ON BORSUK-ULAM THEOREMS AND CONVEX SETS

M. C. CRABB

ABSTRACT. The Intermediate Value Theorem is used to give an elementary proof of a Borsuk-Ulam theorem of Adams, Bush and Frick [1] that, if $f:S^1\to\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ is a continuous function on the unit circle S^1 in \mathbb{C} such that f(-z)=-f(z) for all $z\in S^1$, then there is a finite subset X of S^1 of diameter at most $\pi-\pi/(2k+1)$ (in the standard metric in which the circle has circumference of length 2π) such the convex hull of f(X) contains $0\in\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$.

1. Introduction

We shall use the Intermediate Value Theorem to give an elementary proof of the following Borsuk-Ulam theorem of Adams, Bush and Frick in which $k \ge 1$ is a natural number, $\zeta = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}/(2k+1)} \in \mathbb{C}$ and the metric d on the unit circle, $S(\mathbb{C})$, in \mathbb{C} is given by $d(z, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}z) = |\theta|$ if $|\theta| \le \pi$.

Theorem 1.1. ([1, Theorems 1 and 5]). Let $f: S^1 = S(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ be a continuous map such that f(-z) = -f(z) for all $z \in S(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exist $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, $i = 0, \ldots, 2k$, and $z \in S(\mathbb{C})$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the image f(X) of the finite set $X = \{e_i \zeta^i z \mid i = 0, \ldots, 2k\}$, which is a subset of $S(\mathbb{C})$ with diameter at most $\pi - \pi/(2k+1)$.

Moreover, there is an example of such a map f with the property that any finite subset $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of f(X) has diameter greater than or equal to $\pi - \pi/(2k+1)$.

Cohomological methods, using little more than knowledge of the \mathbb{F}_2 -cohomology of a real projective space, will also, in Section 3, establish a Borsuk–Ulam theorem for higher dimensional spheres strengthening [1, Theorem 3]. The unit sphere $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the standard metric d: $\cos(d(u,v)) = \langle u,v \rangle$, $0 \leq d(u,v) \leq \pi$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $m, n \ge 1$ be positive integers such that $m \le 2^r \le n < 2^{r+1}$, where $r \ge 0$ is a non-negative integer. Suppose that $f: S^{n-1} = S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}$ is a continuous map such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a finite subset $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with cardinality at most m+n and diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of f(X) in \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} .

Moreover, there is an example of such a map f with the property that any finite subset $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of f(X) has diameter greater than or equal to $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$.

Consider, in general, a continuous map $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}$, where $m \ge 0$ and n > 1, such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The classical Borsuk-Ulam

Date: August 2022.

^{2020~}Mathematics~Subject~Classification. Primary 05E45, 52A20, 55M25, 55R25; Secondary 54E35, 55R40.

Key words and phrases. Intermediate Value theorem, Borsuk-Ulam theorem, Euler class.

theorem asserts, in one form, that, if m=0, there is a point $x\in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that f(x)=0. If n=2, the proof is an elementary exercise using the Intermediate Value Theorem, while for general n a proof (one of many) can be given using the \mathbb{F}_2 -cohomology of real projective space. If m>0, the theorem clearly fails; for the inclusion $S(\mathbb{R}^n)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n\hookrightarrow\mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}$ has no zero. But the Adams-Bush-Frick theorems show that there is a finite subset $X\subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the property that zero is a convex linear combination of the values $f(x), x\in X$, and, to make the assertion non-trivial (because $\frac{1}{2}f(x)+\frac{1}{2}f(-x)=0$ for any x), satisfying the condition that X does not contain any pair of antipodal points. And this condition is refined, for given m and n, by bounding the diameter of X. A precise statement is given in Corollary 2.4.

For the wider context of these results the reader is referred to [1, 2].

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to the authors of [1], H. Adams, J. Bush and F. Frick, for their helpful comments on the 2019 version of this note.

2. The Intermediate Value Theorem

Lemma 2.1. Let $f: S(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ be a continuous map such that f(-z) = -f(z). Suppose that w_0, \ldots, w_{2k} are any 2k+1 points in $S(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exist $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}, \lambda_i \geq 0$, for $i = 0, \ldots, 2k$, with $\sum \lambda_i = 1$, and $z \in S(\mathbb{C})$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{2k} \lambda_i f(e_i z w_i) = 0$.

If $f(w_0), \ldots, f(w_{2k})$ lie in a 2k-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} , we can require that z = 1.

Proof. Consider the determinant map

$$\varphi: S(\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{2k+1}\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}, z \mapsto f(zw_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge f(zw_{2k}).$$

Then $\varphi(-z) = (-1)^{2k+1}\varphi(z) = -\varphi(z)$. So, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, φ has a zero.

If $\varphi(z) = 0$, the vectors $f(zw_i)$ in \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} are linearly dependent and there exist $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$, not all zero such that $\sum_i \mu_i f(w_i) = 0$. We may assume, by scaling, that $\sum_i |\mu_i| = 1$. Choose $\lambda_i \ge 0$ and $e_i = \pm 1$ so that $\lambda_i e_i = \mu_i$.

Lemma 2.2. For $z \in S(\mathbb{C})$ and $e_i = \pm 1$, i = 0, ..., 2k, the distance $d(e_i z \zeta^i, e_j z \zeta^j)$ is less than or equal to $\pi - \pi/(2k+1)$.

Proof. Indeed, the 2(2k+1) points $\pm z\zeta^i$ on the unit circle lie at the vertices of a regular polygon.

These two lemmas already prove the first part of Theorem 1.1.

Example 2.3. ([1, Theorem 5]). Let P be the k-dimensional complex vector space of complex polynomials p(z) of degree $\leq 2k-1$ such that p(-z)=-p(z). Let $g: S(\mathbb{C}) \to P^*$ be the evaluation map to the dual $P^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(P, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that w_0, \ldots, w_{2k} are points of $S(\mathbb{C})$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the $g(w_i)$. Then $d(w_i, w_j) \geq \pi - \pi/(2k+1)$ for some i, j.

For the sake of completeness we include a concise version of the proof in [1].

Proof. Assume that the 2k+1 points w_i^2 are distinct. By relabelling we may arrange that $w_1 = e^{i\theta}w_0$, where θ is the minimum of the distances $d(w_i, w_j)$, $i \neq j$.

Suppose that $\sum \lambda_i g(w_i) = 0$, where the $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are not all equal to zero. This means that $\sum \lambda_i p(w_i) = 0$ for each $p \in P$, and hence, since $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w_i^{-1} = 0$

 $\overline{w_i}$, that $\sum \lambda_i p(w_i^{-1}) = 0$ too (because $\sum \lambda_i p(\overline{w_i})$ can be written as the complex conjugate of $\sum \lambda_i \overline{p}(w_i)$ with $\overline{p} \in P$). For $r \neq s$, $0 \leq r, s \leq 2k$, we may write

$$z^{-2k+1} \prod_{j \neq r, j \neq s} (z^2 - w_j^2) = p_+(z) + p_-(z^{-1}),$$

for unique polynomials $p_+, p_- \in P$. Then we find, because $\sum \lambda_i p_+(w_i) = 0$ and $\sum \lambda_i p_-(w_i^{-1}) = 0$, that

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} w_{i}^{-2k+1} \prod_{j \neq r, j \neq s} (w_{i}^{2} - w_{j}^{2}) = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} (p_{+}(w_{i}) + p_{-}(w_{i}^{-1})) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lambda_r \prod_{j \neq r} (w_r w_j^{-1} - w_r^{-1} w_j) = \lambda_s \prod_{j \neq s} (w_s w_j^{-1} - w_s^{-1} w_j).$$

It follows that, for some non-zero $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lambda_i \delta_i = c$$
, where $\delta_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (w_i w_j^{-1} - w_i^{-1} w_j)$,

for all i. (Notice that δ_i , being the product of the 2k purely imaginary numbers $w_i \overline{w_i} - \overline{w_i} w_i$, is real.) In particular, all the λ_i are non-zero.

Given that 0 lies in the convex hull of the points $g(w_i)$, we can now assume further that all λ_i are non-negative, and so, because they are non-zero, strictly positive. Then the $\delta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ all have the same sign. We show that there is some i such that $w_i = -\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\theta}w_0$ for 0 < t < 1.

Indeed, write $\psi(t) = \prod_{1 < j \leqslant 2k} (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\theta} w_0 w_j^{-1} - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\theta} w_0^{-1} w_j) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$. Then $\delta_0 = (w_0 w_1^{-1} - w_0^{-1} w_1) \psi(0)$ and $\delta_1 = (w_1 w_0^{-1} - w_1^{-1} w_0) \psi(1)$. So, by the Intermediate Value theorem again, $\psi(t) = 0$ for some t, and then $w_i^2 = (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\theta} w_0)^2$ for some $i, 1 < i \leqslant 2k$. But $w_i \neq \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\theta} w_0$, by the minimality of θ . So $w_i = -\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\theta} w_0$. Now $d(w_i, w_0) = \pi - t\theta$ and $d(w_i, w_1) = \pi - (1 - t)\theta$. But clearly $\theta \leqslant 2\pi/(2k + 1)$ and either $t \geqslant 1/2$ or $1 - t \geqslant 1/2$.

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, together with Example 2.3, establish Theorem 1.1, using the fact¹ that, if Y is a finite subset of a real vector space such that the convex hull of Y contains 0 but no proper subset of Y has this property, then the set Y is affinely independent.

Corollary 2.4. Let $m \ge 0$ and n > 1 be integers. Then there is a non-negative real number $\delta < 1$ with the property that, for any continuous map $f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}$ such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a finite subset X of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of cardinality at most m + n and diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(\delta) < \pi$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of f(X).

The classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem deals with the case m=0: we may take $\delta=0$ so that X consists of a single point.

Proof. By restricting f to $S(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and including \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} in \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} for the smallest $k \geq 1$ such that $m+n-1 \leq 2k+1$, we see from Theorem 1.1 that the assertion is true with $\delta = \cos(\pi/(2k+1))$.

¹We have $\lambda_y > 0$, $y \in Y$, such that $\sum \lambda_y y = 0$. Suppose that $\mu_y \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in Y$, satisfy $\sum \mu_y y = 0$ and $\sum \mu_y = 0$. Then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_y \geqslant |t\mu_y|$ for all y, $\sum (\lambda_y + t\mu_y)y = 0$, $\sum (\lambda_y - t\mu_y)y = 0$, $\lambda_y \pm t\mu_y \geqslant 0$, and so $\lambda_y > \pm t\mu_y$, that is, $\lambda_y > |t\mu_y|$, for all y. Hence $\mu_y = 0$ for all y.

There is an easy extension of Lemma 2.1 to higher dimensions.

Lemma 2.5. For integers $n, k \ge 1$, suppose that $f: S(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ is a continuous map such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then, for any 2k+1 vectors w_0, \ldots, w_{2k} in $S(\mathbb{C}^n)$, there exist $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\lambda_i \ge 0$, for $i = 0, \ldots 2k$, with $\sum \lambda_i = 1$, and $z \in S(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{2k} \lambda_i f(e_i z w_i) = 0$.

Proof. This can be established, using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, by looking at the function $\varphi: S(\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{2k+1}\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ defined by $\varphi(z) = f(zw_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge f(zw_{2k})$.

Example 2.6. For n > 1, write $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ for the standard orthonormal \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{C}^n , let $\eta = e^{2\pi i/(2l+1)}$ where $l \ge 1$ is a positive integer, and fix an integer r in the range $1 \le r \le n$.

If k satisfies $2k+1 \leq (2l+1)^r \binom{n}{r}$, we can choose distinct vectors w_0, \ldots, w_{2k} from the set

$$\{(\sum_{s=1}^r \eta^{a_s} \mathbf{e}_{i_s})/\sqrt{r} \mid 1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_r \leqslant n, \ a_s = 0, \dots, 2l\} \subseteq S(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Then $|\langle w_i, w_j \rangle| \leq \delta = 1 - (1 - \cos(\pi/(2l+1))/r \text{ for } i \neq j.$ Hence $d(e_i z w_i, e_j z w_j) \leq \pi - \arccos(\delta)$.

Application of Lemma 2.5 to the case r=1, for which $\arccos(\delta)=\pi/(2l+1)$, gives a result which is close to [1, Theorem 2], but slightly weaker. For general r, if l is large, $\arccos(\delta)$ is close to $(\pi/(2l+1))/\sqrt{r}$. In particular, the case r=n gives a much stronger result than that provided by [1, Theorem 2] when k is sufficiently large. (A similar observation is made in [2, Remark 4.2].)

3. Cohomological methods

In this section we shall use cohomological methods in higher dimensions. We begin by recalling some standard facts about projective bundles.

Consider a real vector bundle $E \to B$ (or simply 'E') of dimension n over a finite complex B. The $mod\ 2$ Euler class of E will be written as

$$e(E) \in H^n(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

in cohomology with \mathbb{F}_2 -coefficients. (Thus, e(E) is the top Stiefel-Whitney class $w_n(E)$.) The crucial property for our applications is that, if $e(E) \neq 0$, then every section $s: B \to E$ has a zero, that is, there is some point $b \in B$ such that $s(b) \in E_b$, the vector space fibre of E at E0, is equal to E1 or calculations we shall use the multiplicativity of the Euler class: $e(E \oplus E') = e(E) \cdot e(E')$ for two vector bundles E1 and E'2 over E3.

The projective bundle $P(E) \to B$ of E is the quotient of the sphere bundle S(E) of E by the involution -1: $P(E) = S(E)/\{\pm 1\}$; elements of P(E) can be written as [u], where $u \in S(E)$. The Hopf line bundle over P(E) is denoted by H; its fibre at [u] is the line $\mathbb{R}u$ generated by u.

The cohomology of the space P(E), as an algebra over $H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$, is a quotient of the polynomial ring $H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_2)[T]$ in an indeterminate T:

$$H^*(P(E); \mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_2)[T]/(T^n + \dots + w_i(E)T^{n-i} + \dots + w_n(E)),$$

where $w_i(E) \in H^i(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is the *i*th *Stiefel-Whitney class* of E, and the Euler class e(H) corresponds to T.

The multiplicativity of the Euler class translates into the multiplicativity of the total Stiefel-Whitney class $w(E) = 1 + w_1(E) + \ldots + w_i(E) + \ldots + w_n(E)$: $w(E \oplus E') = w(E) \cdot w(E')$.

Working now towards a proof of Theorem 1.2 we consider, for n > 1, an inscribed regular n-simplex in the sphere $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with vertices v_0, \ldots, v_n . Write $n = 2^r + s$, where $r \ge 1$ and $0 \le s < 2^r$. An involution τ in the orthogonal group $O(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is specified by: $\tau(v_i) = v_{i+s}$ for i < s and $\tau(v_i) = v_i$ for $i = 2s, \ldots, n$. Let V_+ and V_- be the ± 1 -eigenspaces of τ : $V_{\pm} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tau u = \pm u\}$. Thus V_+ has dimension $n - s = 2^r$ with a basis $v_i + v_{i+s}$ $(0 \le i < s)$, v_i $(2s < i \le n)$, and V_- has dimension s with a basis $v_i - v_{i+s}$ $(0 \le i < s)$. The special orthogonal group $SO(V_+)$, which is isomorphic to $SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$, is included in $SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the map $g \mapsto (g,1): V_+ \oplus V_- = \mathbb{R}^n \to V_+ \oplus V_- = \mathbb{R}^n$ as the subgroup $G \le SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of those elements which fix the s vectors $v_i - v_{i+s}$, $0 \le i < s$.

Theorem 3.1. (Compare [1, Theorem 3]). For an integer n > 1, let v_0, \ldots, v_n be the vertices of an inscribed regular n-simplex in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Write $n = 2^r + s$, where $r \ge 1$ and $0 \le s < 2^r$, and let $G \le SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the subgroup $\{g \in SO(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid g(v_i - v_{i+s}) = v_i - v_{i+s} \text{ for } 0 \le i < s\}$. Let $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$ be a continuous map such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all

Let $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2^i-1}$ be a continuous map such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exist $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, i = 0, ..., n, and an element $g \in G$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the image f(X) of the finite subset $X = \{e_i g v_i \mid i = 0, ..., n\} \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which has diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$.

Moreover, the example of the inclusion $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$ has the property that any finite subset $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of f(X) has diameter greater than or equal to $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$.

Proof. We continue to use the notation introduced before the statement of the theorem.

Consider the map $\sigma: SO(V_+) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$

$$(g, (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_n)) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_i f(gv_i), \quad g \in SO(V_+), \quad \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_i^2 = 1.$$

We shall show that σ has a zero.

Clearly $\sigma(g, -\mu) = -\sigma(g, \mu)$. Now notice that $-g \in SO(V_+)$ maps to $(-g, 1) = -(g, 1)\tau \in SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So $\sigma(-g, \mu) = -\sigma(g, T\mu)$, where T is the linear involution of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} satisfying, for $\mu = (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $(T\mu)_i = \mu_{i+s}$ for i < s and $(T\mu)_i = \mu_i$ for $i = 2s, \ldots, n$.

Let L be the real line bundle over the projective special orthogonal group $\operatorname{PSO}(V_+)$ associated with the double cover $\operatorname{SO}(V_+) \to \operatorname{PSO}(V_+) = \operatorname{SO}(V_+)/\{\pm 1\}$ or, explicitly, the quotient of $\operatorname{SO}(V_+) \times \mathbb{R}$ by the involution $(g,t) \mapsto (-g,-t)$. And let E be the (n+1)-dimensional real vector bundle over $\operatorname{PSO}(V_+)$ associated to the involution -T of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , that is, E is the quotient of $\operatorname{SO}(V_+) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by the involution $(g,\mu) \mapsto (-g,-T\mu)$. The -1-eigenspace of -T consists of those $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\mu_i = \mu_{i+s}$ for $0 \le i < s$ and has dimension $n+1-s=2^r+1$, and the +1-eigenspace has the complementary dimension s. The bundle E is thus isomorphic to the direct sum $(L \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2^r+1}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^s$ of 2^r+1 copies of L and the trivial bundle with fibre \mathbb{R}^s .

The map σ determines a section s of $H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$ (the tensor product of the pullback of the Hopf line bundle H over $P(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with the trivial bundle with fibre

 \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}):

$$s([[g, \pm \mu]]) = [g, \mu] \otimes \sigma(g, \mu), \text{ for } g \in SO(V_+), \mu \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}),$$

where the element $[[g,\mu]] = [[g,-\mu]] \in P(E)$ is determined by the class $[g,\mu] \in S(E)$ of $(g,\mu) \in SO(V_+) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We shall show that s has a zero, and hence that σ has a zero, by proving that the mod 2 Euler class

$$e(H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}) = e(H)^{n+2^r-1} \in H^{n+2^r-1}(P(E); \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is non-zero.

Let us write x = e(L) and y = e(H). Now we recall from [3, Lemma (8.1)] that $x^{2^r-1} \neq 0$ and $x^{2^r} = 0$. (In order to make this account as self-contained as possible, an elementary proof of this fact is included in an Appendix as Proposition A.1.) So the total Stiefel-Whitney class w(E) of E is determined, using multiplicativity, by w(L) = 1 + x as $w(E) = w(L)^{2^r+1} = (1+x)^{2^r+1} = 1 + x$ (because $(1+x)^{2^r} = 1 + x^{2^r} = 1$). Hence

$$H^*(P(E); \mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(PSO(V_+); \mathbb{F}_2)[y]/(y^{n+1} + xy^n),$$

(meaning that the quotient of the polynomial ring $H^*(\mathrm{PSO}(V_+); \mathbb{F}_2)[T]$ by the principal ideal generated by $T^{n+1} + xT^n$ is isomorphic to $H^*(P(E); \mathbb{F}_2)$ by the homomorphism mapping T to y). Using the relation $y^{n+1} = xy^n$, we conclude that $y^{n+2^r-1} = x^{2^r-1}y^n$ is non-zero.

Hence σ has a zero, that is, there exist $g \in SO(V_+)$ and μ_0, \ldots, μ_n , not all zero, such that $\sum_i \mu_i f(gv_i) = 0$. The existence of the required $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

The n+1 points v_i satisfy $\sum_i v_i = 0$ and $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = c$, say, for $i \neq j$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^n \langle v_0, v_i \rangle = 0$, we see that 1 + nc = 0, so that c = -1/n. Hence $d(v_i, v_j) = \pi - \arccos(1/n)$ and $d(v_i, -v_j) = \arccos(1/n)$ if $i \neq j$.

So $d(e_igv_i, e_jgv_j) = d(e_iv_i, e_jv_j) \leqslant \pi - \arccos(1/n)$ for $i \neq j$.

The final assertion follows, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, from Lemma 3.2 below. $\hfill\Box$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that w_0, \ldots, w_n are points of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\sum \lambda_i w_i = 0$, where $\lambda_i \ge 0$ and $\sum \lambda_i = 1$. Then $d(w_i, w_j) \ge \pi - \arccos(1/n)$ for some i, j.

Proof. Put $d = \min\{\langle w_i, w_j \rangle \mid i \neq j\}$. Then

$$0 = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \langle w_{i}, w_{j} \rangle = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \langle w_{i}, w_{i} \rangle + \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{j} \langle w_{i}, w_{j} \rangle \geqslant 1 + nd.$$

So
$$d \leq -1/n$$
. If $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle \leq -1/n$, then $d(w_i, w_j) \geq \pi - \arccos(1/n)$.

The result in Theorem 3.1 is optimal when $n = 2^r$ is a power of 2 and so $n + 2^r - 1 = 2n - 1$, as the following construction demonstrates.

Proposition 3.3. For any even integer n > 1, there is a map $f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that for every finite subset X of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with diameter $\leq \pi - \arccos(1/n)$ the zero vector $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ does not lie in the convex hull of f(X).

Proof. Consider a map $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $f(v) = (v, \varphi(v)v)$, where $\varphi: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(-v) = \varphi(v)$.

Suppose that $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a finite subset of diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$ such that 0 = (0,0) lies in the convex hull of f(X).

Considering the first component, we see that there are points w_0, \ldots, w_m of X for some m with $1 \le m \le n$ and $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m \ge 0$ with $\sum \lambda_i = 1$, such that $\sum \lambda_i w_i = 0$ and $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle \ge -1/n$ for all i, j. (Indeed, let $Y \subseteq X$ be a minimal subset of X such that 0 lies in the convex hull of Y. As we have already recalled in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the set Y must be affinely independent and so has cardinality, m+1 say, less than or equal to n+1.)

Then, for each i,

$$-\lambda_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \geqslant -(1 - \lambda_i)/n,$$

and so $\lambda_i \leq 1/(n+1)$. Hence m=n and $\lambda_i=1/(n+1)$ for all i. And then $\sum_{j\neq i} \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = -1$. We conclude that $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle = -1/n$ for $i \neq j$. Hence w_0, \ldots, w_n are the vertices of an inscribed regular n-simplex in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a vector distinct from each of w_0, \ldots, w_n , then $\langle v, w_i \rangle < -1/n$ for some i. (Indeed, if $v = \sum_{j \neq i} t_j w_j$, where $t_j \geq 0$, then $\langle v, w_i \rangle < -1/n$. For $1 = \|v\|^2 = (\sum_{j \neq i} t_j)^2 - ((n+1)/n) \sum_{j \neq j', j \neq i \neq j} t_j t_{j'}$. So $\sum_{j \neq i} t_j > 1$. Hence $\langle v, w_i \rangle = -(\sum_{j \neq i} t_j)/n < -1/n$.) Thus X is precisely the set $\{w_0, \ldots, w_n\}$.

Since the w_i are the vertices of a regular n-simplex, if $\sum_i \mu_i w_i = 0$, $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then the coefficients μ_i must be equal. So, looking now at the second component of f, we conclude that the real numbers $\varphi(w_i)$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, are equal. (For $\sum_i \lambda_i f(w_i) = 0$ means that $\sum_i \lambda_i w_i = 0$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i \varphi(w_i) w_i = 0$, and we have shown that $\lambda_i = 1/(n+1)$.)

To construct a map f such that 0 does not lie in the convex hull of f(X) for any finite set with diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$, we write down a function φ which is not constant on the vertices w_0, \ldots, w_n of any regular n-simplex. For example, choose a non-zero vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and take $\varphi(v) = \langle u, v \rangle^2$. Then $0 = \sum \langle u, w_i \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n \epsilon_i \sqrt{\varphi(w_i)}$, where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. Since n+1 is odd, if $\varphi(w_i) = c^2$ for all i, then c=0, which is impossible because $u \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This follows at once from Theorem 3.1 by including \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} in \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1} .

Methods similar to those used to derive Theorem 3.1 give the following generalization of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 3.4. For some integers $r \ge 0$ and $n, k \ge 1$, suppose that $f: S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r n}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 2^r - 1}$ is a continuous map such that f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r n})$. We include the special orthogonal group $SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$ in $SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r n})$ by the diagonal homomorphism $SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \to SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \times \cdots \times SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \subseteq SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r n})$. Then, for any $2^r k + 1$ vectors $w_0, \ldots, w_{2^r k}$ in $S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r n})$, there exist $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\lambda_i \ge 0$, for $i = 0, \ldots 2^r k$, with $\sum \lambda_i = 1$, and $g \in SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{2^r k} \lambda_i f(e_i g w_i) = 0$.

If, for some $\delta < 1$, the vectors w_i satisfy $|\langle w_i, w_j \rangle| \leq \delta$ for all $i \neq j$, then $d(e_i g w_i, e_j g w_j) \leq \pi - \arccos(\delta) < \pi$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof. We assume that $r \ge 1$. The simpler case r = 0 is discussed in Remark 3.5. Consider the map $\sigma : SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 1}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 2^r - 1}$

$$(g, (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2^r k})) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{2^r k} \mu_i f(gw_i), \quad g \in SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2^r k} \mu_i^2 = 1.$$

Since $\sigma(-g, \mu) = -\sigma(g, \mu) = \sigma(g, -\mu)$, the map σ determines a section s of the vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 2^r - 1} \otimes (L \otimes H)$ over the space $(\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})/\{\pm 1\}) \times (S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 1})/\{\pm 1\})$, where L and H are the line bundles associated with the double covers $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \to \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})/\{\pm 1\}$ and $S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 1}) \to S(\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 1})/\{\pm 1\}$ of $\mathrm{PSO}(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$ and the real projective space $P(\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 1})$, respectively.

The \mathbb{F}_2 -Euler class of $\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 2^r - 1} \otimes (L \otimes H)$ is equal to

$$e(L \otimes H)^{2^r k + 2^r - 1} = (x + y)^{2^r k + 2^r - 1} \in H^{2^r k + 2^r - 1}(PSO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \times P(\mathbb{R}^{2^r k + 1}); \mathbb{F}_2),$$

where x = e(L) and y = e(H). Since $x^{2^r - 1} \neq 0$ and $x^{2^r} = 0$ (by Proposition A.1 again), $y^{2^r k} \neq 0$ and $y^{2^r k + 1} = 0$,

$$(x+y)^{2^rk+2^r-1} = (x+y)^{2^r-1}(x^{2^r}+y^{2^r})^k = (x+y)^{2^r-1}y^{2^rk} = x^{2^r-1}y^{2^rk}$$

is non-zero. Hence the section s has a zero, and, therefore, so too has σ . The proof is completed as before.

Remark 3.5. When r = 0, so that g = 1 (because $SO(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is trivial), the result specializes to the main theorem of [2, Theorem 1]. In that case, the map σ determines a section s of $\mathbb{R}^k \otimes H$ over $P(\mathbb{R}^{k+1})$, and s has a zero just because $y^k = e(H)^k$ is non-zero in $H^k(P(\mathbb{R}^{k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2)$.

The case r=1 is precisely Lemma 2.5 with the identifications $\mathbb{R}^{2n}=\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{R}^2)=\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{C})=S(\mathbb{C}).$

When r=2, we can write $\mathbb{R}^{4n}=\mathbb{H}^n$ and use quaternion multiplication to show that the element $g\in SO(\mathbb{R}^4)$ may be chosen to lie in the subgroup $Sp(\mathbb{H})=S(\mathbb{H})$ of unit quaternions. (And, when r=3, we could use Cayley multiplication.)

Corollary 3.6. Let $m, n \ge 1$ be integers with $m \le n$. Then there are m+n vectors $w_0, \ldots, w_{m+n-1} \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the property that, for any continuous map $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}$ with f(-v) = -f(v), there exist $e_0, \ldots, e_{m+n-1} \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the image f(X) of the finite set $X = \{e_i w_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, m+n-1\}$ with cardinality m+n and diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(1/|n/m|)$.

Notice that the vectors w_0, \ldots, w_{m+n-1} are independent of f; compare Theorem 1.2. The construction we use can be seen as a special case of [4, Lemma 16]. Compare, also, Example 2.6.

Proof. Write n = qm + l, where $q = \lfloor n/m \rfloor \geqslant 1$ and $0 \leqslant l < m$. We can assume that q > 1. Let u_0, \ldots, u_q be the vertices of an inscribed regular q-simplex in $S(\mathbb{R}^q)$ and let $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^m . We give $\mathbb{R}^q \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ the standard inner product: $\langle u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \rangle = \langle u, u' \rangle \cdot \langle v, v' \rangle$. In other words, $\mathbb{R}^q \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ is the orthogonal direct sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{R}^q \otimes \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_j$. Thus $\langle u_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j, u_{i'} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j'} \rangle$ is equal to 1 if i = i', j = j', equal to -1/q if $i \neq i', j = j'$, and is zero otherwise.

Let $w_0, \ldots, w_{k-l} \in \mathbb{R}^{qm} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{qm} \oplus \mathbb{R}^l = \mathbb{R}^n$, where k = n + m - 1, correspond to the (q+1)m = n + m - l = k - l + 1 vectors $u_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j$, in some order, under a chosen isometric isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{qm} \cong \mathbb{R}^q \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ and let $w_{k-l+1}, \ldots, w_{k-l+l}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^l \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{qm} \oplus \mathbb{R}^l = \mathbb{R}^n$. The result follows from Lemma 3.4 with r = 0 and $\delta = 1/q$.

4. Conclusion

We finish with a reformulation of the main results.

Definition 4.1. Given integers $m \ge 1$ and n > 1, set k = m + n - 1. Let $\delta(m, n)$ be the smallest real number $\delta \in (0, 1]$ with the property that, for any continuous map $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfying f(-v) = -f(v) for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a finite subset $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of cardinality less than or equal to m + n and diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(\delta)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of f(X).

The existence of $\delta(m,n)$ follows from a compactness argument. For a given f, the subspace

$$\{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k, w_0, \dots, w_k) \in [0, 1]^{k+1} \times S(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1, \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i f(w_i) = 0\}$$

of $[0,1]^{k+1} \times S(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k+1}$ is compact and non-empty. So the subset $\Delta(f) \subseteq [0,1]$ consisting of those δ for which there is a subset X of diameter $\leq \pi - \arccos(\delta)$ such that 0 is in the convex hull of f(X) is closed. Hence $\bigcap_f \Delta(f)$ is closed (and contains 1).

The example of the inclusion of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ shows, by Lemma 3.2, that $\delta(m,n) \geq 1/n$. Corollary 2.4 asserts that $\delta(m,n) < 1$.

Theorem 4.2. The function $\delta(m,n)$ defined for $m \ge 1$ and n > 1 has the following properties.

- (i). For all m and n, $1/n \le \delta(m, n) < 1$.
- (ii). For all $k \ge 1$, $\delta(2k-1,2) = \delta(2k,2) = \cos(\pi/(2k+1))$ (Theorem 1.1, Example 2.3).
- (iii). If there is an integer r such that $1 \leq m \leq 2^r \leq n$, then $\delta(m,n) = 1/n$ (Theorem 1.2).
- (iv). For all $k \ge 1$, $\delta(2k+1,2k) > 1/(2k)$ (Proposition 3.3).
- (v). Fix $m \ge 1$. Then $\delta(m, n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and so $\pi \arccos(\delta(m, n)) \to \pi/2$. (Compare [2, Corollary 3.1].)
- (vi). Fix n > 1. Then $\delta(m, n) \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$, and so $\pi \arccos(\delta(m, n)) \to \pi$.

Proof. Part (v) follows at once from (iii). Only (vi) still requires proof. If $m \leq m'$, then clearly $\delta(m,n) \leq \delta(m',n)$.

Given n and a positive integer $l \ge 1$, set $m+n-1=k=\binom{n+2l}{n-1}$. We shall establish the easy estimate $\delta(m,n) \ge 1/k^{1/(2l+1)}$. Since k, the dimension of the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 2l+1 in n variables, is clearly bounded by $2l+1 \le k \le (2l+1)^{n-1}$, we see that, as $l \to \infty$ (with n fixed), $m \to \infty$ and $k^{1/(2l+1)} \to 1$.

Consider the map $f: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes (2l+1)}$ given by the (2l+1)-th power $f(v) = v \otimes \cdots \otimes v$ and mapping into the k-dimensional vector subspace fixed by the permutation action of \mathfrak{S}_{2l+1} . Give $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes (2l+1)}$ the standard inner product:

$$\langle u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{2l+1}, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{2l+1} \rangle = \prod_i \langle u_i, v_i \rangle,$$

so that each vector f(v) lies in the unit sphere.

By Lemma 3.2, if w_0, \ldots, w_k are points of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the unit vectors $f(w_0), \ldots, f(w_k)$, then $\langle f(w_i), f(w_j) \rangle = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle^{2l+1} \leqslant -1/k$ for some $i \neq j$. So $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle \leqslant -1/k^{1/(2l+1)}$.

Remark 4.3. The example used to prove part (vi) of Theorem 4.2 shows more precisely that, for any real number $\alpha < 1/(n-1)$,

$$m^{\alpha}(1 - \delta(m, n)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Proof. The construction shows that $\delta((2l+1)^{n-1}, n) \ge 1/(2l+1)^{(n-1)/(2l+1)}$. Write $x = 1 - \delta((2l+1)^{n-1}, n)$. Then

$$(2l+1)^{n-1} \geqslant (1-x)^{-(2l+1)} = \sum_{s\geqslant 0} {2l+s \choose s} x^s \geqslant {2l+r \choose r} x^r \geqslant (2l+1)^r x^r / r!$$

for any $r \ge 1$. Thus $x \le (r!)^{1/r} (2l+1)^{(n-1)/r-1}$.

Given α , choose an integer r so large that $\epsilon = 1/(n-1) - \alpha - 1/r$ is greater than 0. Then, with $m = (2l+1)^{n-1}$, we have $m^{\alpha}(1 - \delta(m,n)) \leq (r!)^{1/r}/m^{\epsilon}$.

Remark 4.4. In the opposite direction, an example shows that, for any real number $\alpha > 2/(n-1)$,

$$m^{\alpha}(1-\delta(m,n)) \to \infty \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Proof. We shall use Lemma 3.4 with r = 0.

Let $V = \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1\}$ and let $\Delta \subseteq V$ be the simplex $\{(t_i) \in V \mid t_i \geq 0\}$. The map $\pi : V \to S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v \mapsto v/\|v\|$ gives a diffeomorphism from V to an open subspace of the sphere mapping geodesics (straight line segments) to geodesics (segments of great circles). Hence, there is a constant $c_n > 0$ such that $d(\pi(u), \pi(v)) \geq c_n \|u - v\|$ for all u, v in the compact subpace Δ .

Fix an integer $l \ge 1$ and let $W = \{(t_i) \in \Delta \mid lt_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i\}$. For $u, v \in W$, $u \ne v$, we see that $||u - v||^2 \ge 2/l^2$. List the vectors $\pi(v)$, $v \in W$, as w_0, \ldots, w_k , where $k + 1 = \binom{l+n-1}{n-1} \ge l^{n-1}/(n-1)!$. So, for $i \ne j$, $d(w_i, w_j) \ge c_n \sqrt{2}/l$.

This shows that $\delta(k+1-n,n) \leqslant \cos(c_n\sqrt{2}/l) = 1 - c_n^2/l^2 + \cdots$. Finally, observe that $m = k+1-n \geqslant \frac{1}{2}l^{n-1}/(n-1)!$ if l is sufficiently large. \square

Appendix A. On the cohomology of $\mathrm{PO}(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$

In this appendix we give an elementary proof of the result from [3, Lemma (8.1)] that we used in the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.4.

Proposition A.1. Write $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^r n}$, where $r \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant 1$ is odd. Let L be the real line bundle over the projective orthogonal group PO(V) associated with the double cover $O(V) \to PO(V)$. Then $e(L) \in H^1(PO(V); \mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfies $e(L)^{2^r-1} \neq 0$, $e(L)^{2^r} = 0$. Moreover, the restriction of $e(L)^{2^r-1}$ to the projective special orthogonal group $PSO(V) \leqslant PO(V)$ is non-zero.

Proof. Notice, first, that the vector bundle $L \otimes V$ is trivial: it has a natural trivialization $L \otimes V \to V$ mapping $g \otimes v$, where g is an element of O(V), regarded as a generator of the fibre of L at $[g] \in PO(V)$, and $v \in V$, to gv. Hence $1 = w(L \otimes V) = (1 + e(L))^{2^r} = (1 + e(L))^{2^r}$ and, since n is odd, $e(L)^{2^r} = 0$.

 $1 = w(L \otimes V) = (1 + e(L))^{2^r n} = (1 + e(L)^{2^r})^n \text{ and, since } n \text{ is odd, } e(L)^{2^r} = 0.$ The diagonal map $O(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}) \to (O(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}))^n \leq O(\mathbb{R}^{2^r n})$ induces an inclusion of $PO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$ in PO(V). To prove that $e(L)^{2^r-1}$ is non-zero it is, therefore, enough to deal with the case n = 1, and for the remainder of the discussion we take $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^r}$.

Given a Euclidean vector bundle E over a base B, we write $O(\mathbb{R}^i, E)$ for the Stiefel bundle over B with fibre at $b \in B$ the Stiefel manifold $O(\mathbb{R}^i, E_b)$ of isometric linear maps from \mathbb{R}^i to the fibre E_b of E at $b \in B$.

Let H be the Hopf bundle over the real projective space P(V) of V. Now there is a natural projection

$$\pi: \mathcal{O}(V, H \otimes V) \to \mathcal{PO}(V)$$

taking an isometric linear map $v \mapsto u \otimes g(v) : V \to \mathbb{R}u \otimes V$, where $g \in O(V)$, in the fibre over $[u] \in P(V)$ to $[g] \in PO(V)$. The pullback π^*L is identified with the lift of H (because $(-u) \otimes g(v) = u \otimes (-g)v$).

In terms of $x = e(H) \in H^1(P(V); \mathbb{F}_2)$, the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $H \otimes V$ is equal to $(1+x)^{2^r}=1$, so that $w_j(H\otimes V)=0$ for $j\geqslant 1$.

For each $i, 0 \le i < 2^r$, the fibration

$$p_i: \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{i+1}, H \otimes V) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^i, H \otimes V)$$

(restricting from $\mathbb{R}^{i+1} = \mathbb{R}^i \oplus \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{R}^i and interpreted when i=0 as the projection $p_0: S(H \otimes V) \to P(V)$ is the sphere bundle $S(\zeta_i)$ of the complementary $(2^r - i)$ dimensional vector bundle ζ_i over $O(\mathbb{R}^i, H \otimes V)$. Thus $\zeta_i \oplus \mathbb{R}^i$ is the pullback of $H \otimes V$, and $e(\zeta_i) = w_{2r-i}(\zeta_i)$ is the lift of $w_{2r-i}(H \otimes V)$, which is zero. Hence, from the Gysin sequence of the sphere bundle, the induced homomorphism

$$p_i^*: H^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^i, H \otimes V); \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{i+1}, H \otimes V); \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is injective. In the top dimension $i = 2^r - 1$, when ζ_i is a line bundle with $w_1 = 0$, p_i is a trivial bundle with fibre S^0 and p_i^* is an injection on each component. Hence, $(\pi^*e(L))^{2^r-1}$, which is the image of $e(H)^{2^r-1} = x^{2^r-1} \in H^*(P(V); \mathbb{F}_2)$

under the composition

$$p_0 \circ \cdots \circ p_{2^r-1} : \mathcal{O}(V, H \otimes V) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{2^r}, H \otimes V) \to P(V) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^0, H \otimes V),$$

is non-zero on each of the two components.

Remark A.2. Simpler proofs can be given in the low dimensional cases $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^r}$, r =1, 2, 3. In those dimensions there is a bilinear map $\cdot: V \times V \to V$ satisfying $||u \cdot v|| =$ $||u|| \cdot ||v||$ for $u, v \in V$, given by complex, quaternionic or Cayley multiplication. This determines a map $S(V) \to O(V)$ taking $u \in S(V)$ to the orthogonal transformation $v \mapsto u \cdot v$, which induces an embedding of P(V) into PO(V). The line bundle L restricts to the Hopf bundle over P(V), and so we see that $e(L)^{2^r-1} \neq 0$.

Remark A.3. A result of Gitler and Handel [5, Theorem 1.6] on projective Stiefel manifolds can be established by the same method. For $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^r n}$, with n odd, consider, for $1 \leq l \leq n$, the projective Stiefel manifold $PO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r l}, V) = O(\mathbb{R}^{2^r l}, V)/\{\pm 1\}$ and the associated real line bundle L. Then $e(L)^{2^r m-1}$ is non-zero if $\binom{n}{i}$ is even

for n-m < j < l, and $e(L)^{2^r m} = 0$ if n-m < l and $\binom{n}{m}$ is odd. For $e(\zeta_i)$ is the lift of $w_{2^r n-i}(H \otimes V) = \binom{2^r n}{2^r n-i} x^{2^r n-i}$, which is 0 if i is not divisible by 2^r and equal to $\binom{n}{n-j} x^{2^r (n-j)}$ if $i=2^r j$; and the bundle $L \otimes V$ has a canonical trivial summand $\mathbb{R}^{2^r l}$, so that $w_i(L \otimes V) = 0$ for $i > 2^r (n - l)$.

References

- [1] H. Adams, J. Bush and F. Frick, Metric thickenings, Borsuk-Ulam theorems, and orbitopes. Mathematika 66 (2020), 79-102.
- H. Adams, J. Bush and F. Frick, The topology of projective codes and the distribution of zeros of odd maps. arXiv math.GT 2106.14677 (2021).
- [3] P. F. Baum and W. Browder, The cohomology of quotients of classical groups. Topology 3 (1965), 305-336.

12 M. C. CRABB

- [4] B. Bukh and C. Cox, Nearly orthogonal vectors and small antipodal spherical codes. Israel J. Math. 238 (2020), 359–388.
- [5] S. Gitler and D. Handel, The projective Stiefel manifolds–I. Topology 7 (1968), 39–45.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK $\it Email~address: m.crabb@abdn.ac.uk$