

On the Queue-Number of Partial Orders

Stefan Felsner¹, Torsten Ueckerdt², and Kaja Wille¹

¹ Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
felsner@math.tu-berlin.de, wille@campus.tu-berlin.de

² Institute of Theoretical Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany
torsten.ueckerdt@kit.edu

Abstract. The queue-number of a poset is the queue-number of its cover graph viewed as a directed acyclic graph, i.e., when the vertex order must be a linear extension of the poset. Heath and Pemmaraju conjectured that every poset of width w has queue-number at most w . Recently, Alam et al. constructed posets of width w with queue-number $w + 1$. Our contribution is a construction of posets with width w with queue-number $\Omega(w^2)$. This asymptotically matches the known upper bound.

Keywords: Poset · Queue-number · Width · Lower bounds.

1 Introduction

A *queue layout* of a graph consists of a total ordering on its vertices and a partition of its edge set into queues, i.e., no two edges in a single block of the partition are nested. The minimum number of queues needed in a queue layout of a graph G is its queue-number and denoted by $\text{qn}(G)$.

To be more precise, let G be a graph and let L be a linear order of the vertices. A *k-rainbow* is a set of k edges $\{a_i b_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ such that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k < b_k < \dots < b_2 < b_1$ in L . A pair of edges forming a 2-rainbow is said to be *nested*. A *queue* is a set of edges without nesting. Given G and L , the edges of G can be partitioned into k queues if and only if there is no rainbow of size $k + 1$ in L . The *queue-number* of G is the minimum number of queues needed to partition the edges of G over all linear orders L .

Queue layouts were introduced by Heath and Rosenberg in 1992 [6] as a counterpart of book embeddings. Queue layouts were implicitly used before and have applications in fault-tolerant processing, sorting with parallel queues, matrix computations, scheduling parallel processes, and in communication management in distributed algorithm (see [4, 6, 8]). There is a rich literature exploring bounds on the queue-number of different classes of graphs [2, 4, 6, 9].

Here we study the queue-number of posets. This parameter was introduced in 1997 by Heath and Pemmaraju [5], inspired by the older concept of the queue-number of directed acyclic graphs. For a queue layout of a directed acyclic graph, it is required that a precedes b in the total vertex ordering whenever there is a directed edge $a \rightarrow b$. I.e., it is a topological ordering of the graph.

A *poset* is a pair $P = (X, <)$ of a finite set X of elements, called the ground set, and a transitive (if $a < b$ and $b < c$, then $a < c$) and antisymmetric (if $a < b$, then $b \not< a$) binary relation $<$ on X . Two elements a, b are called comparable if either $a < b$ or $b < a$, and incomparable otherwise. A relation $a < b$ in P is a *cover* if it is not implied by transitivity, i.e., there is no element c such that $a < c < b$. In the context of drawings, embeddings and layouts for posets $P = (X, <)$, it is natural to work with their *directed cover graphs*, having vertex set X and a directed edge $a \rightarrow b$ for every cover relation $a < b$ in P . For example a *diagram* of P is an upward drawing of the directed cover graph where the direction on edges is usually omitted as each edge is implicitly directed upwards.

Now, a *linear extension* L of P is simply a topological ordering of its directed cover graph, and we write $a < b$ in L if a precedes b in L (though not necessarily in P). The *queue-number* of P , denoted by $\text{qn}(P)$, is the smallest k such that there is a linear extension L of P for which the resulting linear layout of the directed cover graph contains no $(k + 1)$ -rainbow. Fig. 1 shows an example.




Fig. 1. A poset of width 5 and a queue layout with 2 queues indicated by colors.

Clearly, if G_P denotes the undirected cover graph of P , then $\text{qn}(G_P) \leq \text{qn}(P)$, i.e., the queue-number of a poset is at least as large as the queue-number of its (undirected) cover graph. It was shown by Heath and Pemmaraju [5] that even for planar posets P there is no function f such that $\text{qn}(P) \leq f(\text{qn}(G_P))$. They also investigated the maximum queue-number of several classes of posets, in particular with respect to bounded width (the maximum number of pairwise incomparable elements) and height (the maximum number of pairwise comparable elements). In particular they gave a nice argument showing that $\text{qn}(P) \leq \text{width}(P)^2$ (see Proposition 2 below). The poset P of height 2 and width w whose cover graph is the complete bipartite graph $K_{w,w}$ attains $\text{qn}(P) = \text{width}(P)$. Actually, Heath and Pemmaraju conjectured that $\text{qn}(P) \leq \text{width}(P)$ for every poset P .

Knauer, Micek and the second author [7] showed that the inequality $\text{qn}(P) \leq \text{width}(P)$ holds for all posets of width 2. Last year, Alam et al. [1] constructed a non-planar poset P_3 of width 3 whose queue-number is 4; thus refuting the conjecture of Heath and Pemmaraju. Using a simple lifting argument from [7], Alam et al. generalized their example and constructed for every $w > 3$ a poset P_w with $\text{width}(P_w) = w$ and $\text{qn}(P_w) = w + 1$. Fig. 2 shows their construction. In fact, consider the lifting construction in the middle of Fig. 2 and a fixed linear




Fig. 2. Left: The construction of Alam et al. of a poset P_3 of width 3 and queue-number 4. Middle and right: Two possibilities of lifting a poset P_{w-1} s.t. $\text{width}(P_w) = \text{width}(P_{w-1}) + 1$ and $\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \text{qn}(P_{w-1}) + 1$.

extension L . If $a < b$ in L , then the cover edge from the bottommost element to b nests above the lower copy of P_{w-1} . Symmetrically, if $b < a$ in L , the cover edge from b to the topmost element nests above the upper copy of P_{w-1} . In any case, we extend any rainbow in P_{w-1} by one edge. Similarly, in the right of Fig. 2 one of the diagonal cover edges will nest above one of the copies of P_{w-1} in any linear extension.

Let us also mention that a second contribution of Alam et al. consists in a slight improvement of the upper bound: They show $\text{qn}(P) \leq (w - 1)^2 + 1$ for all posets P of width at most w .

Our contribution is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For every $w > 3$ there is a poset P_w of width w with*

$$\text{qn}(P_w) \geq w^2/8.$$

These examples (asymptotically) match the upper bound. Besides yielding a strong improvement of the lower bound, we also believe that the analysis of our construction is conceptually simpler than the example provided by Alam et al. to disprove the conjecture of Heath and Pemmaraju. The key difference is that we improve the lifting step rather than the base case. In particular, we show how to lift any poset of width w so that the width goes up by only 2, but the queue-number goes up by at least $\lceil (w - 1)/2 \rceil$.




Fig. 3. A poset P of width $w = 4$ (A partition into 4 chains is indicated in grey.) and a linear extension L (ordering the elements by their y -coordinates) of P with a w^2 -rainbow.

As an open problem we promote the question whether the original conjecture holds for planar posets. In [7] it was shown that the queue-number of planar posets of width w is upper bounded by $3w - 2$ and that there are such planar posets P with $\text{qn}(P) = \text{width}(P) = w$.

2 Preliminaries

Before presenting our construction, we like to revisit the nice upper bound argument of Heath and Pemmaraju. Let $P = (X, <)$ be a poset of width w . Dilworth's Theorem asserts that X can be decomposed into w chains of P .

Proposition 2 (Heath and Pemmaraju).

For every poset P we have $\text{qn}(P) \leq \text{width}(P)^2$.

Proof. Let $w = \text{width}(P)$, let C_1, \dots, C_w be a chain partition, and let L be any linear extension of P . Partition the cover edges into w^2 sets $Q_{i,j}$ with $i, j \in [w]$ such that $(u, v) \in Q_{i,j}$ if $u \in C_i$ and $v \in C_j$. We claim that each $Q_{i,j}$ is a queue.

Let $a < b < c < d$ in L support a pair of nesting cover edges and suppose that both edges (a, d) and (b, c) belong to $Q_{i,j}$. By definition $a, b \in C_i$ and $c, d \in C_j$ and from the ordering in L we get $a < b$ and $c < d$ in P . Now we have $a < b$ and $b < c$ and $c < d$ in P and thus the relation $a < d$ is implied by transitivity. This contradicts that (a, d) is a cover edge. \square

In fact we have shown a much stronger statement: If P and a chain partition C_1, \dots, C_w are given, then there is a partition of the edges of the cover graph

of P into parts $Q_{i,j}$ with $i, j \in [w]$ such that each $Q_{i,j}$ is a queue for every(!) linear extension L of P . Let us remark that for some posets P and some linear extensions L of P , the resulting queue layout indeed has a $\text{width}(P)^2$ -rainbow. An example is indicated in Fig. 3.

2.1 Concepts needed for the construction

Let P be a poset. The *dual* of P , denoted \bar{P} , is the poset on the same ground set such that: $x < y$ in $P \iff y < x$ in \bar{P} . In terms of its diagram, the dual of P is obtained by flipping along a horizontal line.

A poset P is *2-dimensional* if and only if there are two linear extensions L_1 and L_2 such that: $x < y$ in $P \iff x < y$ in L_1 and L_2 . Such a pair L_1, L_2 is called a *realizer* of P .

When drawing 2-dimensional posets, it is common to represent each element x by a point with coordinates (x_1, x_2) where x_1 is the position of x in L_1 and x_2 is the position of x in L_2 , see Fig. 4. This is also called a dominance drawing.




Fig. 4. A poset P , its dual \bar{P} , and a 2-dimensional drawing of P .

3 Proof of Theorem 1

We define P_w recursively, focusing on the recursive step. As mentioned in the introduction, the recursive step involves lifting a given poset P_{w-2} of width $w-2$ to the desired poset P_w of width w such that $\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \text{qn}(P_{w-2}) + \lceil (w-1)/2 \rceil$. Our lifting can be seen as an extension of the situation on the very right of Fig. 2. Specifically, for $w \geq 3$, the construction of P_w is based on

- a copy of P_{w-2} ,
- a reinforcement poset R_{w-2} of width $w-2$,
- two linear extensions L_x and L_y of R_{w-2} , and
- the duals $\overline{P_{w-2}}, \overline{R_{w-2}}, \overline{L_x}, \overline{L_y}$ of the above.




Fig. 5. Recursive construction of P_w .

We invite the reader to take a look at Fig. 5, which shows the construction of P_w using P_{w-2} and R_{w-2} as a black box. Formally, let $r = r(w-2)$ denote the number of elements in R_{w-2} . Then, P_w contains besides $P_{w-2}, R_{w-2}, \overline{P_{w-2}}, \overline{R_{w-2}}$, two additional elements a and b , and four chains of additional r elements $x_1 < \dots < x_r$, $y_1 < \dots < y_r$, $\overline{x_r} < \dots < \overline{x_1}$, and $\overline{y_r} < \dots < \overline{y_1}$, together with the following additional relations:

- b is below x_1, y_1 and above $\overline{x_1}, \overline{y_1}$.
- a is above all elements in P_{w-2} and below all elements in $\overline{P_{w-2}}$.
- All elements of P_{w-2} are above all elements of R_{w-2} , and all elements of $\overline{P_{w-2}}$ are below all elements of $\overline{R_{w-2}}$.
- x_i is above the i -th element in the linear extension L_x of R_{w-2} , $i = 1, \dots, r$.
- $\overline{x_i}$ is below the i -th element in the dual $\overline{L_x}$ of $\overline{R_{w-2}}$.
- y_i is above the i -th element in the linear extension L_y of R_{w-2} , $i = 1, \dots, r$.
- $\overline{y_i}$ is below the i -th element in the dual $\overline{L_y}$ of $\overline{R_{w-2}}$.
- All relations that are transitively implied by the above.

First we observe that $\text{width}(P_w) = \text{width}(P_{w-2}) + 2 = w$, as $\text{width}(P_{w-2}) = \text{width}(R_{w-2}) = w - 2$ and the additional elements (except a , which can be incorporated into an existing chain) can be covered by two chains. Also note that the number $p(w)$ of elements of the poset P_w is given by the recursion $p(w) = 2p(w-2) + 6r(w-2) + 2$. (Recall that $r(w-2)$ is the number of elements of R_{w-2} .) Further note that x_i and the i -th element of L_x in R_{w-2} indeed form a cover edge, as L_x is a linear extension of R_{w-2} , $i = 1, \dots, r$. Similarly for the edges between R_{w-2} and y_i , as well as between $\overline{R_{w-2}}$ and $\overline{x_i}, \overline{y_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Furthermore, it can be seen that P_w is self-dual; the reflection $P_w \leftrightarrow \overline{P_w}$ having two fixed points a and b . This shows that when analyzing $\text{qn}(P_w)$, we can restrict the attention to linear extensions L of P_w which have a before b .




Fig. 6. The general structure of a linear extension L of P_w with a before b .

With this assumption, a rainbow between R_{w-2} and either $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ or $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ nests above every rainbow of P_{w-2} . See Fig. 6 for an illustration. If we let q_{w-2} be the size of a rainbow between R_{w-2} and either X or Y , then we have the recursion:

$$\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \text{qn}(P_{w-2}) + q_{w-2} \tag{1}$$

We think of this use of a self-dual construction as the *symmetry trick*. Again, let us mention that constructions given in [7] (proof of Prop. 2) and [1] (proof of Thm. 4) also use a recursion based on two copies of the poset from the previous level of the recursion, as illustrated in the middle of Fig. 2. However, this only forces one edge to nest over the rainbow from the previous level of the recursion. Our lifting forces a rainbow of edges whose size is linear in the width to nest over the previous level construction and its rainbow; thus giving overall a quadratic lower bound.

It remains to construct the poset R_{w-2} together with two linear extensions L_x and L_y such that in any linear extension L having R_{w-2} entirely before $X \cup Y$, a large rainbow between R_{w-2} and either X or Y appears. Recall that q_{w-2} denotes the largest such rainbow and we seek to construct R_{w-2} such that q_{w-2} is at least linear in w .

As the elements in X form a chain $x_1 < \dots < x_r$ and thus are ordered in this way in L , rainbows between R_{w-2} and X are in bijection with subsets of elements in R_{w-2} that are *oppositely ordered* in L and L_x . Similarly, rainbows between R_{w-2} and Y appear when elements in R_{w-2} are oppositely ordered in L and L_y . Thus our goal is to construct R_{w-2} , L_x and L_y such that for every linear extension L of R_{w-2} there is a long increasing sequence in L which is decreasing in L_x or L_y .

To illustrate this idea, suppose that for each width $u < w$, we choose the poset R_u to be an antichain of size u and the linear extensions L_x and L_y to be a realizer (think of L_x as the identity permutation and of L_y as its reverse). The Lemma of Erdős-Szekeres asserts that in every linear extension of R_u there is an increasing or a decreasing sequence of size at least $\lceil \sqrt{u} \rceil$, i.e., $q_u = \lceil \sqrt{u} \rceil$.

This value of q_u together with Inequality (1) yields

$$\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \sum_{u < w; u \equiv w(2)} \lceil \sqrt{u} \rceil \in \Theta(w^{3/2}).$$

For the proof of the theorem we need a better construction for the reinforcement posets R_u . In particular, we seek to have $q_u \geq \lceil \frac{u+1}{2} \rceil$ instead of just $q_u \geq \lceil \sqrt{u} \rceil$. A construction of such a R_u is given in Subsection 3.1 and based on the following lemma³.

Lemma 3. *For each $u \geq 1$, there is a 2-dimensional poset R_u of width u with a realizer L_x, L_y , such that if L is a linear extension of R_u and d_x and d_y denote the maximum lengths of an increasing sequence in L which is decreasing in L_x and L_y respectively, then $d_x + d_y \geq u + 1$.*

The lemma says that we can assume the value $q_u = \lceil \frac{u+1}{2} \rceil$. With Inequality (1) we get:

$$\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \sum_{u < w; u \equiv w(2)} \left\lceil \frac{u+1}{2} \right\rceil$$

In the case w odd, $w = 2s + 1$, we get $\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \sum_{k=1}^s k = \binom{s+1}{2}$. In the case w even, $w = 2s$, we get $\text{qn}(P_w) \geq \sum_{k=2}^s k = \binom{s+1}{2} - 1$. A simple computation shows that for $w \geq 4$ we get $\text{qn}(P_w) \geq w^2/8$, independent of the parity of w . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The base of our recursive construction is the case $w = 1$ or $w = 2$, depending on the parity of w . For the validity of Theorem 1, it is enough to let P_w with $w \in \{1, 2\}$ be any poset of width w . Of course, it is beneficial to start with a higher queue-number, also given that our bound of $w^2/8$ is less than the $w + 1$ of Alam et al. [1] for small w . The best results are achieved by starting at width 3 or 4 (depending on the parity of the target width w) with the poset of Alam et al. [1] with queue-number 4, respectively 5.

3.1 The construction of R_u for Lemma 3

The construction of R_u is again recursive. Let R_1 be a single element. Then clearly $d_x + d_y = 2$. For the construction of R_u for $u \geq 2$ we again use the symmetry trick. We take two copies Q_1, Q_2 of R_u and two additional elements a and b . Then R_u is obtained by a series composition of $Q_1 + a + Q_2$, and a parallel composition of the result with element b . Formally,

- a is above every element of Q_1 and below every element of Q_2 , while
- b is incomparable to all other elements.

³ The lemma with a different proof was discovered (but not yet published) in October 2020 by the first and the second author together with Francois Dross, Piotr Micek, and Michał Pilipczuk.




Fig. 7. The recursive construction of R_u with its realizer L_x, L_y .

The two linear extensions of the realizer L_x, L_y of R_u are obtained as follows.

- $L_x = b, L_x(Q_1), a, L_x(Q_2)$
- $L_y = L_y(Q_1), a, L_y(Q_2), b,$

where $L_x(Q_i), L_y(Q_i)$ is the realizer of the copy Q_i of R_{u-1} , $i = 1, 2$. We invite the reader to look at Fig. 7 for two illustrations of this recursive construction step for R_u and its realizer L_x, L_y .

First, we observe that $\text{width}(R_u) = \text{width}(R_{u-1}) + 1 = u$, as element b can be covered by a new chain and element a can be incorporated into an existing chain. Also note that the number $r(u)$ of elements in R_u is given by the recursion $r(u) = 2r(u-1) + 2$, which with $r(1) = 1$ solves for $r(u) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^u - 2$. Further observe that R_u is again self-dual. In particular the two copies Q_1 and Q_2 of R_{u-1} are isomorphic. The reflection $R_u \leftrightarrow \overline{R_u}$ has two fixed points a and b .

Now let L be any linear extension of R_u . First suppose that $a < b$ in L . Let L' be the restriction of L to Q_1 . By induction the lengths d'_x and d'_y of increasing sequences of L' which are decreasing in the two linear extensions of the realizer $L_x(Q_1), L_y(Q_1)$ of Q_1 satisfy $d'_x + d'_y \geq u$. Since b precedes Q_1 in L_x and comes after Q_1 in L , we have $d_x \geq d'_x + 1$. Together with the trivial $d_y \geq d'_y$, we get $d_x + d_y \geq u + 1$.

If we have $b < a$ in L , then we consider Q_2 . As before we get the two values d'_x and d'_y for the restriction L' of L to Q_2 and know by induction that $d'_x + d'_y \geq u$. This time b precedes Q_2 in L but comes after Q_2 in L_y , which gives $d_y \geq d'_y + 1$. Together with the trivial $d_x \geq d'_x$ we again see that $d_x + d_y \geq u + 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

We remark that in both the construction of P_w and R_u , the element a is used only for the sake of the exposition. It would suffice to add all relations between P_{w-2} and $\overline{P_{w-2}}$, respectively Q_1 and Q_2 . While this gives slightly smaller constructions for P_w and R_u , they would still be exponential in their width. (Recall that $r(u) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^u - 2$ and hence $p(w) = 2p(w-2) + 6r(w-2) + 2 = \Theta(2^w)$.)

4 Conclusions

We have made substantial progress in the understanding of queue-numbers of partially ordered sets. We take the opportunity to list and comment on open questions in the field.

- An obvious question is to ask for improved upper and lower bounds. More precisely, we now know that the growth rate of the maximum queue-number of posets of width w is $(C + o(1))w^2$ for some constant C between $1/8$ and 1 . What is the precise value of constant C ?
- Our reinforcement poset R_u is 2-dimensional for every u . However our entire lower bound example P_w is not (already for $w = 3$), and the same holds for the example of Alam et al. in the left of Fig. 2. We think it is interesting to see whether there exists any 2-dimensional poset P with $\text{qn}(P) \geq \text{width}(P) + 1$.
- What is the maximum queue-number of posets of width w with a planar diagram? Knauer, Micek, and the second author [7] proved the lower bound w by observing that the simple lifting operation in the middle of Fig. 2 preserves planarity, while their upper bound is $3w - 2$. Clearly, the better lifting operation introduced here necessarily introduces crossing cover edges.
- Heath and Pemmaraju [5] conjectured that planar posets on n elements have queue-number at most \sqrt{n} . Their lower bound construction is an r -antichain R with realizer L_x, L_y together with an r -chain $X = x_1 < \dots < x_r$ matched upward in order of L_x and an r -chain $Y = y_1 < \dots < y_r$ matched downward in order of L_y ; see Fig. 8. The Lemma of Erdős-Szekeres implies for this planar poset P with $n = 3r$ elements that $\text{qn}(P) \geq \lceil \sqrt{n/3} \rceil$. It is open whether there is an asymptotically matching upper bound.
- Dujmović and Wood [3] show that a random vertex ordering for an undirected graph G has with positive probability no rainbow of size $\lceil e\sqrt{m} \rceil$, where e is the base of the natural logarithm and m is the number of edges in G . Can a similar result be obtained by considering a random linear extension of a poset P ? Note that a positive answer would resolve (up to a constant factor) the previous question of Heath and Pemmaraju about planar posets.
- In [7] it was shown that posets P of width 2 have $\text{qn}(P) \leq 2$. In [1] it was shown that posets P of width 3 may have $\text{qn}(P) \geq 4$ and satisfy $\text{qn}(P) \leq 5$. Is 4 or 5 the best upper bound in this case?

References

1. Alam, J.M., Bekos, M.A., Gronemann, M., Kaufmann, M., Pupyrev, S.: Lazy queue layouts of posets. In: Graph Drawing and Network Visualization: 28th International Symposium, GD 2020, Vancouver, BC, Canada, September 16-18, 2020, Revised Selected Papers. vol. 12590, p. 55. Springer Nature (2020)
2. Dujmović, V., Joret, G., Micek, P., Morin, P., Ueckerdt, T., Wood, D.R.: Planar graphs have bounded queue-number. *Journal of the ACM* **67**, 22:1–22:38 (2020)
3. Dujmović, V., Wood, D.R.: On linear layouts of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science* **6**(2), 339–358 (2004)




Fig. 8. Heath and Pemmaraju's construction [5] of a planar poset P on $n = 3r$ elements with $\text{qn}(P) \geq \lceil \sqrt{n/3} \rceil$.

4. Heath, L.S., Leighton, F.T., Rosenberg, A.L.: Comparing queues and stacks as machines for laying out graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **5**(3), 398–412 (1992)
5. Heath, L.S., Pemmaraju, S.V.: Stack and queue layouts of posets. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **10**(4), 599–625 (1997)
6. Heath, L.S., Rosenberg, A.L.: Laying out graphs using queues. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **21**(5), 927–958 (1992)
7. Knauer, K., Micek, P., Ueckerdt, T.: The queue-number of posets of bounded width or height. In: *Proc. GD 2018. LNCS*, vol. 11282, pp. 200–212. Springer (2018)
8. Nešetřil, J., Ossona de Mendez, P., Wood, D.R.: Characterisations and examples of graph classes with bounded expansion. *European J. Combin.* **33**(3), 350–373 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2011.09.008>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2011.09.008>
9. Wiechert, V.: On the queue-number of graphs with bounded tree-width. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **24**(1), 1–65 (2017)