0}R_{1}''}{768R_{0}R_{1}(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L)(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)\Gamma} \\ - \frac{T(L, R_{0}, R_{1})(R_{1} - L)R_{0}^{(4)} + T(L, R_{1}, R_{0})(R_{0} - L)R_{1}^{(4)}}{192(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L)(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)} \Big),$$
(1.13)

where $\Delta = L(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L)(R_0 + R_1 - L)$, $\Gamma = 2(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - R_0R_1$, and the functions $N(L, R_0, R_1)$, $P(L, R_0, R_1)$, $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$, $S(L, R_0, R_1)$ and $T(L, R_0, R_1)$ are given by

$$N(L, R_0, R_1) = 8L^4 (R_0^2 + R_1^2) - 16L^3 (R_0^3 + 2R_0^2 R_1 + 2R_0 R_1^2 + R_1^3) + 8L^2 (R_0 + R_1)^2 (R_0^2 + 4R_0 R_1 + R_1^2) - 8LR_0 R_1 (2R_0^3 + 7R_0^2 R_1 + 7R_0 R_1^2 + 2R_1^3) + 7R_0^2 R_1^2 (R_0 + R_1)^2;$$
(1.14)
$$P(L, R_0, R_1) = L^2 (R_1 - L)^4 (48R_0^3 (R_1 - 2L) + 24L^2 (R_1 - L)^2)$$

$$-72LR_0(R_1 - L)(R_1 - 2L) + R_0^2(216L^2 - 216R_1L + 31R_1^2)); \qquad (1.15)$$

$$Q(L, R_0, R_1) = -L^2 R_0 R_1 (32L^2 + 17R_0 R_1 - 32L(R_0 + R_1));$$
(1.16)

$$S(L, R_0, R_1) = L(R_1 - L)^2 (40(R_1 - L)^2 L^2 + 3R_0^3 (9R_1 - 16L) - 80R_0 (2L^2 - 3R_1 L + R_1^2) L + 3R_0^2 (56L^2 - 56R_1 L + 9R_1^2));$$
(1.17)

$$T(L, R_0, R_1) = L^2 R_0 (R_1 - L)^2.$$
(1.18)

See Remark 5.1 for explanations about the sign appearing in (1.13).

Remark 1.14. Assuming the triple (L, R_0, R_1) satisfies (B1), the zero locus of τ_1 is a line in the (R_0'', R_1'') -plane. Similarly, assuming the triple (L, R_0, R_1) satisfies (B1) and (B2), the zero locus of τ_2 is a line in the $(R_0^{(4)}, R_1^{(4)})$ -plane.

We start with an observation that in the case $R''_0 \leq 0$ and $R''_1 \leq 0$, the first twist coefficient τ_1 is positive and the elliptic periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable.

Example 1.15. Consider the table consisting of two half-ellipses: the left half given by $\gamma_0: \frac{x^2}{b_0^2} + y^2 = 1, x \leq 0$, while the right half given by $\gamma_1: \frac{x^2}{b_1^2} + y^2 = 1, x \geq 0$, where $0 < b_1 < b_0 < 1$. Consider the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 along the x-axis. Then $L = b_0 + b_1$, $R_0 = \frac{1}{b_0} < R_1 = \frac{1}{b_1}$. So the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is elliptic when either $b_0 + b_1 < \frac{1}{b_0}$ or $b_0 + b_1 > \frac{1}{b_1}$ and satisfies (A1) when $(b_0(b_0 + b_1) - 1)(b_1(b_0 + b_1) - 1) \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Then it follows from (1.12) that \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable.

Example 1.16. Consider the asymmetric lemon billiards introduced in [4]. Recall that an asymmetric lemon Q(r, B, R) is the intersection of two round disks of radii r < R, respectively, where R - r < B < R + r measures the distance between their centers. The periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 of period 2 satisfies $(L, R_0, R_1) = (R + r - B, r, R)$. This orbit is elliptic if B < r or B > R. Assuming $2(B - r)(B - R) \neq rR$, the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable, since the first twist coefficient is $\tau_1(F^2, P) = \frac{1}{8}(r^{-1} + R^{-1}) \neq 0$. This includes the table Q(r, B, R)with 4(B - r)(B - R) = rR, which is one of the two cases left out in [4, Proposition II.2]. See also [14, Section 4.1].



FIGURE 3. The asymmetric lemon billiards $Q(r, B_+(r, R), R)$ when R = 2r. Left: the table; Right: the phase portrait.

Remark 1.17. Consider the resonance case 2(B - r)(B - R) = rR that is excluded from the discussion in Example 1.16, which corresponds to two tables with $B_{\pm}(r, R) := \frac{1}{2}(r + R \pm \sqrt{r^2 + R^2})$. In both cases, we have $c_{03} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{8}(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{R}) \neq 0$, which is an obstruction for the existence of the first-step Birkhoff transformation for the symmetric billiards. It follows from Proposition 1.9 that the the asymmetric lemon billiard $Q(r, B_{\pm}(r, R), R)$ is not locally analytically integrable around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 . Numerical results suggest that the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable, see Fig. 3.

In the next subsection we will consider a new class of billiards, the ellipse-hyperbola lens billiards. Being asymmetric billiards, there is a one-parameter family of such billiards satisfying $R_0 = R_1 =: R$. For such billiards, the nonresonance assumptions (B1) and (B2) reduce to:

(B1)' $|\frac{L}{R} - 1| \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\};$ (B2)' $|\frac{L}{R} - 1| \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\}.$

Assuming (B1)', it follows from (1.12) that the first twist coefficient of the billiard map F along the orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ is given by

$$\tau_1(F^2, P) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2}{R} - \frac{L}{2R - L} (R_0'' + R_1'') \right).$$
(1.19)

Similarly, assuming (B1)' and (B2)', it follows from (1.13) that the second twist coefficient of the billiard map F along the orbit $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ is given by

$$\tau_{2} = \frac{3(2L^{2} - 8LR + 7R^{2})}{128R^{2}(R - L)L^{1/2}(2R - L)^{1/2}} - \frac{L^{1/2}(10L^{2} - 40LR + 27R^{2})(R_{0}'' + R_{1}'')}{384R(R - L)(2R - L)^{3/2}} + \frac{L^{3/2}(24L^{4} - 192L^{3}R + 456L^{2}R^{2} - 384LR^{3} + 79R^{4})((R_{0}'')^{2} + (R_{1}'')^{2}) - 2L^{2}R^{2}(32L^{2} - 64LR + 17R^{2})R_{0}''R_{1}''}{1536(R - L)(2R - L)^{5/2}(2(R - L)^{2} - R^{2})} - \frac{L^{3/2}R(R_{0}^{(4)} + R_{1}^{(4)})}{192(2R - L)^{3/2}}.$$
(1.20)

1.4. Ellipse-hyperbola lens billiards. Let a, b, p, q be four positive numbers with a > p, Q(a, b, p, q) be the domain bounded by the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ and the right branch of the hyperbola $\frac{x^2}{p^2} - \frac{y^2}{q^2} = 1$. See Fig. 4. The domain Q(a, b, p, q) resembles an ellipse-hyperbola lens, a special type of aspheric lenses. We start with a special case that $a^2 - b^2 = p^2 + q^2 =: c^2$. In this case, the two components of the boundary $\partial Q(a, b, p, q)$ are confocal conic curves and the corresponding ellipse-hyperbola lens billiard is completely integrable. Consider the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 of period 2 of the billiards along the x-axis. Then the distance is L = a - p, the radius of the ellipse at (a, 0) is $R_0 = \frac{b^2}{a} = a - \frac{c^2}{a}$, the radius of the hyperbola at (p, 0) is $R_1 = \frac{q^2}{p} = \frac{c^2}{p} - p$. As p increases from 0 to c, L decreases from a to a - c, while R_1 decreases from ∞ to 0. Moreover, $R_1 = L = R_0$ when $p = p_c := \frac{c^2}{a}$, $R_1 > L > R_0$ when $p < p_c$ and $R_1 < L < R_0$ when $p > p_c$. It follows that the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is hyperbolic when $p \in (0, c) \setminus \{p_c\}$ and is parabolic when $p = p_c$. Next we will assume c = 1 and consider two families of perturbations of the confocal ellipse-hyperbola lens billiards: (1) shift the confocal hyperbola with $p = p_c = \frac{1}{a}$; (2) deform the confocal hyperbola with $p \neq p_c = \frac{1}{a}$.

Example 1.18. We start with the confocal ellipse-hyperbola lens Q(a, b, p, q) that $b^2 = a^2 - 1$, $p = p_c = \frac{1}{a}$, $q^2 = 1 - p^2 = 1 - a^{-2}$ and shift the hyperbola horizontally by s. It follows that the corresponding periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is elliptic for all $0 < |s| < a - \frac{1}{a}$. In the following we will assume that the elliptic periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 satisfies the nonresonance assumption (B1)' that $|s| \in (0, a - \frac{1}{a}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(a - \frac{1}{a})}\}$. Plugging $L = a - \frac{1}{a} - s$, $R_0 = R_1 = a - \frac{1}{a}$, $R_0'' = \frac{3a}{b^2} - \frac{3}{a} = \frac{3}{a(a^2-1)}$ and $R_1'' = \frac{3p}{q^2} + \frac{3}{p} = \frac{3a^3}{a^2-1}$ into (1.19), we have

$$\tau_1(F^2, P) = \frac{a}{4(a^2 - 1)} - \frac{3(a^4 + 1)(a^2 - as - 1)}{8a(a^2 - 1)(a^2 + as - 1)}.$$
(1.21)



FIGURE 4. Some ellipse-hyperbola lenses with fixed ellipse. (1) the confocal hyperbola with $p = p_c$ (black) and two shifts (gray); (2) a confocal hyperbola with $p > p_c$ (red) and two deformations $q = q_2$ (green) and $q > q_2$ (blue).

Note that the only zero of the twist coefficient τ_1 is when $s = \frac{(a^2-1)(3a^4-2a^2+3)}{a(3a^4+2a^2+3)}$. Set $\rho_1(a) := \frac{3a^4-2a^2+3}{3a^4+2a^2+3} \in (\frac{1}{2},1)$. It follows that $\tau_1 \neq 0$ and the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable as long as $|s| \in (0, a - \frac{1}{a}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(a-\frac{1}{a})}\}$ and $s \neq \rho_1(a)(a - \frac{1}{a})$.

Remark 1.19. Consider the resonance case (B1)' that $s = s_{\pm} := \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a - \frac{1}{a})$. We have

$$c_{03}(s_{+}) = -\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{8} \frac{\sqrt{4 - 2\sqrt{2}} \left(a^{2} + 1\right)}{\left(\sqrt{2} + 2\right)^{3/2} a} \neq 0, \quad c_{03}(s_{-}) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{8} \frac{\sqrt{4\sqrt{2} + 6} \left(a^{2} + 1\right)}{\left(2 - \sqrt{2}\right) a} \neq 0.$$
(1.22)

Therefore, there is no first-step Birkhoff transformation for the billiard map at the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 at the resonance $s = s_{\pm}$. It follows from Proposition 1.9 that the billiard map is not locally analytically integrable around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 .

Example 1.18 (continued). We consider the case that $\tau_1 = 0$. This happens exactly when $s = \rho_1(a)(a - \frac{1}{a})$, or equally, $L = a - \frac{1}{a} - s = (a - \frac{1}{a})\frac{4a^2}{3a^4 + 2a^2 + 3}$. The nonresonance assumptions (B1)' and (B2)' are satisfied when $\rho_1(a) \notin \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\}$. Plugging $R_0^{(4)} = -\frac{3(4a^2 - 3)}{a(a^2 - 1)^3}$ and $R_1^{(4)} = -\frac{3(p^2 - 3q^2)}{pq^6} = -\frac{3a^5(4 - 3a^2)}{(a^2 - 1)^3}$ into (1.20), we have

$$\tau_2 = \frac{a(729a^{20} - 2214a^{18} - 315a^{16} - 2568a^{14} - 2206a^{12} - 1188a^{10} - 2206a^8 - 2568a^6 - 315a^4 - 2214a^2 + 729)}{96\sqrt{6}(a^2 - 1)^2(a^4 + 1)^{3/2}(3a^4 - 2a^2 + 3)(9a^8 - 36a^6 + 22a^4 - 36a^2 + 9)}.$$
 (1.23)

Note that τ_2 has exact one zero at $a = a_* \approx 1.87861$. It follows that if $a \neq a_*$ and $\rho_1(a) \notin \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\}$, then the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable.

Example 1.20. Consider the second family of the hyperbola-ellipse lenses by changing the parameter q while fixing some $p \neq p_c = \frac{1}{a}$. We divide our discussion into two subcases according to whether $p > \frac{1}{a}$ or $p < \frac{1}{a}$.

(1) $p \in (\frac{1}{a}, 1)$: let q increase from the confocal parameter $q_1 = \sqrt{1-p^2}$. Note that both L = a - p and $R_0 = a - \frac{1}{a}$ are fixed in this process, and $L < R_0$. Let $q_2 := \sqrt{p(a-p)}$ be

the parameter value such that the radius $R_1 = \frac{q^2}{p} = L$. Then the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 remains hyperbolic for $q \in (q_1, q_2)$, becomes parabolic at $q = q_2$ and then stays elliptic for $q > q_2$. Next we assume $q > q_2$. Since $R_0 = a - \frac{1}{a} < 2L = 2(a - p)$, we have

$$(1 - \frac{L}{R_0})(1 - \frac{L}{R_1}) < 1 - \frac{L}{R_0} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore the resonance $\lambda^4 = 1$ does not occur in this family. It follows from (1.12) that the first twist coefficient of the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is given by

$$\tau_1(F^2, P) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{a^2 p + aq^2 - p}{q^2(a^2 - 1)} + \frac{ap(a - p) \left(\frac{3(p^2 + q^2)(ap - 1)}{aq^2(ap - p^2 - q^2)} + \frac{3(ap - p^2 - q^2)}{p(a^2 - 1)(ap - 1)} \right)}{a(p^2 + q^2) - p} \right).$$
(1.24)

Note that for q sufficiently large, $\tau_1(F^2, P) \approx \frac{1}{8}(\frac{a}{(a^2-1)} - \frac{3(a-p)}{(a^2-1)(ap-1)})$, which vanishes at $p = \frac{4a}{a^2+3} \in (\frac{1}{a}, 1)$. It follows that there are cases for which $\tau_1(F^2, P)$ vanishes.

(2) $p \in (0, \frac{1}{a})$: let q decrease from the confocal parameter $q_1 = \sqrt{1-p^2}$. Note that L = a - p and $R_0 = a - \frac{1}{a}$ are fixed, and $L > R_0$. Let $q_2 := \sqrt{p(a-p)}$ be the parameter value such that the radius $R_1 = \frac{q^2}{p} = L$, and $q_3 := \sqrt{p(\frac{1}{a} - p)}$ be the parameter value such that the radius $R_1 = \frac{q^2}{p} = L - R_0$. Then the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is hyperbolic for $q \in (0, q_3) \cup (q_2, q_1)$, is parabolic at $q \in \{q_2, q_3\}$ and is elliptic for $q \in (q_3, q_2)$. The nonresonance assumption (B1) $(\frac{L}{R_0} - 1)(\frac{L}{R_1} - 1) \neq \frac{1}{2}$ holds exactly when $R_1(q) \neq \frac{2L(L-R_0)}{2L-R_0}$. Note that such a value always lies in the interval $(R_1(q_3), R_1(q_2)) = (L - R_0, L)$, and hence the resonance $\lambda^4 = 1$ does occur. The corresponding value for q at resonance is $q^2 = \frac{2p(a-p)(1-ap)}{a^2-2ap+1}$. If $q^2 \neq \frac{2p(a-p)(1-ap)}{a^2-2ap+1}$, then the first twist coefficient of the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is given by (1.24). Note that $\tau_1(F^2, P) \to -\infty$ at both limits $q \searrow q_3$ and $q \nearrow q_2$.

Remark 1.21. We consider the resonance case that $q^2 = \frac{2p(a-p)(1-ap)}{a^2-2ap+1}$ for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{a})$, and obtain that

$$c_{03} = -\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{8} \frac{a(-a^2 - 1 + 2a^3p + 2ap - 3a^2p^2 + p^2)((a-p)^2 + (1-ap)^2)}{p(a^2 - 1)^2(a-p)(1-ap)^2}$$

Then $c_{03} = 0$ if and only if $p = p_*(a) := \frac{a^3 + a - (a^2 - 1)\sqrt{a^2 + 1}}{3a^2 - 1} \in (0, \frac{1}{a})$. Therefore, assuming the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is at resonance with $\lambda^4 = 1$, or equally, $q^2 = \frac{2p(a-p)(1-ap)}{a^2 - 2ap+1}$, we have

- (1) if $p \neq p_*(a)$, then there is no first-step Birkhoff transformation, and the billiard map is not locally analytically integrable around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 ;
- (2) if $p = p_*(a)$, then $c_{03} = 0$ and there exists a first-step Birkhoff transformation for the billiard map at the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 . Moreover,

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{8a + 54a^3 + 50a^5 + 2a^7 - 2a^9 + (1 + 27a^2 + 53a^4 - 3a^6 + 2a^8)\sqrt{a^2 + 1}}{4(a^2 - 1)(\sqrt{a^2 + 1} + 2a)(\sqrt{a^2 + 1}a - a^2 + 1)^2(a^3 + a - \sqrt{a^2 + 1}(a^2 - 1))}.$$
 (1.25)

One can check that $\tau_1 < 0$ and hence the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is nonlinearly stable.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In §2 we provide some preliminary results on the billiard map and derive the Taylor expansion of the billiard map F at the point P for the symmetric billiards. In §3 we obtain the Birkhoff normal form with the first twist coefficient $\tau_1(F, P)$ for the symmetric billiards. The explicit formula about $\tau_1(F, P)$ is not new. What

X. JIN AND P. ZHANG

is new is the detailed justification about the Birkhoff transformation and Birkhoff normal form. In §4 we obtain an explicit formula of the second twist coefficient $\tau_2(F, P)$ in terms of the geometric quantities of the billiard table for the symmetric billiards. A comparison between our approximation of rotation numbers with Kołodziej's formula is given in §4.1. In §5 we consider the asymmetric billiards and obtain an explicit formula for the second twist coefficient $\tau_2(F^2, P)$ utilizing the dependence of $\tau_2(F^2, P)$ on the higher order derivatives of the radii of curvature of the boundary of the table. There are several occasions that we need to solve a system of linear equations with a large number of unknowns. Direct approaches do not work well with badly conditioned coefficient matrices since a small change of the input could lead to a large change of the outcome. Instead, we manipulate the functions and divide the unknowns into several groups of unknowns of much smaller sizes. Finally, we check the formula for $\tau_2(F^2, P)$ is indeed correct.

2. Preliminaries

Let $a(t) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_{2n} t^{2n}$ and $b(t) = \sum_{n \ge 1} b_{2n} t^{2n}$, $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, be two locally defined even functions, Q(L, a, b) be the domain bounded by the curves $\gamma_0(t) = (a(t), t)$ and $\gamma_1(t) = (L - b(t), -t)$, $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, with two horizontal segments connecting the corresponding endpoints. See Fig. 1. We will call Q(L, a, b) a billiard table. Let M be the space of unit vectors $v \in T_{\partial Q(L,a,b)} \mathbb{R}^2$ pointing inside of the table, which is called the phase space of the billiards. Let F be the billiard map sending a unit vector to the new unit vector after reflection on the boundary $\partial Q(L, a, b)$. See [5] for more details.

Instead of using a global parameter for the whole boundary $\partial Q(L, a, b)$, we choose to use one local parameter for each of the two curves γ_j , j = 0, 1. That is, let s be the arc-length parameter of γ_j with $s(\gamma_j(0)) = 0$, j = 0, 1. Let θ be the angle from the positive tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}_j(s)$ (counterclockwise orientation) to the outgoing billiard trajectory starting at $\gamma_j(s)$, and $u = -\cos\theta$. Then a point in the phase space M has a well-defined coordinate (s, u). For example, the points P and F(P) of the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 are given by the coordinates P = (0, 0) and F(P) = (0, 0), respectively.

For every small positive number δ , the open set $U = (-\delta, \delta) \times (-\delta, \delta)$ in the coordinate system $(\mathbb{R}^2, (s, u))$ determines an open neighborhood U_P of P in the phase space of the billiards. Note that $U = (-\delta, \delta) \times (-\delta, \delta)$ also determines an open neighborhood $U_{F(P)}$ of F(P) in the phase space of the billiards. Generally speaking, the maps $F : U_P \to U_{F(P)}$ and $F : U_{F(P)} \to U_P$ are two different functions on the same open set U.

For the remaining of this section and both §3 and §4, we will consider the case that b(t) = a(t) and leave the general case to §5. Let Rot_{π} be the rotation of the billiard table Q(L, a, a) around its middle point $(\frac{L}{2}, 0)$ by π . Note that the table is invariant under the rotation Rot_{π} , and the rotation Rot_{π} commutes with the billiard map F.

Remark 2.1. The rotation Rot_{π} identifies the phase space on γ_1 with the phase space on γ_0 . It follows that the composition F^2 is equal to $(\operatorname{Rot}_{\pi} \circ F)^2$ on a small neighborhood U of the point P = (0,0). Moreover, the map $\operatorname{Rot}_{\pi} \circ F$ can be viewed as a diffeomorphism from the neighborhood U onto its image. In the following we use (abuse) the notation F for $\operatorname{Rot}_{\pi} \circ F$ after the identification of the phase spaces on γ_0 and γ_1 via the rotation Rot_{π} . It follows from the construction of Birkhoff Normal Form, or more precisely, from Eq. (1.1) and (1.2), that the twist coefficients $\tau_k(F^2, P), k \geq 1$, of the iterated billiard map F^2 at $P \in U_P$

are just twice of the corresponding twist coefficients $\tau_k(F, P)$, $k \ge 1$ of the one-step function F at $P = (0, 0) \in U$.

2.1. Taylor polynomial of the function at the point *P*. Let R(s) be the radius of curvature of the curve $\gamma_0(s)$. Note that R(s) is an even function of *s* since the function a(t) is an even function. Given a point $(s, u) \in U$, let $(s_1, u_1) = F(s, u)$ be the image under *F*, $\ell(s, s_1) = |\gamma_1(s_1) - \gamma_0(s)|$ be the length of the trajectory between the two impact points, and $\ell(s, u) = \ell(s, s_1(s, u))$ be the corresponding function that depends on the initial point (s, u). Then the first-order partial derivatives of s_1 and u_1 with respect to *s* and *u* are:

$$\frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s} = \frac{\ell(s,u)}{R(s)\sqrt{1-u_1^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{1-u^2}}{\sqrt{1-u_1^2}};$$
(2.1)

$$\frac{\partial s_1}{\partial u} = \frac{\ell(s,u)}{\sqrt{1-u^2}\sqrt{1-u_1^2}};\tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial s} = \frac{\ell(s,u)}{R(s)R(s_1)} - \frac{\sqrt{1-u_1^2}}{R(s)} - \frac{\sqrt{1-u^2}}{R(s_1)};$$
(2.3)

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial u} = \frac{\ell(s,u)}{R(s_1)\sqrt{1-u^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{1-u_1^2}}{\sqrt{1-u^2}};$$
(2.4)

See [5, Section 2.11] for more details. See also [14, Eq. (1-1)]. The length function $\ell(s, s_1)$ is a generating function of the billiard map, see [26, Chapter 3]. More precisely, it satisfies

$$d\ell = -\cos\theta \, ds + \cos\theta_1 \, ds_1 = u \, ds - u_1 \, ds_1.$$

Since $d(d\ell) = 0$, it follows that $du_1 \wedge ds_1 = du \wedge ds$. That is, the billiard map F preserves the 2-form $du \wedge ds$ and is a symplectic embedding on a neighborhood U of point P = (0, 0). Applying the chain rule to the function $\ell(s, u) = \ell(s, s_1(s, u))$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial s} = u - u_1 \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial s} = u - u_1 \left(\frac{\ell(s, u)}{R(s)\sqrt{1 - u_1^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{1 - u^2}}{\sqrt{1 - u_1^2}} \right);$$
(2.5)

$$\frac{\partial\ell}{\partial u} = -u_1 \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial u} = -u_1 \frac{\ell(s, u)}{\sqrt{1 - u^2}\sqrt{1 - u_1^2}}.$$
(2.6)

It follows from the expressions of the first-order partial derivatives that the higher-order partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{j+k}s_1}{\partial s^j \partial u^k}$ and $\frac{\partial^{j+k}u_1}{\partial s^j \partial u^k}$ can be expressed recursively using $s, u, s_1, u_1, \ell(s, u), R^{(n)}(s), 0 \leq n \leq j+k-1$. In particular, evaluating at P = (0,0), we have, $(s_1, u_1) = (0,0), \ell(0,0) = L, R^{(k)}(0) = R^{(k)}$, and the higher-order derivatives $\frac{\partial^{j+k}s_1}{\partial s^j \partial u^k}(0,0)$ and $\frac{\partial^{j+k}u_1}{\partial s^j \partial u^k}(0,0)$ are rational functions of R and are polynomials of L and $R^{(n)}, 1 \leq n \leq j+k-1$. Since R(s) is an even function, a direct calculation shows that all the 2nd- and 4th-order derivatives of s_1 and u_1 with respect to s and u vanish at (s, u) = (0, 0), the 3rd-order derivatives of s_1 and u_1 are linear with respect to R'', and the 5rd-order derivatives of s_1 and u_1 are linear with respect to R''. Here we only list the 3rd-order partial

derivatives²:

$$\frac{\partial^3 s_1}{\partial s^3}(0,0) = \frac{L^3 - 6L^2R + 11LR^2 - 6R^3}{R^5} - \frac{L}{R^2}R'';$$
(2.7)

$$\frac{\partial^3 s_1}{\partial s^2 \partial u}(0,0) = \frac{L^3 - 5L^2R + 7LR^2 - 2R^3}{R^4};$$
(2.8)

$$\frac{\partial^3 s_1}{\partial s \partial u^2}(0,0) = \frac{L(L-2R)^2}{R^3};$$
(2.9)

$$\frac{\partial^3 s_1}{\partial u^3}(0,0) = \frac{L(L^2 - 3LR + 3R^2)}{R^2};$$
(2.10)

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial s^3}(0,0) = \frac{2R-L}{R^4} + \frac{-L^3 + 3L^2R - 4LR^2 + 2R^3}{R^5}R'';$$
(2.11)

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial s^2 \partial u}(0,0) = \frac{2R-L}{R^3} + \frac{-L^3 + 2L^2R - LR^2}{R^4}R'';$$
(2.12)

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial s \partial u^2}(0,0) = \frac{2R - L}{R^2} + \frac{-L^3 + L^2 R}{R^3} R'';$$
(2.13)

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial u^3}(0,0) = -\frac{L^3}{R^2} R''.$$
(2.14)

The 5th-order Taylor polynomial of the function $F(s, u) = (s_1, u_1)$ around P = (0, 0) can be written as

$$s_1 = \tilde{a}_{10}s + \tilde{a}_{01}u + \sum_{j+k=3} \tilde{a}_{jk}s^j u^k + \sum_{j+k=5} \tilde{a}_{jk}s^j u^k + h.o.t.,$$
(2.15)

$$u_1 = \tilde{b}_{10}s + \tilde{b}_{01}u + \sum_{j+k=3} \tilde{b}_{jk}s^j u^k + \sum_{j+k=5} \tilde{b}_{jk}s^j u^k + h.o.t.,$$
(2.16)

where $\tilde{a}_{jk} = \frac{1}{j!k!} \frac{\partial^{j+k}s_1}{\partial s^j \partial u^k} (0,0)$ $\tilde{b}_{jk} = \frac{1}{j!k!} \frac{\partial^{j+k}u_1}{\partial s^j \partial u^k} (0,0)$, and h.o.t. stands for higher order terms. Note that $\tilde{a}_{10} = \tilde{b}_{01}$, $\deg(\tilde{a}_{jk}) = 1 - j$ and $\deg(\tilde{b}_{jk}) = -j$, and all the terms in (2.15) and (2.16) are homogeneous with respect to scaling.

3. BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM WITH FIRST TWIST COEFFICIENT

In this section we will find a symplectic coordinate transformation under which the billiard map F is of Birkhoff Normal Form of order 3 at the point P. The main reference for this section is the classic book [25], see also [20]. We start with a linear coordinate transformation under which $D_P F$ acts as a rigid rotation. Given the tangent matrix $D_P F$ in (1.3), let $\eta = (-\tilde{a}_{01}/\tilde{b}_{10})^{1/4}$ be a positive number, and $v = \begin{bmatrix} i\eta\\ \eta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ be a complex vector. Then v is an eigenvector of the matrix $D_P F$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda = \tilde{a}_{10} - i\sqrt{-\tilde{a}_{01}\tilde{b}_{10}}$. Let $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ be an argument of the eigenvalue λ . Then we introduce a new coordinate system $(x, y) = (s/\eta, \eta u)$ and set $(x_1, y_1) = (s_1/\eta, \eta u_1) = F(x, y)$. It follows from (2.15) and (2.16) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} = R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j+k=3} a_{jk} x^j y^k + \sum_{j+k=5} a_{jk} x^j y^k \\ \sum_{j+k=3} b_{jk} x^j y^k + \sum_{j+k=5} b_{jk} x^j y^k \end{bmatrix} + h.o.t.,$$
(3.1)

²The Mathematica codes for the calculations used in this article can be found in [12].

where $R_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ is a rotation matrix, and the coefficients a_{jk} and b_{jk} are given by

$$a_{jk} = \eta^{j-k-1}\tilde{a}_{jk}, \quad b_{jk} = \eta^{j-k+1}\tilde{b}_{jk},$$
(3.2)

where j + k = 1, 3, 5, respectively. For convenience, we write down the linear terms:

$$a_{10} = b_{01} = \frac{1}{R}(L - R), \quad a_{01} = -b_{10} = \frac{1}{R}\sqrt{L(2R - L)}.$$
 (3.3)

Note that $\deg(\eta) = 1/2$. So $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = 1/2$, and $\deg(a_{jk}) = \deg(b_{jk}) = \frac{1-j-k}{2}$. In particular, $\deg(a_{jk}) = \deg(b_{jk}) = 0, -1, -2$ when j + k = 1, 3, 5, respectively. Observe that $\lambda = a_{10} - i\sqrt{-a_{01}b_{10}} = a_{10} - ia_{01}$ also holds.

3.1. Introduce complex coordinates. One can embed $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and view the one-step function $F : (x, y) \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$ as a complex symplectic function on a small neighborhood of $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with the same real coefficients. Note that this is only a formal extension, and the true dynamics happens in the 2D real subspace $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. To compute the twist coefficients, we consider the following complex coordinate system (z, w) on \mathbb{C}^2 :

$$z = x + iy; \quad w = x - iy. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from this definition that the real subspace $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is transformed to $\{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \overline{z}\}$, which can be also be viewed as a real subspace. Let $(z_1, w_1) = F(z, w) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_1 - iy_1)$. Here we use F (by some abuse of notation) for the new function representing the transformation in the (z, w)-coordinate. We also use this convention for later coordinate transformations. Then $w_1 = \overline{z}_1$ if and only if $w = \overline{z}$ (since both are real 2D subspaces and the map F is a local diffeomorphism). We will use this characterization repeatedly in the remaining of this paper.

Note that z and w span the eigenspaces of the tangent matrix $D_P F$, and the Taylor polynomial of the function $(z_1, w_1) = F(z, w)$ has a diagonalized linear term and vanishing second- and fourth-order terms:

$$z_1 = \lambda (z + \sum_{j+k=3} c_{jk} z^j w^k + \sum_{j+k=5} c_{jk} z^j w^k) + h.o.t.,$$
(3.5)

$$w_1 = \bar{\lambda}(w + \sum_{j+k=3} \bar{c}_{jk}w^j z^k + \sum_{j+k=5} \bar{c}_{jk}w^j z^k) + h.o.t., \qquad (3.6)$$

where

$$c_{30} = 2^{-3}\lambda(a_{30} + ib_{30} - ia_{21} + b_{21} - a_{12} - ib_{12} + ia_{03} - b_{03}),$$
(3.7)

$$c_{21} = 2^{-3}\overline{\lambda}(3a_{30} + 3ib_{30} - ia_{21} + b_{21} + a_{12} + ib_{12} - 3ia_{03} + 3b_{03}),$$
(3.8)

$$c_{12} = 2^{-3}\lambda(3a_{30} + 3ib_{30} + ia_{21} - b_{21} + a_{12} + ib_{12} + 3ia_{03} - 3b_{03}),$$
(3.9)

$$c_{03} = 2^{-3}\lambda(a_{30} + ib_{30} + ia_{21} - b_{21} - a_{12} - ib_{12} - ia_{03} + b_{03}).$$
(3.10)

Note that $\deg(c_{jk}) = -1$ for all j + k = 3. The fifth-order terms c_{jk} , j + k = 5, are given in a similar way. Moreover, we have $\frac{\partial(z_1, w_1)}{\partial(z, w)} = 1$ since F is symplectic and the transformation

 $(x, y) \to (z, w)$ has constant Jacobian. Plugging (3.5) and (3.6) into the equation $\frac{\partial(z_1, w_1)}{\partial(z, w)} = 1$ and comparing the coefficients of the second-order terms, we have

$$c_{12} = -3\bar{c}_{30}, \quad \bar{c}_{21} = -c_{21}. \tag{3.11}$$

In particular, c_{21} is purely imaginary, say $c_{21} = i\tau_1$ for some real number τ_1 . As we will see later, τ_1 is the first twist coefficient of the function F at the point P. We can simplify and get an explicit formula for the quantity τ_1 in terms of the geometric characteristics of the billiard table:

$$\tau_1(F,P) = \frac{1}{8R} - \frac{L}{8(2R-L)}R''.$$
(3.12)

This completes the derivation of the formula (1.4). It also confirms that the quantity τ_1 is homogeneous and deg $(\tau_1) = -1$.

For later convenience, we write down an explicit formula for the term c_{03} in the resonance case $\lambda^4 = 1$, or equally, L = R. In this case, $\lambda = -i$, and

$$c_{03} = \frac{i}{8} \cdot \left(-\frac{3 + RR''}{3R}\right) = -\frac{i}{24R}(3 + RR'').$$
(3.13)

It follows that $c_{03} = 0$ if and only if $R'' = -\frac{3}{R}$.

3.2. An intermediate transformation. In this subsection we make use of the assumption (A1) that $\lambda^4 \neq 1$, or equally, $\frac{L}{R} \in (0,2) \setminus \{1\}$. We want to find a transformation $(z,w) \rightarrow (z',w')$ under which most of the third-order terms c_{jk} , j + k = 3, vanish. To this end, we consider an intermediate coordinate transformation with undetermined complex coefficients d_{jk} , j + k = 3:

$$z' = z + p_3(z, w) = z + \sum_{j+k=3} d_{jk} z^j w^k, \qquad (3.14)$$

$$w' = w + \bar{p}_3(w, z) = w + \sum_{j+k=3} \bar{d}_{jk} w^j z^k.$$
(3.15)

This transformation preserves the real subspace $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \overline{z} = w\}$, but is not necessarily symplectic. The function $F(z', w') = (z'_1, w'_1)$ satisfies $\overline{z'_1} = w'_1$, and

$$z_{1}' = z_{1} + \sum_{j+k=3} d_{jk} z_{1}^{j} w_{1}^{k}$$

= $\lambda (z + \sum_{j+k=3} c_{jk} z^{j} w^{k}) + \sum_{j+k=3} d_{jk} \lambda^{j-k} z^{j} w^{k} + h.o.t.$
= $\lambda (z' + \sum_{j+k=3} (-d_{jk} + c_{jk} + d_{jk} \lambda^{j-k-1}) (z')^{j} (w')^{k}) + h.o.t.$ (3.16)

Note that the coefficient of $(z')^2 w'$ in the above equation does not change regardless of the choice of d_{21} . Without loss of generality, we set $d_{21} = 0$. Equating $-d_{jk} + c_{jk} + d_{jk}\lambda^{j-k-1} = 0$ for each $(j,k) \in \{(3,0), (1,2), (0,3)\}$, we have

$$d_{30} = \frac{c_{30}}{1 - \lambda^2}, \quad d_{12} = \frac{c_{12}}{1 - \overline{\lambda}^2} = -3\bar{d}_{30}, \quad d_{03} = \frac{c_{03}}{1 - \overline{\lambda}^4}, \tag{3.17}$$

since $c_{12} = -3\bar{c}_{30}$, see (3.11). Note that $\deg(d_{jk}) = -1$.

Remark 3.1. When $c_{03} \neq 0$, the assumption (A1) $\lambda^4 \neq 1$ is needed when defining d_{03} in (3.17). If $c_{03} = 0$, then the transformation $(z, w) \rightarrow (z', w')$ can be defined even in the resonance case $\lambda^4 = 1$. This happens in the elliptic billiards case, see Remark 1.6. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 1.9 that, in the resonance case $\lambda^4 = 1$, $c_{03} \neq 0$ implies that the billiard map F is not locally analytically integrable. This happens in the lemon billiards case, see Remark 1.8 and the discussion after Proposition 1.9.

Applying (3.17) to (3.16), we get $z'_1 = \lambda(z' + c_{21}(z')^2 w') + h.o.t.$ Using the properties that $c_{21} = i\tau_1$ is purely imaginary, $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$ and restricting to the subspace $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \bar{z} = w\}$, we have

$$z'_{1} = e^{i\theta}(z' + i\tau_{1}|z'|^{2}z') + h.o.t. = e^{i(\theta + \tau_{1}|z'|^{2})}z' + h.o.t.$$
(3.18)

This explains the geometric meaning of the term τ_1 : it measures the amount of twisting of rotations around the elliptic point P.

Remark 3.2. The transformation $(z, w) \to (z', w')$ induces a coordinate transformation $(x, y) \to (x', y')$ of the real variables (x, y). However, this real transformation is not necessarily symplectic and hence the function F is not necessarily symplectic in the coordinate system (x', y').

3.3. A symplectic coordinate transformation. To find the corresponding symplectic coordinate transformation in the (x, y)-plane, we will use the generating function construction. First, we note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}p_3(z, w) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\bar{p}_3(w, z)$. So the polynomial

$$s_4(z,w) = \frac{\bar{c}_{03}}{\lambda^4 - 1} \frac{z^4}{4} - \frac{c_{03}}{\bar{\lambda}^4 - 1} \frac{w^4}{4} - \frac{c_{30}}{\lambda^2 - 1} z^3 w + \frac{\bar{c}_{30}}{\bar{\lambda}^2 - 1} z w^3$$
(3.19)

satisfies that $\frac{\partial s_4}{\partial w} = p_3(z, w)$ and $\frac{\partial s_4}{\partial z} = -\bar{p}_3(w, z)$. Note that the complex polynomial s_4 is purely imaginary on the subspace $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \bar{z} = w\}$. Therefore, the function $g_4(x, y) := \frac{i}{2}s_4(x + iy, x - iy)$ is a real homogeneous polynomial of the real variables x and y. Let $G_4(x, Y) = xY + g_4(x, Y)$ and consider the transformation $(x, y) \to (X, Y)$, where X and yare given by

$$X := \frac{\partial G_4}{\partial Y} = x + \frac{i}{2} (-\bar{p}_3 (x - iY, x + iY)(i) + p_3 (x + iY, x - iY)(-i))$$

= $x + \Re(p_3 (x + iY, x - iY)) =: x + \sum_{j+k=3} p_{jk} x^j Y^k,$ (3.20)
 $y := \frac{\partial G_4}{\partial x} = Y + \frac{i}{2} (-\bar{p}_3 (x - iY, x + iY) + p_3 (x + iY, x - iY))$

$$= Y - \Im(p_3(x+iY,x-iY)) =: Y - \sum_{j+k=3} q_{jk} x^j Y^k.$$
(3.21)

This defines implicitly a symplectic coordinate transformation $(x, y) \mapsto (X, Y)$ in a small neighborhood of the origin (0, 0) in \mathbb{R}^2 . It follows from (3.21) that Y is homogeneous and $\deg(Y) = \frac{1}{2}$, and then from (3.20) that X is homogeneous and $\deg(X) = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, we get the explicit local expansions from (3.20) and (3.21):

$$X = x + \sum_{j+k=3} p_{jk} x^j y^k + h.o.t., \qquad (3.22)$$

$$Y = y + \sum_{j+k=3} q_{jk} x^j y^k + h.o.t..$$
(3.23)

Consider the new complex coordinate (Z, W) := (X + iY, X - iY). It is easy to see that

$$Z = X + iY = x + iy + \Re(p_3(x + iy, x - iy)) + i\Im(p_3(x + iy, x - iy)) + h.o.t.$$

= $z + p_3(z, w) + h.o.t.$

One can check that the image (Z_1, W_1) of (Z, W) under the map F satisfies

$$Z_1 = z_1 + p_3(z_1, w_1) + h.o.t. = \lambda(Z + i\tau_1 Z^2 W) + h.o.t.$$

= $e^{i\theta}(1 + i\tau_1 |Z|^2)Z + h.o.t. = e^{i(\theta + \tau_1 |Z|^2)}Z + h.o.t.$ (3.24)

4. BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM WITH FIRST TWO TWIST COEFFICIENTS

In this section we will find a coordinate transformation under which the billiard map F is of Birkhoff normal form with first two twist coefficients at the elliptic point P. To this end, we need to find the fifth-order terms of the coordinate transformation $(x, y) \to (X, Y)$ given by (3.22) and (3.23) and the Taylor expansion of the function F under the coordinate system (X, Y). To start, we assume

$$X = x + \sum_{j+k=3} p_{jk} x^j y^k + \sum_{j+k=4} p_{jk} x^j y^k + \sum_{j+k=5} p_{jk} x^j y^k + h.o.t.,$$
(4.1)

$$Y = y + \sum_{j+k=3} q_{jk} x^j y^k + \sum_{j+k=4} q_{jk} x^j y^k + \sum_{j+k=5} q_{jk} x^j y^k + h.o.t.$$
(4.2)

Substituting (4.1) and (4.2) into (3.20) and (3.21), we see that the terms p_{jk} and q_{jk} , j+k=4, vanish, and the terms p_{jk} and q_{jk} , j+k=5, are given by:

$$p_{50} = p_{21}q_{30};$$

$$p_{41} = p_{21}q_{21} + 2p_{12}q_{30};$$

$$p_{32} = p_{21}q_{12} + 2p_{12}q_{21} + 3p_{03}q_{30};$$

$$p_{23} = p_{21}q_{03} + 2p_{12}q_{12} + 3p_{03}q_{21};$$

$$p_{14} = 2p_{12}q_{03} + 3p_{03}q_{12};$$

$$p_{05} = 3p_{03}q_{03}.$$

$$q_{50} = q_{21}q_{30};$$

$$q_{41} = q_{21}q_{21} + 2q_{12}q_{30};$$

$$q_{32} = q_{21}q_{12} + 2q_{12}q_{21} + 3q_{03}q_{30};$$

$$q_{23} = q_{21}q_{03} + 2q_{12}q_{12} + 3q_{03}q_{21};$$

$$q_{14} = 2q_{12}q_{03} + 3q_{03}q_{12};$$

$$q_{05} = 3q_{03}q_{03}.$$

Note that p_{jk} and q_{jk} , j + k = 5, are homogeneous of degree -2.

Suppose the Taylor expansion of the function F in the new coordinate system $(X, Y) \rightarrow (X_1, Y_1)$ is given by

$$X_1 = a_{10}X + a_{01}Y + \sum_{j+k=3} A_{jk}X^jY^k + \sum_{j+k=5} A_{jk}X^jY^k + h.o.t.,$$
(4.3)

$$Y_1 = b_{10}X + b_{01}Y + \sum_{j+k=3} B_{jk}X^jY^k + \sum_{j+k=5} B_{jk}X^jY^k + h.o.t.,$$
(4.4)

with undetermined coefficients A_{jk} and B_{jk} , j + k = 3, 5. To determine these coefficients, we recall

$$X_{1} = x_{1} + \sum_{j+k=3} p_{jk} x_{1}^{j} y_{1}^{k} + \sum_{j+k=5} p_{jk} x_{1}^{j} y_{1}^{k} + h.o.t.$$

$$= a_{10}x + a_{01}y + \sum_{j+k=3} a_{jk} x^{j} y^{k} + \sum_{j+k=5} a_{jk} x^{j} y^{k}$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=3} p_{jk} \cdot \frac{(a_{10}x + a_{01}y + a_{30}x^{3} + a_{21}x^{2}y + a_{12}xy^{2} + a_{03}y^{3})^{j}}{(b_{10}x + b_{01}y + b_{30}x^{3} + b_{21}x^{2}y + b_{12}xy^{2} + b_{03}y^{3})^{k}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=5} p_{jk} (a_{10}x + a_{01}y)^{j} (b_{10}x + b_{01}y)^{k} + h.o.t., \qquad (4.5)$$

$$Y_{1} = y_{1} + \sum_{j+k=3} q_{jk} x_{1}^{j} y_{1}^{k} + \sum_{j+k=5} q_{jk} x_{1}^{j} y_{1}^{k} + h.o.t.$$

$$= b_{10}x + b_{01}y + \sum_{j+k=3} b_{jk} x^{j} y^{k} + \sum_{j+k=5} b_{jk} x^{j} y^{k}$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=3} q_{jk} \cdot \frac{(a_{10}x + a_{01}y + a_{30}x^{3} + a_{21}x^{2}y + a_{12}xy^{2} + a_{03}y^{3})^{j}}{(b_{10}x + b_{01}y + b_{30}x^{3} + b_{21}x^{2}y + b_{12}xy^{2} + b_{03}y^{3})^{k}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=5} q_{jk} (a_{10}x + a_{01}y)^{j} (b_{10}x + b_{01}y)^{k} + h.o.t.$$
(4.6)

Comparing the coefficients of the terms $x^j y^k$ with j + k = 3 in (4.3) with (4.5) and (4.4) with (4.6), respectively, we have

$$\begin{split} A_{30} &= a_{30} + p_{30}a_{10}^3 + p_{21}a_{10}^2b_{10} + p_{12}a_{10}b_{10}^2 + p_{03}b_{10}^3 - a_{10}p_{30} - a_{01}q_{30};\\ A_{21} &= a_{21} + 3p_{30}a_{10}^2a_{01} + p_{21}(a_{10}^2b_{01} + 2a_{10}a_{01}b_{10}) + p_{12}(2a_{10}b_{10}b_{01} + a_{01}b_{10}^2) \\ &\quad + 3p_{03}b_{10}^2b_{01} - a_{10}p_{21} - a_{01}q_{21};\\ A_{12} &= a_{12} + 3p_{30}a_{10}a_{01}^2 + p_{21}(a_{01}^2b_{10} + 2a_{10}a_{01}b_{01}) + p_{12}(2a_{01}b_{10}b_{01} + a_{10}b_{01}^2) \\ &\quad + 3p_{03}b_{10}b_{01}^2 - a_{10}p_{12} - a_{01}q_{12};\\ A_{03} &= a_{03} + p_{30}a_{01}^3 + p_{21}a_{01}^2b_{01} + p_{12}a_{01}b_{01}^2 + p_{03}b_{01}^3 - a_{10}p_{03} - a_{01}q_{03}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} B_{30} &= b_{30} + q_{30}a_{10}^3 + q_{21}a_{10}^2b_{10} + q_{12}a_{10}b_{10}^2 + q_{03}b_{10}^3 - b_{10}p_{30} - b_{01}q_{30}; \\ B_{21} &= b_{21} + 3q_{30}a_{10}^2a_{01} + q_{21}(a_{10}^2b_{01} + 2a_{10}a_{01}b_{10}) + q_{12}(2a_{10}b_{10}b_{01} + a_{01}b_{10}^2) \\ &+ 3q_{03}b_{10}^2b_{01} - b_{10}p_{21} - b_{01}q_{21}; \\ B_{12} &= b_{12} + 3q_{30}a_{10}a_{01}^2 + q_{21}(a_{01}^2b_{10} + 2a_{10}a_{01}b_{01}) + q_{12}(2a_{01}b_{10}b_{01} + a_{10}b_{01}^2) \\ &+ 3q_{03}b_{10}b_{01}^2 - b_{10}p_{12} - b_{01}q_{12}; \\ B_{03} &= b_{03} + q_{30}a_{01}^3 + q_{21}a_{01}^2b_{01} + q_{12}a_{01}b_{01}^2 + q_{03}b_{01}^3 - b_{10}p_{03} - b_{01}q_{03}. \end{split}$$

Note that $\deg(A_{jk}) = \deg(B_{jk}) = -1$ when j + k = 3 since $\deg(a_{jk}) = \deg(b_{jk}) = 0$ when j + k = 1 and $\deg(p_{jk}) = \deg(q_{jk}) = -1$ when j + k = 3.

Similarly, by comparing the coefficients of the terms $x^j y^k$ with j + k = 5 in (4.3) with (4.5) and (4.4) with (4.6), respectively, one can represent A_{jk} and B_{jk} , j + k = 5 in terms of a_{jk} , b_{jk} , p_{jk} , q_{jk} , $j + k \leq 5$ and A_{jk} , B_{jk} , j + k = 3. Moreover, $\deg(A_{jk}) = \deg(B_{jk}) = -2$ when j + k = 5.

Now we assume both nonresonance assumptions (A1) $\lambda^4 \neq 1$ and (A2) $\lambda^6 \neq 1$. As we have done when finding the first-step Birkhoff transform in the previous section, we consider the complex coordinate system (Z, W) with Z = X + iY and W = X - iY. Note that the transformation $(x, y) \rightarrow (X, Y)$ given in Eq. (4.1) and (4.2) is obtained by specifying the fifth-order terms of the transformation given in Eq. (3.20) and (3.21). In particular, the third-order expansion of the function F stays the same:

$$Z_1 = X_1 + iY_1 = \lambda(Z + i\tau_1 Z^2 W + \sum_{j+k=5} C_{jk} Z^j W^k) + h.o.t.,$$
(4.7)

$$W_1 = X_1 - iY_1 = \bar{\lambda}(W - i\tau_1 W^2 Z + \sum_{j+k=5} \bar{C}_{jk} W^j Z^k) + h.o.t..$$
(4.8)

Note that $\deg(C_{jk}) = -2$ for all (j, k) with j + k = 5. For later convenience, we give an explicit formula for the coefficient C_{32} of the term Z^3W^2 in (4.7):

$$C_{32} = \bar{\lambda}((10A_{50} - 2iA_{41} + 2A_{32} - 2iA_{23} + 2A_{14} - 10iA_{05}) + i(10B_{50} - 2iB_{41} + 2B_{32} - 2iB_{23} + 2B_{14} - 10iB_{05})).$$
(4.9)

Since the coefficients of the fourth-order terms in the equation $\frac{\partial(Z_1,W_1)}{\partial(Z,W)} = 1$ vanish, we obtain that

$$5C_{50} + \bar{C}_{14} = 0, \quad 2C_{41} + \bar{C}_{23} = 0, \quad \tau_1^2 + C_{32} + \bar{C}_{32} = 0.$$
 (4.10)

Denote the imaginary part of the term C_{32} by τ_2 . Then we have $C_{32} = -\frac{\tau_1^2}{2} + i\tau_2$. As we will show later, this quantity τ_2 is the second twist coefficient of the function F at the point P. Note that $\deg(\tau_2) = -2$.

Using the same method as in $\S3.2$, we can find a coordinate transformation

$$Z' = Z + p_5(Z, W) = Z + \sum_{j+k=5} D_{jk} Z^j W^k,$$

$$W' = W + \bar{p}_5(W, Z) = W + \sum_{j+k=5} \bar{D}_{jk} W^j Z^k.$$

such that all the fifth-order terms C'_{jk} of the function $(Z', W') \to (Z'_1, W'_1)$ vanish except the term C'_{32} , which stays the same: $C'_{32} = C_{32}$. That is, we set $D_{32} = 0$, and

$$D_{50} = \frac{C_{50}}{1 - \lambda^4}, \quad D_{41} = \frac{C_{41}}{1 - \lambda^2}, \quad D_{23} = \frac{C_{23}}{1 - \overline{\lambda}^2} = -2\bar{D}_{41}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$D_{14} = \frac{C_{14}}{1 - \overline{\lambda}^4} = -5\overline{D}_{50}, \quad D_{05} = \frac{C_{05}}{1 - \overline{\lambda}^6}.$$
 (4.12)

Then we can rewrite the equations (4.7) and (4.8) as

$$Z'_{1} = \lambda (Z' + i\tau_{1}(Z')^{2}W' + C_{32}(Z')^{3}(W')^{2}) + h.o.t., \qquad (4.13)$$

$$W_1' = \bar{\lambda}(W' - i\tau_1(W')^2 Z' + \bar{C}_{32}(W')^3 (Z')^2)) + h.o.t..$$
(4.14)

Moreover, the function

$$s_6(Z,W) = D_{50}Z^5W - \bar{D}_{50}ZW^5 + \frac{1}{2}(D_{41}Z^4W^2 - \bar{D}_{41}Z^2W^4) + \frac{1}{6}(D_{05}W^6 - \bar{D}_{05}Z^6)$$

satisfies $\frac{\partial s_6}{\partial W} = p_5(Z, W)$ and $\frac{\partial s_6}{\partial Z} = -\bar{p}_5(W, Z)$, and $g_6(X, Y) := \frac{1}{2}s_6(X + iY, X - iY)$ is a real homogeneous polynomial of the real variables X and Y. Then using the generating function method as in §3.3, we obtain a symplectic transformation $(X, Y) \to (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of the real variables (X, Y) such that in the complex coordinate system $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) = (\mathcal{X} + i\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X} - i\mathcal{Y})$, the function F takes the same form:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1} = \lambda(\mathcal{Z} + i\tau_{1}\mathcal{Z}^{2}\mathcal{W} + (-\frac{\tau_{1}^{2}}{2} + i\tau_{2})\mathcal{Z}^{3}\mathcal{W}^{2}) + h.o.t. = e^{i(\theta + \tau_{1}|\mathcal{Z}|^{2} + \tau_{2}|\mathcal{Z}|^{4})}\mathcal{Z} + h.o.t., \quad (4.15)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \bar{\lambda}(\mathcal{W} - i\tau_1\mathcal{W}^2\mathcal{Z} + (-\frac{\tau_1^2}{2} - i\tau_2)\mathcal{W}^3\mathcal{Z}^2) + h.o.t. = e^{-i(\theta + \tau_1|\mathcal{Z}|^2 + \tau_2|\mathcal{Z}|^4)}\mathcal{W} + h.o.t. \quad (4.16)$$

Here we have used the fact that $C_{32} = -\frac{\tau_1^2}{2} + i\tau_2$. This explains the geometric meaning of the quantity τ_2 and why it is called the second twist coefficient of the map F at the elliptic point P.

Note that τ_2 is the imaginary part of C_{32} . We simplify and obtain the following formula for τ_2 :

$$\tau_{2}(F,P) = \frac{3(7R^{2} - 8RL + 2L^{2})}{256R^{2}(R-L)\sqrt{L(2R-L)}} - \frac{L^{1/2}(27R^{2} - 40RL + 10L^{2})}{384R(R-L)(2R-L)^{3/2}}R'' + \frac{L^{3/2}(31R^{2} - 36RL + 6L^{2})}{768(R-L)(2R-L)^{5/2}}(R'')^{2} - \frac{L^{3/2}R}{192(2R-L)^{3/2}}R^{(4)}.$$
(4.17)

This is the second twist coefficient of the one-step map F of the symmetric table at the elliptic point P. We have completed the derivation of the formula (1.5). Observe that τ_2 is homogeneous and deg $(\tau_2) = -2$.

We view τ_2 as a rational function of $(L, R, R'', R^{(4)})$ on the open locus $\{L > 0, R > 0, 2R - L > 0\}$, after introducing the positive square roots $\sqrt{2R - L}$ and \sqrt{L} . Then τ_2 has a simple polar divisor along L = R. Note that the remainder of $\sqrt{LR^2(R-L)(2R-L)^{5/2}\tau_2}$ modulo the factor L - R is $\frac{1}{12}R^4(RR'' + 3)^2$. It follows from combining with (3.13) that

$$\tau_2 = -\frac{3R^4 c_{03}^2}{4\sqrt{L}(2R-L)^{5/2}(R-L)} + \rho(L, R, R'', R^{(4)}),$$

for a regular function ρ . In particular, it follows that

Proposition 4.1. The second twist coefficient τ_2 has a simple pole along L = R on the locus $\{c_{03} \neq 0\}$.

4.1. Twist coefficients of the periodic orbits along the minor axis of an ellipse. Let \mathcal{E} be an ellipse with eccentricity e, and \mathcal{H} be a hyperbolic caustic confocal to \mathcal{E} with eccentricity h. This caustic corresponds to a pair of circles in the phase space around the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_2 along the minor axis. These two circles are permuted under the billiard map F and F^2 is a smooth circle diffeomorphism on each of these two circles. It is known that the rotation number of F^2 on either one of the two invariant circles is given by

$$\rho(F^2, \mathcal{H}) = \frac{\mathsf{F}(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2}, k) - \mathsf{F}(\frac{\delta}{2}, k)}{\mathsf{F}(\frac{\pi}{2}, k)},\tag{4.18}$$

where $k = \frac{2\sqrt{h}}{1+h}$, $\delta = \arcsin \frac{e \cdot (h^2 - 1)}{h^2 - e^2}$, and $\mathsf{F}(\alpha, k) = \int_0^\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}} d\theta$ is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind. See [17, 27] for more details.

We have shown that $\tau_1(F, P) = \frac{b}{2}$ for the periodic orbit along the minor axis (x-axis) of the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{b^2} + y^2 = 1$, 0 < b < 1. See Remark 1.6 for discussions about the resonance case $b = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Plugging $(R'', R^{(4)}) = (3b - \frac{3}{b}, \frac{9}{b} - 6b - 3b^3)$ into (1.5), we have

$$\tau_2(F,P) = \frac{3b(3-2b^2)}{32\sqrt{1-b^2}},\tag{4.19}$$

for $b \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. For clarity of the discussion we will assume $b \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$. The eigenvalue of $D_P F^2$ is given by

$$\lambda = e^{i\theta} = 2\left(1 - \frac{L}{R}\right)^2 - 1 + i2\left(1 - \frac{L}{R}\right)\sqrt{L\left(\frac{2}{R} - \frac{L}{R^2}\right)}$$
$$= 2\left(1 - 2b^2\right)^2 - 1 + i4b(1 - 2b^2)\sqrt{1 - b^2}.$$
(4.20)

It follows that $\theta = \arccos(2(1-2b^2)^2-1) \in (0,\pi)$. Then the rotation number of F^2 on the invariant circle containing a point \mathcal{Z} is given by

$$\hat{\rho}(F^2, \mathcal{Z}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\theta + b|\mathcal{Z}|^2 + 2\tau_2(F, P)|\mathcal{Z}|^4) + h.o.t..$$
(4.21)

Next we will compare the above two formulas (4.18) and (4.21) about the rotation numbers for orbits tangent to hyperbolic caustics. Let $\gamma(t) = (b \cos t, \sin t)$ be the natural parametrization of the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{b^2} + y^2 = 1$, and P(t) be the point in the phase space whose trajectory moves along the normal direction $n(t) = (-\cos t, -b\sin t)$ at the base point $\gamma(t) = (b\cos t, \sin t)$. Then the trajectory of P(t) is tangent to a hyperbolic caustic \mathcal{H} with eccentricity $h = \frac{1}{|\sin t|}$. In the following we assume $0 < t < \epsilon$. It follows from (4.18) that the rotation number of F^2 on the F^2 -invariant circle containing the point $P(t) = (\gamma(t), n(t))$ is

$$\rho(F^2, t) := \rho(F^2, P(t)) = \frac{\mathsf{F}(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\delta(t)}{2}, k(t)) - \mathsf{F}(\frac{\delta(t)}{2}, k(t))}{\mathsf{F}(\frac{\pi}{2}, k(t))},$$
(4.22)

where $k(t) = \frac{2\sqrt{\sin t}}{1+\sin t}$, $\delta(t) = \arcsin \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2} \cdot (1-\sin^2 t)}{1-(1-b^2)\sin^2 t}$. The arc-length parameter s of the ellipse is given by $s(t) = \mathsf{E}(t,\sqrt{1-b^2})$, where $\mathsf{E}(\alpha,k) = \int_0^\alpha \sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta} d\theta$ is the

incomplete elliptic integral of the second kind. On the other hand, the (s, u)-coordinates of the phase point P(t) is (s(t), 0). It follows that $(x, y) = (\eta^{-1}s(t), 0)$,

$$(X,Y) = (x + p_{30}x^3 + p_{50}x^5, q_{30}x^3 + q_{50}x^5) + h.o.t.$$

= $(\eta^{-1}s + p_{30}\eta^{-3}s^3, q_{30}\eta^{-3}s^3) + o(t^4),$ (4.23)

$$|\mathcal{Z}|^2 = \mathcal{X}^2 + \mathcal{Y}^2 = X^2 + Y^2 + o(t^4) = \eta^{-2}s(t)^2 + 2p_{30}\eta^{-4}s(t)^4 + o(t^4);$$
(4.24)

$$\hat{\rho}(F^2,t) := \hat{\rho}(F^2,P(t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\theta + b\eta^{-2}s(t)^2 + 2(p_{30}b + \tau_2(F,P))\eta^{-4}s(t)^4) + o(t^4).$$
(4.25)

Comparing (4.22) with (4.25), we observe they coincide at least to fourth-order:

$$\rho(F^2, 0) = \hat{\rho}(F^2, 0) = \frac{\theta}{2\pi},$$

$$\rho^{(j)}(F^2, 0) = \hat{\rho}^{(j)}(F^2, 0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 3,$$

$$\rho^{(2)}(F^2, 0) = \hat{\rho}^{(2)}(F^2, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi}b\sqrt{1 - b^2},$$

$$\rho^{(4)}(F^2, 0) = \hat{\rho}^{(4)}(F^2, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi}b(17 - 18b^2)\sqrt{1 - b^2}$$

5. Twist Coefficients for Periodic Orbits of Asymmetric Billiards

In this section we consider the general case that the two functions $a(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_{2n}t^{2n}$ and $b(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_{2n}t^{2n}$ are different. We will assume $a_2 \geq b_2 > 0$ without loss of generality. Let $R_j(s)$ be the radius of curvature along the curve γ_j , where s is the arc-length parameter, j = 0, 1. Note that $R_j(s)$ is an even function, j = 0, 1, and $R_0 = \frac{1}{2a_2} \leq R_1 = \frac{1}{2b_2}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{P, F(P)\}$ be the periodic orbit of period 2 that bouncing back and forth between $\gamma_0(0)$ and $\gamma_1(0)$. Then the tangent matrix of F^2 at P is given by

$$D_P F^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{L}{R_1} - 1 & L\\ \frac{L}{R_0 R_1} - \frac{1}{R_0} - \frac{1}{R_1} & \frac{L}{R_0} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{L}{R_0} - 1 & L\\ \frac{L}{R_0 R_1} - \frac{1}{R_0} - \frac{1}{R_1} & \frac{L}{R_1} - 1 \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_{10} & \tilde{a}_{01}\\ \tilde{b}_{10} & \tilde{b}_{01} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_{10} = \tilde{b}_{01} = 2\left(\frac{L}{R_0} - 1\right)\left(\frac{L}{R_1} - 1\right) - 1 = 2L\left(\frac{L}{R_0R_1} - \frac{1}{R_0} - \frac{1}{R_1}\right) + 1;$$
(5.2)

$$\tilde{a}_{01} = 2L(\frac{L}{R_1} - 1); \tag{5.3}$$

$$\tilde{b}_{10} = 2\left(\frac{L}{R_0} - 1\right)\left(\frac{L}{R_0R_1} - \frac{1}{R_0} - \frac{1}{R_1}\right).$$
(5.4)

It follows that the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 is hyperbolic if $L \in (R_0, R_1) \cup (R_0 + R_1, \infty)$, is parabolic if $L \in \{R_0, R_1, R_0 + R_1\}$, and is elliptic if $L \in (0, R_0) \cup (R_1, R_0 + R_1)$. In the following we assume that \mathcal{O}_2 is elliptic. Let $\eta = (-\tilde{a}_{01}/\tilde{b}_{10})^{1/4}$, which is a positive number. Then the complex vector $v = \begin{bmatrix} i\eta \\ \eta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $D_P F^2$ for the eigenvalue

$$\lambda = \tilde{a}_{10} - i\eta^{-2}\tilde{a}_{01} = \tilde{a}_{10} + i\eta^{2}\tilde{b}_{10}.$$
(5.5)

For convenience, we introduce two frequently used notations:

$$\Delta = L(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L)(R_0 + R_1 - L);$$
(5.6)

$$\Gamma = (R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - L(R_0 + R_1 - L).$$
(5.7)

After the coordinate change $(s, u) \to (x, y) = (s/\eta, \eta u)$, we have

$$a_{10} = b_{01} = \frac{\Gamma}{R_0 R_1}; \quad a_{01} = \eta^{-2} \tilde{a}_{01} = -\frac{2\sqrt{\Delta}}{R_0 R_1}; \quad b_{10} = \eta^2 \tilde{b}_{10} = \frac{2\sqrt{\Delta}}{R_0 R_1} = -a_{01}.$$
(5.8)

In particular, the eigenvalue λ satisfies $\lambda = a_{10} - ia_{01} = a_{10} + ib_{10}$.

Following the same approach as in §3, we get the first twist coefficient τ_1 of the iterate F^2 at P under the nonresonance assumption (B1) that $\lambda^4 \neq 1$:

$$\tau_1(F^2, P) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{R_0 + R_1}{R_0 R_1} - \frac{L}{R_0 + R_1 - L} \left(\frac{R_1 - L}{R_0 - L} R_0'' + \frac{R_0 - L}{R_1 - L} R_1'' \right) \right).$$
(5.9)

This is a special case of the formula on Page 303 of [14]. Note that $deg(\tau_1) = -1$.

The approach given in §4 can be used to calculate $\tau_2(F^2, P)$ for asymmetric tables. However, it does not lead to an explicit formula for $\tau_2(F^2, P)$. We obtain the formula (1.13) for $\tau_2(F^2, P)$ under an ansatz of the decomposition of τ_2 into quadratic terms of R''_j , j = 0, 1, and linear terms of $R^{(4)}_j$, j = 0, 1. See (5.12) for more details. Then we find an explicit formula (1.13) for τ_2 by finding the coefficients of each terms. Finally, we verify that this is indeed the correct formula for the second twist coefficient τ_2 .

To obtain the Birkhoff normal form containing both twist coefficients τ_1 and τ_2 , it is necessary to assume both $\lambda^4 \neq 1$ and $\lambda^6 \neq 1$. However, only the assumption $\lambda^4 \neq 1$ is used when computing the quantity τ_2 . It follows that the potential poles of τ_2 is determined by $\lambda^4 = 1$. Observe that

(1) $\lambda = 1$ when $L(R_0 + R_1 - L) = 0$, (2) $\lambda = -1$ when $(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) = 0$, (3) $\lambda^2 = 1$ when $\Delta = L(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L)(R_0 + R_1 - L) = 0$ (4) $\lambda^2 = -1$ when $\Gamma = (R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - L(R_0 + R_1 - L) = 0$.

Consider the case when γ_0 is fixed and γ_1 is flat. In this case, the dynamical billiard on the table bounded by γ_0 and γ_1 is equivalent to the dynamical billiard on the table bounded by γ_0 and $\hat{\gamma}_0$, where $\hat{\gamma}_0$ is the reflection of γ_0 with respect to the line γ_1 . More precisely, $\hat{L} = 2L$ and $\hat{F}(s, u) = (-s_2, -u_2)$, where $(s_2, u_2) = F^2(s, u)$. Then we substitute L by 2L in (4.17) and obtain

$$\tau_{2}(F^{2},P) = \frac{3(7R^{2} - 16RL + 8L^{2})}{512R^{2}(R - 2L)\sqrt{L(R - L)}} - \frac{L(27R^{2} - 80RL + 40L^{2})}{768R(R - 2L)\sqrt{L(R - L)}(R - L)}R'' + \frac{L^{2}(31R^{2} - 72RL + 24L^{2})}{1536(R - 2L)\sqrt{L(R - L)}(R - L)^{2}}(R'')^{2} - \frac{L^{2}R}{192\sqrt{L(R - L)}(R - L)}R^{(4)}.$$
(5.10)

Another special case is when γ_0 and γ_1 are symmetric as we have done in §4. Since we are considering the twist coefficients along the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 , we multiply (4.17) by 2 and obtain

$$\tau_{2}(F^{2},P) = \frac{3(7R^{2} - 8RL + 2L^{2})}{128R^{2}(R-L)\sqrt{L(2R-L)}} - \frac{L(27R^{2} - 40RL + 10L^{2})}{192R(R-L)\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(2R-L)}R'' + \frac{L^{2}(31R^{2} - 36RL + 6L^{2})}{384(R-L)\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(2R-L)^{2}}(R'')^{2} - \frac{L^{2}R}{96\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(2R-L)}R^{(4)}.$$
(5.11)

In the following we will consider the case that $0 < L < \min\{R_0, R_1\}$. We will explain the changes needed for the case $\max\{R_0, R_1\} < L < R_0 + R_1$ in Remark 5.1. By analyzing the terms involved in the definition of τ_2 , we have that τ_2 depends linearly on the fourthorder derivatives $R_0^{(4)}$ and $R_1^{(4)}$ and quadratic on the second-order derivatives $R_0^{(2)}$ and $R_1^{(2)}$. Moreover, the poles in (5.10) and (5.11) are from the poles of the general case $\tau_2(F^2, P)$ by specializing to $R_1 \to \infty$ and $R_0^{(2i)} = R_1^{(2i)}$, i = 0, 1, 2, respectively. Therefore, we make the following ansatz on the formulation of τ_2 , which has the minimal order of poles for each coefficient of the monomials in $R_0'', R_1'', R_0^{(4)}, R_1^{(4)}$:

$$\tau_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left(\frac{3N(L, R_{0}, R_{1})}{512R_{0}^{2}R_{1}^{2}(2(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L) - R_{0}R_{1})} + \frac{P(L, R_{0}, R_{1})(R_{0}'')^{2} + P(L, R_{1}, R_{0})(R_{1}'')^{2} + Q(L, R_{0}, R_{1})R_{0}''R_{1}''}{1536(R_{0} - L)^{2}(R_{1} - L)^{2}(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)^{2}(2(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L) - R_{0}R_{1})} - \frac{R_{1}S(L, R_{0}, R_{1})R_{0}'' + R_{0}S(L, R_{1}, R_{0})R_{1}''}{768R_{0}R_{1}(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L)(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)(2(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L) - R_{0}R_{1})} - \frac{(R_{1} - L)T(L, R_{0}, R_{1})R_{0}^{(4)} + (R_{0} - L)T(L, R_{1}, R_{0})R_{1}^{(4)}}{192(R_{0} - L)(R_{1} - L)(R_{0} + R_{1} - L)} \right),$$
(5.12)

where $N(L, R_0, R_1)$, $P(L, R_0, R_1)$, $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$, $S(L, R_0, R_1)$ and $T(L, R_0, R_1)$ are polynomials with rational coefficients, whose degrees are determined by the facts that deg L = 1, deg $R_i^{(k)} = 1 - k$ and deg $\tau_2 = -2$. It follows that

$$\deg N = 6, \deg P = \deg Q = 10, \deg S = 7, \deg T = 5.$$
(5.13)

Moreover, Q is symmetric about R_0 and R_1 : $Q(L, R_0, R_1) = Q(L, R_1, R_0)$.

Remark 5.1. In the case $\max\{R_0, R_1\} < L < R_0 + R_1$, the formulation for τ_2 is essentially the same as in the case $0 < L < \min\{R_0, R_1\}$ with one difference: the factor $\sqrt{\Delta}$ in (5.12) should be replaced by $-\sqrt{\Delta}$. This follows from matching the signs of the term R - 2L in (5.10), the term R - L in (5.11), respectively.

5.1. The polynomial N. Let $\tau_2^{(0)}(L, R_0, R_1)$ be the term that does not involve the derivatives R''_j and $R_j^{(4)}$, j = 0, 1 in (5.12). That is,

$$\tau_2^{(0)}(L, R_0, R_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \frac{3N(L, R_0, R_1)}{512R_0^2 R_1^2 (2(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - R_0 R_1)},$$
(5.14)

where $N(L, R_0, R_1)$ is a degree 6 homogeneous polynomial that is symmetric in R_0, R_1 . We consider two cases:

(1) Setting $R_0 = R$ and letting $R_1 \to \infty$ in (5.14), we have

$$\lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \tau_2^{(0)} = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \frac{3N(L, R_0, R_1)}{512R^2 R_1^4 \sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-2L)} = \frac{3(7R^2 - 16RL + 8L^2)}{512R^2(R-2L)\sqrt{L(R-L)}},$$

by comparing with (5.10). It follows that

$$N(L, R_0, R_1) = R_1^4 (7R_0^2 - 16R_0L + 8L^2) + l.o.t.,$$
(5.15)

where l.o.t. stands lower order terms according to their R_1 -degrees.

(2) Setting $R_0 = R_1 = R$ and comparing (5.14) with (5.11), we have

$$N(L, R, R) = 4(7R^2 - 8RL + 2L^2)R^2(2(L-R)^2 - R^2)$$

= 28R⁶ - 144R⁵L + 192R⁴L² - 96R³L³ + 16R²L⁴. (5.16)

Since $N(L, R_0, R_1)$ is symmetric with respect to R_0 and R_1 and with highest degree of L and R_j , j = 0, 1 bounded by 4, we can write N as

$$N(L, R_0, R_1) = \sum_{1 \le j \le 3} \alpha_j R_0^j R_1^j L^{6-2j} + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le 4: \ 2 \le i+j \le 6} \beta_{ij} (R_0^i R_1^j + R_0^i R_1^j) L^{6-i-j}.$$
(5.17)

It follows from (5.15) that $\beta_{24} = 7$, $\beta_{14} = -16$, $\beta_{04} = 8$. Combining with (5.16), we have $\alpha_3 = 14$, $\beta_{23} = -56$,

$$\alpha_2 + 2\beta_{13} = 176; \quad \beta_{03} + \beta_{12} = -48; \quad \alpha_1 + 2\beta_{02} = 16.$$
 (5.18)

So we only need to find three unknown coefficients $(\beta_{02}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13})$. These unknowns can be found by solving a system of linear equations of (5.17) using three values of $N(L, R_0, R_1)$ given by (5.14). Then we get

$$(\beta_{02}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13}) = (8, -32, 48). \tag{5.19}$$

Plugging them into (5.17) and simplifying it, we get

$$N(L, R_0, R_1) = 8L^4 (R_0^2 + R_1^2) - 16L^3 (R_0^3 + 2R_0^2 R_1 + 2R_0 R_1^2 + R_1^3) + 8L^2 (R_0 + R_1)^2 (R_0^2 + 4R_0 R_1 + R_1^2) - 8LR_0 R_1 (2R_0^3 + 7R_0^2 R_1 + 7R_0 R_1^2 + 2R_1^3) + 7R_0^2 R_1^2 (R_0 + R_1)^2.$$
(5.20)

5.2. The polynomials P and Q. Let $\tau_2^{(2,2)}(L, R_0, R_1, R_0'', R_1'')$ be the collection of terms involving $(R_0'')^2$, $(R_1'')^2$ and $R_0''R_1''$ in (5.12). That is,

$$\tau_2^{(2,2)} = \frac{P(L, R_0, R_1)(R_0'')^2 + P(L, R_1, R_0)(R_1'')^2 + Q(L, R_0, R_1)R_0''R_1''}{1536\sqrt{\Delta}(R_0 - L)^2(R_1 - L)^2(R_0 + R_1 - L)^2[2(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - R_0R_1]}.$$
 (5.21)

Consider the case that $R_0 = R_1 = R$ and $R''_0 = R''_1 = R''$. Comparing with (5.11), we have

$$\begin{split} \tau_2^{(2,2)} = & \frac{(2P(L,R,R) + Q(L,R,R))(R'')^2}{1536\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(R-L)^5[2(R-L)^2 - R^2](2R-L)^2} \\ = & \frac{L^2(31R^2 - 36RL + 6L^2)}{384(R-L)\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(2R-L)^2}(R'')^2. \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$2P(L, R, R) + Q(L, R, R) = 4L^2(31R^2 - 36RL + 6L^2)(R - L)^4[2(R - L)^2 - R^2].$$
 (5.22)

5.2.I. The polynomial Q. Recall that $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$ is a degree 10 homogeneous polynomial in L, R_0, R_1 and is symmetric in R_0, R_1 . It is easy to see that

$$\frac{Q(L, R_0, R_1)}{\phi(L, R_0, R_1)} = \tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 1, 1) + \tau_2^{(0)}(L, R_0, R_1) - \tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 1, 0) - \tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 0, 1),$$
(5.23)

where

$$\phi(L, R_0, R_1) = 1536\sqrt{\Delta}(R_0 - L)^2(R_1 - L)^2(R_0 + R_1 - L)^2[2(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - R_0R_1].$$

Suppose $\gamma_1(t)$ is given by the graph of the function $b(t) = L - b_2 t^2 - b_4 t^4$. Then $R_1 = \frac{1}{2b_2}$ and $R_1'' = 6b_2 - \frac{6b_4}{b_2^2}$. In order to have $R_1'' = 1$, it suffices to assume $b_4 = (b_2 - \frac{1}{6})b_2^2$. Letting $R_1 \to \infty$, we have $b_2 \to 0$ and the curve γ_1 becomes a flat boundary. It follows that

$$\lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 1, 1) = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 1, 0);$$
(5.24)

$$\lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 0, 1) = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \tau_2^{(0)}(L, R_0, R_1).$$
(5.25)

Therefore, the degree of R_1 in $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$ is strictly less than the degree of R_1 in $\phi(L, R_0, R_1)$. It follows that the degree of R_1 in $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$ is less than or equal to 5.

We divide the task of finding Q into two steps. First we consider the case that $R_0 = R_1 = R$ and write $Q(L, R, R) = \sum_{j=0}^{8} \alpha_j R^j L^{10-j}$ with undetermined coefficients α_j , $0 \le j \le 8$, based on the formula (5.22). These unknowns can be found by solving the linear equations using nine values of Q(L, R, R) given by (5.23):

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = -64, \alpha_3 = 384, \alpha_4 = -930, \alpha_5 = 1160, \alpha_6 = -780, \alpha_7 = 264, \alpha_8 = -34.$$

Plugging them into the expression of Q and simplifying it, we get

$$Q(L, R, R) = -2L^{2}(L - R)^{4}R^{2}(32L^{2} - 64LR + 17R^{2}).$$
(5.26)

Next we find the general formula for $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$. Since $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$ is symmetric with respect to R_0 and R_1 with degrees of R_0 and R_1 less than or equal to 5, we assume

$$Q(R_0, R_1, L) = L^8 (c_{20}(R_0^2 + R_1^2) + c_{11}R_0R_1) + L^7 (c_{30}(R_0^3 + R_1^3) + c_{21}(R_0^2R_1 + R_1^2R_0)) + L^6 (c_{40}(R_0^4 + R_1^4) + c_{31}(R_0^3R_1 + R_1^3R_0) + c_{22}R_0^2R_1^2) + L^5 (c_{50}(R_0^5 + R_1^5) + c_{41}(R_0^4R_1 + R_1^4R_0) + c_{32}(R_0^3R_1^2 + R_1^3R_0^2)) + L^4 (c_{51}(R_0^5R_1 + R_1^5R_0) + c_{42}(R_0^4R_1^2 + R_1^4R_0^2) + c_{33}R_0^3R_1^3) + L^3 (c_{52}(R_0^5R_1^2 + R_1^5R_0^2) + c_{43}(R_0^4R_1^3 + R_1^4R_0^3)) + L^2 (c_{53}(R_0^5R_1^3 + R_1^5R_0^3) + c_{44}R_0^4R_1^4).$$
(5.27)

Comparing (5.27) with (5.26), we get

$$2c_{20} + c_{11} = -64,$$

$$2c_{30} + 2c_{21} = 384,$$

$$2c_{40} + 2c_{31} + c_{22} = -930,$$

$$2c_{50} + 2c_{41} + 2c_{32} = 1160,$$

$$2c_{51} + 2c_{42} + c_{33} = -780,$$

$$2c_{52} + 2c_{43} = 264,$$

$$2c_{53} + c_{44} = -34.$$

There are 10 independent coefficients among these 17 unknown coefficients. We find ten data of $Q(L, R_0, R_1)$ using (5.23) and then produce a system of ten linear equations from (5.27). Then we get

$$(c_{20}, c_{30}, c_{40}, c_{31}, c_{50}, c_{41}, c_{51}, c_{42}, c_{52}, c_{53}) = (0, 0, 0, -192, 0, 64, 0, -162, 0, 0).$$
(5.28)

Plugging them into (5.27) and simplifying it, we get

$$Q(L, R_0, R_1) = -2L^2(L - R_0)^2(L - R_1)^2 R_0 R_1 (32L^2 - 32L(R_0 + R_1) + 17R_0R_1).$$
(5.29)

5.2.II. The polynomial P. It follows from (5.22) and (5.26) that

$$P(L, R, R) = L^{2}(L - R)^{4}(24L^{4} - 192L^{3}R + 456L^{2}R^{2} - 384LR^{3} + 79R^{4}).$$
(5.30)

Setting $R_0 = R, R_0'' = R'', R_1'' = 0$ and letting $R_1 \to \infty$ in (5.21), we have

$$\tau_2^{(2,2)} = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \frac{P(L, R, R_1)}{1536R_1^6 \sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-L)^2(R-2L)} (R'')^2$$
$$= \frac{L^2(31R^2 - 72RL + 24L^2)}{1536(R-2L)\sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-L)^2} (R'')^2, \tag{5.31}$$

by comparing with (5.10). This implies

$$P(L, R_0, R_1) = R_1^6 (31R_0^2 - 72R_0L + 24L^2)L^2 + \sum_{j=5}^{10} R_1^{10-j} K_j(R_0, L).$$
(5.32)

Theoretically, we can use the undetermined coefficients method to find all the coefficients of the polynomials $K_j(R_0, L)$ in (5.32). However, it is difficult to stay away from possible degeneracy due to the large number of unknowns. We will manipulate the polynomial $P(L, R_0, R_1)$ and divide the unknowns into different groups of much smaller sizes. To shorten our notations, we will set L = 1 and omit the letter L for the moment. Note that

$$\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 1) + \tau_2(R_0, R_1, -1, -1) = 2\tau_2^{(0)} + \frac{2P(R_0, R_1) + 2P(R_1, R_0) + 2Q(R_0, R_1)}{\phi(R_0, R_1)}.$$

It follows that the symmetric part $SP(R_0, R_1) = \frac{P(R_0, R_1) + P(R_1, R_0)}{2}$ of the polynomial $P(R_0, R_1)$ is given by

$$SP(R_0, R_1) = \frac{1}{4} [\phi(R_0, R_1)(\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 1) + \tau_2(R_0, R_1, -1, -1) - 2\tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1)) - 2Q(R_0, R_1)]$$
(5.33)

Let $U = \frac{R_0 + R_1}{2}$ and $V = \frac{R_0 - R_1}{2}$. Then the symmetric part $SP(R_0, R_1)$ can be written as a polynomial of U and V. Moreover, the terms with odd powers of V vanish since SP(U, V)is a symmetric polynomial of R_0 and R_1 . Note that when $R_0 = R_1 = R$, we have U = Rand V = 0. Then it follows from (5.30) that

$$SP(U,0) = (1-U)^4 (24 - 192U + 456U^2 - 384U^3 + 79U^4).$$
(5.34)

The general form of the function SP(U, V) can be written as:

$$SP(U,V) = (1-U)^{4}(24 - 192U + 456U^{2} - 384U^{3} + 79U^{4}) + (a_{60} + a_{61}U + a_{62}U^{2} + a_{63}U^{3} + a_{64}U^{4} + a_{65}U^{5} + a_{66}U^{6})V^{2} + (a_{40} + a_{41}U + a_{42}U^{2} + a_{43}U^{3} + a_{44}U^{4})V^{4} + (a_{20} + a_{21}U + a_{22}U^{2})V^{6} + a_{0}V^{8}.$$
(5.35)

Note that the equation (5.35) can be viewed as a polynomial of the single variable V, where the variable U is treated as a parameter:

$$SP(U,V) = SP(U,0) + a_6(U)V^2 + a_4(U)V^4 + a_2(U)V^6 + a_0V^8,$$
(5.36)

$$a_2(U) = a_{20} + a_{21}U + a_{22}U^2, (5.37)$$

$$a_4(U) = a_{40} + a_{41}U + a_{42}U^2 + a_{43}U^3 + a_{44}U^4, (5.38)$$

$$a_6(U) = a_{60} + a_{61}U + a_{62}U^2 + a_{63}U^3 + a_{64}U^4 + a_{65}U^5 + a_{66}U^6.$$
(5.39)

We form a system of linear equations from (5.36) using a set of four values of $SP(R_0, R_1)$ from (5.33) with the same $U = (R_0 + R_1)/2$, from which we get a number for a_0 and one value for each $a_k(U)$, k = 2, 4, 6. Then by varying U, we obtain linear equations of the coefficients: (5.37) for a_{2k} , $0 \le k \le 2$, (5.38) for a_{4k} , $0 \le k \le 4$, and (5.39) for a_{6k} , $0 \le k \le 6$, respectively. Finally, we find the unknown coefficients a_{ik} by solving the corresponding linear equations:

(1)
$$a_0 = -17$$

- (2) $(a_{20}, a_{21}, a_{22}) = (114, -372, 220);$
- (3) $(a_{40}, a_{41}, a_{42}, a_{43}, a_{44}) = (-41, 548, -1410, 1196, -310);$
- (4) $(a_{60}, a_{61}, a_{62}, a_{63}, a_{64}, a_{65}, a_{66}) = (-216, 1152, -2222, 1784, -402, -124, 28).$

Next we find the antisymmetric part $AP(R_0, R_1) = \frac{P(R_0, R_1) - P(R_1, R_0)}{2}$ of the polynomial $P(R_0, R_1)$. Note that

$$\frac{2P(R_0, R_1)}{\phi(R_0, R_1)} = \tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 0) + \tau_2(R_0, R_1, -1, 0) - 2\tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1).$$
(5.40)

It follows that

t follows that

$$AP(R_0, R_1) = \frac{\phi(R_0, R_1)}{2} (\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 0) + \tau_2(R_0, R_1, -1, 0) - \tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1)) - SP(R_0, R_1).$$
(5.41)

Note that the polynomial $AP(R_0, R_1)$ can be written as a polynomial of $U = \frac{R_0 + R_1}{2}$ and odd powers of $V = \frac{R_0 - R_1}{2}$:

$$AP(U,V) = (b_{70} + b_{71}U + b_{72}U^2 + b_{73}U^3 + b_{74}U^4 + b_{75}U^5 + b_{76}U^6 + b_{77}U^7)V + (b_{50} + b_{51}U + b_{52}U^2 + b_{53}U^3 + b_{54}U^4 + b_{55}U^5)V^3 + (b_{30} + b_{31}U + b_{32}U^2 + b_{33}U^3)V^5 + (b_{10} + b_{11}U)V^7.$$
(5.42)

Note that the terms with even powers of V vanish since AP(U, V) is an antisymmetric polynomial of R_0 and R_1 . Using the same method as we have done for the symmetric part SP(U, V), we view (5.42) as a polynomial of V with coefficients $b_k(U)$, k = 1, 3, 5, 7, depending on the parameter U. By varying V with fixed U, we get the coefficients $b_k(U)$, k = 1, 3, 5, 7 using the values of $AP(R_0, R_1)$ given by (5.41). The unknowns b_{jk} can be found by solving the systems of linear equations using the values of $b_k(U)$ that we have just found:

(1) $(b_{10}, b_{11}) = (-20, -28);$

- (2) $(b_{30}, b_{31}, b_{32}, b_{33}) = (220, -660, 556, -164);$
- (3) $(b_{50}, b_{51}, b_{52}, b_{53}, b_{54}, b_{55}) = (-336, 2016, -4472, 4584, -2204, 412);$
- (4) $(b_{70}, b_{71}, b_{72}, b_{73}, b_{74}, b_{75}, b_{76}, b_{77}) = (0, -288, 1872, -4896, 6556, -4692, 1668, -220).$

Collecting the symmetric and the antisymmetric parts and simplifying it, we have

$$P(R_0, R_1) = (R_1 - 1)^4 (48R_0^3(R_1 - 2) + 24(R_1 - 1)^2 - 72R_0(R_1 - 1)(R_1 - 2) + R_0^2 (216 - 216R_1 + 31R_1^2)).$$
(5.43)

Since P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 10, we have

$$P(L, R_0, R_1) = L^2(R_1 - L)^4 (48R_0^3(R_1 - 2L) + 24L^2(R_1 - L)^2 - 72LR_0(R_1 - L)(R_1 - 2L) + R_0^2 (216L^2 - 216R_1L + 31R_1^2)).$$
(5.44)

5.3. The polynomial S. Let $\tau_2^{(2)}$ be the collection of terms depending linearly on R_0'' and R_1'' in (5.12). That is,

$$\tau_2^{(2)} = -\frac{S(L, R_0, R_1)R_1R_0'' + S(L, R_1, R_0)R_0R_1''}{768\sqrt{\Delta}R_0R_1(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L)(R_0 + R_1 - L)[2(R_0 - L)(R_1 - L) - R_0R_1]}, \quad (5.45)$$

where $S(L, R_0, R_1)$ is a degree 7 homogeneous polynomial in L, R_0, R_1 (not necessarily symmetric in R_0, R_1). Consider two special cases:

(1) Setting $R_0 = R$, $R_0'' = R''$, $R_1'' = 0$ and letting $R_1 \to \infty$ in (5.45), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2^{(2)} &= \lim_{R \to \infty} -\frac{1}{768} \frac{S(L, R, R_1)}{RR_1^4 \sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-L)(R-2L)} R'' \\ &= -\frac{L(27R^2 - 80RL + 40L^2)}{768R\sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-2L)(R-L)} R'' \end{aligned}$$

by comparing with (5.10). This implies

$$S(L, R_0, R_1) = R_1^4 L(27R_0^2 - 80R_0L + 40L^2) + l.o.t.,$$
(5.46)

where l.o.t. means terms whose R_1 -degree is less than or equal to 3.

(2) Setting $R_0 = R_1 = R$ and $R''_0 = R''_1 = R''$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{384} \frac{S(L,R,R)}{\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(R-L)^3(2R-L)(2(R-L)^2-R^2)R} R'' \\ &= -\frac{L(27R^2-40RL+10L^2)}{192R\sqrt{L(2R-L)}(R-L)(2R-L)} R'' \end{aligned}$$

by comparing (5.45) with (5.11). This implies

$$S(L, R, R) = 2L(27R^2 - 40RL + 10L^2)(R - L)^2[2(R - L)^2 - R^2].$$
 (5.47)

We divide the task into two steps by finding the symmetric part $SS(L, R_0, R_1)$ and the anti-symmetric part $AS(L, R_0, R_1)$ of the polynomial $S(L, R_0, R_1)$, respectively.

In the following we assume L = 1, $R_j^{(4)} = 0$, j = 0, 1 and introduce the short notation $\tau_2(R_0, R_1, R_0'', R_1'')$ for the corresponding second twist coefficient of the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 . Similarly, we set $\Phi(R_0, R_1) = -768\sqrt{\Delta}(R_0 - 1)(R_1 - 1)(R_0 + R_1 - 1)[2(R_0 - 1)(R_1 - 1) - R_0R_1]$ and obtain

$$S(R_0, R_1) = (\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 0) - \tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1) - \tau_2^{(22)}(R_0, R_1, 1, 0))\Phi(R_0, R_1)R_0,$$

$$S(R_1, R_0) = (\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 0, 1) - \tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1) - \tau_2^{(22)}(R_0, R_1, 0, 1))\Phi(R_0, R_1)R_1.$$

So the symmetric part $SS(R_0, R_1) = \frac{S(R_0, R_1) + S(R_1, R_0)}{2}$ of the polynomial S is given by

$$SS(R_0, R_1) = \left((\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 0) - \tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1) - \tau_2^{(22)}(R_0, R_1, 1, 0))R_0 + (\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 0, 1) - \tau_2^{(0)}(R_0, R_1) - \tau_2^{(22)}(R_0, R_1, 0, 1))R_1 \right) \frac{\Phi(R_0, R_1)}{2}.$$
 (5.48)

We rewrite (5.47) in the coordinates $(U, V) = (\frac{R_0 + R_1}{2}, \frac{R_0 - R_1}{2})$ with undetermined coefficients:

$$SS(U,V) = 2(27U^{2} - 40U + 10)(U - 1)^{2}(2(U - 1)^{2} - U^{2}) + (a_{50} + a_{51}U + a_{52}U^{2} + a_{53}U^{3} + a_{54}U^{4} + a_{55}U^{5})V^{2} + (a_{30} + a_{31}U + a_{32}U^{2} + a_{33}U^{3})V^{4} + (a_{10} + a_{11}U)V^{6}.$$
 (5.49)

Using the same method in finding the polynomial SP, we find that

$$SS(U,V) = 2(27U^2 - 40U + 10)(U - 1)^2(2(U - 1)^2 - U^2) + (-152 + 600U - 822U^2 + 488U^3 - 108U^4)V^2 + (48 - 84U + 54U^2)V^4.$$
(5.50)

Next we turn to the antisymmetric part $AS(R_0, R_1) = \frac{S(R_0, R_1) - S(R_1, R_0)}{2}$, which is given by

$$AS(R_0, R_1) = \left((\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 1, 0) - \tau_2^{(0)} - \tau_2^{(22)}(1, 0)) R_0 - (\tau_2(R_0, R_1, 0, 1) - \tau_2^{(0)} - \tau_2^{(22)}(0, 1)) R_1 \right) \frac{\Phi(R_0, R_1)}{2}.$$
 (5.51)

We assume

$$AS(U,V) = (b_{60} + b_{61}U + b_{62}U^2 + b_{63}U^3 + b_{64}U^4 + b_{65}U^5 + b_{66}U^6)V + (b_{40} + b_{41}U + b_{42}U^2 + b_{43}U^3 + b_{44}U^4)V^3 + (b_{20} + b_{21}U + b_{22}U^2)V^5 + b_0V^7.$$
(5.52)

Using the same method in finding the polynomial AP, we find that

$$AS(U,V) = (-144U + 552U^2 - 714U^3 + 360U^4 - 54U^5)V + (-104 + 394U - 400U^2 + 108U^3)V^3 + (40 - 54)V^5.$$
(5.53)

Collecting the symmetric and antisymmetric parts and simplifying it, we have

$$S(R_0, R_1) = (R_1 - 1)^2 (40(R_1 - 1)^2 + 3R_0^3(9R_1 - 16)) - 80R_0(2 - 3R_1 + R_1^2) + 3R_0^2(56 - 56R_1 + 9R_1^2)).$$
(5.54)

Recall that $S(L, R_0, R_1)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 7. It follows that

$$S(L, R_0, R_1) = L(R_1 - L)^2 (40(R_1 - L)^2 L^2 + 3R_0^3 (9R_1 - 16L) - 80R_0 (2L^2 - 3R_1 L + R_1^2) L + 3R_0^2 (56L^2 - 56R_1 L + 9R_1^2)).$$
(5.55)

5.4. The polynomial T. Let $\tau_2^{(4)}$ be the collection of terms involving $R_0^{(4)}$ and $R_1^{(4)}$ in (5.12). That is,

$$\tau_2^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{192\sqrt{\Delta}} \left(\frac{T(L, R_0, R_1)}{(R_0 + R_1 - L)(R_0 - L)} R_0^{(4)} + \frac{T(L, R_1, R_0)}{(R_0 + R_1 - L)(R_1 - L)} R_1^{(4)}\right), \quad (5.56)$$

where $T(L, R_0, R_1)$ is a degree 5 homogeneous polynomial, which is not necessarily symmetric in R_0, R_1 . Let $\tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, R_0^{(4)}, R_1^{(4)})$ be the second twist coefficient of the orbit \mathcal{O}_2 with $R''_j = 0, j = 0, 1$. It follows that

$$T(L, R_0, R_1) = -192\sqrt{\Delta}(R_0 + R_1 - L)(R_0 - L) \cdot (\tau_2(L, R_0, R_1, 1, 0) - \tau_2^{(0)}).$$
(5.57)

Consider the two special cases:

(1) Setting $R_0 = R_1 = R, R_0^{(4)} = R_1^{(4)} = R^{(4)}$ in (5.56), we have that

$$\tau_2^{(4)} = -\frac{T(L, R, R)}{96\sqrt{L(2R - L)}(R - L)^2(2R - L)}R^{(4)} = -\frac{L^2R}{96\sqrt{L(2R - L)}(2R - L)}R^{(4)},$$

by comparing with (5.11). It follows that

$$T(L, R, R) = (R - L)^2 L^2 R.$$
 (5.58)

(2) Setting
$$R_0 = R$$
, $R_0^{(4)} = R^{(4)}$, $R_1^{(4)} = 0$ and letting $R_1 \to \infty$ in (5.56), we have that

$$\tau_2^{(4)} = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} -\frac{1}{192} \frac{T(L, R, R_1)}{R_1^2 \sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-L)} R^{(4)} = -\frac{L^2 R}{192 \sqrt{L(R-L)}(R-L)} R^{(4)},$$

by comparing with (5.10). It follows that

$$T(L, R_0, R_1) = R_1^2(L^2 R_0) + R_1 \cdot G_4(R_0, L) + G_5(R_0, L),$$
(5.59)

where G_4 and G_5 are homogeneous polynomial in R_0, L of degree 4 and 5 respectively. Comparing (5.58) and (5.59), we get

$$(R-L)^{2}L^{2}R = R^{3}L^{2} + R \cdot G_{4}(R,L) + G_{5}(R,L),$$

or equally,

$$-2R^2L^3 + RL^4 = R \cdot G_4(R,L) + G_5(R,L).$$

This implies that $G_5(R, L)$ is divisible by R, i.e. $G_5(R_0, L) = R_0 H_4(R_0, L)$. Now we have

$$G_4(R,L) + H_4(R,L) = -2RL^3 + L^4.$$
(5.60)

Suppose that

$$G_4(R,L) = \sum_{j=0}^4 a_j R^j L^{4-j}, \quad H_4(R,L) = \sum_{j=0}^4 b_j R^j L^{4-j},$$

with undetermined coefficients a_j and b_j , $0 \le j \le 4$. Then (5.60) implies that

$$a_0 + b_0 = 1, \quad a_1 + b_1 = -2,$$

 $a_j + b_j = 0, \quad 2 \le j \le 4.$

These unknowns can be found by solving the linear equations of (5.59) using the values of $T(L, R_0, R_1)$ given by (5.57):

$$(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (0, -2, 0, 0, 0).$$

Plugging them into (5.59) and simplifying it, we get

$$T(L, R_0, R_1) = L^2 R_0 (R_1 - L)^2.$$
(5.61)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Richard Moeckel for helpful discussions. The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for many comments and suggestions, which have helped them improve the presentation of the paper greatly.

References

- D. V. Anosov, A. B. Katok. New Examples in Smooth Ergodic Theory, Ergodic Diffeomorphisms. Trudy Mosk. Math. Obs. 23 (1970), 3–36; Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc. 23 (1972), 1–35 (English translation).
- [2] G.D. Birkhoff. Dynamical systems. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. IX, Amer. Math. Soc. (1927).
- [3] L. Bunimovich, A. Grigo. Focusing components in typical chaotic billiards should be absolutely focusing. Comm. Math. Phys. 293 (2010), 127–143.
- [4] J. Chen, L. Mohr, H.-K. Zhang, P. Zhang. Ergodicity and coexistence of elliptic islands in a family of convex billiards. Chaos 23 (2013), 043137.
- [5] N. Chernov, R. Markarian. Chaotic Billiards. Math. Surveys Mono. 127, AMS, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [6] A. Cima, A. Gasull, V. Mašosa. Global periodicity conditions for maps and recurrences via normal forms. Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. 23 (2013), no. 11, 1350182, 18 pages.
- M. J. Dias Carneiro, S. O. Kamphorst, S. Pinto de Carvalho. *Elliptic Islands in Strictly Convex Billiards*. Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. 23, no. 3 (2003), 799–812.
- [8] B. Fayad and R. Krikorian, Herman's last geometric theorem. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 42 (2009), 193–219.
- [9] E. Heller and S. Tomsovic. Postmodern quantum mechanics. Physics Today 46 (1993), 38–46.
- [10] H. Ito. Convergence of Birkhoff normal forms for integrable systems. Comment. Math. Helv. 64 (1989), 412–461.
- [11] H. Ito. Integrability of Hamiltonian systems and Birkhoff normal forms in the simple resonance case. Math. Ann. 292 (1992), 411–444.
- [12] X. Jin, P. Zhang. Mathematica codes for manipulations used in this article. https://math.ou.edu/ ~pzhang/Files/twistCoeff.html.
- [13] S. O. Kamphorst, S. Pinto-de-Carvalho. Elliptic islands on the elliptical stadium. Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst. 7 (2001), no. 4, 663–674.
- [14] S. O. Kamphorst, S. Pinto-de-Carvalho. The first Birkhoff coefficient and the stability of 2-periodic orbits on billiards. Experiment. Math. 14 (2005), no. 3, 299–306.
- [15] T. Kappeler, Y. Kodama, A. Nemethi. On the Birkhoff normal form of a completely integrable Hamiltonian system near a fixed point with resonance. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 26 (1998), 623–661.
- [16] R. Krikorian. On the divergence of Birkhoff Normal Forms. arXiv:1906.01096.
- [17] R. Kołodziej. The rotation number of some transformation related to billiards in an ellipse. Studia Math. 81 (1985), no. 3, 293–302.
- [18] K. R. Meyer. Generic bifurcation of periodic points. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 95–107.
- [19] K. R. Meyer. Generic stability properties of periodic points. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 273– 277.

X. JIN AND P. ZHANG

- [20] R. Moeckel. Generic bifurcations of the twist coefficient. Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. 10 (1990), 185–195.
- [21] J. K. Moser. The analytic invariants of an area-preserving mapping near a hyperbolic fixed point. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 9 (1956), 673–692.
- [22] J. K. Moser. On invariant curves of area-preserving mappings of an annulus. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen Math.-Phys. Kl. II (1962), 1–20.
- [23] J. K. Moser. Stable and Random Motions in Dynamical Systems. With special emphasis on celestial mechanics. Reprint of the 1973 original. Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [24] H. Russmann. Stability of elliptic fixed points of analytic area-preserving mappings under the Bruno condition. Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. 22 (2002), 1551–1573.
- [25] C. L. Siegel, J. K. Moser. Lectures on Celestial Mechanics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [26] S. Tabachnikov. Geometry and billiards. Student Mathematical Library, 30. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Mathematics Advanced Study Semesters, University Park, PA, 2005.
- [27] M. B. Tabanov. Separatrices splitting for Birkhoff's billiard in symmetric convex domain, closed to an ellipse. Chaos, 4 (1994), 595–606.
- [28] P.J. Torres. Twist solutions of a Hill's equation with singular term. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 2 (2002), no. 3, 279–287.
- [29] N. T. Zung. Convergence versus integrability in Birkhoff normal form. Annals Math 161 (2005), 141–156.

MATH DEPARTMENT, BOSTON COLLEGE, CHESTNUT HILL, MA 02467.

Email address: xin.jin@bc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OK 73019. Email address: pengfei.zhang@ou.edu