

SOME IDENTITIES OF FULLY DEGENERATE DOWLING AND FULLY DEGENERATE BELL POLYNOMIALS ARISING FROM λ -UMBRAL CALCULUS

YUANKUI MA, TAEKYUN KIM, HYUNSEOK LEE, AND DAE SAN KIM

ABSTRACT. Recently, Kim-Kim introduced the λ -umbral calculus, in which the λ -Sheffer sequences occupy the central position. In this paper, we introduce the fully degenerate Bell and the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials, and investigate some properties and identities relating to those polynomials with the help of λ -umbral calculus. Here we note that the fully degenerate Bell polynomials and the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials are respectively degenerate versions of the Bell polynomials and the Dowling polynomials, of which the latter are the natural extension of the Whitney numbers of the second kind.

1. INTRODUCTION

A finite lattice L is geometric if it is a finite semimodular lattice which is also atomic. For details on lattices, one refers to [17]. Dowling constructed an important finite geometric lattice $Q_n(G)$ of rank n (see [3, Theorem 3]) which satisfies the chain condition (see [3, Theorem 1]), out of a finite set of n elements and a finite group G of order m . This is called Dowling lattice of rank n over a finite group of order m . We let the interested reader refer to [3] for the details on the construction of $Q_n(G)$ and its many fascinating properties.

For a finite geometric lattice L of rank n , Dowling [3] defined the Whitney numbers $V_L(n, k)$ of the first kind and the Whitney numbers $W_L(n, k)$ of the second kind. In particular, if L is the Dowling lattice $Q_n(G)$ of rank n over a finite group G of order m , then the Whitney numbers of the first kind $V_{Q_n(G)}(n, k)$ and the Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{Q_n(G)}(n, k)$ are respectively denoted by $V_m(n, k)$ and $W_m(n, k)$. These notations are justified, since the Whitney numbers of both kinds depend only on the order m of G . In Corollary 6.1 of [3], it was shown that, for any fixed group G of order m , the Whitney numbers $V_m(n, k)$ and $W_m(n, k)$ satisfy the following Stirling number-like relations:

$$(1) \quad (mx + 1)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n W_m(n, k) m^k (x)_k,$$

$$(2) \quad m^n (x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n V_m(n, k) (mx + 1)^k, \quad (\text{see [3]}).$$

where $(x)_0 = 1$, $(x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$, $(n \geq 1)$.

From (1) and (2), it is evident that the Whitney numbers satisfy the orthogonality relations.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, as further generalizations of Whitney numbers of both kinds, the r -Whitney numbers of the first kind $V_m^{(r)}(n, k)$ and those of the second $W_m^{(r)}(n, k)$ are respectively defined by

$$(3) \quad m^n (x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n V_m^{(r)}(n, k) (mx + r)^k,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B73; 11B83; 05A19; 05A40.

Key words and phrases. degenerate Whitney numbers of the second kind; fully degenerate Bell polynomials; fully degenerate Dowling polynomials.

and

$$(4) \quad (mx+r)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n W_m^{(r)}(n,k) m^k (x)_k, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

We remark that r -Whitney numbers of both kinds and their applications were studied by several authors (see [14]). Combinatorial interpretations for the r -Whitney numbers of both kinds were found by Gyimesi-Nyul in [4]. We state them here for the convenience of the reader.

Let $0 \leq k \leq n$, $r \geq 0$, $n+r \geq 1$, and let $m \geq 1$. Then the r -Whitney number of the first kind $V_m^{(r)}(n,k)$ is equal to the number of coloured permutations in S_{n+r} which are the product of $k+r$ disjoint cycles such that

- the distinguished elements $1, \dots, r$ belong to distinct cycles,
- the smallest elements of the cycles are not coloured,
- an element in a cycle containing a distinguished element is not coloured if there are no smaller numbers on the arc from the distinguished element to this element,
- the remaining elements are coloured with m colours.

Further, $V_m^{(0)}(0,0)$ is defined as $V_m^{(0)}(0,0) = 1$.

Let $0 \leq k \leq n$, $r \geq 0$, $n+r \geq 1$, and let $m \geq 1$. Then the r -Whitney number of the second kind $W_m^{(r)}(n,k)$ is equal to the number of coloured partitions of $\{1, \dots, n+r\}$ into $k+r$ nonempty subsets such that

- the distinguished elements $1, \dots, r$ belong to distinct blocks,
- the smallest elements of the blocks are not coloured,
- elements in blocks containing a distinguished element are not coloured,
- the remaining elements are coloured with m colours.

Further, $W_m^{(0)}(0,0)$ is defined as $W_m^{(0)}(0,0) = 1$.

Carlitz initiated the study of degenerate versions of some special numbers and polynomials in [1]. It regained the interests of some mathematicians and yielded many interesting results. For some of these, one refers to [5–9, 11 and references therein]. Here we would like to mention only one thing. In [7], we were led to introduce the notions of degenerate Sheffer sequences and λ -Sheffer sequences, starting from the question that what if we replace the usual exponential function appearing in the generating functions of Sheffer sequences by the degenerate exponential function as in (11).

The aim of this paper is to introduce the fully degenerate Bell and the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials and investigate some properties and identities for them with the help of λ -umbral calculus.

In more detail, we find an explicit expression and Dobinski-like formula for the fully degenerate Bell polynomials, and the generating function for the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials. Then, by using the λ -umbral calculus, we derive a formula expressing any polynomial in terms of the fully degenerate Bell polynomials. Then, by applying this formula to the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials, the λ -falling factorial polynomials and the degenerate poly-Bell polynomials we represent them in terms of the fully degenerate Bell polynomials. In addition, we express the degenerate Bell polynomials in terms of the degenerate bernoulli polynomials of the second kind. Then we deduce a formula representing any polynomial in terms of the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials. Applying this formula to the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and the λ -falling factorial polynomials, we express them in terms of the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials. Further, we express the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials in terms of the the fully degenerate Bell polynomials.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly go over the λ -umbral calculus, for the details of which we let the reader refer to [7]. By the way, [15] is an excellent reference for the usual umbral calculus which corresponds to 0-umbral calculus. And then we recall some special polynomials and numbers that are needed in this paper.

Let \mathbb{C} be the field of complex numbers. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

and let

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[x] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } a_i = 0 \text{ for all but finite number of } i \right\}.$$

Let \mathbb{P}^* be the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} . If $\langle L|p(x)\rangle_{\lambda}$ denotes the action of the λ -linear functional L on the polynomial $p(x)$, then the vector space operations on \mathbb{P}^* are defined by

$$\langle L+M|p(x)\rangle_{\lambda} = \langle L|p(x)\rangle_{\lambda} + \langle M|p(x)\rangle_{\lambda}, \quad \langle cL|p(x)\rangle_{\lambda} = c\langle L|p(x)\rangle_{\lambda},$$

where c is a complex constant.

For $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, with $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!}$, we define the λ -linear functional on \mathbb{P} by

$$(5) \quad \langle f(t)|(x)_{k,\lambda}\rangle_{\lambda} = a_k, \quad (k \geq 0),$$

where

$$(x)_{0,\lambda} = 1, \quad (x)_{n,\lambda} = x(x-\lambda)\cdots(x-(n-1)\lambda), \quad (n \geq 1).$$

By (5), we get

$$(6) \quad \langle t^k|(x)_{n,\lambda}\rangle_{\lambda} = \langle 1|(t^k)_{\lambda}(x)_{n,\lambda}\rangle_{\lambda} = n!\delta_{n,k}, \quad (n, k \geq 0),$$

where $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker's symbol.

For $k \geq 0$, the λ -differential operator t^k on \mathbb{P} is defined by

$$(7) \quad (t^k)_{\lambda}(x)_{n,\lambda} = \begin{cases} (n)_k x^{n-k}, & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(x)_0 = 1$, $(x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$, $(n \geq 1)$.

Let $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \in \mathcal{F}$. The order $o(f(t))$ of $f(t)$ is the smallest integer k which a_k does not vanish.

For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ with $o(f(t)) = 1$, $o(g(t)) = 0$, there exists a unique sequence $s_{n,\lambda}(x)$ of polynomials such that

$$(8) \quad \langle g(t)(f(t))^k | S_{n,\lambda}(x) \rangle_{\lambda} = n!\delta_{n,k}, \quad (n, k \geq 0).$$

The sequence $S_{n,\lambda}(x)$ is called the λ -Sheffer sequence for $(g(t), f(t))$, which is denoted by $S_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_{\lambda}$.

For any nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the degenerate exponential functions are defined as

$$(9) \quad e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k,\lambda} \frac{t^k}{k!}, \quad e_{\lambda}(t) = e_{\lambda}^1(t), \quad (\text{see [8, 11 - 13]}).$$

Let $\log_{\lambda}(t)$ be the compositional inverse function of $e_{\lambda}(t)$ such that $\log_{\lambda}(e_{\lambda}(t)) = e_{\lambda}(\log_{\lambda}(t)) = t$.

Then we have

$$(10) \quad \log_{\lambda}(1+t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} (1)_{n,1/\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [6]}).$$

From (8), we note that $s_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_\lambda$ if and only if

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e_\lambda^x(\bar{f}(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\bar{f}(t)$ is the compositional inverse function of $f(t)$ such that $f(\bar{f}(t)) = \bar{f}(f(t)) = t$.

For $s_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_\lambda$, $r_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (h(t), l(t))_\lambda$, we have

$$(12) \quad s_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} r_{k,\lambda}(x),$$

where

$$(13) \quad c_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{h(\bar{f}(t))}{g(\bar{f}(t))} (l(\bar{f}(t)))^k \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda, \quad (n \geq k \geq 0).$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that m is any fixed positive integer.

In [9], the degenerate Whitney numbers of the second kind are given by

$$(14) \quad e_\lambda(t) \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{e_\lambda^m(t) - 1}{m} \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{m,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Recently, Kim-Kim introduced the degenerate Dowling polynomials given by

$$(15) \quad e_\lambda(t) e^{x \left(\frac{e_\lambda^m(t) - 1}{m} \right)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{m,\lambda}(n, x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [9]}).$$

From (15), we note that

$$(16) \quad D_{m,\lambda}(n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n W_{m,\lambda}(n, k) x^k, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [9]}).$$

In [7], Kim et al. introduced the partial degenerate Bell polynomials which are given by

$$(17) \quad e^{x(e_\lambda(t)-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Bel}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Note that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \text{Bel}_{n,\lambda}(x) = \text{Bel}_n(x)$. Here $\text{Bel}_n(x)$ are the ordinary Bell polynomials given by

$$e^{x(e^t-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Bel}_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [2, 15]}).$$

As is well known, the Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined by

$$(18) \quad (x)_n = \sum_{l=0}^n S_1(n, l) x^l, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [2, 15]}).$$

By the inversion of (18), the Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined by

$$(19) \quad x^n = \sum_{l=0}^n S_2(n, l) (x)_l, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [15, 16]}).$$

In [1], Carlitz introduced the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials defined by

$$(20) \quad \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

When $x = 0$, $\beta_{n,\lambda} = \beta_{n,\lambda}(0)$ are called the degenerate Bernoulli numbers.

The degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind are given by

$$(21) \quad \frac{t}{\log_\lambda(1+t)}(1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [10]}).$$

When $x = 0$, $b_{n,\lambda} = b_{n,\lambda}(0)$ are called the degenerate Bernoulli numbers of the second kind.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the degenerate polyexponential function is defined by Kim-Kim as

$$(22) \quad \text{Ei}_{k,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_{n,\lambda}}{(n-1)!n^k} x^n, \quad (\text{see [11]}).$$

The degenerate poly-Bell polynomials are defined by Kim et al. as

$$(23) \quad \frac{\text{Ei}_{k,\lambda}(\log_\lambda(1+t))}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [11]}).$$

When $x = 0$, $B_{n,\lambda}^{(k)} = B_{n,\lambda}^{(k)}(0)$ are called the degenerate poly-Bernoulli numbers.

The degenerate Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined by

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{k!} (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n,k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [6]}).$$

Note that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} S_{1,\lambda}(n,k) = S_1(n,k)$, ($n \geq k \geq 0$).

By the inversion of (24), the degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined by

$$(25) \quad \frac{1}{k!} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n,k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [6]}).$$

Note that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} S_{2,\lambda}(n,k) = S_2(n,k)$, ($n \geq k \geq 0$).

3. FULLY DEGENERATE BELL AND FULLY DEGENERATE DOWLING POLYNOMIALS ARISING FROM λ -UMBRAL CALCULUS

Here we introduce the fully degenerate Bell and the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials, and investigate some properties and identities relating to them with the help of λ -umbral calculus.

First, we define the *fully degenerate Bell polynomials* which are given by $\phi_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (1, \log_\lambda(1+t))_\lambda$. Then, by (11), we have

$$(26) \quad e_\lambda^x(e_\lambda(t) - 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Note that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \phi_{n,\lambda}(x) = \text{Bel}_n(x)$.

Next, we observe that

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\lambda}(x+y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= e_\lambda^x(e_\lambda(t) - 1) e_\lambda^y(e_\lambda(t) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \phi_{l,\lambda}(x) \phi_{n-l,\lambda}(y) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

From (27), we note that

$$(28) \quad \phi_{n,\lambda}(x+y) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \phi_{l,\lambda}(x) \phi_{n-l,\lambda}(y), \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Further, we see that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} e_\lambda^x(e_\lambda(t) - 1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k,\lambda} \frac{1}{k!} (e_\lambda(k) - 1)^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (x)_{k,\lambda} S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (26) and (29), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\phi_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) (x)_{k,\lambda}.$$

Now, we note that

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} e_\lambda^x(e_\lambda(t) - 1) &= (1 - \lambda + \lambda e_\lambda(t))^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = (1 - \lambda)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} e_\lambda(t) \right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\ &= e_\lambda^x(-1) \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} e_\lambda(t) \right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\ &= e_\lambda^x(-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k,\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} e_\lambda^k(t) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ e_\lambda^x(-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k)_{n,\lambda}}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right)^k (x)_{k,\lambda} \right\} \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (26) and (30), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (Dobinski-like formula). *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\phi_{n,\lambda}(x) = e_\lambda^x(-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k)_{n,\lambda}}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right)^k (x)_{k,\lambda}.$$

In view of Lemma 1 and (16), we define the *fully degenerate Dowling polynomials* by

$$(31) \quad d_{m,\lambda}(n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n W_{m,\lambda}(n, k) (x)_{k,\lambda}, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

When $x = 1$, $d_{m,\lambda}(n, 1) = d_{m,\lambda}(n)$ are called the fully degenerate Dowling numbers.

From (14) and (31), we note that

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{m,\lambda}(n, x) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n W_{m,\lambda}(n, k) (x)_{k,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{m,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) (x)_{k,\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_\lambda(t) \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{e_\lambda^m(t) - 1}{m} \right)^k (x)_{k,\lambda} \\ &= e_\lambda(t) e_\lambda^x \left(\frac{e_\lambda^m(t) - 1}{m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (32), we obtain the generating function of fully degenerate Dowling polynomials.

Theorem 3.

$$e_\lambda(t)e_\lambda^x\left(\frac{e_\lambda^m(t)-1}{m}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{m,\lambda}(n,x)\frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Note that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} d_{m,\lambda}(n,x) = D_m(n,x)$. Here $D_m(n,x)$ are the ordinary Dowling polynomials given by

$$e^t e^{x\left(\frac{e^m-1}{m}\right)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_m(n,x)\frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Let us assume that

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \{p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \mid \deg p(x) \leq n\}, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Then \mathbb{P}_n is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} .

For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, we let

$$(33) \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_{k,\lambda}(x).$$

Then, by (8), we get

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | p(x) \rangle_\lambda &= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | \phi_{l,\lambda}(x) \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l k! \delta_{k,l} = k! C_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (34), we get

$$(35) \quad C_k = \frac{1}{k!} \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | p(x) \rangle_\lambda.$$

Therefore, by (33) and (35), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4. For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, we have

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_{k,\lambda}(x),$$

where

$$C_k = \frac{1}{k!} \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | p(x) \rangle_\lambda.$$

Let us take

$$p(x) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda}(x)_{l,\lambda} \in \mathbb{P}_n.$$

Then, by Theorem 4, we get

$$(36) \quad \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_{k,\lambda}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(37) \quad C_k &= \frac{1}{k!} \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | (x)_{l,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(j,k) \frac{1}{j!} \langle t^j | (x)_{l,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(l,k).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (36) and (37), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(l,k) \right\} \phi_{k,\lambda}(x).$$

Let us take $p(x) = (x)_{n,\lambda} \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then, by Theorem 4, we get

$$(38) \quad (x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \phi_{k,\lambda}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(39) \quad C_k &= \frac{1}{k!} \langle (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(m,k) \frac{1}{m!} \langle t^m | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= S_{1,\lambda}(n,k).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (38) and (39), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$(x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{1,\lambda}(n,k) \phi_{k,\lambda}(x).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{n,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &\sim \left(\frac{e_\lambda(t) - 1}{\text{Ei}_{k,\lambda}(\log_\lambda(1+t))} , t \right)_\lambda, \\
\phi_{n,\lambda}(x) &\sim (1, \log_\lambda(1+t))_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$(40) \quad B_{n,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} \phi_{k,\lambda}(x).$$

Then, by (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad C_{n,k} &= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{\text{Ei}_{k,\lambda}(\log_\lambda(1+t))}{e_\lambda(t)-1} (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n \frac{S_{1,\lambda}(l,k)}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\text{Ei}_{k,\lambda}(\log_\lambda(1+t))}{e_\lambda(t)-1} t^l \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n S_{1,\lambda}(l,k) \binom{n}{l} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{j,\lambda}^{(k)} \frac{1}{j!} \langle t^j | (x)_{n-l,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n \binom{n}{l} S_{1,\lambda}(l,k) B_{n-l,\lambda}^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (40) and (41), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 7. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=k}^n \binom{n}{l} S_{1,\lambda}(l,k) B_{n-l,\lambda}^{(k)} \right\} \phi_{k,\lambda}(x).$$

From (21), we have

$$(42) \quad b_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim \left(\frac{t}{e_\lambda(t)-1}, e_\lambda(t)-1 \right)_\lambda.$$

Thus, by (12) and (13), we get

$$(43) \quad \phi_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} b_{k,\lambda}(x),$$

where, for $k=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(44) \quad C_{n,0} &= \left\langle \frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{e_\lambda(e_\lambda(t)-1)-1} \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\beta_{l,\lambda}}{l!} \langle (e_\lambda(t)-1)^l | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \beta_{l,\lambda} S_{2,\lambda}(n,l);
\end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad C_{n,k} &= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{e_\lambda(e_\lambda(t)-1)-1} (e_\lambda(e_\lambda(t)-1)-1)^k \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \langle (e_\lambda(t)-1)(e_\lambda(e_\lambda(t)-1)-1)^{k-1} | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \langle e_\lambda(t)(e_\lambda(e_\lambda(t)-1)-1)^{k-1} | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda - \frac{1}{k!} \langle (e_\lambda(e_\lambda(t)-1)-1)^{k-1} | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} (1)_{n-j,\lambda} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} (-1)^{k-1-l} \langle e_\lambda^l(e_\lambda(t)-1) | (x)_{j,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{j} \binom{k-1}{l} (1)_{n-j,\lambda} (-1)^{k-1-l} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_{i,\lambda}(l) \frac{1}{i!} \langle t^i | (x)_{j,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{j} \binom{k-1}{l} (1)_{n-j,\lambda} (-1)^{k-1-l} \phi_{j,\lambda}(l).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (43), (44) and (45), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 8. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\phi_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \beta_{l,\lambda} S_{2,\lambda}(n,l) + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{j} \binom{k-1}{l} (1)_{n-j,\lambda} (-1)^{k-1-l} \phi_{j,\lambda}(l) \right\} b_{k,\lambda}(x).$$

From Theorem 3, we note that

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} d_{m,\lambda}(n,x) &\sim \left((mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left((mt+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{m}} - 1 \right) \right)_{\lambda} \\ &\sim \left((mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}}, \frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(mt+1) \right)_{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let us assume that

$$(47) \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k d_{m,\lambda}(k,x).$$

Then we note that

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| p(x) \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l \left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| d_{m,\lambda}(l,x) \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l l! \delta_{l,k} = C_k k!. \end{aligned}$$

By (48), we get

$$(49) \quad C_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| p(x) \right\rangle_{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, by (47) and (49), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 9. For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, we have

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k d_{m,\lambda}(k,x),$$

where

$$C_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| p(x) \right\rangle_{\lambda}.$$

Let us take $p(x) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(x)$. Then we have

$$(50) \quad \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k d_{m,\lambda}(k,x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(51) \quad C_k &= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| (x)_{l,\lambda} \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} \sum_{j=k}^l S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(j,k) m^{j-k} \frac{1}{j!} \langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} t^j | (x)_{l,\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} \sum_{j=k}^l S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(j,k) \binom{l}{j} m^{j-k} \langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} | (x)_{l-j,\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{j=k}^l \binom{n}{l} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(j,k) m^{j-k} \binom{l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^i \frac{1}{i!} \langle (\log(mt+1))^i | (x)_{l-j,\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{j=k}^l \sum_{i=0}^{l-j} \binom{n}{l} \binom{l}{j} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(j,k) m^{j-k} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^i S_1(l-j,i) m^{l-j} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{j=k}^l \sum_{i=0}^{l-j} \binom{n}{l} \binom{l}{j} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(j,k) m^{l-k-i} (-1)^i S_1(l-j,i).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (50) and (51), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 10. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{j=k}^l \sum_{i=0}^{l-j} \binom{n}{l} \binom{l}{j} \beta_{n-l,\lambda} S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(j,k) m^{l-k-i} (-1)^i S_1(l-j,i) \right\} d_{m,\lambda}(k,x).$$

Let us take $p(x) = (x)_{n,\lambda} \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then, by Theorem 9, we get

$$(52) \quad (x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k d_{m,\lambda}(k,x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(53) \quad C_k &= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(1+mt) \right)^k \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \frac{1}{m^k} \sum_{l=k}^n S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(l,k) \frac{m^l}{l!} \langle (mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}} t^l | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(l,k) m^{l-k} \binom{n}{l} \langle e^{-\frac{1}{m} \log(mt+1)} | (x)_{n-l,\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n S_{1,\frac{\lambda}{m}}(l,k) m^{l-k} \binom{n}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{n-l} \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right)^i \frac{1}{i!} \langle (\log(mt+1))^i | (x)_{n-l,\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l=k}^n S_{1, \frac{\lambda}{m}}(l, k) m^{l-k} \binom{n}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{n-l} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^i \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} S_1(j, i) m^j \frac{1}{j!} \langle t^j | (x)_{n-l, \lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n S_{1, \frac{\lambda}{m}}(l, k) m^{l-k} \binom{n}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{n-l} (-1)^i m^{-i} S_1(n-l, i) m^{n-l} \\
&= \sum_{l=k}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-l} \binom{n}{l} S_{1, \frac{\lambda}{m}}(l, k) S_1(n-l, i) m^{n-k-i} (-1)^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (52) and (53), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 11. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$(x)_{n, \lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=k}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-l} \binom{n}{l} S_{1, \frac{\lambda}{m}}(l, k) S_1(n-l, i) m^{n-k-i} (-1)^i \right\} d_{m, \lambda}(k, x).$$

Recalling that $d_{m, \lambda}(n, x) \sim ((mt+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}}, \frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(mt+1))_{\lambda}$, $\phi_{n, \lambda}(x) \sim (1, \log_{\lambda}(1+t))_{\lambda}$, let us assume that

$$(54) \quad d_{m, \lambda}(n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n, k} \phi_{k, \lambda}(x).$$

Then, by (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(55) \quad C_{n, k} &= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle e_{\lambda}(t) \left(\log_{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m} (e_{\lambda}^m(t) - 1) \right) \right)^k \middle| (x)_{n, \lambda} \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{j=k}^n S_{1, \lambda}(j, k) \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle e_{\lambda}(t) \left(\frac{1}{m} (e_{\lambda}^m(t) - 1) \right)^j \middle| (x)_{n, \lambda} \right\rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{j=k}^n S_{1, \lambda}(j, k) \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} W_{m, \lambda}(l, j) \frac{1}{l!} \langle t^l | (x)_{n, \lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} \\
&= \sum_{j=k}^n S_{1, \lambda}(j, k) W_{m, \lambda}(n, j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (54) and (55), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 12. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$d_{m, \lambda}(n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^n S_{1, \lambda}(j, k) W_{m, \lambda}(n, j) \right\} \phi_{k, \lambda}(x).$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(56) \quad e_{\lambda}^x \left(\frac{1}{m} (e_{\lambda}^m(t) - 1) \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k, \lambda} \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} (e_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(mt) - 1)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k, \lambda} m^{-k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{2, \frac{\lambda}{m}}(n, k) m^n \frac{t^n}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{x}{m} \right)_{k, \frac{\lambda}{m}} S_{2, \frac{\lambda}{m}}(n, k) \right) m^n \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n, \frac{\lambda}{m}} \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) m^n \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (11) and (56), we note that

$$(57) \quad m^n \phi_{n, \frac{\lambda}{m}} \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) \sim \left(1, \frac{(mt+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{m}} - 1}{\lambda} \right)_{\lambda} \sim \left(1, \frac{1}{m} \log_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}(mt+1) \right)_{\lambda}.$$

Let us assume that

$$(58) \quad m^n \phi_{n, \frac{\lambda}{m}} \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} d_{m,\lambda}(k, x).$$

Then, by (13), (46) and (57), we get

$$(59) \quad C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle e_{\lambda}^{-1}(t) t^k \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k} \left\langle e_{\lambda}^{-1}(t) \middle| (x)_{n-k,\lambda} \right\rangle_{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k} (-1)_{n-k,\lambda}.$$

Thus, by (58) and (59), we get

$$\phi_{n, \frac{\lambda}{m}} \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) = m^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)_{n-k,\lambda} d_{m,\lambda}(k, x).$$

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced the fully degenerate Bell polynomials $\phi_{n,\lambda}(x)$, which are degenerate versions of the ordinary Bell polynomials $\text{Bel}_n(x)$. Here $\text{Bel}_n(x)$ is the natural extension of the Bell number Bel_n , which counts the number of partitions of a set with n elements into disjoint nonempty subsets. Further, we introduced the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials $d_{m,\lambda}(n, x)$ which are degenerate versions of the ordinary Dowling polynomials $D_m(n, x)$. Here $D_m(n, x)$ is the natural extension of the Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_m(n, k)$, which is equal to the number of coloured partitions of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ into $k+1$ nonempty subsets satisfying the conditions stated in Introduction with $r=1$.

We derived some identities and properties for those degenerate polynomials by using the recently introduced λ -umbral calculus. Especially, we derived formulas expressing any polynomial in terms of the fully degenerate Bell and the fully degenerate Dowling polynomials and applied them to the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate falling factorial polynomials.

It is one of our future projects to continue to work on various degenerate versions of many special numbers and polynomials.

REFERENCES

- [1] Carlitz, L. *Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers*, Utilitas Math. **15** (1979), 51–88.
- [2] Comtet, L. *Advanced Combinatorics: The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions*, revised and enlarged edn (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974).
- [3] Dowling, T. A. *A class of geometric lattices based on finite groups*. J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B **14** (1973), 61–86. Erratum, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B **15** (1973), 211.
- [4] Gyimesi, E.; Nyul, G. *New combinatorial interpretations of r -Whitney and r -Whitney–Lah numbers*, Discrete Appl. Math. **255** (2019), 222–233.
- [5] Jang, L.-C.; Kim, D. S.; Kim, H.; Kim, T.; Lee, H. *Study of degenerate poly-Bernoulli polynomials by λ -umbral calculus*, CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, DOI:10.32604/cmcs.2021.016917
- [6] Kim, D. S.; Kim, T. *A note on a new type of degenerate Bernoulli numbers*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **27** (2020), no. 2, 227–235.
- [7] Kim, D. S.; Kim, T. *Degenerate Sheffer sequences and λ -Sheffer sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **493** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 124521, 21 pp.
- [8] Kim, H. K.; Lee, D. S. *Some identities of degenerate r -extended Lah-Bell polynomials*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **24** (2021), no. 1, 47–61.
- [9] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S. *A study on degenerate Whitney numbers of the first and second kinds of Dowling lattices*, arXiv:2103.08904
- [10] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S.; Dolgy, D. V.; Lee, S.; Kwon, J. *Some identities of the higher-order type 2 Bernoulli numbers and polynomials of the second kind*, CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, **128** (2021), no. 3, 1121–1132.
- [11] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S.; Kwon, J.; Lee, H. *Degenerate polyexponential functions and type 2 degenerate poly-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2020**, Paper No. 168, 12 pp.

- [12] Kwon, J.; Kim, W. J.; Rim, S.-H. *On the some identities of the type 2 Daehee and Changhee polynomials arising from p -adic integrals on \mathbb{Z}_p* , Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **22** (2019), no. 3, 487–497.
- [13] Ma, Y.; Kim, T. *A note on negative λ -binomial distribution*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2020**, Paper No. 569, 7 pp.
- [14] Mihoubi, M.; Tiachachat, M. *Some applications of the r -Whitney numbers*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **352** (2014), no. 12, 965-969.
- [15] Roman, S. *The umbral calculus*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 111. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1984.
- [16] Simsek, Y. *Construction of generalized Leibnitz type numbers and their properties*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang) **31** (2021), no. 3, 311–323.
- [17] Stanley, R. P. *Enumerative combinatorics I*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, XI'AN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710021, SHAANXI, P. R. CHINA
Email address: mayuankui@xatu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, XI'AN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710021, SHAANXI, P. R. CHINA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KWANGWOON UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: tkkim@kw.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KWANGWOON UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: luciasconstant@kw.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: dskim@sogang.ac.kr