

# ON ENERGY GAP PHENOMENA OF THE WHITNEY SPHERES IN $\mathbb{C}^n$ OR $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$

YONG LUO, LIUYANG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In [30] [20] Zhang, Luo and Yin initiated the study of Lagrangian submanifolds satisfying  $\nabla^*T = 0$  or  $\nabla^*\nabla^*T = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , where  $T = \nabla^*\tilde{h}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  is the Lagrangian trace-free second fundamental form. They proved several rigidity theorems for Lagrangian surfaces satisfying  $\nabla^*T = 0$  or  $\nabla^*\nabla^*T = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  under proper small energy assumption and gave new characterization of the Whitney spheres in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . In this paper we extend these results to Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and to Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that  $N^n(4c)$  is the the standard complex space form with standard complex structure  $J$ , Kähler form  $\omega$  and metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , i.e.  $N^n(0) = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $N^n(4) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . A real  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $N^n(4c)$  is a Lagrangian submanifold if  $J$  is an isometric map between its tangent bundle and normal bundle. The most canonical and important examples of Lagrangian submanifolds of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  are the Lagrangian subspaces and Whitney spheres. The Whitney spheres in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are defined by (cf. [27])

*Example 1.1.*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{r,A} : \mathbb{S}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &\mapsto \frac{r}{1+x_{n+1}^2}(x_1, x_1x_{n+1}, \dots, x_n, x_nx_{n+1}) + A, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\}$ ,  $r$  is a positive number and  $A$  is a vector of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

The Whitney spheres in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  are defined by (cf. [6][10])

*Example 1.2.*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\theta : \mathbb{S}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \theta > 0 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &\mapsto \left[ \left( \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{ch\theta + ish\theta x_{n+1}}, \frac{sh\theta ch\theta(1+x_{n+1}^2) + ix_{n+1}}{ch_\theta^2 + sh_\theta^2 x_{n+1}^2} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\}$ .

---

*Key words and phrases.* Lagrangian submanifolds; The Whitney spheres; Energy gap theorems; Conformal Maslov form.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C24; Secondary 53C42.

The first author was supported by NSF of China, Grant Number 11501421.

The Lagrangian subspaces and Whitney spheres  $\phi_{r,A}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  and Whitney spheres  $\phi_\theta$  in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  play a similar role with that of totally umbilical hypersurfaces in a real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  or in the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , and they are locally characterized by vanishing of the following so called Lagrangian trace free second fundamental form (cf. [5][23][6][10])

$$\tilde{h}(V, W) := h(V, W) - \frac{n}{n+2} \{ \langle V, W \rangle H + \langle JV, H \rangle JW + \langle JW, H \rangle JV \}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $h$  denotes the second fundamental form and  $H = \frac{1}{n}h$  denotes the mean curvature vector field respectively.

Various characterizations of the Lagrangian subspaces,  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  or Whitney spheres in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  were obtained in [2][5, 7, 8][9][11, 12][17][18][23]. In particular, Castro, Montealegre, Ros and Urbano [5][23][8] introduced and studied Lagrangian submanifolds with conformal Maslov form in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , that is Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  with the 2-form  $T = 0$ , where in local orthonormal basis

$$T_{ij} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_m \tilde{h}_{ij,m}^{m*} = \frac{1}{n+2} (nH_{,j}^{i*} - \sum_m H_{,m}^{m*} g_{ij}). \quad (1.2)$$

They proved that the only compact(nonminimal) Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  with conformal Maslov form (i.e.  $T = 0$ ) and null first Betti number are the Whitney spheres. The Whitney spheres in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  also play an important role in the study of Lagrangian mean curvature flow [4][24].

Recently, Zhang, Luo and Yin [30][20] initiated the study of Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  satisfying  $\nabla^*T = 0$  or  $\nabla^*\nabla^*T = 0$ . In particular, they proved the following results:

**Theorem 1.1** ([30]). *Assume that  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  is a properly immersed complete Lagrangian surface satisfying  $\nabla^*T = 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \leq \epsilon_0 \text{ and } \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\Sigma_R} |h|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

where  $\Sigma_R := \Sigma \cap B_R(0)$  and  $B_R(0)$  denotes the ball centered at 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with radius  $R$ , then  $\Sigma$  is either a Lagrangian plane or a 2-dimensional Whitney sphere.

*Remark 1.1.* Though it was assumed properness in the above theorem, we see from the proof in [30] that we only need assume that  $\Sigma$  is complete.

**Theorem 1.2** ([20]). *Assume that  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  is a Lagrangian sphere satisfying  $\nabla^*\nabla^*T = 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \leq \epsilon_0,$$

then  $\Sigma$  is a 2-dimensional Whitney sphere.

The aim of this paper is to extend the above results to higher dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and to Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . In fact we have

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n (n \geq 3)$  is a complete Lagrangian submanifold. We have*

(i) *if  $M^n$  satisfies  $\nabla^*T = 0$ , then there exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$\int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \leq \epsilon_0 \text{ and } \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{M_R} |h|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

where  $M_R$  denotes the geodesic ball in  $M^n$  with radius  $R$ , then  $M^n$  is either a Lagrangian subspace or a Whitney sphere;

(ii) if  $M^n$  is a Lagrangian sphere satisfying  $\nabla^*\nabla^*T = 0$ , then there exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if

$$\int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \leq \epsilon_0,$$

then  $M^n$  is a Whitney sphere.

We would like to point out that compared with the 2-dimensional case, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is much more complicated. Firstly, in the 2-dimensional case we just need to test over a simple Simons' type identity, but in the case of dimension  $n \geq 3$  we need to estimate the nonlinear terms in a much more complicated Simons' type equality to get a Simons' type inequality (cf. (3.17)) and then test over it. Secondly, in the higher dimensional case we need to adapt the original Michael-Simon inequality a little bit to get (4.4) and use it to absorb the "bad term" at the right hand of (3.17).

Similarly, for Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  we have

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume that  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n (n \geq 2)$  is a complete Lagrangian submanifold. We have*

(i) if  $M^n$  satisfies  $\nabla^*T = 0$ , then there exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if

$$\int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \leq \epsilon_0 \text{ and } \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{M_R} |h|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

where  $M_R$  denotes the geodesic ball in  $M^n$  with radius  $R$ , then  $M^n$  is the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  or a Whitney sphere;

(ii) if  $M^n$  is a Lagrangian sphere satisfying  $\nabla^*\nabla^*T = 0$ , then there exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if

$$\int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \leq \epsilon_0,$$

then  $M^n$  is the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  or a Whitney sphere.

Note that similar  $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$  pinching theorems for minimal submanifolds in a unit sphere were initiated by Shen [25], and later investigated by Wang [26], Lin and Xia [19].  $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$  pinching theorems for minimal submanifolds in a Euclidean space was investigated by Ni [22]. Generalizations of  $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$  pinching theorems to submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector field in a sphere or in a Euclidean space were obtained by Xu [28] and Xu and Gu [29]. Our results could be seen as extensions of their results to more general submanifolds in the Lagrangian setting.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some preliminaries on Lagrangian submanifolds in  $N^n(4c)$ . In section 3 we prove a Simons' type inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in  $N^n(4c)$ , which plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorems 1.3, 1.4. Theorem 1.3 is proved in section 4 and Theorem 1.4 is proved in section 5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some basic formulas and results of the Lagrangian submanifolds in a complex space form (cf. [1][3]).

Let  $N^n(4c)$  be a complete, simply connected,  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds in  $N^n(4c)$ . We denote also by  $g$  the metric on  $M^n$ . Let  $\nabla$  (resp.  $\bar{\nabla}$ ) be the Levi-Civita connection of  $M^n$  (resp.  $N^n(4c)$ ). The Gauss and Weingarten formulas of  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^n(4c)$  are given, respectively, by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $X, Y \in TM^n$  are tangent vector fields,  $V \in T^\perp M^n$  is a normal vector field;  $\nabla^\perp$  is the normal connection in the normal bundle  $T^\perp M^n$ ;  $h$  is the second fundamental form and  $A_V$  is the shape operator with respect to  $V$ . From (2.1), we easily get

$$g(h(X, Y), V) = g(A_V X, Y). \quad (2.2)$$

The mean curvature vector  $H$  of  $M^n$  is defined by  $H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h$ .

For Lagrangian submanifolds in  $N^n(4c)$ , we have

$$\nabla_X JY = J\nabla_X^\perp Y, \quad (2.3)$$

$$A_{JX} Y = -Jh(X, Y) = A_{JY} X. \quad (2.4)$$

The above formulas immediately imply that  $g(h(X, Y), JZ)$  is totally symmetric.

To utilize the moving frame method, we will use the following range convention of indices:

$$i, j, k, l, m, p, s = 1, \dots, n; \quad i^* = i + n \text{ etc..}$$

Now, we choose a local *adapted Lagrangian frame*  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{1^*}, \dots, e_{n^*}\}$  in  $N^n(4c)$  in such a way that, restricted to  $M^n$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal frame of  $M^n$ , and  $\{e_{1^*} = Je_1, \dots, e_{n^*} = Je_n\}$  is an orthonormal frame of  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^n(4c)$ . Let  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  be the dual frame of  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Let  $\theta_{ij}$  and  $\theta_{i^*j^*}$  denote the connection 1-forms of  $TM^n$  and  $T^\perp M^n$ , respectively.

Put  $h_{ij}^{k^*} = g(h(e_i, e_j), Je_k)$ . It is easily seen that

$$h_{ij}^{k^*} = h_{ik}^{j^*} = h_{jk}^{i^*}, \quad \forall i, j, k. \quad (2.5)$$

Denote by  $R_{ijkl} := g(R(e_i, e_j)e_l, e_k)$  and  $R_{ijk^*l^*} := g(R(e_i, e_j)e_{l^*}, e_{k^*})$  the components of the curvature tensors of  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^\perp$  with respect to the adapted Lagrangian frame, respectively. Then, we get the Gauss, Ricci and Codazzi equations:

$$R_{ijkl} = c(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \sum_m (h_{ik}^{m^*} h_{jl}^{m^*} - h_{il}^{m^*} h_{jk}^{m^*}), \quad (2.6)$$

$$R_{ijk^*l^*} = c(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \sum_m (h_{ik}^{m^*} h_{jl}^{m^*} - h_{il}^{m^*} h_{jk}^{m^*}), \quad (2.7)$$

$$h_{ij,k}^{m^*} = h_{ik,j}^{m^*}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $h_{ij,k}^{m^*}$  is the components of the covariant differentiation of  $h$ , defined by

$$\sum_{l=1}^n h_{ij,l}^{m^*} \theta_l := dh_{ij}^{m^*} + \sum_{l=1}^n h_{il}^{m^*} \theta_{lj} + \sum_{l=1}^n h_{jl}^{m^*} \theta_{li} + \sum_{l=1}^n h_{ij}^{l^*} \theta_{l^*m^*}, \quad (2.9)$$

Then from (2.5) and (2.8), we have

$$h_{ij,k}^{m^*} = h_{jk,m}^{i^*} = h_{km,i}^{j^*} = h_{mi,j}^{k^*}. \quad (2.10)$$

We also have Ricci identity

$$h_{ij,lp}^{m*} - h_{ij,pl}^{m*} = \sum_{k=1}^n h_{kj}^{m*} R_{kilp} + \sum_{k=1}^n h_{ik}^{m*} R_{kjl p} + \sum_{k=1}^n h_{ij}^{k*} R_{k^*m^*lp}, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $h_{ij,lp}^{m*}$  is defined by

$$\sum_p h_{ij,lp}^{m*} \theta_p = dh_{ij,l}^{m*} + \sum_p h_{pj,l}^{m*} \theta_{pi} + \sum_p h_{ip,l}^{m*} \theta_{pj} + \sum_p h_{ij,p}^{m*} \theta_{pl} + \sum_p h_{ij,l}^{p*} \theta_{p^*m^*}.$$

The mean curvature vector  $H$  of  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^n(4c)$  is

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n H^{k*} e_{k^*}, \quad H^{k*} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i h_{ii}^{k*}.$$

Letting  $i = j$  in (2.9) and carrying out summation over  $i$ , we have

$$H_{,i}^{k*} \theta_l = dH^{k*} + \sum_l H^{l*} \theta_{l^*k^*},$$

and we further have

$$H_{,i}^{k*} = H_{,k}^{i*} \quad (2.12)$$

for any  $i, k$ .

### 3. A SIMONS' TYPE INEQUALITY

In this section, inspired by Chao and Dong [9], we will derive a new Simons' type inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in  $N^n(4c)$ .

We assume that  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^n(4c)$  is a Lagrangian submanifold and  $n \geq 2$ , where  $N^n(4c)$  is the the standard complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$  with standard complex structure  $J$ , Kähler form  $\omega$  and metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

Firstly, we define a trace-free tensor  $\tilde{h}(X, Y)$  defined by

$$\tilde{h}(X, Y) = h(X, Y) - \frac{n}{n+2} \{g(X, Y)H + g(JX, H)JY + g(JY, H)JX\} \quad (3.1)$$

for any tangent vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M^n$ .

With respect to Lagrangian frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{1^*}, \dots, e_{n^*}\}$  in  $N^n(4c)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} &= h_{ij}^{m*} - \frac{n}{n+2} (H^{m*} \delta_{ij} + H^{i*} \delta_{jm} + H^{j*} \delta_{im}) \\ &= h_{ij}^{m*} - c_{ij}^{m*} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $c_{ij}^{m*} = \frac{n}{n+2} \{H^{m*} \delta_{ij} + H^{i*} \delta_{jm} + H^{j*} \delta_{im}\}$ .

The first covariant derivatives of  $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*}$  are defined by

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{ij,l}^{m*} \theta_l := d\tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} \theta_{lj} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{jl}^{m*} \theta_{li} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{ij}^{l*} \theta_{l^*m^*}. \quad (3.3)$$

The second covariant derivatives of  $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*}$  are defined by

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{ij,kl}^{m*} \theta_l := d\tilde{h}_{ij,k}^{m*} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{lj,k}^{m*} \theta_{li} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{il,k}^{m*} \theta_{lj} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{ij,l}^{m*} \theta_{lk} + \sum_{l=1}^n \tilde{h}_{ij,k}^{l*} \theta_{l^*m^*}. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, we have the following Ricci identities

$$\tilde{h}_{ij, kp}^{m*} - \tilde{h}_{ij, pk}^{m*} = \sum_l \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} R_{likp} + \sum_l \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{ljkp} + \sum_l \tilde{h}_{ij}^{l*} R_{l^*m^*kp}. \quad (3.5)$$

The following proposition links those geometric quantities together:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^n(4c)$  be a Lagrangian submanifold, then the Lagrangian trace-free second fundamental form  $\tilde{h}$  satisfies*

$$|\tilde{h}|^2 = |h|^2 - \frac{3n^2}{n+2}|H|^2. \quad (3.6)$$

$$\sum_m \tilde{h}_{ij, m}^{m*} = \frac{n}{n+2}(nH_{,j}^{i*} - \operatorname{div} JH g_{ij}). \quad (3.7)$$

*Proof.* (3.6) and (3.7) can be immediately obtained from (3.2).  $\square$

**Definiton 3.1** ([5, 23, 8]). *We define a  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $T$  in local orthonormal basis as follows:*

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_m \tilde{h}_{ij, m}^{m*} = \frac{1}{n+2}(nH_{,j}^{i*} - \sum_m H_{,m}^{m*} g_{ij}) \quad (3.8)$$

*Remark 3.1.*  $T$  is a trace-free tensor and symmetric.  $T = 0$  if and only if  $JH$  is a conformal vector field.

In the following we will derive a Simons' type identity for  $\Delta|\tilde{h}|^2$ . First we have

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^n(4c)$  be a Lagrangian immersion. Then*

$$\sum_{ijmk} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{ij, kk}^{m*} = (n+2)(\tilde{h}, \nabla T) + \sum_{i, j, m, k, l} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \left( \tilde{h}_{lk}^{m*} R_{lijk} + \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{lkjk} + \tilde{h}_{ik}^{l*} R_{l^*m^*jk} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* By using the Codazzi equation (2.8) and (3.2), the definition of  $\tilde{h}$  under local coordinates is just

$$\tilde{h}_{ij, k}^{m*} = \tilde{h}_{ik, j}^{m*} + \frac{n}{n+2}(\delta_{ik} H_{,j}^{m*} + \delta_{km} H_{,j}^{i*} - \delta_{ij} H_{,k}^{m*} - \delta_{jm} H_{,k}^{i*}) \quad (3.10)$$

With the help of Ricci identity (3.5), (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \tilde{h}_{ij, kk}^{m*} &= \sum_k \tilde{h}_{ik, jk}^{m*} + \sum_k \frac{n}{n+2}(\delta_{ik} H_{,jk}^{m*} + \delta_{km} H_{,jk}^{i*} - \delta_{ij} H_{,kk}^{m*} - \delta_{jm} H_{,kk}^{i*}) \\ &= \sum_k \tilde{h}_{ik, kj}^{m*} + \sum_{k, l} \tilde{h}_{lk}^{m*} R_{lijk} + \sum_{k, l} \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{lkjk} + \sum_{k, l} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{l*} R_{l^*m^*jk} \\ &\quad + \sum_k \frac{n}{n+2}(\delta_{ik} H_{,jk}^{m*} + \delta_{km} H_{,jk}^{i*} - \delta_{ij} H_{,kk}^{m*} - \delta_{jm} H_{,kk}^{i*}) \\ &= \sum_k \tilde{h}_{kk, ij}^{m*} + \sum_{k, l} \tilde{h}_{lk}^{m*} R_{lijk} + \sum_{k, l} \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{lkjk} + \sum_{k, l} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{l*} R_{l^*m^*jk} \\ &\quad + \sum_k \frac{n}{n+2}(\delta_{ik} H_{,jk}^{m*} + \delta_{km} H_{,jk}^{i*} - \delta_{ij} H_{,kk}^{m*} - \delta_{jm} H_{,kk}^{i*}) + nT_{im, j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Then, by using (3.8) and the fact that  $\tilde{h}$  is trace free and tri-symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,m,k} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{ij,kk}^{m*} &= \sum_{i,j,m,k} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \left[ \tilde{h}_{lk}^{m*} R_{lijk} + \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{lkjk} + \tilde{h}_{ik}^{l*} R_{l^*m^*jk} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{m,i,j} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \left[ T_{mj,i} + T_{ij,m} \right] + n \sum_{m,i,j} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} T_{im,j} \\
&= \sum_{i,j,m,k} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \left[ \tilde{h}_{lk}^{m*} R_{lijk} + \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{lkjk} + \tilde{h}_{ik}^{l*} R_{l^*m^*jk} \right] \\
&\quad + (n+2) \sum_{m,i,j} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} T_{ij,m}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

Next, by using lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\tilde{h}|^2 &= |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + \sum_{ijmk} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{ij,kk}^{m*} \\
&= |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (n+2) \langle \tilde{h}, \nabla T \rangle \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lk}^{m*} R_{lijk}}_I + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} R_{lkjk}}_{II} + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{l*} R_{lmjk}}_{III}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Note that by the symmetry of  $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{k*}$ ,  $I = III$ . Hence we only need to compute  $I$  and  $II$ . Direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= c \sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} (\delta_{lj} \delta_{ik} - \delta_{lk} \delta_{ij}) + \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{t*} - \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} (\tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} c_{ik}^{t*} + c_{ij}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{t*} - \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} c_{ij}^{t*} - c_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*} + c_{ij}^{t*} c_{ik}^{t*} - c_{lk}^{t*} c_{ij}^{t*}) \\
&= c |\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2} |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + \frac{2n}{n+2} \sum_{j,k,l,m,t} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} (\tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{t*} - \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*}) + \frac{2n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_{i,j,k,m} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} H^{i*} H^{k*},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where in the second equality we used the following identities derived by direct computations

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} c_{ik}^{t*} = \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} c_{lj}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{t*} = \frac{3n}{n+2} \sum_{j,k,l,m,t} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*},$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} c_{ij}^{t*} = \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} c_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*} = \frac{2n}{n+2} \sum_{j,k,l,m,t} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*},$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_t c_{ij}^{t*} c_{ik}^{t*} &= \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_t \left( (\mathfrak{S}_{t,l,j} H^{t*} \delta_{lj}) (\mathfrak{S}_{t,i,k} H^{t*} \delta_{ik}) \right) \\ &= \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2} \left( \mathfrak{S}_{i,j,k,l} H^{l*} H^{i*} \delta_{jk} + 2H^{l*} H^{j*} \delta_{ik} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2H^{i*} H^{k*} \delta_{jl} + |H|^2 \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}$  stands for the cyclic sum, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} c_{lj}^{t*} c_{ik}^{t*} &= \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2} |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + \frac{6n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_{i,j,k,m} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} H^{i*} H^{k*}, \\ \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} c_{lk}^{t*} c_{ij}^{t*} &= \frac{4n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_{i,j,k,m} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} H^{i*} H^{k*}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{il}^{m*} [c(\delta_{lj} \delta_{kk} - \delta_{lk} \delta_{jk}) + \sum_t (h_{lj}^{t*} h_{kk}^{t*} - h_{lk}^{t*} h_{kj}^{t*})] \\ &= (n-1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} (n\tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*} + nc_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*} \\ &\quad - \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{kj}^{t*} - \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} c_{kj}^{t*} - c_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{kj}^{t*} - c_{lk}^{t*} c_{kj}^{t*}) \tag{3.14} \\ &= (n-1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^3}{(n+2)^2} |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + \frac{n^2-2n}{n+2} \sum_{ijlmt} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*} \\ &\quad + \frac{n^2(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} \sum_{ijml} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} H^{j*} H^{l*} - \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{kj}^{t*}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used the following identities derived by direct computations

$$n \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} c_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*} = \frac{n^2}{n+2} |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + \frac{2n^2}{n+2} \sum_{ijml} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} H^{j*} H^{l*},$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} c_{kj}^{t*} = \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kj}^{t*} c_{lk}^{t*} = \frac{2n}{n+2} \sum_{ijlmt} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*},$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_t c_{lk}^{t*} c_{jk}^{t*} &= \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_t \left( (\mathfrak{S}_{t,l,k} H^{t*} \delta_{lk}) (\mathfrak{S}_{t,j,k} H^{t*} \delta_{jk}) \right) \\ &= \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2} \left( \mathfrak{S}_{j,k,k,l} H^{l*} H^{j*} \delta_{kk} + 2H^{l*} H^{k*} \delta_{jk} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2H^{j*} H^{k*} \delta_{kl} + |H|^2 \delta_{jk} \delta_{kl} \right), \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} c_{lk}^{t*} c_{kj}^{t*} = \frac{2n^2}{(n+2)^2} |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + \frac{(n+6)n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_{ijml} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{li}^{m*} H^{j*} H^{l*}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
III = I &= c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)^2}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 + \frac{2n}{n+2} \sum_{j,k,l,m,t} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{lj}^{t*} H^{t*} \\
&+ \sum_{i,j,k,m,l,t} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{kl}^{m*} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ik}^{t*} - \tilde{h}_{lk}^{t*} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{t*}) \\
&+ \frac{2n^2}{(n+2)^2} \sum_{i,j,k,m} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} H^{i*} H^{k*}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Set  $A_{i*} = (\tilde{h}_{jk}^{i*})$ . Then it follows from (3.9) and (3.12)-(3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\tilde{h}|^2 &= (n+2)\langle \tilde{h}, \nabla T \rangle + |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 \\
&+ \sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(A_{i*}A_{j*} - A_{j*}A_{i*})^2 - \sum_{i,j} (\text{tr}A_{i*}A_{j*})^2 \\
&+ n \sum_{i,j,l,m,t} \tilde{h}_{ji}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{jt}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{ti}^{l*} H^{l*} + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)} \sum_{i,j,k,m} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{m*} \tilde{h}_{jk}^{m*} H^{i*} H^{k*}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Next we estimate terms on the right hand side of (3.16). We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3** ([16]). *Let  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  be symmetric  $(n \times n)$ -matrices ( $m \geq 2$ ). Denote  $S_{mk} = \text{trace}(B_m^t B_k)$ ,  $S_m = S_{mm} = N(B_m)$ ,  $S = \sum_{i=1}^m S_i$ . Then*

$$\sum_{m,k} N(B_m B_k - B_k B_m) + \sum_{m,k} S_{mk}^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} S^2.$$

Now we are prepared to estimate the right hand side of (3.16), mainly the last two terms on the last line of (3.16).

We re-choose  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $\sum_l \tilde{h}_{ij}^{l*} H^{l*} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , denote by

$$S_H = \sum_{j,i} \left( \sum_l \tilde{h}_{ji}^{l*} H^{l*} \right)^2 = \sum_j \lambda_j^2, \quad S_{i*} = \sum_{j,l} (\tilde{h}_{jl}^{i*})^2,$$

then  $|\tilde{h}|^2 = \sum_i S_{i^*}$ . Using lemma 3.3 and the above fact, we have the following estimates for the right hand side of (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\tilde{h}|^2 &\geq (n+2)\langle\tilde{h}, \nabla T\rangle + |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|\tilde{h}|^4 \\
&\quad + n\sum_i\lambda_i S_{i^*} + \frac{n^2}{n+2}\sum_i\lambda_i^2 \\
&\geq (n+2)\langle\tilde{h}, \nabla T\rangle + |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|\tilde{h}|^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2}\sum_i(\lambda_i + S_{i^*})^2 - \frac{n}{2}\sum_i S_{i^*}^2 \\
&\geq (n+2)\langle\tilde{h}, \nabla T\rangle + |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 - \frac{n+3}{2}|\tilde{h}|^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2}\sum_i(|H|\lambda_i + S_{i^*})^2, \\
&\geq (n+2)\langle\tilde{h}, \nabla T\rangle + |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|\tilde{h}|^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2}\sum_i(\lambda_i + S_{i^*})^2 - \frac{n}{2}\sum_i S_{i^*}^2 \\
&\geq (n+2)\langle\tilde{h}, \nabla T\rangle + |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)c|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 - \frac{n+3}{2}|\tilde{h}|^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where in the last inequality we have used  $|\tilde{h}|^4 = (\sum_i S_{i^*})^2 \geq \sum_i S_{i^*}^2$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we will use  $C$  to denote constants depending only on  $n$ , which may vary line by line. We have

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that  $M^n$  is a complete Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a cut-off function on  $M^n$  with  $\|\nabla\gamma\|_{L^\infty} = \Gamma$ , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M (|\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + |H|^2|\tilde{h}^2|)\gamma^2 d\mu \\
&\leq C\int_M \langle\nabla^*T, H\lrcorner\omega\rangle\gamma^2 d\mu + C\int_M |\tilde{h}|^4\gamma^2 d\mu + C\Gamma^2\int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (3.17) by  $\gamma^2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\int_M \gamma^2\Delta|\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu &\geq (n+2)\int_M \langle\tilde{h}\gamma^2, \nabla T\rangle d\mu \\
&\quad + \int_M |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2\gamma^2 d\mu + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}\int_M |\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2\gamma^2 d\mu - \frac{n+3}{2}\int_M |\tilde{h}|^4\gamma^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_M \gamma^2\Delta|\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu = -\int_M \langle\nabla\tilde{h}, \gamma\nabla\gamma \otimes \tilde{h}\rangle d\mu, \tag{4.3}$$

and by the definition of  $T$  and integral by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \langle \tilde{h}\gamma^2, \nabla T \rangle d\mu &= - \int_M \langle T, \gamma^2 \nabla^* \tilde{h} \rangle - 2 \int_M \langle T \otimes \nabla \gamma, \gamma \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu \\
&= -n \int_M \langle T, T \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu - 2 \int_M \langle T \otimes \nabla \gamma, \gamma \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu \\
&= -n \int_M \langle T, \frac{1}{n+2} (n \nabla H \lrcorner \omega - \operatorname{div} JHg) \gamma^2 \rangle d\mu - 2 \int_M \langle T \otimes \nabla \gamma, \gamma \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu \\
&= -\frac{n^2}{n+2} \int_M \langle T, \nabla H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu - 2 \int_M \langle T \otimes \nabla \gamma, \gamma \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu \\
&= \frac{n^2}{n+2} \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + \frac{2n^2}{n+2} \int_M \langle T, H \lrcorner \omega \otimes \nabla \gamma \rangle \gamma d\mu \\
&\quad - 2 \int_M \langle T \otimes \nabla \gamma, \gamma \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore since  $|T| \leq C|\nabla \tilde{h}|$ ,  $|\tilde{h}| \leq C|h|$  and  $|\nabla \gamma| \leq \Gamma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)} |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \\
&\leq - \int_M \langle \nabla \tilde{h}, \gamma \nabla \gamma \otimes \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu - n^2 \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu - 2n^2 \int_M \langle T, H \lrcorner \omega \otimes \nabla \gamma \rangle \gamma d\mu \\
&\quad + 2(n+2) \int_M \langle T \otimes \nabla \gamma, \gamma \tilde{h} \rangle d\mu + \frac{n+3}{2} \int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu \\
&\leq -n^2 \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + \frac{n+3}{2} \int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + C\Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will need the following Michael-Simon inequality:

**Theorem 4.1** ([21][14]). *Assume that  $M^n$  is a compact submanifold of  $R^{n+p}$  with or without boundary. Assume that  $v \in C^1(M^n)$  is a nonnegative function such that  $v = 0$  on  $\partial M^n$ , if  $\partial M^n$  is not an empty set. Then*

$$\left( \int_M v^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C \int_M |\nabla v| + v|H| d\mu,$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector field of  $M^n$ .

Now assume that  $n \geq 3$ . In the above Michael-Simon inequality we let  $v = f^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}$ , then by Hölder inequality we easily get

$$\left( \int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C \int_M |\nabla f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2 d\mu. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore by letting  $f = |\tilde{h}|\gamma$  in (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu &\leq \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M (|\tilde{h}|\gamma)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M |\nabla(|\tilde{h}|\gamma)|^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu + \int_M |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu) \\
&\quad + C\Gamma^2 \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.17) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2) \gamma^2 d\mu \\
&\leq C \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu) + C\Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that if there exists  $\epsilon_0$  sufficiently small such that

$$\int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \leq \epsilon_0,$$

we have

$$\int_M (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2) \gamma^2 d\mu \leq C \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + C\Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu. \tag{4.6}$$

**Case 1:** If  $\nabla^* T = 0$ , note that for any  $R > 0$  we can choose  $\gamma \in C_c^1(M_R(p_0))$  such that  $\gamma = 1$  on  $M_{\frac{R}{2}}(p_0)$  where  $M_r(p_0)$  denotes geodesic ball of radius  $r$  with center  $p_0 \in M^n$ , and  $\Gamma \leq \frac{C}{R}$ , therefore by letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  we get

$$\int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

which implies that  $\tilde{h} = 0$  and  $M^n$  is either a Lagrangian subspace or a Whitney sphere by [5, 23] or  $H = 0, \nabla h = 0$  and  $M^n$  is a Lagrangian subspace by [15].

**Case 2:** If  $\nabla^* \nabla^* T = 0$  and  $M^n$  is a Lagrangian sphere, then by Dazord [13], there exists a smooth function  $f$  on  $M^n$  such that  $H \lrcorner \omega = df$ , and let  $\gamma \equiv 1$  on  $M^n$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu &\leq C \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, df \rangle d\mu \\
&= -C \int_M f \nabla^* \nabla^* T d\mu \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\tilde{h} = 0$  and  $M^n$  is either a Lagrangian subspace or a Whitney sphere by [5, 23] or  $H = 0, \nabla h = 0$  and  $M^n$  is a Lagrangian subspace by [15].

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is quite similar with the proofs of Theorem 1.2 in [30], Theorem 1.5 in [20] and Theorem 1.3 in the present paper. Therefore we will only give a outline of the proof and omit some details. In this section we will use  $C$  to denote constants depending only on  $n$  which may vary line by line.

Letting  $c = 1$  in (3.17), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\tilde{h}|^2 \geq (n+2)\langle\tilde{h}, \nabla T\rangle + |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (n+1)|\tilde{h}|^2 + \frac{n^2}{(n+2)}|\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 - \frac{n+3}{2}|\tilde{h}|^4. \quad (5.1)$$

Then similarly with Lemma 4.1 we can obtain the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume that  $M^n$  is a complete Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\gamma$  is a cut off function on  $M^n$  with  $\|\nabla\gamma\|_{L^\infty} = \Gamma$ , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M (|\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2|H|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2)\gamma^2 d\mu \\ & \leq C \int_M \langle\nabla^*T, H \lrcorner \omega\rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + C \int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu + C\Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

The same as the previous section, to absorb the "bad term"  $\int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu$  on the right hand side of (5.2), we will use the Michael-Simom inequality. In order to do this, we need isometrically immersed  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  into some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ , which is possible by Nash's celebrated embedding theorem. Assume that  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  has mean curvature  $H_0$  as a submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ , and  $M^n$  has mean curvature  $\bar{H}$  as a submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $|\bar{H}|^2 \leq |H_0|^2 + |H|^2$ .

If  $n = 2$ , from the original Michael-Simon inequality we see that if  $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  is compact with or without boundary then

$$\int_M f^2 d\mu \leq C \left( \int_M |\nabla f| d\mu + \int_M f|H| d\mu \right)^2, \quad (5.3)$$

for any nonnegative function  $f \in C^1(M)$  with  $f|_{\partial M} = 0$ . Let  $f = |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu & \leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}| |\nabla\tilde{h}| \gamma + |\nabla\gamma| |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu + \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 |\bar{H}| \gamma d\mu \right)^2 \\ & \leq C \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \left( \int_M |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 |\bar{H}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) + C\Gamma^2 \left( \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \right)^2 \\ & \leq C \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \left( \int_M |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\ & \quad + C \max_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n} |H_0|^2 \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2 + C\Gamma^2 \left( \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \right)^2 \\ & = C \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \left( \int_M |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\ & \quad + C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2 + C\Gamma^2 \left( \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

From (5.2) and (5.4) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2) \gamma^2 d\mu \\
& \leq C \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + C \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \left( \int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\
& + C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^2 + C \Gamma^2 \left( \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \right)^2 + C \Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $M^n$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1.4 we can similarly with the previous section obtain that  $\tilde{h} = 0$  and hence  $M^n$  is the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  or a Whitney sphere, by [10].

If  $n \geq 3$ , similarly with the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can obtain from the Michael-Simon inequality that

$$\left( \int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C \left( \int_M |\nabla f|^2 d\mu + \int_M f^2 |\bar{H}|^2 d\mu \right), \quad (5.5)$$

for any nonnegative function  $f \in C^1(M^n)$  with  $f|_{\partial M} = 0$ . Therefore by letting  $f = |\tilde{h}| \gamma$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |\tilde{h}|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu & \leq \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M (|\tilde{h}| \gamma)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
& \leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M |\nabla(|\tilde{h}| \gamma)|^2 + |\bar{H}|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\
& \leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu + \int_M |\bar{H}|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\
& \leq C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) \\
& + C \max_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n} |H_0|^2 \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + C \Gamma^2 \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu \\
& = C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_M |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 + |H|^2 |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right) + C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \\
& + C \Gamma^2 \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |\tilde{h}|^2 d\mu. \quad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.2) and (5.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2) \gamma^2 d\mu \\
& \leq C \int_M \langle \nabla^* T, H \lrcorner \omega \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_M (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + |\tilde{h}|^2 |H|^2) \gamma^2 d\mu \\
& + C \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_M |\tilde{h}|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + C \Gamma^2 \left( \int_M |\tilde{h}|^n d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu + C \Gamma^2 \int_{\{\gamma>0\}} |h|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $M^n$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1.4 we can similarly with the previous section obtain that  $\tilde{h} = 0$  and hence  $M^n$  is the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$  or a Whitney sphere, by [10].

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, *Progress in Math.*, vol **203**(2002), Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [2] V. Borrelli, B. Y. Chen and J. M. Morvan, Une caractérisation géométrique de la sphère de Whitney, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **321**(1995), no.11, 1485-1490.
- [3] A. Cannas da Silva, Lectures on symplectic geometry, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1764**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [4] I. Castro, A. M. Lerma and V. Miquel, Evolution by mean curvature flow of Lagrangian spherical surfaces in complex Euclidean plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **462**(2018), no.1, 637-647.
- [5] I. Castro and F. Urbano, Lagrangian surfaces in the complex Euclidean plane with conformal Maslov form, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **45** (1993), no.4, 565-582.
- [6] I. Castro and F. Urbano, Twistor holomorphic Lagrangian surfaces in the complex projective and hyperbolic planes, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **13**(1995), no.1, 59-67.
- [7] I. Castro and F. Urbano, Willmore surfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and the Whitney sphere, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **19** (2001), no.2, 153-175.
- [8] I. Castro, C. R. Monteleone and F. Urbano, Closed conformal vector fields and Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms, *Pacific J. Math.* **199** (2001), no. 2, 269-302.
- [9] X. L. Chao and Y. X. Dong, Rigidity theorems for Lagrangian submanifolds of complex space forms with conformal Maslov form, *Recent developments in geometry and analysis*, 17-25, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, **23**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012.
- [10] B.Y. Chen, Jacobi's elliptic functions and Lagrangian immersions, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **126** (1996), 687-704.
- [11] B. Y. Chen, On Ricci curvature of isotropic and Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **74**(2000), no.2, 154-160.
- [12] B. Y. Chen, Riemannian geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **5**(2001), no.4, 681-723.
- [13] P. Dazord, Sur la géométrie des sous-fibrés et des feuilletages lagrangiens, *Ann. Sci.École Norm. Sup. (4)* **14** (1981), no.4, 465-480.
- [14] D. Hoffman and J. Spruck, Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **27**(1974), 715-727.
- [15] H. Blaine Jr. Lawson, Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **89**(1969), 187-197.
- [16] A. M. Li and J. M. Li, An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **58** (1992), no.6, 582-594.
- [17] H. Z. Li and V. Vrancken, A basic inequality and new characterization of Whitney spheres in a complex space form, *Israel J. Math.* **146**(2005), 223-242.
- [18] Y. Luo and G. F. Wang, On geometrically constrained variational problems of the Willmore functional I. The Lagrangian-Willmore problem, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **23** (2015), no.1, 191-223.
- [19] J. M. Lin and C. Y. Xia, Global pinching theorem for even dimensional minimal submanifolds in a unit sphere, *Math. Z.* **201**(1989), 381-189.
- [20] Y. Luo and J. B. Yin, On energy gap phenomena of the Whitney sphere and related problems, arXiv:2006.08191, submitted.
- [21] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon, Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **26**(1973), 361-379.
- [22] L. Ni, Gap theorems for minimal submanifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **9**(2001), no.3, 641-656.
- [23] A. Ros and F. Urbano, Lagrangian submanifolds of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with conformal Maslov form and the Whitney sphere, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **50** (1998), no.1, 203-226.
- [24] A. Savas-Halilaj and K. Smoczyk, Lagrangian mean curvature flow of Whitney spheres, *Geom. Topol.* **23**(2019), no.2, 1057-1084.
- [25] C. L. Shen, A global pinching theorem for minimal hypersurfaces in sphere, *Proc. Amer. Math. J.* **105**(1989), 192-198.
- [26] H. Wang, Some global pinching theorems for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Acta Math. Sin.* **31**(1988), 503-509.

- [27] A. Weinstein, Lectures on symplectic manifolds, *Conference board of the Mathematical sciences* **29**(1977).
- [28] H. W. Xu,  $L_{\frac{n}{2}}$ -pinching theorems for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in a sphere, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **46**(1994), no.3, 503-515.
- [29] H. W. Xu and J. R. Gu, A general gap theorem for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ , *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **15**(2007), n0.1, 175-194.
- [30] L. Y. Zhang, An energy gap phenomenon for the Whitney sphere, *Math. Z.* **297**(2021), no.3-4, 1601-1611.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, CHONGQING, 400054, CHINA

*Email address:* yongluo-math@cqut.edu.cn

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, CHONGQING, 400054, CHINA

*Email address:* 13320241808@163.com