GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON \mathbb{R}^{1+3}

MOHANDAS PILLAI

Abstract

We consider the quintic, focusing semilinear wave equation on \mathbb{R}^{1+3} , in the radially symmetric setting, and construct infinite time blow-up, relaxation, and intermediate types of solutions. More precisely, we first define an admissible class of time-dependent length scales, which includes a symbol class of functions. Then, we construct solutions which can be decomposed, for all sufficiently large time, into an Aubin-Talentini (soliton) solution, re-scaled by an admissible length scale, plus radiation (which solves the free 3 dimensional wave equation), plus corrections which decay as time approaches infinity. The solutions include infinite time blow-up and relaxation with rates including, but not limited to, positive and negative powers of time, with exponents sufficiently small in absolute value. We also obtain solutions whose soliton component has oscillatory length scales, including ones which converge to zero along one sequence of times approaching infinity, but which diverge to infinity along another such sequence of times. The method of proof is similar to a recent wave maps work of the author, which is itself inspired by matched asymptotic expansions.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Acknowledgments	6
2. Notation	6
3. Summary of the Proof	6
4. Construction of the Ansatz	10
4.1. First small r correction	10
4.2. Second small r correction	11
4.3. Third small r correction	12
4.4. First large r correction	12
4.5. Second large r correction	22
4.6. Matching, Part 1	33
4.7. Matching, Part 2	34
4.8. Matching, Part 3	37
4.9. Preliminary Ansatz	38
4.10. Nonlinear error terms, part 1	42
4.11. Nonlinear error terms, part 2	48
5. Constructing the Exact Solution	53
5.1. The iteration space	54
5.2. Decomposition of the solution as in Theorem 1.1	59
References	60

MOHANDAS PILLAI

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the quintic, focusing semilinear wave equation on \mathbb{R}^{1+3} , in the radially symmetric setting, namely

(1.1)
$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u + u^5 = 0, \quad r > 0$$

The following energy is conserved for sufficiently regular solutions to (1.1).

$$E_{SLW}(u,\partial_t u) = \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \left(\frac{(\partial_t u)^2}{2} + \frac{(\partial_r u)^2}{2} - \frac{u^6}{6}\right)$$

If u is a solution to (1.1), then, so is the function $u_{\lambda}(t,r) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}u(\frac{t}{\lambda},\frac{r}{\lambda}), \lambda > 0$. We remark that the equation (1.1) is energy critical, since, if u is a sufficiently regular solution to (1.1), then, $E_{SLW}(u, \partial_t u) = E_{SLW}(u_{\lambda}, \partial_t u_{\lambda})$ (since $E_{SLW}(u, \partial_t u)$ is independent of time). We denote the Aubin-Talentini soliton by

$$Q_1(r) = \left(\frac{r^2}{3} + 1\right)^{-1/2}$$

For $\lambda > 0$, we let

(1.2)
$$Q_{\lambda}(r) = \lambda^{-1/2} Q_1(\frac{r}{\lambda})$$

The Cauchy problem associated to (1.1) is locally well-posed in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see, for instance, [12], [22], and references therein). The free wave equation

(1.3)
$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r u = 0$$

will also be of use to us, and we recall its formally conserved energy.

$$E(u,\partial_t u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(||\partial_t u||^2_{L^2(r^2 dr)} + ||\partial_r u||^2_{L^2(r^2 dr)} \right)$$

Part of the work [4], of Duyckaerts, Kenig, and Merle, showed that if u is a global solution to (1.1) with $E_{SLW}(u, \partial_t u) < 2E_{SLW}(Q_1, 0)$, then, u either scatters, or, there exists v solving (1.3), $n = \pm 1$, and $\lambda(t)$ defined for t sufficiently large, so that

(1.4)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} E(u - v - nQ_{\lambda(t)}, \partial_t u - \partial_t v) = 0$$

Another characterization of solutions satisfying (1.4) was provided in a special case of one of the results of [15]. If $(u, \partial_t u) \in C([0, \infty), \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ satisfies (1.4), then, $(u(0), \partial_t u(0)) \in M_D$, where M_D is a C^1 connected manifold constructed in [15].

The work [2], of Donninger and Krieger constructed infinite time blow-up and relaxation solutions to (1.1), with soliton length scale $\lambda(t) = t^{-\mu}$, for $|\mu|$ sufficiently small.

For each positive $\lambda(t) \in C^{\infty}((50, \infty))$ satisfying the following inequalities for all sufficiently large t, and sufficiently small $C_u, C_l, C_2 \ge 0$ (the precise constraints are in (1.7) through (1.9))

$$\frac{-C_l}{t} \leqslant \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \leqslant \frac{C_u}{t}, \quad \frac{|\lambda^{(k)}(t)|}{\lambda(t)} \leqslant \frac{C_k}{t^k}, \quad k \ge 2$$

the current work constructs a finite energy solution to (1.1) which can be decomposed as

$$u(t,r) = Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + v_{rad}(t,r) + u_e(t,r)$$

where v_{rad} solves (1.3), $E(u_e, \partial_t(Q_{\lambda(t)} + u_e)) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. (We remark that, aside from the precise smallness constraints on C_u, C_l, C_2 , the admissible class of λ of this work is the same as that of a wave maps work of the author, [20].) To the knowledge of the author, the existence of all of the solutions constructed in this work, aside from those with asymptotically constant $\lambda(t)$, or $\lambda(t)$ equal to precisely a power of t (as in [2]) is new. This includes new infinite time blow-up and relaxation solutions (see Remark 2 after Theorem 1.1), along with solutions that have oscillatory soliton length scales satisfying any combination of

(1.5)
$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \in \{0, \lambda_0\} \quad \text{and} \ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \in \{\lambda_1, \infty\}, \quad 0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$$

(in addition to oscillatory λ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(t) = 0$ or ∞) (see Remark 3 after Theorem 1.1). In addition, the procedure used to construct the ansatz of this work, and many aspects of the procedure used to complete the ansatz to an exact solution, are quite different than that used in [2].

We will now briefly summarize those aspects of the work [18] of Krieger, Schlag, and Tataru, which are necessary in order to precisely describe the admissible class of λ of the current work. In the process of completing our approximate solution to an exact solution, we use the distorted Fourier transform, \mathcal{F} from Proposition 4.3 of [18]. This is a Fourier transform associated to L, which is the elliptic part of a conjugation of the linearization of (1.1) around Q_1 . We also use the transference operator, \mathcal{K} , defined in (2.7) of [18]. In the interest of brevity, we will not recall the definition of \mathcal{K} until it is used in the proof of this work. What is important to note here is that, by Proposition 5.2 of [18], for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{K} and $[\xi \partial_{\xi}, \mathcal{K}]$ are bounded on $L^{2,\alpha}_{\rho}$, which has the norm

$$||f||_{L^{2,\alpha}_{\rho}}^{2} = |f(\xi_d)|^2 + ||f(\xi)\langle\xi\rangle^{\alpha}||_{L^2((0,\infty),\rho(\xi)d\xi)}^{2}$$

Here, ξ_d is the negative eigenvalue of L, and ρ is the density of the continuous part of the spectral measure. Finally, by Lemma 4.6 of [18], there exists $C_{\rho} > 0$ so that

$$\frac{\rho(y)}{\rho(z)} \leqslant C_{\rho} \left(\sqrt{\frac{y}{z}} + \sqrt{\frac{z}{y}} \right), \quad y, z > 0$$

Now, we can define our admissible class of λ , which we denote Λ . Let Λ be the set of positive functions $\lambda \in C^{\infty}((50, \infty))$ such that there exists $T_{\lambda} > 50, C_u, C_l, C_k \ge 0$ so that

(1.6)
$$\frac{-C_l}{t} \leqslant \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \leqslant \frac{C_u}{t}, \quad \frac{|\lambda^{(k)}(t)|}{\lambda(t)} \leqslant \frac{C_k}{t^k}, \quad k \ge 2, t \ge T_\lambda$$

where, if $M := \max\{C_u, C_l\},\$

(1.7)
$$C_l + \frac{163}{2352}C_u < \frac{1}{588}$$

$$(1.8) 40 \left(\frac{3M^2}{16} (111 - 45\log(4)) + \frac{15C_2}{16} (-12 + 12\sqrt{3} + 15\pi^2 - 4\log(4)) \right) + 2(C_2(1 + ||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})}) + M^2(||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})} + 2||[\mathcal{K}, \xi \partial_{\xi}]||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})} + ||\mathcal{K}||^2_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})}) + 2M(1 + ||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})})) < \frac{1}{12\sqrt{C_{\mu}}}$$

and

(1.9)
$$M(1+||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\rho}^{2,\frac{1}{2}})}) < \frac{1}{48\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}$$

Remark (Smallness constraints (1.7) through (1.9) can be achieved by re-scaling the exponent of t) If $f \in C^{\infty}((0, \infty))$ is any positive function satisfying, for all $k \ge 1$,

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}(t)|}{f(t)} \leqslant \frac{D_k}{t^k}, \quad t \geqslant T_f$$

(for some $T_f > 50$) then, the function defined for t > 50 by

(1.10)
$$\lambda(t) = f(t^{1/c})$$

is in Λ for c > 0 sufficiently large. The main theorem of this work is the following.

Theorem 1.1. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ and a finite energy solution, u, to (1.1), for $t > T_0$, satisfying

$$u(t,r) = Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + v_{rad}(t,r) + u_e(t,r)$$

where

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r)v_{rad} = 0, \quad E(v_{rad}, \partial_t v_{rad}) < \infty$$

and

$$E(u_e, \partial_t(Q_{\lambda(t)} + u_e)) \leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^2}{t}$$

Remark 1. As in [20], the Cauchy data of v_{rad} is explicit in terms of λ . In fact,

$$v_{rad}(0,r) = 0, \quad \partial_t v_{rad}(0,r) = \frac{\psi(r)}{r} \left(\frac{-15\pi\sqrt{\lambda(r)}\lambda''(r)}{8} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda'(r)}{2\sqrt{\lambda(r)}} \right) + v_{3,0}(r) + v_{4,0}(r)$$

where $v_{3,0}$ is defined in (4.57), $v_{4,0}$ is defined in (4.71) (the function N_0 appearing in (4.71) is defined in (4.66)), and ψ is a relatively unimportant cutoff, defined in (4.11) ($\psi(r) = 0$ near r = 0, and $\psi(r) = 1$ for large r).

Remark 2. The solutions in Theorem 1.1 include infinite time blow-up and relaxation solutions including, but not limited to $\lambda(t)$ being a power of t, with exponent sufficiently small in absolute value. Firstly, if $T_f > 0$, and $f \in C^{\infty}((T_f, \infty))$ is a positive function satisfying

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}(t)|}{f(t)} \leq C_{f,k}t^{-k}, \quad k \ge 1, \quad t > T_f$$

then, if $g(t) = \log(f(t))$, we have

$$|g^{(n)}(t)| \leqslant C_n t^{-n}, \quad n \ge 1, \quad t > T_f$$

Therefore, a simple induction argument shows that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $T_k > 0$ so that, if

 $g_k(t) = ($ k-th fold composition of log $)(t), \quad t > T_k$

then, $g_k \in C^{\infty}((T_k, \infty))$, and, for $t > T_k$,

$$g_k(t) > 1, \quad |g_k^{(n)}(t)| \le C_{n,k}t^{-n}, \quad n \ge 1$$

Then, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ Faa di Bruno's formula, for instance, shows that if

$$h_{k,\alpha}(t) = (g_k(t+T_k))^{\alpha}, \quad t > 50$$

we have $h_{k,\alpha} \in C^{\infty}((50,\infty))$, and for t > 50,

$$h_{k,\alpha}(t) > 0, \quad \frac{|h_{k,\alpha}^{(n)}(t)|}{h_{k,\alpha}(t)} \leq \frac{C_{n,k,\alpha}}{t^n g_k(t+T_k)}, \quad n \ge 1$$

(recall that $g_k(x) > 1$ if $x > T_k$). Then, an induction argument shows that, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\beta_k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N$, and $\epsilon \geq 0$ is sufficiently small,

$$\lambda(t) := t^{\pm \epsilon} \prod_{w=1}^{N} h_{\beta_w, \alpha_w}(t) \in \Lambda, \quad \lambda(t) := t^{\pm \epsilon} \in \Lambda$$

This gives infinite time blow-up and relaxation solutions with a variety of rates, including powers of t.

Remark 3. The solutions in Theorem 1.1 include solutions with $\lambda(t)$ as in (1.5). It is relatively straightforward to verify that the following functions are in Λ (and the complete details of this verification for the following functions is given in the remarks following Theorem 1.1 of [20]). For $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 < 1$

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda_0 \log^{-\alpha_0}(t) + \lambda_1 \log^{\alpha_1}(t)}{2} + \frac{\left(\lambda_1 \log^{\alpha_1}(t) - \lambda_0 \log^{-\alpha_0}(t)\right)}{2} \sin(\log(\log(t)))$$

For c > 0 sufficiently small, and |a| sufficiently small, depending on c,

$$\lambda(t) = t^a \left(2 + c \sin(\log(t))\right)$$

As per (1.10), there are many more possible forms of $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Remark 4. The function u from Theorem 1.1 is of the form

$$u = Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + v_{rad}(t,r) + v_e(t,r) + v_f(t,r)$$

where v_{rad}, v_e are fairly explicit, and

$$(t,x) \mapsto v_f(t,|x|) \in C^0_t([T_0,\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (t,x) \mapsto \partial_1 v_f(t,|x|) \in C^0([T_0,\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

This is due to the definition of the space Z (see (5.6)), the fact that dilation is continuous on L^2 and Lemma 2.7 of [18], which relates $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^{2,\alpha}_{\rho}$ spaces.

As discussed previously, the work [2], of Donninger and Krieger constructed infinite time blowup and relaxation solutions to (1.1), with soliton length scale $\lambda(t) = t^{-\mu}$, for $|\mu|$ sufficiently small. The aforementioned work [18], of Krieger, Schlag, and Tataru constructed finite time blow-up solutions to (1.1), with soliton length scale $\lambda(t) = t^{1+\nu}$, for $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$. The subsequent work of Krieger and Schlag, [17], extended the range of ν , to all $\nu > 0$. The stability of the solutions in [18] and [17] was studied in the work [14], of Krieger and Nahas. Work of Donninger, Huang, Krieger, and Schlag, [3], constructed other finite time blow-up solutions to (1.1), with soliton length scale given by $\lambda(t) = t^{1+\nu} e^{\epsilon_0 \sin(\log(t))}$, with $\nu > 3$, $|\epsilon_0| \ll 1$.

We also mention some constructions of solutions to the critical semilinear wave equation in other dimensions. The work [6] constructed finite time blow-up solutions to the critical semilinear wave equation in 4 + 1 dimensions. Multisoliton solutions to the 5 + 1 dimensional critical wave equation were constructed in [19] [23]. Infinite time blow-up solutions involving multiple dynamically rescaled solitons for the 5 + 1 dimensional critical wave equation were constructed in [8]. Two bubble solutions to the 6 + 1 dimensional critical wave equation were constructed in [7].

The work [11] studied the possible dynamical behavior of solutions to the critical wave equation in dimensions 3,4, and 5, which have energy strictly less than that of the ground state soliton. The work [5] classified the possible dynamical behavior of solutions to the critical wave equation in spatial dimensions 3, 4, and 5, which have energy exactly equal to that of the ground state soliton. The work [9] studied the dynamics of solutions with energy bounded above by a quantity slightly larger than the ground state energy. Stable manifolds for the critical wave equation in 3 spatial dimensions were constructed in [13] (studied further in [16]), [1], and [15]. Modulated soliton solutions emerging from randomized initial data were studied in [10].

1.1. Acknowledgments. This material is based upon work partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-2103106.

2. NOTATION

The equation (1.1), linearized around $Q_1(R)$ is

(2.1)
$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_R^2 u + \frac{2}{R} \partial_R u + \frac{45u}{(3+R^2)^2} = 0$$

Two linearly independent solutions to (2.1) are the following

$$\phi_0(R) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}(R^2 - 3)}{2(R^2 + 3)^{3/2}}, \quad e_2(R) = \frac{2(R^4 - 18R^2 + 9)}{\sqrt{3}R(R^2 + 3)^{3/2}}$$

In particular, the function denoted by ϕ_0 in [18], which we denote in this paper by $\widetilde{\phi_0}$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{\phi_0}(R) = 2R\phi_0(R)$$

The following function, which is (negative of) the potential obtained by linearizing (1.1) around $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$, will appear in many of our computations.

(2.2)
$$V(t,r) = \frac{-45}{\lambda(t)^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{\lambda(t)^2} + 3\right)^2}$$

3. Summary of the Proof

The method of proof of Theorem 1.1 is similar to that used in a wave maps work of the author, [20]. For completeness, we summarize the argument here. We choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$, restrict our attention to sufficiently large t, and start our ansatz with the soliton $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$. Inserting $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$ into (1.1) produces the error term $\partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$. We improve this error term by finding an approximate solution to

(3.1)
$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r + \frac{45\lambda(t)^2}{(r^2 + 3\lambda(t)^2)^2}\right)v = \partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$$

(The operator on the left hand side is the linearization of (1.1) around $Q_{\lambda(t)}$). Our starting point is a function of the form

(3.2)
$$u_c(t,r) = \chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_e(t,r) + \chi_{\geq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_w(t,r)$$

where $\chi_{\leq 1}, \chi_{\geq 1}$ are cutoffs satisfying (4.64), and we define a length scale $h(t) = \lambda(t) \left(\frac{t}{\lambda(t)}\right)^a$, where a satisfies (4.3). (The function h(t) is defined with the constraints (4.3) at the beginning of the argument, for convenience. One may not know at the beginning of the argument why a is restricted to satisfy (4.3), and one could keep a fairly general, and then impose the constraints (4.3) at various stages in the argument, as needed. We will give some intuition about the choice of a in this section).

We will construct u_e and u_w to be good approximate solutions to (3.1) for small r and large r, respectively, satisfying the following matching property: When one takes the asymptotic expansion of $u_e(t,r)$ and $u_w(t,r)$ for large t, in the region $r \sim h(t)$, one obtains functions of the form

$$\sum_{j=-n}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{c_{j,l}(t) \log^{l}(r)}{r^{j}}$$

for various $n, m \ge 0$ (see sections 4.6 4.7, and 4.8). We choose u_e and u_w so that sufficiently many of the $c_{j,l}(t)$ involved in the expansions of u_e and u_w are equal to each other. As we explain in more detail shortly, this is accomplished by essentially keeping enough degrees of freedom in u_e and u_w , which are then fixed when imposing the matching conditions. We start with u_{ell} , the first term in u_e , which solves the following equation.

(3.3)
$$\left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r + \frac{45\lambda(t)^2}{(r^2 + 3\lambda(t)^2)^2}\right)u_{ell}(t,r) = \partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$$

(In other words, we remove the ∂_t^2 term from the left hand side of (3.1) when considering the small r corrections). The most general solution to (3.3) which is not singular at the origin depends on one function of t, say $c_1(t)$ (see (4.4)). Recall that we must use sufficiently general solutions to the equations that we consider in order to be able to do the matching procedure, up to the order required, and we can regard $c_1(t)$ as a degree of freedom in u_{ell} .

While the linear error term of u_{ell} , which is $\partial_t^2 u_{ell}$, is better than that of the soliton for small r, it is not sufficiently small for our purposes. So, we iterate the above procedure, obtaining first $u_{ell,2}$ solving (3.3), with u_{ell} on the left hand side replaced by $u_{ell,2}$, and $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$ on the right-hand side replaced by u_{ell} , see (4.6). Then, we obtain $u_{ell,3}$ by replacing $u_{ell,2}$ with $u_{ell,3}$, and replacing $u_{ell,2}$, see (4.8). We remark that $u_{ell,2}$ and $u_{ell,3}$ are not the most general solutions to their equations which are not singular at the origin, but, are sufficient for our purposes.

Next, we consider u_w , the large r approximate solution. To understand the first few terms in u_w , one can start with a general (finite energy) solution to

(3.4)
$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)u = \partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$$

MOHANDAS PILLAI

(note that the operator on the left-hand side is obtained by removing the potential term from (3.1)). This general solution can be expressed as $w_1(t,r) + v_{ex}(t,r) + v_2(t,r)$ where w_1 is a particular solution to (3.4), with $\partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$ replaced by its leading term for large r (see (4.12)), v_{ex} is a particular solution to (3.4), with the rest of $\partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$ on the right-hand side (see (4.23), and v_2 is a free wave (with Cauchy data $v_2(0,r) = 0$, $\partial_t v_2(0,r) = v_{2,0}(r)$ where $v_{2,0} \in L^2(r^2dr)$ is not yet specified, in this discussion). v_2 can be regarded as a degree of freedom in the general solution to (3.4). (In the actual proof, for convenience, we define v_2 with a fixed explicit $v_{2,0}$ which leads to an eventual matching between u_w and u_e to first order. In order to explain why the particular choice of $v_{2,0}$ is made in the proof, we leave it general in this discussion). Also, when $\lambda'(t) \neq 0$, $Q_{\lambda(t)}$ and $w_1(t)$ both have infinite kinetic energy, but, an exact cancellation of the large r behavior of each of these functions shows that $\partial_t(Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + \chi_{\geq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})w_1(t,r)) \in L^2(r^2dr)$, see (5.14).

We can now do a first order matching of u_e and u_w . (This is actually only one part of what is called "first order matching" in section 4.6). Note that the leading behavior of u_{ell} , w_1 and v_2 in the matching region is given by $u_{ell,main}$, $w_{1,lm}$ and $v_{2,lm}$.

Here, we use the fact that $\lambda(t) \ll h(t) \ll t$, and work under the assumption of sufficiently regular $v_{2,0}$ (we will end up choosing $v_{2,0}$ to be a smooth function). Note that our freedom to choose $v_{2,0}$ only affects terms of the form $f_0(t)$, while there are larger terms of the form $rf_1(t)$ involved in both u_{ell} and w_1 . On the other hand, the terms of the form $rf_1(t)$ in u_{ell} and $w_{1,lm}$ automatically match (in other words, they are the same). A similar kind of automatic matching of terms that are larger than those involving the degrees of freedom is observed in [20]. Therefore, we can choose $v_{2,0}$ so that the terms of the form $f_0(t)$ in the difference $u_{ell,main} - w_{1,lm} - v_{2,lm}$ vanish. Doing so requires that

$$v_{2,0}(r) = \frac{-15\pi\sqrt{\lambda(r)}\lambda''(r)}{8r}, \quad r \ge T_0$$

(since we only consider $t \ge T_0$). This explains why, in the proof, v_2 is defined in (4.33) with the particular Cauchy data $v_{2,0}(r) = \frac{\psi(r)}{r} \left(\frac{-15}{8}\pi\sqrt{\lambda(r)}\lambda''(r)\right)$, where ψ is defined in (4.11). In this discussion, we now fix $v_{2,0}$ by the above formula.

We provide some intuition regarding the constraints on a, (4.3). The linear error term of any of our small r corrections, say u_{small} is $\partial_t^2 u_{small}$, while that of a large r correction is $V(t, r)u_{large}(t, r)$. In order to identify a region of the form $r \sim h(t)$ where u_{small} and u_{large} , as well as their linear error terms, are of comparable size, we note that u_{small} is a symbol in t, and recall the definition of V, given in (2.2). Therefore, the error terms of u_{small} and u_{large} are of comparable size when $h(t) \gg \lambda(t)$, and $t^{-2} \sim \lambda(t)^2 h(t)^{-4}$. This would suggest that $h(t) \sim \sqrt{t\lambda(t)}$. Our actual definition of h(t) is larger than $\sqrt{t\lambda(t)}$ simply because increasing h(t) improves the error terms associated to u_w in the matching region (though it does make the error terms associated to u_e larger) and it turns out that some error terms associated to u_w are more delicate than those associated to u_e . On the other hand, a can not be too large, since this would make some of the error terms of u_e too large.

Next, we consider the higher order terms in u_w . The linear error term associated to $w_1 + v_2$ is $V(t,r)(w_1 + v_2) := RHS_2(t,r)$, where we recall the notation (2.2). Therefore, we consider a general, finite energy solution to (3.4) (with the right-hand side replaced by RHS_2) given by $u_{w,2} + v_3$, where v_3 is a finite energy free wave with Cauchy data not yet specified, and $u_{w,2}$ is the particular solution with zero Cauchy data at infinity. As before, v_3 can be regarded as a degree of freedom in our second correction in u_w .

Then, we compute the leading behavior of v_{ex} and $u_{w,2}$ in the matching region (see Section 4.6). As shown in Section 4.6, $u_{ell,main}$ as well as the leading parts of v_{ex} and $u_{w,2}$ contain terms of the form $f_0(t)r^{-2}$ and $f_1(t)\log(r)r^{-1}$, which can not be fixed by an appropriate choice of the degrees of freedom of our solutions. However, exactly as before, and analogously to [20], these terms happen to exactly match each other, so that it is possible to choose $c_1(t)$, the degree of freedom in u_{ell} , so that u_{ell} matches $w_1 + v_2 + v_{ex} + u_{w,2}$ to an appropriate order (see again Section 4.6).

Higher order matching, done in Section 4.7, fixes the Cauchy data of v_3 . In Section 4.8, we verify an "automatic" matching of certain higher order terms. At this stage, we have fully constructed u_c , given in (3.2). Recalling that u_w appears in (3.2) with a factor of $\chi_{\geq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})$, we add another term to the ansatz, $\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_3$, in order to eliminate the linear error term $V(t,r)v_3(t,r)\chi_{\geq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})$. $(\psi_2 \text{ is defined in (4.63), see also (4.59)}).$

At this stage, we have the preliminary ansatz $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + u_c(t,r) + \psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_3(t,r)$ whose linear error term is small (see Lemmas 4.26, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30, 4.31). The nonlinear interactions of our preliminary ansatz are decomposed into a perturbative term N_1 , and a non-perturbative part, N_0 , see section 4.10. We eliminate N_0 by first considering u_{N_0} , the solution to

$$-\partial_t^2 u_{N_0} + \partial_r^2 u_{N_0} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{N_0} = N_0$$

with zero Cauchy data at infinity. The linear error term of u_{N_0} , namely $V(t,r)u_{N_0}$, is worst near the origin, due to the fast decay of V(t,r) for large r, and turns out to be too large near the origin. We therefore add a free wave, v_4 , to u_{N_0} , which cancels the leading part of $u_{N_0}(t,r)$ in the region $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$, thereby allowing the linear error term of $u_{N_0} + v_4$, which is $e_1 := V(t,r)(u_{N_0} + v_4)(t,r)$ to be much smaller than $V(t,r)u_{N_0}(t,r)$, for instance, in the region $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$. There are still two parts of e_1 which are not quite perturbative. These are eliminated by u_4 (4.83) and $u_{N_0,ell}(4.85)$, see also (4.82). At this stage, we have the improved ansatz

 $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + u_c(t,r) + \psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_3(t,r) + u_{N_0}(t,r) + v_4(t,r) + u_4(t,r) + u_{N_0,ell}(t,r)\psi_1(\frac{r}{t})$. The self interactions of the new free wave, $v_4(t,r)$, are not quite perturbative for large r, though the rest of the nonlinear interactions of our ansatz are (see (4.86)). We therefore add a final term, u_{N_2} , to the ansatz in order to eliminate the v_4 self-interactions for large r, see (4.88).

Denoting our final ansatz by $Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + u_{ansatz}$, we are now ready to substitute $u = Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + u_{ansatz} + v$ into (1.1), and solve the resulting equation for v ((5.1)) using a fixed point argument.

MOHANDAS PILLAI

More precisely, we formally derive the equation (5.4) solved by y defined by

$$y(t,\xi) = (y_0(t), y_1(t,\xi\lambda(t)^{-2})) = \mathcal{F}\left((\cdot) v(t,\cdot\lambda(t))\right)(\xi)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the distorted Fourier transform from [18], which we regard as a two-component vector, as in Proposition 4.3 of [18]. Then, we prove that (5.4) has a solution, say $(y_{6,0}, y_{6,1})$, which is regular enough to allow us to justify the statement that the function v defined by the following expression, with $y_0 = y_{6,0}, y_1 = y_{6,1}$

$$v(t,r) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \left(y_0(t)\phi_d(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + \int_0^\infty \phi(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)},\xi) y_1(t,\frac{\xi}{\lambda(t)^2})\rho(\xi)d\xi \right)$$

is a solution to (5.1). The equation (5.4) is solved with a fixed point argument in the space Z, defined in Section 5.1. (The map T, whose fixed point is a solution to (5.4), is defined in (5.11)). The fixed point argument essentially only uses Minkowski's inequality, and energy-type estimates. The full details are given just after Lemma 5.5.

4. Construction of the Ansatz

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. By definition of Λ and (1.7), we have

(4.1)
$$\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{-C_l} \leq \frac{\lambda(x)}{\lambda(t)} \leq \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{C_u}, x \geq t \geq T_\lambda, \quad x \mapsto \frac{\lambda(x)^{5/2}}{x} \text{ is decreasing on } [T_\lambda, \infty)$$

In particular, by (1.7), there exists $T_{\lambda,0} > 0$ so that, for all $t \ge T_{\lambda,0}$, $t - \lambda(t) \ge 2T_{\lambda}$. Let $T_0 > 0$ satisfy the following, but be otherwise arbitrary.

(4.2)
$$T_0 \ge e^{200}(1 + T_\lambda + T_{\lambda,0}) := T_{0,1}$$

For the rest of the paper, we restrict t to satisfy $t \ge T_0$. Throughout the proof, the letter C will denote a constant, whose value may change from line to line, but which is *independent* of T_0 , unless otherwise stated. Define

$$h(t) = \lambda(t) \left(\frac{t}{\lambda(t)}\right)^a$$

where a is any real number satisfying

(4.3)
$$\frac{2(2+\frac{5}{2}C_u+84C_l)}{7(1-C_u)} < a < \min\{\frac{2(4-7C_u-12C_l)}{13(1-C_u)}, \frac{2(1-4C_u-12C_l)}{3(1-C_u)}\}$$

In particular, $a < \frac{2}{3}$, and thus the lower bound on a implies that $x \mapsto h(x)$ is increasing, and that there exists C > 0 so that $h(t) \ge C\sqrt{t}$, $t \ge T_{\lambda}$. It is possible to choose a as in (4.3), by (1.7). We start with the near origin corrections.

4.1. First small r correction. We define u_{ell} to be the following solution to

$$\partial_r^2 u_{ell} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{ell} + \frac{45u_{ell}}{\lambda(t)^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{\lambda(t)^2} + 3\right)^2} = \partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$$

(4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ell}(t, R\lambda(t)) &= \phi_0(R) \int_0^R s^2 \lambda(t)^2 f(s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) \, ds - e_2(R) \int_0^R s^2 \lambda(t)^2 f(s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) \, ds + c_1(t) \phi_0(R) \\ &= \frac{f_1(R)\lambda'(t)^2}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} + f_2(R)\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t) + c_1(t)\phi_0(R) \end{aligned}$$

GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON $\mathbb{R}^1 \dot{T} \dot{T}$

where

$$f_1(R) = -\frac{\sqrt{3R^2 (R^2 - 3)}}{8 (R^2 + 3)^{3/2}}$$

$$f_2(R) = \frac{\sqrt{3}R\left(R^4 - 66R^2 + 30\left(R^2 - 3\right)\log\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(R^2 + 3\right)\right) + 45\right) - 15\left(R^4 - 18R^2 + 9\right)\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{\sqrt{3}}\right)}{4R\left(R^2 + 3\right)^{3/2}}$$

and c_1 will be chosen later. Let

$$f_{1,0}(R) = \frac{15\sqrt{3}}{16R} - \frac{\sqrt{3}R}{8}, \quad f_{1,1}(R) = f_1(R) - f_{1,0}(R)$$

$$f_{2,0}(R) = \frac{675\pi}{16R^2} - \frac{3\sqrt{3}\left(37 - 20\log\left(\frac{R^2}{3}\right)\right)}{8R} + \frac{\sqrt{3}R}{4} - \frac{15\pi}{8}, \quad f_{2,1}(R) = f_2(R) - f_{2,0}(R)$$

Then, for k = 0, 1,

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \left(\frac{s^3}{\log(s)} f_{k,1}(s) \right) < \infty$$

Then, the leading part of u_{ell} in the matching region $r \sim h(t)$ will turn out to be:

(4.5)
$$u_{ell,main}(t,r) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}c_1(t)\lambda(t)}{2r} + \frac{f_{1,0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\lambda'(t)^2}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} + f_{2,0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t)$$

4.2. Second small r correction. The second correction for small r eliminates the linear error term of u_{ell} . In particular, we define $u_{ell,2}$ to be the following solution to

$$\partial_r^2 u_{ell,2} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{ell,2} + \frac{45u_{ell,2}}{\lambda(t)^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{\lambda(t)^2} + 3\right)^2} = \partial_t^2 u_{ell}(t,r)$$

(4.6)

$$u_{ell,2}(t,r) = \phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell}(t,s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds$$

In order to compute the relevant terms associated to $u_{ell,2}$ for the eventual matching process (see Section 4.7) we first decompose u_{ell} as follows.

$$u_{ell}(t,r) = \frac{f_1(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\lambda'(t)^2}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} + f_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t) + c_1(t)\phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) = u_{ell,main}(t,r) + e_{ell,1}(t,r)$$

where

$$e_{ell,1}(t,r) = \frac{f_{1,1}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\lambda'(t)^2}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} + f_{2,1}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t) + c_1(t)\left(\phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{2r}\right)$$

Then, for $r \ge \lambda(t)$, we re-write the formula for $u_{ell,2}$ as follows (the first four integrals contain the terms which will be required in the matching process).

$$\begin{aligned} &(4.7) \\ &u_{ell,2}(t,r) \\ &= \phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_1^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,main}(t,s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_1^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,main}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds \\ &- e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_1^{\infty} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 e_{ell,1}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^1 s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds \\ &+ \phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_1^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 e_{ell,1}(t,s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) ds + \phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^1 s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell}(t,s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) ds \\ &+ e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}}^{\infty} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 e_{ell,1}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Third small r correction. We eliminate the error term of $u_{ell,2}$ with the correction $u_{ell,3}$, which the following solution to

$$\partial_r^2 u_{ell,3} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{ell,3} + \frac{45u_{ell,3}}{\lambda(t)^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{\lambda(t)^2} + 3\right)^2} = \partial_t^2 u_{ell,2}(t,r)$$

(4.8)

$$u_{ell,3}(t,r) = \phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds + e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t) ds$$

4.4. First large r correction. We first note that

$$\partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) = \frac{\sqrt{3} \left(2\lambda(t)\lambda''(t) - \lambda'(t)^2 \right)}{4r\lambda(t)^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \quad r \to \infty$$

Then, we let

(4.9)
$$w_1(t,r) = w_{1,0}(t,r) + \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) \widetilde{v_{2,0}}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2rt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta := w_{1,0}(t,r) + \widetilde{v_2}(t,r)$$

where

(4.10)
$$\widetilde{v_{2,0}}(r) = \frac{\psi(r)}{r} \left(\frac{-\sqrt{3}\lambda'(r)}{2\sqrt{\lambda(r)}}\right), \quad w_{1,0}(t,r) = \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(\sqrt{\lambda(r+t)} - \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)}{r}$$

and $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$,

(4.11)
$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq T_{\lambda} \\ 1, & x \geq 2T_{\lambda} \end{cases}$$

By direct computation, w_1 solves

(4.12)
$$-\partial_t^2 w_1 + \partial_r^2 w_1 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r w_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3} \left(2\lambda(t) \lambda''(t) - \lambda'(t)^2 \right)}{4r\lambda(t)^{3/2}}$$

The main contribution of w_1 in the matching region is

,

$$\begin{split} w_{1,main}(t,r) &= \sum_{j=0}^{5} \frac{r^{j} \partial_{t}^{j} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda'(t)}}{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}\right)}{(j+1)!} + t\widetilde{v_{2,0}}(t) + \frac{1}{6}r^{2} \partial_{t}^{2} \left(t\widetilde{v_{2,0}}(t)\right) + \frac{1}{120}r^{4} \partial_{t}^{4} \left(t\widetilde{v_{2,0}}(t)\right) \\ &:= \frac{r^{5}}{720} \partial_{t}^{5} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda'(t)}}{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}\right) + w_{1,cm}(t,r) \end{split}$$

We also define

(4.13)
$$w_{1,lm}(t,r) := \sum_{j=0}^{1} \frac{r^j \partial_t^j \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda'(t)}{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}\right)}{(j+1)!} + t\widetilde{v_{2,0}}(t)$$

A direct application of the fundamental theorem of calculus (or Taylor's theorem) gives Lemma 4.1. For $0 \le j, k \le 2$ or j = 3, k = 0,

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k (w_1 - w_{1,main})(t,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{Cr^{7-k}}{t^{8+j}} \sqrt{\lambda(t)}, \quad r \leqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

For any $j \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^j w_{1,lm}(t,r)| &\leqslant \frac{C_j r \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{j+2}}, \quad |\partial_t^j (w_{1,cm} - w_{1,lm})(t,r)| &\leqslant \frac{C_j r^3 \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{4+j}} \\ \partial_t^j (w_1 - w_{1,cm})(t,r)| &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C_j r^5 \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{6+j}}, & r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C_j \sup_{x \in [t,t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log(t+r)}{r} \left(\frac{1}{t^j} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle^j}\right) + \frac{C_j r^3 \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{4+j}}, \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (4.10), we also have the following estimates.

Lemma 4.2. For all $j, k \ge 0$, there exists $C_{j,k} > 0$ such that for $r \le \frac{t}{2}$,

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k w_1(t,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{j,k} \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{2+j}} \begin{cases} r, \quad k=0\\ \frac{1}{t^{k-1}}, \quad k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and for $r \ge \frac{t}{2}$,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k w_{1,0}(t,r)| \leqslant \frac{C_{j,k} \sup_{x \in [t,t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}}{t^j r^{k+1}}, \quad |\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \widetilde{v_2}(t,r)| \leqslant \frac{C_{j,k} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log(t+r)}{r \langle r-t \rangle^{j+k}}$$

(4.14)
$$|(\partial_t + \partial_r) \widetilde{v}_2| \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right) \log(t+r)}{tr}, \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2}$$

(4.15)
$$t(|\partial_t \widetilde{v}_2(t,r)| + |\partial_r \widetilde{v}_2(t,r)|) + |\widetilde{v}_2(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)}{t}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

Proof. For $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$, we start with the definition of w_1 , (4.9), and get

$$\begin{split} w_1(t,r) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda'(t+ry)}{\sqrt{\lambda(t+ry)}} dy + \frac{t}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \widetilde{v_{2,0}} (\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2rtu}) du \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 dy \int_t^{t+ry} ds \partial_s \left(\frac{\lambda'(s)}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}} \right) + \frac{t}{2} \int_{-1}^1 du \int_0^r dy \frac{\widetilde{v_{2,0}}'(\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2ytu})(y+tu)}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2ytu}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 r dw (1-w) \partial_s \left(\frac{\lambda'(s)}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}} \right) \Big|_{s=t+rw} + \frac{t}{2} \int_0^r dy \int_{-1}^1 \frac{du \widetilde{v_{2,0}}'(\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2ytu})}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2ytu}} (y+tu) \end{split}$$

The symbol-type estimates on λ , (1.6), allow for the differentiation under the integral sign in t and r, for the first term of the last line of the equation above, and give, for $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$,

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 r dy (1-y) \partial_s \left(\frac{\lambda'(s)}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}}\right)\right|_{s=t+ry}\right) | \leqslant C_{j,k} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{2+j}} \begin{cases} r, \quad k=0\\ \frac{1}{t^{k-1}}, \quad k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

where we used (4.1). To estimate the second term on the last line of (4.16) in the region $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$, we first note that (4.10) implies that

(4.17)
$$|\widetilde{v_{2,0}}^{(k)}(r)| \leq \frac{C_k \sqrt{\lambda(r)}}{r^{2+k}} \mathbb{1}_{\{r \geq T_\lambda\}}$$

Direct estimation gives, for $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(\frac{t}{2} \int_0^r dy \int_{-1}^1 \frac{du \widetilde{v_{2,0}}'(\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2ytu})}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2ytu}} (y + tu) \right)| \leqslant \frac{C_{j,k} \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{2+j}} \begin{cases} r, & k = 0\\ \frac{1}{t^{k-1}}, & k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

Finally, (4.15) is obtained by directly estimating (4.9). For $w_{1,0}$ in the region $r \ge \frac{t}{2}$, we simply directly estimate (4.10). For \tilde{v}_2 , we use the following. If $f \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$, then, for $k \ge 1$, and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

(4.18)
$$\partial_r^k \left(f(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2ru}) \right) = \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{n=1}^k (r+u)^l c_{l,k,n} \frac{f^{(n)}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2ru})}{(r^2 + t^2 + 2ru)^{\frac{l+k-n}{2}}}$$

Then, directly differentiating the definition of \tilde{v}_2 in (4.9), we get

(4.19)
$$|\partial_r^k \widetilde{v}_2(t,r)| \leqslant \frac{C_k \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log(r+t)}{\langle r-t \rangle^k r}, \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

Next, we let $X = t\partial_t + r\partial_r$, and get

$$X(\tilde{v}_{2})(t,r) = \tilde{v}_{2}(t,r) + \frac{t}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin(\theta) \sqrt{r^{2} + t^{2} + 2rt\cos(\theta)} \tilde{v}_{2,0}'(\sqrt{r^{2} + t^{2} + 2rt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta$$

Using $X(\tilde{v}_2) = t(\partial_t + \partial_r)\tilde{v}_2 + (r-t)\partial_r\tilde{v}_2$, we get (4.14). Next, again using (4.18) and (4.19), we get a first estimate:

(4.20)
$$|\partial_r^k \partial_t \widetilde{v}_2| \leq \frac{C_k \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log(r+t)}{r \langle r-t \rangle^k} \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{r}{t \langle t-r \rangle} \right), \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2}$$

Next, we use

$$\widetilde{v}_{2}(t,r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-t}^{t} du \widetilde{v}_{2,0}(\sqrt{r^{2} + t^{2} + 2rtu})$$

and directly differentiate to get

$$|\partial_r^k \partial_t \widetilde{v}_2| \leqslant \frac{C_k \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)}{\langle r - t \rangle^{2+k}}, \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

Combining this with (4.20), we get

$$|\partial_r^k \partial_t \widetilde{v_2}(t,r)| \leqslant \frac{C_k \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log(t)}{r \langle t-r \rangle^{k+1}}, \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

Finally, we differentiate the equation solved by $\widetilde{v_2},$ namely

$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)\widetilde{v}_2 = 0$$

to get

$$|\partial_r^k \partial_t^2 \widetilde{v_2}| \leqslant \frac{C_{k,j} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log(t+r)}{r \langle t-r \rangle^{2+k+j}}, \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

An induction argument then finishes the proof of the lemma

Next, let

(4.21)
$$RHS(t,r) = \partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(2\lambda(t)\lambda''(t) - \lambda'(t)^2\right)}{4r\lambda(t)^{3/2}}\right)$$

Note that the solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t^2 v_{ex,s} + \partial_r^2 v_{ex,s} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_{ex,s} = 0\\ v_{ex,s}(s,r) = 0\\ \partial_1 v_{ex,s}(s,r) = RHS(s,r) \end{cases}$$

is

$$v_{ex,s}(t,r) = \frac{f_3(s,r+s-t) - f_3(s,|r-(s-t)|)}{\pi r}$$

where

Then, we let v_{ex} denote the following solution to

(4.23)
$$-\partial_t^2 v_{ex} + \partial_r^2 v_{ex} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_{ex} = RHS(t,r)$$

(4.24)
$$v_{ex}(t,r) = \int_t^\infty v_{ex,s}(t,r)ds$$

Lemma 4.3. For all $j \ge 0$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that

(4.25)
$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k v_{ex}(t,r)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C_j \lambda(t)^{3/2-k} \log(t)}{t^{2+j}}, & r \leq \lambda(t) \\ \frac{C_j \sup_{x \in [t,t+r]} (\lambda(x)^{5/2}) \log(t+r)}{r^{1+k}t^{2+j}}, & r \geq \lambda(t) \end{cases}, \quad k = 0, 1$$

In addition,

$$(4.26) ||\partial_t v_{ex}||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + ||\partial_r v_{ex}||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)}{t}$$

Proof. By directly estimating (4.22) and its derivatives, we get

(4.27)
$$|\partial_s^j \partial_x^k f_3(s, x)| \leq \frac{C_{j,k} \lambda(s)^{5/2}}{s^{2+j}} \frac{1}{(\max\{x, \lambda(s)\})^{k+1}}$$

Then, from the definition of v_{ex} ((4.24)), we get

$$v_{ex}(t,r) = \int_{r}^{\infty} dy \frac{f_3(t+y-r,y)}{\pi r} - \int_{0}^{r} dy \frac{f_3(r+t-y,y)}{\pi r} - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\pi r} f_3(t+r+y,y)$$

Differentiation under the integral sign is possible by (4.27), and direct estimation of the integrals gives (4.25) for $r \ge \lambda(t)$. Direct estimation of the above integral also gives (4.25) for $r \le \lambda(t)$, and k = 0. Finally, direct estimation of the r derivative of

$$\partial_t^j v_{ex}(t,r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 dz \partial_1^j f_3(t+r(1-z),rz) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 dz \partial_1^j f_3(t+r(1+z),rz) + \int_r^\infty \frac{dy}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \partial_1^{j+1} f_3(rz+t+y,y) dz$$

finishes the proof of (4.25). For the energy estimate, (4.26), we note that

$$\partial_r v_{ex}(t,r) + \frac{v_{ex}(t,r)}{r} = \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \left((r+s-t)RHS(s,r+s-t) + (s-t-r)RHS(s,|s-t-r|)\right) \\ \partial_t v_{ex}(t,r) = \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \left(-(r+s-t)RHS(s,r+s-t) + (s-t-r)RHS(s,|s-t-r|)\right) \\ The additional dimensional di$$

Then, Minkowski's inequality and direct estimation of (4.21) give

$$||\partial_r v_{ex} + \frac{v_{ex}}{r}||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + ||\partial_t v_{ex}(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)}{t}$$

Finally, since $||\partial_r v_{ex} + \frac{v_{ex}}{r}||_{L^2(r^2dr)} < \infty$, the dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$||\partial_r v_{ex} + \frac{v_{ex}}{r}||_{L^2(r^2 dr)}^2 = \lim_{M \to \infty} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{M}}^M r^2 dr (\partial_r v_{ex})^2 + \int_{\frac{1}{M}}^M dr \partial_r (r v_{ex}^2) \right)$$

Then, (4.25) and the monotone convergence theorem, show that

$$||\partial_r v_{ex} + \frac{v_{ex}}{r}||^2_{L^2(r^2 dr)} = \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (\partial_r v_{ex})^2$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

We define $v_{ex,ell}$ to be the following solution to

$$\partial_r^2 v_{ex,ell} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_{ex,ell} = RHS$$

$$\begin{split} v_{ex,ell}(t,r) &= -\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r y^2 RHS(t,y) dy - \int_r^\infty y RHS(t,y) dy \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda'(t)^2 \left(r \left(r - \sqrt{3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2} \right) + \lambda(t)^2 \left(45 \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{3\lambda(t)}} \right) - \frac{24r}{\sqrt{3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2}} \right) \right)}{8r\lambda(t)^{3/2}} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda''(t) \left(r \left(\sqrt{3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2} - r \right) + 15\lambda(t)^2 \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{3\lambda(t)}} \right) \right)}{4r\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} \end{split}$$

It will be convenient to define the following principal part of $v_{ex,ell}$ in the matching region:

$$(4.28) \\ v_{ex,ell,0}(t,r) \\ := \frac{3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\lambda(t)} \left(\lambda'(t)^2 \left(15 \log\left(\frac{4}{3\lambda(t)^2}\right) + 30 \log(r) - 17\right) + 2\lambda(t)\lambda''(t) \left(5 \log\left(\frac{4}{3\lambda(t)^2}\right) + 10 \log(r) + 1\right)\right)}{16r}$$

A direct computation gives

Lemma 4.4. For $0 \leq j + k \leq 5$,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k (v_{ex,ell}(t,r) - v_{ex,ell,0}(t,r))| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{2+j}} \begin{cases} \frac{(1+|\log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})|)\lambda(t)}{r^{1+k}}, & r \leq \lambda(t) \\ \frac{\lambda(t)^3}{r^{3+k}}, & r \geq \lambda(t) \end{cases}$$

 $v_{ex,sub}(t,r) := v_{ex}(t,r) - v_{ex,ell}(t,r)$ solves

(4.29)
$$-\partial_t^2 v_{ex,sub} + \partial_r^2 v_{ex,sub} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_{ex,sub} = \partial_t^2 v_{ex,ell}$$

In fact, we have the following formula for $v_{ex,sub}$:

Lemma 4.5.

$$v_{ex,sub}(t,r) = \int_0^\infty dx \int_{|r-x|}^{r+x} ds \left(-\frac{x}{2r}\right) \partial_1^2 v_{ex,ell}(t+s,x)$$

Proof.

$$v_{ex}(t,r) = \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} yRHS(s,y)dy \right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \int_{|r-y|}^{r+y} dw \left(\frac{-y}{2r}RHS(t+w,y) \right)$$

Integrating by parts in w, we get

$$\begin{split} v_{ex}(t,r) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) (RHS(t+r+y,y)(r+y) - RHS(t+|r-y|,y)|r-y|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2r} \int_{0}^{\infty} dyy \int_{|r-y|}^{r+y} w \partial_{1} RHS(t+w,y) dw \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) (RHS(t,y)(r+y) - RHS(t,y)|r-y|) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \left((RHS(t+r+y,y) - RHS(t,y)) (r+y) \\ &- (RHS(t+|r-y|,y) - RHS(t,y)) |r-y| \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2r} \int_{0}^{\infty} dyy \int_{|r-y|}^{r+y} w \partial_{1} RHS(t+w,y) dw \end{split}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of the last equality above is $v_{ex,ell}$. So,

 $v_{ex,sub}(t,r)$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \left(\int_{0}^{r+y} \partial_{1} RHS(t+w,y)(r+y-w) dw - \int_{0}^{|r-y|} \partial_{1} RHS(w+t,y)(|r-y|-w) dw \right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left(\frac{y}{4r}\right) \left(\partial_{1} RHS(t+r+q,y) - \partial_{1} RHS(t+|r-q|,y) \right) \left(q+y-|q-y|\right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \int_{|r-x|}^{r+x} ds \left(-\frac{x}{2r} \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2x}\right) \partial_{1}^{2} RHS(t+s,y)(x+y-|x-y|) \right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \int_{|r-x|}^{r+x} ds \left(-\frac{x}{2r} \right) \partial_{1}^{2} v_{ex,ell}(t+s,y) \end{split}$$

The leading part of $v_{ex,sub}$ in the matching region is $v_{ex,sub,ell}$ (as the next lemma shows), given by

(4.30)
$$v_{ex,sub,ell}(t,r) = -\frac{1}{r} \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} x^2 \partial_1^2 v_{ex,ell,0}(t,x) dx + \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} x \partial_1^2 v_{ex,ell,0}(t,x) dx$$

In particular, $v_{ex,sub,ell}$ solves

$$\partial_r^2 v_{ex,sub,ell} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_{ex,sub,ell} = \partial_t^2 v_{ex,ell,0}$$

Lemma 4.6. For j = 0, $0 \le k \le 2$ and j = 1, $0 \le k \le 1$, and in the region $\lambda(t) \le r \le t$,

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(v_{ex,sub}(t,r) - v_{ex,sub,ell}(t,r) + \int_t^\infty ds g_3(s) + \int_t^\infty ds g_2''(s) \log\left(\frac{4(s-t)^2}{3\lambda(s)^2}\right) \\ &+ \int_t^\infty ds (s-t) \partial_s^2 \left(v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y) \right) \Big|_{y=s-t} \right) | \\ \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr^2 \lambda(t)^{5/2} \log(t)}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{t^4}, & j=k=0\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2} \log(t)}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{rt^4}, & j=0, k=1\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2} \log(t)}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{rt^4}, & j=k=2 \end{cases}$$

GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON $\mathbb{R}^1 \dot{1} \dot{9}$

where

$$g_1(s) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\lambda(s)}((-17)\lambda'(s)^2 + 2\lambda(s)\lambda''(s))}{16}, \quad g_2(s) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\lambda(s)}(15\lambda'(s)^2 + 10\lambda(s)\lambda''(s))}{16}$$

$$g_3(s) = \frac{2g_2(s)((\lambda'(s))^2 - \lambda(s)\lambda''(s)) + \lambda(s)(-4\lambda'(s)g_2'(s) + \lambda(s)g_1''(s))}{\lambda(s)^2}$$

Proof. We start with the definition (recall (4.28))

where g_1, g_2, g_3 are as in the lemma statement. We compute the y integrals, and decompose the s integrals in the following form, which makes it clear which terms will cancel when $v_{ex,sub,ell}$ is subtracted. (Whenever y appears in integrals below, it arises from the change of variable s = t + ry).

$$\begin{split} v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) &= \frac{r}{4} \left(2 + \log(\frac{16r^4}{9\lambda(t)^4}) \right) g_2''(t) + \frac{r}{2}g_3(t) - \int_t^\infty dsg_3(s) + \int_t^{t+r} ds(g_3(s) - g_3(t)) \left(1 - \frac{(s-t)}{r} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dy(g_2''(t+ry) - g_2''(t)) r \left((1+y)(-2 + \log(\frac{4}{3}\frac{r^2}{\lambda(t)^2}(1+y)^2)) \right) \\ &\quad + (1-y)(2 + \log(\frac{3\lambda(t)^2}{4r^2(1-y)^2})) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dyg_2''(t+ry) r(2y) \log(\frac{\lambda(t)^2}{\lambda(t+ry)^2}) - \int_t^\infty dsg_2''(s)(2 + \log(\frac{4(s-t)^2}{3\lambda(s)^2})) \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+r} ds(g_2''(s) - g_2''(t)) \log(\frac{4(s-t)^2}{3\lambda(s)^2}) - 2 \int_t^{t+r} dsg_2''(t) \log(\frac{\lambda(s)}{\lambda(t)}) \\ &\quad + r(g_2''(t+r) - g_2''(t))(-1 + \log(4)) \\ &\quad + r^2 \int_1^\infty \left(y \log(1 - \frac{1}{y^2}) + \cosh^{-1}(y) - y + \frac{y^2}{2} \log(1 + \frac{2}{y-1}) \right) g_2'''(t+ry) dy - 2(g_2'(t) + rg_2''(t)) \end{split}$$

We remark that the last line of the above expression was obtained using integration by parts. We note that

$$\int_0^r \partial_t^2 v_{ex,ell,0}(t,x) x \, dx - \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \partial_t^2 v_{ex,ell,0}(t,x) x^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{4} r g_2''(t) \left(\log\left(\frac{16r^4}{9\lambda(t)^4}\right) + 2\right) - 2r g_2''(t) + \frac{1}{2} r g_3(t) + \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore, recalling (4.30), we have

$$\begin{split} v_{ex,sub,0} &- v_{ex,sub,ell} + \int_{t}^{\infty} dsg_{3}(s) + \int_{t}^{\infty} dsg_{2}''(s) \log(\frac{4(s-t)^{2}}{3\lambda(s)^{2}}) \\ &= \int_{t}^{t+r} ds(g_{3}(s) - g_{3}(t))(1 - \frac{(s-t)}{r}) \\ &- \frac{r}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dy(g_{2}''(t+ry) - g_{2}''(t)) \left((1+y)(-2 + \log(\frac{4r^{2}}{3\lambda(t)^{2}}(1+y)^{2})) + (1-y)(2 + \log(\frac{3\lambda(t)^{2}}{4r^{2}(1-y)^{2}})) \right) \\ &- r \int_{0}^{1} dyg_{2}''(t+ry)y \log(\frac{\lambda(t)^{2}}{\lambda(t+ry)^{2}}) + \int_{t}^{t+r} ds(g_{2}''(s) - g_{2}''(t)) \log(\frac{4(s-t)^{2}}{3\lambda(s)^{2}}) \\ &- 2 \int_{t}^{t+r} dsg_{2}''(t) \log(\frac{\lambda(s)}{\lambda(t)}) + r(g_{2}''(t+r) - g_{2}''(t))(-1 + \log(4)) \\ &+ r^{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left(y \log(1 - \frac{1}{y^{2}}) + \coth^{-1}(y) - y + \frac{y^{2}}{2} \log(1 + \frac{2}{y-1}) \right) g_{2}'''(t+ry) dy \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\lambda(t)} \partial_{t}^{2} v_{ex,ell,0}(t,x)x \, dx - \frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{\lambda(t)} \partial_{t}^{2} v_{ex,ell,0}(t,x)x^{2} \, dx \end{split}$$

From Lemma 4.4, we thus get for $j = 0, 0 \leq k \leq 2$ and $j = 1, 0 \leq k \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} &|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(v_{ex,sub,0} - v_{ex,sub,ell} + \int_t^\infty ds g_3(s) + \int_t^\infty ds g_2''(s) \log(\frac{4(s-t)^2}{3\lambda(s)^2}) \right)| \\ &\leqslant \frac{Cr^{2-k}\lambda(t)^{5/2}\log(t)}{t^{5+j}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}\log(t)}{r^k t^{4+j}}, \quad \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant t \end{split}$$

Next, for $F(s,y) = \partial_s^2(v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ex,sub}(t,r) - v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) &= \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \partial_{s}^{2} (v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y)) \\ &= \int_{0}^{r} dy \frac{y}{r} \left(-\frac{y}{2}\right) F(t,y) + \int_{r}^{2r} dy \left(2 - \frac{y}{r}\right) \left(\frac{-y}{2}\right) F(t,y) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dz \int_{r(1-z)}^{r(1+z)} dy y(F(t+rz,y) - F(t,y)) \\ &- \frac{1}{2r} \int_{t+r}^{\infty} ds \left(\int_{s-t-r}^{s-t+r} dy yF(s,y) - 2r(s-t)F(s,s-t)\right) \\ &- \int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)F(s,s-t) + \int_{t}^{t+r} ds(s-t)F(s,s-t) \end{aligned}$$

Direct estimation gives, in the region $\lambda(t) \leq r \leq t$,

$$|v_{ex,sub}(t,r) - v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) + \int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)F(s,s-t)| \leq \frac{Cr^{2}\lambda(t)^{5/2}\log(t)}{t^{5}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}\log^{2}(t)}{t^{4}}$$

We now consider the derivatives of $v_{ex,sub}(t,r) - v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) + \int_t^{\infty} ds(s-t)F(s,s-t)$. For the first r derivative, we directly differentiate (4.31). To estimate the first time derivative, we start with

$$v_{ex,sub}(t,r) - v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) = \int_0^\infty dw \int_{|w-r|}^{w+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) F(t+w,y)$$

and note that Lemma 4.4 justifies the differentiation under the integral sign in t, leading to

$$\partial_t (v_{ex,sub} - v_{ex,sub,0}) = \int_t^\infty ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \partial_1 F(s,y)$$

This expression, as well as its r and t derivatives are then directly estimated. Finally, we can infer an estimate on $\partial_r^2 (v_{ex,sub} - v_{ex,sub,0})$ from our work up to this point, as soon as we justify the statement that

(4.32)
$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)(v_{ex,sub} - v_{ex,sub,0}) = \partial_t^2(v_{ex,ell} - v_{ex,ell,0})$$

From (4.29), it suffices to study $v_{ex,sub,0}$. We note that

$$\begin{split} v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) &= \frac{-1}{2} \int_0^1 dy (g_3(t+ry) \cdot 2ry + g_2''(t+ry) \left(r(1+y)(-2 + \log(\frac{4r^2(1+y)^2}{3\lambda(t+ry)^2})) \right) \\ &+ r(1-y)(2 + \log(\frac{3\lambda(t+ry)^2}{4r^2(1-y)^2})) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty dy (g_3(t+ry) \cdot 2r + g_3''(t+ry) \left(r(1+y)(-2 + \log(\frac{4r^2(1+y)^2}{3\lambda(t+ry)^2})) \right) \\ &+ r(y-1)(2 + \log(\frac{3\lambda(t+ry)^2}{4r(1-y)^2})) \right) \end{split}$$

Now, we can directly differentiate under the integral sign in (t, r), given the symbol type estimates and smoothness of λ , and then integrate by parts, to show that $v_{ex,sub,0}$ solves

$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)(v_{ex,sub,0}) = \partial_t^2(v_{ex,ell,0})$$

which establishes (4.32). Finally, a direct estimation of $\partial_t \left(\int_t^\infty ds(s-t) \partial_s^2(v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y)) \Big|_{y=s-t} \right)$ gives: for $0 \le k \le 2$ and j = 0 or $0 \le k \le 1$ and j = 1,

$$\begin{split} &|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(v_{ex,sub}(t,r) - v_{ex,sub,0}(t,r) + \int_t^\infty ds(s-t) \partial_s^2 (v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y)) \Big|_{y=s-t} \right) |\\ &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr^2 \lambda(t)^{5/2} \log(t)}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{t^4}, & j=0, k=0\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2} \log(t)}{t^5}, & j=1, k=0\\ \frac{Cr\lambda(t)^{5/2} \log(t)}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{rt^4}, & j=0, k=1\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2} \log^2(t)}{rt^4}, & j+k=2 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma.

Finally, we consider the free wave v_2 solving

(4.33)
$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t^2 v_2 + \partial_r^2 v_2 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_2 = 0\\ v_2(0, r) = 0, \quad \partial_t v_2(0, r) := v_{2,0}(r) = \frac{\psi(r)}{r} \left(\frac{-15}{8} \pi \sqrt{\lambda(r)} \lambda''(r) \right) \end{cases}$$

(This specific choice of $v_{2,0}$ is made so that $w_1 + v_2$ matches u_{ell} to an appropriate order, as will be shown in Section 4.6, see also the discussion following (3.4), and the comment after (4.54)). We have

$$v_2(t,r) = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) v_{2,0}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2rt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta$$

We define $v_{2,main}$ to be the first three terms in a small r expansion of v_2 : (recall that $t \ge T_0 > 2T_\lambda$, so $\psi(t) = 1$)

$$v_{2,main}(t,r) = tv_{2,0}(t) + \frac{1}{6}r^2 \left(2v'_{2,0}(t) + tv''_{2,0}(t)\right) + \frac{1}{120}r^4 \left(4v''_{2,0}(t) + tv'''_{2,0}(t)\right)$$

It will also be convenient to consider various pieces of $v_{2,main}$: (4.34)

$$v_{2,qm}(t,r) = -\frac{15}{8} \left(\pi \sqrt{\lambda(t)} \lambda''(t) \right) + \frac{1}{6} r^2 \left(2v'_{2,0}(t) + tv''_{2,0}(t) \right) := v_{2,lm}(t,r) + \frac{1}{6} r^2 \left(2v'_{2,0}(t) + tv''_{2,0}(t) \right)$$

We also note that

(4.35)
$$|v_{2,0}^{(k)}(r)| \leq \frac{C_k \mathbb{1}_{\{r \ge T_\lambda\}} \lambda(r)^{3/2}}{r^{3+k}}$$

Using the identical procedures as in Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, we get:

Lemma 4.7. For $0 \le j, k \le 2$, or j = 3, k = 0

$$\left|\partial_r^k \partial_t^j \left(v_2 - v_{2,main}\right)(t,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{Cr^{6-k}\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{8+j}}, \quad r \leqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

For $j \ge 0$,

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t^j v_{2,lm}(t,r)| &\leqslant \frac{C_j \lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{2+j}}, \quad |\partial_t^j \left(v_{2,qm} - v_{2,lm} \right)| \leqslant \frac{C_j r^2 \lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{4+j}} \\ |\partial_t^j (v_2 - v_{2,qm})| &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C_j r^4 \lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{6+j}}, \quad r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C_j \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \lambda(x)^{3/2} \log(t+r)}{r \langle t-r \rangle^{j+1}} + \frac{C_j r^2 \lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{4+j}}, \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.8. For all $j, k \ge 0$, there exists $C_{j,k} > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^k \partial_t^j v_2(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C_{j,k}\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{2+j+k}}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ |\partial_r^k \partial_t^j v_2(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C_{j,k} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \lambda(x)^{3/2} \right) \log(t+r)}{r \langle t-r \rangle^{k+j+1}}, \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2} \\ |(\partial_t + \partial_r) v_2| &\leq \frac{C \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \lambda(x)^{3/2} \right) \log(r+t)}{\langle t-r \rangle tr}, \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $v_1 = w_1 + v_{ex} + v_2$, we thus have a particular solution to

$$-\partial_t^2 v_1 + \partial_r^2 v_1 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_1 = \partial_t^2 Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$$

4.5. Second large r correction. Now, we need to correct the linear error term from v_1 , which is (recall (2.2))

$$V(t,r)(w_1 + v_2) := RHS_2(t,r)$$

From Lemmas 4.2 and 4.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(4.36) \\ &|\partial_t^j RHS_2(t,r)| \\ &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C_j}{t^{j+2}\sqrt{\lambda(t)}(\frac{r^2}{\lambda(t)^2}+1)^{3/2}}, & r\leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C_j\lambda(t)^2\log(r)}{r^5} \left(\frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle^j} + \frac{1}{t^j}\right) \left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t+r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)} + \left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t+r]}\lambda(x)^{3/2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle} + \frac{1}{t}\right)\right), & r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

We consider the particular solution to

(4.37)
$$-\partial_t^2 u_{w,2} + \partial_r^2 u_{w,2} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{w,2} = RHS_2(t,r)$$

given by

$$u_{w,2}(t,r) = \int_t^\infty ds u_{w,2,s}(t,r)$$

where $u_{w,2,s}$ solves

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t^2 u_{w,2,s} + \partial_r^2 u_{w,2,s} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{w,2,s} = 0\\ u_{w,2,s}(s,r) = 0\\ \partial_t u_{w,2,s}(s,r) = RHS_2(s,r) \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

(4.38)
$$u_{w,2}(t,r) = \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} yRHS_{2}(s,y)dy \right)$$

Lemma 4.9. For $r \ge \lambda(t)$,

(4.39)
$$|u_{w,2}(t,r)| \leq \frac{C \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} (\lambda(x)^{5/2}) \log^2(t+r)}{rt^2}$$

(4.40)

$$\left|\partial_{r} u_{w,2}(t,r)\right| \leq \frac{C \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \left(\lambda(x)^{5/2}\right) \log^{2}(t+r)}{rt^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{t}\right) + \frac{C \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \left(\lambda(x)^{3/2}\right) \log^{2}(t+r)}{rt^{2}}$$

$$(4.41) ||\partial_t u_{w,2}(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + ||\partial_r u_{w,2}(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)}{t}$$

Proof. A direct estimation using (4.36) gives (4.39) and (4.40). The energy estimate, (4.41) is proven with the same procedure used to establish (4.26). The only detail to note is that the following rough estimate

(4.42)
$$|u_{w,2}(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t}, \quad r > 0$$

which results from directly inserting (4.36) into (4.38), verifies that

$$r u_{w,2}(t,r)^2 \to 0, \quad r \to 0$$

The leading part of $u_{w,2}$ in the matching region is:

(4.43)
$$u_{w,2,ell}(t,r) = \frac{-1}{r} \int_0^r dy y^2 R H S_2(t,y) - \int_r^\infty dy y R H S_2(t,y)$$

which solves

(4.44)
$$\partial_r^2 u_{w,2,ell} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{w,2,ell} = RHS_2(t,r)$$

Lemma 4.10. We have the following estimates on $u_{w,2,ell}$. For $k \ge 0$,

$$\left|\partial_t^k u_{w,2,ell}(t,r)\right| \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C_k \lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{2+k}}, & r \leqslant \lambda(t)\\ \frac{C_k \log^2(t)(1+\log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}))\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}}{rt^{2+k}}, & \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases}$$

If $r \ge \frac{t}{2}$,

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t^k u_{w,2,ell}(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C_k \lambda(t)^2 \log^2(r)}{rt^2} \\ &\cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}}{t^k} + \frac{\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \lambda(x)^{3/2}}{t^{1+k}} \end{pmatrix}, k = 0, 1 \\ &\left(\frac{1}{t \langle t-r \rangle^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{t^k} \right) \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} + \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \left(\lambda(x)^{3/2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle} + \frac{1}{t} \right) \right), \quad k \ge 2 \end{split}$$

Proof. If $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^k u_{w,2,ell}(t,r) &= \frac{-1}{r} \int_0^r dy y^2 \partial_t^k RHS_2(t,y) - \int_r^{\frac{t}{2}} dy y \partial_t^k RHS_2(t,y) - \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} dy y \partial_t^k \left(V(t,y) w_{1,0}(t,y) \right) \\ &- \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} dy y \partial_t^k (V(t,y) (v_2(t,y) + \tilde{v}_2(t,y))) \end{aligned}$$

We directly estimate all integrals except for the last one, using Lemmas 4.2, 4.8. Since the estimates on t derivatives of v_2 and \tilde{v}_2 in Lemmas 4.8 and 4.2, respectively, are not a power of t better than the corresponding estimates on $v_2(t,r)$ and $\tilde{v}_2(t,r)$, in the region $r \ge \frac{t}{2}$, we treat the last integral term as follows. We have

$$\partial_t^k \left(V(t,y)(v_2 + \widetilde{v}_2) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \partial_t^{k-j} \left(V(t,y) \right) \partial_t^j \left(v_2 + \widetilde{v}_2 \right)$$

Let $H(f) = \partial_y^2 f + \frac{2}{y} \partial_y f$. If $j \ge 1$, we write (for both v_2 and $\widetilde{v_2}$)

(4.45)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^j v_2(t,y) = H^{\frac{j}{2}} v_2(t,y), & j \text{ is even} \\ \partial_t^j v_2(t,y) = H^{\frac{j-1}{2}} (\partial_t v_2)(t,y), & j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Then, we integrate by parts in y, integrating the terms in (4.45), using the following observation. If f and g are smooth functions of $y \in (0, \infty)$, 0 < a < b, and $n \ge 1$, then,

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} dy y^{2} g(y) H^{n}(f)(y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(-a^{2} H^{j-1}(g)(a) \partial_{y} H^{n-j} f(a) + b^{2} H^{j-1}(g)(b) \partial_{y} H^{n-j} f(b) \right. \\ &+ a^{2} \partial_{y} (H^{j-1}g)(a) H^{n-j} f(a) - b^{2} \partial_{y} (H^{j-1}g)(b) H^{n-j} f(b) \right) \\ &+ \int_{a}^{b} f H^{n}(g) y^{2} dy \end{split}$$

In the case when j is odd in (4.45), this process results in an integral involving $\partial_t v_2$, which we further re-write as $\partial_t v_2(t, y) = (\partial_t + \partial_y) v_2(t, y) - \partial_y v_2(t, y)$, and integrate by parts in y for the $\partial_y v_2$

term. (The point is that $(\partial_t + \partial_y)v_2(t, y)$ has better estimates near y = t than just $\partial_t v_2(t, y)$, as per Lemmas 4.8 and 4.2). In the region $r \ge \frac{t}{2}$, we start with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^k u_{w,2,ell}(t,r) &= \frac{-1}{r} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} dy y^2 \partial_t^k RHS_2(t,y) - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^r dy y^2 \partial_t^k (V(t,y)w_{1,0}) - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^r dy y^2 \partial_t^k (V(t,y)(v_2 + \tilde{v_2})) \\ &- \int_r^\infty dy y \partial_t^k (V(t,y)w_{1,0}) - \int_r^\infty dy y \partial_t^k (V(t,y)(v_2 + \tilde{v_2})) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use a similar procedure as above, integrating by parts for the sum of the third and fifth integrals above. Here, the only extra detail to note is that the boundary term at y = r from one integration by parts for the third integral cancels the boundary term at y = r from one integration by parts for the fifth integral. This allows for slightly better estimates than otherwise, and finishes the proof of the lemma.

We define $u_{w,2,sub}(t,r) = u_{w,2}(t,r) - u_{w,2,ell}(t,r)$. Given the equations that $u_{w,2}$ and $u_{w,2,ell}$ solve, namely (4.37) and (4.44), $u_{w,2,sub}$ solves

$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u = \partial_t^2 u_{w,2,ell}$$

As in Lemma 4.5, we have

Lemma 4.11.

$$u_{w,2,sub}(t,r) = \int_0^\infty dx \int_{|r-x|}^{r+x} ds \left(-\frac{x}{2r}\right) \partial_1^2 u_{w,2,ell}(t+s,x)$$

Let

$$RHS_{2,0,0}(t,r) = V(t,r) \left(w_{1,lm} + v_{2,lm} \right), \quad RHS_{2,0} = V(t,r) \left(w_{1,cm} + v_{2,qm} \right)$$

Then, the part of $u_{w,2,ell}$ which will be important for the matching procedure is $u_{w,2,ell,0}$, which is defined by (4.46)

$$u_{w,2,ell,0}(t,r) = \frac{-1}{r} \int_0^r dy y^2 RHS_{2,0}(t,y) - \int_r^\infty dy y RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) + \int_0^r dy y \left(RHS_{2,0}(t,y) - RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) \right) dy \left(RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) - RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) \right) dy$$

The following lemma gives the precise sense in which $u_{w,2,ell,0}$ is the leading part of $u_{w,2,ell}$.

Lemma 4.12. For $0 \le j \le 3$, $0 \le k \le 2$, and in the region $\lambda(t) \le r \le \frac{t}{2}$,

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{r}^{k}\left(u_{w,2,ell}-u_{w,2,ell,0}+\int_{0}^{\infty}xV(t,x)(w_{1}+v_{2}-w_{1,lm}-v_{2,lm})(t,x)dx\right)\right| \leq \frac{Cr^{3-k}\lambda(t)^{5/2}}{t^{6+j}}$$

Also, for $0 \leq j \leq 3$ and $r \leq t$,

$$\left|\partial_t^j \left(u_{w,2,ell} - u_{w,2,ell,0} \right)(t,r) \right| \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \left(\sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log^2(t)}{t^3} \left(\frac{1}{t^j} + \frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle^j} \right)$$

For k = 1, 2,

$$\left|\partial_t^2 \partial_r^k \left(u_{w,2,ell} - u_{w,2,ell,0} \right)(t,r) \right| \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr^{6-k}}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}t^8}, & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log(t)}{t^5 \langle t - r \rangle^k} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \lambda(x)^{3/2} \log^2(t)}{t^5 \langle t - r \rangle^{1+k}}, & \frac{t}{2} \leqslant r \leqslant t \end{cases}$$

Finally, for $0 \leq j \leq 3$,

$$\left|\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{j}\left(-\int_{0}^{\infty} dx x V(t,x)(w_{1}+v_{2}-w_{1,lm}-v_{2,lm})\right)\right| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{2} \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log(t)}{t^{3+j}}$$

and for $0 \leq j \leq 5$, and $s \geq t$,

$$\begin{split} &|\partial_s^j \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - u_{w,2,ell,0}(s,y) + \int_0^\infty dx x V(s,x) (w_1 + v_2 - w_{1,lm} - v_{2,lm})(s,x) \right) \Big|_{y=s-t} |\\ &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C_j(s-t)^6}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)s^{6+j}}}, \quad s-t \leqslant \lambda(s) \\ \frac{C_j(s-t)^3 \lambda(s)^{5/2}}{s^{6+j}}, \quad \lambda(s) \leqslant s-t \leqslant \frac{s}{2} \\ \frac{C_j \log^2(s) \lambda(s)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,s]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}}{s^4 t^{j-1}} + \frac{C_j \log^2(s) \lambda(s)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,s]} \lambda(x)^{3/2}}{s^4 t^{j}}, \quad \frac{s}{2} \leqslant s-t \leqslant s \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (4.43), (4.46), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_{w,2,ell}(t,r) - u_{w,2,ell,0}(t,r) &= \frac{-1}{r} \int_0^r dy y^2 V(t,y) \left(w_1 + v_2 - w_{1,cm} - v_{2,qm} \right) \\ &+ \int_0^r dy y V(t,y) (w_1 - w_{1,cm} + v_2 - v_{2,qm}) - \int_0^\infty dy y V(t,y) (w_1 + v_2 - w_{1,lm} - v_{2,lm}) \end{aligned}$$

We start with the last term in the above expression. From integration by parts,

$$\begin{split} -\int_0^\infty dy y V(t,y) w_{1,0}(t,y) &= \frac{15\sqrt{3}\lambda'(t)}{4\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} - \frac{45\pi}{16} \left(-\lambda''(t)\sqrt{\lambda(t)} + \frac{\lambda'(t)^2}{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8\lambda(t)} \int_0^\infty \left(\sqrt{3}\arctan(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{x})(x^2 + 3\lambda(t)^2) - 3x\lambda(t) \right) \partial_x^2 \left(\frac{\lambda'(t+x)}{\sqrt{\lambda(t+x)}} \right) dx \end{split}$$

Directly evaluating the integrals gives the following equations:

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\infty} dyy V(t,y) w_{1,lm}(t,y) &= \frac{45\pi ((\lambda'(t))^{2} - 2\lambda(t)\lambda''(t))}{32\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} dyy V(t,y) v_{2,lm}(t,y) = \frac{225\pi\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t)}{16} \\ &- \int_{0}^{\infty} dyy V(t,y) (\widetilde{v_{2}} + v_{2})(t,y) = \frac{-15\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} - \frac{225\pi\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t)}{16} \\ &- \frac{45\sqrt{3}}{4}\lambda(t)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} w dw \int_{|w-t|}^{w+t} \frac{dy}{(y^{2} + 3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}} \left(h(w) - h(t)\right) \end{split}$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \left(\frac{\lambda'(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda(x)}} + \frac{5\sqrt{3}\pi}{4} \lambda''(x)\sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)$$

Therefore,

$$(4.47) \qquad -\int_{0}^{\infty} dyy V(t,y)(w_{1}+v_{2}-w_{1,lm}-v_{2,lm}) \\ = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8\lambda(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{3}\arctan(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{x})(x^{2}+3\lambda(t)^{2})-3x\lambda(t)\right) \partial_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda'(t+x)}{\sqrt{\lambda(t+x)}}\right) dx \\ -\frac{45\sqrt{3}}{4}\lambda(t)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} wdw \int_{|w-t|}^{w+t} \frac{(h(w)-h(t)) dy}{(y^{2}+3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}}$$

We directly estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (4.47) (and its derivatives). On the other hand, since v_2, \tilde{v}_2 are not symbols globally, we decompose the second term as

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} w dw \int_{|t-w|}^{t+w} \frac{(h(w) - h(t)) dy}{(y^{2} + 3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}} \\ &= \int_{-\frac{t}{2}}^{t} (t+z) dz \int_{|z|}^{\infty} \frac{dy(h(t+z) - h(t))}{(y^{2} + 3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}} + \int_{2t}^{\infty} w dw \int_{w-t}^{\infty} \frac{dy(h(w) - h(t))}{(y^{2} + 3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\frac{t}{2}} w dw \int_{t-w}^{t+w} \frac{dy(h(w) - h(t))}{(y^{2} + 3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}} - \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} w dw \int_{w+t}^{\infty} \frac{dy(h(w) - h(t))}{(y^{2} + 3\lambda(t)^{2})^{2}} \end{split}$$

Then, we differentiate in t, and directly estimate. This procedure does lead to a large number of terms to estimate, but, each one can be estimated directly with an elementary argument. All other estimates in the lemma statement follow from a direct computation.

Finally, it will be convenient to consider the following leading part of $u_{w,2,ell,0}$:

$$u_{w,2,ell,0,0}(t,r) = \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2}\lambda''(t)}{16r^2} + \frac{45\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\left(\lambda'(t)^2\left(4\log\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{r}\right) - 2\right) - \lambda(t)\lambda''(t)\left(8\log\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{r}\right) + 5\pi^2 - 4\right)\right)}{32r}$$

We will also need the leading part of $u_{w,2,ell,0} - u_{w,2,ell,0,0}$ in the matching region.

$$u_{w,2,ell,0,1}(t,r) := \frac{-45}{2}a_1(t)\lambda(t)^2r + \frac{45}{4}\lambda(t)^2(6b_1(t) + 3\sqrt{3}\pi a_1(t)\lambda(t) + b_1(t)\log(\frac{9\lambda(t)^4}{r^4}))$$

where

(4.49)
$$a_1(t) = \frac{1}{4!} \partial_t^3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda'(t)}}{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} \right), \quad b_1(t) = \frac{1}{6} \left(2v'_{2,0}(t) + tv''_{2,0}(t) \right)$$

Now, we prove the sense in which $u_{w,2,ell,0,0}$, $u_{w,2,ell,0,1}$ are the leading and subleading parts of $u_{w,2,ell,0}$ in the matching region.

Lemma 4.13. For $0 \leq j, k \leq 2$, j = 3, k = 0, and $r \geq \lambda(t)$

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{r}^{k}\left(u_{w,2,ell,0}-u_{w,2,ell,0,0}-u_{w,2,ell,0,1}\right)\left(t,r\right)\right| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{9/2}(\left|\log(r)\right|+\log(t))}{r^{k+3}t^{2+j}}\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)$$

For j = 2, 3,

$$|\partial_t^j(u_{w,2,ell,0}(t,r) - u_{w,2,ell,00}(t,r))| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}}{r^2t^{2+j}}, & r \leq \lambda(t) \\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{9/2}\log(t)}{r^3t^{2+j}} + \frac{Cr\lambda(t)^{5/2}\log(t)}{t^{4+j}}, & t \ge r \ge \lambda(t) \end{cases}$$

For $0 \leq j \leq 5$ and k = 0, or j = 2, k = 1, 2,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(u_{w,2,ell,0} - \left(u_{w,2,ell,00} - \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2}\lambda''(t)}{16r^2} \right) \right)| \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2}}{r^{1+k}t^{2+j}} (1 + |\log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})|), & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}}{t^{2+j}r^{2+k}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2}r^{1-k}\log(t)}{t^{4+j}}, & \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant t \end{cases}$$

MOHANDAS PILLAI

Proof. The lemma follows from the following direct computations

$$-\frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{r} dy y^{2} RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) - \int_{r}^{\infty} dy y RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) - u_{w,2,ell,0,0}(t,r)$$

$$= \frac{45}{32} \sqrt{\lambda(t)} \lambda''(t) \left(\frac{\lambda(t)\sqrt{3}(10\pi g_{1}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + 4g_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}))}{r} + 4g_{1}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \right) - \frac{45}{32} \frac{\lambda'(t)^{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} \left(2g_{1}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)g_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})}{r} \right)$$

where

$$g_1(R) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{R}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{R}, \quad g_2(R) = \log\left(\frac{3}{R^2} + 1\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{r} dy y^{2} \left(RHS_{2,0}(t,y) - RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) \right) + \int_{0}^{r} dy y \left(RHS_{2,0}(t,y) - RHS_{2,0,0}(t,y) \right) - u_{w,2,ell,0,1}(t,r) \\ &= \frac{135\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)^{3} \left(-2ra_{1}(t)\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{r}\right) - 2b_{1}(t)\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}\right) \right)}{4r} \\ &+ \frac{45\lambda(t)^{2} \left(12a_{1}(t)\lambda(t)^{2}\log\left(\frac{3\lambda(t)^{2}}{3\lambda(t)^{2}+r^{2}}\right) - 2rb_{1}(t)\log\left(\frac{3\lambda(t)^{2}}{r^{2}} + 1\right) \right)}{4r} \\ &\square \end{aligned}$$

Direct estimation also gives the following lemma.

Lemma 4.14. For $r \ge \lambda(t)$, $j \ge 0$, and k = 0, 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^k \partial_t^j u_{w,2,ell,0,0}(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C_j \lambda(t)^{5/2}}{r^{1+k} t^{2+j}} (1+|\log(\frac{\lambda(t)}{r})|), \quad |\partial_t^j u_{w,2,ell,0,1}(t,r)| \leq \frac{C_j \lambda(t)^{5/2} r}{t^{4+j}} \\ |\partial_t^n \left(u_{w,2,ell,0} - \left(u_{w,2,ell,0,0} - \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2} \lambda''(t)}{16r^2} \right) \right) | &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2} (1+|\log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})|)}{r^{t^{2+n}}}, & r \leq \lambda(t) \\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}}{r^{2}t^{2+n}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{5/2}}{t^{3+n}}, & t \geq r \geq \lambda(t) \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 3 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $u_{w,2,sub}$ is a solution to

$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u = \partial_t^2 u_{w,2,ell}(t,r)$$

The following part of $u_{w,2,sub}$ will also be important for the matching procedure:

(4.50)
$$u_{w,3,ell,0}(t,r) := -\frac{1}{r} \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} dy y^2 \partial_t^2 u_{w,2,ell,0,0}(t,y) + \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} dy y \partial_t^2 u_{w,2,ell,0,0}(t,y)$$

Directly from (4.14), we get

Lemma 4.15.

$$\left|\partial_t^k u_{w,3,ell,0}(t,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{Cr\lambda(t)^{5/2}(1+\log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}))}{t^{4+k}}, \quad r \geqslant \lambda(t), \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$$

 $u_{w,3,ell,0}$ is the leading part of $u_{w,2,sub,0}$ in the matching region, in the following sense.

Lemma 4.16. For $\lambda(t) \leq r \leq \frac{t}{4}$,

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(u_{w,2,sub} - u_{w,3,ell,0} - \left(\int_t^\infty w_2(s) ds + \int_t^\infty ds q_1''(s) \log(\frac{s-t}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}) \right. \\ & + \int_t^\infty ds(s-t) \partial_s^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2}) \right) \Big|_{y=s-t} \end{pmatrix} \Big) | \\ \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr^2\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x) \log^2(t)}}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{t^4}, & j = k = 0\\ \frac{Cr\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x) \log^2(t)}}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{t^4r}, & k = 1, j = 0\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x) \log^2(t)}}{t^4r}, & j = 1, k = 0\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x) \log^2(t)}}{t^4r}, & j = 1, k = 1 \text{ or } j = 0, k = 2 \end{split}$$

where

$$q_1(t) = \frac{-45\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)^2}{2}\partial_t^2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}, \quad q_2(t) = \frac{-45\sqrt{3}}{32}\left(-8\partial_t^2\left(\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)\lambda(t)^2 + 5\pi^2\lambda(t)^{3/2}\lambda''(t)\right)$$

and

$$w_2(t) = \frac{q_1(t)\lambda'(t)^2}{\lambda(t)^2} - q_2''(t) - \frac{(2q_1'(t)\lambda'(t) + q_1(t)\lambda''(t))}{\lambda(t)}$$

Proof. We recall the definition of $u_{w,2,ell,00}$ in (4.48), and start by defining

$$u_{w,2,sub,0}(t,r) = \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \partial_{s}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^{2}}\right)$$

Let q_1, q_2, w_2 be as in the lemma statement. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{w,2,sub,0}(t,r) &= \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} \frac{dy}{2r} \left(w_2(s) - \log(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}{y})q_1''(s) \right) \\ &= \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{2r} \left(w_2(s)(s-t+r-|s-t-r|) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(|s-t-r| \log(|s-t-r|) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

MOHANDAS PILLAI

We now write this expression in such a way as to make manifest those terms (which are roughly on the order of $\frac{r\lambda(t)^{5/2}}{t^4}$) which will cancel in the combination $u_{w,2,sub,0} - u_{w,3,ell,0}$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (4.51) \\ u_{w,2,sub,0}(t,r) &= \frac{r}{2} \left(-w_2(t) + \frac{3}{2} q_1''(t) + q_1''(t) \log(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{r}) \right) + \int_t^\infty w_2(s) ds + \int_t^\infty ds q_1''(s) \log(\frac{s-t}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}) \\ &+ r \int_0^1 dy \left(q_1''(t+ry) \log(\frac{\lambda(t+ry)}{r}) - q_1''(t) \log(\frac{\lambda(t)}{r}) \right) + r \int_0^1 dy (q_1''(t+ry) - q_1''(t)) \log(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{y}) \\ &- \int_t^{t+r} ds (w_2(s) - w_2(t)) - \frac{r}{2} (q_1''(t+r) - q_1''(t)) (-1 + \log(4)) \\ &- \frac{r^2}{2} \int_1^\infty q_1'''(t+ry) ((1+y^2) \coth^{-1}(y) + y(-1 + \log(1-\frac{1}{y^2}))) dy \\ &+ \frac{r}{2} \int_0^1 dy \left((w_2(t+ry) - w_2(t)) 2y \\ &- (q_1''(t+ry) - q_1''(t)) \left((1-y) \log(r(1-y)) - (1+y) \log(r(1+y)) \right) \\ &+ 2y(1 + \log(\sqrt{3}\lambda(t+ry)))) \right) \\ &+ \frac{r}{2} \int_0^1 dy (-q_1''(t) 2y) (\log(\lambda(t+ry) - \log(\lambda(t)))) \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the sixth integral term in the expression above comes from integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{t+r}^{\infty} ds q_1''(s) \log(\frac{(s-t-r)(s-t+r)}{(s-t)^2}) - \frac{1}{2r} \int_{t+r}^{\infty} ds q_1''(s)(s-t) \left(\log(\frac{s-t-r}{s-t+r}) + \frac{2r}{s-t}\right) \\ &= \frac{-r}{2} q_1''(t)(-1+\log(4)) \\ &- \frac{r}{2} (q_1''(t+r) - q_1''(t))(-1+\log(4)) - \frac{r^2}{2} \int_1^{\infty} q_1'''(t+ry)((1+y^2) \coth^{-1}(y) + y(-1+\log(1-\frac{1}{y^2}))) dy \end{aligned}$$

We recall the definition of $u_{w,3,ell,0}$ ((4.50)), and note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{-1}{r} \int_0^r y^2 \partial_t^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell,00}(t,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2}\lambda''(t)}{16y^2} \right) dy + \int_0^r y \partial_t^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell,00}(t,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2}\lambda''(t)}{16y^2} \right) dy \\ &= \frac{r}{2} (-w_2(t) + \frac{3}{2}q_1''(t) + q_1''(t)\log(\sqrt{3}\frac{\lambda(t)}{r})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} u_{w;2,sub,0} &- u_{w;3,ell,0} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} dyy^{2} \frac{675\pi}{16y^{2}} \partial_{t}^{2} (\lambda(t)^{5/2} \lambda''(t)) - \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} dyy \frac{675\pi}{16y^{2}} \partial_{t}^{2} (\lambda(t)^{5/2} \lambda''(t)) \\ &- \frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{\lambda(t)} y^{2} \partial_{t}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell,00} - \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2}\lambda''(t)}{16y^{2}} \right) dy + \int_{0}^{\lambda(t)} y \partial_{t}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell,00} - \frac{675\pi\lambda(t)^{5/2}\lambda''(t)}{16y^{2}} \right) dy \\ &+ \int_{t}^{\infty} w_{2}(s) ds + \int_{t}^{\infty} dsq_{1}''(s) \log(\frac{s-t}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}) + r \int_{0}^{1} dy \left(q_{1}''(t+ry) \log(\frac{\lambda(t+ry)}{r}) - q_{1}''(t) \log(\frac{\lambda(t)}{r}) \right) \\ &+ r \int_{0}^{1} dy(q_{1}''(t+ry) - q_{1}''(t)) \log(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{y}) - \int_{t}^{t+r} ds(w_{2}(s) - w_{2}(t)) - \frac{r}{2}(q_{1}''(t+r) - q_{1}''(t))(-1 + \log(4)) \\ &- \frac{r^{2}}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} q_{1}'''(t+ry)((1+y^{2}) \coth^{-1}(y) + y(-1 + \log(1-\frac{1}{y^{2}}))) dy \\ &+ \frac{r}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dy \left((w_{2}(t+ry) - w_{2}(t)) 2y \\ &- (q_{1}''(t+ry) - q_{1}''(t)) \left((1-y) \log(r(1-y)) - (1+y) \log(r(1+y)) \right) \\ &+ 2y(1 + \log(\sqrt{3}\lambda(t+ry)))) \right) \end{split}$$

Each term on the right-hand side of this expression (and its derivatives) can be straightforwardly estimated now. We thus get: for $0 \le k \le 2$ and j = 0 or $0 \le k \le 1$ and j = 1,

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(u_{w,2,sub,0} - u_{w,3,ell,0} - \left(\int_t^\infty w_2(s) ds + \int_t^\infty ds q_1''(s) \log(\frac{s-t}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}) \right) \right)| \\ \leqslant \frac{C}{t^{4+j} r^k} \log(t) \lambda(t)^{5/2} \left(\frac{r^2}{t} + \lambda(t) \right), \quad \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant t \end{split}$$

The next step is to estimate $u_{w,2,sub} - u_{w,2,sub,0}$. Let

$$F(s,y) = \partial_s^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2}) \right)$$

Using the definitions of $u_{w,2,sub}$ and $u_{w,2,sub,0}$, we get

$$\begin{split} u_{w,2,sub}(t,r) - u_{w,2,sub,0}(t,r) &= \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) F(s,y) \\ &= \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \partial_{s}^{2} \left(\frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^{2}}\right) \\ &+ \int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \partial_{s}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y)\right) \end{split}$$

Direct estimation gives, for $0 \le k \le 2$ and j = 0 or $0 \le k \le 1$ and j = 1, and in the region $\lambda(t) \le r \le \frac{t}{4}$,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(\int_t^\infty ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \partial_s^2 \left(\frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2}\right) \right) | \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}\log(t)}{r^k t^{4+j}}$$

Therefore, it suffices to estimate the following integral (with $G(s, y) = \partial_s^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s, y) - u_{w,2,ell,00}(s, y) \right)$)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t}^{\infty} ds \int_{|s-t-r|}^{s-t+r} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) G(s,y) \\ &= \int_{0}^{r} \frac{dy}{r} \left(r - (r-y)\right) \left(-\frac{y}{2}\right) G(t,y) + \int_{r}^{2r} \frac{dy}{r} \left(r - (y-r)\right) \left(\frac{-y}{2}\right) G(t,y) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} r dz \int_{r-rz}^{r+rz} dy \left(\frac{-y}{2r}\right) \left(G(rz+t,y) - G(t,y)\right) - \int_{t+r}^{\infty} (s-t)G(s,s-t) ds \\ &- \frac{1}{2r} \int_{t+r}^{\infty} ds \left(\int_{s-t-r}^{s-t+r} dy g(s,y) - 2r(s-t)G(s,s-t)\right) \end{split}$$

Each integral is directly estimated, using Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13, except for the following. We write (4.52)

$$\begin{split} \int_{t+r}^{\infty} (s-t)G(s,s-t)ds &= \int_{t+r}^{\infty} (s-t)\partial_s^2 \left(\frac{-675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2}\right)\Big|_{y=s-t}ds \\ &+ \int_t^{\infty} (s-t)\partial_s^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2})\right)\Big|_{y=s-t}ds \\ &- \int_t^{t+r} (s-t)\partial_s^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2})\right)\Big|_{y=s-t}ds \end{split}$$

The point is that the second term in (4.52) is not quite perturbative, while the others can be directly estimated. This gives rise to

$$\begin{split} |u_{w,2,sub} - u_{w,2,sub,0} - \int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)\partial_{s}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^{2}}) \right) \Big|_{y=s-t} |u_{w,2,sub,0} - \frac{Cr^{2}\lambda(t)^{2}\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\log^{2}(t)}{t^{5}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}\log^{2}(t)}{t^{4}}, \quad \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant \frac{t}{4} \end{split}$$

We estimate the derivatives of

$$u_{w,2,sub} - u_{w,2,sub,0} - \int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)\partial_{s}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^{2}}) \right) \Big|_{y=s-t}$$

exactly as in the proof of Lemma 4.6. This gives rise to the following. For $j = 0, 0 \le k \le 2$ or $j = 1, 0 \le k \le 1$, and in the region $\lambda(t) \le r \le \frac{t}{4}$,

$$\begin{split} &|\partial_r^k \partial_t^j \left(u_{w,2,sub} - u_{w,2,sub,0} - \int_t^\infty ds(s-t) \partial_s^2 \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^2}) \right) \Big|_{y=s-t} \right) |\\ &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^5} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{t^4r}, & k = 1, j = 0\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^4}, & j = 1, k = 0\\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{rt^4}, & j + k = 2 \end{cases}$$

32

Finally, let v_3 be the solution to

(4.53)
$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t^2 v_3 + \partial_r^2 v_3 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_3 = 0\\ v_3(0, r) = 0, \quad \partial_t v_3(0, r) = v_{3,0}(r) \end{cases}$$

where $v_{3,0}$ is to be specified later. In particular, we have

$$v_3(t,r) = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) v_{3,0}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2rt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta$$

Then, $u = u_{w,2} + v_3$ is a particular solution to the equation

$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r u = RHS_2(t,r)$$

and $v_{3,0}$ will be chosen soon so that $u_{w,2} + v_3$ has a desired behavior in the matching region. The leading part of v_3 in the matching region will turn out to be

$$v_{3,main}(t,r) = tv_{3,0}(t)$$

4.6. Matching, Part 1. We recall the leading parts of u_{ell} , w_1 , v_2 , and v_{ex} (from (4.5), (4.13), (4.34), (4.28), respectively) in the matching region.

$$v_{ex,ell,0}(t,r) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\left(\lambda'(t)^2\left(15\log\left(\frac{4r^2}{3\lambda(t)^2}\right) - 17\right) + 2\lambda(t)\lambda''(t)\left(5\log\left(\frac{4r^2}{3\lambda(t)^2}\right) + 1\right)\right)}{16r}$$

Recalling the expression for $u_{w,2,ell,0,0}$ from (4.48), direct computation gives

(4.54)

$$u_{ell,main} - (u_{w,2,ell,00} + v_{2,lm} + v_{ex,ell,0} + w_{1,lm}) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\lambda(t)} \left(16c_1(t)\sqrt{\lambda(t)} + 6(15\log(4) - 37)\lambda'(t)^2 + 3\left(-75\pi^2 + 212 + 20\log(4)\right)\lambda(t)\lambda''(t)\right)}{32r}$$

Note that $v_{2,lm}$ and $w_{1,lm}$ each contain terms of the form $f_k(t)r^k$ for k = 0, 1 which exactly cancel with terms of the same form from $u_{ell,main}$ when these functions are combined as in (4.54). This is (partly) due to the specific choice of $v_{2,0}$ from (4.33). Also, $u_{ell,main}, u_{w,2,ell,00}$, and $v_{ex,ell,0}$ each separately contain terms of the form $f(t)\frac{\log(r)}{r}$, but, all such terms exactly cancel in the combination in (4.54). This is reminiscent of a similar "automatic" matching of logarithmically higher order terms observed in [20]. We choose

$$c_1(t) = \frac{3\left((74 - 30\log(4))\lambda'(t)^2 + (75\pi^2 - 4(53 + 5\log(4)))\lambda(t)\lambda''(t)\right)}{16\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}$$

so that

$$(4.55) u_{ell,main} - (u_{w,2,ell,00} + v_{2,lm} + v_{ex,ell,0} + w_{1,lm}) = 0$$

Now that we have fixed the function c_1 , we can directly estimate $u_{ell} - u_{ell,main}$, and u_{ell} . Note that the last estimate in the lemma statement is not sharp in the sense that $\partial_r^k u_{ell}(t,r)$ has no singularity as $r \to 0$, but, the estimate suffices for its use later on.

Lemma 4.17. For $0 \leq j, k \leq 2$,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_R^k \left(u_{ell}(t, R\lambda(t)) - u_{ell,main}(t, R\lambda(t)) \right)| \leqslant C \frac{\lambda(t)^{3/2} (1 + \log(R))}{t^{2+j} R^{3+k}}, \quad R \ge 1$$

For $0 \leq j, k \leq 4$,

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_R^k \left(u_{ell}(t, R\lambda(t)) \right)| \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{2+j}} \begin{cases} 1, \quad k = 0\\ R^{2-k}, \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 \\ R^{4-k}, \quad 3 \leqslant k \leqslant 4 \end{cases}, \quad R \leqslant 1$$

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k u_{ell}(t,r)| \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{2+j}r^k} \begin{cases} 1, & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{r}{\lambda(t)}, & r \geqslant \lambda(t) \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leqslant j+k \leqslant 6$$

4.7. Matching, Part 2. Next, we consider matching of higher order terms. We recall the decomposition of $u_{ell,2}$ given in (4.7), and define $u_{ell,2,main}$ to be the sum of the terms arising in a large r expansion of $u_{ell,2}(t,r)$ which do not decay as $r \to \infty$. In particular, we have the following. Let

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda'(t)}{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}\right), \quad b(t) = \frac{-15}{8}\pi\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\lambda''(t)$$

We use (4.55), recall the definitions of $u_{w,3,ell,0}$ and $v_{ex,ell,0}$ in (4.50) and (4.28), respectively, inspect (4.7), and claim that the sum of the terms arising in a large r expansion of $u_{ell,2}(t,r)$ which do not decay as $r \to \infty$ is given by.

 $u_{ell,2,main}(t,r)$

$$= v_{ex,sub,ell}(t,r) + u_{w,3,ell,0}(t,r) - \frac{2}{\lambda(t)\sqrt{3}} \int_{\lambda(t)}^{\infty} x^2 \hat{c}_1^2 (u_{w,2,ell,0,0} + v_{ex,ell,0})(t,x) \left(\phi_0(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)}) + \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{2x}\right) dx$$

$$- \frac{15}{2}\lambda(t)^2 \log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})b''(t) + \frac{1}{12}a''(t)r^3 + \frac{1}{6}b''(t)r^2 - \frac{15}{8}\lambda(t)^2a''(t)r + \frac{43}{6}\lambda(t)^3a''(t) + \frac{53}{4}\lambda(t)^2b''(t)$$

$$- \frac{\lambda(t)^2}{12} \left(-206\lambda(t)a''(t) - 3(13 + 30\log(\frac{3}{2}))b''(t)\right)$$

$$- \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \int_1^{\infty} s^2\lambda(t)^2 \hat{c}_1^2 e_{ell,1}(t,s\lambda(t))\phi_0(s)ds - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \int_0^1 s^2\lambda(t)^2 \hat{c}_1^2 u_{ell}(t,s\lambda(t))\phi_0(s)ds$$

Then, $u_{ell,2,main}$ is the leading part of $u_{ell,2}$ in the matching region in the following sense.

Lemma 4.18. We have the following estimates. For j = 0 and $0 \le k \le 2$ or j = 1 and $0 \le k \le 1$

$$\begin{split} \partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(u_{ell,2} - u_{ell,2,main} \right) (t,r) &| \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^{9/2} (1 + \log^2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}))}{r^{1+k} t^{4+j}}, \quad r \geqslant \lambda(t) \\ &| \partial_t^j \partial_r^k u_{ell,2}(t,r) | \leqslant \frac{Cr^{2-k} (r + \lambda(t)) \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{4+j}}, \quad j,k = 0,1 \\ &| \partial_t^{2+j} \partial_r^k u_{ell,2}(t,r) | \leqslant \frac{Cr^{2-k} \lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^{6+j}}, \quad r \leqslant \lambda(t), \quad 0 \leqslant j,k \leqslant 2 \\ &| \partial_t^{2+j} u_{ell,2}(t,r) | \leqslant \frac{Cr^3 \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{6+j}}, \quad r \geqslant \lambda(t), \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 \end{split}$$

(The estimates on $u_{ell,2}$ in the above lemma follow directly from Lemma 4.17, and the definition of $u_{ell,2}$). Next, recalling the definitions of a_1 and b_1 ((4.49)), a direct computation gives

$$\begin{split} e_{m,2} &:= u_{ell,2,main} - \left(v_{ex,sub,ell} + u_{w,3,ell,0} + w_{1,cm} - w_{1,lm} + v_{2,qm} - v_{2,lm} + u_{w,2,ell,0,1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\lambda(t)\sqrt{3}} \int_{\lambda(t)}^{\infty} x^2 \partial_1^2 (u_{w,2,ell,0,0} + v_{ex,ell,0})(t,x) \left(\phi_0(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)}) + \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda(t)}{2x} \right) dx + \frac{43}{6} \lambda(t)^3 a''(t) + \frac{53}{4} \lambda(t)^2 b''(t) \\ &+ \frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}} \int_1^{\infty} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 e_{ell,1}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \int_0^1 s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \partial_1^2 u_{ell}(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds \\ &- \frac{\lambda(t)^2}{12} \left(-206\lambda(t)a''(t) - 3(13 + 30\log(\frac{3}{2}))b''(t) \right) - \frac{45}{4} \lambda(t)^2 ((6 + \log(9))b_1(t) + 3\sqrt{3}\pi a_1(t)\lambda(t)) \end{split}$$

In particular, the r^3 , r^2 , r, and $\log(r)$ terms from the functions on the left-hand side all exactly cancel in the combination $e_{m,2}$, which is independent of r, and turns out to be perturbative, as per the following lemma.

Lemma 4.19. For $0 \leq j \leq 2$,

$$|\partial_t^j e_{m,2}(t)| \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^{7/2}}{t^{4+j}}$$

We have not yet chosen the initial velocity, $v_{3,0}$, of the free wave v_3 from (4.53), and don't need to choose it to allow for cancellations between $v_{3,main}$ and $e_{m,2}$, in light of the above lemma. On the other hand, as per Lemmas 4.6, 4.12, and 4.16, $u_{w,2,sub} - u_{w,3,ell,0}$, $u_{w,2,ell} - u_{w,2,ell,0}$, and $v_{ex,sub} - v_{ex,sub,ell}$ contain terms that are not quite perturbative. Therefore, we choose $v_{3,0}$, the initial velocity of the free wave v_3 from (4.53), so that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t}^{\infty} w_{2}(s)ds + \int_{t}^{\infty} dsq_{1}''(s)\log(\frac{s-t}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}) - \int_{t}^{\infty} dsg_{3}(s) - \int_{t}^{\infty} dsg_{2}''(s)\log\left(\frac{4(s-t)^{2}}{3\lambda(s)^{2}}\right) \\ &+ \int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)\partial_{s}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^{2}})\right)\Big|_{y=s-t} \\ &- \int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)\partial_{s}^{2} \left(v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y)\right)\Big|_{y=s-t} \\ &- \int_{0}^{\infty} xV(t,x)(w_{1}+v_{2}-w_{1,lm}-v_{2,lm})(t,x)dx + v_{3,main}(t,r) = 0, \quad t \ge T_{0} \end{split}$$

MOHANDAS PILLAI

All of the estimates in the entire proof thus far are valid for all $t \ge T_0$ for any $T_0 \ge T_{0,1}$ (recall (4.2), $T_{0,1}$ is some fixed, absolute constant). In particular, they are valid for all $t \ge T_{0,1}$. Then, we choose

$$\begin{split} v_{3,0}(r) &= \frac{\psi(r\frac{T_{\lambda}}{T_{0,1}})}{r} \left(-\int_{t}^{\infty} w_{2}(s)ds - \int_{t}^{\infty} dsq_{1}''(s)\log(\frac{s-t}{\sqrt{3}\lambda(s)}) + \int_{t}^{\infty} dsg_{3}(s) + \int_{t}^{\infty} dsg_{2}''(s)\log\left(\frac{4(s-t)^{2}}{3\lambda(s)^{2}}\right) \right. \\ &\left. -\int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)\partial_{s}^{2} \left(u_{w,2,ell}(s,y) - (u_{w,2,ell,00}(s,y) - \frac{675\pi\lambda(s)^{5/2}\lambda''(s)}{16y^{2}}) \right) \right|_{y=s-t} \\ &\left. +\int_{t}^{\infty} ds(s-t)\partial_{s}^{2} \left(v_{ex,ell}(s,y) - v_{ex,ell,0}(s,y) \right) \right|_{y=s-t} \\ &\left. +\int_{0}^{\infty} xV(t,x)(w_{1}+v_{2}-w_{1,lm}-v_{2,lm})(t,x)dx \right) \right|_{t=r} \end{split}$$

where ψ is defined in (4.11), and further restrict T_0 to satisfy $T_0 \ge 2T_{0,1}$, but is otherwise arbitrary. Lemmas 4.4, 4.12, 4.13 thus imply

Lemma 4.20. For $0 \leq j \leq 3$,

$$|v_{3,0}^{(j)}(r)| \leq \frac{C \mathbb{1}_{\{r \geq T_{\lambda}\}}}{r^{4+j}} \lambda(r)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(r)$$

Now that we have defined and estimated $v_{3,0}$, we can estimate v_3 , defined in (4.53). Lemma 4.21. For j = 0, $0 \le k \le 2$ or j = 1, and $0 \le k \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^k \partial_t^j (v_3(t,r) - v_{3,main}(t,r))| &\leq \frac{Cr^{2-k}\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^{5+j}}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ |\partial_t^2 (v_3(t,r) - v_{3,main}(t,r))| &\leq \frac{Cr\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^6}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ &\leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

For $j + k \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^k \partial_t^j v_3(t,r)| &\leqslant \frac{C(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)})^5 \log^2(r)}{r \langle t - r \rangle^2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle^{j+k}} + \frac{1}{t^{j+k}} \right), \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ |\partial_{ttr} v_3(t,r)| + |\partial_t^3 v_3(t,r)| &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C \lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log^2(t)}{t^6}, \quad r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^5 \log^2(t+r)}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle^5} + \frac{1}{t \langle t - r \rangle^4} \right), \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We note that

$$(v_3 - v_{3,main})(t,r) = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) \int_0^r dy(r-y) \partial_y^2(v_{3,0}(\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}))$$

This immediately leads to the estimates in the lemma statement for $0 \leq j, k \leq 1$. Next, we use

$$(v_3 - v_{3,main})(t,r) = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin(\theta) \int_0^r dy \frac{(y + t\cos(\theta))}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}} v'_{3,0}(\sqrt{t^2 + y^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta \sin(\theta) \int_0^r dy \frac{(y + t\cos(\theta))}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}} v'_{3,0}(\sqrt{t^2 + y^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta \sin(\theta) \int_0^r dy \frac{(y + t\cos(\theta))}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}} v'_{3,0}(\sqrt{t^2 + y^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta \sin(\theta) d\theta \sin(\theta) \int_0^r dy \frac{(y + t\cos(\theta))}{\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}} v'_{3,0}(\sqrt{t^2 + y^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}) d\theta \sin(\theta) d\theta \sin(\theta)$$

for the remaining estimates in the lemma statement, since we only estimated three derivatives of $v_{3,0}$ in (4.20). We then finish the proof by using the same procedure as in Lemma 4.8.

4.8. Matching, Part 3. Here, we need to match the leading part of $u_{ell,3}$ (defined in (4.8)) with appropriate parts of $w_{1,main}$ and $v_{2,main}$. The leading part of $u_{ell,3}$ in the matching region is given by the following function, as per the following lemma.

$$u_{ell,3,main}(t,r) := \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} \left(\frac{-x^2}{r} + x\right) \hat{\sigma}_1^2(w_{1,cm} - w_{1,lm} + v_{2,qm} - v_{2,lm})(t,x) dx$$

Lemma 4.22. For $j = 0, 0 \le k \le 2$ or $j = 1, 0 \le k \le 1$,

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k \left(u_{ell,3} - u_{ell,3,main}\right)(t,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{Cr^{3-k}\lambda(t)^{5/2}}{t^{6+j}} (1 + \log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})), \quad r \geqslant \lambda(t)$$

Proof. We recall that $u_{ell,2}$ is defined in (4.6), use the first order matching, (4.55), and get

$$\begin{split} u_{ell,2}(t,r) &= \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} \lambda(t)^{2} \left(\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) e_{2}(s) + \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} - \left(e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \phi_{0}(s) + \frac{1}{s} \right) \right) \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}(w_{1,lm} + v_{2,lm})(t, s\lambda(t)) ds \\ &+ w_{1,cm}(t,r) - w_{1,lm}(t,r) + v_{2,qm}(t,r) - v_{2,lm}(t,r) \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^{2} \lambda(t)^{2} \left(\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) e_{2}(s) + \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} - \left(e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \phi_{0}(s) + \frac{1}{s} \right) \right) \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}(w_{1,lm} + v_{2,lm})(t, s\lambda(t)) ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} (\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) e_{2}(s) - e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \phi_{0}(s)) s^{2} \lambda(t)^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}(u_{w,2,ell,0,0} + v_{ex,ell,0})(t, s\lambda(t)) ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} (\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) e_{2}(s) - e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \phi_{0}(s)) s^{2} \lambda(t)^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} e_{ell,1}(t, s\lambda(t)) ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} (\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) e_{2}(s) - e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \phi_{0}(s)) s^{2} \lambda(t)^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}(u_{ell} - v_{2,lm} - w_{1,lm})(t, s\lambda(t)) ds \end{split}$$

where we used

$$I := \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{\lambda(t)}{r}\right) \hat{c}_1^2(w_{1,lm} + v_{2,lm})(t, s\lambda(t)) ds = w_{1,cm}(t, r) - w_{1,lm}(t, r) + v_{2,qm}(t, r) - v_{2,lm}(t, r) + v_{2,qm}(t, r) + v_{2,qm}(t, r) + v_{2,qm}(t, r) + v_{2,lm}(t, r) + v_{$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} u_{ell,3}(t,r) &- u_{ell,3,main}(t,r) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} (\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})e_{2}(s) - e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})\phi_{0}(s))s^{2}\lambda(t)^{2}\partial_{1}^{2}u_{ell,2}(t,s\lambda(t))ds \\ &+ \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} \left(\frac{\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})x^{2}e_{2}(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)})}{\lambda(t)} - \frac{e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})x^{2}\phi_{0}(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)})}{\lambda(t)} + \frac{x^{2}}{r} - x\right)\partial_{1}^{2}(w_{1,cm} - w_{1,lm} + v_{2,qm} - v_{2,lm})(t,x)dx \\ &+ \int_{\lambda(t)}^{r} \left(\frac{\phi_{0}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})x^{2}e_{2}(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)})}{\lambda(t)} - \frac{e_{2}(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})x^{2}\phi_{0}(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)})}{\lambda(t)}\right)\partial_{1}^{2}(u_{ell,2} - I)(t,x)dx \end{split}$$

We then insert each term of (4.58) into the last term in the above expression, and estimate the resulting integrals, and their derivatives directly.

We also use Lemma 4.18 to directly estimate $u_{ell,3}$:

Lemma 4.23.

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_r^k u_{ell,3}(t,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{C\sqrt{\lambda(t)}r^{4-k}(r+\lambda(t))}{t^{6+j}}, \quad j,k=0,1$$

For $0 \leq k \leq 1$,

$$\partial_r^k \partial_t^2 u_{ell,3}(t,r) | \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr^{4-k}\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^8}, & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{Cr^{5-k}\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^8}, & r \geqslant \lambda(t) \end{cases}$$

By direct computation, we get

$$u_{ell,3,main} - (w_{1,main} - w_{1,cm} + v_{2,main} - v_{2,qm}) = -\int_0^{\lambda(t)} \left(\frac{-x^2}{r} + x\right) \partial_t^2 \left(w_{1,cm} - w_{1,lm} + v_{2,qm} - v_{2,lm}\right) (t,x) dx$$

Thus, the terms in $u_{ell,3,main}$ which grow fastest as r approaches infinity match $w_{1,main} - w_{1,cm} + v_{2,main} - v_{2,qm}$. A direct estimation gives

Lemma 4.24. For j = 0, $0 \le k \le 2$ or j = 1, $0 \le k \le 1$, and for $r \ge \lambda(t)$,

$$\left|\partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{r}^{k}\left(u_{ell,3,main}-\left(w_{1,main}-w_{1,cm}+v_{2,main}-v_{2,qm}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{11/2}}{t^{6+j}} \begin{cases} 1, & k=0\\ \frac{\lambda(t)}{r^{1+k}}, & k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

4.9. Preliminary Ansatz. We need to add another correction into our ansatz, in order to eliminate the linear error term associated to v_3 , namely

$$e_3(t,r) := \frac{-\chi_{\ge 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)}) \cdot 45\lambda(t)^2 v_3(t,r)}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2}$$

We let u_3 be the solution to

$$-\partial_t^2 u_3 + \partial_r^2 u_3 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r u_3 = e_3(t,r)$$

with zero Cauchy data at infinity. In other words,

(4.59)
$$u_3(t,r) = \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} y e_3(s,y) dy\right)$$

Then, we have

Lemma 4.25. For $r \ge \frac{h(t)}{4}$, the following estimates are true.

$$|u_3(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \left(\log^2(t) + \log^2(r)\right)}{t^4}$$

(4.60)
$$|u_3(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^2(t)}{rt^3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{r}u_{3}(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \left(\log^{2}(t) + \log^{2}(r)\right)}{rt^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{t}\right)^{\frac{5C_{u}}{2}}}{\langle t - r \rangle^{2}} + \frac{r}{t^{3}}\right) \\ |\partial_{t}u_{3}(t,r)| &\leq C \frac{\lambda(t)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{2}(t)}{t^{5}}, \quad \frac{h(t)}{4} \leq r \leq \frac{t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON \mathbb{R}^139

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{tr} u_{3}(t,r)| + |\partial_{t}^{2} u_{3}(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{2}(t)}{rt^{4}h(t)^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{rh(t)^{2}}{t}\right), \quad \frac{h(t)}{4} \leq r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ |\partial_{r}^{2} u_{3}| &\leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{2}(t)}{rt^{3}h(t)^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{h(t)^{2}}{r^{3}} + \frac{h(t)^{2}}{tr} + \frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \frac{h(t)}{4} \leq r \leq \frac{t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

(4.61)
$$||\partial_t u_3||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + ||\partial_r u_3||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^2(t)}{t^3}$$

Proof. We start with the following estimates on e_3 , which are a direct consequence of Lemma 4.21.

(4.62)
$$|e_3(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\mathbb{1}_{\{r \geq \frac{h(t)}{2}\}}\lambda(t)^2}{(h(t)^2 + r^2)^2} \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \cdot \log^2(t)}{t^3}, & r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^2(r)}{r\langle t - r \rangle^2}, & r \geq \frac{t}{2} \end{cases}$$

Then, we insert these into (4.59), to get

$$\begin{split} u_{3}(t,r) &\leqslant C \int_{t}^{t+2r} \frac{ds}{r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} \frac{y\lambda(s)^{4} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},s]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right) \log^{2}(s)}{s^{3}(h(s)^{2}+y^{2})^{2}} \\ &+ C \int_{t}^{t+2r} \frac{ds}{r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} \frac{\lambda(s)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},s]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{2}(y) dy}{\langle s-y \rangle^{2} s^{4}} \\ &+ C \int_{t+2r}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{r} \int_{\frac{s-t}{2}}^{\frac{3(s-t)}{2}} \frac{y\lambda(s)^{2}}{(h(s)^{2}+y^{2})^{2}} \frac{\lambda(s)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},s]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right) \log^{2}(s)}{s^{3}} dy \\ &+ C \int_{t+2r}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} \frac{\lambda(s)^{2}}{s^{4}} \left(\frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},s]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{2}(y)}{\langle s-y \rangle^{2}} \right) dy \end{split}$$

which gives the first estimate in the lemma statement. For (4.60) (which is useful when $r \ge t$) we again insert (4.62) into (4.59), and estimate the integrals by:

$$\begin{aligned} |u_3(t,r)| &\leq C \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{r} \int_{h(s)}^\infty dy \frac{\lambda(s)^4 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, s]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right) \log^2(s)}{y^3 s^5} \\ &+ C \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{r} \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^\infty dy \frac{\lambda(s)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^5 \log^2(s)}{s^2 y^2 \langle s - y \rangle^2} \end{aligned}$$

We estimate $\partial_r u_3$ similarly. For $\partial_t u_3$, we note that

$$u_{3}(t,r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dw \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-w|}^{r+w} y e_{3}(t+w,y) dy \right)$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\partial_t u_3(t,r) = \int_0^\infty dw \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-w|}^{r+w} y \partial_1 e_3(t+w,y) dy \right)$$

Then, we estimate $\partial_t u_3$ similarly to u_3 . $\partial_{tr} u_3$ and $\partial_t^2 u_3$ are estimated similarly to $\partial_r u_3$. Next, we use the equation

$$\partial_r^2 u_3 = e_3(t,r) - \frac{2}{r}\partial_r u_3 + \partial_t^2 u_3$$

to estimate $\partial_r^2 u_3$ from our previous estimates. Finally, the energy estimate, (4.61), is proven with the same procedure used to establish (4.41), with the analog of (4.42) being

$$|u_3(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^4 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right) \log^2(t)}{h(t)^3 t^4} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^2(t)}{t^3}$$

(which results from directly inserting (4.62) into (4.59)).

Let

(4.63)
$$\psi_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \ge \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & x \le \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$

We will insert the function $\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_3(t,r)$ into our ansatz, and define its error term as

$$e_{u_3}(t,r) := -\left(\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r - V(t,r)\right)(\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_3) - e_3(t,r)\right)$$

where we recall the definition of V in (2.2). Using the support properties of e_3 and ψ_2 ,

$$(1 - \psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)}))e_3(t, r) = 0$$

and this gives

$$e_{u_3}(t,r) := -2\partial_r u_3(t,r)\partial_r(\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})) + 2\partial_t u_3(t,r)\partial_t\left(\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})\right) + V(t,r)u_3(t,r)\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)}) + u_3(t,r)\left(-\partial_r^2\left(\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})\right) + \partial_t^2\left(\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})\right) - \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\left(\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})\right)\right)$$

A direct application of Lemma 4.25 gives

Lemma 4.26.

$$||e_{u_3}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^2(t)}{\sqrt{h(t)}t^4}$$

We now assemble our previous corrections into a function, u_a , as follows. Let

 $u_e(t,r) = u_{ell}(t,r) + u_{ell,2}(t,r) + u_{ell,3}(t,r), \quad u_w(t,r) = w_1(t,r) + v_{ex}(t,r) + v_2(t,r) + u_{w,2}(t,r) + v_3(t,r) + v_{ell,3}(t,r) + v_{ell$

$$(4.64) \quad \chi_{\leq 1} \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty)), \quad \chi_{\leq 1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \leq 1\\ 0, & x \geq 2 \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \chi_{\leq 1}(x) \leq 1, \quad \chi_{\geq 1}(x) = 1 - \chi_{\leq 1}(x) \\ u_c(t,r) = \chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})u_e(t,r) + (1 - \chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)}))u_w(t,r) \end{cases}$$

GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON \mathbb{R}^14^3

Then, we define

$$u_a(t,r) = u_c(t,r) + u_3(t,r)\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})$$

If we substitute $u(t,r) = Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + u_a(t,r) + v(t,r)$ into (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(4.65) \\ &-\partial_t^2 v + \partial_r^2 v + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v + \frac{45\lambda(t)^2}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2} v(t,r) \\ &= e_{match}(t,r) + e_{ell,3}(t,r) + e_{ex}(t,r) + e_{w,2}(t,r) + e_{u_3}(t,r) - ((Q_{\lambda(t)} + u_a + v)^5 - Q_{\lambda(t)}^5 - 5Q_{\lambda(t)}^4(u_a + v)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} e_{match}(t,r) &= \left(\partial_t^2 (\chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})) - \partial_r^2 (\chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})) - \frac{2}{r} \partial_r (\chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)}))\right) (u_e - u_w) \\ &+ 2\partial_t (\chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)}))\partial_t (u_e - u_w) - 2\partial_r (\chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)}))\partial_r (u_e - u_w) \\ &e_{ell,3}(t,r) = \chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})\partial_t^2 u_{ell,3} \\ &e_{ex}(t,r) := -\chi_{\geq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)})\frac{45\lambda(t)^2 v_{ex}(t,r)}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2} \\ &e_{w,2}(t,r) = V(t,r)u_{w,2}(t,r)\chi_{\geq 1}(\frac{r}{h(t)}) \end{split}$$

We now estimate each of the linear error terms, starting with e_{match} . Using (4.55) and (4.56), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_e(t,r) - u_w(t,r) &= u_{ell} - u_{ell,main} + u_{ell,2} - u_{ell,2,main} + u_{ell,3} - u_{ell,3,main} - (v_3 - v_{3,main}) + e_{m,2} \\ &- (w_1 - w_{1,main}) - (v_2 - v_{2,main}) - (u_{w,2} - u_{w,2,ell,00} - u_{w,2,ell,0,1} - u_{w,3,ell,0}) \\ &- (v_{ex} - v_{ex,ell,0} - v_{ex,sub,ell}) + u_{ell,3,main} - (w_{1,main} - w_{1,cm} + v_{2,main} - v_{2,qm}) - v_{3,main} \end{aligned}$$

By directly combining Lemmas 4.17, 4.18, 4.22, 4.21, 4.19, 4.16, 4.7, 4.13, 4.12, 4.6, 4.4, 4.24, we get

Lemma 4.27. For $j = 0, 0 \le k \le 2$ or $j = 1, 0 \le k \le 1$, and in the region $\lambda(t) \le r \le \frac{t}{4}$, we have

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t^j \partial_r^k ((u_e - u_w + w_1 - w_{1,main})(t,r))| &\leq \frac{C\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{r^{3+k}t^{2+j}} \left(\lambda(t)^4 \log^2(t) + \frac{r^9\lambda(t)}{t^6}\right) \\ &+ C \begin{cases} \frac{r^2\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^5} + \frac{\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{t^4}, & j = k = 0\\ \frac{r\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^5} + \frac{\lambda(t)^{7/2} \log^2(t)}{rt^4}, & k = 1, j = 0\\ \frac{\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^4}, & j = 1, k = 0\\ \frac{\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \log^2(t)}{t^4r}, & j = k = 2 \end{cases}$$

Lemmas 4.1 and 4.27 directly give

Lemma 4.28.

$$||e_{match}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C\log^2(t)}{t^{4+\delta}}$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2}\min\{4 - 7C_u - \frac{13}{2}a(1 - C_u), -2 - C_u + \frac{7}{2}a(1 - C_u), 1 - 4C_u + \frac{3}{2}a(-1 + C_u), \frac{1}{2}a(1 - C_u) - 3C_u\} > 0$$

Note that the positivity of δ is due to (4.3). Next, we consider $e_{w,2}$. By Lemma 4.9, we get Lemma 4.29.

$$||e_{w,2}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} (\lambda(x)^{5/2}) \log^2(t)}{h(t)^{7/2} t^2} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \log^2(t) \sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} (\lambda(x)^{3/2})}{t^2 h(t)^{7/2}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \log^2(t) \log^2(t) \log^2(t)}{t^2 h(t)^{7/2}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \log^2(t) \log^2(t)}{t^2 h(t)^{7/2}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \log^2(t) \log^2(t$$

Similarly, Lemma 4.3 gives

Lemma 4.30.

$$||e_{ex}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{9/2}\log(t)}{t^{2}h(t)^{7/2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{h(t)}\right)$$

The next linear error term to consider is $e_{ell,3}$. From Lemma 4.23, we get

Lemma 4.31.

$$||e_{ell,3}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{Ch(t)^{13/2}\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^8}$$

4.10. Nonlinear error terms, part 1. We study the nonlinear error terms in (4.65). In particular, we define

$$u_{a,0}(t,r) := \chi_{\leq 1}\left(\frac{r}{h(t)}\right) u_{ell}(t,r) + \left(1 - \chi_{\leq 1}\left(\frac{r}{h(t)}\right)\right) (w_1 + v_2 + v_3)$$
$$u_{a,1}(t,r) := \chi_{\leq 1}\left(\frac{r}{h(t)}\right) (u_{ell,2}(t,r) + u_{ell,3}(t,r)) + \left(1 - \chi_{\leq 1}\left(\frac{r}{h(t)}\right)\right) (v_{ex} + u_{w,2}) + u_3(t,r)\psi_2(\frac{r}{h(t)})$$

so that $u_a(t,r) = u_{a,0}(t,r) + u_{a,1}(t,r)$. Next, we split the nonlinear terms into N_0 , which is not quite perturbative, and N_1 which is.

(4.66)
$$N_0(t,r) := -\left(10Q_{\lambda(t)}^3 u_{a,0}^2 + 10Q_{\lambda}^2 u_{a,0}^3 + 5Q_{\lambda} u_{a,0}^4 + u_{a,0}^5\right)$$

$$N_1(t,r) := -\left(10Q_{\lambda(t)}^3(u_a^2 - u_{a,0}^2) + 10Q_{\lambda}^2(u_a^3 - u_{a,0}^3) + 5Q_{\lambda}(u_a^4 - u_{a,0}^4) + u_a^5 - u_{a,0}^5\right)$$

We begin by showing that N_1 is perturbative:

Lemma 4.32.

$$||N_1(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C \log^{10}(t)}{t^{4+\delta_1}}$$

where $\delta_1 > 0$ is given by

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}min\{2 + \frac{5}{2}a(-1 + C_u) - 5C_u, \frac{3}{2}a(1 - C_u) - 3C_u, \frac{3}{2}a - \frac{3}{2}(1 - a)C_l - \frac{7}{2}C_u, \frac{3}{2} - C_l - \frac{9}{2}C_u, 2 - C_l - \frac{25}{2}C_u\}$$

42

GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON \mathbb{R}^143

Proof. From the definition of N_1 , we get

(4.67)
$$|N_1(t,r)| \leq C|u_{a,1}|(|Q_\lambda|^3(|u_a|+|u_{a,0}|)+u_{a,0}^4+u_a^4)$$

Let

$$\begin{split} u_{a,0,est}(t,r) &= \left(\mathbbm{1}_{\{r \leqslant 2h(t)\}} \frac{\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^2} \begin{cases} 1, & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{r}{\lambda(t)}, & r \geqslant \lambda(t) \end{cases} \\ &+ \mathbbm{1}_{\{r \geqslant h(t)\}} \begin{cases} \frac{r \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}}{t^2}, & r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right) \log^2(t+r)}{r} \left(1 + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^4}{\langle t-r \rangle^2} \right), & r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Then, using Lemmas 4.17, 4.2, 4.8, 4.21 and 4.20, we get,

$$\left|\partial_r^k u_{a,0}(t,r)\right| \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{Cr}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)t^2}}, & k = 1 \text{ and } r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ C\left(\frac{1}{r^k} + \frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle^k} + \frac{1}{t^k}\right) \cdot u_{a,0,est}(t,r), & k = 0 \text{ and } r > 0 \text{ or } k = 1 \text{ and } r \geqslant \lambda(t) \end{cases}$$

For later use, we also note that, for $0 \leq j \leq 3$,

(4.68)
$$\left|\partial_t^j u_{a,0}(t,r)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{t^j} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r\rangle^j}\right) \cdot u_{a,0,est}(t,r)$$

and the following two estimates are true:

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{tr} u_{a,0}(t,r)| &\leq C u_{a,0,est}(t,r) \left(\frac{1}{tr} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{r\langle t-r \rangle} + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \\ |\partial_{ttr} u_{a,0}(t,r)| &\leq C u_{a,0,est}(t,r) \left(\frac{1}{t^2r} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle^3} + \frac{1}{r\langle t-r \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{t^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use Lemmas 4.18, 4.23, 4.3, 4.9, 4.25, to get, for k = 0, 1, (4.69)

$$\begin{split} |\partial_{r}^{k}u_{a,1}(t,r)| &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{r^{k}} + \frac{1}{t^{k}}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbbm{1}_{\{r \leq 2h(t)\}} \frac{r^{2}(r + \lambda(t))\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{4}} \\ &+ C\mathbbm{1}_{\{r \geq \frac{h(t)}{4}\}} \left(\frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \lambda(x)^{5/2}\right) \log^{2}(t+r)}{rt^{2}} + \frac{\lambda(t)^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{2}(t)}{t^{3} \max\{r, t\}}\right) \right) \\ &+ C \begin{cases} 0, \quad k = 0 \\ |\partial_{r}u_{3}(t, r)| |\psi_{2}(\frac{r}{h(t)})| + \mathbbm{1}_{\{r \geq h(t)\}} \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t+r]} \lambda(x)^{3/2}\right) \log^{2}(t+r)}{rt^{2}}, \quad k = 1 \end{cases}$$

We obtain the lemma statement by inserting the above into (4.67), and estimating directly, using Lemma 4.25 to estimate $||\partial_r u_3(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)}$.

Next, we consider N_0 , which we recall is defined in (4.66). We let u_{N_0} be the solution to the following equation with 0 Cauchy data at infinity.

$$-\partial_t^2 u_{N_0} + \partial_r^2 u_{N_0} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_{N_0} = N_0$$

MOHANDAS PILLAI

We have

(4.70)
$$u_{N_0}(t,r) = \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} y N_0(s,y) dy\right)$$

Note that all of the estimates in the entire proof thus far are valid for all $t \ge T_0$ for any $T_0 \ge 2T_{0,1}$ (recall that we restricted T_0 after (4.57)). In particular, they are valid for all $t \ge 2T_{0,1}$. We then define

(4.71)
$$v_{4,0}(r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{r}^{\infty} ds (s-r) N_0(s,s-r) \psi(\frac{rT_{\lambda}}{2T_{0,1}}), \quad r > 0$$

for ψ as in (4.11), restrict T_0 to satisfy $T_0 \ge 4T_{0,1}$, but is otherwise arbitrary, and we let v_4 solve

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t^2 v_4 + \partial_r^2 v_4 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v_4 = 0\\ v_4(0, r) = 0, \quad \partial_t v_4(0, r) = v_{4,0}(r) \end{cases}$$

Then, we have the following estimates

Lemma 4.33. For j = 0, 1, 2,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.72) \\ &|\partial_t^j \left(u_{N_0}(t,r) + tv_{4,0}(t) \right) | \\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{t^j} \left(\frac{\min\{1,r\} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^{25} \log^{10}(t)}{t^4} + \frac{r \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^5 \left(\log^{10}(t) + \log^{10}(r) \right)}{t^4} \right) \\ &+ \frac{r^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^3}{t^4} \right), \quad r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(4.73)
$$|u_{N_0}(t,r)| \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{rt^2}, \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{r}u_{N_{0}}(t,r)| &\leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{25}\log^{10}(t)\min\{1,r\}}{rt^{4}} \\ &+ \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5}\left(\log^{10}(t) + \log^{10}(r)\right)}{t^{4}} + \frac{Cr\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{3}}{t^{4}}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\partial_{r}u_{N_{0}}(t,r)\right| \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t+r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5}\log^{10}(t+r)}{rt^{3}}\left(1+\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t+r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{20}\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{\langle t-r\rangle^{10}}\right)\right), \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2}$$

For $0 \leq k \leq 2$,

(4.75)
$$|\partial_r^k(v_4(t,r) - tv_{4,0}(t))| \leq \frac{Cr^{2-k} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^5}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

GLOBAL, NON-SCATTERING SOLUTIONS TO THE QUINTIC, FOCUSING SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION ON \mathbb{R}^143 For j = 1, 2,

(4.76)
$$|\partial_t^j (v_4 - t v_{4,0}(t))| \leq \frac{C r^{3-j} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^6}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

For $0 \leq j + k \leq 2$,

$$(4.77) \quad |\partial_t^j \partial_r^k v_4(t,r)| \leqslant \frac{C}{r\langle t-r\rangle^2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^5 \log^{10}(t+r) \left(\frac{1}{\langle t-r\rangle^{j+k}} + \frac{1}{t^{j+k}} \right), \quad r \geqslant \frac{t}{2}$$

Finally,

$$(4.78) ||\partial_t u_{N_0}(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + ||\partial_r u_{N_0}||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^{5/2}}$$

Proof. We start with estimates on N_0 . Define

$$N_{0,est}(t,r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{t^4}, & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{\lambda(t)^{5/2}}{rt^4}, & \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant h(t) \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5}{rt^4}, & h(t) \leqslant r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t+r)}{r^5} \left(1 + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t+r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{20}}{\langle t-r \rangle^{10}}\right), & r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases}$$

Directly estimating the definition of N_0 , we get, for k = 0, 1,

(4.79)
$$\left|\partial_r^k N_0(t,r)\right| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle} + \frac{1}{t}\right)^k \cdot N_{0,est}(t,r)$$

We also note that

$$|\partial_t N_0(t,r)| \leq C(Q_\lambda(r)^2 u_{a,0}^2 + u_{a,0}^4) |\partial_t Q_\lambda| + C(|Q_\lambda|^3 |u_{a,0}| + u_{a,0}^4) |\partial_t u_{a,0}|$$

Similarly directly estimating $\partial_t^2 N_0(t,r)$, and using (4.68), we get, for j = 1, 2, 3,

$$|\partial_t^j N_0(t,r)| \leq C N_{0,est}(t,r) \left(\frac{1}{t^j} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle^j}\right)$$

Also with the same procedure, for j = 1, 2,

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_r N_0(t,r)\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t^j} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle^j}\right) \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r \rangle} + \frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot N_{0,est}(t,r)$$

Inserting (4.79) into (4.70) gives (4.73). To obtain (4.72) for j = 0, we first decompose (4.70) as (4.80)

$$\begin{aligned} u_{N_0}(t,r) &= \int_t^{t+r} ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} y N_0(s,y) dy - \int_t^\infty ds (s-t) N_0(s,s-t) + \int_t^{t+r} ds (s-t) N_0(s,s-t) \\ &+ \int_{t+r}^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \int_{s-t-r}^{s-t+r} (y N_0(s,y) - y N_0(s,s-t)) dy \end{aligned}$$

MOHANDAS PILLAI

To estimate the last term in (4.80), we use the mean value theorem for the function $x \mapsto N_0(s, x)$, and directly estimate the remaining terms, to get (4.72) for j = 0. Next, we directly estimate the definition of $v_{4,0}$, (4.71), to get, for $0 \leq j \leq 3$,

(4.81)
$$|v_{4,0}^{(j)}(r)| \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5}\log^{10}(r)\mathbb{1}_{\{r\geq T_{\lambda}\}}}{r^{4+j}}$$

For (4.75), we write

$$v_4(t,r) - tv_{4,0}(t) = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) \left(v_{4,0}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2 + 2rt\cos(\theta)}) - v_{4,0}(t) \right) d\theta$$
$$= \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) \int_0^r dy(r-y) \partial_y^2 \left(v_{4,0}(\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)}) \right) d\theta$$

Directly estimating this gives (4.75), and (4.76) for j = 1. Since we only have three derivatives of $v_{4,0}$ estimated in (4.81), we obtain (4.76) for j = 2 by directly differentiating and estimating

$$v_4(t,r) - tv_{4,0}(t) = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta) \int_0^r \partial_y (v_{4,0}(\sqrt{y^2 + t^2 + 2yt\cos(\theta)})) dy d\theta$$

Next, we obtain (4.77) with the same procedure as for the analogous estimates in Lemma 4.21. Next, for (4.74), we note that

$$\partial_r u_{N_0}(t,r) = \partial_r \left(u_{N_0}(t,r) + \int_t^\infty ds(s-t) N_0(s,s-t) \right)$$

and we write $u_{N_0}(t,r) + \int_t^{\infty} ds(s-t)N_0(s,s-t)$ using the expression (4.80). (4.74) follows from direct differentiation. If $r \ge \frac{t}{2}$, we simply directly differentiate (4.70), to get

$$\partial_r u_{N_0}(t,r) = \frac{-u_{N_0}(t,r)}{r} + \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \left((r+s-t)N_0(s,r+s-t) + (s-t-r)N_0(s,|s-t-r|)\right)$$

To estimate $\hat{c}_t^j(u_{N_0}(t,r) + \int_t^\infty ds(s-t)N_0(s,s-t))$, we use (4.80) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^j \left(u_{N_0}(t,r) + \int_t^\infty ds(s-t)N_0(s,s-t) \right) \\ &= \partial_t^j \left(\int_0^r dw \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \int_{|r-w|}^{r+w} yN_0(w+t,y)dy \right) + \partial_t^j \left(\int_0^r dwwN_0(t+w,w) \right) \\ &+ \partial_t^j \left(\int_r^\infty dw \left(\frac{-1}{2r}\right) \int_{w-r}^{w+r} y(N_0(t+w,y) - N_0(t+w,w))dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

We then directly estimate this expression.

Finally, a direct computation using (4.79) gives

$$||N_0(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{20}}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

The same procedure used to establish (4.61) then gives (4.78).

We define the linear error terms associated to u_{N_0} and v_4 by

(4.82)
$$e_{u_{N_0}}(t,r) := \frac{-45\lambda(t)^2 u_{N_0}(t,r)}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2}, \quad e_{v_4}(t,r) := \frac{-45\lambda(t)^2 v_4(t,r)}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2}$$

Then, let $\psi_1 \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty))$ satisfy $0 \leq \psi_1(x) \leq 1$,

$$\psi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & x \geq \frac{3}{4} \end{cases}$$

First, a direct application of Lemma 4.33 shows that the following piece of the linear error terms of u_{N_0} and v_4 is perturbative.

Lemma 4.34.

$$||(e_{u_{N_0}} + e_{v_4}\psi_1(\frac{|\cdot|}{t}))\chi_{\geqslant 1}(\frac{4|\cdot|}{t})||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^2}{t^{9/2}} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^3$$

Next, we let u_4 solve the following equation with zero Cauchy data at infinity:

(4.83)
$$-\partial_t^2 u_4 + \partial_r^2 u_4 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u_4 = e_{v_4}(t, r)(1 - \psi_1(\frac{r}{t}))\chi_{\ge 1}(\frac{4r}{t})$$

We have

(4.84)
$$u_4(t,r) = \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} y \left(e_{v_4}(s,y)(1-\psi_1(\frac{y}{s}))\chi_{\ge 1}(\frac{4y}{s}) \right) dy \right)$$

Then, we get

Lemma 4.35.

$$\begin{aligned} |u_4(t,r)| &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^5}, & r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{rt^3}, & r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \\ |\partial_r u_4(t,r)| &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^6}, & r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(r)}{t^3 r}, & r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \\ \partial_t u_4(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + ||\partial_r u_4(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} &\leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^2 \left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^3} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We directly estimate (4.84), using Lemma 4.33. We then directly estimate the r derivative of the u_4 -analog of (4.80). The energy estimate is obtained with the same procedure as in Lemma 4.25.

We define the linear error term of u_4 by

$$e_{u_4}(t,r) := \frac{-45\lambda(t)^2 u_4(t,r)}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2}$$

A direct application of Lemma 4.35 gives

Lemma 4.36.

$$||e_{u_4}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant \frac{C\sqrt{\lambda(t)}(1+\lambda(t))\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{\circ}\log^{10}(t)}{t^5}$$

Finally, we eliminate the remaining piece of the v_4 and u_{N_0} linear error terms. In particular, we let $u_{N_0,ell}$ be the following particular solution to

(4.85)
$$\partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u - V u = F(t,r) := (e_{u_{N_0}} + e_{v_4}) \chi_{\leq 1}(\frac{4r}{t})$$
$$u_{N_0,ell}(t,r) = \phi_0(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 F(t,s\lambda(t)) e_2(s) ds - e_2(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) \int_0^{\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}} s^2 \lambda(t)^2 F(t,s\lambda(t)) \phi_0(s) ds$$

Another direct computation using Lemma 4.33 gives

Lemma 4.37. For j = 0 and $0 \le k \le 2$ or j = 1, 2 and $0 \le k \le 1$,

$$|\partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{r}^{k}u_{N_{0},ell}(t,r)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{j}r^{k}} \begin{cases} \frac{\min\{1,r\}r^{2}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{2^{5}}\log^{10}(t)}{\lambda(t)^{2}t^{4}} \\ + \frac{Cr^{4}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{3}}{\lambda(t)^{2}t^{4}} + \frac{Cr^{3}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5}(\log^{10}(t) + \log^{10}(r))}{\lambda(t)^{2}t^{4}}, & r \leq \lambda(t) \end{cases} \\ \frac{\lambda(t)\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5}\log^{11}(t)}{t^{4}} + \frac{C\min\{1,r\}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{2^{5}}\log^{10}(t)}{t^{4}} \\ + \frac{C(1 + \log(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}))\lambda(t)^{2}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{3}}{t^{4}}, & \lambda(t) \leq r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{2^{5}}\log^{10}(t)}{t^{4}} + \frac{C\lambda(t)^{2}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{3}\log(t)}{t^{4}} \\ + \frac{C\lambda(t)\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5}\log^{11}(t)}{t^{4}}, & r \geq \frac{t}{2} \end{cases}$$

We will insert $\psi_1(\frac{r}{t})u_{N_0,ell}(t,r)$ into our ansatz, and define its error term as

$$e_{u_{N_{0},ell}}(t,r) := -\left(\left(-\partial_{t}^{2} + \partial_{r}^{2} + \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r} - V(t,r)\right)\left(\psi_{1}(\frac{r}{t})u_{N_{0},ell}(t,r)\right) - F(t,r)\right)$$

By the support properties of $\chi_{\leq 1}$, F(t,r) = 0 for $r \geq \frac{t}{2}$, which means that $\psi_1(\frac{r}{t})F(t,r) = F(t,r)$. Using this observation, we get

Lemma 4.38.

$$||e_{u_{N_{0},ell}}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{25}\log^{11}(t)}{t^{9/2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda(t)}\right)$$

4.11. Nonlinear error terms, part 2. The new function added to our ansatz last section is

$$u_n(t,r) := u_{N_0}(t,r) + v_4(t,r) + u_4(t,r) + u_{N_0,ell}(t,r)\psi_1(\frac{r}{t}) := u_{new}(t,r) + v_4(t,r)(1-\psi_1(\frac{r}{t}))$$

The corresponding new nonlinear interactions which need to be studied are contained in N_2 , defined by

$$N_2(t,r) := -\left(5\left((Q_\lambda + u_a)^4 - Q_\lambda^4\right)u_n + 10(Q_\lambda + u_a)^3u_n^2 + 10(Q_\lambda + u_a)^2u_n^3 + 5(Q_\lambda + u_a)u_n^4 + u_n^5\right)$$

This is split into a perturbative piece, $N_{2,1}$, and the remainder $N_{2,0}$.

(4.86)
$$N_{2,0} = N_2 \Big|_{u_n \to v_4(1 - \psi_1(\frac{r}{t}))}, \quad N_{2,1} = N_2 - N_{2,0}$$

For ease of notation, we let $\widetilde{v}_4(t,r) := v_4(t,r)(1-\psi_1(\frac{r}{t}))$. Then, we have

Lemma 4.39.

$$||N_{2,1}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C\log^{21}(t)}{t^{4+\delta_2}}, \quad \delta_2 = \frac{1}{2}\min\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{2}C_u - C_l, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{29}{2}C_u - C_l\} > 0$$

Proof. We note that

$$u_{new}(t,r) = u_{N_0}(t,r) + \psi_1(\frac{r}{t})v_4(t,r) + u_4(t,r) + u_{N_0,ell}(t,r)\psi_1(\frac{r}{t})$$

and recall that $\psi_1(\frac{r}{t}) = 1$ if $\frac{r}{t} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, using Lemmas 4.33, 4.35, and 4.37, we get

$$(4.87) \quad |u_{new}(t,r)| \leq C \begin{cases} \frac{\min\{1,r\} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{2^{5}} \log^{10}(t)}{t^{4}} + \frac{r \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} (\log^{10}(t) + \log^{10}(r))}{t^{4}} \\ + \frac{r^{2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{3} \log(t)}{t^{4}} + \frac{\lambda(t) \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{11}(t)}{t^{4}}, \quad r \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{2^{5}} \log^{10}(t)}{rt^{3}} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{11}(t)}{rt^{2}}, \quad r \geq \frac{t}{2} \end{cases}$$

Using the above estimate on u_{new} and Lemma 4.35, along with

$$|N_{2,1}| \leq C|u_{new}| \left(|Q_{\lambda}|^{3} (|u_{a}| + |u_{new}| + |\widetilde{v}_{4}|) + u_{new}^{4} + \widetilde{v}_{4}^{4} + u_{a}^{4} \right)$$

a straightforward, but slightly long calculation gives

$$||N_{2,1}||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\log^{21}(t)}{t^{4+\epsilon_2}}, \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2}\min\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{2}C_u, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{29}{2}C_u\} > 0$$

 $\partial_r N_{2,1}$ is estimated with the same procedure (which is a direct, but slightly long computation). \Box

Next, we need to eliminate $N_{2,0}$. We let u_{N_2} be the solution to the following equation, with 0 Cauchy data at infinity.

(4.88)
$$-\partial_t^2 u + \partial_r^2 u + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r u = N_{2,0}$$

Then, we have

Lemma 4.40.

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{N_{2}}(t,r)| &\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{9}\log^{18}(t)}{t^{5}}, \quad r\leqslant\frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{C}{r}\frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{25}\log^{50}(t)}{t^{3}}, \quad r\geqslant\frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \\ |\partial_{r}u_{N_{2}}(t,r)| &\leqslant C \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{9}\log^{50}(t)}{t^{6}}, \quad r\leqslant\frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{9}\log^{50}(r)}{r} \left(\frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{4}}{t^{3}\langle t-r\rangle^{4}} + \frac{1}{\langle t-r\rangle^{2}t^{3}} \\ +\frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{16}}{t^{3}\langle t-r\rangle^{10}} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{16}}{t^{4}} \\ \end{pmatrix}, \quad r\geqslant\frac{t}{2} \end{cases} \\ ||\partial_{t}u_{N_{2}}||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)} + ||\partial_{r}u_{N_{2}}||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)} &\leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{25}\log^{50}(t)}{t^{3}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall (4.86) and the fact that $\psi_1(\frac{r}{t}) = 1$ if $\frac{r}{t} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and use Lemma 4.33 to get

$$|N_{2,0}(t,r)| \leq CN_{2,0,est}(t,r)$$

for

$$\begin{split} N_{2,0,est}(t,r) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{r \geq \frac{t}{2}\}} \log^{10}(r)}{r\langle t - r \rangle^2} \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^5 \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^8 \log^2(r)}{r^4 t^2} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^8 \log^{10}(r)}{r^4 \langle t - r \rangle^2} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^4 \log^8(r)}{r^4} \right)^6 \\ &+ \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^{20} \log^8(r)}{r^4 t^8} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^{20} \log^{40}(r)}{r^4 \langle t - r \rangle^8} \right) \end{split}$$

We also get

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r N_{2,0}(t,r)| &\leq C N_{2,0,est}(t,r) \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle} + \frac{1}{t} \right) \\ &+ C \mathbb{1}_{\{r \geq \frac{t}{2}\}} \left(\frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},r]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^{23} \log^{42}(r)}{r^2 \langle t - r \rangle^2 t^5} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)} \right)^{26} \log^{40}(t)}{t^4} |\partial_r u_3(t,r)| \right) \end{aligned}$$

We note that

(4.89)
$$u_{N_2}(t,r) = \int_t^\infty ds \left(\frac{-1}{2r} \int_{|r-(s-t)|}^{r+s-t} y N_{2,0}(s,y) dy\right)$$

We then directly estimate (4.89) for all r > 0, and the *r* derivative of the u_{N_2} -analog of (4.80) for $r \leq \frac{t}{2}$. When $r \geq \frac{t}{2}$, we directly estimate the *r* derivative of (4.89). The energy estimate is obtained with the same procedure as in Lemma 4.25.

Next, we estimate $e_{u_{N_2}}$, the linear error term associated to u_{N_2} , defined by

$$e_{u_{N_2}}(t,r) := \frac{-45\lambda(t)^2 u_{N_2}(t,r)}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2}$$

A direct application of Lemma 4.40 gives

Lemma 4.41.

$$||e_{u_{N_2}}(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^9 \log^{50}(t)}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}t^5} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda(t)}\right)$$

At this stage, the only error terms that remain are the nonlinear interactions between u_{N_2} and the previous corrections, which we collect together in N_3 :

$$-N_3 = 5\left(\left(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n\right)^4 - Q_{\lambda}^4\right)u_{N_2} + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)^3u_{N_2}^2 + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)^2u_{N_2}^3 + 5(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)u_{N_2}^4 + u_{N_2}^5u_{N_2}^5 + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)^2u_{N_2}^3 + 5(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)u_{N_2}^4 + u_{N_2}^5u_{N_2}^5 + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)^2u_{N_2}^3 + 5(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)u_{N_2}^4 + u_{N_2}^5u_{N_2}^5 + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)^2u_{N_2}^3 + 5(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)u_{N_2}^4 + u_{N_2}^5u_{N_2}^5 + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_a + u_n)u_{N_2}^5 + 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_n)u_{N_2}^5 + 10(Q_{\lambda}$$

Then, we have

Lemma 4.42.

$$||N_3(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C\log^{90}(t)}{t^{4+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-6C_u)}}$$

Proof. We start with

$$|N_3(t,r)| \le C|u_{N_2}|(|Q_\lambda|^3(|u_a| + |u_n| + |u_{N_2}|) + u_a^4 + u_n^4 + u_{N_2}^4)$$

Using (4.68), (4.69), (4.87) and Lemmas 4.33, 4.40, we get (4.90)

$$|u_a|+|u_n|+|u_{N_2}| \leqslant C \begin{cases} \frac{\log(t)\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^3}{t^2} + \frac{\min\{1,r\}\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{2^5}\log^{10}(t)}{t^4}, & r \leqslant \lambda(t) \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)r}{t^2}, & \lambda(t) \leqslant r \leqslant \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)\log^2(r)}{r} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5\log^{11}(r)}{rt^2} + \frac{\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,r]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5\log^{10}(r)}{r\langle t-r\rangle^2}, & r \geqslant \frac{t}{2} \end{cases}$$

Then, a direct computation gives

$$||N_3(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leqslant \frac{C\log^{90}(t)}{t^{4+\delta_{3,0}}}, \quad \delta_{3,0} = \frac{1}{2}\min\{\frac{5}{2} - \frac{29}{2}C_u, 3 - \frac{45}{2}C_u\} > 0$$

 $\partial_r N_3$ is estimated similarly. The only detail worth noting is that we use the energy estimates from Lemmas 4.40 and 4.25 to estimate $||\partial_r u_{N_2}||_{L^2((\frac{t}{2},\infty),r^2dr)}$ and $||\partial_r u_3||_{L^2((\frac{h(t)}{4},\infty),r^2dr)}$, and pointwise estimates on derivatives otherwise.

The estimates from Lemma 4.42 show that N_3 can be treated perturbatively in the next section of the argument. Therefore, our final ansatz, which we denote by u_{ansatz} is the sum of all terms added thus far:

$$u_{ansatz}(t,r) = u_a(t,r) + u_n(t,r) + u_{N_2}(t,r)$$

Now, we estimate the error term (which we denote by F_5) of u_{ansatz} :

$$F_5(t,r) = e_{match} + e_{ell,2} + e_{ex} + e_{w,2} + e_{u_3} + (e_{u_{N_0}} + e_{v_4}\psi_1(\frac{r}{t}))\chi_{\ge 1}(\frac{4r}{t}) + e_{u_4} + e_{u_{N_0,ell}} + e_{u_{N_2}} + N_1 + N_{2,1} + N_3$$

Lemma 4.43.

$$||F_5(t,|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{C\log^{90}(t)}{t^{4+2\epsilon}}$$

where $\epsilon > 3C_l$ and is given by

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}min\{\delta, 4 - \frac{13}{2}a(1 - C_u) - 7C_u, -2 + \frac{7}{2}a(1 - C_u) - \frac{5}{2}C_u - \frac{3}{2}C_l, \frac{a}{2}(1 - C_u) - 4C_u, \frac{1}{2} - C_l - \frac{25}{2}C_u, \delta_1, \delta_2, \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - 6C_u)\}$$

For later use, we decompose $\partial_r u_{ansatz}$ as follows.

$$\partial_r u_{ansatz}(t,r) = u_{an,r,0}(t,r) + u_{an,r,1}(t,r)$$

where

$$u_{an,r,1}(t,r) = \partial_r u_{N_0}(t,r) + \partial_r u_{N_2}(t,r)$$

Lemma 4.44.

(4.91)
$$||u_{ansatz}(t,r)||_{L^{\infty}_{r}} \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{10}(t)}{t}$$

$$(4.92) \qquad ||\partial_r(Q_\lambda(r))Q_\lambda(r)^2 u_{ansatz}||_{L^\infty_r} + ||Q_\lambda(r)^3 u_{an,r,0}(t,r)||_{L^\infty_r} \leqslant \frac{C}{t^2\lambda(t)}$$

$$\left|\left|\frac{1}{\left\langle\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}\right\rangle^{3}}u_{an,r,0}\right|\right|_{L_{r}^{\infty}} \leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)}{t^{2}}$$

$$(4.93) \qquad ||u_{ansatz}^3 u_{an,r,0}||_{L^{\infty}_r} \leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{25} \log^{40}(t)}{t^4}$$

(4.94)

$$||Q_{\lambda}(r)^{2}u_{ansatz}(t,r)^{2}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} \leq C\left(\frac{\log^{2}(t)\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{6}}{\lambda(t)t^{4}} + \frac{\lambda(t)\left(\sup_{x\in[T_{\lambda},t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{10}\log^{22}(t)}{t^{4}}\right)$$

(4.95)
$$||u_{an,r,1}(t,r)||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\left(\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda,t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^5 \log^{10}(t)}{t^{5/2}}$$

(4.96)

$$\begin{split} ||Q_{\lambda}(r)^{3}u_{ansatz}(t,r)||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} &\leq \frac{(\lambda'(t))^{2}}{\lambda(t)^{2}} \frac{3(111-45\log(4))}{16} + \frac{|\lambda''(t)|}{\lambda(t)} \frac{15}{16} \left(-12 + 12\sqrt{3} + 15\pi^{2} - 4\log(4)\right) \\ &+ \frac{C\log(t) \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{4}}{h(t)^{2} t^{2}} + \frac{C \left(\sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda}, t]} \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^{5} \log^{10}(t)}{t^{3} \lambda(t)^{3/2}} \end{split}$$

Proof. The proof is a direct calculation. In particular, we use (4.90) for (4.91) and (4.94). We use (4.4) (which gives the precise constants in (4.96)) along with Lemmas 4.18, 4.23, 4.2, 4.3, 4.8, 4.9, 4.20, 4.21, 4.33, 4.35, 4.37, 4.40 for (4.96), (4.92), (4.93). Lemmas 4.33 and 4.40 give (4.95). \Box

5. Constructing the Exact Solution

If we substitute $u(t,r) = Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + u_{ansatz}(t,r) + v(t,r)$ into (1.1), we get

(5.1)
$$-\partial_t^2 v + \partial_r^2 v + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r v + \frac{45\lambda(t)^2}{(3\lambda(t)^2 + r^2)^2} v = F_5(t,r) + F_3(t,r)$$

where

(5.2)
$$F_3 = L_1(v) + N(v), \quad L_1(v) = (-5(Q_\lambda + u_{ansatz})^4 + 5Q_\lambda^4)v$$

$$N(v) = -10(Q_{\lambda} + u_{ansatz})^{3}v^{2} - 10(Q_{\lambda} + u_{ansatz})^{2}v^{3} - 5(Q_{\lambda} + u_{ansatz})v^{4} - v^{5}$$

We proceed as follows. First, we formally derive the equation solved by y defined by

$$y(t,\xi) = (y_0(t), y_1(t,\xi\lambda(t)^{-2})) = \mathcal{F}((\cdot) v(t, \cdot\lambda(t)))(\xi)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the distorted Fourier transform from [18], which we regard as a two-component vector, as in Proposition 4.3 of [18]. Then, we prove that this formally derived equation has a solution, say $(y_{6,0}, y_{6,1})$, which is regular enough to allow us to justify the statement that the function v defined by the following expression, with $y_0 = y_{6,0}, y_1 = y_{6,1}$

(5.3)
$$v(t,r) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \left(y_0(t)\phi_d(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + \int_0^\infty \phi(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)},\xi) y_1(t,\frac{\xi}{\lambda(t)^2})\rho(\xi)d\xi \right)$$

is a solution to (5.1). Letting v be as in (5.3), we formally get that (5.1) is equivalent to the system consisting of the following two equations. We remind the reader that the first component of the distorted Fourier transform of a function is a real number, while the second component is a function of frequency.

(5.4)
$$-y_0''(t) - \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}y_0'(t) - \frac{\xi_d}{\lambda(t)^2}y_0 = F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}y_0'(t) + \mathcal{F}\left((\cdot)\left(F_5 + F_3\right)(t, \cdot\lambda(t))\right)_0 \\ -\partial_t^2 y_1 - \omega y_1 = F_{2,1}(t, \omega\lambda(t)^2) + \mathcal{F}\left((\cdot)\left(F_5 + F_3\right)(t, \cdot\lambda(t))\right)_1(\omega\lambda(t)^2)$$

where

$$F_{2}(t,\eta) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0}(t) \\ y_{1}(t,\frac{\eta}{\lambda(t)^{2}}) \end{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda''(t)}{\lambda(t)} + \frac{2\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \begin{bmatrix} y_{0}'(t) \\ \partial_{1}y_{1}(t,\frac{\eta}{\lambda(t)^{2}}) \end{bmatrix} - 2\left(\frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \mathcal{K}\left(\begin{bmatrix} y_{0}(t) \\ \partial_{1}y_{1}(t,\frac{-1}{\lambda(t)^{2}}) \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ - \left(\frac{\lambda''(t)}{\lambda(t)} + \left(\frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)^{2}\right) \mathcal{K}\left(\begin{bmatrix} y_{0}(t) \\ y_{1}(t,\frac{-1}{\lambda(t)^{2}}) \end{bmatrix}\right) + 2\left(\frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)^{2} [\mathcal{K},\xi\partial_{\xi}]\left(\begin{bmatrix} y_{0}(t) \\ y_{1}(t,\frac{-1}{\lambda(t)^{2}}) \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ + \left(\frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)^{2} \mathcal{K}\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\begin{bmatrix} y_{0}(t) \\ y_{1}(t,\frac{-1}{\lambda(t)^{2}}) \end{bmatrix}\right)\right) \\ := \begin{bmatrix} F_{2,0}(t) \\ F_{2,1}(t,\eta) \end{bmatrix}$$

and we use the notation x_i to denote the i + 1 entry of the vector $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}$.

5.1. The iteration space. The space in which we will solve (5.4) is defined as follows. We define Z to be the set of elements $\begin{bmatrix} y_0(t) \\ y_1(t,\omega) \end{bmatrix}$ such that $y_0: [T_0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, and y_1 is an (equivalence class) of measurable functions, $y_1: [T_0,\infty) \times (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$y_0(t) \in C_t^1([T_0, \infty))$$

$$y_1(t,\omega)\frac{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}{\log^{90}(t)}\lambda(t)\langle\omega\lambda(t)^2\rangle\sqrt{\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)} \in C_t^0([T_0,\infty), L^2(d\omega))$$

$$\partial_t y_1(t,\omega)\frac{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}{\log^{90}(t)}\lambda(t)\langle\sqrt{\omega\lambda(t)^2}\rangle\sqrt{\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)} \in C_t^0([T_0,\infty), L^2(d\omega))$$

and $|| \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} ||_Z < \infty$ where

$$(5.6)$$

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Z} = \sup_{t \ge T_0} \left(\frac{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}{\log^{90}(t)} \left(|y_0(t)| + \lambda(t)| |\langle \omega \lambda(t)^2 \rangle y_1(t,\omega)| |_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}{\log^{90}(t)} \left(|y_0'(t)| + \lambda(t)| |\partial_t y_1(t,\omega)| |_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} + C_Z^{-1}\lambda(t)| |\sqrt{\omega\lambda(t)^2} \partial_t y_1(t,\omega)| |_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} \right) \right)$$

and $C_Z > 0$ is otherwise arbitrary, and will be further constrained later on. Then, $(Z, || \cdot ||_Z)$ is a normed vector space. We remark that this space is similar to the iteration space used in a Yang-Mills paper of the author [21]. By directly estimating (5.5), and using the boundedness of \mathcal{K} and $[\mathcal{K}, \xi \partial_{\xi}]$ from Proposition 5.2 of [18], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. There exists C > 0, independent of T_0 and C_Z , such that, for all $y \in Z$,

$$\begin{split} |F_{2,0}(t)| + \lambda(t)||F_{2,1}(t,\omega\lambda(t)^2)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} \\ \leqslant 2 \cdot \frac{\log^{90}(t)||y||_Z}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} \left(C_2(1+||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})}) + M^2 \left(||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})} + 2||[\mathcal{K},\xi\partial_{\xi}]||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})} + ||\mathcal{K}||^2_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})} \right) \\ + 2M \left(1+||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,0}_{\rho})} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda(t)||\sqrt{\omega\lambda(t)^{2}}F_{2,1}(t,\omega\lambda(t)^{2})||_{L^{2}(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^{2})d\omega)} &\leq \frac{C\log^{90}(t)||y||_{Z}}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} \\ &+ \frac{2M||y||_{Z}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} \left(C_{Z}(1+||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,\frac{1}{2}}_{\rho})}) + ||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,\frac{1}{2}}_{\rho})}\right) \end{split}$$

Next, we understand the relation between v and y in (5.3) by proving the following. Lemma 5.2. For all $y_d \in \mathbb{R}$, $y: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $y(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle \in L^2(\rho(\xi)d\xi)$, if

(5.7)
$$w(R) = \frac{1}{R} \left(y_d \phi_d(R) + \int_0^\infty \phi(R,\xi) y(\xi) \rho(\xi) d\xi \right), \quad R > 0$$

then, for R > 0,

(5.8)
$$|w(R)| \leq \frac{C}{\langle R \rangle} \left(|y_d| + ||\langle \xi \rangle y(\xi)||_{L^2(\rho(\xi)d\xi)} \right)$$

(5.9)
$$||w(R)||_{L^2(R^2dR)} = \left(|y_d|^2 + ||y(\xi)||_{L^2(\rho(\xi)d\xi)}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

(5.10)
$$C^{-1}||w(|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \left(|y_d|^2 + ||y(\xi)\sqrt{\langle\xi\rangle}||_{L^2(\rho(\xi)d\xi)}^2\right)^{1/2} \leq C||w(|\cdot|)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.5 of [18], there exists some constant $C_1 > 1$ such that, for all $R^2 \xi \ge C_1$,

$$\phi(R,\xi) = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(a(\xi)f_+(R,\xi)\right)$$

where

$$f_+(R,\xi) = e^{iR\sqrt{\xi}}\sigma(R\sqrt{\xi},R)$$

Using Proposition 4.5 of [18], we have

$$|\phi(R,\xi)| \leq C|a(\xi)|, \quad R^2\xi \geq C_1$$

When $R^2 \xi \leq C_1$, we use Proposition 4.4 of [18] to write

$$\phi(R,\xi) = \widetilde{\phi_0}(R) + \frac{1}{R} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (R^2 z)^j \phi_j(R^2)$$

where

$$|\phi_j(R)| \leq \frac{C^j R}{(j-1)! \langle R \rangle^{1/2}}$$

This gives, for $R^2 \xi \leq C_1$,

$$|\phi(R,\xi)| \leqslant \frac{CR}{\langle R \rangle}$$

Next, we use the discussion preceding Lemma 4.2 of [18], which notes that $\phi_d \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$, $\phi_d(0) = 0$, and ϕ_d is exponentially decaying. Directly inserting these estimates into (5.7), gives (5.8). Finally, (5.9) is the L^2 isometry property of \mathcal{F} , and (5.10) follows from Lemma 2.7 of [18]. \Box

Now, we can estimate F_3 (defined in (5.2)).

Lemma 5.3. There exists C > 0 so that for all $y_1, y_2 \in \overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$ of the form

$$y_i := \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,i}(t) \\ y_{1,i}(t,\omega) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1,2$$

if

$$v_i(t,r) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \left(y_{0,i}(t)\phi_d(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + \int_0^\infty \phi(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)},\xi) y_{1,i}(t,\frac{\xi}{\lambda(t)^2})\rho(\xi)d\xi \right), \quad r > 0$$

then,

$$\begin{split} ||F_{3}(v_{2}) - F_{3}(v_{1})||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)} &\leqslant \frac{2 \cdot \log^{90}(t)}{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} \lambda(t)^{3/2} ||y_{1} - y_{2}||_{Z} \\ &\cdot \left(20||Q_{\lambda}(r)^{3}u_{ansatz}||_{L^{\infty}} \right. \\ &+ C\left(||Q_{\lambda}(r)^{2}u_{ansatz}^{2}||_{L^{\infty}} + ||u_{ansatz}||_{L^{\infty}}^{4} + \frac{\log^{360}(t)}{t^{8+4\epsilon-6C_{l}}} + \frac{\log^{90}(t)}{\lambda(t)^{3/2}t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}}\right) \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} ||\partial_{r}(F_{3}(v_{2}) - F_{3}(v_{1}))||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)} \\ \leqslant \frac{C \log^{90}(t)||y_{1} - y_{2}||_{Z}}{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} (\lambda(t)^{3/2} \left(||\partial_{r}Q_{\lambda}Q_{\lambda}^{2}u_{ansatz}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} + \frac{||Q_{\lambda}^{3}u_{ansatz}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}}}{\lambda(t)} + ||\partial_{r}Q_{\lambda}u_{ansatz}^{3}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} \right. \\ & + ||Q_{\lambda}^{3}u_{an,r,0}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} + ||u_{ansatz}^{3}u_{an,r,0}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}||u_{ansatz}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}}^{4} \\ & + \frac{\left(||Q_{\lambda}^{3}u_{an,r,1}||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)} + ||u_{ansatz}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}}^{3}||u_{an,r,1}||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)}\right)}{\lambda(t)^{3/2}} \right) \\ & + \frac{\log^{270}(t)}{t^{6+3\epsilon-\frac{9}{2}C_{l}}} \left(\lambda(t)^{3/2}||\frac{u_{an,r,0}}{\langle\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}\rangle^{3}}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}} + ||u_{an,r,1}||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)}\right) \\ & + \frac{\log^{360}(t)\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{t^{8+4\epsilon-6C_{l}}} + \frac{\log^{90}(t)}{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}||u_{ansatz}||_{L_{r}^{\infty}}^{3}\right)) \end{split}$$

We will also need a simple estimate regarding the density of the spectral measure, $\rho(\xi)$, which is proven by a direct application of Lemma 4.6 of [18].

Lemma 5.4. There exists $C_{\rho} > 0$ (independent of T_0) so that

$$\frac{\rho(\omega\lambda(x)^2)}{\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)} \leqslant C_\rho\left(\frac{\lambda(x)}{\lambda(t)} + \frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(x)}\right), \quad x, t \ge T_0$$

Define

$$(5.11)$$

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix}y_{0}\\y_{1}\end{bmatrix}\right)(t,\omega) = \begin{bmatrix}e_{+}(t)\int_{t}^{\infty}\frac{F_{0}(w)\lambda(w)}{2\sqrt{-\xi_{d}}}e_{-}(w)dw + e_{-}(t)\int_{T_{0}}^{t}\frac{F_{0}(w)\lambda(w)}{2\sqrt{-\xi_{d}}}e_{+}(w)dw\\\int_{t}^{\infty}\frac{\sin(\sqrt{\omega}(t-s))}{\sqrt{\omega}}F_{1}(s,\omega)ds\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}y_{0}\\y_{1}\end{bmatrix} \in \overline{B_{1}(0)} \subset Z$$

where

$$F_i(t,\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}\left((\cdot)\left(F_5 + F_3\right)(t,\cdot\lambda(t))\right)_0 + F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}y'_0(t), \ i = 0\\ \mathcal{F}\left((\cdot)\left(F_5 + F_3\right)(t,\cdot\lambda(t))\right)_1\left(\omega\lambda(t)^2\right) + F_{2,1}(t,\omega\lambda(t)^2), \ i = 1\\ e_{\pm}(t) = \exp\left(\pm\sqrt{-\xi_d}\int_{T_\lambda}^t \frac{1}{\lambda(s)}\,ds\right) \end{cases}$$

and F_3 is given by (5.2), with v given by (5.3). Lemmas 5.1, 5.3, 4.43, and (1.8) directly give Lemma 5.5. There exists C > 0 (independent of T_0) so that, for all $y \in \overline{B}_1(0)$, and $s, t \ge T_0$,

$$|F_0(t)| \leq \frac{C \log^{90}(t)}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}$$

$$\lambda(s)||F_1(s,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^2)d\omega)} < \frac{\log^{90}(s)}{s^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} \left(\frac{C\log^{10}(s)}{s^{1-\frac{5}{2}C_u-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} + \frac{C\log^{90}(s)}{s^{\epsilon-3C_l}} + \frac{1}{12\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}\right)$$

There exists $C_1 > 0$ (independent of T_0 and C_Z) so that, for all $y \in \overline{B}_1(0)$, and $s \ge T_0$,

$$\lambda(s) ||\sqrt{\omega\lambda(s)^2} F_1(s,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^2)d\omega)} \leq \frac{C_1 \log^{90}(s)}{s^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} + \frac{C_Z \log^{90}(s)}{24\sqrt{C_\rho}s^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}$$

We proceed to show that T maps $\overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$ into itself. If $\begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$, we have

$$|T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_0| \leqslant e_+(t) \int_t^\infty \frac{|F_0(w)|\lambda(w)}{2\sqrt{-\xi_d}} e_-(w)dw + e_-(t) \int_{T_0}^t \frac{|F_0(w)|\lambda(w)}{2\sqrt{-\xi_d}} e_+(w)dw$$

From Lemma 5.5, we thus get

$$|T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_0| \le C\left(e_+(t)\int_t^\infty \frac{\log^{90}(w)\lambda(w)}{w^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}e_-(w)dw + e_-(t)\int_{T_0}^t \frac{\log^{90}(w)\lambda(w)}{w^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}e_+(w)dw\right) := CI(t)$$

Integrating by parts (where we integrate $\frac{\pm\sqrt{-\xi_d}}{\lambda(w)}e_{\pm}(w)$ and differentiate the rest of the integrand), we get

$$\begin{split} I(t) &\leqslant e_{+}(t) \left(\frac{\log^{90}(t)\lambda(t)^{2}e_{-}(t)}{\sqrt{-\xi_{d}}t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} + \int_{t}^{\infty} dw e_{-}(w) \frac{C}{w^{1-C_{u}}} \frac{\log^{90}(w)\lambda(w)}{w^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} \right) \\ &+ e_{-}(t) \left(\frac{\log^{90}(t)\lambda(t)^{2}e_{+}(t)}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}\sqrt{-\xi_{d}}} + \int_{T_{0}}^{t} e_{+}(w) \frac{C}{w^{1-C_{u}}} \frac{\log^{90}(w)\lambda(w)}{w^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} dw \right) \end{split}$$

where C is *independent* of T_0 . To get this, we also used

$$\frac{\log^{90}(w)\lambda(w)^2}{w^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}\sqrt{-\xi_d}}e_+(w)\Big|_{w=T_0}^{w=t} \leqslant \frac{\log^{90}(t)\lambda(t)^2}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}\sqrt{-\xi_d}}e_+(t)$$

In other words, the boundary term at T_0 is negative. We therefore get, for C independent of T_0 ,

$$I(t) \leq \frac{C \log^{90}(t)\lambda(t)^2}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} + \frac{CI(t)}{T_0^{1-C_u}}, \quad t \ge T_0$$

Since $1 - C_u > 0$, there exists $T_3 > 0$ so that, for all $T_0 \ge T_3$,

$$I(t) \leqslant \frac{C_2 \log^{90}(t) \lambda(t)^2}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}, \quad t \geqslant T_0$$

(where C_2 is independent of T_0). From here on, we further restrict T_0 to satisfy $T_0 \ge T_3$. Since

$$T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\ y_1 \end{bmatrix})_0'(t) = \frac{\sqrt{-\xi_d}}{\lambda(t)} \left(e_+(t) \int_t^\infty \frac{F_0(w)\lambda(w)e_-(w)dw}{2\sqrt{-\xi_d}} - e_-(t) \int_{T_0}^t \frac{F_0(w)\lambda(w)e_+(w)dw}{2\sqrt{-\xi_d}} \right)$$

we get

$$|T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_0| + \lambda(t)|T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_0'(t)| \le \frac{C\log^{90}(t)\lambda(t)^2}{t^{4+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}$$

Using Lemma 5.4 and (4.1), we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda(t)||T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1\end{bmatrix})_1(t,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} &\leqslant \sqrt{C_\rho} \int_t^\infty ds(s-t)||\lambda(s)F_1(s,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^2)d\omega)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}} + \frac{\lambda(t)^{3/2}}{\lambda(s)^{3/2}}\right) \\ &\leqslant 2\sqrt{C_\rho} \int_t^\infty ds(s-t)||\lambda(s)F_1(s,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^2)d\omega)} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{3C_l}{2}} \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 5.5, we get

$$\lambda(t)||T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_1(t,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} < \frac{2\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}{t^{\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} \left(\frac{C\log^{100}(t)}{t^{3-\frac{5}{2}C_u-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} + \frac{C\log^{180}(t)}{t^{2+\epsilon-3C_l}} + \frac{\log^{90}(t)}{12t^2\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}\right)$$

We remark that the constant 2 multiplying $\sqrt{C_{\rho}}$ is not sharp. For instance, the integral was, in part, estimated by using the fact that $s \mapsto \frac{\log^{180}(s)}{s}$ is decreasing on $[T_0, \infty)$. We get

(5.12)
$$\frac{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}\lambda(t)}{\log^{90}(t)}||T(\begin{bmatrix}y_0\\y_1\end{bmatrix})_1(t,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} < \frac{1}{6} + C\left(\frac{\log^{10}(t)}{t^{1-\frac{5}{2}C_u-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} + \frac{\log^{90}(t)}{t^{\epsilon-3C_l}}\right)$$

Also,

$$\lambda(t)||\partial_t T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_1(t,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} \leq 2\sqrt{C_\rho} \int_t^\infty \lambda(s)||F_1(s,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^2)d\omega)} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}C_l} ds$$

Therefore, the identical argument used to obtain (5.12) gives

$$\frac{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}\lambda(t)}{\log^{90}(t)}||\partial_t T(\begin{bmatrix}y_0\\y_1\end{bmatrix})_1(t,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} < \frac{1}{6} + C\left(\frac{\log^{10}(t)}{t^{1-\frac{5}{2}C_u-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} + \frac{\log^{90}(t)}{t^{\epsilon-3C_l}}\right)$$

Next, using Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we get

$$\begin{split} C_Z^{-1}\lambda(t)||\sqrt{\omega\lambda(t)^2}\partial_t T(\begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1 \end{bmatrix})_1(t,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^2)d\omega)} \\ &\leqslant \sqrt{C_\rho}C_Z^{-1}\int_t^\infty \lambda(s)||\sqrt{\omega\lambda(s)^2}F_1(s,\omega)||_{L^2(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^2)d\omega)} \left(\left(\frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(s)}\right)^{5/2} + \left(\frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(s)}\right)^{3/2}\right)ds \\ &\leqslant \frac{2\sqrt{C_\rho}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_Z} + 2M(1+||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L_\rho^{2,\frac{1}{2}})})\right) \\ &< \frac{2\sqrt{C_\rho}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_Z} + \frac{1}{24\sqrt{C_\rho}}\right) \end{split}$$

where we remind the reader that the constant C_1 from Lemma 5.5 is *independent* of C_Z, T_0 . Finally, with the same procedure,

$$\begin{split} \lambda(t)||\omega\lambda(t)^{2}T(\begin{bmatrix} y_{0}\\ y_{1} \end{bmatrix})_{1}(t,\omega)||_{L^{2}(\rho(\omega\lambda(t)^{2})d\omega)} &\leq 2\sqrt{C_{\rho}}\lambda(t)\int_{t}^{\infty}\lambda(s)||\sqrt{\omega\lambda(s)^{2}}F_{1}(s,\omega)||_{L^{2}(\rho(\omega\lambda(s)^{2})d\omega)}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}C_{l}}ds\\ &\leq \frac{2\lambda(t)\sqrt{C_{\rho}}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}}\left(C_{1}+2MC_{Z}(1+||\mathcal{K}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2,\frac{1}{2}}_{\rho})})\right)\\ &< \frac{2\lambda(t)\sqrt{C_{\rho}}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}}\left(C_{1}+\frac{C_{Z}}{24\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}\right) \end{split}$$

We therefore get that there exists $C > 0, C_1 > 0$, independent of C_Z, T_0 , such that, for all $y \in \overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$,

$$||T(y)||_{Z} \leq \frac{5}{12} + \frac{2\sqrt{C_{\rho}C_{1}}}{C_{Z}} + \sup_{t \geq T_{0}} \left(C(\frac{\log^{10}(t)}{t^{1-\frac{5}{2}C_{u}-\frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} + \frac{\log^{90}(t)}{t^{\epsilon-3C_{l}}}) + \frac{2\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}{t}\lambda(t)(C_{1} + \frac{C_{Z}}{24\sqrt{C_{\rho}}}) + \frac{C\lambda(t)}{t} \right)$$

Using the fact that F_2 is linear in $\begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and Lemmas 5.1, 5.3, we get that there exists $C > 0, C_3 > 0$, independent of C_Z, T_0 , such that, for all $y, z \in \overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||T(y) - T(z)||_{Z} &\leq C||y - z||_{Z} \left(\sup_{t \geq T_{0}} \left(\frac{1}{t^{1 - C_{u}}} \right) + \sup_{t \geq T_{0}} \left(\frac{\log^{90}(t)}{t^{\epsilon - 3C_{l}}} \right) + \sup_{t \geq T_{0}} \left(\frac{\log^{10}(t)}{t^{1 - \frac{5}{2}C_{u} - \frac{3}{2}C_{l}}} \right) \right) \\ &+ ||y - z||_{Z} \left(\frac{5}{12} + \frac{2\sqrt{C_{\rho}}C_{3}}{C_{Z}} \right) + \frac{||y - z||_{Z}C_{Z}}{12} \sup_{t \geq T_{0}} \left(\frac{1}{t^{1 - C_{u}}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we fix $C_Z = 24\sqrt{C_{\rho}}\max\{C_1, C_3\}$. Since $\epsilon > 3C_l$, there exists $T_4 > 0$ so that, for all $T_0 \ge T_4$, T is a strict contraction on $\overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$. By Banach's fixed point theorem, there thus exists a fixed point of T, say $\overline{y} \in \overline{B_1(0)} \subset Z$. By the definition of T, \overline{y} is a solution to (5.4).

5.2. Decomposition of the solution as in Theorem 1.1. We write $\overline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{y_0} \\ \overline{y_1} \end{bmatrix}$ and define v_f by

$$v_f(t,r) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \left(\overline{y_0}(t)\phi_d(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}) + \int_0^\infty \phi(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)},\xi)\overline{y_1}(t,\frac{\xi}{\lambda(t)^2})\rho(\xi)d\xi \right), \quad r > 0$$

By our derivation of (5.4) from (5.1), v_f is a solution to (5.1). Let

$$v_{rad}(t,r) := v_2(t,r) + v_3(t,r) + v_4(t,r) + \tilde{v}_2(t,r)$$

so that

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r)v_{rad}(t,r) = 0$$

and let

$$v_e(t,r) := u_{ansatz}(t,r) - v_{rad}(t,r)$$

Defining $u_e(t,r) = v_e(t,r) + v_f(t,r)$, we get that u given by

$$u(t,r) := Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + v_{rad}(t,r) + u_e(t,r)$$

is a solution to (1.1). We conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 by showing

(5.13)
$$E_{SLW}(u,\partial_t u) < \infty, \quad E(u_e,\partial_t(Q_{\lambda(t)}+u_e)) \leqslant \frac{C\left(\sup_{x\in[T_\lambda,t]}\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^2}{t}$$

We use Lemmas 4.17 (for u_{ell}), 4.8 (for v_2), 4.20, 4.21 (for v_3), 4.18 (for $u_{ell,2}$), 4.23 (for $u_{ell,3}$), 4.2 (for \tilde{v}_2, w_1), 4.3 (for v_{ex}), 4.9(for $u_{w,2}$), 4.25 (for u_3), 4.33 (for u_{N_0}, v_4), 4.35 (for u_4), 4.37 (for $u_{N_0,ell}$), and 4.40 (for u_{N_2}) to get

$$||\partial_{r}v_{e}(t,r)||_{L^{2}(r^{2}dr)} + ||v_{e}(t,r)||_{L^{6}(r^{2}dr)} \leqslant \frac{C \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]}(\sqrt{\lambda(x)})}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad ||v_{rad}(t,r)||_{L^{6}(r^{2}dr)} \leqslant \frac{C \sup_{x \in [T_{\lambda},t]}(\sqrt{\lambda(x)}) \log(t)}{\sqrt{t}}$$

We also need to capture an exact cancellation between $\partial_t w_{1,0}(t,r)$ and $\partial_t Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$ for large r, since each of these functions are not separately in $L^2(r^2dr)$ (unless $\lambda'(t) = 0$). For this, we recall the definitions (1.2) and (4.10), and note that (5.14)

$$\partial_t(w_{1,0}(t,r) + Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda'(t)}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)r}} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + \frac{3\lambda(t)^2}{r^2})^{3/2}} - 1 \right) - \frac{3\lambda(t)^{3/2}\lambda'(t)}{(r^2 + 3\lambda(t)^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\lambda'(t+r)}{r\sqrt{\lambda(t+r)}} \right)$$

When $\lambda'(t) \neq 0$, $\partial_t Q_{\lambda(t)}(r)$ and $\partial_t w_{1,0}(t,r)$ each decay like $\frac{1}{r}$ for large r, but, $\partial_t (Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + w_{1,0}(t,r))$ decays faster for large r, as the above expression shows. Then, using the above lemmas, we get

$$||\partial_t (Q_{\lambda(t)}(r) + v_e(t,r))||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\sqrt{h(t)}\sup_{x \in [T_\lambda, t]} \left(\sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)}{t}$$

Next, we have

$$v_f(t,r) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{\overline{y_0}(t)}{\overline{y_1}(t,\frac{\cdot}{\lambda(t)^2})}\right]\right)\left(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}\right), \quad r > 0$$

Then, by the transference identity of [18], namely,

$$\mathcal{F}(r\partial_r u)(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -2\xi\mathcal{F}(u)_1'(\xi) \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(u))(\xi), \quad \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}(u)_0\\ \mathcal{F}(u)_1(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$

we get

$$\begin{split} |\partial_t v_f||_{L^2(r^2 dr)} &\leqslant C \frac{|\lambda'(t)|}{\lambda(t)} ||v_f||_{L^2(r^2 dr)} + ||\frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\left[\frac{\overline{y_0}'(t)}{\partial_1 \overline{y_1}(t, \frac{\cdot}{\lambda(t)^2})}\right])(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)})||_{L^2(r^2 dr)} \\ &+ ||\frac{\lambda(t)}{r} \left(\frac{-\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\left[\frac{\overline{y_0}(t)}{\overline{y_1}(t, \frac{\cdot}{\lambda(t)^2})}\right]\right)\right) \left(\frac{r}{\lambda(t)}\right) ||_{L^2(r^2 dr)} \\ &\leqslant \frac{C\lambda(t)^{3/2} \log^{90}(t)}{t^{3+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}} \end{split}$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$||v_f||_{L^2(r^2dr)} + \lambda(t)||\partial_r v_f||_{L^2(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\lambda(t)^{3/2}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l}}$$

In particular, using the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we get

$$||v_f(t,r)||_{L^6(r^2dr)} \leq \frac{C\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\log^{90}(t)}{t^{2+\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}C_l-C_u}}$$

From (4.17), (4.81), Lemma 4.20, and (4.35), we get that $E(v_{rad}, \partial_t v_{rad}) < \infty$, which finishes the verification of (5.13).

References

- Marius Beceanu. "A center-stable manifold for the energy-critical wave equation in R3 in the symmetric setting". In: Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations 11.03 (2014), pp. 437–476. DOI: 10.1142/S021989161450012X. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1142/S021989161450012X. URL: https://doi.org/10.1142/S021989161450012X.
- Roland Donninger and Joachim Krieger. "Nonscattering solutions and blowup at infinity for the critical wave equation". In: *Math. Ann.* 357.1 (2013), pp. 89–163. ISSN: 0025-5831. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-013-0898-1. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-013-0898-1.
- [3] Roland Donninger et al. "Exotic blowup solutions for the u5 focusing wave equation in R³". In: Michigan Mathematical Journal 63.3 (2014), pp. 451 -501. DOI: 10.1307/mmj/1409932630. URL: https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1409932630.

- [4] Thomas Duyckaerts, Carlos Kenig, and Frank Merle. "Classification of radial solutions of the focusing, energy-critical wave equation". In: *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics* 1 (2013), pp. 75–144.
- Thomas Duyckaerts and Frank Merle. "Dynamics of Threshold Solutions for Energy-Critical Wave Equation". In: International Mathematics Research Papers 2008 (Jan. 2008). rpn002.
 ISSN: 1687-3017. DOI: 10.1093/imrp/rpn002. eprint: https://academic.oup.com/imrp/article-pdf/doi/ URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/imrp/rpn002.
- [6] Matthieu Hillairet and Pierre Raphaël. "Smooth type II blow-up solutions to the fourdimensional energy-critical wave equation". In: Analysis & PDE 5.4 (2012), pp. 777 -829. DOI: 10.2140/apde.2012.5.777. URL: https://doi.org/10.2140/apde.2012.5.777.
- [7] Jacek Jendrej. "Construction of two-bubble solutions for energy-critical wave equations". In: *Amer. J. Math.* 141.1 (2019), pp. 55–118. ISSN: 0002-9327. DOI: 10.1353/ajm.2019.0002. URL: https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2019.0002.
- [8] Jacek Jendrej and Yvan Martel. "Construction of multi-bubble solutions for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5". In: *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 139 (Feb. 2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.matpur.2020.02.007.
- [9] Wilhelm Schlag Joachim Krieger Kenji Nakanishi. "Global dynamics of the nonradial energycritical wave equation above the ground state energy". In: Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems 33.6 (2013), pp. 2423–2450.
- [10] Carlos Kenig and Dana Mendelson. "The Focusing Energy-Critical Nonlinear Wave Equation With Random Initial Data". In: International Mathematics Research Notices (Nov. 2019). rnz174. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnz174. eprint: https://academic.oup.com/imrn/advance-anuRL: https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz174.
- [11] Carlos E. Kenig and Frank Merle. "Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical focusing non-linear wave equation". In: Acta Mathematica 201.2 (2008), pp. 147
 -212. DOI: 10.1007/s11511-008-0031-6. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11511-008-0031-6.
- [12] C.E. Kenig. Lectures on the Energy Critical Nonlinear Wave Equation. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, 2015. ISBN: 9781470420147.
- [13] J Krieger and W Schlag. "On the focusing critical semi-linear wave equation". In: American Journal of Mathematics 129.3 (2007), pp. 843–913.
- [14] Joachim Krieger and Joules Nahas. "Instability Of Type Ii Blow Up For The Quintic Nonlinear Wave Equation On R3+1". In: Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France 143 (Dec. 2012). DOI: 10.24033/bsmf.2690.
- [15] Joachim Krieger, Kenji Nakanishi, and Wilhelm Schlag. "Center-stable manifold of the ground state in the energy space for the critical wave equation". In: *Mathematische Annalen* 361 (Mar. 2013). DOI: 10.1007/s00208-014-1059-x.
- [16] Joachim Krieger, Kenji Nakanishi, and Wilhelm Schlag. "Threshold Phenomenon for the Quintic Wave Equation in Three Dimensions". In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 327.1 (Apr. 2014), pp. 309–332. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-014-1900-9.
- [17] Joachim Krieger and Wilhelm Schlag. "Full range of blow up exponents for the quintic wave equation in three dimensions". In: *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 101.6 (2014), pp. 873-900. ISSN: 0021-7824. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2013.10.008. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021782413001323.

REFERENCES

- [18] Joachim Krieger, Wilhelm Schlag, and Daniel Tataru. "Slow blow-up solutions for the H¹(ℝ³) critical focusing semilinear wave equation". In: *Duke Math. J.* 147.1 (2009), pp. 1–53. ISSN: 0012-7094. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-2009-005. URL: https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2009-005.
- [19] Y. Martel and F. Merle. "Construction of Multi-Solitons for the Energy-Critical Wave Equation in Dimension 5." In: Arch Rational Mech Anal 222 (2016), 1113–1160.
- [20] Mohandas Pillai. Global, Non-scattering solutions to the energy critical wave maps equation. 2021. arXiv: 2010.08086 [math.AP].
- [21] Mohandas Pillai. "Global, non-scattering solutions to the energy critical Yang-Mills problem". In: Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations 18.01 (2021), pp. 29–141. DOI: 10.1142/S0219891621500028. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219891621500028. URL: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219891621500
- [22] C.D. Sogge. Lectures on Non-linear Wave Equations. Monographs in analysis. International Press, 2008. ISBN: 9781571461735.
- [23] Xu Yuan. "Construction of excited multi-solitons for the 5D energy-critical wave equation". In: Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations 18.02 (2021), pp. 397–434. DOI: 10.1142/S0219891621500120. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219891621500120. URL: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219891621500