

A CONJECTURE OF ZHI-WEI SUN ON DETERMINANTS OVER FINITE FIELDS

HAI-LIANG WU, YUE-FENG SHE AND HE-XIA NI*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study certain determinants over finite fields. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of q elements and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-1} be all nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_q . Let $T_q = \left[\frac{1}{a_i^2 - a_i a_j + a_j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}$ be a matrix over \mathbb{F}_q . We obtain the explicit value of $\det T_q$. Also, as a consequence of our result, we confirm a conjecture posed by Zhi-Wei Sun.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative ring. Then for any $n \times n$ matrix $M = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with $a_{ij} \in R$, we use $\det M$ or $|M|$ to denote the determinant of M .

Let p be an odd prime and let $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ be the Legendre symbol. Carlitz [1] studied the following matrix

$$C_p(\lambda) = \left[\lambda + \left(\frac{i-j}{p} \right) \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Carlitz [1, Theorem 4] proved that the characteristic polynomial of $C_p(\lambda)$ is

$$P_x(t) = (t^2 - (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p)^{(p-3)/2} (t^2 - (p-1)\lambda - (-1)^{(p-1)/2}).$$

Later Chapman [2, 3] investigated many interesting variants of C_p . Moreover, Chapman [3] posed a challenging conjecture on the determinant of the $\frac{p+1}{2} \times \frac{p+1}{2}$ matrix

$$E_p = \left[\left(\frac{j-i}{p} \right) \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq \frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

Due to the difficulty of the evaluation on $\det E_p$, Chapman called this determinant “evil” determinant. Finally, by using sophisticated matrix decompositions, Vsemirnov [7, 8] solved this problem completely.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11C20; Secondary 11L05, 11R29.

Keywords. determinants, the Legendre symbol, finite fields.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12101321 and Grant No. 11971222) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. 21KJB110002). The third author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12001279).

*Corresponding author.

Along this line, in 2019 Sun [6] studied the following matrix

$$S_p = \left[\left(\frac{i^2 + j^2}{p} \right) \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}},$$

and Sun [6, Theorem 1.2(iii)] showed that $-\det S_p$ is always a quadratic residue modulo p . In the same paper, Sun also investigated the matrix

$$A_p = \left[\frac{1}{i^2 + j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

Sun [6, Theorem 1.4(ii)] proved that when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ the p -adic integer $2 \det A_p$ is always a quadratic residue modulo p . In addition, let

$$T_p = \left[\frac{1}{i^2 - ij + j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1}.$$

Sun [6, Remark 1.3] posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Zhi-Wei Sun). *Let $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ be an odd prime. Then $2 \det T_p$ is a quadratic residue modulo p .*

Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of q elements and let

$$\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-1}\}.$$

Motivated by this conjecture, we define a matrix T_q over \mathbb{F}_q by

$$T_q = \left[\frac{1}{a_i^2 - a_i a_j + a_j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.$$

We obtain the following generalized result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ be an odd prime power and let*

$$T_q = \left[\frac{1}{a_i^2 - a_i a_j + a_j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.$$

Then

$$\det T_q = (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} 2^{\frac{q-2}{3}} \in \mathbb{F}_p,$$

where p is the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q .

Remark 1.1. We give two examples here. Note that we also view T_p as a matrix over \mathbb{F}_p if p is an odd prime.

(i) If $p = 5$, then

$$T_p = \frac{11}{596232} = \frac{1}{2} = -2.$$

(ii) If $p = 11$, then

$$T_p = \frac{393106620416000000}{23008992710579652367225919172202284572822491031943} = \frac{4}{6} = 2^3.$$

As a direct consequence of our theorem, we confirm Sun's conjecture.

Corollary 1.1. *Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we will prove some lemmas which are the key elements in the proof of our theorem. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 will be given in section 3.

2. SOME PREPARATIONS

Given any polynomials $A(T), B(T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$, we say that $A(T)$ and $B(T)$ are equivalent (denoted by $A(T) \sim B(T)$) if $A(x) = B(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $\chi_3(\cdot) = \left(\frac{\cdot}{3}\right)$ be the quadratic character modulo 3. We first have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ be an odd prime power and let*

$$(2.1) \quad G(T) = 1 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=2}^{q-2} (\chi_3(k) + \chi_3(1-k)) T^{k-1} + \frac{1}{3} T^{q-2} - \frac{2}{3} T^{q-1}.$$

Then

$$(T^2 + T + 1)^{q-2} \sim G(T).$$

Proof. We first show that $T^2 + T + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Set $q = p^r$ with p prime and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. As $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, clearly $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $2 \nmid r$. Hence

$$(-3)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (-3)^{\frac{p-1}{2}(1+p+p^2+\dots+p^{r-1})} = \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)^{1+p+p^2+\dots+p^{r-1}} = (-1)^r = -1.$$

This implies that -3 is not a square over \mathbb{F}_q . Suppose now $T^2 + T + 1$ is reducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Then there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. This implies $(2\alpha + 1)^2 = -3$, which is a contradiction. Hence $T^2 + T + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Moreover, since

$$T^q - T = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} (T - x),$$

we have $T^2 + T + 1 \nmid T^q - T$ and hence $T^2 + T + 1$ is coprime with $T^q - T$.

Now via a computation, we obtain

$$(T^2 + T + 1)^2 G(T) \equiv T^2 + T + 1 \equiv (T^2 + T + 1)^q \pmod{(T^q - T)\mathbb{F}_q[T]}.$$

As $T^2 + T + 1$ is coprime with $T^q - T$, we obtain

$$(T^2 + T + 1)^{q-2} \equiv G(T) \pmod{(T^q - T)\mathbb{F}_q[T]}.$$

This implies

$$(T^2 + T + 1)^{q-2} \sim G(T).$$

In view of the above, we have completed the proof. \square

We need the following lemma (cf. [4, Lemma 10]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a commutative ring and let n be a positive integer. Set $P(T) = p_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \cdots + p_1T + p_0 \in R[T]$. Then*

$$\det[P(X_i Y_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_j - X_i)(Y_j - Y_i).$$

Now let m be a positive integer. We introduce some basic facts on the permutations over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Fix an integer a with $(a, m) = 1$. Then the map $x \bmod m \mapsto ax \bmod m$ induces a permutation $\pi_a(m)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Lerch [5] determined the sign of this permutation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\text{sgn}(\pi_a(m))$ denote the sign of the permutation $\pi_a(m)$. Then*

$$\text{sgn}(\pi_a(m)) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a}{m}\right) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{2}} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol if m is odd.

Recall that

$$\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-1}\}.$$

The map $a_j \mapsto a_j^{-1}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$) induces a permutation σ_{-1} on \mathbb{F}_q^\times . We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let notations be as above. Then*

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma_{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi_{-1}(q-1)) = (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Fix a generator g of \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Let f be the bijection on \mathbb{F}_q^\times which sends a_j to g^j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$). Then it is easy to see that

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma_{-1}) = \text{sgn}(f \circ \sigma_{-1} \circ f^{-1}).$$

Note that $f \circ \sigma_{-1} \circ f^{-1}$ is the permutation on \mathbb{F}_q^\times which sends g^j to g^{-j} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$). This permutation indeed corresponds to the permutation $\pi_{-1}(q-1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$ which sends $j \bmod (q-1)$ to $-j \bmod (q-1)$. Now our desired result follows from Lemma 2.3.

This completes the proof. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that

$$T_q = \left[\frac{1}{a_i^2 - a_i a_j + a_j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$\det T_q = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \det \left[\frac{1}{a_i^2 + a_i a_j + a_j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.$$

Also,

$$\det \left[\frac{1}{a_i^2 + a_i a_j + a_j^2} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{a_j^2} \cdot \det \left[\frac{1}{(a_i/a_j)^2 + a_i/a_j + 1} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.$$

Since $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we have $a_i^2 + a_i a_j + a_j^2 \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$. Hence for any $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{(a_i/a_j)^2 + a_i/a_j + 1} = ((a_i/a_j)^2 + a_i/a_j + 1)^{q-2}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $(T^2 + T + 1)^{q-2} \sim G(T)$, where $G(T)$ is defined by (2.1). Hence

$$((a_i/a_j)^2 + a_i/a_j + 1)^{q-2} = G(a_i/a_j),$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$. As $(a_i/a_j)^{q-1} = 1$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$, we have

$$G(a_i/a_j) = H(a_i/a_j),$$

where

$$H(T) = G(T) - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}T^{q-1} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=2}^{q-2} (\chi_3(k) + \chi_3(1-k)) T^{k-1} + \frac{1}{3}T^{q-2}.$$

Let

$$S(T) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} (T - a_j)$$

and let $S'(T)$ be the formal derivative of $S(T)$. It is easy to verify that

$$S(T) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} (T - a_j) = T^{q-1} - 1.$$

By this it is clear that $S'(T) = (q-1)T^{q-2} = -T^{q-2}$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} a_j = -1.$$

By the above we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \det T_q = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \det [H(a_i/a_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 we know that $\det[H(a_i/a_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{3^{q-1}} \prod_{k=2}^{q-2} (\chi_3(k) + \chi_3(1-k)) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i) \left(\frac{1}{a_j} - \frac{1}{a_i} \right).$$

We first consider the product

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i) \left(\frac{1}{a_j} - \frac{1}{a_i} \right).$$

By Lemma 2.4 it is easy to see that

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i) \left(\frac{1}{a_j} - \frac{1}{a_i} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i)^2.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i)^2 &= (-1)^{\frac{(q-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(q-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} \prod_{i \neq j} (a_j - a_i) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(q-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} S'(a_j) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q-1} \frac{-1}{a_j} = (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from (3.2). Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (a_j - a_i) \left(\frac{1}{a_j} - \frac{1}{a_i} \right) = 1.$$

We now turn to the product

$$\prod_{k=2}^{q-2} (\chi_3(k) + \chi_3(1-k)).$$

By definition

$$\chi_3(k) + \chi_3(1-k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \prod_{k=2}^{q-2} (\chi_3(k) + \chi_3(1-k)) = (-2)^{\frac{q-2}{3}}.$$

In view of (3.3)-(3.5), we obtain

$$\det T_q = (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} 2^{\frac{q-2}{3}} \in \mathbb{F}_p,$$

where p is the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q . This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Let $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ be an odd prime. Then by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\left(\frac{\det T_p}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{3}} = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Carlitz, Some cyclotomic matrices, *Acta Arith.* 5 (1959), 293–308.
- [2] R. Chapman, Determinants of Legendre symbol matrices, *Acta Arith.* 115 (2004), 231–244.
- [3] R. Chapman, My evil determinant problem, preprint, December 12, 2012, available from <http://empslocal.ex.ac.uk/people/staff/rjchapma/etc/evildet.pdf>.
- [4] C. Krattenthaler, Advanced determinant calculus: a complement, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 411 (2005), 68–166.
- [5] M. Lerch, Sur un théorème de Zolotarev, *Bull. Intern. de l’Acad. François Joseph* 3 (1896), 34–37.
- [6] Z.-W. Sun, On some determinants with Legendre symbol entries, *Finite Fields Appl.* 56 (2019), 285–307.
- [7] M. Vsemirnov, On the evaluation of R. Chapman’s “evil determinant”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436 (2012), 4101–4106.
- [8] M. Vsemirnov, On R. Chapman’s “evil determinant”: case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, *Acta Arith.* 159 (2013), 331–344.

(HAI-LIANG WU) SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF POSTS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS, NANJING 210023, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: `whl.math@smail.nju.edu.cn`

(YUE-FENG SHE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: `she.math@smail.nju.edu.cn`

(HE-XIA NI) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NANJING AUDIT UNIVERSITY, NANJING 211815, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: `nihexia@yeah.net`