

NON-ALMOST REGULAR QUATERNARY m -GONAL FORMS

DAYOON PARK

ABSTRACT. The maximal rank n which admits a non-almost regular m -gonal form is 4. In this article, for any given $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and sufficiently large m , we completely determine whether the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular or not. And we show that for any $m \geq 3$, there are infinitely many non-almost regular m -gonal forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

The m -gonal number which is defined as a total number of dots to constitute a regular m -gon is one of the classical objects in the number theory. More precisely, we call the total number of dots of regular m -gon with x dots for each side

$$(1.1) \quad P_m(x) := \frac{m-2}{2}(x^2 - x) + x$$

as x -th m -gonal number. One of the most famous stories about m -gonal number is a Fermat's Conjecture which states that every positive integer may be written as a sum of at most m m -gonal numbers. This conjecture was resolved by Lagrange, Gauss, and Cauchy for $m = 4$, $m = 3$, and $m \geq 3$, respectively. As a generalization of Gauss's work, Liouville classified the 3-tuples $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ for which every positive integer can be written as the weighted sum of triangular numbers $a_1P_3(x_1) + a_2P_3(x_2) + a_3P_3(x_3)$. And as a generalization of Lagrange's work, Ramanujan classified the 4-tuples $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ for which every positive integer can be written as the weighted sum of square numbers $a_1P_4(x_1) + a_2P_4(x_2) + a_3P_4(x_3) + a_4P_4(x_4)$, but one mistake was found later in the Ramanujan's list.

We call a weighted sum of m -gonal numbers

$$(1.2) \quad F_m(\mathbf{x}) = a_1P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_nP_m(x_n)$$

where $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as m -gonal form. We simply write the m -gonal form $a_1P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_nP_m(x_n)$ as $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_m$. But exceptionally we adopt a notation $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ for the square (or 4-gonal) form (i.e., diagonal quadratic form) instead of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_4$ true to the tradition of the theory of quadratic form.

By directly following the definition of original m -gonal number, we may catch that only non-negative rational integer x would be admitted in (1.1). But recently, as a generalized m -gonal number version, we often admit negative rational integer x too. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if the diophantine equation

$$(1.3) \quad F_m(\mathbf{x}) = N$$

has an integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ (in sense of the generalized m -gonal number) (resp. a non-negative integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ (in sense of the original m -gonal number)), then we say that the m -gonal form $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ (globally) represents N over \mathbb{Z} (resp. over \mathbb{N}_0). Undoubtedly, a representation of an integer N over \mathbb{N}_0 implies a representation

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2020R1A4A1016649). This work was supported by the UBSI Fellowship Program (project No.1.210131.01) of UNIST.

of N over \mathbb{Z} by an m -gonal form. As is well known, the problem of completely classifying every positive integer N which is represented by arbitrary given m -gonal form $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ is not easy in general. In an effort to approach the problem, we suggest the reduced congruence equation

$$(1.4) \quad F_m(\mathbf{x}) \equiv N \pmod{r}.$$

When the congruence equation (1.4) has an integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ (or equivalently, has a non-negative integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$) for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say that $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ *locally represents* N . The solvability of congruence equation

$$F_m(\mathbf{x}) \equiv N \pmod{p^\alpha}$$

only for every prime p and natural number $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ would be enough to derive the local representability of N by $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ in virtue of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Note that for a prime p , the congruence equation $F_m(\mathbf{x}) \equiv N \pmod{p^\alpha}$ has an integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for every natural number $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if the equation $F_m(\mathbf{x}) = N$ has a p -adic integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. When the equation $F_m(\mathbf{x}) = N$ has a p -adic integer solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, we say that $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ *represents* N over \mathbb{Z}_p . Obviously, the (global) representability implies the local representability, but the converse does not hold in general. Especially, when the converse also holds, i.e., an m -gonal form $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ represents every positive integer N which is locally represented by $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ over \mathbb{Z} (resp. over \mathbb{N}_0), we say that $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ is *regular over* \mathbb{Z} (resp. over \mathbb{N}_0). When $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ represents every positive integer N which is locally represented by $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ but finitely many over \mathbb{Z} (resp. over \mathbb{N}_0), we say that $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ is *almost regular over* \mathbb{Z} (resp. over \mathbb{N}_0).

The concept of *regular form* was firstly introduced by Dickson in [3]. In [12], Watson proved that there are only finitely many primitive positive definite regular ternary quadratic forms by introducing very nice *Watson's Λ -transformation* which has been widely applied in the study of regular form. In [5], Jagy, Kaplansky, and Schiemann who used the Watson's Λ -transformation classified 913 candidates for primitive positive definite regular ternary quadratic forms. And they claimed the regularity of all of them but 22. Oh [9] showed the regularity of 8 forms of unconfirmed 22 forms. And Lemke Oliver [8] proved the regularity of the remaining 14 candidates under the assumption of generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

The finiteness of primitive regular ternary triangular forms (i.e., 3-gonal forms) was shown by Chan and Oh [1] and all the finitely many primitive regular ternary triangular forms was determined by Kim and Oh [7]. As an improvement of the result in [1], Chan and Ricci [2] proved the finiteness of primitive regular ternary quadratic polynomials with a fixed conductor in [2]. As another version's improvement of the work, in [1], He and Kane showed the finiteness of primitive regular ternary polygonal forms (i.e., m -gonal forms for arbitrary $m \geq 3$). Which implies that there are only finitely many m which admits a regular m -gonal form of rank 3. In [6], Kim and the author showed that for each rank $n \geq 4$ too, there are only finitely many m which admits a regular m -gonal form of rank n . Moreover in [6], they completely determined the type of regular m -gonal forms of rank $n \geq 4$ for all $m \geq 14$ with $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $m \geq 28$ with $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ by introducing Λ_p -*transformation* which is well designed to track the regular m -gonal forms.

Now let us turn to our main subject which is almost regular form in this article. By Theorem 4.9 (1) in [1], every m -gonal form of rank $n \geq 5$ is almost regular over \mathbb{Z} , i.e., every m -gonal form of rank $n \geq 5$ represents all the sufficiently large integers (in other word, all but finitely many integers) which are locally represented by the form over \mathbb{Z} . But such the nice local-to-global principle is not guaranteed when the rank is less than 5 in general. There is non-almost regular m -gonal form of

rank 4, and by the above argument, 4 should be the maximum rank which admits a non-almost regular m -gonal form over \mathbb{Z} . In this short article, we mainly look into the non-almost regular m -gonal forms of rank 4. The following two theorems are the main goal in this article.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.*

- (1) *If the quaternary quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is isotropic for every prime p , then the quaternary m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular over \mathbb{Z} for any $m \geq 3$.*
- (2) *Otherwise, define $T := \{p \mid \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ is anisotropic}\}$ a non-empty set of primes.*

For any $m \geq 3$ satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} p \mid m - 2 & \text{for all } p \in T \setminus \{2\} \\ m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} & \text{if } 2 \in T, \end{cases}$$

the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular over \mathbb{Z} .

For every sufficiently large m which is not satisfying (1.5), the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is not almost regular over \mathbb{Z} (so also over \mathbb{N}_0).

Theorem 1.2. *For any $m \geq 3$, there are infinitely many primitive quaternary m -gonal forms which are not almost regular over \mathbb{Z} (so also over \mathbb{N}_0).*

Remark 1.3. *By using Theorem 1.1, for arbitrary given 4-tuple $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and any sufficiently large m , we may directly determine whether the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular or not.*

Throughout this article, we adopt the arithmetic theory of quadratic forms. Before we move on, we briefly set our languages and terminologies which are used throughout this article.

If a quadratic form (or m -gonal form) represents every p -adic integer in $N\mathbb{Z}_p$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , then we say that the quadratic form (or m -gonal form) is $N\mathbb{Z}_p$ -universal and especially, when $N\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$, we say that *universal over \mathbb{Z}_p* . If a quadratic form (or m -gonal form) is universal over \mathbb{Z}_p for every prime p , then we say that the quadratic form (or m -gonal form) is *locally universal*.

We say that a quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is *isotropic* if the equation $Q(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ has a non-trivial solution $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and *anisotropic* otherwise. It is well known that any quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{x})$ of rank $n \geq 5$ is isotropic over local ring \mathbb{Z}_p . This property is critical in the regularity of arbitrary quadratic form of rank $n \geq 5$.

Any unexplained notation and terminology can be found in [11].

2. PRELIMINARIES

First of all, we may get an easy but important observation that

$$N = a_1 P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_n P_m(x_n)$$

if and only if

$$8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \cdots + a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(2(m-2)x_i - (m-4))^2.$$

Which allows transfer the issue of the representation by m -gonal form to the issue of the representation by diagonal quadratic form with a congruence condition. By using the following proposition, one may effectively determine the representability of integer by an m -gonal form over non-archimedean local ring with help of known results in the quadratic form theory over non-archimedean local ring.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F_m(\mathbf{x}) = a_1P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_nP_m(x_n)$ be a primitive (i.e., satisfying $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$) m -gonal form.*

- (1) *When p is an odd prime with $p|m-2$, $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ is universal over \mathbb{Z}_p .*
- (2) *When $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ is universal over \mathbb{Z}_2 .*
- (3) *When p is an odd prime with $(p, m-2) = 1$, an integer N is represented by $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ over \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if the integer $8(m-2)N + (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)(m-4)^2$ is represented by the diagonal quadratic form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ over \mathbb{Z}_p .*
- (4) *When $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, an integer N is represented by $F_m(\mathbf{x})$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 if and only if the integer $\frac{m-2}{2}N + (a_1 + \cdots + a_n) \left(\frac{m-4}{4}\right)^2$ is represented by the diagonal quadratic form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 .*

Proof. See Proposition 3.1 in [14]. □

Remark 2.2. *Now, we may need understanding the behavior of representation by a diagonal quadratic form which is anisotropic over a non-archimedean local ring.*

- (1) *First, we consider a quaternary quadratic form which is anisotropic over a non-dyadic (i.e., for an odd prime p) local ring \mathbb{Z}_p . A quaternary anisotropic diagonal quadratic form over \mathbb{Z}_p is the form of*

$$\langle ap^{2r_1+1}, aup^{2r_2+1}, bp^{2r_3}, bu'p^{2r_4} \rangle$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $r_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $-u$ and $-u'$ are quadratic non-residues in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . One may see that for a quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ which is anisotropic over \mathbb{Z}_p , when

$$\text{ord}_p(a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_3^2 + a_4x_4^2) \geq r$$

for some $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^4$ (so also $\in \mathbb{Z}^4$ or $\in \mathbb{N}_0^4$),

$$\text{ord}_p(a_ix_i^2) \geq r$$

for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

- (2) *A primitive quaternary anisotropic diagonal quadratic form over dyadic \mathbb{Z}_2 is the form of either*

$$\langle a_12^{2r_1}, a_22^{2r_2}, a_32^{2r_3+1}, a_42^{2r_4+1} \rangle$$

where $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ with $a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv \frac{a_3+a_4}{2} \pmod{4}$ and $a_3 \equiv a_4 \equiv \frac{a_1+a_2}{2} \pmod{4}$ or

$$\langle a_12^{2r_1}, a_22^{2r_2}, a_32^{2r_3}, a_42^{2r_4} \rangle$$

where $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ with $a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv a_3 \equiv a_4 \pmod{4}$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. One may get that for a quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ which is anisotropic over \mathbb{Z}_2 , when

$$\text{ord}_2(a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_3^2 + a_4x_4^2) \geq r + 2$$

for some $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ (so also $\in \mathbb{Z}^4$ or $\in \mathbb{N}_0^4$),

$$\text{ord}_2(a_ix_i^2) \geq r$$

for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

- (3) *The maximal rank n which admits an anisotropic quadratic form over non-archimedean local ring is 4. And a diagonal anisotropic quadratic form over a non-archimedean local ring \mathbb{Z}_p of rank n (in effect, n should be 1, 2, 3, or 4) would be a subform of a diagonal anisotropic quadratic form of rank 4. So from the above (1) and (2), one may obtain that for a diagonal quadratic form*

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$$

which is anisotropic over a non-archimedean local ring \mathbb{Z}_p , if

$$\text{ord}_p(a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2) \geq r + (1 + (-1)^p),$$

then

$$\text{ord}_p(a_ix_i^2) \geq r$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 2.3. *A diagonal quaternary quadratic form*

$$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$$

is $p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_p(a_i)}$ \mathbb{Z}_p -universal over \mathbb{Z}_p for every prime p .

Proof. First, we assume that p is an odd prime. By 92:1b in [11], a ternary unimodular (i.e., $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$) quadratic form $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is \mathbb{Z}_p -universal and a binary unimodular (i.e., $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$) quadratic form $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ represents every p -adic unit \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . Which may yield the claim.

Next we assume that $p = 2$. One may show that for arbitrary 2-adic unit $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, the quadratic forms

$$\langle u_1, 2u_2, 2u_3, 2u_4 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_2, 2u_3, 2u_4 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_2, u_3, 2u_4 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle$$

are \mathbb{Z}_2 -universal over \mathbb{Z}_2 . For two 2-adic units $u, u' \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, since

$$u \equiv u' \pmod{8} \iff u \in u'(\mathbb{Z}_2^\times)^2,$$

it would be enough to show the claim only for $u_i \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$. The tedious processing is omitted in this article. \square

3. NON-ALMOST REGULAR QUATERNARY m -GONAL FORMS

Now we are ready to prove our main goals. At the end, in this last section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 by concretely constructing a non-almost regular m -gonal form of rank 4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular if and only if the m -gonal form $\langle \alpha a_1, \alpha a_2, \alpha a_3, \alpha a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, throughout this proof, we assume that $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 1$.

(1) Theorem 4.9 (2) in [1] directly yields the claim.

(2) Since the representation over \mathbb{N}_0 implies the representation over \mathbb{Z} , it would be enough to show this theorem only over \mathbb{Z} .

For an odd prime p , if a primitive (i.e., satisfying $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 1$) quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ is anisotropic over \mathbb{Z}_p , then we have that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. When a primitive quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ is anisotropic over \mathbb{Z}_2 , we have that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \in 4\mathbb{Z}_2^\times \cup 2\mathbb{Z}_2^\times \cup \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. Therefore, we may see that for m satisfying (1.5),

$$\text{ord}_p(8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)) \leq \text{ord}_p(16) \leq 4$$

holds for any $p \in T$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, that is to say, the order of integer $8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)$ is absolutely bounded at every prime spot p such that $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is anisotropic. And so Theorem 4.9 (2) in [1] may yield the first statement.

For the second statement, define $T' := \{p \mid \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ is not universal}\}$ (T' could be empty). We show that for any sufficiently large m such that

$$m \geq \left(\prod_{p \in T} p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_p(64a_i)} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{p \in T' \setminus T} p^{\text{ord}_p(4p)} \right) + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 4,$$

if m does not satisfy (1.5), then the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is not almost regular. If there is an odd prime in T which does not divide $m - 2$, then we write the prime as $q \in T$ and otherwise, then we put $q = 2 \in T$ (note that when $q = 2$, we have $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$). We may take a residue $r(q)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/q^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)}\mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$8(m-2) \cdot r(q) + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = 0$$

in $\mathbb{Z}/q^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)}\mathbb{Z}$. For a prime $p \notin T'$, the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is universal over \mathbb{Z}_p by Proposition 2.1. For a prime $p \in T'$, from our assumption that $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle = 1$, we may take a residue $r(p)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\text{ord}_p(4p)}\mathbb{Z}$ for which N is represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ over \mathbb{Z}_p for any $N \equiv r(p) \pmod{p^{\text{ord}_p(4p)}}$. By combining the above arguments with the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we may take a residue r in $\mathbb{Z}/\left(\prod_{p \in T' \setminus \{q\}} p^{\text{ord}_p(4p)}\right) \cdot \left(q^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)}\right)\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying that for any integer N with

$$N \equiv r \pmod{\left(\prod_{p \in T' \setminus \{q\}} p^{\text{ord}_p(4p)}\right) \cdot \left(q^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)}\right)},$$

the followings

$$\begin{cases} N \text{ is locally represented by } \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \\ 8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)}} \end{cases}$$

hold. So we may take a positive integer N_0 in the interval $[a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 1, m - 4]$ such that N_0 is locally represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ and

$$8(m-2)N_0 + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)}}.$$

But since the smallest m -gonal number is $m - 3$ except 0 and 1, the integer N_0 in the interval $[a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 1, m - 4]$ would not be (globally) represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ over \mathbb{Z} (and so over \mathbb{N}_0 too). And then we may get infinitely many positive integers N_n which are represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ locally, but not globally where $8(m-2)N_n + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = q^{2kn}(8(m-2)N_0 + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8(m-2)} & \text{when } q \text{ is an odd prime} \\ q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{m-2}{2}} & \text{when } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

For a contradiction, we suppose that N_n is represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ over \mathbb{Z} , i.e.,

$$8(m-2)N_n + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i(2(m-2)x_i - (m-4))^2$$

for some $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Since

$$8(m-2)N_n + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{2kn + \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \{\text{ord}_q(64a_i)\}}}$$

and $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ is anisotropic, we have that

$$2(m-2)x_i - (m-4) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{kn + \text{ord}_q(4)}}$$

by Remark 2.2. From our choice of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (3.1), we have that

$$\frac{2(m-2)x_i - (m-4)}{q^{kn}} = 2(m-2)y_i - (m-4)$$

for some $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. And then we obtain a contradiction that $N_0 = a_1P_m(y_1) + a_2P_m(y_2) + a_3P_m(y_3) + a_4P_m(y_4)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. (1) For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ and a prime q , let $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ be anisotropic.

When an m -gonal form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_m$ represents a positive integer N , i.e.,

$$8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(2(m-2)x_i - (m-4))^2$$

for some $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which $8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n)$ is divided by a high power of q , we have that $2(m-2)x_i - (m-4)$ also would be divided by a high power of q since

$$\text{ord}_q(8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n)) \leq \text{ord}_q(4a_i(2(m-2)x_i - (m-4))^2)$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ by Remark 2.2. Note that the above situation occurs only when

$$(q, 2(m-2)) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad \text{with} \quad q = 2$$

because $\text{ord}_q(a_1 + \dots + a_n) \leq \text{ord}_q(4)$ for anisotropic $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$. So we may get $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8(m-2)} & \text{when } (q, 2(m-2)) = 1 \\ q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{m-2}{2}} & \text{when } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

When $\text{ord}_q(8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n)) \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{ord}_q(64a_i) + 2k$, we may see that

$$8(m-2)N' + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(2(m-2)x'_i - (m-4))^2$$

where $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x'_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 8(m-2)N' + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n) = \frac{8(m-2)N + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n)}{q^{2k}} \\ 2(m-2)x'_i - (m-4) = \frac{2(m-2)x_i - (m-4)}{q^k} \end{cases}$$

yielding that N' is represented by $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_m$ and further a representation of N is derived from a representation of N' . Following the same processing as above, we may back track the representations of the positive integers $N > N' > N'' > \dots > N^{(\dots)}$ at least until

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{ord}_q(64a_i) \leq \text{ord}_q(8(m-2)N^{(\dots)} + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n)) < \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{ord}_q(64a_i) + 2k$$

holds.

As the contraposition of the above argument, we may see that when a positive integer $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{ord}_q(8(m-2)N_0 + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n)) \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)$ is not represented by $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_m$ (for which the quadratic form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ is anisotropic), the infinite series of positive integers N_n are not represented by the $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_m$ where $8(m-2)N_n + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n) = q^{2kn}(8(m-2)N_0 + (m-4)^2(a_1 + \dots + a_n))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (3.2).

On the other hand, when m is large, a quaternary m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ would fail to represent a bunch of small positive integers since the smallest m -gonal number is $m-3$ except 0 and 1. As a specific observation, we suggest an example that a quaternary m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ would represent at most $2^4 - 1$ positive integers before $m-3$. Therefore there is a lot of chance to take a positive integer N_0 (especially, among the small positive integers) which is represented by

$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ locally, but not globally and satisfy $\text{ord}_q(8(m-2)N_0 + (m-4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)) \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_q(64a_i)$.

(2) Very recently, it was shown that any m -gonal form of rank $n \geq 5$ is almost regular over \mathbb{N}_0 too (not only over \mathbb{Z}) in [15]. The almost regularity of m -gonal form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_m$ of rank $n \geq 5$ is strongly bound to the property that quadratic form $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ of rank $n \geq 5$ is always isotropic over every non-archimedean local ring \mathbb{Z}_p . As the same stream, by Theorem 1.1 (1), when the positive definite quaternary quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ is isotropic over every non-archimedean local ring \mathbb{Z}_p , the m -gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular for every $m \geq 3$ over \mathbb{Z} . Unfortunately, it is unknown whether such a principle in the case of quaternary form also holds over \mathbb{N}_0 yet. The author suggests the interesting open problems that when a positive definite quaternary quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ is isotropic, to determine that whether the almost regularity of the m -gonal forms $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ are still preserved or not for $m \geq 3$ over \mathbb{N}_0 too and to determine that whether the first statement in Theorem 1.1 (2) holds over \mathbb{N}_0 too or not.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let p be an odd prime with $(p, m-2) = 1$. We may take an odd prime q_1 which is a quadratic residue modulo p and an odd prime $q_2 (\neq q_1)$ for which $-q_2$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo p . Note that it would be possible to take infinitely many such odd primes p, q_1 and q_2 . Now we show that the m -gonal form

$$\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle_m$$

is not almost regular over \mathbb{Z} (so also over \mathbb{N}_0). By Proposition 2.1, we may see that $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle_m$ is locally universal since the quaternary quadratic form $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle$ is locally universal. But the m -gonal form $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle_m$ does not (globally) represent the infinitely many positive integers

$$N_n = \frac{p^{2kn} \{8(m-2) + (m-4)^2(q_1 + q_2 + q_1p + q_2p)\} - (m-4)^2(q_1 + q_2 + q_1p + q_2p)}{8(m-2)}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8(m-2)}$. When $n = 0$, we may easily see that $N_0 = 1$ is not represented by $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle_m$ since $q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p > 1$. When $n > 0$, for a contradiction, we suppose that N_n is represented by $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle_m$. On the other words, we suppose that $q_1(2(m-2)x_1 - (m-4))^2 + q_2(2(m-2)x_2 - (m-4))^2 + q_1p(2(m-2)x_3 - (m-4))^2 + q_2p(2(m-2)x_4 - (m-4))^2 = 8(m-2)N_n + (m-4)^2(q_1 + q_2 + q_1p + q_2p)$ for some $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Since the quadratic form $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle$ is anisotropic over \mathbb{Z}_p and $p^{2kn} | 8(m-2)N_n + (m-4)^2(q_1 + q_2 + q_1p + q_2p)$, we have that

$$p^{kn} | 2(m-2)x_i - (m-4)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Moreover, since $p^{kn} \equiv 1 \pmod{2(m-2)}$, we have that

$$2(m-2)y_i - (m-4) = \frac{2(m-2)x_i - (m-4)}{p^{kn}}$$

for some $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we may obtain that $q_1(2(m-2)y_1 - (m-4))^2 + q_2(2(m-2)y_2 - (m-4))^2 + q_1p(2(m-2)y_3 - (m-4))^2 + q_2p(2(m-2)y_4 - (m-4))^2 = 8(m-2) \cdot 1 + (m-4)^2(q_1 + q_2 + q_1p + q_2p)$, yielding that 1 is represented by m -gonal form $\langle q_1, q_2, q_1p, q_2p \rangle_m$. Which is a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W. K. Chan, B.-K. Oh, *Representations of integral quadratic polynomials*, Contemp. Math. 587 (2013), 31–46.

- [2] W. K. Chan and J. Ricci, *The representation of integers by positive ternary quadratic polynomials*, J. Number Theory. 156 (2015), 75–94.
- [3] L. E. Dickson, *Ternary quadratic forms and congruences*, Ann. Math. 28(1926), 333-341.
- [4] Z. He and B. Kane, *Regular Ternary Polygonal Forms*, Ramanujan Journal. 55 (2021), 1039–1062.
- [5] W. Jagy, I. Kaplansky, and A. Schiemann, *There are 913 regular ternary quadratic forms*, Mathematika 44 (1997), 332-341.
- [6] B. M. Kim, D. Park, *Regular m -gonal forms*, preprint.
- [7] M. Kim, B.-K. Oh, *Regular ternary triangular forms*, J. Number Theory. 214 (2020), 137–169.
- [8] R. Lemke Oliver, *Representation by ternary quadratic forms*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 46 (2014), 1237-1247.
- [9] B.-K. Oh, *Regular positive ternary quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. 147 (2011), 233-243.
- [10] O. T. O’Meara, *The Integral representations of quadratic forms over local fields*, Amer. J. of Math, **80**(1958), 843-878.
- [11] O. T. O’Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1963).
- [12] G. L. Watson, *Some problems in the theory of numbers*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, 1953.
- [13] G. L. Watson, *Regular positive ternary quadratic forms*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 13 (1976), 97-102.
- [14] D. Park, *Representations of almost regular m -gonal forms II*, preprint.
- [15] D. Park, *Representation of m -gonal forms over \mathbb{N}^0 and a finiteness theorem for universal original version’s m -gonal forms*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ULSAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ULSAN, KOREA

Email address: pdy1016@unist.ac.kr