

# Equivalent conditions of complete convergence for weighted sums of sequences of i. i. d. random variables under sublinear expectations

MINGZHOU XU<sup>1</sup> KUN CHENG

*School of Information Engineering, Jingdezhen Ceramic University Jingdezhen 333403, China*

(Email:mingzhouxu@whu.edu.cn chengkun0010@126.com)

**Abstract:** The complete convergence for weighted sums of sequences of independent, identically distributed random variables under sublinear expectations space was studied. By moment inequality and truncation methods, we establish the equivalent conditions of complete convergence for weighted sums of sequences of independent, identically distributed random variables under sublinear expectations space. The results extend the corresponding results obtained by Guo (2012) to those for sequences of independent, identically distributed random variables under sublinear expectations space.

**Keywords:** complete convergence; weighted sums; i. i. d. random variables; sublinear expectation

**AMS 2020 subject classifications:** 60F15, 60F05

## 1 Introduction and notations.

Motivated by the study of the uncertainty of probability and distribution, Peng [1, 2] introduced the concept of the sublinear expectation space. We consider the sublinear expectation as an extension of linear expectation. Inspired by the work of Peng [1, 2], people try to study lots of limit theorems under sublinear expectation space to extend the corresponding results in probability and statistics. Zhang [3, 4, 5] obtained the exponential inequalities, Rosenthal's inequalities, Donsker's invariance principle under sublinear expectations. Under sublinear expectations, Wu [6] established precise asymptotics for complete integral convergence, Xu and Zhang [7, 8] proved the law of logarithm for arrays of random variables and three series theorem for independent random variables, Chen [9] obtained strong laws of large numbers, Xu and Cheng[10, 11] investigated precise asymptotics in the law of the iterated logarithm

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by Supported by Doctoral Scientific Research Starting Foundation of Jingdezhen Ceramic University ( Nos.102/01003002031 ), Scientific Program of Department of Education of Jiangxi Province of China (Nos. GJJ190732, GJJ180737), Natural Science Foundation Program of Jiangxi Province 20202BABL211005, and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 61662037)

and convergence for sums of independent, identically distributed random variables. Under sublinear expectations the interested reader could refer to Gao and Xu [12], Fang et al. [13], Hu et al. [14], Kuczmaszewska [15], Wang and Wu [16], Hu and Yang [17], Zhang [18], Yu and Wu [19], Wu and Jiang [20], Ma and Wu [21], Huang and Wu [22], Zhong and Wu [23] and references therein for more limit theorems.

Meng et al. [24] studied convergence for sums of asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables. Guo [25] obtained equivalent conditions of complete convergence for weighted sums of sequences of negatively dependent random variables. Guo and Shan [26] established equivalent conditions of complete  $q$ th moment convergence for weighted sums of sequences of negatively orthant dependent random variables. For references on complete convergence in linear expectation space, the reader could refer to Meng et al. [24], Guo [25], Shen and Wu [27] and references therein. In this paper, we investigate the complete convergence for independent, identically distributed (i. i. d.) random variables under sublinear expectations space. We proved equivalent conditions of complete convergence for weighted sums of sequences of independent, identically distributed random variables under sublinear expectations space.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we summarize necessary basic notions, concepts and relevant properties, and give necessary lemmas under sublinear expectations. In Section 3, we present our main results, Theorems 3.1, 3.2, the proofs of which are given in Section 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

We use notations similar to that of Peng [2]. Assume that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a given measurable space. Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a subset of all random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $I_A \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$  implies  $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$  for each  $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the linear space of (local lipschitz) function  $\varphi$  satisfying

$$|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{y})| \leq C(1 + |\mathbf{x}|^m + |\mathbf{y}|^m)(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|), \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for some  $C > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  depending on  $\varphi$ . We regard  $\mathcal{H}$  as the space of random variables.

**Definition 2.1** (See Peng [1, 2]) *A sublinear expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a functional  $\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{H} \mapsto \bar{\mathbb{R}} := [-\infty, \infty]$  satisfying the following properties: for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have*

- (a) *Monotonicity: If  $X \geq Y$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y]$ ;*
- (b) *Constant preserving:  $\mathbb{E}[c] = c$ ,  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ ;*

(c) *Positive homogeneity*:  $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X]$ ,  $\forall \lambda \geq 0$ ;

(d) *Sub-additivity*:  $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$  whenever  $\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$  is not of the form  $\infty - \infty$  or  $-\infty + \infty$ .

A set function  $V : \mathcal{F} \mapsto [0, 1]$  is named a capacity if it satisfies

(a)  $V(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $V(\Omega) = 1$ ;

(b)  $V(A) \leq V(B)$ ,  $A \subset B$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

A capacity  $V$  is said to be sub-additive if it satisfies  $V(A + B) \leq V(A) + V(B)$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

In this paper, given a sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ , we define a capacity:  $\mathbb{V}(A) := \inf\{\mathbb{E}[\xi] : I_A \leq \xi, \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$  (see Zhang [4]). Obviously  $\mathbb{V}$  is a sub-additive capacity. We define the Choquet expectations  $C_{\mathbb{V}}$  by

$$C_{\mathbb{V}}(X) := \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(X > x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 (\mathbb{V}(X > x) - 1) dx.$$

Assume that  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ ,  $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ ,  $Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$  are two random variables on  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ .  $\mathbf{Y}$  is said to be independent of  $\mathbf{X}$ , if for each Borel-measurable function  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\psi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \mathcal{H}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[\psi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{X}}]$  whenever  $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{E}[|\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y})|] < \infty$  for each  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[|\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{X})|] < \infty$  (cf. Definition 2.5 in Chen [9]).  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be a sequence of independent random variables, if  $X_{n+1}$  is independent of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}_1$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2$  are two  $n$ -dimensional random vectors defined, respectively, in sublinear expectation spaces  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathbb{E}_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathbb{E}_2)$ . They are said to be identically distributed if for every Borel-measurable function  $\psi$  such that  $\psi(X_1), \psi(X_2) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_1[\psi(\mathbf{X}_1)] = \mathbb{E}_2[\psi(\mathbf{X}_2)],$$

whenever the sublinear expectations are finite.  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be identically distributed if for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $X_i$  and  $X_1$  are identically distributed.

Throughout this paper we assume that  $\mathbb{E}$  is countably sub-additive, i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}(X) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n)$ , whenever  $X \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ ,  $X, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$ , and  $X \geq 0$ ,  $X_n \geq 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We denote by  $C$  a positive constant which may vary from line to line.  $I(A)$  denotes the indicator function of  $A$ ,  $a_n \ll b_n$  means that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $a_n \leq cb_n$  for  $n$  large enough and let  $a_n \approx b_n$  denote that  $a_n \ll b_n$  and  $b_n \ll a_n$ . Let  $\log x$  denote  $\ln \max\{ex\}$ .

To establish our results, we present the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** ( See Lemma 2.1 in Xu and Cheng [11]) Let  $X$  be a random variable under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ . Then for any  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $\beta > -1$ ,

$$(i) \int_1^\infty u^\beta C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^\alpha I(|X| > u^\gamma)) du \leq C C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{(\beta+1)/\gamma+\alpha}),$$

$$(ii) \int_1^\infty u^\beta \ln(u) C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^\alpha I(|X| > u^\gamma)) du \leq C C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{(\beta+1)/\gamma+\alpha} \ln(1 + |X|)).$$

Write  $S_k = X_1 + \dots + X_k$ ,  $S_0 = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (cf. Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 in Zhang [5]) Suppose that  $X_{k+1}$  is independent of  $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$  with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) \leq 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \max_{k \leq n} (S_n - S_k) \right|^p \right] \leq 2^{2-p} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_k|^p], \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \max_{k \leq n} (S_n - S_k) \right|^p \right] \leq C_p \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_k|^p] + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_k|^2] \right)^{p/2} \right\}, \text{ for } p \geq 2. \quad (2.2)$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the proofs of Theorem 3 in Móricz [28] combined with Minkowski's inequality under sublinear expectations, we can get the following.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$  with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) \leq 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then for  $M \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j X_i \right|^M \leq C \log^M n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^M + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^2 \right)^{M/2} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

**Lemma 2.4** (See Lemma 2.4 in Xu and Cheng [11]) Let  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $x > 0$ ,

$$\left[ 1 - \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j| > x \right) \right]^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{V}(|X_j| > x) \leq 4 \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j| > x \right). \quad (2.4)$$

### 3 Main results

Our main results are as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables, identically distributed as  $X$  under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ . Assume that  $r > 1$ ,  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta + p > 0$ , and suppose that  $\mathbb{E}X = -\mathbb{E}(-X) = 0$  for  $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $\{a_{ni} \approx (i/n)^\beta (1/n)^p, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  is a triangular array of real numbers. Then the following is equivalent:

(i)

$$\begin{cases} C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{(r+1)/(p+\beta)}) < \infty, & \text{for } -p < \beta < -p/r, \\ C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p} \ln(1 + |X|)) < \infty, & \text{for } \beta = -p/r, \\ C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p}) < \infty, & \text{for } \beta > -p/r, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j a_{ni} X_i \right| > \epsilon \right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad (3.2)$$

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables, identically distributed as  $X$  under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ . Assume that  $r > 1$ ,  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta + p > 0$ , and suppose that  $\mathbb{E}X = -\mathbb{E}(-X) = 0$  for  $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $\{a_{ni} \approx ((n-i)/n)^\beta (1/n)^p, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, n \geq 1\}$  is a triangular array of real numbers. Then the following are equivalent:

(i)

$$\begin{cases} C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{(r-1)/(p+\beta)}) < \infty, & \text{for } -p < \beta < -p/r, \\ C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p} \ln(1 + |X|)) < \infty, & \text{for } \beta = -p/r, \\ C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p}) < \infty, & \text{for } \beta > -p/r, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j a_{ni} X_i \right| > \epsilon \right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad (3.4)$$

**Remark 3.1** Take  $p = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain Theorem 3.1 in Xu and Cheng [?, 11].

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables, identically distributed as  $X$  under sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$  with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = -\mathbb{E}(-X_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume that  $r > 1$ ,  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Let  $A_n^\alpha = (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \cdots (\alpha + n)/n!$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $A_0^\alpha = 0$ . The following are equivalent:

(i)

$$\begin{cases} C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{(r-1)/(p\alpha)}) < \infty, & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1 - 1/r, \\ C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p} \ln(1 + |X|)) < \infty, & \text{for } \alpha = 1 - 1/r, \\ C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p}) < \infty, & \text{for } 1 - 1/r < \alpha \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^j (A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1})^p X_i \right| > \epsilon (A_n^\alpha)^p \right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad (3.6)$$

## 4 Proofs of Theorems 3.1,3.2

**Proof.** [Proof of Theorem 3.1] First we prove (3.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3.2). Choose  $\delta > 0$  small enough and sufficiently large integer  $K$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , write

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ni}^{(1)} &= -n^{-\delta}I(a_{ni}X_i < -n^{-\delta}) + a_{ni}X_iI(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{-\delta}) + n^{-\delta}I(a_{ni}X_i > n^{-\delta}), \\ X_{ni}^{(2)} &= (a_{ni}X_i - n^{-\delta})I(n^{-\delta} < a_{ni}X_i < \frac{\epsilon}{K}), \\ X_{ni}^{(3)} &= (a_{ni}X_i + n^{-\delta})I(-\frac{\epsilon}{K} < a_{ni}X_i < -n^{-\delta}), \\ X_{ni}^{(3)} &= (a_{ni}X_i + n^{-\delta})I(a_{ni}X_i \leq -\frac{\epsilon}{K}) + (a_{ni}X_i - n^{-\delta})I(a_{ni}X_i \geq \frac{\epsilon}{K}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Obviously,  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_{ni}X_i = \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(3)} + \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(4)}$ . Notice that

$$\left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ni}X_i \right| > 4\epsilon \right) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^4 \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(j)} \right| > \epsilon \right). \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, in order to establish (3.2), it suffices to prove that

$$I_j := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(j)} \right| > \epsilon \right) < \infty, j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (4.3)$$

By the definition of  $X_{ni}^{(4)}$ , we deduce that  $\left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(4)} \right| > \epsilon \right) \subset \left( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}X_i| > \epsilon/K \right)$ .

Since  $a_{ni} \approx (i/n)^\beta (1/n^p)$ , by Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{V}(|a_{ni}X_i| > \epsilon/K) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{V}\left(|X| > \frac{\epsilon}{K} n^{p+\beta} i^{-\beta}\right) \\ &\approx \int_1^{\infty} x^{r-2} \int_1^x \mathbb{V}\left(|X| > \frac{\epsilon}{CK} x^{p+\beta} y^{-\beta}\right) dy dx \quad (\text{Letting } u = x^{p+\beta} y^{-\beta}, v = y) \\ &= \frac{1}{p+\beta} \int_1^{\infty} du \int_1^{u^{1/p}} u^{(r-1)/(p+\beta)-1} v^{\beta(r-1)/(p+\beta)} \mathbb{V}\left(|X| > \frac{\epsilon}{CK} u\right) dv \\ &\approx \begin{cases} C \int_1^{\infty} u^{\frac{r-1}{p+\beta}-1} \mathbb{V}\left(|X| > \frac{\epsilon}{CK} u\right) du \ll C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{(r-1)/(p+\beta)}), & \text{for } -p < \beta < -p/r; \\ C \int_1^{\infty} u^{r/p-1} \ln(u) \mathbb{V}\left(|X| > \frac{\epsilon}{CK} u\right) du \ll C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p} \ln(1+|X|)), & \text{for } \beta = -p/r; \\ C \int_1^{\infty} u^{r/p-1} \mathbb{V}\left(|X| > \frac{\epsilon}{CK} u\right) du \ll C_{\mathbb{V}}(|X|^{r/p}), & \text{for } \beta > -p/r; \end{cases} \quad (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.1),  $I_4 < \infty$ . By the definition of  $X_{ni}^{(2)}$ , we see that  $X_{ni}^{(2)} > 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}^{(2)} \right| > \epsilon \right) \\ &= \mathbb{V} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ni}^{(2)} > \epsilon \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{V} \left( \text{there are at least } K \text{ indices } i \in [1, n] \text{ such that } a_{ni}X_i > n^{-\delta} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_K \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^K \mathbb{V} \left( a_{ni_j} X_{i_j} > n^{-\delta} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{V}(a_{nj}X > n^{-\delta}) \right)^K. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Since (3.1) implies  $\mathbb{E}|X|^{r/p} < \infty$ , by Markov's inequality under sublinear expectations and (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{V}(a_{nj}X > n^{-\delta}) \right)^K \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n n^{r\delta p} |a_{nj}|^{r/p} \mathbb{E}|X|^{r/p} \right)^K \\
&\approx \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-Kr(p+\beta-\delta/p)}, & \text{for } -p < \beta < -p/r; \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-K(r-1-r\delta/p)} \log^K n, & \text{for } \beta = -p/r; \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-K(r-1-r\delta/p)}, & \text{for } \beta > -p/r. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $r > 1$ ,  $p + \beta > 0$ , we could choose  $\delta > 0$  small enough and sufficiently large integer  $K$  such that  $r - 2 - Kr(p + \beta - \delta)/p < -1$  and  $r - 2 - K(r - 1 - r\delta/p) < -1$ . Hence, by (4.6), we obtain  $I_2 < \infty$ . Similarly, we could get  $I_3 < \infty$ . In order to estimate  $I_1$ , we verify that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}X_{ni}^{(1)} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

Notice that (3.1) implies  $\mathbb{E}|X|^{r/p} < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}|X|^{1/p} < \infty$ , when  $p > 1$ , note that  $|X_{ni}^{(1)}| \leq n^{-\delta}$  and  $|X_{ni}^{(1)}| \leq |a_{ni}X_i|$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}X_{ni}^{(1)} \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| X_{ni}^{(1)} \right| \\
&\leq n^{-\delta(1-1/p)} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |a_{ni}X_i|^{1/p} \\
&\ll n^{-\delta(1-1/p)} \sum_{i=1}^n n^{-(p+\beta)/p} i^{\beta/p} \approx n^{-\delta(1-1/p)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

When  $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ , notice that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[-X] = 0$ , by choosing  $\delta$  small enough such that

$-\delta(1 - r/p) + 1 - r < 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} X_{ni}^{(1)} \right| &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |a_{ni} X_i| I(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{-\delta}) \leq 2n^{-\delta(1-r/p)} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |a_{ni} X_i|^{r/p} \\
&\ll n^{-\delta(1-r/p)} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{r/p} \ll n^{-\delta(1-r/p)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n n^{-r(p+\beta)/p} i^{\beta r/p} \right) \\
&\approx \begin{cases} n^{\delta-r(p+\beta-\delta)/p}, & \text{for } -p < \beta < -p/r, \\ n^{\delta(1-r/p)+1-r} \log n, & \text{for } \beta = -p/r, \\ n^{\delta(1-r/p)+1-r}, & \text{for } \beta > -p/r, \end{cases} \quad (4.9) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove  $I_1 < \infty$ , it suffices to prove that

$$I_1^* := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (X_{ni}^{(1)} - \mathbb{E} X_{ni}^{(1)}) \right| > \epsilon \right) < \infty. \quad (4.11)$$

Notice that  $\{X_{ni}^{(1)}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  is independent, identically distributed. By Markov's inequality under sublinear expectations,  $C_r$  inequality, and Lemma 2.3, we see that for a suitably large  $M$ , which will be determined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Guo [25],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{V} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (X_{ni}^{(1)} - \mathbb{E} X_{ni}^{(1)}) \right| > \epsilon \right) \\
&\ll (\log n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |X_{ni}^{(1)}|^M + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |X_{ni}^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{M/2} \right). \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

With Lemma 2.4 here in place of Lemma 1.6 in Guo [25], the rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 in Guo [25]. It is omitted here.

**Proof.**[ Proof of Theorem 3.2] The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and is omitted.

□

**Proof.**[ Proof of Corollary 3.1]. Set  $a_{ni} = (A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}/A_n^\alpha)^p$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Notice that, for  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $A_n^\alpha \approx n^\alpha/\Gamma(\alpha+1)$ . Hence, for  $\alpha > 0$ , we get  $a_{ni} \approx (n-i)^{p(\alpha-1)} n^{-p\alpha}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_{nn} \approx n^{-p\alpha}$ . Therefore, setting  $\beta = p(\alpha-1)$  in Theorem 3.2, we can see that (3.5) is equivalent to (3.6).

## References

- [1] PENG S G. G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô type[J]. Stochastic Analysis & Applications 2007, 2(4): 541-567.



- [2] PENG S G. Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty[DB/OL]. arXiv.ORG: 1002.4546v1, 2010.
- [3] ZHANG L X. Donsker's invariance principle under the sub-linear expectation with an application to Chung's law of the iterated logarithm[J]. *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics*, 2015, 3(2): 187–214.
- [4] ZHANG L X. Exponential inequalities under sub-linear expectations with applications[J]. *Science China Mathematics*, 2016, 59(12): 2503–2526.
- [5] ZHANG L X. Rosenthal's inequalities for independent and negatively dependent random variables under sub-linear expectations with applications[J]. *Science China Mathematics*, 2016, 59(4): 759–768.
- [6] WU Q Y. Precise asymptotics for complete integral convergence under sublinear expectations[J]. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2020, 2020: 1–13.
- [7] XU J P, ZHANG L X. Three series theorem for independent random variables under sub-linear expectations with applications[J]. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 2019, 35(2): 172–184.
- [8] XU J P, ZHANG L X. The law of logarithm for arrays of random variables under sub-linear expectations. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, 2020, 36(3): 670–688.
- [9] CHEN Z J. Strong laws of large numbers for sub-linear expectations[J]. *Science China Mathematics*, 2016, 59(5): 945–954.
- [10] XU M Z, CHENG K. Precise asymptotics in the law of the iterated logarithm under sublinear expectations[J]. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021, 2021: 1–9.
- [11] XU M Z, CHENG K. Convergence for sums of independent, identically distributed random variables under sublinear expectations[DB/OL]. arXiv.ORG: 2104.10430v1, 2021.
- [12] GAO F Q, XU M Z. Large deviations and moderate deviations for independent random variables under sublinear expectations (in Chinese)[J]. *Science China Mathematics*, 2011, 41(4): 337–352.
- [13] FANG X, PENG S G, SHAO Q M, et al. Limit theorems with rate of convergence under sublinear expectations[DB/OL]. arXiv.ORG: 1711.10649v2, 2018.

- [14] HU F, CHEN Z J, ZHANG D F. How big are the increments of  $G$ -Brownian motion[J]. Science China Mathematics, 2014, 57(8): 1686–1700.
- [15] KUCZMASZEWSKA A. Complete convergence for widely acceptable random variables under the sublinear expectations[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2020, 484(1): 1-15.
- [16] WANG W J, WU Q Y. Almost sure convergence of weighted sums for extended negatively dependent random variables under sub-linear expectations[J]. Mathematica Applicata, 2019, 32(2): 382–391.
- [17] HU Z C, YANG Y Z. Some inequalities and limit theorems under sublinear expectations[J]. Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series, 2017, 33(2): 451–462.
- [18] ZHANG L X. Strong limit theorems for extended independent and extended negatively random variables under non-linear expectations[DB/OL]. arXiv.ORG: 1608.0071v1, 2016.
- [19] YU D L, WU Q Y. Marcinkiewicz type complete convergence for weighted sums under sub-linear expectations[J]. Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, 2018, 48(2): 89–96.
- [20] WU Q Y, JIANG Y Y. Strong law of large numbers and Chover’s law of the iterated logarithm under sub-linear expectations[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis & Applications, 2018, 460(1): 252–270.
- [21] MA X C, WU Q Y. Limiting behavior of weighted sums of extended negatively dependent random variables under sublinear expectations[J]. Advances in Mathematics (China), 2018, 48(2): 89–96.
- [22] HUANG W H, WU P Y. Strong laws of large numbers for general random variables in sublinear expectation spaces[J]. Journal of Inequalities & Applications, 2019, 2019: 143.
- [23] ZHONG H Y, WU Q Y. Complete convergence and complete moment convergence for weighted sums of extended negatively dependent random variables under sub-linear expectation[J]. Journal of Inequalities & Applications, 2017, 2017: 261.

- [24] MENG B, WANG D C, WU Q Y. Convergence for sums of asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables[J]. Chinese Journal of Applied Probability & Statistics, 2019, 35(4): 345–359.
- [25] GUO M L. Equivalent conditions of complete convergence for weighted sums of sequences of negatively dependent random variables[J]. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2012, 2012: 1-13.
- [26] GUO M L. Equivalent conditions of complete  $q$ th moment convergence for weighted sums of sequences of negatively orthant dependent random variables[J]. Chinese Journal of Applied Probability & Statistics, 2020, 36(4): 381–392.
- [27] SHEN A T, WU R C. Strong convergence for sequences of asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables[J]. Stochastics, 2014, 86(2): 291–303.
- [28] MÓRICZ F. Moment inequalities and the strong law of large numbers[J]. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete, 1976, 35(4): 299–314.