

PSI-MORPHISMS

TIBERIU DUMITRESCU AND MIHAI EPURE

ABSTRACT. We extend to ring morphisms the recent work of Mohamed Khalifa on PSI-extensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [5], Mohamed Khalifa introduced and studied the so-called *prime submodule ideal ring extensions* (for short, PSI-extensions). An extension $A \subseteq B$ of integral domains is a *PSI extension* if every A -prime ideal of B is prime in B . Here a proper ideal I of B is *A -prime* if $ab \in I$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ implies that $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

The next theorem summarizes a good deal of the results in [5]. Specifically, for (i)-(iii), (iv)-(v), (vi), (vii), (viii), (ix), (x), (xi), (xii) see results (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.7), (2.9), (2.11), (2.14), (3.2), (3.5) in [5] respectively.

Theorem 1.1. (Khalifa) *Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ be extensions of domains and X an indeterminate.*

- (i) *If $A \subseteq C$ is a PSI-extension, then so is $B \subseteq C$.*
- (ii) *For every multiplicative set S of A , $A \subseteq A_S$ is a PSI-extension.*
- (iii) *If $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension, then so is $A/(Q \cap A) \subseteq B/Q$ for each prime ideal Q of B .*
- (iv) *If $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension, then so is $A_S \subseteq B_S$ for each multiplicative set S of A .*
- (v) *$A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension iff so is $A_P \subseteq B_P$ for each maximal ideal P of A .*
- (vi) *$A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension iff it is an INC-extension and $\Omega(P)$ is prime in B or $\Omega(P) = B$ for every prime ideal P of A . Here $\Omega(P) = PB_P \cap B$.*
- (vii) *If $A \subseteq B$ have the same prime ideals, then $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension.*
- (viii) *If $A \subseteq B$ is an integral PSI-extension and $B \subseteq C$ is a PSI-extension, then $A \subseteq C$ is a PSI-extension.*
- (ix) *Suppose that A is integrally closed in B and let Γ be the set of all intermediate rings between A and B . Then $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-pair, i.e. $A \subseteq T$ is a PSI-extension for each $T \in \Gamma$ iff all residue field extensions of $A \subseteq T$ are algebraic for each $T \in \Gamma$.*
- (x) *If D is a Prüfer domain with quotient field K , then $D + XK[[X]] \subseteq K[[X]]$ is a PSI-pair.*
- (xi) *$A[X] \subseteq B[X]$ is a PSI-extension iff $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension and all residue field extensions of $A \subseteq B$ are trivial.*
- (xii) *Suppose that A is a quasi-local integrally closed domain. Then $A[[X]] \subseteq T[[X]]$ is a PSI-extension for each overring T of A iff A is a valuation domain.*

The purpose of this paper is to extend a part of the facts in Theorem 1.1 to the more flexible setup of commutative ring morphisms. By *ring* we always mean a

commutative unitary ring and our ring morphisms are unitary. Our notation and terminology is standard like in [4].

We give our key definition which extends the concept of PSI-extension of [5] to ring morphisms.

Definition 1.2. Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism.

(i) A proper ideal I of B is said to be *A-prime* if $u(a)b \in I$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ implies that $u(a) \in I$ or $b \in I$. It follows easily that $u^{-1}(I)$ is a prime ideal of A .

(ii) Say that u is a *PSI-morphism* if every A -prime ideal of B is prime in B . We say that a ring extension $C \subseteq D$ is a *PSI-extension* if the inclusion map $C \hookrightarrow D$ is a PSI-morphism.

In Section 2, we study the PSI-morphisms. We prove that the PSI-morphisms are those morphisms whose fiber rings are either zero or fields (Theorem 2.4). An immediate consequence is that the spectral map of a PSI-morphism is injective (Corollary 2.5). Some examples of PSI-extensions are given in Examples 2.6 and 2.7. Our Proposition 2.8 extends parts (ii) and (vii) of Theorem 1.1 and also shows that an epimorphism is a PSI-morphism. It is easy to identify the minimal ring extensions which are PSI (Proposition 2.9). The class of PSI-morphisms is closed under map composition (Theorem 2.10), thus extending parts (i) and (viii) of Theorem 1.1. Corollary 2.11 extends parts (iii-v) of Theorem 1.1.

In Section 3, we study a special type of PSI-morphism. Call a ring morphism a *strong PSI-morphism* if its fiber maps are isomorphisms. An epimorphism is a strong PSI-morphism (Proposition 3.2) but not conversely (Example 3.6). A PSI-morphism is strong iff its residue field extensions are trivial (Theorem 3.4). Examples of strong PSI-morphisms using Nagata idealization rings are provided by Proposition 3.5. In Theorem 3.7, we show that the class of strong PSI-morphisms is stable under base extension and derive that a ring morphism is strong PSI iff the corresponding polynomial morphism is a PSI-morphism, thus extending part (xi) of Theorem 1.1. Consequently, we prove that a finite strong PSI-morphism is surjective (Corollary 3.8) and a finite type strong PSI-morphism is an epimorphism (Theorem 3.9). The class of (strong) PSI-morphisms is closed under map composition (Theorem 3.13) and inductive limits (Proposition 3.10). If $A \subseteq B$ is a ring extension, we can talk about the greatest intermediate ring $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ which is strong PSI over A (Proposition 3.16). An extension of rings $A \subseteq B$ sharing an ideal I is a (strong) PSI-extension iff so is $A/I \subseteq B/I$ (Proposition 3.19). Consequently, $A[[X]] \subseteq B[[X]]$ is a PSI-extension when $A \subseteq B$ is ring extension such that some maximal ideal M of B is contained in A (Proposition 3.21), thus extending part (xii) of Theorem 1.1.

2. PSI-MORPHISMS

We recall some standard notation.

Notation 2.1. Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism. Recall that if P is a prime ideal of A , then *fiber ring* of u at P is the ring $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$, where $k_A(P) = A_P/PA_P$ is the *residue field* of A at P . We have the canonical injective map $\text{Spec}(k_A(P) \otimes_A B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ whose image is the set of prime ideals of B lying over P in A . So $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is nonzero iff there is some prime ideal of B lies over P . When $v : C \rightarrow D$ is a canonical ring morphism, we shall write $C = D$ to mean

that v is an isomorphism. For instance, if $Q \in \text{Spec}(B)$ and $P = u^{-1}(Q)$, then

$$k_A(P) = k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_B(Q)$$

means that the canonical maps

$$k_A(P) \rightarrow k_A(P) \otimes_A B \rightarrow k_B(Q)$$

are isomorphisms.

We start with two simple results about A -primes.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism, I a proper ideal of B and $P = u^{-1}(I)$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) I is A -prime.
- (ii) B/I is a torsion-free A/P -module.
- (iii) I is a contracted ideal via the ring morphism $B \rightarrow k_A(P) \otimes_A B$.

Proof. As observed in Definition 1.2, P is a prime ideal. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows easily from definitions. Since $k_A(P)$ is the quotient field of A/P , (ii) holds iff the canonical map $B/I \rightarrow k_A(P) \otimes_A B/I$ is injective iff (iii) holds. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism and P a prime ideal of A . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) All A -prime ideals of B lying over P are prime in B .
- (ii) The fiber ring $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is a field.

Proof. Note that every ideal of $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is extended from B . So, by Proposition 2.2, (i) holds iff every ideal of $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is prime iff (ii) holds. \square

We give our key tool in dealing with PSI-morphisms.

Theorem 2.4. *For a ring morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) u is a PSI-morphism.
- (ii) For every $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ the fiber $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is a field or the zero ring.
- (iii) $u(A) \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is covered by Corollary 2.3. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follows observing that u and the inclusion morphism $u(A) \hookrightarrow B$ have the same nonzero fiber rings. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a PSI-morphism. Then*

- (i) The spectral map $\alpha : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is injective.
- (ii) If $P \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, then

$$\alpha^{-1}(P) = \{b \in B \mid u(s)b \in PB \text{ for some } s \in A - P\} := Q$$

and $k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_B(Q)$.

- (iii) If $M \in \text{Max}(A)$, then $MB \in \text{Max}(B)$ or $MB = B$.
- (iv) If A is a field, then so is B .

Proof. (i) and (ii). Let $P \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$. Then $k(P) \otimes_A B$ is a field (cf. Theorem 2.4), so $Q = \alpha^{-1}(P)$ is the kernel of the morphism $B \rightarrow k(P) \otimes_A B$. A short computation shows that Q has the indicated value. The canonical map $k_A(P) \otimes_A B \rightarrow k_B(Q)$ is an isomorphism since the second ring is obtained from the first one by factorization and localization. (iii) If $MB \neq B$, then $k(M) \otimes_A B = B/MB$ is a field (cf. Theorem 2.4), so MB is a maximal ideal of B . (iv) follows from (iii). \square

Example 2.6. Let A be a one-dimensional domain and B an overring of A . Then $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension iff $MB \in \text{Max}(B)$ or $MB = B$ for all $M \in \text{Max}(A)$, cf. part (iii) of Corollary 2.5.

Consider the particular case $A = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$, $B = \mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{d})/2]$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sqrt{d} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and d is one modulo 4. Then $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension iff d is five modulo 8. Indeed, if d is one modulo 8, then the fiber ring $k_A(2, 1+\sqrt{d}) \otimes_A B$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. On the other hand, if d is five modulo 8, then every maximal ideal of A extends to a maximal ideal of B . See also Proposition 2.9.

Example 2.7. If K is a field, then $K[X] \subseteq K[[X]]$ is a PSI-extension, because $K[[X]][K(X)] = K((X))$ and $K \otimes_{K[X]} K[[X]] = K$. Meanwhile, $A = \mathbb{Z}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[[X]] = B$ is not a PSI-extension because

$$k_A(X-6) \otimes_A B \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[[X]]/(X-6) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_3$$

where \mathbb{Q}_p is the p -adic number field.

Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism, $v : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$ the canonical ring morphism induced by u and $I = \ker(v)$. Recall that module of differentials $\Omega_{B/A}$ is the B -module I/I^2 . Recall also that u is called an *epimorphism* if the canonical map v is an isomorphism, that is, $I = 0$. Thus $\Omega_{B/A} = 0$ if u is an epimorphism.

Proposition 2.8. *A ring morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ is a PSI-morphism in each case below.*

- (i) *Every prime ideal of B is extended from A .*
- (ii) *u is an epimorphism.*
- (iii) *u is surjective.*
- (iv) *u is the canonical map from A to a fraction ring of A .*

Proof. We use Theorem 2.4. Let P be a prime ideal of A . Suppose that (i) holds. Since the hypothesis of (i) is stable under factorization and localization, it is satisfied by $k_A(P) \rightarrow k_A(P) \otimes_A B$, so $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is a field. If (ii) holds, then $k_A(P) = k_A(P) \otimes_A B$, cf. [7, Lemme 1.0' and Corollaire 1.3]. The other assertions follow from (i). \square

Note that a proper field extension $K \hookrightarrow L$ is a PSI-extension but not an epimorphism.

Next we extend Example 2.6. Note $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ is an index two subring of $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{d})/2]$. Recall that a proper ring extension $A \subset B$ is *minimal* [2] if there is no proper intermediate ring $A \subset C \subset B$. In this case, there exists a maximal ideal called the *crucial ideal* of $A \subset B$ such that $A_P = B_P$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(A) - \{M\}$, cf. [2, Théorème 2.2]. Clearly $A_M \subset B_M$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $A \subset B$ be a minimal ring extension with crucial ideal M . Then $A \subset B$ is a PSI-extension iff $A \subset B$ is not finite or $A \subset B$ is finite and M is a maximal ideal of B .*

Proof. The assertion is a consequence of the following remarks. If $A \subset B$ is not finite, then $A \hookrightarrow B$ is a flat epimorphism cf. [2, Theorem 2.2]; so $A \hookrightarrow B$ is a PSI-extension cf. Proposition 2.8. Suppose that $A \subset B$ is finite. Then MB is a maximal ideal of B iff so is M cf. [8, Theorem 3.3]. \square

Our next result extends parts (i) and (viii) of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.10. *Suppose that $u : A \rightarrow B$ and $v : B \rightarrow C$ are ring morphisms.*

- (i) *If u and v are PSI-morphisms, then so is vu .*
- (ii) *If vu is a PSI-morphism, then so is v .*

Proof. (i). Let M be a prime ideal of C , $Q = v^{-1}(M)$, $P = u^{-1}(Q)$. As u and v are PSI-morphisms, we have $k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_B(Q)$ and $k_B(Q) \otimes_B C = k_C(M)$, cf. Corollary 2.5, so

$$k_A(P) \otimes_A C = k_A(P) \otimes_A B \otimes_B C = k_B(Q) \otimes_B C = k_C(M).$$

Apply Theorem 2.4.

(ii) Let M be a prime ideal of C , $Q = v^{-1}(M)$, $P = u^{-1}(Q)$. As vu is a PSI-morphism, we have $k_A(P) \otimes_A C = k_C(M)$, cf. Corollary 2.5. Since $k_B(Q) \otimes_B C = C_Q/QC_Q$ is obtained from $k_A(P) \otimes_A C = C_P/PC_P$ by factorization and localization, we get that $k_B(Q) \otimes_B C$ is a field. Apply Theorem 2.4. \square

In (ii) above, it may happen that vu is a PSI-morphism, while u is not. For instance, $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}(i)$ is a PSI-extension, but $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is not. A similar example is $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}] \subset \mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-7})/2] \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$, cf. Example 2.6.

Corollary 2.11. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism.*

- (i) *If u is a PSI-morphism, then so is $A/I \rightarrow B/J$ for all ideals I, J of A, B respectively such that $u(I) \subseteq J$.*
- (ii) *If u is a PSI-morphism, then so is $A_S \rightarrow B_T$ for all multiplicative sets S, T of A, B respectively such that $u(S) \subseteq T$.*
- (iii) *u is a PSI-morphism iff so is $A/P \rightarrow B/PB$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$.*
- (iv) *u is a PSI-morphism iff so is $A_M \rightarrow B_M$ for each $M \in \text{Max}(A)$.*

Proof. (i) By Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.10, we get that $A \rightarrow B/J$ is a PSI-morphism, hence so is $A/I \rightarrow B/J$. A similar argument works for (ii). Assertion (iii) follows from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that the fiber rings of u is the union of all fiber rings of $A/P \rightarrow B/PB$ for $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$. A similar argument works for (iv). \square

3. STRONG PSI-MORPHISMS

In this section we study a special type of PSI-morphism. The motivation for doing that comes from the fact that the class of PSI-morphisms is not closed under base extension. A simple example is the field PSI-extension $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ whose polynomial extension $\mathbb{R}[X] \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ is not PSI, cf. part (xi) of Theorem 1.1.

Definition 3.1. A ring morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be a *strong PSI-morphism* if $k_A(P) = k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ with $k_A(P) \otimes_A B \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *An epimorphism is a strong PSI-morphism*

Proof. Use the proof of part (ii) of Proposition 2.8. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.2 is not true as shown by Example 3.6.

Example 3.3. It is easy to check directly that the diagonal map $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a strong PSI-morphism. More generally, if A is a domain and b a nonzero nonunit of A , then the diagonal map $A \hookrightarrow A[1/b] \times A/bA$ is an epimorphism [9, page 3-09], so it is a strong PSI-morphism, cf. Proposition 3.2.

The strong PSI-morphisms are the PSI-morphisms with trivial residue field extensions.

Theorem 3.4. *For a ring morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ the following are equivalent.*

- (i) u is a strong PSI-morphism.
- (ii) u is a PSI-morphism and $k_A(u^{-1}(Q)) = k_B(Q)$ for each $Q \in \text{Spec}(B)$.
- (iii) $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is a $k_A(P)$ -vector space of dimension ≤ 1 for each $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

Proof. Use Definition 3.1, Theorem 2.4 and the fact that $k_A(P) \rightarrow k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is an injective ring morphism. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let A be a ring, M an A -module and $A(+)M$ the Nagata idealization ring of M . The canonical map $A \rightarrow A(+)M$ is a strong PSI-morphism iff $k_A(P) \otimes_A M = 0$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$.*

Proof. We have $k_A(P) \otimes_A A(+)M \simeq k_A(P) \oplus (k_A(P) \otimes_A M)$ as $k_A(P)$ -vector spaces, so Theorem 3.4 applies. \square

We exhibit a strong PSI-morphism which is not an epimorphism.

Example 3.6. Let u be the canonical map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(+)\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} := B$. As $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$ for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{Z}_p with p prime, it follows that u is a strong PSI-morphism, cf. Proposition 3.5. On the other hand we have

$$B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \not\simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = B$$

as Abelian groups, so u is not an epimorphism. Writing \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} as an inductive limit of the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{n!}$, it can be shown that $\Omega_{B/\mathbb{Z}}$ is null, so the kernel of the canonical map $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \rightarrow B$ is a nonzero idempotent nilideal, cf. [7, Proposition 1.5]. Note also that B is not \mathbb{Z} -flat because it has nonzero torsion. We were not able to find an example of a strong PSI-morphism with nonzero module of differentials.

In the light of Theorem 3.4, part (xi) of Theorem 1.1 shows (in our terminology) that a domain extension $A \subseteq B$ is strong PSI iff the polynomial extension $A[X] \subseteq B[X]$ is a PSI-extension. We extend this result by showing that the class of strong PSI-morphisms is stable under base extension.

Theorem 3.7. *For a ring morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ the following are equivalent.*

- (i) u is a strong PSI-morphism.
- (ii) $u \otimes_A C : C \rightarrow C \otimes_A B$ is a strong PSI-morphism for each ring morphism $v : A \rightarrow C$.
- (iii) $u \otimes_A C : C \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ is a PSI-morphism for each ring morphism $A \rightarrow C$.
- (iv) $u \otimes_A A[X] : A[X] \rightarrow B[X]$ is a PSI-morphism.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Set $D = C \otimes_A B$. Let $M \in \text{Spec}(C)$ such that $k_C(M) \otimes_C D$ is nonzero and let $P = v^{-1}(M)$. Note that $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is nonzero, so $k_A(P) = k_A(P) \otimes_A B$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} k_C(M) \otimes_C D &= k_C(M) \otimes_C C \otimes_A B = k_C(M) \otimes_A B = \\ &= k_C(M) \otimes_{k_A(P)} k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_C(M) \otimes_{k_A(P)} k_A(P) = k_C(M). \end{aligned}$$

The implications (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) are clear.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Let $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ such that $k_A(P) \otimes_A B$ is nonzero. As $A[X] \rightarrow B[X]$ is a PSI-morphism, we get that $A \rightarrow B$ and $k_A(P)[X] \rightarrow k_B(Q)[X]$ are PSI-morphisms, cf. parts (i-ii) of Corollary 2.11. Thus $k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_B(Q) = k_A(P)$ by Theorem 2.4 and [5, Lemma 3.1]. \square

If we add some finiteness condition, a strong PSI-morphism gets a particular form.

Corollary 3.8. *A finite strong PSI-morphism is surjective.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case of an injective finite strong PSI-morphism $A \hookrightarrow B$. Localizing (Theorem 3.7), we may assume that A is local with maximal ideal M . As $A \hookrightarrow B$ is a strong PSI-morphism, we get $A/M = B/MB$, hence $B = A + MB$, thus $A = B$ by Nakayama's Lemma. \square

Theorem 3.9. *A finite type strong PSI-morphism is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a finite type strong PSI-morphism, $v : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$ the canonical ring morphism induced by u and $I = \ker(v)$. Then I is a finitely generated ideal of $B \otimes_A B$, so $\Omega_{B/A} = I/I^2$ is a finitely generated B -module, cf. [1, Lemme 1.7]. Let $Q \in \text{Max}(B)$ and $P = u^{-1}(Q)$. Since u is a strong PSI-morphism, we get $k_A(P) = k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_B(Q)$, so

$$\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B k_B(Q) \simeq \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_A k_A(P) \simeq \Omega_{k_A(P)/k_A(P)} = 0$$

cf. [1, Lemme 1.13]. By Nakayama's Lemma, we get that $\Omega_{B/A}$ is null because it is a finitely generated B -module. By [7, Proposition 1.5], we obtain that u is an epimorphism. \square

For further use, we give the following result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let \mathcal{P} be one of the following three conditions: PSI-morphism, strong PSI-morphism, epimorphism. An inductive limit of \mathcal{P} -morphisms is a \mathcal{P} -morphism.*

Proof. Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be the limit of the inductive system of ring morphisms $\{u_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i\}_{i \in I}$. Let Q be a prime ideal of B and P_i, Q_i, P the inverse image of Q in A_i, B_i, A respectively. If all u_i 's are PSI-morphisms, then $k_{A_i}(P_i) \otimes_{A_i} B_i = k_{B_i}(Q_i)$, so taking the limit we get $k_A(P) \otimes_A B = k_B(Q)$. Hence u is a PSI-morphism. A similar argument works in the other two cases. \square

Corollary 3.11. *An inductive limit of finite type strong PSI-morphisms is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 3.10. \square

In particular, the morphism of Example 3.6 cannot be written as an inductive limit of finite type strong PSI-morphisms.

Remark 3.12. We remark the following extension of part (ix) of Theorem 1.1. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a ring extension and let Γ denote the set of all rings between A and B . Suppose that every $C \in \Gamma$ is integrally closed in B . By [6, Theorem 5.2, page 47], $A \subseteq C$ is a flat epimorphism (hence a strong PSI-extension) for each $C \in \Gamma$.

The next result is a strong PSI-morphism variant of Theorem 2.10.

Theorem 3.13. *Suppose that $u : A \rightarrow B$ and $v : B \rightarrow C$ are ring morphisms.*

- (i) *If u and v are strong PSI-morphisms, then so is vu .*
- (ii) *If vu is a strong PSI-morphism, then so is v .*

Proof. Let M be a prime ideal of C , $Q = v^{-1}(M)$, $P = u^{-1}(Q)$. We have the residue field extensions $k_A(P) \rightarrow k_B(Q) \rightarrow k_C(M)$. So $k_A(P) = k_C(M)$ iff $k_A(P) = k_B(Q)$ and $k_B(Q) = k_C(M)$. Apply Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 2.10. \square

Corollary 3.14. *If $u : A \rightarrow B$ and $v : B \rightarrow C$ are strong PSI-morphisms, then so is $A \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$.*

Proof. Combine Theorems 3.7 and 3.13. \square

Corollary 3.15. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$, $v : B \rightarrow C$, $t : C \rightarrow D$, $w : B \rightarrow D$ be ring morphisms such that $wu = tv$ and $D = w(B)t(C)$. If u and v are strong PSI-morphisms, then so is $A \rightarrow D$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.14, $A \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ is a strong PSI-morphism. As the canonical map $B \otimes_A C \rightarrow D$ is surjective, we are done. \square

The next result is in the spirit of [7, Proposition 3.4].

Proposition 3.16. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism. There exists a greatest subring C of B containing $u(A)$ such that $u : A \rightarrow C$ is a strong PSI-morphism. Call C the strong PSI-closure of A in B .*

Proof. C is the directed union of the subrings D of B containing $u(A)$ such that $A \rightarrow D$ is a strong PSI-morphism, cf. Corollary 3.15. Apply Proposition 3.10. \square

Corollary 3.17. *Let $A \subseteq B$ be an extension of domains where A a Prüfer domain with quotient field K . Then the PSI-closure of A in B is $K \cap B$.*

Proof. Let C denote the PSI-closure of A in B . It is clear that $C \subseteq K \cap B$. On the other hand, since A is a Prüfer domain, $A \subseteq D$ is an epimorphism cf. [6, Theorem 3.13, page 37] and [3, Corollary 6.5.19], hence a strong PSI-extension, for each overring D of A . Thus $C = K \cap B$. \square

Example 3.18. For a field K , the PSI-closure of $K[X]$ in $K[[X]]$ is contained in $K(X) \cap K[[X]] = K[X]_{(X)}$, cf. Corollary 3.17.

We close our paper by giving two more constructions of PSI-morphisms (Propositions 3.19 and 3.22).

Proposition 3.19. *Let $A \subseteq B$ be ring extension and I a common ideal of A and B . Then $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-extension (resp. strong PSI-extension) iff $A/I \subseteq B/I$ is a PSI-extension (resp. strong PSI-extension).*

Proof. The "only if part" follows from Theorem 3.7. For the "if part", let $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Suppose that $P \not\supseteq I$ and pick $f \in I - P$. Then $fB \subseteq A$, so $A_P = B_P$, hence $k_A(P) = k_A(P) \otimes_A B$. To complete the proof, it suffices to see that when $P \supseteq I$, we have $k_{A/I}(P/I) = k_A(P)$ and $k_{A/I}(P/I) \otimes_{A/I} B/I = k_A(P) \otimes_A B$. \square

Corollary 3.20. *A ring extension $A \subseteq B$ is a PSI-morphism (resp. strong PSI-morphism) iff $A + XB[X] \subseteq B[X]$ is a PSI-morphism (resp. strong PSI-morphism).*

We give a power series application of Proposition 3.19 which extends part (xii) of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.21. *Let $A \subseteq B$ be ring extension such that some maximal ideal M of B is contained in A . Then $A[[X]] \subseteq B[[X]]$ is a PSI-extension.*

Proof. As $M[[X]]$ is a common ideal of $A[[X]]$ and $B[[X]]$, it suffices to show that $(A/M)[[X]] \subseteq (B/M)[[X]]$ is a PSI-morphism, cf. Proposition 3.19. In other words, it suffices to show that $A[[X]] \subseteq B[[X]]$ is a PSI-morphism when A is a domain and

B is a field. Since the prime ideals of $B[[X]]$ are 0 and $XB[[X]]$, it suffices to see that

$$k_{A[[X]]}(0) \otimes_{A[[X]]} B[[X]] = B[[X]][1/X] = B((X))$$

and

$$k_{A[[X]]}(XA[[X]]) \otimes_{A[[X]]} B[[X]] = B[[X]]/(X) = B.$$

□

Proposition 3.22. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$, $v : A \rightarrow C$ be ring morphisms and α, β the images in $\text{Spec}(A)$ of $\text{Spec}(B)$, $\text{Spec}(C)$ respectively. Let \mathcal{P} be one of the following three conditions: PSI-morphism, strong PSI-morphism, epimorphism. Then the diagonal map $w : A \rightarrow B \times C$ is \mathcal{P} -morphism iff u, v are \mathcal{P} and $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$.*

Proof. The assertion is a consequence of the following three remarks. If w is a (strong) PSI-morphism, compose it with the canonical projections to get that u, v are (strong) PSI-morphism, cf. Theorem 2.10. For $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$, if

$$k_A(P) \otimes_A B \times C = k_A(P) \otimes_A B \times k_A(P) \otimes_A C$$

is a field, then $k_A(P) \otimes_A B = 0$ or $k_A(P) \otimes_A C = 0$. Note that $B \otimes_A C = 0$, otherwise for any prime ideal P of $B \otimes_A C$, the preimage of P in A belongs to $\alpha \cap \beta$. Thus $(B \times C) \otimes_A (B \times C) = (B \times C)$. □

For instance, the diagonal map $\mathbb{Q}[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}((X)) \times \mathbb{Q}$ is a PSI-morphism.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Andre, Homologie des algebres commutatives, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [2] D. Ferrand and J.-P. Olivier, Homomorphismes minimaux d'anneaux, J. Algebra 16 (1970), 461-471.
- [3] M. Fontana, J. Huckaba and I. Papick, Prüfer domains, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [4] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, The University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [5] M. Khalifa, When prime submodules are prime ideals? to appear in Mathematical Reports.
- [6] M. Knebusch and D. Zhang, Manis Valuations and Prüfer Extensions I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] D. Lazard, Épimorphismes plats, Séminaire Samuel. Algèbre commutative, tome 2 (1967-1968), exp. no. 4, p. 1-12.
- [8] G. Picavet and M. Picavet-L'Hermitte, About minimal morphisms, in: Multiplicative Ideal Theory in Commutative Algebra, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006, pp. 369-386.
- [9] N. Roby, Diverses caractérisations des épimorphismes, Séminaire Samuel. Algèbre commutative, tome 2 (1967-1968), exp. no. 3, p. 1-12.

FACULTATEA DE MATEMATICA SI INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, 14 ACADEMIEI STR., BUCHAREST, RO 010014, ROMANIA

Email address: tiberiu_dumitrescu2003@yahoo.com, tiberiu@fmi.unibuc.ro,

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY RESEARCH UNIT 6, P. O. BOX 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

Email address: epuremihai@yahoo.com, mihai.epure@imar.ro