Modified contact simplex iteration

Sergei Drozdov^{*}

August 25, 2021

Abstract

We study the iterations of the procedure of taking the contact simplex. We define the concept of the root of the simplex, which is a homothety image of contact simplex with a special coefficient greater than 1. The article shows that once we iterate the root of a given simplex, the circumcenter sequence of these simplices has two partial limits.

1 Introduction

Consider triangle *S*, and its inscribed circle *C*. Then the triangle formed by the points of intersection of *S* and *C* is called the **contact triangle** (also known as Gergonne triangle or intouch triangle) of *S*. If we iterate the procedure of taking the contact triangle, the sequence obtained will converge to the equilateral triangle (if the proper normalisation is applied). This follows, for example, from the fact that on each step we switch from the triangle with the angles $\left(\frac{\pi}{3} + \alpha, \frac{\pi}{3} + \beta, \frac{\pi}{3} + \gamma\right)$ to the triangle with the angles $\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3} - \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)$.



Figure 1: Contact triangle iteration.

The same procedure for the euclidian simplex of the higher dimension is discussed in [1], and for the tetrahedrons in [2]. As shown in these sources, the sequence of simplices does not have a unique limit, but instead, the iterations of the odd and even orders have two limits, that are, generally speaking, different.

^{*}email: smdrozdov@gmail.com

We modify the procedure slightly, and instead of normalizing contact simplices, let them grow (in a special way). We prove the technical Lemma 3.1 and afterward the Theorem 4.1, which shows that the circumcenter sequence of these simplices has two partial limits.

2 Root of the simplex definition

Definition 2.1. Root. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nondegenerate simplex with n + 1 vertex. Denote S by $A_1A_2...A_{n+1}$. Let I and r be the center and the radius of inscribed sphere of S. Let the full-dimension face opposite to A_i be Δ_i . $\forall i$ the inscribed sphere touches Δ_i at some point B_i . Let the radius of circumscribed sphere of S be R, and consider space transformation K, which is the composition of the inversion with radius R and center I and a central symmetry, centered at point I. Then, the simplex $K(B_1)K(B_2)...K(B_{n+1})$ is called the **root** of the simplex S. See Figure 2.

Denote it as Root(S).



Figure 2: $Root(\triangle A_1A_2A_3) = \triangle K(B_1)K(B_2)K(B_3).$

Property 2.2. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nondegenerate simplex and T = Root(S). Then T is homothetic to the contact simplex of S. And also, I and $\frac{R^2}{r}$ are the center and the radius of the circumscribed sphere of T.

Proof. In the notation of the Definition 2.1 the center and the radius of the circumscribed sphere of the simplex $B_1 \ldots B_{n+1}$ are I and r. Simplex $B_1 \ldots B_{n+1}$ is the contact simplex of $A_1 \ldots A_{n+1}$. The pointwise inversion image of $B_1 \ldots B_{n+1}$

is homothetic to $B_1
dots B_{n+1}$ with the coefficient $\frac{R^2}{r^2}$. If the apply central symmetry, centered at point I, the resulting image is homothetic to $B_1
dots B_{n+1}$ with the coefficient $-\frac{R^2}{r^2}$. Thus, simplex T has the circumscribed sphere with the same center I and with the radius equal $r \cdot \frac{R^2}{r^2} = \frac{R^2}{r}$.

We will further denote simplex T by $C_1 \ldots C_{n+1}$.

Property 2.3. In the notation of the Definition 2.1, $\forall i \neq j : 1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$

$$\overrightarrow{IC_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_j} = -R^2 \tag{1}$$

Proof.

$$\overrightarrow{IC_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_j} = -\frac{R^2}{r^2} \cdot \overrightarrow{IB_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_j},\tag{2}$$

and since I is the center of inscribed sphere of S, and so $IB_i \perp B_i A_j$, we can continue:

$$-\frac{R^2}{r^2} \cdot \overrightarrow{IB_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_j} = -\frac{R^2}{r^2} \cdot IB_i^2 = -\frac{R^2}{r^2} \cdot r^2 = -R^2$$
(3)

Property 2.4. $I \in Int(T)$

Proof. As it is shown in [3], in Theorem 3, the circumcenter of simplex $B_1 \ldots B_{n+1}$ is an interior point of $B_1 \ldots B_{n+1}$. So, since T is homothetic to $B_1 \ldots B_{n+1}$, the circumcenter of T is an interior point of T.

3 Root of the simplex contains the simplex circumscribed sphere

Lemma 3.1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the simplex, and T = Root(S). Then T contains the circumscribed sphere of S.

Proof. (Please notice that here we meet the circumscribed sphere of S for the first time. See Figure 3.)

Let again $S = A_1 \dots A_{n+1}$, and denote T by $C_1 \dots C_{n+1}$. Let O and I be the centers of the circumscribed and inscribed spheres of S. Denote the hyperplane containing the full-dimension face of T, that is opposite to C_i by Γ_i . So

$$\Gamma_i := Lin \left\langle C_1 \dots C_{i-1} C_{i+1} \dots C_{n+1} \right\rangle.$$
(4)

From the Property 2.3 $\forall j \neq i$ $\overrightarrow{IC_j} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_i} = -R^2$, where R is the radius of circumscribed sphere of S, so

$$\Gamma_i = \left\{ X : \overrightarrow{IX} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_i} = -R^2 \right\}.$$
(5)



Figure 3: Statement of the Lemma 3.1 for the triangle (n = 2): $\triangle C_1 C_2 C_3$ contains the circumscribed circle of $\triangle A_1 A_2 A_3$.

This leads to

$$\Gamma_i \perp \overrightarrow{IA_i},$$
 (6)

and if we denote the intersection of (IA_i) and Γ_i by H, then

$$\overrightarrow{IH} \cdot \overrightarrow{IA_i} = -R^2, \tag{7}$$

or in other words,

$$\begin{cases} I \in [HA_i] \\ |IH| \cdot |IA_i| = R^2. \end{cases}$$
(8)

See Figure 4.

Now let's consider the point O, and let the projection of O on Γ_i be G. See Figure 5.

$$|HA_i| = |HI| + |IA_i| \ge 2\sqrt{|HI| \cdot |IA_i|} = 2\sqrt{R^2} = 2R.$$
 (9)

Since $|OA_i| = R$, we can say:

$$|A_iH| \leqslant |A_iO| + |OG| = R + |OG| \tag{10}$$

$$|OG| \ge |A_iH| - R \ge 2R - R = R. \tag{11}$$

It means that the open ball circumscribed around S does not cross the plane Γ_i . The same holds for all i = 1, ..., n + 1. So, the ball does not cross any of the faces of T. The only part left is to show that it lies inside T, and not outside.



Figure 4: Point H definition.



Figure 5: Point G definition

The hyperplane Γ_i cuts the space in two half-spaces. Let Π_i be the half-space containing the ball circumscribed around S. The inscribed ball of S is contained inside the circumscribed ball of S, so it also lies in Π_i . Then so does its center, point I. So, $I \in \Pi_i$. From the Property 2.3, $I \in Int(T)$, so the half-space Π_i contains not only I, but also the whole simplex T.

So, $T = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} \Pi_i$, and since $\forall i$ the half-space Π_i contains the circumscribed ball of S, this ball is contained in T.

Corollary 3.2. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplex with n + 1 vertices. T = Root(S). Let (r_1, R_1) be the radii of inscribed and circumscribed spheres of S, and (r_2, R_2) be the radii of inscribed and circumscribed spheres of T. Then

$$\frac{r_2}{R_2} \geqslant \frac{r_1}{R_1} \tag{12}$$

Proof. From Property 2.2, $R_2 = \frac{R_1^2}{r_1}$, from Lemma 3.1 the circumscribed sphere of S lies inside T. Any sphere inside T has radius no larger than the radius of inscribed sphere of T. So

$$R_1 \leqslant r_2, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\frac{r_2}{R_2} = \frac{r_2}{\frac{R_1^2}{r_1}} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{R_1^2} \geqslant \frac{r_1 R_1}{R_1^2} = \frac{r_1}{R_1}.$$
(14)

Corollary 3.3. Let $S_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplex with n + 1 vertices. For every $k \ge 1$ define $S_{k+1} := \operatorname{Root}(S_k)$, and let (r_k, R_k) be the radii of inscribed and circumscribed spheres of S_k . Then $\frac{r_k}{R_k}$ converges as $k \to \infty$. Also, denote $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{r_k}{R_k} = \rho$, then $\forall k \quad \frac{r_k}{R_k} \le \rho$

Proof. $\frac{r_k}{R_k}$ is non-decreasing, and $\forall k \ r_k < R_k$. So $\frac{r_k}{R_k}$ converges.

Property 3.4. In the notation as above, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{r_k}{R_k} \leq \frac{1}{n-1}$.

Proof. Since, for any n+1-vertex simplex in \mathbb{R}^n , with the radii of inscribed and circumscribed spheres r and R holds $\frac{r}{R} \leq \frac{1}{n-1}$, then for all k holds $\frac{r_k}{R_k} \leq \frac{1}{n-1}$, so the limit of $\frac{r_k}{R_k}$ is smaller than or equals $\frac{1}{n-1}$.

Property 3.5. In the notation as above, if n = 2 (S_1 is a triangle), then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{r_k}{R_k} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. If n = 2, the angles of S_k converge to $\frac{\pi}{3}$, as $k \to \infty$. In the neighborhood of the equilateral triangle, the factor of the radii of inscribed and circumscribed circles of a triangle is a continuous function of the angles. So this factor converges to the value of the factor in the equilateral triangle. There it equals $\frac{1}{2}$.

4 Main result

Theorem 4.1. Let $S_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplex with n + 1 vertices. For every $k \ge 1$ define $S_{k+1} := Root(S_k)$, and let (I_k, O_k) be the centers of inscribed and circumscribed spheres of S_k . Then the sequences

$$\{O_{2k+1}, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad and \quad \{O_{2k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \tag{15}$$

converge as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. (See Figure 6.)

From the Corollary 3.3 the sequence $\frac{r_k}{R_k}$ has its limit, let's call it ρ . Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists K : \forall k \ge K$ we have

$$\rho \geqslant \frac{r_k}{R_k} \geqslant \rho(1-\varepsilon). \tag{16}$$

Assume further that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{1000}$ for all $k > K_1$, and consider only these k. **1. Estimate on** $|I_k O_k|$ from above.

From Lemma 3.1 the circumscribed sphere of S_k lies inside S_{k+1} , and so does its center, point O_k .

So $O_k \in Int(S_{k+1})$.

Since $r_{k+1} \leq \rho R_{k+1}$, for any point $X \in Int(S_{k+1})$ the simplex S_{k+1} has a full-dimension face Γ , such that $dist(X, \Gamma) \leq \rho R_{k+1}$.



Figure 6: Statement of the Theorem 4.1 for the triangle (n = 2): given $S_1 = \triangle A_1 A_2 A_3$, point O_1 being its circumcenter, and O_k being the circumcenter of $Root^{k-1}(S_1), k > 1$, sequences O_2, O_4, O_6, \ldots and O_1, O_3, O_5, \ldots both have limits in \mathbb{R}^2 .

And so, for $X = O_k$ the simplex S_{k+1} has a full-dimension face Γ , such that

$$dist(O_k, \Gamma) \leqslant \rho R_{k+1}.$$
(17)

From the Property 2.2 we can get that:

$$\rho R_{k+1} = \rho \frac{R_k^2}{r_k}.$$
(18)

Using (16) we can continue:

$$dist(O_k, \Gamma) \leqslant \rho \frac{R_k^2}{r_k} \leqslant \frac{\rho R_k}{\rho(1-\varepsilon)} = \frac{R_k}{1-\varepsilon}.$$
(19)

Denote the vertex of S_k corresponding to Γ by A (Γ is a face of S_{k+1} , not S_k , so it is not "opposite" in the regular sense).

Let the projection of O_k on Γ be G. Let the intersection of (AI_k) and Γ be H. From (6) we know that $AH \perp \Gamma$. See Figure 7. We will further write R



Figure 7: Points G and H.

instead of R_k . Remember that from (9) we have

$$|AH| \ge 2R. \tag{20}$$

Let the projection of O_k on AH be X. See Figure 8.

From (19) we have:

$$|XH| = |O_kG| = dist(O_k, \Gamma) \leqslant \frac{R}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$
(21)

For $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ we get two corollaries from the combination of (20) and (21): first is that, since O_k, X, A all lie in the same half-space with respect to Γ, X lies inside the interval [AH], and second is:

$$|AX| = |AH| - |HX| \ge 2R - |HX| \ge 2R - \frac{R}{1 - \varepsilon} = R\left(2 - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right).$$
(22)



Figure 8: Point X definition.

There is now a lower bound on $\frac{|AX|}{R}$. More specifically, we know, that as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\frac{|AX|}{R} \to 1$. And also, |AX| is a cathetus in the right triangle $\triangle XAO_k$, with the hypothenuse O_kA equal R. This allows estimating from above $\angle HAO_k$.

Let $\alpha := \angle HAO_k$, then first, $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since $AX = R \cos \alpha$:

$$R\cos\alpha \ge R\left(2 - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right). \tag{23}$$

From (23) we have:

$$\cos \alpha \geqslant 2 - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \tag{24}$$

$$\alpha \leqslant \arccos\left(2 - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) \tag{25}$$

(which means that $\alpha \to 0$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$).

Let $O' \in [AH]$ be a point, such that |O'A| = R. Such point exists since $|AH| \ge 2R$. See Figure 9.

 $|O_kA| = |O'A| = R$. The length of the interval $[O_kO']$ is no larger than the length of the arc O_kO' in the circle with radius R and center A. Combining this with (25) we get:

$$|O_k O'| \leq R\alpha \leq R \arccos\left(2 - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right).$$
 (26)

The chapter's goal is to estimate $|O_k I_k|$ from above, and we have an estimate on $|O'O_k|$. Since $|O_k I_k| \leq |O_k O'| + |O'I_k|$, it is only left to estimate $|O'I_k|$ from above.

First, using that $\angle O_k XA = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and (21) we estimate |AH|:



Figure 9: Point O' definition.

$$|AH| = |AX| + |XH| \leqslant R + |XH| \leqslant R + \frac{R}{1-\varepsilon} = R\left(1 + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right).$$
(27)

Now, (8) says:

$$|AI_k| \cdot |I_kH| = R^2. \tag{28}$$

Let's denote $|AI_k| - |AO'| = |AI_k| - R$, the oriented length of $O'I_k$ by z. Then

$$|AH| = |AI_k| + |I_kH| = |AI_k| + \frac{R^2}{|AI_k|} = R + z + \frac{R^2}{R + z}.$$
 (29)

Combining (27) and (29) we get:

$$R + z + \frac{R^2}{R + z} \leqslant R\left(1 + \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right),\tag{30}$$

and dividing both parts by R, we write:

$$1 + \frac{z}{R} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{z}{R}} \leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon},\tag{31}$$

Denote $\frac{z}{R}$ by y. So

$$1 + y + \frac{1}{1 + y} \leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$
 (32)

As we assumed, that $k>K_1$ in the beginning, and so $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{1000}$, we can use the Taylor expansion for ε , and write that

$$1 + \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} = 1 + 1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + \dots \leqslant 2 + 2\varepsilon, \tag{33}$$

and so:

$$1 + y + \frac{1}{1+y} \leqslant 2 + 2\varepsilon. \tag{34}$$

As the denominator 1 + y is larger than 0 (it is equal to $\frac{|AI_k|}{R}$), we can multiply by 1 + y, and obtain:

$$(1+y)^2 + 1 \leq (1+y)(2+2\varepsilon),$$
 (35)

 \mathbf{SO}

$$y^2 + 2y + 2 \leqslant 2 + 2y + 2\varepsilon y + 2\varepsilon, \tag{36}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$y^2 - 2\varepsilon y \leqslant 2\varepsilon, \tag{37}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$(y-\varepsilon)^2 \leqslant 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2, \tag{38}$$

 \mathbf{so}

$$|y| \leqslant \sqrt{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon. \tag{39}$$

Which is exactly the estimate on $\frac{|O'I_k|}{R}$, that we wanted to obtain:

$$\frac{|O'I_k|}{R} \leqslant \sqrt{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon.$$
(40)

Now, combining inequalities (26) and (40), we get that if $k > K_1$ (and so $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{1000}$):

$$\frac{|O_k I_k|}{R} \leqslant \frac{|O_k O'| + |O' I_k|}{R} \leqslant \arccos\left(2 - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) + (\sqrt{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon).$$
(41)

So

$$\forall \delta > 0 \ \exists K : \forall k > K \quad \frac{|I_k O_k|}{R_k} < \delta, \tag{42}$$

or in other words:

$$\forall \delta > 0 \ \exists K : \forall k > K \quad |I_k O_k| < \delta |R_k|.$$

$$\tag{43}$$

2. Estimate on $|O_k O_{k+2}|$ from above. Now let's show that O_{k+2} is close to O_k . Denote $|O_k I_k|$ by d. Let's instead of R_k , again write R for the radius of circumscribed sphere of S_k . Consider such $K_2 > K_1$, that $\forall k > K_2$ holds $\frac{d}{R} < \frac{1}{1000}$. Consider further only these $k > K_2$.

We will reuse the names of the points from the previous chapter, with only difference, that Γ can be any full-dimension face of S_{k+1} , not only the one closest to O_k .

From (8) it follows:

$$|AH| = |AI_k| + |I_kH| = |AI_k| + \frac{R^2}{|AI_k|}.$$
(44)



Figure 10: Estimate on $O_k O_{k+2}$ from above.

From the triangle inequality for $\triangle I_k O_k A$, we estimate $|AI_k|$:

$$R - d \leqslant |AI_k| \leqslant R + d, \tag{45}$$

so, as $f(x) = x + \frac{R^2}{x}$ is convex for x > 0, and attains maximal value on the interval at one of the intervals ends:

$$|AH| \le \max\left\{ (R+d) + \frac{R^2}{R+d}, (R-d) + \frac{R^2}{R-d} \right\}.$$
 (46)

Denote $\frac{d}{R}$ by δ . So, (46) is equivalent to:

$$|AH| \leq R \max\left\{ (1+\delta) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}, (1-\delta) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} \right\}.$$
 (47)

Remember that as $k > K_2$, we have $\delta < \frac{1}{1000}$, which leads to:

$$\max\left\{1+\delta+\frac{1}{1+\delta}, 1-\delta+\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right\} \leqslant 2+2\delta^2.$$
(48)

So

$$|AH| \leqslant R(2+2\delta^2). \tag{49}$$

On the other hand, since $|O_k X| \leq |O_k I_k| = d$ we get:

$$|XA| = \sqrt{R^2 - |O_k X|^2} \ge \sqrt{R^2 - d^2} = R\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} \ge R(1 - \delta^2).$$
(50)

Point X lies inside the interval [AH]: since otherwise $d = |O_k O_{k+1}| \ge |AX| \ge R(1 - \delta^2)$. (Reader may notice that we have already shown in the previous chapter that X lies on [AH]. We did, but only for one face Γ of S_{k+1} .) Now, combining inequalities (49) and (50) we get

$$|O_k G| = |AH| - |AX| \leqslant R(2 + 2\delta^2 - (1 - \delta^2)) = R(1 + 3\delta^2) = R + \frac{3d^2}{R}.$$
 (51)

In the Lemma 3.1, in (11) we had a lower bound on $|O_kG|$, so together

$$R \leqslant |O_k G| \leqslant R + \frac{3d^2}{R}.$$
(52)

Starting from now let's again write R_k , not R.

Let's look again at the whole simplex S_{k+1} (we used to only look at one of its full-dimension faces, Γ). Its radius of inscribed sphere equals r_{k+1} , and I_{k+1} is its center of inscribed sphere. In the Lemma 3.1, in (13), we've shown that

$$r_{k+1} \geqslant R_k. \tag{53}$$

Consider the smaller simplex S'_{k+1} , that is homothetic to S_{k+1} with the coefficient $\frac{r_{k+1}-R_k}{r_{k+1}}$ (which is greater or equal than 0) and center I_{k+1} . Simplex S'_{k+1} can be a single point. See Figure 11.



Figure 11: Simplices S_{k+1} and S'_{k+1} .

The radius of the inscribed sphere of S'_{k+1} is, by construction, $r_{k+1} - R_k$, which is the distance from I_{k+1} to any of the full-dimension faces of S'_{k+1} . So, the distance between the parallel full-dimension faces of S_{k+1} and S'_{k+1} equals $r_{k+1} - (r_{k+1} - R_k) = R_k$.

We now aim to show, that this tiny simplex S'_{k+1} contains two points, mentioned in the beginning of the chapter: O_k and O_{k+2} . It is very simple with the point O_{k+2} , indeed, since $S_{k+2} = Root(S_{k+1})$, from the Property 2.2, points I_{k+1} and O_{k+2} coincide:

$$I_{k+1} = O_{k+2}, (54)$$

and I_{k+1} is the center of inscribed sphere of S'_{k+1} , so it lies inside S'_{k+1} .

Now fix some full-dimensional face of S_{k+1} , let's call it Γ . It divides the space into two half-spaces, let's denote one containing S_{k+1} by Π .

Let the image of Γ , after the homothety transforming S_{k+1} into S'_{k+1} be Γ' , and the image of Π be Π' . Since I_{k+1} , the center of homothety lies in Π , then $\Pi' \subset \Pi$. From the Lemma 3.1 the circumscribed sphere of S_k lies inside S_{k+1} , and so does its center, O_k . So $O_k \in \Pi$. The distance from O_k to Γ is in the interval $[R_k; R_k + \frac{3d^2}{R_k}]$, see (52). This means that since the distance between Γ and Γ' equals R_k , that $O_k \in \Pi'$, and distance from O_k to Γ' is smaller or equal than $(R_k + \frac{3d^2}{R_k}) - R_k = \frac{3d^2}{R_k}$. Repeating this argument for all full-dimension faces of S_{k+1} , we obtain that

Repeating this argument for all full-dimension faces of S_{k+1} , we obtain that O_k lies inside S'_{k+1} , as S'_{k+1} is the intersection of n+1 half-spaces in the family of Π' .

Now, as we've shown that $O_k, O_{k+2} \in S'_{k+1}$, let's prove that this simplex is indeed small. First, if S'_{k+1} is a point, then $|O_kO_{k+2}| = 0$, and any upper bound holds. If S'_{k+1} is not a point, let's continue.

The distance from O_k to all full-dimension faces of S'_{k+1} is no larger than $\frac{3d^2}{R_k}$. This means that the radius of the inscribed sphere of S'_{k+1} is no larger than $\frac{3d^2}{R_k}$. The simplices S_{k+1} and S'_{k+1} are homothetic, so if the radii of inscribed and circumscribed spheres of S'_{k+1} are r'_{k+1} and R'_{k+1} , then from (16):

$$\frac{r'_{k+1}}{R'_{k+1}} = \frac{r_{k+1}}{R_{k+1}} \ge \rho(1-\varepsilon).$$
(55)

So,

$$R'_{k+1} \leqslant \frac{r'_{k+1}}{\rho(1-\varepsilon)} \leqslant \frac{3d^2}{\rho(1-\varepsilon)R_k},\tag{56}$$

which means that since both $O_k, O_{k+2} \in S'_{k+1}$ then

$$|O_k O_{k+2}| \leqslant 2R'_{k+1} \leqslant \frac{6d^2}{\rho(1-\varepsilon)R_k} = \frac{6|O_k O_{k+1}|^2}{\rho(1-\varepsilon)R_k}, \text{ for } k > K_2.$$
(57)

And since for $k > K_2$ holds $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, then:

$$|O_k O_{k+2}| \leqslant \frac{12|O_k O_{k+1}|^2}{\rho R_k}, \text{ for } k > K_2.$$
(58)

3. Sequence $\{O_{2k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. We have shown that points O_{2k} and O_{2k+2} are close to each other for sufficiently large k. If we also can show, that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |O_{2k}O_{2k+2}| < \infty$, this will lead to $|O_{2k}O_{2l}| \to 0$, $k, l \to \infty$, meaning that O_{2k} is a Cauchy sequence, and so, has a limit in \mathbb{R}^n

From Property (2.2) and Corollary (3.3) we know

$$R_{k+1} = R_k \cdot \frac{R_k}{r_k} \ge \frac{R_k}{\rho}.$$
(59)

So, since we know from the Property 3.4, that $\rho \leq \frac{1}{n-1}$, then R_k grows fast, more precisely :

$$R_k \geqslant R_1 \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{k-1}.$$
(60)

Let $c := \frac{12}{\rho}$, and denote $d_k := |O_k O_{k+1}|$. Then for $k > K_2$ from (58) we get:

$$d_{k+1} = |O_{k+1}O_{k+2}| \leq |O_{k+1}O_k| + |O_kO_{k+2}| \leq d_k + \frac{cd_k^2}{R_k}.$$
 (61)

Let $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ be a small real number, such that

$$\frac{(1+c\varepsilon_2)^2}{\frac{1}{\rho}} < \frac{1}{2}$$
(62)

From (43) we have: for this ε_2 there exists such $K_3 > K_2$, that for all $k > K_3$ holds:

$$\frac{d_k}{R_k} \leqslant \varepsilon_2,\tag{63}$$

so for $k > K_3$ the combination of (61) and (63) gives:

$$d_{k+1} \leqslant d_k + \frac{cd_k^2}{R_k} \leqslant d_k + c\varepsilon_2 d_k = (1 + c\varepsilon_2)d_k, \tag{64}$$

so for some large C > 0 and for all k

$$d_k \leqslant C(1 + c\varepsilon_2)^k. \tag{65}$$

We can now continue the estimate on $|O_kO_{k+2}|$, in (58), for $k > K_2$. First, equivalently to (58):

$$|O_k O_{k+2}| \leqslant \frac{cd_k^2}{R_k},\tag{66}$$

then from (60) for all k:

$$\frac{cd_k^2}{R_k} \leqslant \frac{cd_k^2}{R_1 \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{k-1}},\tag{67}$$

then from (65), for all k:

$$\frac{cd_k^2}{R_1\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{k-1}} \leqslant \frac{c \cdot C^2 (1+c\varepsilon_2)^{2k}}{R_1\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{k-1}} = \frac{c \cdot C^2}{\rho R_1} \left(\frac{(1+c\varepsilon_2)^2}{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^k.$$
(68)

So, for $k > K_2$:

$$|O_k O_{k+2}| \leqslant \frac{c \cdot C^2}{\rho R_1} \left(\frac{(1+c\varepsilon_2)^2}{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right)^k.$$
(69)

And from (62), for $k > K_2$:

$$|O_k O_{k+2}| \leqslant \frac{c \cdot C^2}{\rho R_1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k.$$

$$\tag{70}$$

The sum of the geometric progression with a ratio smaller than 1 is finite. So the sequence $\{O_{2k}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and so it has a limit in \mathbb{R}^n .

The same argument holds for $\{O_{2k+1}\}$, since it is the sequence of even order circumcenters, with the starting simplex S_2 instead of S_1 .

References

- fedja (https://mathoverflow.net/users/1131/fedja), "Tetrahedron insphere iteration." MathOverflow. URL:https://mathoverflow.net/q/144581 (version: 2013-10-18).
- [2] J. Brandts and M. Křížek, Duality of isosceles tetrahedra, 2019. J. Geom. (2019) 110:49, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, 2019.
- [3] J. Brandts and M. Křížek, Simplicial Vertex-Normal Duality with Applications to Well-Centered Simplices. Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications ENUMATH 2017. ENUMATH 2017. Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol 126. Springer, 2019.