

A NOTE ON RATIONAL NUMBERS AND CERTAIN OPERATORS (THE GENERALIZED SHIFTS AND RATIONAL NUMBERS)

SYMON SERBENYUK

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to conditions defined in terms of the generalized shift operator for a rational number to be representable by certain positive generalizations of q -ary expansions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem on conditions for a rational number to be representable by the following positive series was introduced by Georg Cantor in the paper [1] in 1869:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{q_1 q_2} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k} + \cdots, \quad (1)$$

where $Q \equiv (q_k)$ is a fixed sequence of positive integers, $q_k > 1$, and (Θ_k) is a sequence of the sets $\Theta_k \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, q_k - 1\}$, as well as $\varepsilon_k \in \Theta_k$.

Series of form (1) are called *Cantor series*. By $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k \dots}^Q$ denote any number $x \in [0, 1]$ having expansion (1). This notation is called *the representation of $x \in [0, 1]$ by Cantor series (1)*.

It is easy to see that Cantor series expansion (1) is the q -ary expansion

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{q^2} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q^k} + \cdots$$

of real numbers from $[0, 1]$, where $\varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$, whenever the condition $q_k = \text{const} = q$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (\mathbb{N} is the set of all positive integers), where $1 < q \in \mathbb{N}$.

A number of researches are devoted to investigations of Cantor expansions from different points of view (a brief description is given in [12]) including studying the problem on Cantor series expansions of rational numbers (for example, see [1, 2, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17]). In [4], Prof. János Galambos calls the problem on representations of rational numbers by Cantor series (1) as *the fourth open problem*.

One can note that the notion of the shift operator is applicable to this problem (for example, some descriptions are given in [12, 15]). This paper is devoted to applications of the notion of the generalized shift operator to solving the problem on representations of rational numbers by positive Cantor series. The present research is the continuation of investigations presented in the papers [14, 15].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11K55 11J72 26A30.

Key words and phrases. generalized shift operator, rational number, positive generalizations of q -ary numeral system.

2. THE SHIFT AND GENERALIZED SHIFT OPERATORS

The shift operator σ of expansion (1) is a map of the following form

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_k\dots}^Q) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_2q_3\dots q_k} = q_1\Delta_{0\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_k\dots}^Q.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^n(x) &= \sigma^n(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_k\dots}^Q) \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_{n+1}q_{n+2}\dots q_k} = q_1\dots q_n \Delta_{\underbrace{0\dots 0}_n \varepsilon_{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+2}\dots}^Q. \end{aligned}$$

One can note the partial case of this operator for q -ary expansions

$$\sigma^n(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_k\dots}^q) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q^{k-n}} = \Delta_{\varepsilon_{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+2}\dots}^q.$$

Suppose a number $x \in [0, 1]$ is represented by series (1). Then the generalized shift operator σ_m is a map of the following form:

$$\sigma_m(x) = \sigma_m(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_k\dots}^Q) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1q_2\dots q_k} + \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_t}{q_1q_2\dots q_{m-1}q_{m+1}\dots q_t}.$$

That is, any number from $[0, 1]$ can be represented by two fixed sequences (q_k) and (ε_k) (Cantor series expansions). The generalized shift operator maps the preimage into a number represented by the following two sequences $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{m-1}, q_{m+1}, q_{m+2}, \dots)$ and $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, \varepsilon_{m+1}, \varepsilon_{m+2}, \dots)$. Properties of this operator are considered more detail in [16].

Denote by ϑ_m the sum $\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1q_2\dots q_k}$ and by δ_m the sum

$$\varepsilon_1q_2q_3\dots q_m + \varepsilon_2q_3q_4\dots q_m + \dots + \varepsilon_{m-1}q_m + \varepsilon_m.$$

Then

$$\sigma_m(x) = q_mx - (q_m - 1)\vartheta_{m-1} - \frac{\varepsilon_m}{q_1q_2\dots q_{m-1}}. \quad (2)$$

For the case of positive Cantor series, the notion of the generalized shift operator is considered more detail in [16] (see also [13], where the shift and generalized shift operators are considered for the case of alternating Cantor series).

Let us remark that the following statement is true.

Lemma 1. *For the generalized shift operator defined in terms of positive Cantor series, the following relationships hold:*

- $$\sigma_{m+1}(x) = \frac{q_{m+1}}{q_m}\sigma_m(x) - \frac{q_{m+1} - q_m}{q_m}\vartheta_{m-1} - \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1} - \varepsilon_m}{q_1q_2\dots q_{m-1}q_m};$$

- $$\varepsilon_m = q_1q_2\dots q_mx - q_1q_2\dots q_{m-1}\sigma_m(x) - (q_m - 1)\delta_{m-1}.$$

Proof. Let us prove *the first relationship*. Using (2), we get

$$\frac{\sigma_m(x)}{q_m} + \frac{q_m - 1}{q_m} \vartheta_{m-1} + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1} q_m} = x = \frac{\sigma_{m+1}(x)}{q_{m+1}} + \frac{q_{m+1} - 1}{q_{m+1}} \vartheta_m + \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m q_{m+1}},$$

$$q_{m+1} \sigma_m(x) + q_{m+1} (q_m - 1) \vartheta_{m-1} + \frac{q_{m+1} \varepsilon_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1}} = q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) + q_m (q_{m+1} - 1) \vartheta_m + \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1}}.$$

Since $\vartheta_m = \vartheta_{m-1} + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m}$, we obtain

$$q_{m+1} \sigma_m(x) = q_{m+1} \vartheta_{m-1} + q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) - q_m \vartheta_{m-1} - \frac{\varepsilon_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_{m+1}(x) = \frac{q_{m+1}}{q_m} \sigma_m(x) - \frac{q_{m+1} - q_m}{q_m} \vartheta_{m-1} - \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1} - \varepsilon_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1} q_m}.$$

Let us prove *the second relationship*. Using (2), we have

$$q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1} \sigma_m(x) = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m x - q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m-1} (q_m - 1) \vartheta_{m-1} - \varepsilon_m.$$

The relationship follows from the last-mentioned equality. \square

3. RATIONAL NUMBERS

Theorem 1. *A number $x \in [0, 1]$ represented by series (1) is a rational number if and only if there exist non-negative integers m_1 and m_2 such that $m_1 \neq m_2$ and the condition*

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x)\} = \{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_2-1} \sigma_{m_2}(x)\}$$

holds, where $\{a\}$ is the fractional part of a , $q_{-1} = q_0 = 1$, and $\sigma_0(x) = x$.

Proof. Let us prove that *the necessity* is true. Let x be a rational number, i.e., $x = \frac{a}{b}$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a < b$, and $(a, b) = 1$.

Let us consider the sequence $(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x))$. Using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x) &= q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} q_k x - \varepsilon_k - (q_k - 1) (\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_{k-1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{k-2} q_{k-1} + \varepsilon_{k-1}) \\ &= q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k \frac{a}{b} + (\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_{k-1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{k-2} q_{k-1} + \varepsilon_{k-1}) - (\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_k + \cdots + \varepsilon_{k-1} q_k + \varepsilon_k) \\ &= \frac{a q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k + b \delta_{k-1} - b \delta_k}{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Since in our case

$$\sigma^k(x) = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k x - (\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_k + \cdots + \varepsilon_{k-1} q_k + \varepsilon_k) = \frac{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k a - b \delta_k}{b},$$

we obtain

$$q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x) = \delta_{k-1} + \sigma^k(x) = \delta_{k-1} + \frac{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k a - b \delta_k}{b}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\{\sigma^k(x)\} = \begin{cases} \sigma^k(x) - 1 & \text{whenever } x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{k-1} [\varepsilon_{k-1}] [q_{k+1}-1] [q_{k+2}-1] \dots}^Q \\ \sigma^k(x) & \text{whenever } x \neq \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{k-1} [\varepsilon_{k-1}] [q_{k+1}-1] [q_{k+2}-1] \dots}^Q. \end{cases}$$

Hence if $x \notin \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{k-1} [\varepsilon_{k-1}] [q_{k+1}-1] [q_{k+2}-1] \dots}^Q$, then

$$[q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x)] = \delta_{k-1} = \varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_{k-1} + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \cdots q_{k-1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{k-2} q_{k-1} + \varepsilon_{k-1}$$

and

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x)\} = \sigma^k(x).$$

If $x \in \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{k-1} [\varepsilon_{k-1}] [q_{k+1}-1] [q_{k+2}-1] \dots}^Q$, then

$$[q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x)] = 1 + \delta_{k-1} = 1 + \varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_{k-1} + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \cdots q_{k-1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_{k-2} q_{k-1} + \varepsilon_{k-1}$$

and

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x)\} = 0.$$

Here $[x]$ is the integer part of x and $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of x .

By analogy to arguments described in [15], we get

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{k-1} \sigma_k(x)\} = \left\{ \frac{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k a - \delta_k b}{b} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{a_k}{b} \right\},$$

where b is a fixed positive integer, $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1, b\}$, and there exist non-negative integers m_1 and m_2 such that $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $a_{m_1} = a_{m_2}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us prove *the sufficiency*. Suppose there exist non-negative integers m_1 and m_2 such that $m_1 < m_2$ and

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x)\} = \{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_2-1} \sigma_{m_2}(x)\}.$$

Let us prove the case when

$$x \notin \left\{ \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m_1-1} [\varepsilon_{m_1-1}] [q_{m_1+1}-1] [q_{m_1+2}-1] \dots}^Q, \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m_2-1} [\varepsilon_{m_2-1}] [q_{m_2+1}-1] [q_{m_2+2}-1] \dots}^Q \right\}.$$

Since $\{x\} = x - [x]$, we have

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x)\} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x) - \delta_{m_1-1},$$

$$\{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_2-1} \sigma_{m_2}(x)\} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x) - \delta_{m_2-1},$$

and

$$q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x) - \delta_{m_1-1} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1-1} \sigma_{m_1}(x) - \delta_{m_2-1}.$$

Using (2), we obtain

$$q_1 \cdots q_{m_1-1} q_{m_1} x - (q_{m_1} - 1) \delta_{m_1-1} - \varepsilon_{m_1} - \delta_{m_1-1} = q_1 \cdots q_{m_2-1} q_{m_2} x - (q_{m_2} - 1) \delta_{m_2-1} - \varepsilon_{m_2} - \delta_{m_2-1}.$$

Hence

$$x = \frac{q_{m_1} \delta_{m_1-1} - q_{m_2} \delta_{m_2-1} + \varepsilon_{m_1} - \varepsilon_{m_2}}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_1} - q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_2}}$$

is a rational number.

The proof is analogues for the case when

$$x \in \left\{ \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m_1-1} [\varepsilon_{m_1-1}] [q_{m_1+1}-1] [q_{m_1+2}-1] \dots}^Q, \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m_2-1} [\varepsilon_{m_2-1}] [q_{m_2+1}-1] [q_{m_2+2}-1] \dots}^Q \right\}.$$

□

One can note that certain numbers from $[0, 1]$ have two different representations by Cantor series (1), i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon_m 000\dots}^Q = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} [\varepsilon_m - 1] [q_{m+1} - 1] [q_{m+2} - 1] \dots}^Q = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_i}.$$

Such numbers are called *Q-rational*. The other numbers in $[0, 1]$ are called *Q-irrational*.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m be an ordered tuple of integers such that $c_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q_i - 1\}$ for all $i = \overline{1, m}$. Then a cylinder $\Lambda_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^Q$ of rank m with base $c_1 c_2 \dots c_m$ is a set of the form

$$\Lambda_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^Q \equiv \{x : x = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m \varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots \varepsilon_{m+k} \dots}^Q\}.$$

Theorem 2. *Suppose a number x represented by series (1) and $x \neq \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} [\varepsilon_m - 1] [q_{m+1} - 1] [q_{m+2} - 1] \dots}^Q$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then x is a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ (here $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a < b$, and $(a, b) = 1$) if and only if the condition*

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} = \left\lfloor \frac{(q_{m+1} + 1)q_1 q_2 \dots q_m a - b(q_1 q_2 \dots q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) + q_{m+1} \delta_m)}{b} \right\rfloor$$

holds for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} q_1 \right\rfloor$, $[x]$ is the integer part of x , and

$$\delta_m = \varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_m + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \dots q_m + \dots + \varepsilon_{m-1} q_m + \varepsilon_m.$$

Proof. Necessity. Let x be a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a cylinder $\Lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m}^Q$ such that $x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m}^Q$. That is,

$$x \in \left[\frac{\delta_m}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_m}, \frac{\delta_m + 1}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_m} \right].$$

Since $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon_m [q_{m+1} - 1] [q_{m+2} - 1] \dots}^Q = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} [\varepsilon_m + 1] 000\dots}^Q$, where $\varepsilon_m \neq q_m - 1$, we do not use representations of the form $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m [\varepsilon_m - 1] [q_{m+1} - 1] [q_{m+2} - 1] \dots}^Q$ and assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_m}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_m} &\leq x < \frac{\delta_m + 1}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_m}, \\ \delta_m &\leq q_1 q_2 \dots q_m \frac{a}{b} < \delta_m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sigma_{m+1}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_k} + \frac{\sigma^{m+1}(x)}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_m},$$

$\sigma^{m+1}(x) = q_1 \dots q_m q_{m+1} x - \delta_{m+1}$, and $\delta_{m+1} = \varepsilon_{m+1} + q_{m+1} \delta_m$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{a}{b} q_1 q_2 \dots q_m - q_1 q_2 \dots q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) + \frac{a}{b} q_1 q_2 \dots q_{m+1} - q_{m+1} \delta_m - \varepsilon_{m+1} < 1,$$

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} \leq \frac{a}{b} q_1 q_2 \dots q_m (q_{m+1} + 1) - q_1 q_2 \dots q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) - q_{m+1} \delta_m < \varepsilon_{m+1} + 1.$$

Hence

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} = \left\lfloor \frac{(q_{m+1} + 1)q_1 q_2 \dots q_m a - b(q_1 q_2 \dots q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) + q_{m+1} \delta_m)}{b} \right\rfloor = [z_{m+1}],$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} q_1 \right\rfloor$.

Sufficiency. If $\varepsilon_{m+1} = [z_{m+1}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \vartheta_{m+1} + \frac{\sigma^{m+1}(x)}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} = \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} + \frac{\sigma^{m+1}(x)}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1} + q_{m+1} \delta_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} + \frac{\sigma^{m+1}(x)}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} = \frac{[z_{m+1}] + q_{m+1} \delta_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} + \frac{\sigma^{m+1}(x)}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{z_{m+1} - \{z_{m+1}\}}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} + \frac{q_{m+1} \delta_m}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} + \frac{\sigma^{m+1}(x)}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{a}{b} q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m+1} + \sigma^m(x) - \{z_{m+1}\} = \frac{a}{b} \end{aligned}$$

because $\{z_{m+1}\} = \{\varepsilon_{m+1} + \sigma^m(x)\}$, $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m \sigma_{m+1}(x) = \delta_m + \sigma^{m+1}(x)$, and also $q_1 q_1 \cdots q_m x - \delta_m = \sigma^m(x)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Cantor, Ueber die einfachen Zahlensysteme, *Z. Math. Phys.*, **14** (1869), 121-128.
- [2] P. H. Diananda and A. Oppenheim, Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers II, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **62** (1955), no. 4, 222-225.
- [3] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus, On the irrationality of certain series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **55** (1974), no. 1, 85-92.
- [4] J. Galambos, *Representations of real numbers by infinite series*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 502 (Springer, 1976).
- [5] J. Hančl, A note to the rationality of infinite series I, *Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostr.*, **5** (1997), no. 1, 5-11.
- [6] J. Hančl and P. Rucki, A note to the transcendence of special infinite series, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **56** (2006), no. 4, 409-414.
- [7] J. Hančl and R. Tijdeman, On the irrationality of Cantor series, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **571** (2004), 145-158.
- [8] P. Kuhapatanakul and V. Laohakosol, Irrationality of some series with rational terms, *Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.)*, **35** (2001), 205-209.
- [9] A. Oppenheim, Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **61** (1954), no. 4, 235-241.
- [10] S. Serbenyuk On some generalizations of real numbers representations, arXiv:1602.07929v1 (in Ukrainian)
- [11] S. Serbenyuk, Cantor series and rational numbers, available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1702.00471.pdf>
- [12] S. Serbenyuk, Cantor series expansions of rational numbers, arXiv:1706.03124 or available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317099134>
- [13] S. Serbenyuk, Representation of real numbers by the alternating Cantor series, *Integers*, **17**(2017), Paper No. A15, 27 pp.
- [14] S. Serbenyuk, A note on expansions of rational numbers by certain series, *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications*, **77** (2020), 53-58, DOI: 10.2478/tmmp-2020-0032, arXiv:1904.07264
- [15] Symon Serbenyuk, Rational numbers defined in terms of certain generalized series, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **164** (2021), 580-592. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-021-01163-5>
- [16] Symon Serbenyuk, Systems of functional equations and generalizations of certain functions, *Aequationes Mathematicae* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-021-00840-8>
- [17] R. Tijdeman and P. Yuan, On the rationality of Cantor and Ahmes series, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **13** (2002), no. 3, 407-418.

45 SHCHUKINA ST., VINNYTSIA, 21012, UKRAINE
Email address: `simon6@ukr.net`