# DIFFERENCE SETS AND TRI-WEIGHT LINEAR CODES FROM TRINOMIALS OVER BINARY FIELDS

OMRAN AHMADI AND MASOUD SHAFAEIABR

Abstract. We confirm a conjecture of Cun Sheng Ding [\[5\]](#page-26-0) claiming that the punctured value-sets of a list of eleven trinomials over odd-degree extensions of the binary field give rise to difference sets with Singer parameters. In the course of confirming the conjecture, we show that these trinomials share the remarkable property that every element of the value-set of each trinomial has either one or four preiamges . We also give partial resolution of another conjecture of Cun Sheng Ding [\[5\]](#page-26-0) claiming that linear codes constructed from those eleven trinomials are tri-weight.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a cyclic group of order v. A subset  $\mathcal D$  of size k of G is a cyclic difference set with parameter  $(v, k, \lambda)$  if for every non-identity element g of G the number of  $(x, y)$ solutions of the equation  $g = xy^{-1}$  with  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$  is exactly  $\lambda$ .

Difference sets have many applications in the construction of combinatorial designs, error correcting codes, sequences with good correlation properties as well as the study of finite geometries and cryptographic functions.

As it is the case with the construction of many other combinatorial objects, the theory of finite fields is of great importance in the construction of difference sets. Cyclic difference sets of special interest which are constructed from finite fields are the so called *difference* sets with Singer parameters for which either

$$
v = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, \quad k = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1}, \quad \lambda = \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1}
$$

$$
v = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, \quad k = q^{n-1}, \quad \lambda = q^{n-2}(q - 1)
$$

or

where *q* is a prime power and 
$$
n \ge 3
$$
.

In this paper, we are interested in the construction of cyclic difference sets and linear codes from polynomials and rational functions defined over the binary finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  with  $2<sup>m</sup>$  elements where m is an odd number. Our main result is the following theorem stated as Conjecture 36 in [\[5\]](#page-26-0).

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**Theorem 1.** Let  $m \geq 5$  be an odd number,  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  denote the degree-m extension of the binary field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$  denote the univariate polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  denote the multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and for a given  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$ ,  $D(f)^*$ denotes the punctured value-set it, that is,

$$
D(f)^* = \{f(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \setminus \{0\}.
$$

Then the punctured value-set of any of trinomials appearing below is a difference set in  $(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*, \times)$  with singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ .

(a)  $f_1(x) = x^{2^m - 17} + x^{(2^m + 19)/3} + x$ . (b)  $f_2(x) = x^{2^m - 2^{m-4} - 1} + x^{2^m - (2^{m-2} + 4)/3} + x$ . (c)  $f_3(x) = x^{2^m-3} + x^{2^{(m+3)/2}+2^{(m+1)/2}+4} + x$ . (d)  $f_4(x) = x^{2^m - 2^{(m-1)/2} - 1} + x^{2^{(m-1)} - 2^{(m-1)/2}} + x$ . (e)  $f_5(x) = x^{2^m-2-(2^{m-1}-2^2)/3} + x^{2^m-2^2-(2^m-2^3)/3} + x$ . (f)  $f_6(x) = x^{2^m - 2^{(m+1)/2} + 2^{(m-1)/2}} + x^{2^m - 2^{(m+1)/2} - 1} + x$ . (g)  $f_7(x) = x^{2^m - 3(2^{(m+1)/2} - 1)} + x^{2^{(m+1)/2} + 2^{(m-1)/2} - 2} + x.$ (h)  $f_8(x) = x^{2^m-2^{m-2}-1} + x^{2^{m-1}-2} + x$ . (i)  $f_9(x) = x^{2^m - 2^{(m+3)/2} - 3} + x^{2^{(m+1)/2} + 2} + x$ . (j)  $f_{10}(x) = x^{2^m - 3(2^{(m-1)/2} + 1)} + x^{2^{m-1} - 1} + x.$ (k)  $f_{11}(x) = x^{2^m-5} + x^6 + x$ .

In the course of proving the above theorem, we prove that the polynomials appearing above share the remarkable property that any element of the value-set has 1 or 4 preimages in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . This naturally leads to the partial resolution of another conjecture of Cun Sheng Ding stated as Conjecture 37 [\[5\]](#page-26-0) and appearing as Theorem [37](#page-23-0) in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. We end this section with a list on conventions and notations. In Section [2,](#page-2-0) we gather some preliminary results. In Section [3,](#page-8-0) we show that the proof of the main result follows if we prove that the punctured value-set of four rational functions give rise to difference set with Singer parameters. In Section [4](#page-9-0) we prove the main result. Section [5](#page-23-1) contains our partial resolution of a conjecture of Cun Sheng Ding about tri-weight linear codes constructed from the above polynomials. Finally, Section [6](#page-26-1) contains our concluding remarks.

# 1.1. Conventions and Notations. In this subsection we

- Throughout the paper m will be an odd integer, and  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ .
- $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ , and  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  denote the finite field with q elements, the multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , respectively.
- For  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ,  $\text{Tr}(x)$  denotes the absolute trace from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , that is,

$$
\text{Tr}(x) = x + x^2 + x^{2^2} + \dots + x^{2^{m-1}}.
$$

• We denote by  $T_n$  the set of elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  whose *n*-th power is of trace one, that is,

$$
T_n = \{ x \in GF(2^m) | \text{Tr}(x^n) = 1 \},
$$

- We denote the set of elements of trace zero by  $T_0$ .
- If X and Y are two sets and  $f: X \to Y$  is a function, then for each  $y \in Y$ , the set of elements whose image under f is denoted by  $P_f(y)$ , that is,

$$
P_f(y) = \{ x \in X | f(x) = y \}.
$$

- If X and Y are two sets,  $f: X \to Y$  is a function and for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $|P_f(y)| \in Y$  $\{0, 1, a\}$  for some positive integer a, then f is called a  $(0, 1, a)$ -map. In this paper, a will be either 3 or 4.
- For a set  $S$ ,  $|S|$  denotes the number of elements of  $S$ .

• Given a function  $f: \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ , the set

$$
D(f)^* = \{f(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*\} \setminus \{0\},\
$$

is called the punctured value-set of  $f$ . Notice that since all the polynomials ap-pearing in Theorem [1](#page-0-0) vanish at zero,  $D(f)^*$  obtained using this new definition for a polynomial f appearing in the theorem coincides with  $D(f)^*$  obtained from previous definition given above. Thus, for the rest of the paper, we will work with this new definition.

• If G is a group and  $\mathcal{D} \subset G$ , then we denote by  $\mathcal{D}^k$  the set of k-th power of the elements of  $D$ , that is,

$$
\mathcal{D}^k = \left\{ d^k | d \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.
$$

- A multi-set is denoted by double braces  $\{\{\}\}.$
- If C is a curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , then  $\#C(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$  denotes the number of the affine  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -rational points on C.

# 2. Preliminaries

<span id="page-2-0"></span>In this section we gather and prove some results which will be used in the rest of the paper.

2.1. Curves with no finite point over odd degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . In this paper, by a curve C over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  we mean an either reducible or irreducible algebraic set of dimension one over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Furthermore, in this paper we will be interested in, mostly, with the combinatorial properties of the curves such as the number of the points and will not be interested in geometric properties such as irreducibility and smoothness and so we will not try to prove the irreducibility of them even though many of the algebraic sets that we encounter will be irreducible.

Now, let  $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$  denote the bivariate polynomial rings over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and its algebraic closure, respectively. Furthermore, let the map  $\psi$  be as follows

(1) 
$$
\psi: \quad \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[x, y] \quad \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[x, y] \quad \Sigma a_i b_j x^i y^j \quad \longrightarrow \sum (a_i b_j)^q x^i y^j.
$$

We notice that the map  $\psi$  is a ring homomorphism, and also if  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$ , then  $\psi(f) = f$ . This fact leads to the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  be an irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  which is reducible over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Then there are nonzero polynomials  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  such that  $f = g^2 + gh + h^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_4[x, y]$  be a proper divisor of f in  $\mathbb{F}_4[x, y]$ . If we let  $\mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$  where  $t^2 =$  $t+1$ , then there are two polynomials g and h in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  such that  $f_1 = g + th$ . Obviously,  $h \neq 0$  and the polynomials g and h have no common factor as otherwise f would have a proper divisor in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$  which is a contradiction. Furthermore, since the map  $\psi$  as defined above is a homomorphism which fixes  $\mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$ , we see that  $\psi(f_1) = g + t^2 h = g + (t+1)h$  is a proper divisor of f, too. Now, since  $h \neq 0$  and the polynomials g and h have no common factor, f and  $\psi(f_1)$  have no common factor. Thus  $f_1\psi(f_1) = g^2 + hg + h^2$  is a divisor of f. But f is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$ . So, we must have  $f = g^2 + hg + h^2$  and  $g \neq 0$  which finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Lemma 3.** Let  $f, g, h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  such that  $f = g^2 + gh + h^2$ . Furthermore, suppose that there is no finite double point on  $f(x,y) = 0$  over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , i.e., there is no odd number m and  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $f(a, b) = 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(a, b)$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a, b) = 0$ . Then  $f(x, y) = 0$  has no solution over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for some odd number m, there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $f(a, b) = 0$ . Then

(2) 
$$
f = g(a, b)^2 + g(a, b)h(a, b) + h(a, b)^2 = 0.
$$

From this, we notice that if  $h(a, b) = 0$ , then  $g(a, b) = 0$  which in turn implies that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(a, b)$ and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a, b) = 0$ . But this is a contradiction. So, we must have  $h(a, b) \neq 0$  and  $g(a, b) \neq 0$ . Now, if we let  $t = \frac{g(a,b)}{h(a,b)}$  $\frac{g(a,b)}{h(a,b)}$  which is in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , then from [\(2\)](#page-3-0) we deduce that

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
g^2 + gh + h^2 = h(a, b)^2(t^2 + t + 1) = 0.
$$

But this is again a contradiction since  $h(a, b) \neq 0$  and  $t^2 + t + 1 \neq 0$  whenever t is in the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

The following is an immediate corollary of the above lemmas.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Corollary 4.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  be an irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  which is reducible over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Furthermore, suppose that there is no finite double point on  $f(x, y) = 0$  over the odddegree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then  $f(x, y) = 0$  has no solution over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

2.2. Difference sets. In this section we gather and prove some results concerning the construction of difference sets which be used in later sections.

2.2.1. Complementary difference sets. The following result shows how one can get a difference set different from the given one for free simply by taking its complement.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Theorem 5.** Let G be a cyclic group of order v, and let  $D$  be a cyclic difference set with parameter  $(v, k, \lambda)$ . Then

- (i)  $G \setminus \mathcal{D}$  is a cyclic difference set with parameters  $(v, v k, v 2k + \lambda)$ ,
- (ii) if k is an integer coprime with v, then  $\mathcal{D}^k$  is a difference set with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda)$ , and  $G \setminus \mathcal{D}^k$  is a cyclic difference set with parameters  $(v, v - k, v - 2k + \lambda)$ .

Notice that if D is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-2} - 1)$ , then  $G \setminus \mathcal{D}$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ .

The following is an immediate corollary of the above theorem and the fact that the set of elements of trace one is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ .

<span id="page-3-4"></span>**Corollary 6.** Let as before  $T_n$  denote the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  whose trace is one. Furthermore, let  $\gcd(n, 2^m - 1) = 1$ . Then  $T_n$  is a difference set in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  with Singer parameters  $(2^m 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2}$ .

2.2.2. Difference sets from sextic binomials over binary fields. It is well-known that the polynomial  $f(x) = x^6 + x$  induces a two to one map over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set with Singer parameter  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-2} - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  [\[4,](#page-26-2) [18\]](#page-27-0). Another sextic polynomial with the same properties is  $g(x) = x^6 + x^5$ . This fact can be deduced from the results of Glynn [\[11,](#page-27-1) Result 8] and Maschietti [\[18\]](#page-27-0) (see also [\[4,](#page-26-2) Page 352]). Although this fact is probably well-known to the experts, it is not written explicitly anywhere in the literature. Since we will need these facts in the later sections, we record them as a lemma, and give the proof of some of the claims about  $g(x)$ as some details of the proof will be required later.

**Lemma 7.** Let m be an odd number,  $f(x) = x^6 + x$ ,  $g(x) = x^6 + x^5$ , and  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Then

- (i) f and g induce two to one maps over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ,
- (ii) if  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  and  $g(a) = g(b)$ , then  $\text{Tr}(a + b) = 1$ ,
- (iii) the sets  $D(f)^*$  and  $D(g)^*$  both are difference sets with singer parameters  $(2^m 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-2} - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ .

*Proof.* For the proof of the claims about  $f(x)$  see [\[18\]](#page-27-0), and for the proof of claim (iii) about  $g(x)$  see the results of Glynn [\[11,](#page-27-1) Result 8] and Maschietti [\[18\]](#page-27-0). To prove (i) for  $g(x)$ , suppose  $y \neq x$  and

(3) 
$$
x^6 + x^5 = y^6 + y^5.
$$

If we let  $y = \frac{x}{z}$  $\frac{x}{z}$  in this equation and solve for x, we get

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
x = \frac{z^6 + z}{z^6 + 1} = 1 + \frac{1}{z^5 + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1}.
$$

But  $z^5 + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1 = D_5(z+1)$  where  $D_5(z) = z^5 + z^3 + z$  is the Dickson polynomial of degree five which is a permutation polynomial over odd degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  (see [\[4,](#page-26-2) Page 353]). Thus  $z^5 + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1$  is a permutation polynomial, and hence for given  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} - \{1\}$ , there is a unique  $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} - \{0\}$  satisfying the above equation and hence there is a unique y satisfying [\(3\)](#page-4-0). Furthermore, obviously, if  $x = 1$ ,  $y \neq x$ , and  $g(y) = g(1)$ , then  $y = 0$ . So,  $g(x)$  induces a two to one map over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

To prove (ii), from the proof of (i) we first notice that if  $\{a, b\} \neq \{0, 1\}$ , then for some  $z \neq 1$  we have

$$
\{a,b\} = \left\{\frac{1+z^5}{1+z^6}, z\frac{1+z^5}{1+z^6}\right\}.
$$

Using this fact and the fact that  $Tr(1) = 1$  over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(a+b) = \operatorname{Tr}(1+\frac{z^5+z}{z^6+1}) = 1 + \operatorname{Tr}(\frac{z(z+1)^4}{(z+1)^2(z^4+z^2+1)}) = 1 + \operatorname{Tr}(\frac{z^3+z}{z^4+z^2+1}).
$$

But

$$
\frac{z^3+z}{z^4+z^2+1} = \frac{z}{z^2+z+1} + \frac{z^2}{z^4+z^2+1} = \frac{z}{z^2+z+1} + (\frac{z}{z^2+z+1})^2.
$$

As  $\text{Tr}(u^2 + u) = 0$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , from the above equation we conclude that

$$
\text{Tr}\left(\frac{z^3+z}{z^4+z^2+1}\right) = 0,
$$

and hence  $\text{Tr}(a + b) = 1$ . Now, as the claim is trivial when  $\{a, b\} \neq \{0, 1\}$ , we are done with the proof of (ii).

2.2.3. *Dillon-Dobbertin difference sets*. We will need the following result originally conjectured by Dobbertin [\[10\]](#page-27-2).

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Theorem 8.** [\[4\]](#page-26-2) Let m be an odd number, and k be an integer. Furthermore, let  $1 \leq k \leq$ m  $\frac{m}{2}$ ,  $(k,m) = 1$ ,  $d = 2^{2k} - 2^k + 1$ , and  $f(x) = (x+1)^d + x^d + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$ . Then  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  with singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-2} - 1)$ .

# 2.3. Factorization of trinomials over binary fields.

2.3.1. Quadratic and Cubic Trinomials. The following lemma is a direct consequence of the fact that  $\text{Tr}(x^2) = \text{Tr}(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Lemma 9.** The quadratic polynomial  $f(x) = x^2 + x + a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  if and only if  $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$ .

The following lemma is a special case of a much more general result of Berlekamp [\[2\]](#page-26-3).

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Lemma 10.** Suppose  $f(x) = x^3 + px + q \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$  where  $q \neq 0$ . Then  $f(x)$  has an odd number of irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  if and only if  $\text{Tr}(\frac{p^3}{q^2})$  $\frac{p^{\circ}}{q^2} + 1$ ) = 0.

2.3.2.  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + ax + b$ . Let  $k < m$  be a positive integer, and let

$$
f(x) = x^{2^k + 1} + ax + b
$$

be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_{2m}[x]$ . This polynomials appears in many different contexts and applications, including, difference sets, finite geometry, the computation of cross correlation between m-sequences, Cohen-Matthews polynomials and index-calculus algorithms for solving discrete logarithm problem (see for example [\[3,](#page-26-4)[4,](#page-26-2)[13\]](#page-27-3)), and hence has been studied extensively in the literature. As this polynomial will appear in several places in the later sections, we gather and prove some results about it.

To start with, following [\[4\]](#page-26-2), we need to make some notations as follows:

$$
A_1(x) = x,
$$
  
\n
$$
A_2(x) = x^{2^k + 1},
$$
  
\n
$$
A_{i+2}(x) = x^{2^{(i+1)k}} A_{i+1}(x) + x^{2^{(i+1)k} - 2^{ik}} A_i(x), i \ge 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
B_1(x) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
B_2(x) = x^{2^k - 1},
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{i+2}(x) = x^{2^{(i+1)k}} B_{i+1}(x) + x^{2^{(i+1)k} - 2^{ik}} B_i(x), i \ge 1.
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Also for each positive integer  $k' < m$ , let:

(4) 
$$
R_{k,k'}(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k'} A_i(x)\right) + B_{k'}(x)
$$

 $\Box$ 

and

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(5) 
$$
Q_{k,k'}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k'} x^{2^{ik}}}{x^{2^k+1}}, k' odd,
$$

$$
Q_{k,k'}(x) = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} x^{2^{ik}}}{x^{2^k+1}}, k' even.
$$

The following theorems taken from [\[14\]](#page-27-4) and [\[15\]](#page-27-5), respectively, are essentially the special cases of a result due to Bluher [\[3\]](#page-26-4).

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Theorem 11.** Let k be a positive integer such that  $gcd(k, m) = 1$  and k' be the multiplicative inverse of k modulo m. Let  $p(x) = x^{2^k+1} + x$  and  $P_i$  be the set of all  $a \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ where  $|P_p(a)| = i$ . Then the function  $p(x)$  is a  $(0, 1, 3)$ -map and we have:

- $|P_0| = \frac{2^m + 1}{3}$  $\frac{a+1}{3}$  and for all  $a \in P_0$  we have  $\text{Tr}(R_{k,k'}(a^{-1})) = 1$ .
- $|P_1| = 2^{m-1}$  and  $a \in P_1$  if and only if  $\text{Tr}(R_{k,k'}(a^{-1})) = 0$ .
- $|P_3| = \frac{2^{m-1}-1}{3}$  and for all  $a \in P_3$  we have  $\text{Tr}(R_{k,k'}(a^{-1})) = 1$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Theorem 12.** [\[15,](#page-27-5) Theorem 1] Let m be and odd number,  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and

$$
f_a(x) = x^{2^k+1} + ax + a.
$$

Also, let  $d = \gcd(k, m)$ . Then  $f_a(x) = 0$  has  $0, 1, 2$  or  $2^d + 1$  roots in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Furthermore, if  $Z_i$  denotes the set of  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  for which  $f_a(x) = 0$  has exactly i roots in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , then:

(i)  $|Z_0| = \frac{(2^m + 1)2^{d-1}}{2^d + 1}$  $\frac{+1}{2^d+1}$ , (ii)  $|Z_1| = 2^{m-1}$ (iii)  $|Z_2| = \frac{(2^m - 1)(2^{d-1} - 1)}{2^d - 1}$  $\frac{1}{2^d-1},$ (iv)  $|Z_{2^d+1}| = \frac{2^{m-d}-1}{2^{2d}-1}$  $\frac{a-1}{2^{2d}-1}$ .

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 13.** Let m be an odd number,  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $f_a(x) = x^5 + ax + a$ , and  $g_a(x) = x^6 + x^5 + a$ . Then,  $g_a(x) = 0$  has exactly two solutions in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  if and only if  $f_a(x) = 0$  has exactly one solution in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Furthermore, If  $f_a(x) = 0$  has three or no solutions, then  $g_a(x) = 0$  has no solution.

*Proof.* First we prove that if  $g_a(x) = 0$  has two solutions in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , then  $f_a(x) = 0$  has exactly one solution in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . If  $a = 0$ , then the claim is trivial. So, suppose  $a \neq 0$ , and suppose that  $g_a(x) = 0$  has two solutions in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Notice that from the previous lemma we know that  $g_a(x) = 0$  has either zero or two solutions in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Now, on the one hand from the proof of the previous lemma we see that for a unique  $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ 

(6) 
$$
a = \left(\frac{1+z^5}{1+z^6}\right)^6 + \left(\frac{1+z^5}{1+z^6}\right)^5,
$$

and on the other hand it is easy to check that  $f_a(\alpha) = 0$  where

$$
\alpha = \frac{z^5 + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z}{z^6 + z^5 + z^3 + z + 1}.
$$

Thus, whenever  $g_a(x) = 0$  has two roots, then  $f_a(x) = 0$  has at least one root. To show that  $f_a(x) = 0$  has exactly one root, we give an indirect proof. To start with, we notice that if u is a solution of  $f_a(x) = 0$ , and v is a solution of  $g_a(x) = 0$ , then

(7) 
$$
\frac{u^5}{u+1} = v^6 + v^5 = a,
$$

and hence  $(u, v)$  is a point on the curve C given by

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
C: x^5 + (x+1)(y^6 + y^5) = 0.
$$

Now, let

$$
A = \left\{ (a, u, v) | a, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, \frac{u^5}{u+1} = v^6 + v^5 = a \right\},\,
$$

and

$$
A_a = \left\{ (u, v) | u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, \frac{u^5}{u+1} = v^6 + v^5 = a \right\}.
$$

Then using the facts that  $x^6 + x^5$  induces a two to one map by Lemma [7](#page-7-0) and the fact that for given a whenever the equation  $g_a(x) = 0$  has two solutions, then  $f_a(x)$  has at least one solution, we deduce that:

(i)  $|A_a| \geq 2$  for  $2^{m-1}$  values of a, and

(ii)  $|A_a| = 0$  for the rest of the values of a.

Hence

$$
|A| = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} |A_a| \ge 2^{m-1} \cdot 2 = 2^m.
$$

From these facts and the fact that  $\#C = |A|$ , we see that in order to prove our claim, it suffices to prove that there are exactly  $2^m$  points on the curve C since this would imply that if for some  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ,  $|A_a| \neq 0$ , then  $|A_a| = 2$ . So, in the rest of the prove we show that  $\#C = 2^m$ .

Now, let  $E$  be the curve given by

$$
E: x + (x+1)(y^2 + y) = 0,
$$

and let  $\zeta$  be the rational map given by

$$
\zeta: C \longrightarrow E
$$
  
(x,y) 
$$
\longrightarrow (\frac{x}{y}, \frac{xy}{x+y} + (\frac{x}{y})^2).
$$

It follows that  $\zeta$  is well defined map (birational map) with the inverse map  $\zeta^{-1}$  given by

$$
\zeta^{-1}: \quad E \quad \longrightarrow C
$$
  

$$
(x,y) \quad \longrightarrow ((x+1)(y+x^2), \frac{(x+1)(y+x^2)}{x}).
$$

From the definition of  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$ , we see that  $\zeta^{-1} \circ \zeta$  and  $\zeta \circ \zeta^{-1}$  are identity maps on  $C-(0,0)$  and  $E-(0,0)$ , respectively, and hence  $\#C(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})=\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ . But rewriting the equation of  $E$  as

$$
E: \ \ y^2 + y = \frac{x}{x+1},
$$

for  $x \neq 1$  and using Lemma [9,](#page-5-0) we have  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}) = 2^m$ . Notice that  $x \mapsto \frac{x}{x+1}$  is a bijection of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$  and for  $2^{m-1}$  values of x we have  $\text{Tr}(\frac{x}{x+1}) = 0$ . This finishes the proof of one direction.

Now, to prove the converse, let G and be the set of all values of a for which  $g_a(x) = 0$  has two solutions, and similarly F be the set of all values of a for which  $f_a(x) = 0$  has exactly one solution. By the fact that  $x^6 + x^5$  induces a two to one map, we have  $|G| = 2^{m-1}$ , and by Theorem [12](#page-6-0) we have  $|F| = 2^{m-1}$ , and furthermore from the converse direction we have  $G \subset F$ . Thus  $F = G$ , and hence whenever  $f_a(x) = 0$  has one solution, then  $g_a(x) = 0$  has exactly two solutions. The rest of the claims are trivial.

 $\Box$ 

# 3. The value-set equivalence of some of the trinomials

<span id="page-8-0"></span>In this section, we show that in order to prove Theorem [1,](#page-0-0) it suffices to prove the claim of Theorem [1](#page-0-0) for four rational functions. First, we need a definition.

**Definition 14.** Let f and g be two functions over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . We call f and g, value-set equivalent and write  $f \sim g$  if  $D(f)^* = D(g)^*$ .

Obviously,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, and if  $f \sim g$  and  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set with singer parameters in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ , then so is  $D(g)^*$ . Hence in order to prove Theorem [1,](#page-0-0) it suffices to identify the value-set equivalence classes of the functions appearing in Theorem [1](#page-0-0) and prove the theorem for the representative of each class. The following theorem shows that the set of polynomials appearing in the conjecture can be partitioned into at most four equivalence classes.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Theorem 15.** Let m be an odd number, and let  $f_1, \ldots, f_{11}$  be the polynomials appearing in Theorem [1.](#page-0-0) Furthermore, let  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ . Then

- (a)  $f_5 \sim f_8 \sim f_{11} \sim x^{-4} + x^6 + x$ .
- (b)  $f_1 \sim f_2 \sim x^3 + x^{20} + x^{-48}$ ,
- (c)  $f_4 \sim f_6 \sim f_9 \sim x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$ , and
- (d)  $f_3 \sim f_7 \sim f_{10} \sim x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x$ .

*Proof.* First, we notice that if f and g are two functions over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  and there exists some permutation  $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  such that  $f(a) = g(\psi(a))$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ , then  $f \sim g$ . Now, if t is an integer number such that  $gcd(t, 2^m-1) = 1$ , then  $\psi(x) = x^t$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . From this fact we deduce that  $\phi: x \to x^6$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  when m is an odd number, and

$$
f_5(x) \sim f_5(x^6) = x^{5 \cdot 2^m - 4} + x^{4 \cdot 2^m - 8} + x.
$$

But this in turn, as  $x^{2^m} = x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , implies that

$$
f_5 \sim f_5(x^6) \sim x + x^{-4} + x^6.
$$

Similarly, again, using  $x^{2^m} = x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , we have

$$
f_8 \sim f_8(x^{-4}) \sim x + x^{-4} + x^6
$$
,

and

$$
f_{11} \sim x + x^{-4} + x^6,
$$

from which we deduce part (a).

In a completely similar fashion we have

$$
f_1(x) \sim f_1(x^3) \sim x^{3 \cdot 2^m - 51} + x^{2^m + 19} + x^3 \sim x^{-48} + x^{20} + x^3,
$$

and

 $f_2(x) \sim f_2(x^{-48}) \sim x^3 + x^{20} + x^{-48}$ 

which proves part (b). Finally, the remaining parts follow from the following relations:

(c) 
$$
f_4(x) \sim f_6(x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}) \sim f_9(x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}) \sim x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x
$$
,  
(d)  $f_3(x) \sim f_7(x^{3\sigma+4}) \sim f_{10}(x^{-2}) \sim x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x$ .

 $\Box$ 

### 4. The proof of the main result

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Using Theorem [15,](#page-8-1) in order to prove Theorem [1,](#page-0-0) it suffices to prove that the four rational functions  $x^{-4} + x^6 + x$ ,  $x^3 + x^{20} + x^{-48}$ ,  $x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x$  and  $x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$  where  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$  give rise to difference sets with Singer parameters. To do so, in the following four subsections corresponding to the four rational functions, first we prove that the size of the corresponding value-sets are  $2^{m-1}$  and then prove that the corresponding value-sets are difference sets.

4.1.  $x^{-4} + x^6 + x$ .

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Theorem 16.** Let  $f(x) = x^{-4} + x^6 + x$ , and let m be an odd number. Then f induces a  $(0, 1, 4)$ -map over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and furthermore  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$ .

Proof. In order to prove the claim of the theorem, instead of trying to see what elements appear in  $D(f)^*$ , we give an indirect proof by looking at the solutions  $(x, y)$  of the equation  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Observe that if  $(x, y)$  is a solution of the equation  $f(x) = f(y)$ , then it is a point on the curve  $C$  given by

$$
C: y^4(x^{10} + x^5 + 1) + x^4(y^{10} + y^5 + 1) = 0.
$$

It is easy to see that  $C$  is the union of the following three curves:

$$
C_1: x + y = 0, C_2: x^3y^2 + x^2y^3 + 1 = 0,
$$

and

$$
C_3 : x^6y^2 + x^4y^4 + x^3 + x^2y^6 + x^2y + xy^2 + y^3 = 0.
$$

Obviously, all the trivial solutions of the equation  $f(x) = f(y)$ , i.e., the solutions where  $x = y$  correspond to the points on  $C_1$ , and the remaining solutions correspond to the points on  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ . The rest of the proof involves three steps. In the first step, we find the number of affine  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -rational points on  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ . In the second step, we prove that f is  $a(0, 1, 4)$ -map, and finally in the third step, the results of the previous steps are used to obtain the size of the punctured value-set of f.

Now, we proceed to the first step:

(i)  $\#C_2(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ : Let D be the curve given by  $y^2 + y = x^5$ , and let  $\eta$  be the rational map given by

$$
\eta: C_2 \longrightarrow D
$$
  

$$
(x, y) \longrightarrow (xy, x^3y^2).
$$

It is easy to verify that  $\eta$  is a birational map with the inverse map  $\eta^{-1}$  given by

$$
\eta^{-1}: \quad D \quad \longrightarrow C_2
$$

$$
(x, y) \quad \mapsto (\frac{y}{x^2}, \frac{x^3}{y}).
$$

Furthermore, from the definition of  $\eta$  and  $\eta^{-1}$  we see that  $\eta^{-1} \circ \eta$  is the identity map on the affine piece of  $C_2$ , and  $\eta \circ \eta^{-1}$  is the identity map on the affine piece of D except at the points  $(0,0)$  and  $(0,1)$  on which  $\eta \circ \eta^{-1}$  is not defined. Thus, using Lemma [9](#page-5-0) and the fact  $\psi: x \mapsto x^5$  is an bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  when m is an odd number, we have

#C2(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #D(F2<sup>m</sup>) − 2 = 2<sup>m</sup> − 2.

(ii)  $\#C_3(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ : Let  $E_1$  be the curve given by  $x^5(y^3+1)^2+y^3=0$ , and let  $\zeta$  be the rational map given by

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\zeta: \quad C_3 &\longrightarrow E_1 \\
(x, y) &\longrightarrow (x, \frac{x+y}{x}).\n\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that  $\zeta$  is a birational map with the inverse map  $\zeta^{-1}$  given by

$$
\zeta^{-1}: \quad E_1 \quad \longrightarrow C_3
$$

$$
(x, y) \quad \mapsto (x, x(y+1)).
$$

Now, from the definition of  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$ , we see that  $\zeta^{-1} \circ \zeta$  and  $\zeta \circ \zeta^{-1}$  are identity maps on  $C_3 - (0,0)$  and  $E_1 - (0,0)$ , respectively. Thus, considering the fact that the point  $(0, 0)$  lies on both  $C_3$  and  $E_1$ , we get

#C3(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E1(F2<sup>m</sup>).

So, we need to compute  $\#E_1(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ . In order to compute  $\#E_1(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ , we notice that the map  $y \mapsto y^3$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  whenever m is an odd number, and hence we need to compute the number of affine  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -rational points of the curve  $E_2: x^5(y+1)^2 + y = 0$  which is birational to  $E_3: y^2 + y = x^5$  with the birational map taking  $(x, y)$  on  $E_2$  to  $(x, \frac{1}{y+1})$  on  $E_3$ . Again using Lemma [9](#page-5-0) and the fact that the map taking x to  $x^5$  is an isomorphism of odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and arguments similar to the previous ones we get

#C3(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E1(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E2(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E3(F2<sup>m</sup>) − 1 = 2<sup>m</sup> − 1.

Having completed the first step of the proof, we proceed to the second step of the proof. We first notice that if there are l points  $(a, b_1), (a, b_2), \ldots, (a, b_l)$  on  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ , then  $f(a) = f(b_1) = \cdots = f(b_l)$ , and hence  $f(a)$  has  $l + 1$  preimages. Thus, in order to prove that f is a  $(0, 1, 4)$ - map, we need to prove that for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , there are either none or in total three points on  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . To this end, examining the proof of Case (ii) of the previous step, we see that for any  $a$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , the total number of points with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  on  $C_3$  is the same as the total number of points with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  on  $E_3: y^2 + y = x^5$  which is two if  $Tr(a^5) = 0$  and zero, otherwise. So, we need to prove:

#### 12 O. AHMADI AND M. SHAFAEIABR

- (a) for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , there is exactly one point with x-coordinate equal to a on  $C_2$  if and only if there are exactly two points on  $E_3$  with x-coordinates equal to  $a$ , and
- (b) if there are three points with x-coordinate equal to a on  $C_2$ , then there is none on  $E_3$ .

We prove (a) and (b) by letting  $y = xz$  in the equation of the curve  $C_2$ , which results in

$$
E_4: x^5(z^3 + z) + 1 = 0.
$$

To prove (a), notice that there is exactly one point on  $C_2$  with x-coordinate equal to a if and only if there is exactly one point on  $E_4$  with x-coordinate equal to a. But using Lemma [10](#page-5-1) there is exactly one point on  $E_4$  with x-coordinate equal to a if and only if  $Tr(a^{10}) = Tr(a^5) = 0$  which is true if and only if there are exactly two points with xcoordinate equal to  $a$  on  $E_3$ . Case (b) can be proved similarly using Lemma [10.](#page-5-1) This finishes the proof of the second step.

Now, in order to obtain the size of  $D(f)^*$ , we define an equivalence relation between the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . We say two elements a and b are equivalent and write  $a \equiv b$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ if  $f(a) = f(b)$ . Obviously,  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation, and finding the size of the  $D(f)^*$ is equivalent to finding the number of the equivalence classes of  $\equiv$ . In the second step we proved that f is a  $(0, 1, 4)$ -map. Thus every equivalence class of  $\equiv$  has either one or four elements. We see that each equivalence classes of size four like  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  contributes four points to the set of points on  $C_1$ , namely  $(a_1, a_1), (a_2, a_2), (a_3, a_3), (a_4, a_4)$ , and twelve points to the set of points which lie on either  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ . So, if the number of equivalence classes of size one and four are denoted by  $r$  and  $s$ , respectively, then since we have to exclude the point  $(0, 0)$  on  $C_3$  from our count we get that

$$
12s = (\#C_2(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})) + (\#C_3(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}) - 1) = 2^{m+1} - 4,
$$

and hence  $s = \frac{2^{m-1}-1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Finally, since  $r = 2^m - 4s$ , we find that  $r + s$ , the total number of equivalence classes, is

$$
r + s = 2^m - 3s = 2^{m-1} + 1,
$$

and hence excluding the equivalence class corresponding to zero we get  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Theorem 17.** Let  $f(x) = x^{-4} + x^6 + x$ , and let m be an odd number. Then  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(x) = x^6 + x$ . Then by Lemma [7,](#page-7-0)  $D(g)^*$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-2} - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . Thus using Theorem [5](#page-3-1) and the fact that  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$  by the previous theorem, in order to prove that  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  with Singer parameter  $(2^m-1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ , it suffices to prove that  $D(f)^* \cap D(g)^* = \emptyset$ , or equivalently the value-sets of f and g partition  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . In order to prove this claim, we need to show that the equation

$$
x^{-4} + x^6 + x = y^6 + y
$$

has no solution  $(x, y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  or equivalently the following curve has no non-trivial point over odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :

$$
C_1: x^{10} + x^5 + 1 + x^4(y^6 + y) = 0.
$$

But we have

 $x^{10} + x^5 + 1 + x^4(y^6 + y) = (x^5 + x^4y)^2 + (x^5 + x^4y)(x^3y^2 + x^2y^3 + 1) + (x^3y^2 + x^2y^3 + 1)^2.$ 

So we can apply Lemma [3](#page-3-2) to the curve  $C_1$ . Now, it is easy to see that there is no finite double point on  $C_1$  over odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and hence there is no point over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . This finishes the proof.

**Remark 18.** One may wonder that how the curves D and E have been obtained. We have obtained the curve E by blowing up the curve  $C_2$  at the origin.

4.2. 
$$
x^{-48} + x^{20} + x^3
$$
.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Theorem 19.** Let  $f(x) = x^{-48} + x^{20} + x^3$ , and let m be an odd number. Then f induces a  $(0,1,4)$ -map over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and furthermore  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$ .

Proof. Similar to the previous case, the proof involves three steps; point-counting step for the related curves, proving that f induces a  $(0, 1, 4)$ -map, and finally obtaining the size of the punctured value-set. Considering the equation  $f(x) = f(y)$ , we get the curve

$$
C: y^{48}(x^{58} + x^{51} + 1) + x^{48}(y^{58} + y^{51} + 1) = 0
$$

which can be written as the union the following four curves:

$$
C_1: x + y = 0, \ \ C_2: x^{12}y^{12} + x^4y^3 + x^3y^4 + x^7 + y^7 = 0,
$$

$$
C_3: x^{12}y^{12}(x+y)^3 + (x^2+xy+y^2)^5 = 0,
$$

and

 $C_4: (x^{20}y^{12} + y^{20}x^{12} + (x^3 + y^3)^5)^2 + (x^{16}y^{16})^2 + x^{16}y^{16}(x^{20}y^{12} + y^{20}x^{12} + (x^3 + y^3)^5) = 0.$ So, at the first step of the proof we find the number of affine rational points on the curves  $C_2, C_3$  and  $C_4$  as follows:

(i)  $\#C_2(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ : Let D be the curve given by  $(y^2 + y)^6 + (y^2 + y)^5 = x^{17}$ , and let  $\eta$  be the rational map given by

$$
\eta: C_2 \longrightarrow D
$$
  

$$
(x, y) \longrightarrow (x, \frac{x}{y}).
$$

It is easy to verify that  $\eta$  is a birational map with the inverse map  $\eta^{-1}$  given by

$$
\eta^{-1}: \quad D \quad \longrightarrow C_2
$$

$$
(x, y) \quad \mapsto (x, \frac{x}{y}).
$$

Examining the definitions of  $\eta$  and  $\eta^{-1}$ , we see that  $\eta^{-1} \circ \eta$  and  $\eta \circ \eta^{-1}$  are identity maps on  $C_2 - (0,0)$  and  $D - (0,0)$ , respectively. Thus

$$
\#C_2(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}) = \#D(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}).
$$

Now, let E be the curve given by  $y^6 + y^5 = x^{17}$ , and  $\mu : D \longrightarrow E$  be the map given by

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mu: & D & \longrightarrow E \\
(x,y) & \mapsto (x,y^2+y).\n\end{array}
$$

From the definition of  $\mu$ , we see that the point  $(a, b)$  on E has no preimage on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ whenever  $Tr(b) = 1$ , and it has exactly two preimages whenever  $Tr(b) = 0$ . So

$$
\#D(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})=2|\{(a,b)\in E:\text{Tr}(b)=0\}|.
$$

But, by Lemma [7,](#page-7-0) whenever m is an odd number, for half the points  $(a, b)$  on E we have  $Tr(b) = 0$ . Thus

$$
\#D(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}) = \#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}).
$$

Finally, since the map  $x \mapsto x^{17}$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and  $y^6 + y^5$  is a two to one map on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  by Lemma [7](#page-7-0), we have  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})=2^m$ , and hence

#C2(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E(F2<sup>m</sup>) = 2m.

(ii)  $\#C_3(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ : Let  $E_1$  be the curve given by  $x^{17}y^3 + (1+y^3)^5 = 0$ , and let  $\zeta$  be the rational map given by

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\zeta: \quad C_3 &\longrightarrow E_1 \\
(x, y) &\longrightarrow (x, \frac{x+y}{y}).\n\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that  $\zeta$  is a birational map with the inverse map  $\zeta^{-1}$  given by

ζ

$$
\begin{aligned}\n^{-1}: \quad E_1 \quad &\longrightarrow C_3 \\
(x, y) \quad &\mapsto (x, x/(y+1)).\n\end{aligned}
$$

Now, from the definition of  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$ , we see that  $\zeta^{-1} \circ \zeta$  and  $\zeta \circ \zeta^{-1}$  are identity maps on  $C_3 - (0,0)$  and  $E_1 - (0,1)$ , respectively. Thus, considering the fact that the point  $(0, 0)$  lies on  $C_3$  and  $(0, 1)$  lies on  $E_1$ , we get

#C3(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E1(F2<sup>m</sup>).

So, we need to compute  $\#E_1(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ . In order to compute  $\#E_1(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ , we notice that the map  $y \mapsto y^3$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  whenever m is an odd number, and hence we need to compute the number of affine  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -rational points of the curve  $E_2: x^{17}y + (y+1)^5 = 0$  which is birational to  $E_3: y^5 + x^{17}(y+1) = 0$  with the birational map taking  $(x, y)$  on  $E_2$  to  $(x, y + 1)$  on  $E_3$ . But the map  $\phi: x \mapsto x^{17}$ is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  whenever m is an odd number. Thus we can apply Lemma [12](#page-6-0) to count the number of points on  $E_3$ . Using that lemma there are  $2^{m-1}$ values of x for which there is a unique y such that  $(x, y)$  is a point on  $E_3$ , and  $2^{m-1}-1$  $\frac{x^2-1}{3}$  values of x for which there are exactly three values of y such that  $(x, y)$  is a point on  $E_3$ . Hence in total there are  $2^{m-1} + 3 \frac{2^{m-1}-1}{3} = 2^m - 1$  points on the curve  $E_3$ . Using this fact and arguments similar to the previous ones we get

#C3(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E1(F2<sup>m</sup>) = #E2(F2<sup>m</sup>) + 1 = #E3(F2<sup>m</sup>) + 1 = 2m.

(iii)  $\#C_4(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ : Applying Lemma [3,](#page-3-2) we need to check that on  $C_4$  there is no finite double point over odd degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  which is very easy to verify. So,  $\#C_4(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})=0$  whenever m is an odd number. This completes the first step of the proof in this case.

DIFFERENCE SETS AND TRI-WEIGHT LINEAR CODES FROM TRINOMIALS OVER BINARY FIELDS15

Similar to the proof of the previous case (change to subsection), in order to prove that  $f$ is a  $(0, 1, 4)$ - map, we need to prove that for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , there are either none or in total three points on  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . To this end, examining the proof of Case (ii) of the previous step, we see that for any a in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , the total number of points with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  on  $C_2$  is the same as the total number of points with x-coordinate equal to  $a$  and  $y$ -coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  on  $E: y^6 + y^5 = x^{17}$  which is either two or zero by Lemma [7.](#page-7-0) We also see that the total number of points with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  on  $C_3$  is the same as the total number of points with x-coordinate equal to a and y-coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ on  $E_2: x^{17}y + (y+1)^5$ . So, we need to prove:

- (a) for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , there are exactly two point with x-coordinate equal to a on E if and only if there are exactly one points on  $E_2$  with x-coordinates equal to  $a$ , and
- (b) if there are three points with x-coordinate equal to a on  $E_2$ , then there is none on E.

But, both of the above claims follow from Lemma [13.](#page-6-1) Hence,  $f(x)$  induces a  $(0, 1, 4)$ -map over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . This finishes the second step of the proof.

The proof of the third step is completely similar to the proof of the third step of the proof of Theorem [16.](#page-9-1)  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 20.** Let  $f(x) = x^{-48} + x^{20} + x^3$ , and let m be an odd number. Then  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(x) = (x + 1)^{241} + x^{241} + 1$ . Then by Lemma [8,](#page-5-2)  $D(g)^*$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-2} - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . Thus using Theorem [5](#page-3-1) and the fact that  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$  by the previous theorem, in order to prove that  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  with Singer parameter  $(2^m-1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ , it suffices to prove that  $(D(f)^*)^{17} \cap D(g)^* = \emptyset$  or equivalently  $(D(f)^*)^{17}$  and  $D(g)^*$  partition  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . In order to prove this claim, we need to show that the equation

$$
(x^{-48} + x^{20} + x^3)^{17} = (y+1)^{241} + y^{241} + 1
$$

has no solution  $(x, y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  or equivalently as  $\psi: x \mapsto 1/x$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ , the following equation has no solution  $(x, y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ 

$$
(x^{48} + x^{-20} + x^{-3})^{17} = (y+1)^{241} + y^{241} + 1.
$$

$$
(x^{68} + x^{17} + 1)^{17} = x^{340}((y+1)^{241} + y^{241} + 1).
$$

As the map  $x \mapsto x^{17}$  is an isomorphism over odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , if we set  $u = x^{17}$ , then the latter claim is equivalent to the claim that the following curve has no non-trivial point over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :

$$
C: (u4 + u + 1)17 + u20((y + 1)241 + y241 + 1) = 0.
$$

Now, it is easy to see that there is no finite double point on  $C$  over odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , and furthermore, using MAGMA computer algebra system we see that

$$
p(u, y) = (u4 + u + 1)17 + u20((y + 1)241 + y241 + 1)
$$

is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and reducible over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Thus applying Corollary [4,](#page-3-3) there is no finite point on C over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . This completes the proof. 4.3.  $x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$ .

As with the previous cases, in this case we first obtain the size of the corresponding value set and prove that the function  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$  is semi-regular.

<span id="page-15-2"></span>**Theorem 21.** Let m be an odd integer,  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ , and  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$ . Then f is a  $(0,1,4)$ -map on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ ,  $(D(f)^*)^{\sigma+1} = T_1$ , and hence  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$ .

The proof of the above theorem is completely different from the proofs of Theorems [16](#page-9-1) and [19](#page-12-0) in the previous cases. In order to prove the above theorem, we need some preparations. First, we start with some notations specific to this and the next subsections.

**Notation 22.** Let m be an odd integer, and  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ . Then we let:

•  $g(x) = x^{\sigma} + x$ , •  $h(x) = x + x^{-1} + x^{-\sigma} + x^{\sigma-1} + x^{2-\sigma}$ , •  $p(x) = x^{\sigma+1} + x$ , •  $R(x) = x^{\sigma+1} + x^{\sigma-1} + x$ , and •  $Q(x) = \frac{x^{\sigma} + x^2 + 1}{x^{\sigma+1}}$ .

**Remark 23.** Notice that  $p(x)$  is the same  $p(x)$  defined in Theorem [24](#page-15-0) for  $k = \frac{m+1}{2}$  $rac{+1}{2}$ . Also, R and Q are respectively the same as  $R_{k,k'}$  and  $Q_{k,k'}$  introduced in [4](#page-5-3) and [5](#page-6-2) for  $k = \frac{m+1}{2}$ 2 and  $k' = 2$  which are multiplicative inverse of each other modulo m.

Considering the above remark, Theorem [11](#page-6-3) can be rewritten as the follows.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Theorem 24.** Let  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ ,  $p(x) = x^{\sigma+1} + x$ , and for each i,  $P_i$  denote the set of all  $a \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  for which  $|P_p(a)| = i$ . Then the function  $p(x)$  is a  $(0, 1, 3)$ -map and we have: (i)  $|P_0| = \frac{2^m + 1}{3}$  $\frac{a+1}{3}$  and for all  $a \in P_0$  we have  $\text{Tr}(R(a^{-1})) = 1$ . (ii)  $|P_1| = 2^{m-1}$  and  $a \in P_1$  if and only if  $\text{Tr}(R(a^{-1})) = 0$ . (iii)  $|P_3| = \frac{2^{m-1}-1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}$  and for all  $a \in P_3$  we have  $\text{Tr}(R(a^{-1})) = 1$ . where  $R(x) = x^{\sigma+1} + x^{\sigma-1} + x$ .

We have the following lemma about  $q(x)$ .

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Lemma 25.** Let  $g(x)$  be as introduced above, and let  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Then:

- (i)  $\text{Tr}(g(x)) = 0,$
- (ii)  $g(g(x)) = x^2 + x$ ,
- (iii)  $g(x)$  induces a two to one map over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and
- (iv) for  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ,  $|P_q(a)| = 2$  if and only if  $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$  if and only if there is a unique  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0$  and  $P_q(a) = {\alpha, \alpha + 1}.$

*Proof.* To prove (i), we notice that  $Tr(x^{\sigma}) = Tr(x)$  and hence

$$
\text{Tr}(g(x)) = \text{Tr}(x^{\sigma}) + \text{Tr}(x) = 0.
$$

To prove (ii), as  $x^{2^m} = x$ , we have  $(x^{\sigma})^{\sigma} = x^{2^{m+1}} = (x^{2^m})^2 = x^2$  and hence

$$
g(g(x)) = (x^{\sigma} + x)^{\sigma} + (x^{\sigma} + x) = x^2 + x^{\sigma} + x^{\sigma} + x = x^2 + x.
$$

To prove (iii), first we notice that if  $g(\alpha) = g(\beta)$  for some  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , then  $g(g(\alpha)) =$  $g(g(\beta))$  which is using (ii) is equivalent to  $\alpha^2 + \alpha = \beta^2 + \beta$  implying that  $\alpha + \beta = 0$  or 1. Thus each element of the value-set of  $g(x)$  has at most to preimages. As  $g(x) = g(x+1)$ ,

we deduce that indeed  $g(x)$  induces a two to one map over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . To prove (iv), let  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ and  $|P_q(a)| = 2$ . Then  $a = g(\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  which using (i) implies that  $Tr(a) = 0$ . Furthermore, using (i) and (iii), since half the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  have trace equal to zero, the value-set of  $g(x)$  is the set of the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  which are of trace zero. Thus if  $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$ , then  $P_g(a) = {\alpha, \alpha + 1}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . But as m is an odd number, Tr(1) = 1 and one of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha + 1$  is of trace zero and the other one is of trace one. The rest of the proof of (iv) is trivial.

Next, we have the following functional equations.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Lemma 26.** For each nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  we have:

- (i)  $f(x)^{\sigma+1} = g(h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})) + 1$ , (ii)  $R(Q(x)^{-1}) = x$  whenever  $x \neq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $h(x) = g(x^{-1} + x^{\sigma-1}) + x$ , and
- (iv)  $\text{Tr}(h(x)) = \text{Tr}(x)$  for each  $x \neq 0$ .
- *Proof.* (i) Since  $\sigma^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{2^m 1}$ ,  $x^{2^m} = x$  and  $g(g(x)) = x^2 + x$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , we have:

$$
f(x)^{\sigma+1} = f(x)^{\sigma} f(x) = f(x^{\sigma}) f(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= (x^{-1} + x^{\frac{\sigma}{2}-1} + x^{\sigma})(x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= (x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{-1}) + (x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + x^{\sigma+1}) + (x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}-1}) + 1
$$
  
\n
$$
= (x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (x^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2) + (x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + (x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})^2) + (x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + (x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})^{\sigma}) + 1
$$
  
\n
$$
= g(x^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + g(x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}) + g(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}) + 1
$$
  
\n
$$
= g(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (x^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\sigma}) + g(x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + (x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})^{\sigma}) + g(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}) + 1.
$$

But  $q(x)$  is a linearized polynomial. Thus

$$
f(x)^{\sigma+1} = g((x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (x^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\sigma}) + (x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + (x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})^{\sigma}) + x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}) + 1
$$
  
=  $g(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}} + x^{\frac{\sigma}{2}+1} + x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}) + 1$   
=  $g(h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})) + 1$ .

- (ii) This part is a special case of Theorem 8 of [\[4\]](#page-26-2).
- (iii) For each  $x \neq 0$ , using the fact that  $\sigma^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{2^m 1}$ , we have:

$$
h(x) = (x^{-\sigma} + x^{-1}) + (x^{2-\sigma} + x^{\sigma-1}) + x
$$
  
=  $(x^{-\sigma} + x^{-1}) + (x^{\sigma(\sigma-1)} + x^{\sigma-1}) + x$   
=  $g(x^{-1} + x^{\sigma-1}) + x$ .

(iv) By Part (iii), we have:

$$
h(x) = g(x^{-1} + x^{\sigma - 1}) + x,
$$

 $\Box$ 

and therefore by Part (i) of Lemma [25,](#page-15-1)

(8) 
$$
\text{Tr}(h(x)) = \text{Tr}(g(x^{-1} + x^{\sigma - 1}) + x) = \text{Tr}(g(x^{-1} + x^{\sigma - 1})) + \text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}(x).
$$

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Lemma 27.** Let  $m \geq 3$ , and  $a \neq 0$  be an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$ . Furthermore, for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  different from 0 and 1 let  $k_0(x) = g(x)^{-1} + g(x)^{\sigma-1} + x$ . Then

$$
|P_h(a)| = |P_{k_0}(b)|
$$

where  $a = q(b)$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $k_0(x)$  is well-defined as  $g(x) \neq 0$  for each  $x \neq 0, 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and the existence of b is guaranteed by Part (iv) of Lemma [25.](#page-15-1) First, we prove the claim when both of the sets  $P_h(a)$  and  $P_{k_0}(b)$  are non-empty. In this case, the claim would follow if we prove that  $l_0 = g|_{P_{k_0}(b)}$  is a bijection between the two sets  $P_h(a)$  and  $P_{k_0}(b)$ . In order to see that  $l_0$  is well-defined, we notice that by Part (iii) of Lemma [26](#page-16-0) and the fact that  $g(x)$  is a linearized polynomial, we have

(9) 
$$
g(k_0(x)) = g(g(x)^{-1} + g(x)^{\sigma - 1}) + g(x) = h(g(x)).
$$

Thus, if  $x_0 \in P_{k_0}(b)$ , then

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
h(g(x_0)) = g(k_0(x_0)) = g(b) = a,
$$

and therefore  $g(x_0) \in P_h(a)$ . In order to prove that  $l_0$  is an injection, let  $l_0(u) = l_0(v)$ and hence  $g(u) = g(v)$  for  $u, v \in P_{k_0}(b)$ . Then by the definition of  $k_0$  and the fact that  $k_0(u) = k_0(v) = b$  we get:

$$
u = k_0(u) + g(u)^{-1} + g(u)^{\sigma - 1} = b + g(u)^{-1} + g(u)^{\sigma - 1} = k_0(v) + g(v)^{-1} + g(v)^{\sigma - 1} = v.
$$

So  $l_0$  is injective.

Next, we prove that  $l_0$  is surjective. Let  $x_0$  be an element of  $P_h(a)$ . Then, by Part (iv) of Lemma [26,](#page-16-0)

$$
Tr(x_0) = Tr(h(x_0)) = Tr(a) = 0,
$$

and so by Part (iv) of Lemma [25,](#page-15-1) there is some  $y_0$  such that  $x_0 = g(y_0) = g(y_0 + 1)$ . It suffices to prove that either  $y_0$  or  $y_0 + 1$  is in  $P_{k_0}(b)$ .

For  $t \in \{y_0, y_0 + 1\}$ , using [\(9\)](#page-17-0), we have:

$$
g(k_0(t)) = h(g(t)) = h(x_0) = a = g(b),
$$

and hence by Lemma [25,](#page-15-1) we must have  $k_0(t) = b$  or  $b + 1$ . As  $g(t + 1) = (t + 1)^{\sigma} + (t + 1) =$  $(t)^{\sigma}+1+(t)+1=g(t)$ , it follows that  $k_0(t+1)=k_0(t)+1$ , and therefore either  $y_0$  or  $y_0 + 1$  is in  $P_{k_0}(b)$ . This finishes the proof when both  $P_h(a)$  and  $P_{k_0}(b)$  are non-empty. If both  $P_h(a)$  and  $P_{k_0}(b)$  are empty, there is nothing to prove. Now, suppose  $P_h(a)$  is empty and  $P_{k_0}(b)$  is not. Then for  $x_0 \in P_{k_0}(b)$ , as above, we have

$$
h(g(x_0)) = g(k(x_0)) = g(b) = a,
$$

and therefore  $g(x_0) \in P_h(a)$  which is a contradiction. If  $P_h(a)$  is not empty and  $P_{k_0}(b)$  is, then our proof of surjectivity of  $l_0$  above shows that indeed  $P_{k_0}(b)$  is not empty which is contradiction again.

<span id="page-17-2"></span>**Lemma 28.** Let  $m \geq 3$ , and  $a \neq 0$  be an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$ . Furthermore, for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  different from 0 and 1 let  $k_0(x) = g(x)^{-1} + g(x)^{\sigma-1} + x$ . Then

$$
|P_{k_0}(b)| = |P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))|
$$

where  $a = q(b)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \in P_{k_0}(b)$  if and only if

$$
g(x)^{-1} + g(x)^{\sigma - 1} + x = b,
$$

multiplying both sides of the equation by  $g(x) = x + x^{\sigma}$ , we deduce that  $x \in P_{k_0}(b)$  if and only if

$$
1 + (x + x^{\sigma})^{\sigma} + x(x + x^{\sigma}) = b(x + x^{\sigma})
$$

or equivalently

$$
x^{\sigma+1} + (b+1)x^{\sigma} + bx + 1 = 0.
$$

Thus, if we let

$$
A_b^0 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} | x^{\sigma+1} + (b+1)x^{\sigma} + bx + 1 = 0 \right\},\
$$

then

$$
|P_{k_0}(b)| = |A_b^0|.
$$

Now, let  $\psi$  be the map

$$
\psi: A_b^0 \longrightarrow P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))
$$

$$
x \longrightarrow \frac{x + b + 1}{b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b + 1}.
$$

To see that  $\psi$  is a well-defined map, we notice that  $b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b + 1 \neq 0$  since  $\text{Tr}(b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b + 1) = 1$ , and furthermore if  $x \in A_b^0$  and we let

$$
y = \frac{x + b + 1}{b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b + 1},
$$

then by a straightforward calculation

$$
p(y) = y^{\sigma+1} + y = \frac{b^2 + b + 1}{(b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b + 1)^{\sigma+1}} = Q(b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b + 1) = Q(g(b)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1) = Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1).
$$

Obviously,  $\psi$  is a bijection. Thus  $|P_{k_0}(b)| = |P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))|$ .

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Lemma 29.** Let  $m \geq 3$ , and a be an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$ . Furthermore, for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  different from 0 and 1 let  $g_1(x) = g(x) + 1$  and  $k_1(x) = (g_1(x))^{-1} +$  $(g_1(x))^{\sigma-1} + x$ . Then  $|P_h(1)| = 1$ , and for  $a \neq 1$  we have

$$
|P_h(a)| = |P_{k_1}(b)|
$$

where  $a = g_1(b)$ , that is,  $a + 1 = g(b)$ .

*Proof.* Notice that since  $Tr(g(x)) = 0$  and  $Tr(1) = 1$  over the odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $g(x) \neq 1$  for each x in  $\mathbb{F}_{2m}$  and hence  $k_1(x)$  is well-defined. Furthermore, the existence of b is guaranteed by Part (iv) of Lemma [25](#page-15-1) and the fact that  $Tr(a + 1) = 0$ . The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma [27.](#page-17-1) In this case, the claim would follow if we prove that  $l_1 = g_1|_{P_{k_1}(b)}$  is a bijection between  $P_h(a)$  and  $P_{k_1}(b)$ . In order to see that  $l_1$  is well-defined,

we notice that by the fact that  $g(x)$  is a linearized polynomial and Part (iii) of Lemma [26,](#page-16-0) we have

(10)  
\n
$$
g_1(k_1(x)) = g(k_1(x)) + 1
$$
\n
$$
= g(g_1(x)^{-1} + g_1(x)^{\sigma-1} + x) + 1
$$
\n
$$
= g(g_1(x)^{-1} + g_1(x)^{\sigma-1}) + g(x) + 1
$$
\n
$$
= g(g_1(x)^{-1} + g_1(x)^{\sigma-1}) + g_1(x)
$$
\n
$$
= h(g_1(x)),
$$

which implies that if  $x_0 \in P_{k_1}(b)$ , then

$$
h(g_1(x_0)) = g_1(k_1(x_0)) = g_1(b) = a.
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma [27.](#page-17-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Lemma 30.** Let  $m \geq 3$ , and a be an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$ . Furthermore, for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  different from 0 and 1 let  $g_1(x) = g(x) + 1$  and  $k_1(x) = (g_1(x))^{-1} +$  $(g_1(x))^{\sigma-1}+x$ . Then:

(i) 
$$
|P_h(1)| = 1
$$
, and

(ii) if 
$$
a \neq 1
$$
, then  $|P_{k_1}(b)| = |P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))|$  where  $a = g_1(b)$ , that is,  $a + 1 = g(b)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \in P_{k_1}(b)$  if and only if

$$
(g(x) + 1)^{-1} + (g(x) + 1)^{\sigma - 1} + x = b,
$$

multiplying both sides of the equation by  $g(x) + 1 = x + x^{\sigma} + 1$ , we deduce that  $x \in P_{k_1}(b)$ if and only if

$$
1 + (x + x^{\sigma} + 1)^{\sigma} + x(x + x^{\sigma} + 1) = b(x + x^{\sigma} + 1)
$$

or equivalently

$$
x^{\sigma+1} + (b+1)x^{\sigma} + (b+1)x + b = 0.
$$

Thus, if we let

$$
A_b^1 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} | x^{\sigma+1} + (b+1)x^{\sigma} + (b+1)x + b = 0 \right\},\
$$

then

$$
|P_{k_1}(b)| = |A_b^1|.
$$

Now, in order to prove (i), notice that when  $a = 1$ , then  $b = 0$  or 1, and thus using the previous lemma  $|P_h(1)| = |P_{k_1}(1)| = |A_1^1|$ . As  $\gcd(\sigma+1, 2^m-1) = 1$ ,  $A_1^1 = \{1\}$  and hence,  $|P_h(1)| = 1.$ 

In order to prove (ii), let  $\psi$  be the map

$$
\psi: A_b^1 \longrightarrow P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))
$$

$$
x \longrightarrow \frac{x + b + 1}{b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b}.
$$

The map  $\psi$  is a well-defined map since if  $x \in A_b^1$  and we let

$$
y = \frac{x+b+1}{b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b},
$$

then by a straightforward calculation

$$
p(y) = y^{\sigma+1} + y = \frac{b^2 + b + 1}{(b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b)^{\sigma+1}} = Q(b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b) = Q(g(b)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}) = Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1),
$$

and furthermore  $b^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + b \neq 0$  whenever  $b \neq 0, 1$  which is the case when  $a \neq 1$ . Obviously,  $\psi$  is a bijection. Thus  $|P_{k_1}(b)| = |P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))|$ . is a bijection. Thus  $|P_{k_1}(b)| = |P_p(Q(a))|$ 

The next theorem which is immediate from Lemmas [27,](#page-17-1) [28,](#page-17-2) [29](#page-18-0) and [30](#page-19-0) relates the number of preimages of a and  $Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+1)$  under two different maps.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Theorem 31.** Let  $a \neq 0$  be an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  where  $m \geq 3$ . Then:

- (i)  $|P_h(1)| = 1$ , and
- (ii)  $|P_h(a)| = |P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))|$  when  $a \neq 1$ .

The next theorem shows that the function  $h(x)$  is a  $(0, 1, 3)$ -map over odd-degree extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Theorem 32.** The function  $h(x)$  is a  $(0,1,3)$ -map. Furthermore, if we denote by  $H_i$  the set of all a in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$  for which  $|P_h(a)| = i$ , then

(i)  $|H_0| = \frac{2^m + 1}{3}$ , (ii)  $|H_1| = 2^{m-1}$ , (iii)  $|H_3| = \frac{2^{m-1}-1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ , and (iv)  $H_1 = T_1$ .

Proof. For each non-negative integer i, let

$$
A_i = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \setminus \{1\} | |P_p(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1))| = i\}, B_i = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* | |P_p(Q(a))| = i\},\
$$

and as in Theorem [24,](#page-15-0) let

$$
P_i = \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* | \quad |P_p(a)| = i \}.
$$

If  $i \neq 1$ , using Theorem [31,](#page-20-0) we have  $|H_i| = |A_i|$ . Furthermore, since  $\psi : a \mapsto a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1$  is a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^* \setminus \{1\}$ , we have  $|A_i| = |B_i|$  for  $i \neq 1$ . But as  $R(Q(x)^{-1}) = x$  by Lemma [26,](#page-16-0)  $Q(x)$  induces a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ , and hence  $|B_i| = |P_i|$  for  $i \neq 1$ . Thus  $|A_i| = |P_i|$  for  $i \neq 1$ from which, using Theorems [24](#page-15-0) and [31,](#page-20-0) the fact that  $h(x)$  is a  $(0, 1, 3)$ -map and Parts (i) and (iii) follow.

If  $i = 1$ , then using Theorem [31](#page-20-0) we see that an element  $a \neq 1$  is in  $H_1$  if and only if  $Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+1) \in P_1$ . But by Part (ii) of Theorem [24](#page-15-0) and Part (ii) of Lemma [26,](#page-16-0)  $Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+1) \in P_1$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(R(Q(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1)^{-1})) = \operatorname{Tr}(a^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 1) = 0
$$

which is equivalent to  $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$ . Thus, since  $|P_h(1)| = 1$  and  $\text{Tr}(1) = 1$ , Parts (ii) and (iv) follow.  $\Box$ 

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem [21.](#page-15-2)

*Proof.* As 
$$
f(x)^{\sigma+1} = g(h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})) + 1
$$
 by Part (i) of Lemma 26, we have:  

$$
P_f(a) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} | g(h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})) + 1 = a^{\sigma+1} \right\}.
$$

In order to prove that f is a  $(0, 1, 4)$ -map, it suffices to prove that:

- (i) if  $\text{Tr}(a^{\sigma+1}) = 0$ , then the set  $P_f(a)$  is empty, and
- (ii) if  $\text{Tr}(a^{\sigma+1}) = 1$ , then the set  $|P_f(a)| = 1$  or 4.

To see (i), we notice that by Part (i) of Lemma [25](#page-15-1) we have  $\text{Tr}(g(h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}))+1)=1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  which implies that  $P_f(a)$  is empty whenever  $\text{Tr}(a^{\sigma+1}) = 0$ .

To prove (ii), let  $\text{Tr}(a^{\sigma+1}) = 1$ . Then  $\text{Tr}(a^{\sigma+1} + 1) = 0$ , and so by Part (iv) of Lemma [25,](#page-15-1) there is a unique  $\alpha$  with  $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$  for which  $P_g(a^{\sigma+1} + 1) = {\alpha, \alpha + 1}.$  Therefore,  $x \in P_f(a)$  if and only if  $h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}) = \alpha$  or  $\alpha+1$ , and hence, using the fact that  $\psi: x \mapsto x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}$ is a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ , we have

$$
|P_f(a)| = |P_h(\alpha)| + |P_h(\alpha + 1)|.
$$

The claim follows since by Theorem [32,](#page-20-1) we have  $|P_h(\alpha)| = 1$  and  $|P_h(\alpha + 1)| = 0$  or 3.

Finally, since  $gcd(\sigma+1, 2^m-1)=1$ , and hence the map  $\psi: x \mapsto x^{\sigma+1}$  is a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , the facts that  $|D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$  and  $(D(f)^*)^{\sigma+1} = T_1$  follow from (ii).



Here is the main result of this subsection.

<span id="page-21-1"></span>**Theorem 33.** Let  $m \geq 5$  be an odd integer. If  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$ , then  $D(f)^*$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem [21,](#page-15-2)  $(D(f)^*)^{\sigma+1} = T_1$ . Furthermore, by Corollary [6,](#page-3-4)  $T_1$  is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . Therefore, by Theorem [5,](#page-3-1)  $D(f)^*$ is a difference set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ ) .  $\Box$ 

4.4.  $x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x$ .

Like the previous subsection, we start with some notations. For the sake of convenience, we repeat some notations from the previous subsection.

Notation 34. For each nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , let:

•  $f_s(x) = x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x$  and •  $h_s(x) = x^{\sigma+1} + x^{\sigma} + x^{\sigma-1} + x^{\sigma-2} + x^{-\sigma+1}.$ •  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} + x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} + x$ •  $g(x) = x^{\sigma} + x$ •  $h(x) = x + x^{-1} + x^{-\sigma} + x^{\sigma-1} + x^{2-\sigma}$ •  $R(x) = x^{\sigma+1} + x^{\sigma-1} + x$ 

where  $\sigma = 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$  as before.

We have the following functional equations.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**Lemma 35.** For each nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  we have:

(i)  $f_s(x)^3 = g(h_s(x^{3\sigma+3})) + 1.$ (ii)  $h_s(x) = h(R(x))$ 

Proof. We have

$$
f_s(x)^3 = f_s(x)^2 f_s(x) = f_s(x^2) f_s(x)
$$
  
=  $(x^{6\sigma+8} + x^{-4} + x^2)(x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x)$   
=  $(x^{9\sigma+12} + x^{6\sigma+9}) + (x^{6\sigma+6} + x^{3\sigma+6}) + (x^{3\sigma} + x^3) + (x^{-6} + x^{-3}) + 1$   
=  $((x^{6\sigma+9})^{\sigma} + x^{6\sigma+9}) + ((x^{3\sigma+6})^{\sigma} + x^{3\sigma+6}) + ((x^3)^{\sigma} + x^3) + ((x^{-3})^2 + x^{-3}) + 1$   
=  $g(x^{6\sigma+9}) + g(x^{3\sigma+6}) + g(x^3) + ((x^{-3})^2 + x^{-3}) + 1$ 

But  $g(g(x)) = x^2 + x$ . So,

$$
(x^{-3})^2 + x^{-3} = g(x^{-3} + x^{-3\sigma}),
$$

and hence

$$
f_s(x)^3 = g(x^{6\sigma+9}) + g(x^{3\sigma+6}) + g(x^3) + g(x^{-3} + (x^{-3})^{\sigma}) + 1.
$$

Since  $g(x)$  is a linear function, we have

$$
f_s(x)^3 = g(x^{6\sigma+9} + x^{3\sigma+6} + x^3 + (x^{-3} + (x^{-3})^{\sigma})) + 1
$$
  
=  $g(x^{6\sigma+9} + x^{3\sigma+6} + x^3 + x^{-3} + x^{-3\sigma}) + 1$   
=  $g(h_s(x^{3\sigma+3})) + 1$ .

This proves (i).

To verify (ii), it suffices to multiply both sides of the equation by  $R(x)^{\sigma+1}$  and then expand them.  $\square$ 

Now, we can prove the main theorem of this subsection.

**Theorem 36.** Let m be an odd integer and  $f_s(x) = x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x$ . Then  $D(f_s)^*$  is a differece set with Singer parameters  $(2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma [26,](#page-16-0)  $R(Q(x)^{-1}) = x$  whenever  $x \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $R(x)$  is a permuatation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Using this fact, from Part (ii) of Lemma [35,](#page-21-0) it follows that

$$
D(h)^* = D(h_s)^*.
$$

From this fact, the fact that

$$
f(x)^{\sigma+1} = g(h(x^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}})) + 1
$$

by Part (i) of the Lemma [26,](#page-16-0) and the fact that

$$
f_s(x)^3 = g(h_s(x^{3\sigma+3})) + 1
$$

by Part (ii) of Lemma [35,](#page-21-0) we deduce that

$$
(D(f)^*)^{\sigma+1} = (D(f_s)^*)^3.
$$

Using Theorem [21,](#page-15-2) this implies that

$$
(D(f_s)^*)^3 = T_1.
$$

Therefore by Theorem [5,](#page-3-1)  $D(f_s)^*$  is a differece set with Singer parameters  $(2^m-1, 2^{m-1}, 2^{m-2})$ in  $\mathbb{F}_2^*$  $x_2^*$ 

#### <span id="page-23-1"></span>24 O. AHMADI AND M. SHAFAEIABR

# 5. Tri-weight codes from trinomials

A binary linear code C of length n and dimension k is a k-dimensional subspace of the *n*-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Any vector c in C is called a codeword, and its (Hamming) weight denoted by  $wt(c)$  is the number of nonzero components of it. The Hamming distance between the two codewords  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  is  $wt(c_1 + c_2)$ . The minimum Hamming distance of a binary linear code  $\mathcal C$  is the minimum of the weights of the nonzero codewords of  $\mathcal C$ . A binary linear code C of length n, dimension k, and minimum Hamming distance d is called an  $[n, k, d]$ -code.

The dual code  $C^{\perp}$  of a binary linear  $[n, k, d]$ -code C is an  $n - k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  given by

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \{ u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | u \cdot c = 0 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C} \}
$$

where  $u \cdot c$  denotes the inner product between the two vectors  $u$  and  $c$ .

The weight enumerator polynomial of a linear code is used to encode the information about the Hamming weights of the codewords of it. If C is a binary linear  $[n, k, d]$ -code, and for each  $i, A_i$  is the number of codewords of it of weight  $i$ , then the weight enumerator polynomial of  $\mathcal C$  is

$$
W_{\mathcal{C}}(z) = 1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \dots + A_n z^n.
$$

In some applications including the construction of secret sharing schemes, association schemes and authentication codes, it is desirable to have codes with very sparse weightenumerator polynomial, and hence there is an interest in the construction of these codes.

In one of the methods available in the literature, for the construction of binary linear codes with sparse weight enumerator polynomial, one associates a linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of length *n* over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  to a properly chosen subset  $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  by

<span id="page-23-3"></span>(11) 
$$
\mathcal{C}_D = \{ (Tr(xd_1), Tr(xd_2), \dots, Tr(xd_n) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \}.
$$

For example, in [\[5,](#page-26-0) [7–](#page-27-6)[9\]](#page-27-7), Cun Sheng Ding successfully applied this method and obtained linear codes with very sparse weight enumerator polynomial and also made some conjectures. One of the conjectures Cun Sheng Ding made in [\[5\]](#page-26-0) is the following conjecture whose partial resolution is the subject of this sectoin.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Conjecture 37.** [\[5\]](#page-26-0) Let  $m \geq 5$  be an odd integer. Then for every f given in Theorem [1,](#page-0-0) the binary linear code  $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)^*}$  is a  $[2^{m-1}, m, 2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -code with weight enumerator polynomial

$$
1 + (2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}} + (2^{m-1} - 1)z^{2^{m-2}} + (2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2}}.
$$
  
Furthermore, The dual code of  $C_{D(f)^*}$  is a  $[2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1} - m, 3]$ -code.

We need some preliminaries which are the subjects of the next subsection.

# 5.1. Weight distribution of codes and weight enumerator polynomials.

5.1.1. Pless power moment identities. The identities in the following theorem between the weight distributions of a code and its dual are called Pless power moment identities which can be derived from Mac-Williams identities [\[17\]](#page-27-8).

<span id="page-23-2"></span>**Theorem 38.** [\[21\]](#page-27-9) Let C be a binary linear code of length n and dimension k. Furthermore, let  $A_i$  and  $A_i^{\perp}$  be the number of code-words of weight i in C and  $C^{\perp}$ , respectively. Then

(i) 
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i = 2^k
$$

,

DIFFERENCE SETS AND TRI-WEIGHT LINEAR CODES FROM TRINOMIALS OVER BINARY FIELDS25

(ii) 
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} i A_i = 2^{k-1} (n - A_1^{\perp}),
$$

(iii)  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2 A_i = 2^{k-2} (n(n+1) - 2nA_1^{\perp} + 2A_2^{\perp}),$  and

(iv) 
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^3 A_i = 2^{k-3} (n^2(n+3) - (3n^2 + 3n - 2)A_1^{\perp} + 6nA_2^{\perp} - 6A_3^{\perp}).
$$

Applying the above theorem to some tri-weight linear codes we have the following theorem.

<span id="page-24-1"></span>**Theorem 39.** Let  $m \geq 5$  be an odd integer, and C be a binary linear  $[2^{m-1}, m, 2^{m-2} 2^{(m-3)/2}$ ]- code with weight enumerator polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}1+(2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}}+(2^{m-1}-1)z^{2^{m-2}}+(2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2}}.\\ \end{aligned}
$$
  
Then  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  is a  $[2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}-m, 3]$ -code.

*Proof.* Let  $A_i$  and  $A_i^{\perp}$  be the number of codewords of weight i in C and  $C^{\perp}$ , respectively. By Parts (ii) and (iii) of [38,](#page-23-2)  $A_1^{\perp}$  and  $A_2^{\perp}$  are zero and by Part (iv) we have  $A_3^{\perp} = \frac{2^{2m-4}-2^{m-3}}{3} >$ 0. So, the minimum Hamming distance of  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  is 3.

5.2. Weight distribution of codes constructed from Boolean functions and Walsh transform. In this subsection, we gather and prove some results which relate the weight distribution of codes constructed from Boolean functions to their Walsh transform. First, we need some definitions.

**Definition 40.** Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . The support  $S_f$  of f is the set of all the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  which are mapped to 1, that is,

$$
S_f = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} : f(x) = 1 \}.
$$

**Definition 41.** Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . The Walsh transform of f is the  $function \hat{f}: \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \longmapsto \mathbb{Z}$  where for each  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ,  $\hat{f}(w)$  is given by

$$
\hat{f}(w) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{f(x) + \text{Tr}(wx)}
$$
.

The following theorem relates the Walsh transform of a function to the weight distribution of a code constructed from its support as in [\(11\)](#page-23-3).

<span id="page-24-0"></span>**Theorem 42.** [\[7\]](#page-27-6) Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Furthermore, let  $n_f$  denote the size of the support of f, that is,  $n_f = |S_f|$ . If  $2n_f + \hat{f}(w) \neq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_{S_f}$  is a binary linear code with length  $n_f$  and dimension m, and its weight distribution is given by the following multiset:

$$
\left\{ \left\{ \frac{2n_f + \hat{f}(w)}{4} : w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\} \right\} \cup \{0\}.
$$

The following lemma relates the weight enumerators of codes constructed from a set and its complement.

<span id="page-24-2"></span>**Lemma 43.** Let A be a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  with  $|A| = 2^{m-1}$  and  $0 \in A$  and let  $B = \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus A$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}_{A\setminus\{0\}}$  is a linear  $[2^{m-1}-1,m,2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -code with weight enumerator  $1 + (2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}} + (2^{m-1}-1)z^{2^{m-2}} + (2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2}}.$ Then  $\mathcal{C}_B$  is a linear  $[2^{m-1}, m, 2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -code with weight enumerator  $1 + (2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}} + (2^{m-1} - 1)z^{2^{m-2}} + (2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2}}.$  *Proof.* For each  $Y \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , let  $\chi_Y : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  be the characteristic function of Y, that is,  $\chi_Y(y) = 1$  if and only if  $y \in Y$ . Then it is easy to check that for each  $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ , we have  $\widehat{\chi}_A(w) = -\widehat{\chi}_B(w)$ . The rest of the proof is immediate from Theorem [42.](#page-24-0)

5.2.1. Some known binary linear codes with sparse weight enumerator. The following The-orem is a special case of Theorem 7 of [\[7\]](#page-27-6) for  $\rho = 6$ .

### <span id="page-25-0"></span>Theorem 44. Let

$$
D_6 = \{x^6 + x : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \backslash \{0\}.
$$

Then  $C_{D_6}$  is a linear  $[2^{m-1}-1, m, 2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -code with weight enumerator  $1 + (2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}} + (2^{m-1}-1)z^{2^{m-2}} + (2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2}}.$ 

For each positive integer number  $n$ , let

$$
T_n = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} | \operatorname{Tr}(x^n) = 1 \}.
$$

The following Theorem is a special case of Corollary 7 of [\[5\]](#page-26-0).

<span id="page-25-1"></span>**Theorem 45.** Let m be an odd integer, and k be a positive integer with  $gcd(k, m) = 1$ . Then  $T_{2^k+1}$  is a linear  $[2^{m-1}-1, m, 2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -code with weight enumerator

$$
1 + (2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}} + (2^{m-1} - 1)z^{2^{m-2}} + (2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2}}.
$$

5.3. Partial resolution of Conjecture [37.](#page-23-0) Here is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 46.** Let  $m \geq 5$  be an odd integer. Then for every f appearing below, the binary linear code  $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)^*}$  is a  $[2^{m-1}, m, 2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -code with weight enumerator polynomial  $1 + (2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}} + (2^{m-1} - 1)z^{2^{m-2}} + (2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2})z^{2^{m-2} + 2^{(m-3)/2}}.$ Furthermore, The dual code of  $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)^*}$  is a  $[2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1} - m, 3]$ -code.

(c) 
$$
f_3(x) = x^{2^m-3} + x^{2^{(m+3)/2}+2^{(m+1)/2}+4} + x
$$
.  
\n(d)  $f_4(x) = x^{2^m-2^{(m-1)/2}-1} + x^{2^{(m-1)}-2^{(m-1)/2}} + x$ .  
\n(e)  $f_5(x) = x^{2^m-2-(2^{m-1}-2^2)/3} + x^{2^m-2^2-(2^m-2^3)/3} + x$ .  
\n(f)  $f_6(x) = x^{2^m-2^{(m+1)/2}+2^{(m-1)/2}} + x^{2^m-2^{(m+1)/2}-1} + x$ .  
\n(g)  $f_7(x) = x^{2^m-3(2^{(m+1)/2}-1)} + x^{2^{(m+1)/2}+2^{(m-1)/2}-2} + x$ .  
\n(h)  $f_8(x) = x^{2^m-2^{m-2}-1} + x^{2^{m-1}-2} + x$ .  
\n(i)  $f_9(x) = x^{2^m-2^{(m+3)/2}-3} + x^{2^{(m+1)/2}+2} + x$ .  
\n(j)  $f_{10}(x) = x^{2^m-3(2^{(m-1)/2}+1)} + x^{2^{m-1}-1} + x$ .  
\n(k)  $f_{11}(x) = x^{2^m-5} + x^6 + x$ .

Proof. Using Theorem [39,](#page-24-1) the claims of the theorem for dual codes would follow if we prove the claims of the theorem for the codes  $\mathcal{C}_{D(f_3)^*}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{D(f_{11})^*}$ . Thus, to prove the claims of the theorem for the the codes  $\mathcal{C}_{D(f_3)^*}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{D(f_{11})^*}$ . Like Section 4, using Theorem [15,](#page-8-1) to prove the theorem, it suffices to prove that the three rational functions  $x^{-4} + x^6 +$  $x, x^{3\sigma+4}+x^{-2}+x$  and  $x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}+x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}+x$  where  $\sigma=2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ , give rise to binary linear  $[2^{m-1}, m, 2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}]$ -codes.

Now, let  $f(x) = x^{-4} + x^6 + x$ . If we let  $A = D_6 \cup \{0\}$  where

$$
D_6 = \{x^6 + x : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \backslash \{0\}
$$

as in Theorem [44,](#page-25-0) then it follows from the proof of Theorem [17](#page-11-0) that  $D(f)^*$  is the complement of A in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ . Since  $|A| = |D(f)^*| = 2^{m-1}$ , the claim follows using Lemma [43](#page-24-2) and The-orem [44.](#page-25-0) So, the proof in this case is complete. Finally, since  $D(x^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}+x^{-\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}+x)^* = T_{\sigma+1}$ and  $D(x^{3\sigma+4} + x^{-2} + x)^* = T_3$  from the proofs of Theorems [21](#page-15-2) and [33,](#page-21-1) respectively, the proofs of the remaining cases follow by applying Theorem [45](#page-25-1) for  $k = \frac{m+1}{2}$  $\frac{+1}{2}$  and  $k = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

# 6. Concluding Remarks

<span id="page-26-1"></span>While trying to prove Theorem [1,](#page-0-0) we had some observations. One observation that is worth mentioning is that if a polynomial  $f(x)$  appears in the theorem, then  $f(x)/x + 1$  is a two to one map whose value-set is a difference set with Singer parameters. To be more specific, the polynomials  $f_i(x)/x + 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., 11$  are value-set equivalent to the following polynomials, respectively.

(a)  $x^{-3} + x$ . (b)  $x^4 + x^3$ . (c)  $x^{-\sigma-1} + x$ . (d)  $x^{-3\sigma-4} + x$ . (e)  $x^2 + x$ . (f)  $x^{\sigma+2} + x$ . (g)  $x^{\sigma}+x$ . (h)  $x^2 + x$ . (i)  $x^{-\sigma-1} + x$ . (j)  $x^{\sigma+2} + x$ . (k)  $x + x^{-1}$ .

We could not use the above observation towards a proof of Theorem [1.](#page-0-0) It would be nice if the observation is used to prove Theorem [1](#page-0-0) or it is used to obtain trinomials other than the ones appearing in the theorem whose value-sets are difference sets.

Finally, it should be mentioned that in order to prove the claim of Conjecture [37](#page-23-0) for the polynomial  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  appearing in Theorem [1,](#page-0-0) it suffices to prove Conjecture 34 of [\[5\]](#page-26-0).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

During the preparation of this paper we benefited from the free service provided by MAGMA computer algebra system.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Ian Anderson, Combinatorial designs and tournaments, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 6, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997. MR1631007
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[2] E. R. Berlekamp, An analog to the discriminant over fields of characteristic two, J. Algebra 38 (1976), no. 2, 315–317, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(76)90222-2. MR404197
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[3] Antonia W. Bluher, On  $x^{q+1} + ax + b$ , Finite Fields Appl. 10 (2004), no. 3, 285-305, DOI 10.1016/j.ffa.2003.08.004. MR2067599
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[4] J. F. Dillon and Hans Dobbertin, New cyclic difference sets with Singer parameters, Finite Fields Appl. 10 (2004), no. 3, 342–389, DOI 10.1016/j.ffa.2003.09.003. MR2067603
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>[5] Cunsheng Ding, A construction of binary linear codes from Boolean functions, Discrete Math. 339 (2016), no. 9, 2288–2303, DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2016.03.029. MR3512343

#### 28 O. AHMADI AND M. SHAFAEIABR

- [6] , Codes from difference sets, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2015. MR3289983
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[7] , Linear codes from some 2-designs, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 61 (2015), no. 6, 3265–3275, DOI 10.1109/TIT.2015.2420118. MR3352515
- [8] Cunsheng Ding, Jinquan Luo, and Harald Niederreiter, Two-weight codes punctured from irreducible cyclic codes, Coding and cryptology, Ser. Coding Theory Cryptol., vol. 4, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008, pp. 119–124, DOI 10.1142/97898128322450009. MR2482328
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[9] Cunsheng Ding and Harald Niederreiter, Cyclotomic linear codes of order 3, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 53 (2007), no. 6, 2274–2277, DOI 10.1109/TIT.2007.896886. MR2321882
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[10] Hans Dobbertin, Kasami power functions, permutation polynomials and cyclic difference sets, Difference sets, sequences and their correlation properties (Bad Windsheim, 1998), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 542, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 133–158. MR1735396
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[11] David G. Glynn, Two new sequences of ovals in finite Desarguesian planes of even order, Combinatorial mathematics, X (Adelaide, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1036, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 217–229, DOI 10.1007/BFb0071521. MR731584
- [12] Faruk Göloğlu and Dáša Krasnayová, Proofs of several conjectures on linear codes from Boolean functions, Discrete Math. 342 (2019), no. 2, 572-583, DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2018.10.022. MR3877333
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[13] Faruk Göloğlu, Robert Granger, Gary McGuire, and Jens Zumbrägel, On the function field sieve and the impact of higher splitting probabilities: application to discrete logarithms in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$ , Advances in cryptology—CRYPTO 2013. Part II, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 8043, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 109–128. MR3126472
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[14] Tor Helleseth and Alexander Kholosha, On the equation  $x^{2^l+1} + x + a = 0$  over  $GF(2^k)$ , Finite Fields Appl. 14 (2008), no. 1, 159–176, DOI 10.1016/j.ffa.2007.09.009. MR2381484
- <span id="page-27-5"></span> $[15]$   $\hat{P}^{t+1}+x+a$  and related affine polynomials over  $GF(2^{k})$ , Cryptogr. Commun. 2 (2010), no. 1, 85–109, DOI 10.1007/s12095-009-0018-y. MR2592432
- [16] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite fields, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn. MR1429394
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[17] Jessie MacWilliams, A theorem on the distribution of weights in a systematic code, Bell System Tech. J. 42 (1963), 79–94, DOI 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1963.tb04003.x. MR149978
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[18] Antonio Maschietti, Difference sets and hyperovals, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 14 (1998), no. 1, 89–98, DOI 10.1023/A:1008264606494. MR1608232
- [19] Kwang Ho Kim, Junyop Choe, and Sihem Mesnager, Solving  $X^{q+1} + X + a = 0$  over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 70 (2021), 101797, 16, DOI 10.1016/j.ffa.2020.101797. MR4192808
- [20] Kwang Ho Kim and Sihem Mesnager, Solving  $x^{2^k+1} + x + a = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with  $gcd(n, k) = 1$ , Finite Fields Appl. 63 (2020), 101630, 15, DOI 10.1016/j.ffa.2019.101630. MR4054573
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[21] Vera Pless, Power moment identities on weight distributions in error correcting codes, Information and Control 6 (1963), 147–152. MR262004
- [22] Dieter Jungnickel, Difference sets, Contemporary design theory, Wiley-Intersci. Ser. Discrete Math. Optim., Wiley, New York, 1992, pp. 241–324. MR1178504

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, Iran Email address: oahmadid@ipm.ir

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, Iran Email address: masoudshafaei@ipm.ir